

# Article

# Changes in Bacterial Communities in Seawater-Flooded Soil in the Four Years After the 2011 Tohoku Tsunami in Japan

Ryoki Asano <sup>1,\*</sup>, Atsushi Hayakawa <sup>2</sup>, Jun Fukushima <sup>3,4</sup>, Yutaka Nakai <sup>1</sup>, Yoichiro Shimura <sup>3</sup>, Midori Abe <sup>4</sup> and Tamio Inamoto <sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Faculty of Agro-Food Sciences, Niigata Agro-Food University, Tainai 9592702, Japan; yutaka-nakai@nafu.ac.jp
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Biological Environment, Faculty of Bioresource Sciences, Akita Prefectural University, Akita 0100195, Japan; hayakawa@akita-pu.ac.jp
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Bioresource Sciences, Akita Prefectural University, Akita 0100195, Japan; jun\_fukushima@akita-pu.ac.jp (J.F.); yshimura@akita-pu.ac.jp (Y.S.); zxd05574@nifty.com (T.I.)
- <sup>4</sup> Biotechnology Center, Faculty of Bioresource Sciences, Akita Prefectural University, Akita 0100195, Japan; midori.0726.hoshi@gmail.com
- \* Correspondence: asakiti@grape.plala.or.jp; Tel.: +81-0254-28-9834

Received: 8 January 2020; Accepted: 21 January 2020; Published: 24 January 2020



Abstract: The 2011 Tohoku tsunami had a serious impact, such as an increase in harmful substances and salinity over a large area. Herein, we evaluated transitions in bacterial communities in agricultural fields in the four years after the 2011 Tohoku tsunami. Bacterial communities were compared across four different types of soil—unflooded field (UF) soil, soil flooded for a short term (ST), soil flooded for the long term (LT), soil flooded long term and cultivated fields (LTC), and marine environmental materials (bay sediment, sea sand and sea water), using a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and pyrosequencing of 16S ribosomal RNA genes. In the soil bacterial communities that were flooded by the 2011 Tohoku tsunami, these effects were not seen after 2013. Although the difference in bacterial communities between LT and UF became smaller during the four years, the bacterial communities in LT were different from those in UF in several ways, such as a higher tendency frequency of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (SOB) and the presence of halotolerant SOB. Therefore, it is thought that the Tohoku tsunami affected the microbial communities in the soil for more than four years. Especially genus Halothiobacillus, which is Halotolerant SOB in flooded soils, was detected neither in unflooded soil nor in the marine environment. Therefore, it is thought that inundation by a tsunami produces a unique environment with bacterial communities to form in soil. Further, SOB structure, especially halotolerant, might serve as a good indicators of the impacts of inundation on bacterial communities in agricultural fields over the long term.

Keywords: seawater inundation; tsunami; microbial communities

# 1. Introduction

The 2011 Great East Japan Earthquake was one of the most powerful earthquakes ever recorded, and this event triggered a tsunami (2011 Tohoku tsunami), which had a serious impact on a large area [1,2]. Agriculture is one of the major industries in the area, and agricultural fields were seriously contaminated by seawater and marine sediment [3].

Seawater inundation by tsunami causes an increase in harmful substances (such as arsenic) and salinity in agricultural fields, which directly affects plant growth [4–6]. Inundation also greatly affects the soil microbial communities. In our previous study, this effect was evaluated using molecular



biological techniques [7]. A year after the Tohoku tsunami, the phyla Acidobacteria and Proteobacteria were dominant in unflooded and flooded soil, respectively.

In detail, chemoautotrophic sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (SOB) and nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB) in flooded soil tended to be present with high and low abundance, respectively. Furthermore, zeta-Proteobacteria, which had previously only been found in marine environments and halotolerant SOB (genus *Halothiobacillus*), were detected in severely flooded soil. Aoyagi et al. [8] reported that incubation of marine sediments deposited by the Great East Japan Earthquake in 2011 caused a decrease in nitrate nitrogen (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>–N), increase in sulfate sulfur (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2–</sup>-S), and a shift to an SOB-dominated community. Ihara et al. [9] also reported that SOB was predominant in marine sediment which was launched onto land by the Great East Japan Earthquake. In addition, Ogura et al. [10] reported on microbial communities, metabolic dynamics and activities in the biomass degradation process after the Tohoku disaster. Bacosa et al. [11] evaluated the polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon biodegradation potential and the diversity of microbial consortia in incubated sediment taken from tsunami-affected fields.

Thus, it was revealed that massive tsunami inundation affected soil microorganisms. However, the effects over several years of inundation on the soil microbial communities in agricultural fields have not been reported. We hypothesized that the microbial community would return to their original state in a few years, leaving no trace of the inundation.

Following our previous study, to investigate the transitions in soil microbial communities over the four years after tsunami inundation, we analyzed the 16S rRNA gene sequences of soil bacterial communities in flooded agricultural fields in the areas nearest to the epicenter of the earthquake. Data were compared among fields and years, and the transitions in bacterial communities were investigated.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Sample Collection

Soil samples were collected from agricultural fields (rice fields) located in Higashimatsushima City, Miyagi Prefecture, Japan, from 2013 to 2015 (Figure 1). The average air temperatures in the area during April of 2013, 2014 and 2015 were 9.0 °C, 9.3 °C and 10.6 °C, respectively. Soil samples were taken from unflooded field (UF; Field 1 (UF1)), fields flooded for two weeks (short term, ST; Field 2 (ST2)) and fields flooded for two months (long term, LT; Field 3 (LT3)), the same as in our previous study [7]. Sampling fields were continuously cultivated with the same rice variety (Japonica cv. Hitomebore) for more than five years up to 2010. UF was continued with this same cultivation after 2011. Cultivation in ST and LT were resumed after 2012 (before sampling in 2013) and 2016 (after the sampling in this study), respectively. To analyze the effect of cultivation on the fields, soil samples were taken from long-term flooded and cultivated fields (LTC; Field 4 (LTC4), 5 (LTC5)) in 2014 and 2015. Cultivation in LTC was resumed the year before sampling began. The soil type for all sampling points was Entisol. Until 2010, all fields had been continuously used as rice paddies (Japonica cv. Hitomebore) for at least five years. Fields were sampled prior to water supply and wet tillage. The characteristics of the fields are shown in Table 1. Soil samples were collected from three points in each field (triplicate). To avoid the collection of a dry part, up to 1 cm of surface soil in the field was removed, and portions from up to 3 cm depth were collected using a sterile spatula. Samples were taken avoiding stones and plant residues. Samples for chemical analysis and DNA extraction were stored at 4 °C and –30 °C, respectively. In addition, to compare with marine environmental bacteria, bay sediment (BYS), sea sand (SS) and seawater (SW) samples were taken in 2014 and 2015 (Figure 1). All samples were analyzed within a month.



**Figure 1.** Location of the sampling sites. Samples were taken from unflooded fields (UFs), fields flooded for two weeks (short term, ST), fields flooded for two months (long term, LT), long-term flooded + cultivated fields (LTC), bay sediment (BYS), sea sand (SS), and seawater (SW) in the areas nearest the epicenter.

Table 1. Degree of tsunami inundation and agricultural usage of sampling places.

	UF	ST	LT	LTC	
Distance from the coastline	6 km	5 km	1.5 km	1.5 km	
Inundation depth Unflooded		0.5 m	2 m	2 m	
Inundation period	Unflooded	2 weeks	2 months	2 months	
Farming in preceding year					
2012 <sup>1</sup>	Rice (paddy)	e (paddy) Left fallow		Left fallow	
2013	Rice (paddy) <sup>2</sup>	Rice (paddy)	Left fallow	Left fallow	
2014	Rice (paddy)	Rice (paddy)	Left fallow	Rice (paddy)	
2015	Rice (paddy)	Rice (paddy)	Left fallow <sup>3</sup>	Rice (paddy)	
Sampling date					
2013	Apr 12, 2013	Apr 12, 2013	Apr 12, 2013	-	
2014	Apr 11, 2014	Apr 11, 2014	Apr 11, 2014	Apr 12, 2014	
2015	Apr 10, 2015	Apr 10, 2015	Apr 10, 2015	Apr 10, 2015	
Investigated Fields	Field 1(UF1)	Field 2 (ST2)	Field 3 (LT3)	Field 4 (LTC4) Field 5 (LTC5)	
Analyzed samples					
2013	UF1-1, 2, 3	ST2-1, 2, 3	LT3-1, 2, 3	-	
2014	UF1-1, 2, 3	ST2-1, 2, 3	LT3-1, 2, 3	LTC4-1, 2, 3 LTC5-1, 2, 3	
2015	UF1-1, 2, 3	ST2-1, 2, 3	LT3-1, 2, 3	LTC4-1, 2, 3 LTC5-1, 2, 3	

<sup>1</sup> Details were given in Asano et al. [7] <sup>2</sup> Rice bran was supplied for soil amendment a month prior (approximately 0.15 kg/m<sup>2</sup>). <sup>3</sup> Soil dressing was performed six months prior.

## 2.2. Chemical Analysis

Samples were suspended in distilled water, and the supernatant was filtered through a 0.45  $\mu$ m membrane filter and then diluted. Nitrite nitrogen (NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>–N), NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>–N, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2–</sup>–S, phosphate phosphorus (PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3–</sup>–P), and chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>) concentrations in the solution were measured using an ion chromatograph (ICS-2100, Thermo Fisher Scientific K.K., Yokohama, Japan). The ammonium nitrogen (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>–N) concentration in the solution was determined by colorimetry using the indophenol blue method and an autoanalyzer (QuA Atro2-HR BLTEC, Osaka, Japan). The pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were measured using a portable pH meter (B-212, HORIBA, Kyoto, Japan) and an EC meter (B-173, Horiba), respectively.

The total inorganic nitrogen (TIN) was calculated from the summation of  $NH_4^+$ –N,  $NO_2^-$ –N, and  $NO_3^-$ –N. The dry matter weight was determined by drying samples at 105 °C.

#### 2.3. DNA Extraction

We performed DNA extraction via the same method as in our previous study [7]. The total DNA was extracted from 500 mg soil using a FastDNA Spin Kit for Soil (MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was dissolved in Milli-Q water (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and stored at -80 °C until polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification.

#### 2.4. PCR Amplification and Pyrosequencing

PCR amplification and pyrosequencing were also performed as in our previous study [7]. To analyze the bacterial communities, 16S rDNA was amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the specific primer pair 8F/518R [12,13]. A unique sequence tag for the identification of individual samples (454 sequencing adapters, key tags, and molecular identifier (MID) tags; Roche, Penzberg, Germany) was attached to the forward and reverse primers [14,15]. Hence, an example primer pair would have the following sequence: forward, 5'-CCATCTCATCCCTGCGTGTCTCCGAC-TCAG-ACGAGTGCGT-AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3' (adapter-Key-MID1-8F); reverse, 5'-CCTATCCCCTGTGTGCCTTGGCAGTC-TCAG-GTATTACCGCGG CTGCTGG-3' (adapter-Key-518R). The mixture for the amplification consisted of 1× Go Taq Green Master Mix (Promega), 0.25  $\mu$ M each primer and 0.2 ng/ $\mu$ L template DNA in a final volume of 100  $\mu$ L. The amplification conditions were as follows: 94 °C for 3 min; 30 cycles at 94 °C for 20 s, 58 °C for 45 s and 72 °C for 1 min; and a final extension at 72 °C for 8 min. Each PCR amplification was verified by electrophoresis on 1.2% agarose gels and visualized using the SYBR Safe DNA Gel staining reagent (Invitrogen) under The Safe Imager (Invitrogen). Specific bands were excised from the gels using cutter blades. PCR products were recovered from the excised gels using Quantum Prep Freeze'N Squeeze DNA Gel Extraction Spin Columns (Bio-Rad) and purified using the MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen). The DNA was quantified using Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA reagent and kits (Invitrogen). All PCR products were normalized to the same molecule concentration  $(1.0 \times 10^9/\mu L)$  and mixed in equal volumes. Mixed PCR products were diluted to an emulsion PCR (emPCR) working concentration of  $1 \times 10^{7}$  molecules/µL. Then, emPCR was performed using the GS Junior Titanium emPCR Kit (Lib-L; Roche) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Amplified DNA fragments were sequenced using a GS Junior Titanium Sequencing Kit (Roche) and the GS Junior Titanium PicoTiter Plate Kit (Roche) on a 454 GS Junior system (Roche) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

#### 2.5. Sequencing Data Analysis

Raw sequencing reads were derived with a MID tag, which differed depending on the sample, using the Initial Process in the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) Pyrosequencing Pipeline (http: //pyro.cme.msu.edu/). The primer sequences were removed from the sequencing reads. Reads of 150 bp or fewer, and those containing a sequence with a quality value of 10 or less, were removed. Sequences were trimmed to 350 bp fragments to obtain only high-quality sequences. Chimeric sequences were removed using the Fungene chimera check (http://fungene.cme.msu.edu/). Chimera-filtered sequences were classified phylogenetically using the RDP Classifier with a cut-off value of 0.8. Sequences classified as class chloroplast were removed. Sequences classified as chemoautotrophic SOB, or NOB were compared with sequences registered in the database of the DNA Data Bank of Japan (DDBJ) using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) (http://blast.ddbj.nig.ac.jp/top-j.html) to determine the most similar sequences. The sequences which exhibited more than 97% similarity to 16S rDNA sequences classified as SOB, or NOB were considered.

After the excluding chloroplast sequences, the remaining reads were classified into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) of sequences with over 97% similarity to one another using Qiime (http://qiime.org/index.html). Rarefaction analysis was performed using the RDP Rarefaction tool based

on the number of sequences and OTUs for each sample. Diversity indices, such as Chao1 and the abundance-based coverage estimate (ACE), were also calculated using EstimateS (http://viceroy.eeb. uconn.edu/estimates/) [16].

#### 2.6. Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted using R software (www.r-project.org). Means of chemical parameters and diversity indices were compared among fields using Tukey–Kramer tests. A 5% probability level was used to indicate statistical significance for all analysis. To determine differences in bacterial community structure among the samples, OTUs were examined by principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) using R software.

## 3. Results

# 3.1. Chemical Characteristics

The chemical characteristics of the soil samples are outlined in Table 2. The results from the ANOVA indicated that all chemical characteristics varied across fields and sampling years. In 2013,  $NH_4^+-N$ ,  $Cl^-$ ,  $SO_4^{2-}-S$ , and EC in the LT fields were significantly higher than those in UFs (p < 0.05), while the pH in LT fields was significantly lower than that in UFs.  $PO_4^{3-}-P$  in ST fields was significantly higher than that in UFs and LT fields. In 2014, the TIN in UFs significantly increased from the value in 2013, and was significantly higher than that in the ST and LT fields. In 2015, significant differences were not seen in all chemical characteristics.

TIN		NH4 <sup>+</sup> -NNO2 <sup>-</sup> -N		NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N	Cl-	SO4 <sup>2-</sup> -SPO4 <sup>3-</sup> -P		EC	pН
			(mg/kg d.w. <sup>1</sup> )						
	2013								
UF1	14.0 <sup>b</sup>	1.7 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	12.3 <sup>a,b,c</sup>	17 <sup>b</sup>	25 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	7 <sup>b</sup>	6.17 <sup>a</sup>
ST2	19.3 <sup>a,b</sup>	5.4 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	14.0 <sup>a,b</sup>	35 <sup>b</sup>	15 <sup>b</sup>	3.35 <sup>a</sup>	7 <sup>b</sup>	5.67 <sup>a,b,c</sup>
LT3	27.9 <sup>a,b</sup>	25.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.5 <sup>b,c</sup>	2342 <sup>a</sup>	2368 <sup>a</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	209 <sup>a</sup>	4.00 <sup>e</sup>
	2014								
UF1	46.3 <sup>a</sup>	25.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.13 <sup>a</sup>	20.8 <sup>a</sup>	12 <sup>b</sup>	7 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	4 <sup>b</sup>	5.02 <sup>c,d</sup>
ST2	5.4 <sup>b</sup>	0.7 <sup>b</sup>	0.04 <sup>a,b</sup>	4.7 <sup>b,c</sup>	8 <sup>b</sup>	11 <sup>b</sup>	0.04 <sup>b</sup>	3 <sup>b</sup>	5.56 <sup>a,b,c</sup>
LT3	5.3 <sup>b</sup>	3.6 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.7 <sup>b,c</sup>	43 <sup>b</sup>	228 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	24 <sup>b</sup>	4.36 <sup>d,e</sup>
LTC4	5.7 <sup>b</sup>	0.7 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	5.0 <sup>b,c</sup>	141 <sup>b</sup>	75 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	13 <sup>b</sup>	5.37 <sup>a,b,c</sup>
LTC5	6.6 <sup>b</sup>	0.5 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	6.1 <sup>b,c</sup>	73 <sup>b</sup>	53 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	9 <sup>b</sup>	5.73 <sup>a,b,c</sup>
	2015								
UF1	8.5 <sup>b</sup>	3.0 <sup>b</sup>	0.01 <sup>b</sup>	5.6 <sup>b,c</sup>	7 <sup>b</sup>	8 <sup>b</sup>	0.06 <sup>b</sup>	3 <sup>b</sup>	5.40 <sup>a,b,c</sup>
ST2	5.6 <sup>b</sup>	2.8 <sup>b</sup>	0.05 <sup>a,b</sup>	2.8 <sup>b,c</sup>	8 <sup>b</sup>	4 <sup>b</sup>	0.19 <sup>b</sup>	2 <sup>b</sup>	5.89 <sup>a,b</sup>
LT3	8.8 <sup>b</sup>	3.3 <sup>b</sup>	0.01 <sup>b</sup>	5.6 <sup>b,c</sup>	235 <sup>b</sup>	95 <sup>b</sup>	0.02 <sup>b</sup>	24 <sup>b</sup>	5.59 <sup>a,b,c</sup>
LTC4	5.1 <sup>b</sup>	4.4 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	0.7 <sup>c</sup>	65 <sup>b</sup>	56 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	10 <sup>b</sup>	5.15 <sup>b,c,d</sup>
LTC5	13.5 <sup>b</sup>	9.9 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	3.7 <sup>b,c</sup>	48 <sup>b</sup>	70 <sup>b</sup>	0.00 <sup>b</sup>	12 <sup>b</sup>	5.46 <sup>a,b,c</sup> □
SV <sup>2</sup>	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

Table 2. Chemical characteristics of the soil samples.

<sup>1</sup> d.w.; dry weight. <sup>2</sup> SV; source of variation (ANOVA). \*\* p < 0.01. <sup>a–e</sup> Different superscripts indicate significant differences (p < 0.05, Tukey–Kramer test).

# 3.2. Bacterial Community Structure

Pyrosequencing provided 3182–19,437 rDNA sequences and 192–4868 OTUs from the soil samples (Table 3). Significant differences among the fields in same year were not seen in diversity indices. Diversity indices in UFs were decreased in 2014; these indices were high in STs throughout the investigation period and tended to be low in LTs in 2013. Rarefaction curves indicated that some soil samples not reached saturation (Supplementary Figure S1).

	Number of	Number of	Diversity Index		Number of		Number of	Diversity Index	
	Sequences	OTUs	ACE	Chao1		Sequences	OTUs	ACE	Chao1
2013					2014	(continued)			
UF1					LTC5				
1	6703	2130	4469	4207	1	9959	2536	5180	4996
2	7289	2052	4090	3951	2	19,437	4868	9367	9117
3	7062	1900	3811	3651	3	6778	192	288	313
mean			4123 <sup>a,b</sup>	3936 <sup>a,b</sup>	mean			4945 <sup>a,b</sup>	4809 <sup>a,b</sup>
ST2					2015				
1	11,686	3075	6036	5832	UF1				
2	6896	2050	4005	3786	1	11,549	3274	6065	5611
3	7240	2186	4491	4163	2	10,497	2942	5733	5613
mean			4844 <sup>a,b</sup>	4593 <sup>a,b</sup>	3	13,013	3336	6185	6086
LT3					mean			5994 <sup>a</sup>	5770 <sup>a</sup>
1	5750	520	944	938	ST2				
2	4062	308	597	582	1	9832	2958	5661	5429
3	5448	440	758	753	2	10,995	2870	5456	5236
mean			766 <sup>b</sup>	758 <sup>b</sup>	3	12,999	3034	5445	5239
2014					mean			5521 <sup>a</sup>	5301 <sup>a</sup>
UF1					LT3				
1	6779	772	1395	1383	1	10,976	3001	6416	6055
2	5885	1043	2330	2286	2	11,235	3016	5744	5469
3	7999	2381	4989	4918	3	10,331	2642	5403	5301
mean			2905 <sup>a,b</sup>	2862 <sup>a,b</sup>	mean			5854 <sup>a</sup>	5608 <sup>a</sup>
ST2					LTC4				
1	6852	2046	4256	4281	1	10,827	2844	5416	5208
2	7852	2443	5204	4913	2	7502	2289	4545	4476
3	8300	2378	4779	4553	3	9565	2741	5078	4979
mean			4746 <sup>a,b</sup>	4582 <sup>a,b</sup>	mean			5013 <sup>a,b</sup>	4888 <sup>a,b</sup>
LT3					LTC5				
1	3990	1565	3525	3292	1	8683	2155	4106	3857
2	4145	671	1380	1373	2	6177	1683	3374	3178
3	4480	603	1589	1365	3	4131	1157	2391	2220
mean			2165 <sup>a,b</sup>	2010 <sup>a,b</sup>	mean			3290 <sup>a,b</sup>	3085 <sup>a,b</sup>
LTC4					SV <sup>1</sup>			**	**
1	5683	1917	4356	4283					
2	4924	1840	4414	4056					
3	3182	838	2132	2022					
mean			3634 <sup>a,b</sup>	3454 <sup>a,b</sup>					

**Table 3.** Number of sequences, number of operational taxonomic units (OTUs), and diversity indices for soil samples.

 $^1$  SV; source of variation (ANOVA). \*\* p < 0.01. Different superscripts indicate significant differences (p < 0.05, Tukey–Kramer test).

All sequences were classified phylogenetically. The relative abundances of the phyla in these samples are shown in Figure 2. In UF, Acidobacteria and Proteobacteria were predominant in 2013 and 2015, and the average relative abundances of these phyla were 24.7% and 28.9%, respectively. However, Acidobacteria levels decreased (8.4%), and Actinobacteria tended to be predominant (13.1%) in UF in 2014. Acidobacteria and Proteobacteria were predominant in ST during 2013–2015, and the relative abundances of these phyla were 25.6% and 29.3%, respectively. In LT, Actinobacteria and Proteobacteria were predominant in 2013, and the relative abundances of these phyla were 20.4% and 51.1%, respectively. Bacteroidetes (LT: 11.9%, LTC: 15.6%) and Proteobacteria (LT: 51.3%, LTC: 41.0%) were predominant in LT and LTC in 2014, while Acidobacteria (LT: 17.8%, LTC: 11.6%) and Proteobacteria (LT: 37.0%, LTC: 41.4%) were predominant in 2015.



**Figure 2.** Relative abundances of the phyla in the soil samples. Percentages add up to the percentage of phylum Proteobacteria in Figure 2.

With regard to the subgroups of Proteobacteria—one of the predominant phyla—the alpha, beta, and delta subgroups were predominant in UF and ST during the investigation period (Figure 3). The gamma subgroup tended to be higher in LT compared to UF and ST. The gamma and epsilon subgroups tended to be higher in LTC in 2014 and 2015, respectively. The zeta subgroup was detected only in LT in 2013 (0.08%).



Figure 3. Relative abundances of the Proteobacteria subgroups in the soil samples.

The bacterial community structure was further analyzed via PCoA of the OTUs (Figure 4). Principal Component (PC)1 and PC2 of the PCoA represented 58.6% and 11.6% of the variation, respectively. Data for the same fields (UF, ST and LT) in 2012 [7] and data under equivalent conditions for the two field types UF and ST in 2013 were added to the analysis in order to compare with the previous study year and to compare UFs and STs in detail for 2013, respectively. For the entire investigation period, the PC1 and PC2 scores of the UFs were almost the same except for some point of 2014 (Figure 4a,f). In 2012, the scores for LT and ST were plotted far from those for UFs (Figure 4b). In 2013, the scores of ST fields were plotted near those of UFs, and a similar result was also obtained from the analysis of an increased number of samples (Figure 4g). However, the scores of the LT fields in 2013 were plotted at a position further away from the UFs than in 2012. In 2014, the plotted scores of the UFs showed variation (Figure 4f). The LT scores were plotted away from the UF scores, but the distances were closer than those in 2013. The plotted LTC scores also showed variation. In 2015, the LT and LTC scores were plotted near those for the UFs and STs (Figure 4e).



Figure 4. Cont.



**Figure 4.** Principal coordinate analysis (PCA) (incremental Euclidean distance) of the OTUs: (**a**): all soil samples, (**b**): soil samples in 2012 (referred to Asano et al. [7]), (**c**): soil samples in 2013, (**d**): soil samples in 2014, (**e**): soil samples in 2015, (**f**): sample in UF, (**g**): sample in ST, (**h**): sample in LT, (**i**): sample in LTC. b (2012), c (2013), e (2015) f (UF), g(ST), and h (LT) show the area enclosed by the dotted line in a (all soil samples).

In our previous study, chemoautotrophic SOB in flooded soil tended to occur with high abundance [7]. To analyze the SOB structure in detail, 2434 sequences classified as SOB were compared to registered sequences in the database using the Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST).

The average relative abundances of SOB in UF and ST were 0.08% and 0.10%, respectively (Figure 5), and the relative abundances in these fields were under 0.2% during the investigated period. Members of genus *Thiobacillus*, such as *Thiobacillus denitrificans* ME16 (GenBank Accession Number EU546130) and *Thiobacillus thioparus* (AF005628), were the predominant SOB in these fields.



Figure 5. Relative abundance of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (SOB) in the soil samples.

In LT, a large number of rRNA sequences in the flooded soil exhibited membership of the genus *Halothiobacillus*, including halotolerant SOB, such as *Halothiobacillus neapolitanus* NTV01 (KJ027464) and *Halothiobacillus* sp. NP37 (EU196318). The average relative abundances of SOB and the genus *Halothiobacillus* in LT were 24.3% and 2.42%, respectively, in 2013. The relative abundances of SOB in LT were 0.82% and 1.12% in 2014 and 2015, respectively, and genus *Halothiobacillus* was detected during the entire investigated period. The average relative abundances of SOB in LTC were 0.52% and 0.49% in 2014 and 2015, respectively.

In our previous study, the chemoautotrophic SOB in flooded soil tended to occur with high abundances [6]. To analyze the SOB structure in detail, 2434 sequences classified as SOB were compared to registered sequences in the database using BLAST.

The average Relative abundances of SOB in UF and ST were average of 0.07% and 0.09%, respectively (Figure 5), and the relative abundances in these fields were under 0.2% during the investigation period. Members of genus *Thiobacillus*, such as *Thiobacillus denitrificans* ME16 (GenBank Accession Number EU546130) and *Thiobacillus thioparus* (AF005628), were the predominant SOB in these fields.

In LT, a large number of rRNA sequences in the flooded soil exhibited membership of the genus *Halothiobacillus*, which including halotolerant SOB, such as *Halothiobacillus neapolitanus* NTV01 (KJ027464) and *Halothiobacillus* sp. NP37 (EU196318). The average relative abundances of SOB and the genus *Halothiobacillus* in LT were averages of 2.42% and 2.41%, respectively, at in 2013. The relative abundances of SOB in LT were 0.81% and 1.12% in 2014 and 2015, respectively, and the genus *Halothiobacillus* was detected in all the entire investigation period. The average relative abundances of SOB in LTC were an average of 0.5% and 0.48% in 2014 and 2015, respectively.

#### 3.4. Nitrite-Oxidizing Bacteria

In our previous study, NOB were also detected, and in flooded soil, they tended to occur with low abundance [7]. To analyze the NOB structure in detail, 419 sequences classified as NOB were compared to registered sequences in the database using BLAST.

The average relative abundances of NOB in UF and ST were 0.17% and 0.21%, respectively (Figure 6). In 2013, NOB were not detected in LT fields. The relative abundances of NOB in LT were 0.05% and 0.09% in 2014 and 2015, respectively. The average relative abundances of NOB in LTC were 0.07% and 0.05% in 2014 and 2015, respectively. Members of the genus *Nitrospira*, such as *Nitrospira japonica* (AB818959) and *Nitrospira* sp. GC86 (Y14644), were the predominant NOB in all fields.



Figure 6. Relative abundance of the nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (NOB) in the soil samples.

#### 3.5. Bacterial Communities in Marine Materials

To compare with the bacterial communities in inundated soil, marine environment bacterial communities were also analyzed. The phyla Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes were predominant in all marine samples (Figure 7). With regards to the subgroups of Proteobacteria, the delta, gamma, and alpha subgroups were consistently predominant in BYS, SS and SW, respectively. The zeta subgroup was consistently detected in BYS (0.5% and 0.9% in 2014 and 2015, respectively). SOB such as those in the genera *Thiobacillus*, *Sulfurimonas* and *Sulfuricurvum* were consistently detected in BYS. However,

the relative abundances of SOB were below 0.4%. NOB such as genera *Nitrospira* was also consistently detected in BYS and SW.



**Figure 7.** Relative abundance levels in the marine samples: (**a**): phyla, (**b**): Proteobacteria subgroups, (**c**): SOB, (**d**): NOB.

# 4. Discussion

Large areas of agricultural fields in Eastern Japan were seriously damaged by the 2011 Tohoku tsunami. In Miyagi Prefecture in particular, 12% of the agricultural land suffered from tsunami encroachment [3]. In our previous study, the effects of the 2011 Tohoku tsunami on soil bacterial communities in agricultural fields were shown to have potentially lasted at least one year. To investigate transitions in the soil microbial community over the four years after tsunami inundation, we analyzed soil bacterial communities in the same fields as in our previous study during the 2–4 years after the tsunami. Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain soil samples from each field prior to the Tohoku tsunami. Therefore, soil samples from UF fields which (i) used water from the same irrigation system as tsunami-flooded fields, (ii) continuously cultivated the same rice variety (*Japonica* cv. Hitomebore) as the flooded fields for more than five years up to 2010, (iii) continued this same cultivation after 2011, and (iv) were close to the investigated flooded fields were analyzed.

Some chemical characteristics in 2013 (such as  $Cl^-$  and  $SO_4^{2-}-S$ ) in LT significantly differed from those in UF soil, and  $PO_4^{3-}-P$  in ST was significantly higher than that in other fields. However,

significant differences in chemical characteristics between the fields were not found for 2015. In our previous study, we found that  $Cl^-$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$ –S levels in flooded fields tended to be higher than those in UFs in 2012 [7]. In 2013, a similar tendency was observed in LT, but significant differences between UF and ST in terms of chemical characteristics were not. It is thought that the chemical characteristics of the ST fields were similar to those of UF in the one year of 2012–2013. LTC was cultivated from a year before sampling began and tilled with straw. Abdel-Fattah [17] reported that the amending of straw compost decreased EC in leaching experiment for reclamation of clay saline–sodic soils. However, no significant difference in EC between the LT and LTC fields was seen in this study. In addition, the TIN in UFs was temporarily increased in 2014. It is thought that this increase was caused by the supply of rice bran for soil amendment.

The number of sequences in each sample varies (up to 6 times). Because rarefaction curves indicated that some soil samples not reached saturation, these number in this study might not enough to detect minor species of bacteria. Therefore, we took triplicate sampling in each field in this study. Significant differences among the fields in same year were not seen in diversity indices (Table 3).

In general, the dominant phyla in the soil bacterial communities were Proteobacteria, Acidobacteria, Actinobacteria, Verrucomicrobia, Bacteroidetes, Chloroflexi, Planctomycetes, Gemmatimonadetes and Firmicutes [18]. In our previous study, the dominant phyla in UFs in 2012 were Proteobacteria and Acidobacteria [7]. In this study, the UF bacterial communities in 2013 and 2015 were similar to those in our previous research. Therefore, it is thought that these bacterial communities were common before the planting of rice fields in this area. However, the bacterial communities in UFs in 2014 differed from those in the UFs in 2013 and 2015. The supply of organic matter to the soil causes a change in the microbial community. For example, Briceño et al. [19] reported that supply of cattle manure to the soil causes a dominance of Bacteroidetes and Acinetobacter. Therefore, it is thought that the supply of rice bran caused a change in the microbial community for short turn (within a year).

In our previous study, bacterial communities in flooded soil in 2012 tended to have high levels of SOB and low levels of NOB [7]. Furthermore, zeta-Proteobacteria and halotolerant SOB (genus *Halothibacillus*) were detected in severely flooded fields (LT). In this study, the bacterial communities in ST did not have these features after 2013, and the PCoA scores of ST fields were plotted near those of UFs. Therefore, it is thought that effects of the tsunami on bacterial communities in ST soil ceased after 2013. Concerning the bacterial communities in LT fields, zeta-Proteobacteria were detected until 2013, NOB abundance tended low until 2014, SOB abundance tended high until 2015, and halotolerant SOB were detected until 2015. Therefore, massive tsunami inundation still affected the bacterial communities in flooded soil after four years. In addition, no clear difference in microbial community structure between the LT and LTC fields was seen in 2014 or 2015. In other words, the promotion of recovery for bacterial communities by irrigation and planting was not seen in this study.

To compare with bacterial communities in inundated soil, marine environment bacterial communities were also analyzed in 2014 and 2015. As result, the zeta subgroup was detected in BYS. These sequences were classified into the OTU, which was detected in LT fields at 2012 and 2013 [6]. Therefore, the Proteobacteria zeta subgroup in LT is considered to have been supplied from marine sediment including BYS point by the tsunami, and to have remained for more than two years. This result supports the hypothesis that marine environment bacteria invaded and remained in inundated fields.

Interestingly, the predominant SOB in marine environments were of the genera *Thiobacillus*, *Sulfurimonas*, *Thiomicrospira*, and *Sulfuricurvum*. Genus *Halothiobacillus* was not detected. Aoyagi et al. [8] reported that SOB, especially genus *Sulfurimonas*, were predominant in incubated marine sediments deposited by the Great East Japan Earthquake in 2011. Ihara et al. [9] also reported that SOB, especially genus *Sulfurimonas*, were predominant in the uppermost launched marine sediment in 2011, and these significantly decreased in 2012 and 2013.

The distance between their sampling site and BYS was approximately 1 km, so sediments in BYS might have been supplied to this site by the tsunami. In this study, *Sulfurimonas* was most predominant

SOB genus in BYS in 2015 (Figure 7). Therefore, these SOB might also invade and survive in fields inundated with sediments. However, genus Sulfurimonas was not detected in LT fields in our previous or current study, although the LT fields were sited near BYS like the sampling sites of Aoyagi et al. and Ihara et al. [8,9]. In the study by Aoyagi et al. [8], soil samples were taken 6 months after the tsunami (September 2011), whereas the first samples in our study were taken after 13 months (April 2012). During both studies, the predominant SOB might change due to such factors as the rise in the salt concentration caused by drying of the soil. Although genus Sulfurimonas is isolated from marine environments [20,21], the genus Halothiobacillus can grow under salt concentrations higher than those found in seawater (>0.86 M NaCl) [22]. Therefore, the supply of marine material by the Tohoku tsunami caused a rapid increase of SOB followed by a slow decrease of them. The predominant SOB were of the genus Sulfurimonas immediately after the tsunami; following that, genus Halothiobacillus was predominant in agricultural fields. The difference from Ihara et al. [9] might be caused from the land use conditions; their sampling site seems from geographic information to be a nonagricultural field. Ogura et al. [10] reported that the metabolic dynamics and activities in the biomass degradation process differed considerably between soils from agricultural and abandoned fields in the Tohoku disaster area.

NOB were also detected in the marine environment. Sequences belonging to the same OTU (classified as *Nitrospira*) were detected in UFs and BYS. Nevertheless, NOB tended to occur at low abundances in flooded soil in our previous and current study.

In addition, Bacosa et al. [11] reported that the abundances of genera *Sphingomonas*, *Sphingobium*, *Dokdonella*, etc., were increased in incubated sediment taken from tsunami-affected fields. In this study, genus *Sphingomonas* was more abundant in 2013–2014 (data not shown).

From the above results, we conclude that inundation by a tsunami produces a unique environment with bacterial communities to form in soil. Some bacteria which invaded by way of the tsunami might have remained for more than two years, such as zeta-Proteobactera, whereas other bacteria which have adapted to this environment might also predominate in flooded soil, such as genus *Halothiobacillus*.

#### 5. Conclusions

In soil bacterial communities that were flooded by the 2011 Tohoku tsunami, effects were seen in 2012, but these effects in ST fields were not seen after 2013. Although the difference in bacterial communities between LT and UF fields became smaller, halotolerant SOB were detected in LT fields up until 2015. Therefore, it is thought that the Tohoku tsunami affected the microbial communities in the near-coastal farmland for more than four years. Some predominant bacteria in flooded soils (such as genus *Halothiobacillus*) were detected in neither unflooded soil nor the marine environment. Therefore, it is thought that inundation by a tsunami produces a unique environment with bacterial communities to form in soil. In addition, the SOB structure was affected by the tsunami longer than the chemical characteristics were and might serve as a good indicator of the effects of seawater inundation on soil bacterial communities in agricultural fields over a long period.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2077-1312/8/2/76/s1, Figure S1: Rarefaction curves for the different soil samples calculated from the number of OTUs and sequence for each sample. An OTU consisted of sequences with more than 97% similarity. (a): soil samples in 2013, (b): soil samples in 2014, (c): soil samples in 2015.

**Author Contributions:** Methodology, R.A., J.F., Y.N. and T.I.; formal analysis, R.A., A.H., Y.S. and M.A.; investigation, R.A.; writing—original draft preparation, R.A.; writing—review and editing J.F., Y.N. and T.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: We thank the Watanabe, Miura Asano, and Honda farms in Higashimatsushima for their kind donations of soil samples. We wish to thank the Biotechnology Center, Faculty of Bioresource Sciences, Akita Prefectural University, for the use of their GS Jr. pyrosequencing instrument. This work was supported in part by the Science and Technology Integration Center Project at Akita Prefectural University (Asano R. and Fukushima J.).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- Maeda, T.; Furumura, T.; Sakai, S.; Shinohara, M. Significant tsunami observed at ocean-bottom pressure gauges during 2011 off the Pacific coast of Tohoku Earthquake. *Earth Planets Space* 2011, 63, 803–808. [CrossRef]
- 2. Mimura, N.; Yasuhara, K.; Kawagoe, S.; Yokoki, H.; Kazama, S. Damage from the great east Japan earthquake and tsunami—a quick report. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang.* **2011**, *16*, 803–818. [CrossRef]
- Guo, H.D.; Chen, Y.; Fen, Q.; Lin, Q.Z.; Wang, F. Assessment of damage to buildings and farms during the 2011 M 9.0 earthquake and tsunami in Japan from remote sensing data. *Chin. Sci. Bull.* 2011, 56, 2138–2144. [CrossRef]
- Tsuchiya, N.; Inoue, C.; Yamada, R.; Yamasaki, S.; Hirono, N.; Okamoto, A.; Ogawa, Y.; Watanabe, T.; Watanabe, N.F.; Watanabe, N. Risk assessments of Arsenic in tsunami sediments from Iwate, Miyagi and Fukushima Prefectures, Northeast Japan, by the 2011 off the Pacific coast of Tohoku Earthquake. *J. Geol. Soc. Jpn.* 2012, *118*, 419–430. [CrossRef]
- 5. Kume, T.; Umetsu, C.; Palanisami, K. Impact of the December 2004 tsunami on soil, groundwater and vegetation in the Nagapattinam district, India. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2009**, *90*, 3147–3154. [CrossRef]
- McLeod, M.K.; Slavich, P.G.; Irhas, Y.; Moore, N.; Rachman, A.; Ali, N.; Iskandar, T.; Hunta, C.; Caniago, C. Soil salinity in Aceh after the December 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami. *Agric. Water Manag.* 2010, *97*, 605–613. [CrossRef]
- Asano, R.; Nakai, Y.; Kawada, W.; Shimura, Y.; Inamoto, T.; Fukushima, J. Seawater inundation from the 2011 Tohoku tsunami continues to strongly affect soil bacterial communities one year later. *Microb. Ecol.* 2013, 66, 639–646. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Aoyagi, T.; Kimura, M.; Yamada, N.; Navarro, R.R.; Itoh, H.; Ogata, A.; Sakoda, A.; Katayama, Y.; Takasaki, M.; Hori, T. Dynamic transition of chemolithotrophic sulfur-oxidizing bacteria in response to amendment with nitrate in deposited marine sediments. *Front. Microbiol.* **2015**, *6*, 426. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ihara, H.; Hori, T.; Aoyagi, T.; Takasaki, M.; Katayama, Y. Sulfur-Oxidizing Bacteria Mediate Microbial Community Succession and Element Cycling in Launched Marine Sediment. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, *8*, 152. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Ogura, T.; Date, Y.; Tsuboi, Y.; Kikuchi, J. Metabolic dynamics analysis by massive data integration: application to tsunami-affected field soils in Japan. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2015**, *10*, 1908–1915. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Bacosa, H.P.; Inoue, C. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) biodegradation potential and diversity of microbial consortia enriched from tsunami sediments in Miyagi, Japan. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 2015, 283, 689–697. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Baker, G.C.; Smith, J.J.; Cowan, D.A. Review and re-analysis of domain-specific 16S primers. *J. Microbiol. Methods* **2003**, *55*, 541–555. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Horz, H.P.; Vianna, M.E.; Gomes, B.P.; Conrads, G. Evaluation of universal probes and primer sets for assessing total bacterial load in clinical samples: general implications and practical use in endodontic antimicrobial therapy. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2005**, *43*, 5332–5337. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Binladen, J.; Gilbert, M.T.P.; Bollback, J.P.; Panitz, F.; Bendixen, C.; Nielsen, R.; Willerslev, E. The use of coded PCR primers enables high-throughput sequencing of multiple homolog amplification products by 454 parallel sequencing. *PLoS ONE* **2007**, *2*, e197. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Wegner, K.M.; Shama, L.N.S.; Kellnreitner, F.; Pockberger, M. Diversity of immune genes and associated gill microbes of European place Pleuronectes platessa, Estuar. *Coast. Shelf Sci.* **2012**, *108*, 87–96. [CrossRef]
- 16. Chao, A.; Bunge, J. Estimating the number of species in a stochastic abundance model. *Biometrics* **2002**, *58*, 531–539. [CrossRef]
- 17. Abdel-Fattah, M.K. Role of gypsum and compost in reclaiming saline-sodic soils. *ISOR-JAVS* **2012**, *1*, 30–38. [CrossRef]
- 18. Janssen, P.H. Identifying the dominant soil bacterial taxa in libraries of 16S rRNA and 16S rRNA genes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2006**, *72*, 1719–1728. [CrossRef]
- Briceño, C.; Jorquera, M.A.; Demanet, R.; Mora, M.L.; Durán, N.; Palma, G. Effect of cow slurry amendment on atrazine dissipation and bacterial community structure in an agricultural Andisol. *Sci. Total Environ. Sci.* 2010, 408, 2833–2839. [CrossRef]

- Inagaki, F.; Takai, K.; Kobayashi, H.; Nealson, K.H.; Horikoshi, K. Sulfurimonas autotrophica gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel sulfur-oxidizing epsilon-proteobacterium isolated from hydrothermal sediments in the Mid-Okinawa Trough. Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 2003, 53, 1801–1805. [CrossRef]
- Labrenz, M.; Grote, J.; Mammitzsch, K.; Boschker, H.T.; Laue, M.; Jost, G.; Glaubitz, S.; Jürgens, K. Sulfurimonas gotlandica sp. nov., a chemoautotrophic and psychrotolerant epsilonproteobacterium isolated from a pelagic redoxcline, and an emended description of the genus *Sulfurimonas*. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 2013, 63, 4141–4148. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Kelly, D.P.; Wood, A.P. Genus *Halothiobacillus*. In *Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology*, 2nd ed.; Garrity, G.M., Ed.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2005; Volume 2, pp. 58–59.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).