

Table S1. TL₅₀ and TL₉₉ values (days) and associated parameters for adults of *Callosobruchus maculatus* fumigated with EO of peel's *Citrus aurantium*.

Gender	Concentration ($\mu\text{L/L}$ air)	Probit parameters				
		LT* ₅₀ (95% CL)	LT ₉₉ (95% CL)	χ^2	df	p
Females (N=300)	0	8.36 (8.10-8.62)	12.70 (12.12-13.44)	12.91	11	0.299
	25	4.26 (3.83 - 4.69)	8.32 (7.45 - 9.66)	13.27	7	0.066
	50	3.05 (2.30 - 3.75)	7.53 (6.23 - 10.22)	23.74	6	0.001**
	100	1.63 (1.10 - 2.10)	4.10 (3.32 - 5.83)	10.27	4	0.036**
	200	0.77 (0.62 - 0.91)	1.74 (1.50 - 2.16)	0.003	1	0.96
Males (N=300)	0	7.77 (7.50 - 8.05)	12.26 (11.64 - 13.06)	13.68	10	0.188
	25	2.55 (2.04 - 3.02)	6.57 (5.66 - 8.10)	12.73	6	0.047**
	50	1.55 (1.37 - 1.73)	3.54 (3.18 - 4.04)	4.65	3	0.2
	100	0.74 (0.60 - 0.87)	1.67 (1.44 - 2.06)	0.00	1	0.952
	200	0.51 (0.21 - 1.06)	0.85 (0.45 - 2.19)	0.05	1	0.831
Phosphine (♀♀ or ♂♂)		0.51 (-0.21 - 1.06)	0.85 (0.45 - 2.19)	0.05	1	0.831

*: LT= Lethal time; **: When $p > 0.05$, the results fit well to the "Probit" analysis model, while when $p \leq 0.05$, a heterogeneity factor was used in the calculation of confidence intervals.

Table S2. LC₅₀ and LC₉₉ with their 95% confidence intervals (CI) ($\mu\text{L} / \text{L}$ air) and χ^2 of the EO of *Citrus aurantium* peel used against adults of *Callosobruchus maculatus*.

Gender	Days	Slope \pm SE	Intercept \pm SE	LC* ₅₀ (95% CI)	LC ₉₉ (95% CI)	χ^2	df	p **
Females (N = 300)	1	0.01 \pm 0.001	-2.02 \pm 0.18	147.59 (105.57 - 236.76)	317.32 (231.14 - 650.63)	10.32	3	0.02
	2	0.03 \pm 0.003	-1.77 \pm 0.19	66.99 (59.48 - 75.83)	155.17 (135.81 - 184.63)	4.06	3	0.26
	3	0.03 \pm 0.003	-1.51 \pm 0.18	48.20 (41.94 - 55.08)	122.47 (107.15 - 145.53)	4.90	3	0.18
	4	0.03 \pm 0.004	-1.13 \pm 0.16	35.81 (29.64 - 42.25)	109.66 (94.20 - 133.94)	3.18	3	0.37
	5	0.04 \pm 0.01	-0.91 \pm 0.16	24.62 (18.88 - 30.11)	87.29 (73.94 - 109.25)	2.78	3	0.43
	6	0.06 \pm 0.01	-0.69 \pm 0.17	12.39 (3.82 - 23.46)	54.36 (37.89 - 114.94)	6.73	3	0.08
Males (N = 300)	1	0.01 \pm 0.01	-1.31 \pm 0.13	109.26 (62.42 - 206.10)	303.62 (206.52 - 793.05)	12.16	3	0.01
	2	0.03 \pm 0.003	-1.32 \pm 0.17	45.02 (24.62 - 70.30)	124.39 (89.83 - 253.77)	8.66	3	0.03
	3	0.05 \pm 0.01	-1.18 \pm 0.19	24.65 (9.60 - 38.23)	73.39 (53.25 - 149.52)	7.17	3	0.07
	4	0.07 \pm 0.01	-1.13 \pm 0.2	16.60 (12.71 - 20.32)	50.94 (43.86 - 61.87)	4.22	3	0.24
	5	0.09 \pm 0.01	-0.91 \pm 0.19	10.32 (6.99 - 13.60)	36.85 (30.81 - 46.53)	0.02	3	1.00

*: LC= Lethal concentration; **: When $p > 0.05$, the results fit well with the "Probit" analysis model; whereas when $P \leq 0.05$, a heterogeneity factor was used in the calculation of confidence intervals .

Table S3. Parameters of non-linear regression analyses of *Callosobruchus maculatus* adults F1 emerged daily from chickpea grains fumigated with *Citrus aurantium* peel EO (shown in Figure 6) according to the model $y = a \cdot \exp(-0.5 \cdot ((x - x_0)/b)^2)$ [a (Number) = Peak of the daily emergence of adults, b (Days) = Standard deviation of x_0 , x_0 (Days) = Location of peak emergence since the start of fumigation].

Gender	Concentration ($\mu\text{L/L}$ air)	Estimated parameters (\pm SE)			R ²	df _{Residual}	F	p
		a*	b	x_0				
Females	0	35.56 \pm 2.85	2.50 \pm 0.24	27.72 \pm 0.23	0.89	64	624.39	<0.0001
	25	11.81 \pm 1.47	1.86 \pm 0.27	27.45 \pm 0.27	0.82	52	210.74	<0.0001
	50	2.24 \pm 0.34	3.30 \pm 0.71	28.43 \pm 0.59	0.55	58	91.48	<0.0001
	100	0.65 \pm 0.12	3.16 \pm 1.13	27.75 \pm 0.97	0.52	40	16.2	<0.0001
Males	0	34.50 \pm 2.79	2.47 \pm 0.24	27.70 \pm 0.23	0.89	64	609.81	<0.0001
	25	11.42 \pm 1.31	1.88 \pm 0.25	27.28 \pm 0.25	0.85	52	226.52	<0.0001
	50	1.98 \pm 0.33	3.76 \pm 1.33	29.30 \pm 1.12	0.52	52	62.67	<0.0001

100	1.13 ± 0.10	2.84 ± 0.67	29.35 ± 0.76	0.90	40	30.4	<0.0001
-----	-------------	-------------	--------------	------	----	------	---------

*: For each sex, all the peaks compared 2 to 2 are statistically different at $p < 0.05$.