

**Table S1.** Leaf temperature ( $T_{leaf}$ , °C), net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate ( $A$ ,  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ), evapotranspiration rate ( $ET$ ,  $\text{mL m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ), and stomatal conductance ( $g_{sw}$ ,  $\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ) of regular irrigation and drought treatment plants. Values shown in table are mean±standard deviation.

| Physiological parameter | Regular irrigation | Drought treatment    |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
|                         | Day 0              |                      |
| $T_{leaf}^a$            | $30.19 \pm 1.44$   | $30.53 \pm 1.33$     |
| $A^b$                   | $14.49 \pm 5.07$   | $14.81 \pm 4.73$     |
| $ET^a$                  | $0.07 \pm 0.03$    | $0.07 \pm 0.03$      |
| $g_{sw}^a$              | $0.23 \pm 0.09$    | $0.23 \pm 0.11$      |
| Last day                |                    |                      |
| $T_{leaf}^a$            | $30.38 \pm 3.86$   | $31.50 \pm 4.38$ *** |
| $A^a$                   | $13.33 \pm 5.58$   | $3.51 \pm 3.16$ ***  |
| $ET^a$                  | $0.06 \pm 0.04$    | $0.01 \pm 0.01$ ***  |
| $g_{sw}^a$              | $0.17 \pm 0.11$    | $0.02 \pm 0.03$ ***  |

<sup>a</sup> Using the Mann-Whitney U test to detect whether there is a significant difference between two treatments. (Data do not follow a normal distribution.)

<sup>b</sup> Using the pooled-t test to detect whether there is a significant difference between two treatments.

\*\*\* denotes significant difference between two treatments ( $p<0.001$ ).

**Table S2.** The  $g_{sw}$  cutoff and the corresponding number of ordinary and low observations for the three control standards of validation data.

| Control standard | $g_{sw}$ cutoff ( $\text{mol H}_2\text{O m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) | Number of observations |
|------------------|---|------------------------|
| I                | 0.59  | Normal (0)             |
|                  |   | Low (1)                |
| II               | 0.34  | Normal (0)             |
|                  |   | Low (1)                |
| III              | 0.20  | Normal (0)             |
|                  |   | Low (1)                |

**Table S3.** The net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate of 'Rosada' under different PPFD. Values shown in table are mean±standard error.

| PPFD incident on the leaf ( $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ) | $A$ ( $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ ) |
|---|---|
| 1,200   | $21.45 \pm 0.73$  |
| 900   | $21.01 \pm 0.66$  |
| 600   | $19.54 \pm 0.51$  |
| 300   | $13.43 \pm 0.17$  |
| 200   | $9.46 \pm 0.07$   |
| 150   | $7.14 \pm 0.11$   |
| 100   | $4.47 \pm 0.14$   |
| 70  | $2.77 \pm 0.14$   |
| 30  | $0.35 \pm 0.09$   |
| 0   | $-1.72 \pm 0.05$  |

PPFD: photosynthetic photon flux density; A: net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate