Supplemental Material Sundholm et al. 2020, Early vascular ageing after childhood HSCT

n =62	Mean; Median; N	SD; Q1-Q3; %
Age at diagnosis [years]	8.1	3.0-12.0
Follow-up time [years]	17.5	14.1-23.0
Age at follow-up [years]	25.9	21.1- 30.1
Diagnosis		
Leukemia [n]	31	50 %
Acute Lymphocytic leukemia	26	42 %
Acute Myeloid leukemia	5	8 %
High-risk Neuroblastoma	18	29%
Severe Aplastic Anemia [n]	6	10 %
Other [n]	7	11 %
Treatment regime		
TBI [n]	46	74%
TBI dose [Gy]	10	10-12
Additional Gonadal Irradiation [n]	5	8%
Gonadal Irradiation Dose [Gy]	24	14-24
Additional CNS Irradiaton [n]	7	11%
CNS Irradiation dose [Gy]	16	6-26
Cyclophosphamides [n]	42	68%
CED [mg/m2]	5541	2000-23183
Anthracyclines [n]	41	66%
Cum.dose Anthracyclines [mg/m ²]	165	40-500
HSCT-donor type		
Autologous HSCT	19	31%
Allogenic HSCT	43	69%
HLA-identical sibling or other relative [n]	25	40%
HLA-identical, unrelated [n]	11	18%
Alternative donor [n]	6	10%
Graft vs Host Disease (GVHD)		
Acute GVHD [n]	33	53%
Acute GVHD (grade 3-4) [n]	10	16%

Supplemental Table 1. Clinical characteristics of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) survivors.

Chronic GVHD ever [n]	19	31%
Chronic GVHD ever (grade 2-3) [n]	6	10%
Chronic GVHD at follow-up [n]	10	16%
Chronic GVHD at follow up (grade 2-3) [n]	5	8%

Supplemental Table 1. Background data presenting HSCT survivor's primary diagnoses and treatments. CED

- Cyclophopshamide equivalent doses; CNS - Central nervous system; Cum.dose - Cumulative dose; GVHD

- Graft versus host disease; HLA - Human leukocyte antigen; HSCT - Hematopoietic stem cell

transplantation; TBI – Total body irradiation.

Dependent variable	n	R ²	Model p-value
Carotid intima-media thickness [µm]	104	0.597	<0.001
Independent variables	β	CI95%	p-value
Constant	334.9	241.7;428.0	
Age [years]	3.4	0.0;6.8	0.053
Disease [0 = Control, 1 = HSCT]	-71.0	-196.5;54.4	0.264
Age * Disease	5.5	0.9;10.1	0.020

Supplemental Table 2. ANCOVA-model assessing age-related increase of carotid intima-media thickness in HSCT survivors and controls.

Supplemental Table 2. ANCOVA-model assessing difference in age-related increase of carotid intima-media thickness in HSCT-survivors and controls. HSCT- Hematopoietic stem cell transplantation.

Supplemental Table 3. Comparison of plaque and intimal thickening stratified for radiation therapy exposure.

	HSCT	HSCT	HSCT	Control	
	Non-TBI	ТВІ	TBI+Boost		p-value
Plaques					
Any Plaques [y/n]	2/16	16/46***	-	2/44	0.001
Carotid Plaques [y/n]	1/16	13/39**	1/7	2/44	0.002
Femoral Plaques [y/n]	1/16	4/41	3/5**	0/44	0.001
Intimal thickening					
Any intimal thickening			-		
[y/n]	4/15*	14/43***		1/43	<0.001
Femoral [y/n]	1/15	6/38*	1/5	0/44	0.018

Supplemental Table 3. Prevalence of plaques and intimal thickening in HSCT stratified for radiation therapy exposure with subanalyses for patients exposed to local boost radiation therapy of gonads in femoral artery comparisons and the central nervous system for carotid artery comparisons. P-values represent results for Fisher-Freeman-Halton Exact-test, asterisks represent post hoc pair wise Fisher's exact tests with Bonferroni adjusted significance levels. * – differs significantly at p < 0.05-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level compared to controls; ***p – differs significantly at p < 0.001-level

	Non-	ТВІ	TBI			Adjusted for Age & BSA	
	n=1	6	n=46			TBI – non-TBI	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p-value	ΔMean	CI95%
Common carotid artery							
LD [mm]	5.59	0.73	5.32	0.47	0.093	-0.15	-0.40;0.11
IMT [mm]	0.47	0.14	0.50	0.10	0.339	0.03	-0.03;0.09
Radial artery							
LD [mm]	1.95	0.36	1.81	0.37	0.207	-0.07	-0.27;0.13
IMT [mm]	0.16	0.03	0.16	0.03	0.783	0.01	-0.01;0.02
AT [mm]	0.08	0.02	0.07	0.02	0.602	0.00	-0.01;0.01
Brachial artery							
LD [mm]	3.51	0.74	3.46	0.75	0.822	0.14	-0.17;0.44
IMT [mm]	0.17	0.04	0.19	0.05	0.275	0.02	0.00;0.04
AT [mm]	0.12	0.03	0.13	0.02	0.714	0.00	-0.01;0.01
Femoral artery							
LD [mm]	6.79	0.98	6.67	1.12	0.725	-0.02	-0.57;0.53
IMT [mm]	0.36	0.09	0.37	0.09	0.679	0.01	-0.03;0.06
AT [mm]	0.24	0.09	0.27	0.08	0.181	0.02	-0.03;0.07
Intimal thickening	Ν	%	Ν	%	p-value		
Any arteries [n]	4	27%	14	32%	0.756		
Femoral artery [n]	1	7%	7	16%	0.666		
Radial artery [n]	2	13%	7	16%	1.000		
Plaques	Ν	%	Ν	%	p-value		
Any plaques [n]	2	13%	16	36%	0.114		
Carotid Plaque [n]	1	7%	14	32%	0.088		
Femoral Plaque [n]	1	7%	7	16%	0.668		
Arterial stiffness	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p-value		
CBSI	4.8	1.3	5.8	1.7	0.056	1.21 ^b	0.33;2.10
CDC [%/10mmHg]	5.0	1.5	4.2	1.4	0.096	-0.97ª	-1.72;-0.21
Carotid-femoral PWV [m/s]	8.7	1.5	8.8	1.4	0.904	-0.11	1.45;1.24
Carotid-radial PWV [m/s]	8.4	1.0	9.7	2.0	0.109	1.18	-0.56;2.91

Supplemental Table 4. Comparison of vascular parameters between TBI-exposed and unexposed HSCT survivors.

Supplemental Table 4. Comparison of vascular parameters between TBI-exposed and unexposed HSCT survivors. PWV-data was available for HSCT cohort 1 only. AT – Adventitia thickness; BSA – Body-surface area; CBSI – Carotid β -stiffness index; CDC – Carotid distensibility coefficient; CI95% – 95% confidence interval; IMT – Intima-media thickness; LD – Lumen diameter; PWV – Pulse-wave velocity. ^a – significant at 0.05-level; ^b – significant at p-0.005 level.

	Autolo	Autologous Allogenic			Adjusted f	or Age & BSA	
	HSC	HSCT HSCT			Autologous – Allogenic		
	n=1	9	n=43				
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p-value	ΔMean	CI95%
Common carotid artery							
LD [mm]	5.01	0.32	5.52	0.59	0.004	-0.10	-0.36;0.16
IMT [mm]	0.47	0.01	0.51	0.12	0.280	0.01	-0.05;0.07
Radial artery							
LD [mm]	1.63	0.29	1.96	0.36	0.001	-0.18	-0.37;0.02
IMT [mm]	0.15	0.03	0.170	0.03	0.030	0.00	-0.02;0.01
AT [mm]	0.08	0.01	0.07	0.02	0.026	0.01ª	0.00;0.02
Brachial artery							
LD [mm]	2.80	0.49	3.78	0.63	<0.001	-0.57°	-0.84;-0.29
IMT [mm]	0.16	0.03	0.20	0.05	0.001	0.00	-0.02;0.02
AT [mm]	0.12	0.02	0.13	0.03	0.230	0.00	-0.02;0.01
Femoral artery							
LD [mm]	5.82	0.72	7.06	0.99	<0.001	-0.78 ^c	-1.32;-0.24
IMT [mm]	0.31	0.04	0.39	0.09	<0.001	-0.05ª	-0.09;-0.06
AT [mm]	0.25	0.09	0.27	0.08	0.570	-0.02	-0.07;0.03
Intimal thickening	Ν	%	Ν	%	p-value		
Any arteries [n]	5	26%	13	33%	0.756		
Femoral artery [n]	2	11%	6	15%	1.000		
Radial artery [n]	5	26%	4	10%	0.131		
Plaques	Ν	%	Ν	%	p-value		
Any plaques [n]	3	16%	15	36%	0.140		
Carotid Plaque [n]	3	16%	12	28%	0.356		
Femoral Plaque [n]	0	0%	8	23%	0.668		
Arterial stiffness	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	p-value		
CBSI	4.1	0.7	6.2	1.7	<0.001	-1.63ª	-2.52;-0.76
CDC [%/10mmHg]	5.6	1.4	3.9	1.2	<0.001	1.27 ^a	0.51;2.04

Supplemental Table 5. Comparison of vascular parameters between autologous HSCT and allogenic HSCT survivors.

Supplemental Table 5. Comparison of vascular parameters between autologous HSCT and allogenic HSCT survivors, measurements of pulse wave velocities were unavailable for patients with autologous HSCT. AT – Adventitia thickness; BSA – Body-surface area; CBSI – Carotid β -stiffness index; CDC – Carotid distensibility coefficient; Cl95% – 95% confidence interval; IMT – Intima-media thickness; LD – Lumen diameter; ^a – significant at 0.05-level; ^b – significant at p-0.005 level.

Supplemental Table 6. ANCOVA-models predicting measures of arterial stiffness among HSCT.

(A)

Dependent variable	n	R ²	Model p-value
Carotid β-Stiffness Index	60	0.275	<0.001
Independent variables	β	CI95%	p-value
Constant	1.73	-0.01;3.47	
Age [years]	0.09	0.04;0.15	0.004
LDL > 3.0mmol/l	0.82	0.02;1.63	0.044
ТВІ	1.13	0.24;2.01	0.014

(B)

Dependent variable	n	R ²	Model p-value
Carotid Distensbility Coefficient [%/10mmHg]	60	0.359	<0.001
Independent variables	β	CI95%	p-value
Constant	8.3	6.86;9.78	
Age [years]	-0.10	-0.14;0.06	<0.001
LDL > 3.0mmol/l	-0.91	-1.58;-0.24	0.009
ТВІ	-0.93	-1.67;-0.19	0.015

Supplemental Table 6. ANCOVA-models predicting (A) carotid artery β -stiffness index and (B) carotid artery distensibility coefficient. LDL – Low-density lipoprotein, TBI – Total body irradiation.



Supplemental figure 1. Scatter plots of (A) age and CIMT distribution in patients with and without VHRUdetected intimal thickening (B) and plaques in any arteries among HSCT patients. CIMT – Carotid artery intima-media thickness.