

Commentary

Effectiveness of Approaches to Increase Physical Activity Behavior to Prevent Chronic Disease in Adults: A Brief Commentary

Juliano Schwartz ^{1,2}, Ryan Rhodes ³, Shannon S. D. Bredin ¹, Paul Oh ⁴ and Darren E. R. Warburton ^{1,*}

- ¹ Physical Activity Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention Unit, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada; juliano@alumni.ubc.ca (J.S.); shannon.bredin@ubc.ca (S.S.D.B.)
- ² CAPES Foundation, Ministry of Education of Brazil, Caixa Postal 250, Brasília–DF 70040-020, Brazil
- ³ School of Exercise Science, Physical and Health Education, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC V8P 5C2, Canada; rhodes@uvic.ca
- ⁴ Cardiac Rehabilitation and Prevention Program, Toronto Rehabilitation Institute, University Health Network, Toronto, ON M4G 1R7, Canada; paul.oh@uhn.ca
- * Correspondence: darren.warburton@ubc.ca

Received: 31 January 2019; Accepted: 26 February 2019; Published: 1 March 2019



Abstract: Effective behavior change approaches are necessary to help individuals avoid or mitigate risk factors, engage in behavioral patterns that lead to better health, and consequently, prevent several chronic diseases. Physical inactivity is considered one of the most harmful risk factors for chronic medical conditions, and although different strategies are used to tackle this behavior, not all of them lead to the expected or desired results. This brief commentary examines recent approaches aimed at physical activity behavior change. We find that a combination of strategies focusing on streamlining the access to physical activity seems to be more effective than individual approaches, in order to increase physical activity engagement to prevent chronic diseases in adults.

Keywords: physical inactivity; behavior change; risk factors

1. Introduction

There has been a concerted effort in public health messaging about the health benefits of physical activity [1,2]. As a result, research suggests that there is an increased knowledge base about the importance of physical activity in relation to health promotion and chronic disease prevention across end-users and key stakeholders [3–5]. Despite this increased general awareness, levels of physical activity have not increased markedly globally, while sedentary behavior has progressively increased [1,6].

Physical inactivity is shown to be a preeminent risk factor in terms of morbidity and premature mortality, being responsible for over five million deaths each year around the world [7]. Additionally, data from 2013 showing the global economic burden of physical activity of more than INT \$67 billion (in terms of health care cost and losses in productivity) makes the pandemic of physical inactivity a critical contemporary issue [1,8]. As such, there has been an increase in physical activity policies at governing institutions, which meet the World Health Organization's goals of reducing physical inactivity levels, as well as the associated premature mortality from chronic diseases [9–11].

Different determinants may lead a person to be physically active or not [12]. Early studies focused on motivation, showing that some adults do not engage in regular physical activity owing to a relative lack of encouragement [13–15]. Later, attention was given to environmental factors (e.g., built environment), which showed that addressing factors related only to individuals might not be enough to change physical activity behavior [16–18]. Recently, literature has highlighted the importance of



affective judgments in physical activity participation, (i.e., how pleasure and enjoyment influence people's choices regarding becoming more active) [19,20]. This research has demonstrated great promise in the enhancement of physical activity behavior.

It is important to highlight the differences between sedentary behavior and physical inactivity. The terms "sedentary" and "physically inactive" are often used interchangeably and without the full appreciation for how these are distinct constructs, each carrying their own independent risks [2, 21]. Sedentary behavior is classically defined as time spent in behaviors in the sitting, lying down, or reclined position (such as sitting, driving a car, and watching television) that require low energy expenditure (i.e., ≤ 1.5 METs) [2,21]. Whereas, physical activity refers to all leisure and non-leisure time body movements leading to an increased energy expenditure from rest (excluding low-energy expenditure activities done while sitting, reclining, or lying down) [21,22]. Physical activity has four broad domains including occupational (work-related), domestic (house work, yard work, child care, chores), transportation (bicycling or walking), and leisure-time (discretionary or recreational time for physical activity, sports, exercise, and hobbies) [21]. Physical inactivity is often operationally defined as not meeting international recommendations (such as 150 min per week of moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity) [5]. However, recent authors have argued against the potential perils of this threshold-based messaging, and indicate that the health benefits could still be acquired for much lower volumes, intensities, and/or durations [2,23].

When considering sedentary behaviors, most people (with the exception of those confined to bed rest or dependent on others) will engage in some form of physical activity throughout the day [21,24]. It is also important to acknowledge that a physically active person can also be highly sedentary [25]. Although adults who usually spend too much time in sedentary behaviors (such as screen time and seated office work) are often not physically active, other individuals engage in some moderate-to-vigorous physical activity throughout the week, but spend long periods in sedentary activities in the rest of their time [26,27]. As such, a clear separation of sedentary and physical inactivity definitions is required.

The health risks of routinely engaging in sedentary behaviors have been increasingly examined. Links to an increased risk for medical conditions such as weight gain, diabetes, heart diseases, and cancer [28,29] and premature mortality are well established [30,31]. Public health messaging related to physical activity promotion has thus been modified to include more inclusive statements such as "Move More, and Sit Less" [2]. However, recent authors have argued that the increased attention to sedentary behaviors has taken away from some of the attention paid to the importance of regular physical activity participation [1]. It is clear that routine physical activity has its own benefits in reducing chronic disease risk, but importantly, it can also decrease the increased risks associated with sedentary behaviors [1,7], and possibly eliminates the elevated risk of mortality related to prolonged sitting times [7]. In fact, according to Ekelund [32], one hour of moderate physical activity a day is suggested to eliminate the increased risk of mortality imposed by eight hours of daily sitting time. This physical activity effect is particularly valuable when it is unavoidable to be sitting daily for extended periods [32].

Although the health benefits of physical activity are clear [5], not all approaches to increase physical activity participation lead to the expected results. Accordingly, the following section outlines various approaches used to increase physical activity participation.

2. Building on Behavior Change Approaches to Increase Physical Activity Participation

Different behavior change theories address how a behavior is adopted [33]. While theories explain the mechanisms to achieve change of behavior, they require specific techniques to grant change [12,34]. Dishman [35], and Rhodes and Nigg [36], highlight the fact that physical activity is a complex behavior, different from all others in the health area: e.g., it is an acquisition behavior, whereas, smoking and drug use are extinction habits; and it requires more time and dedication than behaviors such as oral care (flossing and tooth brushing). Yet, the theories and models applied in the physical activity field

were usually developed to be used in other areas, which is possibly linked to the fact that there is no consensus about the best strategies to change physical activity behavior [36,37].

Recently, different strategies have been receiving attention to increase physical activity levels in adulthood. These include, among others, the use of technology [38], less traditional physical activity modalities for public health purposes, such as martial arts and combat sports [39], and programs that incorporate different intensities [40]. There are also a number of recent publications that highlight behavioral techniques to promote physical activity [12,41].

2.1. Focus on Small Quantities of Physical Activity

International guidelines recommend a threshold of a minimum of 150 minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity per week to achieve numerous health benefits [5,42]. This message however may impose an avoidable and unnecessary barrier to healthy living for those who could benefit from simply becoming more active at lower levels, since research has shown that such a threshold actually does not seem to exist [2,43]. Even small quantities of physical activity are linked with reduced premature mortality as well as the primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and cancer, among various other chronic conditions [44,45]. In fact, Hupin et al. [46] revealed that the first 15 minutes of physical activity lead to the greatest benefits to the health of older adults. Furthermore, the clear message around the importance of simply becoming more physically active is of crucial importance for vulnerable groups, such as minorities, women, and those with low socio-economic status [44,47]. Regardless of the amount, the fact is that physical activity levels in the general population must increase, and this can be done not only at leisure time in a structured manner, but also during other moments and in less conventional ways throughout the day, such as during work and even when commuting [48].

2.2. Increasing Self-Regulation

Approaches involving self-management (e.g., learning approaches to engaging in positive health behaviors) promote better results in terms of behavior change than passive approaches (e.g., advice about benefits of exercise) [49]. In fact, short and long term physical activity behavior increases when self-regulatory techniques are implemented [50,51]. Among the techniques of self-regulation–a process focused on achievement and maintenance of one's goal–self-monitoring (record keeping about a specific behavior) is strongly suggested to increase physical activity levels [49,50,52]. An example of how self-monitoring can boost physical activity participation is through the use of wearable technology, such as pedometers and activity trackers that include accelerometry technology [53]. Indeed, the use of the pedometer was shown to develop autonomous motivation for engagement—not only during a physical activity program, but also for the maintenance of physical activity after the intervention [54]. Self-monitoring, when used together with at least one more self-regulatory approach, such as goal setting (elaboration of a meticulous plan about the action to be taken) and behavior feedback (comparing one's performance with similar others, as well as comparing the current performance with previous ones) form a cluster considered highly effective in increasing physical activity participation [12,49].

2.3. Nonconscious Processes

Conscious regulatory processes to engage in physical activity, such as intention (plans) and self-efficacy (beliefs), have been shown to explain just a small proportion of physical activity behavior [55]. At the same time, an increasing number of publications highlight the importance of unconscious processes (unaware mental operations) to increase physical activity participation [55–58]. Among other nonconscious processes, such as automatic associations and priming effects, more research attention has been given to habits [59–61]. Although more research is warranted to clarify the amount of time needed for the formation of a habit (an automatic sequence of actions in response to particular cues), initial studies in physical activity showed that around six weeks

might be a reasonable period [62,63]. Key to this process of consistent practice of physical activity is the fact that the workout should be enjoyable for the participant [58,62].

2.4. Internet and Telephone

Conn et al. [64] suggest that interventions mediated by the Internet or telephones are less effective than the ones delivered face-to-face. Although Rhodes et al. [12] agree with these findings, they point out that practical issues limiting delivery should also be contemplated, especially when financial and human resources are not available to support in-person counseling. These are important considerations, since the high global burden of chronic diseases in low resource settings demands low-cost interventions targeting as many people as possible [10,65]. Accordingly, approaches using electronic health (eHealth) and mobile health (mHealth), such as mobile devices and smartphone applications (apps) are cost-effective in promoting physical activity engagement [66]. Indeed, well implemented telehealth and web-based tools (including but not being limited to telephone lines and e-mail communications) have been shown to properly translate how the best scientific evidence can be utilized by end users, in an effective and dynamic manner [67–69].

2.5. Increased Accessibility to Facilities and Environments

Urban planning, such as accessibility to fitness facilities and welcoming community environments is imperative in terms of physical activity engagement [12,70]. Interventions focusing on enhancing the access to fitness facilities have been shown to increase the levels of physical activity in adults of different ages, contributing to the primary and secondary prevention of chronic diseases [70,71]. In that regard, proximity to nearby parks, as well as access to public transportation play an important role [72].

2.6. Individual or Collective Approaches

Rhodes et al. [12] point out that interventions focusing only on physical activity are more effective than those targeting multiple behaviors. This is in accordance with findings pointing out that multiple health behavior change approaches still need more scientific support, since individuals possibly do not value the benefits of such approaches enough [73,74]. However, physical inactivity is amongst a few other fundamental health behaviors associated with the development of chronic conditions, such as an unhealthy diet and smoking [3]. Additionally, physical activity alone is not enough to prevent obesity, which is another risk factor for chronic diseases [75–77]. In fact, interventions tackling multiple behaviors for a common health goal, such as the prevention of certain chronic conditions, have led to significant change in multiple behaviors [74].

2.7. Social Pressures and Passive Approaches

When it comes to strategies considered unsuccessful to change physical activity, Michie et al. [49] agree with Conn et al. [64] by stating that passive strategies (when the participants simply receive information about the importance of being physically active) do not increase physical activity. Also, research suggests that interventions targeting how one perceives pressures from significant others—such as family and media—to perform a behavior (subjective norms) does not lead to a change in physical activity [36,78].

3. Conclusions

Overall, recent findings indicate that no isolated strategy is better than another concerning an increase in physical activity engagement [78]. Instead, it seems that a combination of approaches can lead to better outcomes [12,79]. In order to increase physical activity participation aiming at chronic disease prevention, the optimal strategy should make use of a number of proven approaches that are grounded in relevant theories and targeting the individual and their environment.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.S., R.R., S.S.D.B.; methodology, R.R., D.E.R.W.; writing—original draft preparation, J.S., contribution to conceptualization, P.O., D.E.R.W.; methodology, R.R., D.E.R.W.; writing—review and editing, J.S., D.E.R.W.

Funding: This research was supported by CAPES (J.S. grant 2185-15-6), the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, and the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Das, P.; Horton, R. Physical activity-time to take it seriously and regularly. *Lancet* **2016**, *388*, 1254–1255. [CrossRef]
- 2. Warburton, D.E.; Bredin, S.S. Reflections on Physical Activity and Health: What Should We Recommend? *Can. J. Cardiol.* **2016**, *32*, 495–504. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Bauer, U.E.; Briss, P.A.; Goodman, R.A.; Bowman, B.A. Prevention of chronic disease in the 21st century: Elimination of the leading preventable causes of premature death and disability in the USA. *Lancet* **2014**, *384*, 45–52. [CrossRef]
- 4. Ding, D.; Lawson, K.D.; Kolbe-Alexander, T.L.; Finkelstein, E.A.; Katzmarzyk, P.T.; Van Mechelen, W.; Pratt, M.; Lancet Physical Activity Series 2 Executive Committee. The economic burden of physical inactivity: A global analysis of major non-communicable diseases. *Lancet* **2016**, *388*, 1311–1324. [CrossRef]
- Warburton, D.E.; Nicol, C.W.; Bredin, S.S. Health benefits of physical activity: The evidence. *Can. Med. Assoc. J.* 2006, 174, 801–809. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Prince, S.A.; Reed, J.L.; McFetridge, C.; Tremblay, M.S.; Reid, R.D. Correlates of sedentary behaviour in adults: A systematic review. *Obes. Rev. Off. J. Int. Assoc. Study Obes.* 2017, 18, 915–935. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 7. Ekelund, U.; Steene-Johannessen, J.; Brown, W.J.; Fagerland, M.W.; Owen, N.; Powell, K.E.; Bauman, A.; Lee, I.-M.; Series, L.P.A.; Group, L.S.B.W. Does physical activity attenuate, or even eliminate, the detrimental association of sitting time with mortality? A harmonised meta-analysis of data from more than 1 million men and women. *Lancet* 2016, *388*, 1302–1310. [CrossRef]
- Lear, S.A.; Hu, W.; Rangarajan, S.; Gasevic, D.; Leong, D.; Iqbal, R.; Casanova, A.; Swaminathan, S.; Anjana, R.M.; Kumar, R. The effect of physical activity on mortality and cardiovascular disease in 130 000 people from 17 high-income, middle-income, and low-income countries: The PURE study. *Lancet* 2017, 390, 2643–2654. [CrossRef]
- 9. Keith, N.R.; Baskin, M.L.; Stanis, S.A.W.; Sallis, J.F. The 2016 Active Living Research Conference: Equity in active living. *Prev. Med.* 2017, 95, S1–S3. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Reis, R.S.; Salvo, D.; Ogilvie, D.; Lambert, E.V.; Goenka, S.; Brownson, R.C. Scaling up physical activity interventions worldwide: Stepping up to larger and smarter approaches to get people moving. *Lancet* **2016**, *388*, 1337–1348. [CrossRef]
- 11. WHO Global Status Report on Non-Communicable Diseases; World Health Organization: Geneva, Switzerland, 2014.
- 12. Rhodes, R.E.; Janssen, I.; Bredin, S.S.; Warburton, D.E.; Bauman, A. Physical activity: Health impact, prevalence, correlates and interventions. *Psychol. Health* **2017**, *32*, 1–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Knittle, K.; Nurmi, J.; Crutzen, R.; Hankonen, N.; Beattie, M.; Dombrowski, S.U. How can interventions increase motivation for physical activity? A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Health Psychol. Rev.* 2018, 12, 211–230. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Hagger, M.S.; Chatzisarantis, N.L. *Intrinsic Motivation and Self-Determination in Exercise and Sport*; Human Kinetics: Champaign, IL, USA, 2007.
- 15. Kavussanu, M.; Roberts, G.C. Motivation in physical activity contexts: The relationship of perceived motivational climate to intrinsic motivation and self-efficacy. *J. Sport Exerc. Psychol.* **1998**, 20, 264–280. [CrossRef]
- 16. Sallis, J.F.; Cervero, R.B.; Ascher, W.; Henderson, K.A.; Kraft, M.K.; Kerr, J. An ecological approach to creating active living communities. *Annu. Rev. Public Health* **2006**, *27*, 297–322. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Sallis, J.F.; Floyd, M.F.; Rodríguez, D.A.; Saelens, B.E. Role of built environments in physical activity, obesity, and cardiovascular disease. *Circulation* **2012**, *125*, 729–737. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 18. Sallis, J.F.; Owen, N.; Fisher, E. Ecological models of health behavior. *Health Behav. Health Educ. Theory Res. Pract.* **2015**, *5*, 43–64.

- Brand, R.; Ekkekakis, P. Affective–reflective theory of physical inactivity and exercise. *Ger. J. Exerc. Sport Res.* 2018, 48, 48–58. [CrossRef]
- Rhodes, R.E.; Gray, S.M.; Husband, C. Experimental manipulation of affective judgments about physical activity: A systematic review and meta-analysis of adults. *Health Psychol. Rev.* 2019, 13, 18–34. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 21. Warburton, D.E.R. The physical activity and exercise continuum. Adv. Phys. Act. Obes. 2009, 21–30.
- 22. Bouchard, C.; Shephard, R. Physical activity fitness and health: The model and key concepts. In *Physical Activity Fitness and Health: International Proceedings and Consensus Statement*; Bouchard, C., Shephard, R.J., Stephens, T., Eds.; Human Kinetics: Champaign, IL, USA, 1994; pp. 77–88.
- Warburton, D.E.; Bredin, S.S. Lost in Translation: What Does the Physical Activity and Health Evidence Actually Tell Us? In *Lifestyle in Heart Health and Disease*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherland, 2018; pp. 175–186.
- 24. Tudor-Locke, C.E.; Myers, A.M. Challenges and opportunities for measuring physical activity in sedentary adults. *Sports Med.* **2001**, *31*, 91–100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Owen, N.; Sparling, P.B.; Healy, G.N.; Dunstan, D.W.; Matthews, C.E. Sedentary behavior: Emerging evidence for a new health risk. *Mayo Clin. Proc.* **2010**, *85*, 1138–1141. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Grace, M.S.; Dunstan, D.W. Sedentary Behaviour and Mortality. In *Sedentary Behaviour Epidemiology*; Leitzmann, M., Jochem, C., Schmid, D., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2018; pp. 339–378.
- Hadgraft, N.T.; Dunstan, D.W.; Owen, N. Models for Understanding Sedentary Behavior. In Sedentary Behaviour Epidemiology; Leitzmann, M., Jochem, C., Schmid, D., Eds.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2018; pp. 381–403.
- Prince, S.A.; Saunders, T.J.; Gresty, K.; Reid, R.D. A comparison of the effectiveness of physical activity and sedentary behaviour interventions in reducing sedentary time in adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. *Obes. Rev. Off. J. Int. Assoc. Study Obes.* 2014, 15, 905–919. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Wilmot, E.G.; Edwardson, C.L.; Achana, F.A.; Davies, M.J.; Gorely, T.; Gray, L.J.; Khunti, K.; Yates, T.; Biddle, S.J.H. Sedentary time in adults and the association with diabetes, cardiovascular disease and death: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diabetologia* **2012**, *55*, 2895–2905. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Katzmarzyk, P.T.; Church, T.S.; Craig, C.L.; Bouchard, C. Sitting time and mortality from all causes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* **2009**, *41*, 998–1005. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 31. Van der Ploeg, H.P.; Chey, T.; Korda, R.J.; Banks, E.; Bauman, A. Sitting time and all-cause mortality risk in 222 497 Australian adults. *Arch. Intern. Med.* **2012**, *172*, 494–500. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Ekelund, U. Infographic: Physical activity, sitting time and mortality. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **2018**, *52*, 1164–1165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Samdal, G.B.; Eide, G.E.; Barth, T.; Williams, G.; Meland, E. Effective behaviour change techniques for physical activity and healthy eating in overweight and obese adults; systematic review and meta-regression analyses. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* **2017**, *14*, 42. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Michie, S.; Richardson, M.; Johnston, M.; Abraham, C.; Francis, J.; Hardeman, W.; Eccles, M.P.; Cane, J.; Wood, C.E. The behavior change technique taxonomy (v1) of 93 hierarchically clustered techniques: Building an international consensus for the reporting of behavior change interventions. *Ann. Behav. Med.* 2013, 46, 81–95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Dishman, R.K. Advances in Exercise Adherence; Human Kinetics: Champaign, IL, USA, 1994.
- 36. Rhodes, R.E.; Nigg, C.R. Advancing physical activity theory: A review and future directions. *Exerc. Sport Sci. Rev.* **2011**, *39*, 113–119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Brassington, G.S.; Hekler, E.B.; Cohen, Z. Health-enhancing physical activity. In *Handbook of Health Psychology*; Baum, A., Revenson, T.A., Singer, J., Eds.; Taylor & Francis Group/Psychology Press: New York, NY, USA, 2012.
- 38. Schoeppe, S.; Alley, S.; Van Lippevelde, W.; Bray, N.A.; Williams, S.L.; Duncan, M.J.; Vandelanotte, C. Efficacy of interventions that use apps to improve diet, physical activity and sedentary behaviour: A systematic review. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* **2016**, *13*, 127. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 39. Schwartz, J.; Takito, M.Y.; Del Vecchio, F.B.; Antonietti, L.S.; Franchini, E. Health-related physical fitness in martial arts and combat sports practitioners. *Sport Sci. Health* **2015**, *11*, 171–180. [CrossRef]

- 40. Sparling, P.B.; Howard, B.J.; Dunstan, D.W.; Owen, N. Recommendations for physical activity in older adults. *BMJ Br. Med. J. Online* **2015**, *350*, h100–h102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Greaves, C.J.; Sheppard, K.E.; Abraham, C.; Hardeman, W.; Roden, M.; Evans, P.H.; Schwarz, P. Systematic review of reviews of intervention components associated with increased effectiveness in dietary and physical activity interventions. *BMC Public Health* **2011**, *11*, 119. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sattelmair, J.; Pertman, J.; Ding, E.L.; Kohl III, H.W.; Haskell, W.; Lee, I.-M. Dose response between physical activity and risk of coronary heart disease: A meta-analysis. *Circulation* 2011, 124, 789–795. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Knox, E.C.; Webb, O.J.; Esliger, D.W.; Biddle, S.J.; Sherar, L.B. Using threshold messages to promote physical activity: Implications for public perceptions of health effects. *Eur. J. Public Health* 2013, 24, 195–199. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Warburton, D.E.; Bredin, S.S. Health benefits of physical activity: A systematic review of current systematic reviews. *Curr. Opin. Cardiol.* **2017**, *32*, 541–556. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Wen, C.P.; Wai, J.P.M.; Tsai, M.K.; Yang, Y.C.; Cheng, T.Y.D.; Lee, M.-C.; Chan, H.T.; Tsao, C.K.; Tsai, S.P.; Wu, X. Minimum amount of physical activity for reduced mortality and extended life expectancy: A prospective cohort study. *Lancet* **2011**, *378*, 1244–1253. [CrossRef]
- 46. Hupin, D.; Roche, F.; Gremeaux, V.; Chatard, J.-C.; Oriol, M.; Gaspoz, J.-M.; Barthélémy, J.-C.; Edouard, P. Even a low-dose of moderate-to-vigorous physical activity reduces mortality by 22% in adults aged ≥ 60 years: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br. J. Sports Med.* **2015**, *49*, 1262–1267. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Egan, B.M. Physical Activity and Hypertension: Knowing Is Not Enough; We Must Apply. Willing Is Not Enough; We Must Do-von Goethe. *Hypertension* **2017**, *69*, 404–406. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Goenka, S.; Lee, I.-M. Physical activity lowers mortality and heart disease risks. *Lancet* **2017**, *390*, 2609–2610. [CrossRef]
- 49. Michie, S.; Abraham, C.; Whittington, C.; McAteer, J.; Gupta, S. Effective techniques in healthy eating and physical activity interventions: A meta-regression. *Health Psychol.* **2009**, *28*, 690–701. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 50. Murray, J.M.; Brennan, S.F.; French, D.P.; Patterson, C.C.; Kee, F.; Hunter, R.F. Effectiveness of physical activity interventions in achieving behaviour change maintenance in young and middle aged adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Soc. Sci. Med.* **2017**, *192*, 125–133. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Olander, E.K.; Fletcher, H.; Williams, S.; Atkinson, L.; Turner, A.; French, D.P. What are the most effective techniques in changing obese individuals' physical activity self-efficacy and behaviour: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* **2013**, *10*, 29. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Maes, S.; Karoly, P. Self-regulation assessment and intervention in physical health and illness: A review. *Appl. Psychol.* **2005**, *54*, 267–299. [CrossRef]
- 53. Tudor-Locke, C.; Lutes, L. Why do pedometers work? Sports Med. 2009, 39, 981–993. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 54. Donnachie, C.; Wyke, S.; Mutrie, N.; Hunt, K. 'It's like a personal motivator that you carried around wi'you': Utilising self-determination theory to understand men's experiences of using pedometers to increase physical activity in a weight management programme. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* **2017**, *14*, 61. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Rebar, A.L.; Dimmock, J.A.; Jackson, B.; Rhodes, R.E.; Kates, A.; Starling, J.; Vandelanotte, C. A systematic review of the effects of non-conscious regulatory processes in physical activity. *Health Psychol. Rev.* 2016, 10, 395–407. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 56. Gardner, B.; de Bruijn, G.-J.; Lally, P. A systematic review and meta-analysis of applications of the self-report habit index to nutrition and physical activity behaviours. *Ann. Behav. Med.* 2011, 42, 174–187. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 57. Sheeran, P.; Gollwitzer, P.M.; Bargh, J.A. Nonconscious processes and health. *Health Psychol.* **2013**, *32*, 460. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 58. Kaushal, N.; Rhodes, R.E.; Meldrum, J.T.; Spence, J.C. Mediating Mechanisms in a Physical Activity Intervention: A Test of Habit Formation. *J. Sport Exerc. Psychol.* **2018**, *40*, 101–110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Rhodes, R.E.; Rebar, A.L. Physical activity habit: Complexities and controversies. In *The Psychology of Habit*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2018; pp. 91–109.
- 60. Kaushal, N.; Rhodes, R.E.; Meldrum, J.T.; Spence, J.C. The role of habit in different phases of exercise. *Br. J. Health Psychol.* **2017**, *22*, 429–448. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 61. Gardner, B. A review and analysis of the use of 'habit'in understanding, predicting and influencing health-related behaviour. *Health Psychol. Rev.* **2015**, *9*, 277–295. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Kaushal, N.; Rhodes, R.E. Exercise habit formation in new gym members: A longitudinal study. *J. Behav. Med.* 2015, *38*, 652–663. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 63. Hagger, M.S. Habit and physical activity: Theoretical advances, practical implications, and agenda for future research. *Psychol. Sport Exerc.* **2018**, in press. [CrossRef]
- 64. Conn, V.S.; Hafdahl, A.R.; Mehr, D.R. Interventions to increase physical activity among healthy adults: Meta-analysis of outcomes. *Am. J. Public Health* **2011**, *101*, 751–758. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Marshall, A.L.; Owen, N.; Bauman, A.E. Mediated approaches for influencing physical activity: Update of the evidence on mass media, print, telephone and website delivery of interventions. *J. Sci. Med. Sport* 2004, 7, 74–80. [CrossRef]
- Vandelanotte, C.; Müller, A.M.; Short, C.E.; Hingle, M.; Nathan, N.; Williams, S.L.; Lopez, M.L.; Parekh, S.; Maher, C.A. Past, present, and future of eHealth and mHealth research to improve physical activity and dietary behaviors. *J. Nutr. Educ. Behav.* 2016, 48, 219–228. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 67. Bredin, S.S.; Warburton, D.E. Physical activity line: Effective knowledge translation of evidence-based best practice in the real-world setting. *Can. Fam. Phys.* **2013**, *59*, 967–968.
- Davies, C.A.; Spence, J.C.; Vandelanotte, C.; Caperchione, C.M.; Mummery, W.K. Meta-analysis of internet-delivered interventions to increase physical activity levels. *Int. J. Behav. Nutr. Phys. Act.* 2012, 9, 1. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Goode, A.D.; Reeves, M.M.; Eakin, E.G. Telephone-delivered interventions for physical activity and dietary behavior change: An updated systematic review. *Am. J. Prev. Med.* **2012**, *42*, 81–88. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 70. Bauman, A.E.; Reis, R.S.; Sallis, J.F.; Wells, J.C.; Loos, R.J.; Martin, B.W.; Group, L.P.A.S.W. Correlates of physical activity: Why are some people physically active and others not? *Lancet* **2012**, *380*, 258–271. [CrossRef]
- 71. Sallis, R.; Franklin, B.; Joy, L.; Ross, R.; Sabgir, D.; Stone, J. Strategies for promoting physical activity in clinical practice. *Prog. Cardiovasc. Dis.* **2015**, *57*, 375–386. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 72. Sallis, J.F.; Cerin, E.; Conway, T.L.; Adams, M.A.; Frank, L.D.; Pratt, M.; Salvo, D.; Schipperijn, J.; Smith, G.; Cain, K.L.; et al. Physical activity in relation to urban environments in 14 cities worldwide: A cross-sectional study. *Lancet* **2016**, *387*, 2207–2217. [CrossRef]
- 73. Prochaska, J.J.; Prochaska, J.O. A review of multiple health behavior change interventions for primary prevention. *Am. J. Lifestyle Med.* **2011**, *5*, 208–221. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 74. Nigg, C.R.; Long, C.R. A systematic review of single health behavior change interventions vs. multiple health behavior change interventions among older adults. *Transl. Behav. Med.* **2012**, *2*, 163–179. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Wilks, D.C.; Besson, H.; Lindroos, A.K.; Ekelund, U. Objectively measured physical activity and obesity prevention in children, adolescents and adults: A systematic review of prospective studies. *Obes. Rev.* 2011, 12, e119–e129. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 76. Gortmaker, S.L.; Swinburn, B.A.; Levy, D.; Carter, R.; Mabry, P.L.; Finegood, D.T.; Huang, T.; Marsh, T.; Moodie, M.L. Changing the future of obesity: Science, policy, and action. *Lancet* **2011**, *378*, 838–847. [CrossRef]
- 77. Donnelly, J.E.; Hill, J.O.; Jacobsen, D.J.; Potteiger, J.; Sullivan, D.K.; Johnson, S.L.; Heelan, K.; Hise, M.; Fennessey, P.V.; Sonko, B. Effects of a 16-month randomized controlled exercise trial on body weight and composition in young, overweight men and women: The Midwest Exercise Trial. *Arch. Intern. Med.* 2003, 163, 1343–1350. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 78. Hausenblas, H.; Rhodes, R.E. *Exercise Psychology, Phsyical Activity and Sedentary Behavior*; Jones & Bartlett Learning: Burlington, NJ, USA, 2017.
- 79. Geller, K.; Lippke, S.; Nigg, C.R. Future directions of multiple behavior change research. *J. Behav. Med.* 2017, 40, 194–202. [CrossRef] [PubMed]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).