



Endocrine Disruptors in Food, Estrobolome and Breast Cancer

Alessio Filippone ^{1,*}, Cristina Rossi ¹, Maria Maddalena Rossi ¹, Annalisa Di Micco ¹, Claudia Maggiore ¹, Luana Forcina ¹, Maria Natale ², Lara Costantini ³, Nicolò Merendino ³, Alba Di Leone ², Gianluca Franceschini ^{2,4}, Riccardo Masetti ^{2,4} and Stefano Magno ¹

- ¹ Center for Integrative Oncology, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, 00168 Rome, Italy; cristina.rossi1@guest.policlinicogemelli.it (C.R.); mariamaddalena.rossi1@policlinicogemelli.it (M.M.R.); annalisa.dimicco@guest.policlinicogemelli.it (A.D.M.); claudia.maggiore@guest.policlinicogemelli.it (C.M.); luana.forcina@guest.policlinicogemelli.it (L.F.); stefano.magno@policlinicogemelli.it (S.M.)
- ² Breast Cancer Center, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, 00168 Rome, Italy; maria.natale@policlinicogemelli.it (M.N.); alba.dileone@policlinicogemelli.it (A.D.L.); gianluca.franceschini@policlinicogemelli.it (G.F.); riccardo.masetti@policlinicogemelli.it (R.M.)
- ³ Department of Ecological and Biological Sciences (DEB), Tuscia University, Largo dell'Università snc, 01100 Viterbo, Italy; lara.cost@unitus.it (L.C.); merendin@unitus.it (N.M.)
- ⁴ Women's Health Department, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, 00168 Rome, Italy
- * Correspondence: alessiofilippone@hotmail.it or alessio.filippone@guest.policlinicogemelli.it

Abstract: The microbiota is now recognized as one of the major players in human health and diseases, including cancer. Regarding breast cancer (BC), a clear link between microbiota and oncogenesis still needs to be confirmed. Yet, part of the bacterial gene mass inside the gut, constituting the so called "estrobolome", influences sexual hormonal balance and, since the increased exposure to estrogens is associated with an increased risk, may impact on the onset, progression, and treatment of hormonal dependent cancers (which account for more than 70% of all BCs). The hormonal dependent BCs are also affected by environmental and dietary endocrine disruptors and phytoestrogens which interact with microbiota in a bidirectional way: on the one side disruptors can alter the composition and functions of the estrobolome, ad on the other the gut microbiota influences the metabolism of endocrine active food components. This review highlights the current evidence about the complex interplay between endocrine disruptors, phytoestrogens, microbiome, and BC, within the frames of a new "oncobiotic" perspective.

Keywords: microbiome; endocrine disruptors; estrobolome; personalized medicine; oncobiotic

1. Introduction

Breast cancer (BC) is currently one of the most prevalent cancers, with an estimated number of 2.3 million new cases worldwide [1]. It represents the fifth most common cause of cancer-related deaths [2].

BC incidence is expected to increase further, particularly in low- income countries, due to the westernization of lifestyles (e.g., lack of physical activity and poor diet), and improved cancer detection [3]. Current projections indicate that by 2030, the number of new cases diagnosed will reach 2.7 million annually [4].

The World Health Organization (WHO) distinguishes at least 18 different histological BC types among a wide spectrum of tumors featuring different morphologies, molecular characteristics, and clinical behaviors [5]. Invasive BC can be categorized into molecular subtypes based on mRNA gene expression levels independently of histological subtypes. In 2000, Perou et al. identified four molecular subtypes from microarray gene expression data: Luminal, HER2-enriched, Basal-like, and Normal Breast-like [6]; further studies allowed to divide the Luminal group into two subgroups (Luminal A and B) [7–11].

Luminal A tumors are characterized by the presence of estrogen-receptor (ER) and/or progesterone-receptor (PR) and absence of HER2. This subtype [12,13] is associated to a low

Citation: Filippone, A.; Rossi, C.; Rossi, M.M.; Di Micco, A.; Maggiore, C.; Forcina, L.; Natale, M.; Costantini, L.; Merendino, N.; Di Leone, A.; et al. Endocrine Disruptors in Food, Estrobolome and Breast Cancer. *J. Clin. Med.* **2023**, *12*, 3158. https://doi.org/10.3390/ jcm12093158

Academic Editor: Lorenzo Drago

Received: 9 March 2023 Revised: 17 April 2023 Accepted: 26 April 2023 Published: 27 April 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/license s/by/4.0/). expression of genes related to cell proliferation and shows a better prognosis, compared to Luminal B tumors, which are ER positive but may be PR negative and/or HER2 positive.

Overall, 80% and 65% of patients are diagnosed with BC positive for estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR), respectively [9].

A new classification has recently been proposed for HER 2 tumors with a score of 1+ or 2+ without amplification by the ISH method (in situ hybridization); these are nicknamed HER 2 low breast cancer and account for more than half of all breast cancer cases.

On the basis of the latest studies, it has been seen that this subcategory of tumors could benefit from new anti-HER 2 drugs. However, we are far from being able to define HER 2 low tumors as a separate clinical entity with its prognosis and specific features [14].

Validation of techniques to identify HER2 heterogeneity in order to effectively treat tumors with non-uniform HER2 expression is needed [15].

BC is a multifactorial disease, and several genetic and environmental aspects are recognized as risk factors for its onset and progression [16]. Among them, age, and modifiable factors such as obesity, type II diabetes, sedentary habits, alcohol, radiation, hormonal replacement therapy, and periodontal disease have direct implications on gut microbiota composition, so that recent studies have highlighted the association between microbial alterations and those risk factors for BC, through metabolic and immunitary pathways, hormonal balance, and cancer microenvironment [17–19].

Regarding the sexual hormonal balance, estrogens, and endocrine active compounds play a role in shaping the gut microbiome, potentially impacting the clinical management of hormone-dependent cancers [20].

2. Endocrine Disruptors, Phytoestrogens and Breast Cancer

An Endocrine Disruptor (ED) is defined by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as "an exogenous agent that interferes with synthesis, secretion, transport, metabolism, binding action, or elimination of natural blood-borne hormones that are present in the body and are responsible for homeostasis, reproduction, and developmental process" [21].

Both estrogens and EDs, binding to estrogen receptors, elicit downstream gene activation and trigger intracellular signalling cascades [22] in a variety of tissues, thus affecting reproductive health and hormonal dependent cancers risk [23–25].

Endocrine disruptors are a group of highly heterogeneous molecules, grossly divided into synthetic and natural compounds (phytoestrogens).

2.1. Synthetic Endocrine Disruptors

The synthetic chemicals with endocrine activities have multiple uses, such as industrial solvents/lubricants (polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polybrominated biphenyls (PBBs)), plastics (bisphenol A (BPA)), plasticizers (phthalates), pesticides (methoxychlor, chlorpyrifos, dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT)), fungicides (vinclozolin), pharmaceutical agents (diethylstilbestrol (DES)) and heavy metals such as cadmium [25,26].

The most common pathways of exposure to EDs are by inhalation, food intake, transplacental and skin contact [25,27,28]. By these means, EDs enter the food chain and accumulate in animal tissues up to humans mainly in adipose tissue, since most of EDs are highly lipophilic [29–31].

The mechanisms of action of EDs include a variety of possible pathways involved in endocrine and reproductive systems: via nuclear receptors, nonnuclear steroid hormone receptors (e.g., membrane estrogen receptors (ERs)), nonsteroid receptors (e.g., neurotransmitter receptors such as serotonin, dopamine, norepinephrine), orphan receptors [e.g., aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR)], enzymatic pathways involved in steroid biosynthesis and/or metabolism [25].

Another mechanism is the aromatase up-regulation (e.g., phenolic EDs) and increased estradiol biosynthesis, which is linked to ER-positive breast cancer cell proliferation in vitro [32]. Furthermore, an epigenetic action, such as DNA methylation and/or acetylation and histone modifications, may be involved in mechanisms related to endocrine disruption [33–35].

The exposure to EDs has been related to multiple diseases, such as diabetes, metabolic syndrome, obesity, cardiovascular and neurological disorders [29;37]. Some EDs such as bisphenol A (BPA), dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are also associated with infertility and cancer [29–40].

According to the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classification, some of the EDs (BPA, DDT and PCBs) have key characteristics of human carcinogens, since they can alter cell proliferation, cell death or nutrient supply; are genotoxic; have immunosuppressive activity; induce epigenetic alterations, oxidative stress and chronic inflammation [39]. In addition, BPA by interacting with the estrogen receptor- α (ER α), induces cell proliferation and reduces apoptosis rate, affecting the prognosis of BC patients [40–42].

A growing number of studies have investigated the correlations between EDs and BC onset and progression [43]. Breast tissue is particularly susceptible to carcinogenic effects during the third trimester of the first pregnancy, and prolonged exposure to low levels of EDs [44–46] can raise the risk of developing cancer in the following years [47,48].

Some pesticides, including DDT, dichloro-diphenyl-dichloroethylene (DDE), aldrin, and lindane, have been linked in pre- and post-menopausal women to a higher risk of BC [49,50], either estrogen receptor-positive (-hexachlorocyclohexane and Pentachlorothioanisole) [51] or HER2-positive tumors (DDT) [52–54]. Among the heavy metals, cadmium was positively associated with BC [55,56].

Interestingly, women with an altered body composition and an excess of fat mass have shown a greater likelihood of BC after exposure to PCB [57], due to the lipophilic nature of these molecules.

Some EDs, such as Bisphenol S (BPS), are also involved in enhancing the progression and the metastatic spread of BC cells, by inducing tumor proliferation and epithelial-mesenchymal transition [58,59]. The Interplay between endocrine disruptors and microbiota with potential drivers of BC are summarized in Table 1.

Source	Molecules	Microrganisms	Outcome	References
Foods	Lignans Isoflavones	C. methoxybenzovorans B. pseudocatenulatum WC 401 Firmicutes Bacteroidetes F. prausnitzii Lactobacillus Enterococcus	Estrogen Bioavailability	[60–64]
Plastics	BPA BPS	Helicobacteraceae Firmicutes Clostridia	Lipogenesis Gluconeogenesis Tumor proliferation Metastatic spread	[58,59,65,66]
Pesticides	Organophosphates DDT DDE PCB	Bacteroides, Burkholderiales Clostridiaceae Erysiopelotrichaceae Coprobacillus Lachnospiraceae Staphylococcaceae	Gluconeogenesis Oxidative stress Changes in insulin and ghrelin secretion	[49,50,65,66]
Heavy metals	Arsenic Lead	Bacteroides Firmicutes	Altered gluconeogenesis Lipogenesis	[65,66]

Table 1. Interplay between endocrine disruptors and microbiota with potential drivers of breast cancer.

Cadmium	Proteobacteria	Inflammation	
		Body fat	
BPA, Bis	phenol A; BPS, Bisphenol S; I	DDT, dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane	; DDE, Dichloro-di-
phenyl-o	lichloroethylene; PCB, polychlo	prinated biphenyl.	

2.2. Phytoestrogens

Due to their chemical structures and/or activities similar to 17-estradiol (E2) [38,67,68], some plant-derived polyphenolic non-steroidal substances, defined phytoestrogens, are classified as endocrine disruptors, with both potentially favorable (reduced risk of osteoporosis, heart disease, and menopausal symptoms) and harmful health consequences [69,70].

In epidemiological studies, Asian populations who consume on average much more soy products than Western populations, have lower rates of hormone-dependent breast and endometrial cancers [71] and a lower incidence of menopausal symptoms and osteoporosis. Soy is the main dietary source of isoflavones. Isoflavones have a chemical structure similar to the human hormone oestrogen. However, they bind to the body's oestrogen receptors differently, and function differently. Activation of some receptors seems to promote cell growth, but isoflavones more often bind to oestrogen receptors with other effects, potentially acting as a tumour suppressor [71].

Different kinds of oestrogen receptors are present in different parts of the body. Activation of some receptors seems to promote cell growth. But studies suggest that isoflavones more often bind to oestrogen receptors with other effects, potentially acting as a tumour suppressor. Nevertheless, in Asian immigrants living in Western nations, whose diet includes more proteins and lipids and less fibers and soy, the risks for hormone-dependent cancers reach the same levels as the western population [72].

The main groups of phytoestrogens are lignans, coursetans, stilbenes and isoflavones.

Lignans, as components of plant cell walls, are found in many fiber-rich foods such as seeds (flax, pumpkin, sunflower, and sesame), whole grains (such as rye, oat, and barley), bran (such as wheat, oat, and rye), beans, fruits (especially berries), and cruciferous vegetables such as broccoli and cabbage [73].

The richest dietary source of plant lignans is flaxseed (*Linum usatissimum*), and crushing or milling flaxseed can increase lignan bioavailability [74].

Compared to isoflavones and lignans, coumestans are less prevalent in the human diet. Coumestans are primarily found in legume shoots and sprouts, primarily in clover and alfalfa, though small amounts have also been found in spinach and brussel sprouts [75]. Coumestrol is also found in trace levels in a variety of legumes, including split peas, pinto beans, lima beans, and soybean sprouts [75].

The most prevalent and studied stilbene, resveratrol, may be found in a number of plants and acts as a phytoalexin to ward off fungus infections. The skin of grapes (Vitis vinifera), red wine, and other highly pigmented fruit juices are the most recognized sources of resveratrol. Resveratrol is also present in pistachios, notably the papery skin surrounding the nut, and peanuts (Arachis). While flavonoids and resveratrol both have vascular effects that are frequently addressed, only the trans isomers of resveratrol have been found to have some phytoestrogenic effects [76].

Isoflavones are present in berries, wine, grains, and nuts, but are most abundant in soybeans, soy products, and other legumes [67,68].

Phytoestrogens, particularly isoflavones, exhibit both agonistic and antagonistic effects on ER β and ER α receptors, depending on their concentration and affinity for various estrogen receptors [77]. This mechanism explains why phytoestrogens have a dual impact in ER-positive breast cancer cells, stimulating growth at low doses while inhibiting development at higher concentrations [78]. Coumestrol, genistein, and equol have a stronger affinity for ER β [79,80].

Overall, phytoestrogens and their analogs inhibit cell cycle progression across different breast carcinomas by reducing mRNA or protein expression levels of cyclin (D1, E) and CDK (1, 2, 4, 6) and enhancing their inhibitors (p21, p27, p57) and tumor suppressor genes (APC, ATM, PTEN, SERPINB5) [73]. Even isoflavones, lignans, and resveratrol analogs influence cell cycle regulator expression, impacting different kinds of BC cell lines in vitro [81].

They also suppress the expression of oncogenic cyclin D1, as well as raise the levels of a variety of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (p21, p27, and p57). Phytoestrogens, analogues, and derivatives may potentially influence BC behaviour, by interfering with estrogen production and metabolism as well as showing antiangiogenic, antimetastatic, and epigenetic effects. Furthermore, these bioactive molecules have the potential to reverse multi-drug resistance [81]. The benefits of phytoestrogens on human health, and particularly in BC patients, may also depend on their metabolism affected by the host's microbiota present in the small and large intestine. For instance, genistein, equol, enterolignans, urolithins and other metabolites with higher binding affinity for estrogen receptors are more likely to yield beneficial effects.

Despite several research, the topic of whether phytoestrogens are useful or hurtful to people with BC remains unanswered: The answers are challenging and may vary with age, health state, and even gut microbial composition [82] (Table 2).

Chemical Family	Molecules	Microrganisms	References	
Lignans	Anhydrosecoisolariciresinol Secoisolariciresinol diglucoside Syringaresinol	C. methoxybenzovorans B. pseudocatenulatum WC 401 Firmicutes Bacteroidetes	[60–62,64]	
Isoflavones	Coumestrol Genistein Equol Daidzein	F. prausnitzii Lactobacillus Enterococcus	[63]	
Steroids	Estradiol Estrone	Collinsella, Edwardsiella, Alistipes, Bac- teroides, Bifidobacterium, Citrobacter, Clos- tridium, Dermabacter, Escherichia, Faecalibac- terium, Lactobacillus, Marvinbryantia, Propi- onibacterium, Roseburia, Tannerella	[22,83–87]	
Prenylflavonoids	Xanthohumol Desmethyxanthohumol	E. limosum	[88]	
	Resveratrol Trans-resveratrol	Firmicutes Bacteroidetes,		
Stilbenes	Dihydroresveratrol 3,4'–dihydroxybibenzyl, 3,4'-dihydroxy-trans-stilbene	Actinobacteria Verrucomicrobia, Cyanobacteria	[89–93]	

Table 2. Interplay between phytoestrogens and their metabolites with microrganism.

3. Estrobolome

The gut microbiota regulates the levels and bioavailability of estrogens, steroid hormones, and cytokines [94], all of which have a role in the development, progression, and outcome of the majority of BCs [95–98]. In addition to steroid hormones, BC may be influenced by adipose tissue hormones such as leptin and insulin, which are also regulated by intestinal microbiota.

Two main pathways have been identified through which microbiome influences the sexual hormonal balance. In the deconjugation-independent pathway, some phytoestrogens contained in food, such as plant lignans, are metabolized by specific intestinal bacteria into bioactive compounds. In the deconjugation-dependent pathway, several *genera* such as *Collinsella, Edwardsiella, Alistipes, Bacteroides, Bifidobacterium, Citrobacter, Clostridium, Dermabacter, Escherichia, Faecalibacterium, Lactobacillus, Marvinbryantia, Propionibacterium, Roseburia, Tannerella,* constituting the so called "estrobolome", by the means of hydrolytic enzymes such as ß–glucuronidases and ß–glucosidases, can deconjugate estrogens excreted by the liver into the intestinal lumen as well as endocrine active food components, increasing their reabsorption through the entero-hepatic circulation [22,83–85]. Progesterone and testosterone bio-availability can also be affected by the sulfatase activity of certain gut microrganisms which convert circulating steroids into active hormones [85–87].

In premenopausal women following a "Western diet", estrogen levels were found to be three times higher in feces and 15% to 20% lower in serum compared to a population of vegetarians eating a high fiber, moderate fat diet [99]. In another research, Asian immigrants showed 30% lower systemic estrogen levels compared to a similar population of American women consuming a diet higher in fats [100], probably due to the estrobolome composition, even though other variables such as lifestyle and oral supplements may also play a role [101]. Changes in the estrobolome composition induced by diet, physical activity, antibiotics and chemotherapeutics affect the systemic levels of estrogen and its metabolites through the entero-hepatic circulation [102] and this mechanism has been related to cancer progression in hormonal dependent BC patients and survivors [86,103,104].

Several studies showed that cancerogenesis can also be promoted by enhanced local exposures of breast tissue to hormonal triggers, both from estrogen and progesterone metabolites: an abundance of β -glucuronidase signalling has been found in nipple aspirate fluid of BC survivors [105], while BC tissue shows higher concentrations of estrogen metabolites compared to normal breast tissue [100,106]. Among the possible mechanisms leading to an increased production of progesterone metabolites in tumour microenvironment, *Bacillus cereus* seem to play a role in promoting cancer cells proliferation [107–110]. Among the gramnegative family of *Sphingomonadaceae*, *Sphyngomonas yanoikuyae*, relatively enriched in paired normal breast tissue as compared to cancer tissue [111], has shown the ability to digest monocyclic compounds and degrade estrogens within the breast tissue [112], which could interfere with cancerogenesis through the local estrogen bioavailability.

4. Interplay between Human Microbiota, Endocrine Disruptors, and Phytoestrogens

The complex relationship between microbiota and endocrine active compounds derived from diet act in a bidirectional way: enteric commensals can metabolize EDs into biologically active or inactive forms, while EDs may selectively induce the growth of specific bacterial populations.

The biotransformation of lignans is an intriguing example of how deeply the microbiota affect the metabolism of some xenobiotics: for instance, anhydrosecoisolariciresinol is converted by the gram-positive *Clostridium methoxybenzovorans* [60,61], the secoisolariciresinol diglucoside by *B. pseudocatenulatum* WC 401 and other *Bifidobacterium* strains through deglucosylation [62]. Among prenylflavonoids, a subgroup of chalcones and flavanones, the most significant are xanthohumol (XN) and desmethyxanthohumol (DMX) derived from hops, which are widely used in beer industry [113]: XN's metabolite 8prenylnaringenin (8-PN), produced in the gut by the commensal [114], is one of the most potent phytoestrogens [88], with a noticeable affinity for the ER α receptor [115,116].

These dietary-induced interactions between gut microbiota and hormonal balance may lead to a dysbiosis, thus affecting human health and diseases [117].

5. Role of the Endocrine Disruptors on Microbiota Composition

Several studies underline the association between EDs exposure and metabolic disorders, diabetes, obesity, and some neurobehavioral disorders [118], which have been related to gut dysbiosis, suggesting a role of gut microbiome and its products (post-biotics) as mediators of the effects induced by EDs in human metabolism [65].

Both the exposure to EDs and their bioactive metabolites may disrupt the microbiota composition and lead to dysbiosis [66], but also alter the microbiome functions and metabolic activities [119]. According to data from animal models, changes in the gut microbiota may have an im-pact on the host's hepatic enzyme levels in addition to the levels of microbial enzymes [120].

Several EDs have been proved to promote dysbiosis or avoid bacterial growth both in vitro and in vivo [65], suggesting a significant influence on gut colonization with a consequence on host health. Furthermore, a "leaky gut" wall facilitates circulating EDs to flow into the intestinal milieu directly and, interacting with the enteric nervous system, could impact the composition and functions of the gut microbiota [121–123].

Clavel et al. showed that the isoflavone daidzein and its metabolites modulate the composition of gut microbiota in postmenopausal women after two months supplementation, finding an association between the equol production and the increase of the *F. prausnitzii* and *Lactobacillus-Enterococcus* groups [124]. In a long-term study exploring the effects of isoflavones supplementation on the faecal microbiota of healthy menopausal women, a significant change of microbial populations was recorded, but without any difference between equol-producers and non-producers [63].

In another study a 4 weeks supplementation with a pomegranate extract, ellagitannin and its metabolites reveal changes in the composition of gut microbiota (*Actinobacter*, *Firmicutes*, and *Verrucomicrobia*) on healthy subjects [125].

Luo et al. investigated the in vivo anti-obesity effect of flaxseed gums (FG) in obese rats and found the FG diet decreased the relative abundance of *Clostridiales* and increased the *Clostridium, Sutterella, Veillonella, Burkholderiales* and *Enterobacteriaceae* family in their gut microbiota [126]. The supplementation with syringaresinol, a plant lignan, increases the *Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes* ratio in an aging mouse model [64].

The resveratrol mechanisms of action are largely attributed to the modulation of gut microbiota and its metabolites. An in vitro study demonstrated a different conversion of trans-resveratrol into dihydroresveratrol, 3,4'-dihydroxybibenzyl, also known as lunularin, and 3,4'-dihydroxy-trans-stilbene, depending on the bacterial diversity of each individual's faecal samples [89]. Chen et al. (2016) observed that modulation of gut microbiota induced by resveratrol reduced the levels of trimethylamine-N-oxide (TMAO) by inhibiting microbial trimethylamine (TMA) production and increased hepatic bile acid (BA) *de novo* synthesis [90]. An increase in *Bacteroides/Firmicutes* ratio was also observed in vivo after resveratrol supplementation in animal studies along with other effects, such as anti-diabetic effect [91], improved carbohydrate metabolism [92] and glucose homeostasis [93]. Giuliani et al., using an advanced gastrointestinal stimulator, showed that an extract containing a combination of t-resveratrol and ε -viniferin induced changes in microbial functions and composition together with a strong decrease in the levels of SCFA and NH4⁺ [127].

6. Different Metabolic Pathways of Endocrine Disruptors Depending on Gut Microbiota

Gut microbiota are crucial in the conversion of EDs and phytoestrogens, such as isoflavones, ellagitannins, and lignans, into compounds with biological activity (equol, urolithins, and enterolignans, respectively) [61,128].

The enzymatic degradation of plant lignans, such as secoisolariciresinol, into phytoestrogens enterodiol and enterolactone by various gut bacteria, such as *Eggerthella lenta* and *Peptostreptococcus productus*, provides a model for the deconjugation-independent process [124]. Enterodiol and enterolactone may serve as selective estrogen modulators with anticancer properties [19,129] and a favorable prognostic impact in postmenopausal BC patients [130].

Van de Wiele et al. [131] reported that colonic microbiota can metabolize polyaromatic hydrocarbons into 1-hydroxy pyrene and 7-hydroxybenzo[a]pyrene, biologically active estrogen metabolites.

A recent review of Velmurugan et al. [66] focused on the role of gut microbiota in glucose dysregulation, glucose intolerance and insulin resistance induced by several classes of EDs from plastics, pesticides, synthetic fertilizers, electronic waste and food additives. They included bisphenols, dioxins, phthalates, organochlorines, organophosphates, fungicides, polychlorinated biphenyls and polychlorinated dibenzofurans, and other waste pollutants.

On the other hand, hyperglycemia induces changes of microbiota composition, favoring the growth of non-commensal germs, at the expense of beneficial phyla such as *Bacilli* (e.g., *Lactobacillus*), *Bacteroidetes*, *Proteobacteria* and *Actinobacteria* [66]. *Lactobacilli* can reduce pesticide toxicity and protect against EDs-induced oxidative stress by limiting contaminant absorption in the gut, strengthening tight junctions in the intestinal barrier, and activating host immunity [132]. Exposure to EDs, such as polychlorinated biphenyls, may impair intestinal permeability by suppressing the expression of tight junction proteins [133,134].

Gut dysbiosis is linked with many disorders such as obesity, diabetes, endocrine and immunological diseases [117,135–140], which have been proven as risk factors for BC in both pre- and post-menopausal women [141,142].

Furthermore, all major classes of EDs (bisphenols, phthalates, polychlorinated biphenyls, organochlorine pesticides, dioxins, and parabens) may increase the risk of obesity, developing insulin resistance and diabetes [143] by enhancing adipogenesis via hormone regulation of food intake, appetite, and disruption of pancreatic β -cell function [144–149]. Even the fungicide tributyltin, which has been shown to reduce gut microbial richness and microbiome composition in mice [150], stimulates adipogenesis by interacting with nuclear PPAR γ and its heteromeric companion retinoid X receptor.

The interplay between EDs and human microbiota affects BC risk and clinical management not only through the sexual hormonal balance, but also through the innate as well as the acquired immunity [132,151–154], but these fundamental pathways are beyond the topic of the present review.

Beside this, a plethora of studies show that the gut microbiome affects the side effects, the toxicity and the outcomes of anticancer treatments such as radiation therapy, chemotherapy, immunotherapy and hormone therapy.

On the other hand, anticancer agents such as letrozole, an aromatase inhibitor, are associated with a time-dependent reduction of phylogenetic richness in the gut microbiota and a significant decrease in overall species [107].

Based on these results, the gut microbiota could become a key part of a microbiotahost-cancer triad as a new paradigm in order to better predict patients' response to therapies and build a more tailored approach to cancer patients.

7. Conclusions

Endocrine disruptors and phytoestrogens interact with the human microbiota both at the intestinal and the breast tissue levels, affecting estrogens' balance, bioavailability, and functions. This complex interplay results in a modification of BC cells behaviours, at least for hormonal dependent tumors, which account for more than 70% of cases globally.

A better understanding of this interplay, as well as the chance of modulating the exposure to EDs and targeting the microbiome composition (via dietary interventions and probiotics) could pave the way to a new oncobiotic approach in order to improve the clinical management of BC patients.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data are available upon request from the corresponding author.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Sung, H.; Ferlay, J.; Siegel, R.L.; Laversanne, M.; Soerjomataram, I.; Jemal, A.; Bray, F. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA Cancer J. Clin.* 2021, 71, 209–249. https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21660.
- Sharma, R. Breast Cancer Incidence, Mortality and Mortality-to-Incidence Ratio (MIR) Are Associated with Human Development, 1990–2016: Evidence from Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Breast Cancer* 2019, 26, 428–445. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12282-018-00941-4.
- Łukasiewicz, S.; Czeczelewski, M.; Forma, A.; Baj, J.; Sitarz, R.; Stanisławek, A. Breast Cancer-Epidemiology, Risk Factors, Classification, Prognostic Markers, and Current Treatment Strategies-An Updated Review. *Cancers* 2021, 13, 4287. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13174287.
- Bray, F.; Jemal, A.; Grey, N.; Ferlay, J.; Forman, D. Global Cancer Transitions According to the Human Development Index (2008–2030): A Population-Based Study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2012, 13 790–801. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70211-5.
- 5. Eble, J.N.; Tavassoli, F.A.; Devilee, P. (Eds.) *Pathology and Genetics of Tumours of the Breast and Female Genital Organs*; IARC: Lyon, France, 2003.
- Perou, C.M.; Sørlie, T.; Eisen, M.B.; van de Rijn, M.; Jeffrey, S.S.; Rees, C.A.; Pollack, J.R.; Ross, D.T.; Johnsen, H.; Akslen, L.A.; et al. Molecular Portraits of Human Breast Tumours. Nature 2000, 406 747–752. https://doi.org/10.1038/35021093.
- Sørlie, T.; Perou, C.M.; Tibshirani, R.; Aas, T.; Geisler, S.; Johnsen, H.; Hastie, T.; Eisen, M.B.; van de Rijn, M.; Jeffrey, S.S.; et al. Gene Expression Patterns of Breast Carcinomas Distinguish Tumor Subclasses with Clinical Implications. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* USA 2001, 98, 10869–10874. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.191367098.
- 8. Prat, A.; Perou, C.M. Deconstructing the Molecular Portraits of Breast Cancer. *Mol. Oncol.* 2011, *5*, 5–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molonc.2010.11.003.
- Howlader, N.; Altekruse, S.F.; Li, C.I.; Chen, V.W.; Clarke, C.A.; Ries, L.A.G.; Cronin, K.A. US Incidence of Breast Cancer Subtypes Defined by Joint Hormone Receptor and HER2 Status. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 2014, 106, dju055. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/dju055.
- Weigelt, B.; Geyer, F.C.; Reis-Filho, J.S. Histological Types of Breast Cancer: How Special Are They? *Mol. Oncol.* 2010, *4*, 192–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molonc.2010.04.004.
- Makki, J. Diversity of Breast Carcinoma: Histological Subtypes and Clinical Relevance. *Clin. Med. Insights Pathol.* 2015, *8*, 23–31. https://doi.org/10.4137/CPath.S31563.
- 12. Weigelt, B.; Baehner, F.L.; Reis-Filho, J.S. The Contribution of Gene Expression Profiling to Breast Cancer Classification, Prognostication and Prediction: A Retrospective of the Last Decade. *J. Pathol.* **2010**, *220*, 263–280. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.2648.
- Prat, A.; Cheang, M.C.U.; Martín, M.; Parker, J.S.; Carrasco, E.; Caballero, R.; Tyldesley, S.; Gelmon, K.; Bernard, P.S.; Nielsen, T.O.; et al. Prognostic Significance of Progesterone Receptor-Positive Tumor Cells within Immunohistochemically Defined Luminal A Breast Cancer. J. Clin. Oncol. 2013, 31, 203–209. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2012.43.4134.
- Tarantino, P.; Hamilton, E.; Tolaney, S.M.; Cortes, J.; Morganti, S.; Ferraro, E.; Marra, A.; Viale, G.; Trapani, D.; Cardoso, F.; et al. HER2-Low Breast Cancer: Pathological and Clinical Landscape. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2020, 38, 1951–1962. https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.19.02488. Epub 2020 Apr 24. PMID: 32330069.
- 15. Hamilton, E.; Shastry, M.; Shiller, S.M.; Ren, R. Targeting HER2 heterogeneity in breast cancer. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 2021, 100, 102286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2021.102286.
- 16. Loibl, S.; Poortmans, P.; Morrow, M.; Denkert, C.; Curigliano, G. Breast Cancer. Lancet 2021, 397, 1750–1769. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32381-3.

- Kovács, T.; Mikó, E.; Ujlaki, G.; Sári, Z.; Bai, P. The Microbiome as a Component of the Tumor Microenvironment. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 2020, 1225, 137–153. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-35727-6_10.
- Costantini, L.; Magno, S.; Albanese, D.; Donati, C.; Molinari, R.; Filippone, A.; Masetti, R.; Merendino, N. Characterization of Human Breast Tissue Microbiota from Core Needle Biopsies through the Analysis of Multi Hypervariable 16S-RRNA Gene Regions. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 16893. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-35329-z.
- 19. Eslami-S, Z.; Majidzadeh-A, K.; Halvaei, S.; Babapirali, F.; Esmaeili, R. Microbiome and Breast Cancer: New Role for an Ancient Population. *Front. Oncol.* 2020, *10*, 120. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.00120.
- Kwa, M.; Plottel, C.S.; Blaser, M.J.; Adams, S. The Intestinal Microbiome and Estrogen Receptor-Positive Female Breast Cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2016, 108, djw029. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djw029.
- Kavlock, R.J.; Daston, G.P.; DeRosa, C.; Fenner-Crisp, P.; Gray, L.E.; Kaattari, S.; Lucier, G.; Luster, M.; Mac, M.J.; Maczka, C.; et al. Research Needs for the Risk Assessment of Health and Environmental Effects of Endocrine Disruptors: A Report of the U.S. EPA-Sponsored Workshop. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 1996, 104 (Suppl. 4), 715–740. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.96104s4715.
- Rietjens, I.M.C.M.; Louisse, J.; Beekmann, K. The Potential Health Effects of Dietary Phytoestrogens. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2017, 174, 1263–1280. https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.13622.
- 23. Muhleisen, A.L.; Herbst-Kralovetz, M.M. Menopause and the Vaginal Microbiome. *Maturitas* **2016**, *91*, 42–50. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2016.05.015.
- Toran-Allerand, C.D.; Miranda, R.C.; Bentham, W.D.; Sohrabji, F.; Brown, T.J.; Hochberg, R.B.; MacLusky, N.J. Estrogen Receptors Colocalize with Low-Affinity Nerve Growth Factor Receptors in Cholinergic Neurons of the Basal Forebrain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1992, *89*, 4668–4672. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.89.10.4668.
- Kabir, E.R.; Rahman, M.S.; Rahman, I. A Review on Endocrine Disruptors and Their Possible Impacts on Human Health. Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol. 2015, 40, 241–258. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2015.06.009.
- 26. Monneret, C. What Is an Endocrine Disruptor? C. R. Biol. 2017, 340, 403-405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2017.07.004.
- Gore, A.C.; Chappell, V.A.; Fenton, S.E.; Flaws, J.A.; Nadal, A.; Prins, G.S.; Toppari, J.; Zoeller, R.T. EDC-2: The Endocrine Society's Second Scientific Statement on Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals. *Endocr. Rev.* 2015, 36, E1–E150. https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2015-1010.
- Cohn, B.A.; La Merrill, M.A.; Krigbaum, N.Y.; Wang, M.; Park, J.-S.; Petreas, M.; Yeh, G.; Hovey, R.C.; Zimmermann, L.; Cirillo, P.M. In Utero Exposure to Poly- and Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs) and Subsequent Breast Cancer. *Reprod. Toxicol.* 2020, 92, 112–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2019.06.012.
- 29. Heindel, J.J.; Newbold, R.; Schug, T.T. Endocrine Disruptors and Obesity. Nat. Rev. Endocrinol. 2015, 11, 653–661. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrendo.2015.163.
- Sargis, R.M. Metabolic Disruption in Context: Clinical Avenues for Synergistic Perturbations in Energy Homeostasis by Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals. *Endocr. Disruptors.* 2015, *3*, e1080788. https://doi.org/10.1080/23273747.2015.1080788.
- 31. Barouki, R. Endocrine Disruptors: Revisiting Concepts and Dogma in Toxicology. C. R. Biol. 2017, 340, 410–413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crvi.2017.07.005.
- 32. Williams, G.P.; Darbre, P.D. Low-Dose Environmental Endocrine Disruptors, Increase Aromatase Activity, Estradiol Biosynthesis and Cell Proliferation in Human Breast Cells. *Mol. Cell. Endocrinol.* **2019**, *486*, 55–64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2019.02.016.
- Zama, A.M.; Uzumcu, M. Epigenetic Effects of Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals on Female Reproduction: An Ovarian Perspective. Front. Neuroendocrinol. 2010, 31, 420–439. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yfrne.2010.06.003.
- Giulivo, M.; Lopez de Alda, M.; Capri, E.; Barceló, D. Human Exposure to Endocrine Disrupting Compounds: Their Role in Reproductive Systems, Metabolic Syndrome and Breast Cancer. A Review. *Environ. Res.* 2016, 151, 251–264. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.07.011.
- 35. Burks, H.; Pashos, N.; Martin, E.; Mclachlan, J.; Bunnell, B.; Burow, M. Endocrine Disruptors and the Tumor Microenvironment: A New Paradigm in Breast Cancer Biology. Mol Cell Endocrinol **2017**, 457, 13–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2016.12.010.
- Quagliariello, V.; Rossetti, S.; Cavaliere, C.; Di Palo, R.; Lamantia, E.; Castaldo, L.; Nocerino, F.; Ametrano, G.; Cappuccio, F.; Malzone, G.; et al. Metabolic Syndrome, Endocrine Disruptors and Prostate Cancer Associations: Biochemical and Pathophysiological Evidences. *Oncotarget* 2017, *8*, 30606–30616. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.16725.
- Rodgers, K.M.; Udesky, J.O.; Rudel, R.A.; Brody, J.G. Environmental Chemicals and Breast Cancer: An Updated Review of Epidemiological Literature Informed by Biological Mechanisms. *Environ. Res.* 2018, 160, 152–182. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.08.045.
- Diamanti-Kandarakis, E.; Bourguignon, J.-P.; Giudice, L.C.; Hauser, R.; Prins, G.S.; Soto, A.M.; Zoeller, R.T.; Gore, A.C. Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals: An Endocrine Society Scientific Statement. *Endocr. Rev.* 2009, 30, 293–342. https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2009-0002.
- Calaf, G.M.; Ponce-Cusi, R.; Aguayo, F.; Muñoz, J.P.; Bleak, T.C. Endocrine Disruptors from the Environment Affecting Breast Cancer. Oncol. Lett. 2020, 20, 19–32. https://doi.org/10.3892/ol.2020.11566.
- Sengupta, S.; Obiorah, I.; Maximov, P.Y.; Curpan, R.; Jordan, V.C. Molecular Mechanism of Action of Bisphenol and Bisphenol A Mediated by Oestrogen Receptor Alpha in Growth and Apoptosis of Breast Cancer Cells. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2013, 169, 167–178. https://doi.org/10.1111/bph.12122.
- 41. Mlynarcikova, A.; Macho, L.; Fickova, M. Bisphenol A Alone or in Combination with Estradiol Modulates Cell Cycle- and Apoptosis-Related Proteins and Genes in MCF7 Cells. *Endocr. Regul.* **2013**, *47*, 189–199. https://doi.org/10.4149/endo_2013_04_189.

- Katchy, A.; Pinto, C.; Jonsson, P.; Nguyen-Vu, T.; Pandelova, M.; Riu, A.; Schramm, K.-W.; Samarov, D.; Gustafsson, J.-Å.; Bondesson, M.; et al. Coexposure to Phytoestrogens and Bisphenol a Mimics Estrogenic Effects in an Additive Manner. *Toxicol. Sci.* 2014, 138, 21–35. https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kft271.
- Rocha, P.R.S.; Oliveira, V.D.; Vasques, C.I.; Dos Reis, P.E.D.; Amato, A.A. Exposure to Endocrine Disruptors and Risk of Breast Cancer: A Systematic Review. Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol. 2021, 161, 103330. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.critrevonc.2021.103330.
- 44. Javed, A.; Lteif, A. Development of the Human Breast. Semin. Plast. Surg. 2013, 27, 5–12. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-1343989.
- 45. Gulledge, C.C.; Burow, M.E.; McLachlan, J.A. Endocrine Disruption in Sexual Differentiation and Puberty. What Do Pseudohermaphroditic Polar Bears Have to Do with the Practice of Pediatrics? *Pediatr. Clin. North. Am.* 2001, *48*, 1223–1240. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0031-3955(05)70371-0.
- 46. Paulose, T.; Speroni, L.; Sonnenschein, C.; Soto, A.M. Estrogens in the Wrong Place at the Wrong Time: Fetal BPA Exposure and Mammary Cancer. *Reprod. Toxicol.* **2015**, *54*, 58–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.reprotox.2014.09.012.
- Palmer, J.R.; Wise, L.A.; Hatch, E.E.; Troisi, R.; Titus-Ernstoff, L.; Strohsnitter, W.; Kaufman, R.; Herbst, A.L.; Noller, K.L.; Hyer, M.; et al. Prenatal Diethylstilbestrol Exposure and Risk of Breast Cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 2006, 15, 1509–1514. https://doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-06-0109.
- Thompson, W.D.; Janerich, D.T. Maternal Age at Birth and Risk of Breast Cancer in Daughters. *Epidemiology* 1990, 1, 101–106. https://doi.org/10.1097/00001648-199003000-00004.
- Arrebola, J.P.; Belhassen, H.; Artacho-Cordón, F.; Ghali, R.; Ghorbel, H.; Boussen, H.; Perez-Carrascosa, F.M.; Expósito, J.; Hedhili, A.; Olea, N. Risk of Female Breast Cancer and Serum Concentrations of Organochlorine Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls: A Case-Control Study in Tunisia. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2015, *520*, 106–113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.03.045.
- Boada, L.D.; Zumbado, M.; Henríquez-Hernández, L.A.; Almeida-González, M.; Alvarez-León, E.E.; Serra-Majem, L.; Luzardo, O.P. Complex Organochlorine Pesticide Mixtures as Determinant Factor for Breast Cancer Risk: A Population-Based Case-Control Study in the Canary Islands (Spain). *Environ. Health* 2012, *11*, 28. https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-11-28.
- 51. Yang, J.-Z.; Wang, Z.-X.; Ma, L.-H.; Shen, X.-B.; Sun, Y.; Hu, D.-W.; Sun, L.-X. The Organochlorine Pesticides Residues in the Invasive Ductal Breast Cancer Patients. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* **2015**, *40*, 698–703. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2015.07.007.
- 52. Cohn, B.A.; La Merrill, M.; Krigbaum, N.Y.; Yeh, G.; Park, J.-S.; Zimmermann, L.; Cirillo, P.M. DDT Exposure in Utero and Breast Cancer. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2015, 100, 2865–2872. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2015-1841.
- Aronson, K.J.; Miller, A.B.; Woolcott, C.G.; Sterns, E.E.; McCready, D.R.; Lickley, L.A.; Fish, E.B.; Hiraki, G.Y.; Holloway, C.; Ross, T.; et al. Breast Adipose Tissue Concentrations of Polychlorinated Biphenyls and Other Organochlorines and Breast Cancer Risk. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 2000, 9, 55–63.
- 54. Recio-Vega, R.; Velazco-Rodriguez, V.; Ocampo-Gómez, G.; Hernandez-Gonzalez, S.; Ruiz-Flores, P.; Lopez-Marquez, F. Serum Levels of Polychlorinated Biphenyls in Mexican Women and Breast Cancer Risk. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* **2011**, *31*, 270–278. https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.1672.
- Eriksen, K.T.; McElroy, J.A.; Harrington, J.M.; Levine, K.E.; Pedersen, C.; Sørensen, M.; Tjønneland, A.; Meliker, J.R.; Raaschou-Nielsen, O. Urinary Cadmium and Breast Cancer: A Prospective Danish Cohort Study. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2017, 109, djw204. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djw204.
- 56. Nagata, C.; Nagao, Y.; Nakamura, K.; Wada, K.; Tamai, Y.; Tsuji, M.; Yamamoto, S.; Kashiki, Y. Cadmium Exposure and the Risk of Breast Cancer in Japanese Women. *Breast. Cancer Res. Treat.* **2013**, *138*, 235–239. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-013-2414-4.
- Stellman, S.D.; Djordjevic, M.V.; Britton, J.A.; Muscat, J.E.; Citron, M.L.; Kemeny, M.; Busch, E.; Gong, L. Breast Cancer Risk in Relation to Adipose Concentrations of Organochlorine Pesticides and Polychlorinated Biphenyls in Long Island, New York. *Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev.* 2000, 9, 1241–1249.
- Zhang, X.-L.; Liu, N.; Weng, S.-F.; Wang, H.-S. Bisphenol A Increases the Migration and Invasion of Triple-Negative Breast Cancer Cells via Oestrogen-Related Receptor Gamma. *Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol.* 2016, 119, 389–395. https://doi.org/10.1111/bcpt.12591.
- Jenkins, S.; Wang, J.; Eltoum, I.; Desmond, R.; Lamartiniere, C.A. Chronic Oral Exposure to Bisphenol A Results in a Nonmonotonic Dose Response in Mammary Carcinogenesis and Metastasis in MMTV-ErbB2 Mice. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2011, 119, 1604–1609. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1103850.
- Struijs, K.; Vincken, J.-P.; Gruppen, H. Bacterial Conversion of Secoisolariciresinol and Anhydrosecoisolariciresinol. J. Appl. Microbiol. 2009, 107, 308–317. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.2009.04209.x.
- 61. Gaya, P.; Medina, M.; Sánchez-Jiménez, A.; Landete, J.M. Phytoestrogen Metabolism by Adult Human Gut Microbiota. *Molecules* **2016**, *21*, E1034. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules21081034.
- 62. Roncaglia, L.; Amaretti, A.; Raimondi, S.; Leonardi, A.; Rossi, M. Role of Bifidobacteria in the Activation of the Lignan Secoisolariciresinol Diglucoside. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2011**, *92*, 159–168. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-011-3338-8.
- Guadamuro, L.; Delgado, S.; Redruello, B.; Flórez, A.B.; Suárez, A.; Martínez-Camblor, P.; Mayo, B. Equol Status and Changes in Fecal Microbiota in Menopausal Women Receiving Long-Term Treatment for Menopause Symptoms with a Soy-Isoflavone Concentrate. *Front. Microbiol.* 2015, *6*, 777. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2015.00777.
- Cho, S.-Y.; Kim, J.; Lee, J.H.; Sim, J.H.; Cho, D.-H.; Bae, I.-H.; Lee, H.; Seol, M.A.; Shin, H.M.; Kim, T.-J.; et al. Modulation of Gut Microbiota and Delayed Immunosenescence as a Result of Syringaresinol Consumption in Middle-Aged Mice. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, 39026. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39026.
- 65. Gálvez-Ontiveros, Y.; Páez, S.; Monteagudo, C.; Rivas, A. Endocrine Disruptors in Food: Impact on Gut Microbiota and Metabolic Diseases. *Nutrients* **2020**, *12*, E1158. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12041158.

- Velmurugan, G.; Ramprasath, T.; Gilles, M.; Swaminathan, K.; Ramasamy, S. Gut Microbiota, Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals, and the Diabetes Epidemic. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* 2017, 28, 612–625. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2017.05.001.
- 67. Dixon, R.A. Phytoestrogens. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol. 2004, 55, 225–261. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.55.031903.141729.
- Michel, T.; Halabalaki, M.; Skaltsounis, A.-L. New Concepts, Experimental Approaches, and Dereplication Strategies for the Discovery of Novel Phytoestrogens from Natural Sources. Planta Med 2013, 79 514–532. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0032-1328300.
- 69. Lissin, L.W.; Cooke, J.P. Phytoestrogens and Cardiovascular Health. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 2000, 35, 1403–1410. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0735-1097(00)00590-8.
- Turner, J.V.; Agatonovic-Kustrin, S.; Glass, B.D. Molecular Aspects of Phytoestrogen Selective Binding at Estrogen Receptors. J. Pharm. Sci. 2007, 96, 1879–1885. https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.20987.
- 71. Zhang, G.Q.; Chen, J.L.; Liu, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Zeng, H.; Zhao, Y. Soy intake is associated with lower endometrial cancer risk: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *Medicine* **2015**, *94*, e2281.
- 72. Messina, M. Soy and health update: Evaluation of the clinical and epidemiologic literature. Nutrients 2016, 8, 754.
- 73. Meagher, L.P.; Bentz, E.K. Assessment of data on the lignan content of foods. J. Food Compos. Anal. 2000, 13, 935–947.
- Kuijsten, A.; Arts, I.C.; van't Veer, P.; Hollman, P.C. The relative bioavailability of enterolignans in humans is enhanced by milling and crushing of flaxseed. J. Nutr. 2005, 135, 2812–2816.
- 75. Poluzzi, E.; Piccinni, C.; Raschi, E.; Rampa, A.; Recanatini, M.; ; De Ponti, F. Phytoestrogens in postmenopause: The state of the art from a chemical, pharmacological and regulatory perspective. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *21*, 417–436. https://doi.org/10.2174/09298673113206660297.
- 76. Bagchi, D.; Das, D.K.; Tosaki, A.; Bagchi, M.; Kothari, S.C. Benefits of resveratrol in women's health. *Drugs Exp. Clin. Res.* 2001, 27, 233–248.
- Fitzpatrick, L.A. Phytoestrogens--Mechanism of Action and Effect on Bone Markers and Bone Mineral Density. *Endocrinol.* Metab. Clin. North Am. 2003, 32, 233–252. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0889-8529(02)00085-3.
- Lecomte, S.; Demay, F.; Ferrière, F.; Pakdel, F. Phytochemicals Targeting Estrogen Receptors: Beneficial Rather Than Adverse Effects? Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2017, 18, E1381. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18071381.
- Soto, A.M.; Sonnenschein, C.; Chung, K.L.; Fernandez, M.F.; Olea, N.; Serrano, F.O. The E-SCREEN Assay as a Tool to Identify Estrogens: An Update on Estrogenic Environmental Pollutants. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 1995, 103 (Suppl. 7), 113–122. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.95103s7113.
- Mueller, S.O.; Simon, S.; Chae, K.; Metzler, M.; Korach, K.S. Phytoestrogens and Their Human Metabolites Show Distinct Agonistic and Antagonistic Properties on Estrogen Receptor Alpha (ERalpha) and ERbeta in Human Cells. *Toxicol. Sci.* 2004, 80, 14– 25. https://doi.org/10.1093/toxsci/kfh147.
- Basu, P.; Maier, C. Phytoestrogens and Breast Cancer: In Vitro Anticancer Activities of Isoflavones, Lignans, Coumestans, Stilbenes and Their Analogs and Derivatives. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2018, 107, 1648–1666. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.08.100.
- 82. Stojanov, S.; Kreft, S. Gut Microbiota and the Metabolism of Phytoestrogens. *Rev. Bras. Farmacogn.* 2020, 30, 145–154. https://doi.org/10.1007/s43450-020-00049-x.
- 83. Arrieta, M.-C.; Stiemsma, L.T.; Amenyogbe, N.; Brown, E.M.; Finlay, B. The Intestinal Microbiome in Early Life: Health and Disease. *Front. Immunol.* **2014**, *5*, 427. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2014.00427.
- Selber-Hnatiw, S.; Sultana, T.; Tse, W.; Abdollahi, N.; Abdullah, S.; Al Rahbani, J.; Alazar, D.; Alrumhein, N.J.; Aprikian, S.; Arshad, R.; et al. Metabolic Networks of the Human Gut Microbiota. *Microbiology* 2020, 166, 96–119. https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.000853.
- Flores, R.; Shi, J.; Fuhrman, B.; Xu, X.; Veenstra, T.D.; Gail, M.H.; Gajer, P.; Ravel, J.; Goedert, J.J. Fecal Microbial Determinants of Fecal and Systemic Estrogens and Estrogen Metabolites: A Cross-Sectional Study. J. Transl. Med. 2012, 10, 253. https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5876-10-253.
- Fuhrman, B.J.; Feigelson, H.S.; Flores, R.; Gail, M.H.; Xu, X.; Ravel, J.; Goedert, J.J. Associations of the Fecal Microbiome with Urinary Estrogens and Estrogen Metabolites in Postmenopausal Women. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2014, 99, 4632–4640. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2014-2222.
- Goedert, J.J.; Jones, G.; Hua, X.; Xu, X.; Yu, G.; Flores, R.; Falk, R.T.; Gail, M.H.; Shi, J.; Ravel, J.; et al. Investigation of the Association between the Fecal Microbiota and Breast Cancer in Postmenopausal Women: A Population-Based Case-Control Pilot Study. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 2015, 107, djv147. https://doi.org/10.1093/jnci/djv147.
- 88. Milligan, S.R.; Kalita, J.C.; Heyerick, A.; Rong, H.; De Cooman, L.; De Keukeleire, D. Identification of a Potent Phytoestrogen in Hops (Humulus Lupulus L.) and Beer. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. **1999**, *84*, 2249–2252. https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem.84.6.5887.
- Bode, L.M.; Bunzel, D.; Huch, M.; Cho, G.-S.; Ruhland, D.; Bunzel, M.; Bub, A.; Franz, C.M.A.P.; Kulling, S.E. In Vivo and in Vitro Metabolism of Trans-Resveratrol by Human Gut Microbiota. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 2013, 97, 295–309. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.112.049379.
- Chen, M.; Yi, L.; Zhang, Y.; Zhou, X.; Ran, L.; Yang, J.; Zhu, J.; Zhang, Q.; Mi, M. Resveratrol Attenuates Trimethylamine-N-Oxide (TMAO)-Induced Atherosclerosis by Regulating TMAO Synthesis and Bile Acid Metabolism via Remodeling of the Gut Microbiota. *MBio* 2016, 7, e02210–02215. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02210-15.
- Sung, M.M.; Kim, T.T.; Denou, E.; Soltys, C.-L.M.; Hamza, S.M.; Byrne, N.J.; Masson, G.; Park, H.; Wishart, D.S.; Madsen, K.L.; et al. Improved Glucose Homeostasis in Obese Mice Treated with Resveratrol Is Associated with Alterations in the Gut Microbiome. *Diabetes* 2017, 66, 418–425. https://doi.org/10.2337/db16-0680.

- Sung, M.M.; Byrne, N.J.; Robertson, I.M.; Kim, T.T.; Samokhvalov, V.; Levasseur, J.; Soltys, C.-L.; Fung, D.; Tyreman, N.; Denou, E.; et al. Resveratrol Improves Exercise Performance and Skeletal Muscle Oxidative Capacity in Heart Failure. *Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol.* 2017, *312*, H842–H853. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpheart.00455.2016.
- Kim, T.T.; Parajuli, N.; Sung, M.M.; Bairwa, S.C.; Levasseur, J.; Soltys, C.-L.M.; Wishart, D.S.; Madsen, K.; Schertzer, J.D.; Dyck, J.R.B. Fecal Transplant from Resveratrol-Fed Donors Improves Glycaemia and Cardiovascular Features of the Metabolic Syndrome in Mice. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2018, *315*, E511–E519. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00471.2017.
- 94. Baker, J.M.; Al-Nakkash, L.; Herbst-Kralovetz, M.M. Estrogen-Gut Microbiome Axis: Physiological and Clinical Implications. *Maturitas* **2017**, *103*, 45–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.maturitas.2017.06.025.
- Integrative HMP (iHMP) Research Network Consortium. The Integrative Human Microbiome Project. Nature 2019, 569, 641– 648. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1238-8.
- 96. Cavuoto, P.; Fenech, M.F. A Review of Methionine Dependency and the Role of Methionine Restriction in Cancer Growth Control and Life-Span Extension. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 2012, *38*, 726–736. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2012.01.004.
- 97. Hoffman, R.M. Development of Recombinant Methioninase to Target the General Cancer-Specific Metabolic Defect of Methionine Dependence: A 40-Year Odyssey. *Expert Opin. Biol. Ther.* **2015**, *15*, 21–31. https://doi.org/10.1517/14712598.2015.963050.
- Filippone, A.; Magno, S. Clinical Connections Between the Microbiota and Breast Cancer (Onset, Progression and Management). In *Comprehensive Gut Microbiota*; Glibetic, M., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2022; Volume 1, pp. 35–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-819265-8.00099-1.
- 99. Adlercreutz, H. Western Diet and Western Diseases: Some Hormonal and Biochemical Mechanisms and Associations. *Scand. J. Clin. Lab. Invest. Suppl.* **1990**, 201, 3–23.
- Goldin, B.R.; Adlercreutz, H.; Gorbach, S.L.; Warram, J.H.; Dwyer, J.T.; Swenson, L.; Woods, M.N. Estrogen Excretion Patterns and Plasma Levels in Vegetarian and Omnivorous Women. N. Engl. J. Med. 1982, 307, 1542–1547. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM198212163072502.
- 101. Saarinen, N.M.; Wärri, A.; Airio, M.; Smeds, A.; Mäkelä, S. Role of Dietary Lignans in the Reduction of Breast Cancer Risk. Mol. Nutr. Food Res. 2007, 51, 857–866. https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.200600240.
- 102. Selber-Hnatiw, S.; Rukundo, B.; Ahmadi, M.; Akoubi, H.; Al-Bizri, H.; Aliu, A.F.; Ambeaghen, T.U.; Avetisyan, L.; Bahar, I.; Baird, A.; et al. Human Gut Microbiota: Toward an Ecology of Disease. *Front. Microbiol.* 2017, *8*, 1265. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01265.
- Adlercreutz, H.; Martin, F.; Pulkkinen, M.; Dencker, H.; Rimér, U.; Sjöberg, N.O.; Tikkanen, M.J. Intestinal Metabolism of Estrogens. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 1976, 43, 497–505. https://doi.org/10.1210/jcem-43-3-497.
- 104. Yaghjyan, L.; Colditz, G.A. Estrogens in the Breast Tissue: A Systematic Review. *Cancer Causes Control.* 2011, 22, 529–540. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-011-9729-4.
- 105. Chan, A.A.; Bashir, M.; Rivas, M.N.; Duvall, K.; Sieling, P.A.; Pieber, T.R.; Vaishampayan, P.A.; Love, S.M.; Lee, D.J. Characterization of the Microbiome of Nipple Aspirate Fluid of Breast Cancer Survivors. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 28061. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep28061.
- 106. Gorbach, S.L.; Goldin, B.R. Diet and the Excretion and Enterohepatic Cycling of Estrogens. *Prev. Med.* 1987, *16*, 525–531. https://doi.org/10.1016/0091-7435(87)90067-3.
- 107. Alpuim Costa, D.; Nobre, J.G.; Batista, M.V.; Ribeiro, C.; Calle, C.; Cortes, A.; Marhold, M.; Negreiros, I.; Borralho, P.; Brito, M.; et al. Human Microbiota and Breast Cancer—Is There Any Relevant Link?—A Literature Review and New Horizons Toward Personalised Medicine. *Front. Microbiol.* 2021, 12, 584332. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.584332.
- 108. Wiebe, J.P.; Muzia, D.; Hu, J.; Szwajcer, D.; Hill, S.A.; Seachrist, J.L. The 4-Pregnene and 5α-Pregnane Progesterone Metabolites Formed in Nontumorous and Tumorous Breast Tissue Have Opposite Effects on Breast Cell Proliferation and Adhesion1. *Cancer Res.* 2000, 60, 936–943.
- Urbaniak, C.; Gloor, G.B.; Brackstone, M.; Scott, L.; Tangney, M.; Reid, G. The Microbiota of Breast Tissue and Its Association with Breast Cancer. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2016, 82, 5039–5048. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01235-16.
- 110. Chadha, J.; Nandi, D.; Atri, Y.; Nag, A. Significance of Human Microbiome in Breast Cancer: Tale of an Invisible and an Invincible. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **2021**, *70*, 112–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2020.07.010.
- 111. Xuan, C.; Shamonki, J.M.; Chung, A.; Dinome, M.L.; Chung, M.; Sieling, P.A.; Lee, D.J. Microbial Dysbiosis Is Associated with Human Breast Cancer. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e83744. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083744.
- 112. Lawani-Luwaji, E.U.; Alade, T. Sphingomonadaceae: Protective against Breast Cancer? Bull. Natl. Res. Cent. 2020, 44, 191. https://doi.org/10.1186/s42269-020-00447-0.
- 113. Karabin, M.; Hudcova, T.; Jelinek, L.; Dostalek, P. Biotransformations and Biological Activities of Hop Flavonoids. *Biotechnol. Adv.* **2015**, *33* (6 Pt 2), 1063–1090. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2015.02.009.
- 114. Possemiers, S.; Heyerick, A.; Robbens, V.; De Keukeleire, D.; Verstraete, W. Activation of Proestrogens from Hops (Humulus Lupulus L.) by Intestinal Microbiota; Conversion of Isoxanthohumol into 8-Prenylnaringenin. J. Agric. Food Chem. 2005, 53, 6281–6288. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf0509714.
- 115. Overk, C.R.; Yao, P.; Chadwick, L.R.; Nikolic, D.; Sun, Y.; Cuendet, M.A.; Deng, Y.; Hedayat, A.S.; Pauli, G.F.; Farnsworth, N.R.; et al. Comparison of the in Vitro Estrogenic Activities of Compounds from Hops (Humulus Lupulus) and Red Clover (Trifolium Pratense). J. Agric. Food Chem. 2005, 53, 6246–6253. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf050448p.

- Schaefer, O.; Hümpel, M.; Fritzemeier, K.-H.; Bohlmann, R.; Schleuning, W.-D. 8-Prenyl Naringenin Is a Potent ERalpha Selective Phytoestrogen Present in Hops and Beer. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 2003, 84, 359–360. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0960-0760(03)00050-5.
- Rosenfeld, C.S. Gut Dysbiosis in Animals Due to Environmental Chemical Exposures. Front. Cell Infect. Microbiol. 2017, 7, 396. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2017.00396.
- 118. Andújar, N.; Gálvez-Ontiveros, Y.; Zafra-Gómez, A.; Rodrigo, L.; Álvarez-Cubero, M.J.; Aguilera, M.; Monteagudo, C.; Rivas, A.A. Bisphenol A Analogues in Food and Their Hormonal and Obesogenic Effects: A Review. *Nutrients* 2019, *11*, E2136. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11092136.
- Claus, S.P.; Guillou, H.; Ellero-Simatos, S. Erratum: The Gut Microbiota: A Major Player in the Toxicity of Environmental Pollutants? NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes 2017, 3, 17001. https://doi.org/10.1038/npjbiofilms.2017.1.
- Snedeker, S.M.; Hay, A.G. Do Interactions between Gut Ecology and Environmental Chemicals Contribute to Obesity and Diabetes? *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2012, 120, 332–339. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104204.
- 121. Cresci, G.A.; Bawden, E. Gut Microbiome: What We Do and Don't Know. Nutr. Clin. Pract. 2015, 30, 734–746. https://doi.org/10.1177/0884533615609899.
- Heintz-Buschart, A.; Wilmes, P. Human Gut Microbiome: Function Matters. Trends Microbiol. 2018, 26, 563–574. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2017.11.002.
- 123. Salvucci, E. The Human-Microbiome Superorganism and Its Modulation to Restore Health. *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2019, 70, 781–795. https://doi.org/10.1080/09637486.2019.1580682.
- Clavel, T.; Henderson, G.; Alpert, C.-A.; Philippe, C.; Rigottier-Gois, L.; Doré, J.; Blaut, M. Intestinal Bacterial Communities That Produce Active Estrogen-like Compounds Enterodiol and Enterolactone in Humans. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2005, 71, 6077– 6085. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.71.10.6077-6085.2005.
- 125. Li, Z.; Henning, S.M.; Lee, R.-P.; Lu, Q.-Y.; Summanen, P.H.; Thames, G.; Corbett, K.; Downes, J.; Tseng, C.-H.; Finegold, S.M.; et al. Pomegranate Extract Induces Ellagitannin Metabolite Formation and Changes Stool Microbiota in Healthy Volunteers. *Food Funct*. 2015, *6*, 2487–2495. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5FO00669D.
- Luo, J.; Li, Y.; Mai, Y.; Gao, L.; Ou, S.; Wang, Y.; Liu, L.; Peng, X. Flaxseed Gum Reduces Body Weight by Regulating Gut Microbiota. J. Funct. Foods 2018, 47, 136–142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2018.05.042.
- 127. Giuliani, C.; Marzorati, M.; Innocenti, M.; Vilchez-Vargas, R.; Vital, M.; Pieper, D.H.; Van de Wiele, T.; Mulinacci, N. Dietary Supplement Based on Stilbenes: A Focus on Gut Microbial Metabolism by the in Vitro Simulator M-SHIME[®]. *Food Funct.* 2016, 7, 4564–4575. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6fo00784h.
- 128. Landete, J.M.; Gaya, P.; Rodríguez, E.; Langa, S.; Peirotén, Á.; Medina, M.; Arqués, J.L. Probiotic Bacteria for Healthier Aging: Immunomodulation and Metabolism of Phytoestrogens. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2017, 2017, 5939818. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5939818.
- 129. McCann, S.E.; Thompson, L.U.; Nie, J.; Dorn, J.; Trevisan, M.; Shields, P.G.; Ambrosone, C.B.; Edge, S.B.; Li, H.-F.; Kasprzak, C.; et al. Dietary Lignan Intakes in Relation to Survival among Women with Breast Cancer: The Western New York Exposures and Breast Cancer (WEB) Study. Breast Cancer Res. Treat. 2010, 122, 229–235. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10549-009-0681-x.
- Org, E.; Mehrabian, M.; Parks, B.W.; Shipkova, P.; Liu, X.; Drake, T.A.; Lusis, A.J. Sex Differences and Hormonal Effects on Gut Microbiota Composition in Mice. *Gut Microbes* 2016, 7, 313–322. https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2016.1203502.
- Van de Wiele, T.; Vanhaecke, L.; Boeckaert, C.; Peru, K.; Headley, J.; Verstraete, W.; Siciliano, S. Human Colon Microbiota Transform Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons to Estrogenic Metabolites. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2005, 113, 6–10. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.7259.
- Feng, P.; Ye, Z.; Kakade, A.; Virk, A.K.; Li, X.; Liu, P. A Review on Gut Remediation of Selected Environmental Contaminants: Possible Roles of Probiotics and Gut Microbiota. *Nutrients* 2019, 11, 22. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu11010022.
- Choi, Y.J.; Seelbach, M.J.; Pu, H.; Eum, S.Y.; Chen, L.; Zhang, B.; Hennig, B.; Toborek, M. Polychlorinated Biphenyls Disrupt Intestinal Integrity via NADPH Oxidase-Induced Alterations of Tight Junction Protein Expression. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2010, 118, 976–981. https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901751.
- 134. Blandino, G.; Inturri, R.; Lazzara, F.; Di Rosa, M.; Malaguarnera, L. Impact of Gut Microbiota on Diabetes Mellitus. *Diabetes Metab* 2016, 42, 303–315. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabet.2016.04.004.
- Barlow, G.M.; Yu, A.; Mathur, R. Role of the Gut Microbiome in Obesity and Diabetes Mellitus. *Nutr. Clin. Pract.* 2015, 30, 787– 797. https://doi.org/10.1177/0884533615609896.
- 136. Maruvada, P.; Leone, V.; Kaplan, L.M.; Chang, E.B. The Human Microbiome and Obesity: Moving beyond Associations. *Cell Host Microbe*. **2017**, *22*, 589–599. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.10.005.
- 137. Bouter, K.E.; van Raalte, D.H.; Groen, A.K.; Nieuwdorp, M. Role of the Gut Microbiome in the Pathogenesis of Obesity and Obesity-Related Metabolic Dysfunction. *Gastroenterology* **2017**, *152*, 1671–1678. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2016.12.048.
- 138. Chen, X.; Devaraj, S. Gut Microbiome in Obesity, Metabolic Syndrome, and Diabetes. Curr. Diab. Rep. 2018, 18, 129. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11892-018-1104-3.
- 139. Vallianou, N.G.; Stratigou, T.; Tsagarakis, S. Microbiome and Diabetes: Where Are We Now? *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* 2018, 146, 111–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2018.10.008.
- Saad, M.J.A.; Santos, A.; Prada, P.O. Linking Gut Microbiota and Inflammation to Obesity and Insulin Resistance. *Physiology* 2016, 31, 283–293. https://doi.org/10.1152/physiol.00041.2015.

- Dalamaga, M. Obesity, Insulin Resistance, Adipocytokines and Breast Cancer: New Biomarkers and Attractive Therapeutic Targets. World J. Exp. Med. 2013, 3, 34–42. https://doi.org/10.5493/wjem.v3.i3.34.
- 142. Crisóstomo, J.; Matafome, P.; Santos-Silva, D.; Gomes, A.L.; Gomes, M.; Patrício, M.; Letra, L.; Sarmento-Ribeiro, A.B.; Santos, L.; Seiça, R. Hyperresistinemia and Metabolic Dysregulation: A Risky Crosstalk in Obese Breast Cancer. *Endocrine* 2016, 53, 433–442. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-016-0893-x.
- 143. Ruiz, D.; Becerra, M.; Jagai, J.S.; Ard, K.; Sargis, R.M. Disparities in Environmental Exposures to Endocrine-Disrupting Chemicals and Diabetes Risk in Vulnerable Populations. *Diabetes Care* **2018**, *41*, 193–205. https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-2765.
- 144. Chevalier, N.; Fénichel, P. Endocrine Disruptors: New Players in the Pathophysiology of Type 2 Diabetes? *Diabetes Metab* 2015, 41, 107–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabet.2014.09.005.
- 145. Casals-Casas, C.; Desvergne, B. Endocrine Disruptors: From Endocrine to Metabolic Disruption. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **2011**, *73*, 135–162. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-012110-142200.
- Bodin, J.; Stene, L.C.; Nygaard, U.C. Can Exposure to Environmental Chemicals Increase the Risk of Diabetes Type 1 Development? *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2015, 2015, 208947. https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/208947.
- 147. Petrakis, D.; Vassilopoulou, L.; Mamoulakis, C.; Psycharakis, C.; Anifantaki, A.; Sifakis, S.; Docea, A.O.; Tsiaoussis, J.; Makrigiannakis, A.; Tsatsakis, A.M. Endocrine Disruptors Leading to Obesity and Related Diseases. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2017, 14, E1282. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14101282.
- 148. Le Magueresse-Battistoni, B.; Multigner, L.; Beausoleil, C.; Rousselle, C. Effects of Bisphenol A on Metabolism and Evidences of a Mode of Action Mediated through Endocrine Disruption. *Mol. Cell Endocrinol.* 2018, 475, 74–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2018.02.009.
- 149. Ahn, C.; Kang, H.-S.; Lee, J.-H.; Hong, E.-J.; Jung, E.-M.; Yoo, Y.-M.; Jeung, E.-B. Bisphenol A and Octylphenol Exacerbate Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus by Disrupting Calcium Homeostasis in Mouse Pancreas. *Toxicol. Lett.* 2018, 295, 162–172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.toxlet.2018.06.1071.
- 150. Guo, H.; Yan, H.; Cheng, D.; Wei, X.; Kou, R.; Si, J. Tributyltin Exposure Induces Gut Microbiome Dysbiosis with Increased Body Weight Gain and Dyslipidemia in Mice. *Environ. Toxicol. Pharmacol.* **2018**, *60*, 202–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2018.04.020.
- 151. Bansal, A.; Henao-Mejia, J.; Simmons, R.A. Immune System: An Emerging Player in Mediating Effects of Endocrine Disruptors on Metabolic Health. *Endocrinology* **2018**, *159*, 32–45. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2017-00882.
- 152. Nowak, K.; Jabłońska, E.; Ratajczak-Wrona, W. Immunomodulatory Effects of Synthetic Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals on the Development and Functions of Human Immune Cells. *Environ. Int.* 2019, 125, 350–364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.01.078.
- 153. Coruzzi, G. Overview of Gastrointestinal Toxicology. *Curr. Protoc. Toxicol.* **2010**, 21, 21.1. https://doi.org/10.1002/0471140856.tx2101s43.
- 154. Takiishi, T.; Fenero, C.I.M.; Câmara, N.O.S. Intestinal Barrier and Gut Microbiota: Shaping Our Immune Responses throughout Life. *Tissue Barriers* **2017**, *5*, e1373208. https://doi.org/10.1080/21688370.2017.1373208.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.