



STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

Table 1. Detailed description of cardiac magnetic resonance imaging finding, final diagnosis, and ICD insertion status in Study Population.

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
		(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
Title and abstract	1	(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	1
		Introduction	
Background/ra- tionale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	1,2
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	2
,		Methods	
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	2
, ,		Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, fol-	
Setting	5	low-up, and data collection	2
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	2
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers.	
		Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	3
Data sources/		For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measure-	
measurement	8*	ment). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	3
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	9
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	Na
Quantitative varia-		Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which	114
bles	11	groupings were chosen and why	4
2103	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	4
Statistical methods		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	Na
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	Na
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	Na
		(\underline{e}) Describe any sensitivity analyses	Na
		Results	- 110
		(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, exam-	
		ined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed	4
Participants	13*	(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	4
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	4
		(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on	
		exposures and potential confounders	5
Descriptive data	14*	(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest	Na
		(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	Na
Outcome data	15*	Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time	5
		<u> </u>	
(a) Ci	110 11 0	adjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (e.g., 95% co	on
Main re-		lence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included	N.
sults	110	(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	N
) If rol	evant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a meaningful time period	N
Other anal-	<i>)</i> 11 161	evant, consider translating estimates of relative fish into absolute fish for a meaningful time period	110
yses 17	Rep	port other analyses done—e.g. analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity analyses	N
**		Discussion	_
Key results18		Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	8
19	uss lin	nitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision. Discuss both dire	ec- 9
tions		tion and magnitude of any potential bias	

Interpreta-20 tion	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations, multiplicity of analyses, result from similar studies, and other relevant evidence	ts 8,9
Generalisa- bility 21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	9
	Other information	
Funding 22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	10

^{*} Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups. **Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.