





The Impact of Antioxidants on Vitiligo and Melasma: A Scoping Review and Meta-Analysis

Reinhart Speeckaert ^{1,*}, Vedrana Bulat ², Marijn M. Speeckaert ³ and Nanja van Geel ¹

- ¹ Department of Dermatology, Ghent University Hospital, Corneel Heymanslaan 10, 9000 Ghent, Belgium
- ² Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Centre Zagreb, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia
 ³ Department of Nephrology, Chapt University Hospital, 9000 Chapt Balgium
- ³ Department of Nephrology, Ghent University Hospital, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

* Correspondence: reinhart.speeckaert@uzgent.be; Tel.: +32-9-332-22-98; Fax: +32-9-332-49-96

Abstract: Reactive oxygen species (ROS) generated during melanogenesis make melanocytes particularly vulnerable to oxidative stress, influencing their survival and melanin synthesis. Oxidative stress, significantly present in vitiligo and recently also detected in melasma, triggers inflammatory cascades and melanogenesis, making antioxidants a promising therapeutic avenue. A systematic search was conducted on Embase and Pubmed to study the efficacy of antioxidants for treating vitiligo and/or melasma. Meta-analysis was performed to assess the difference in Melasma Severity Index (MASI) scores between baseline and follow-up. Various antioxidants like polypodium leucotomos, ginkgo biloba, catalase/superoxide dismutase, and vitamin E have potential in vitiligo. For melasma, vitamin C, silymarin, and niacinamide were among those showing promise in reducing pigmentation, with vitamin C displaying significant effects in meta-analysis. Different antioxidants improve both vitiligo and melasma, with an increased minimal erythema dose (MED) following UV exposure being significant for vitiligo and tyrosinase inhibition being crucial for melasma. However, the efficacy of individual antioxidants varies, and their exact mechanisms, especially in stimulating melanocyte proliferation and anti-inflammatory pathways, require further investigation to understand better and optimize their use.

Keywords: vitiligo; melasma; antioxidant; oxidative stress

1. Introduction

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) are part of the normal cellular metabolism produced by mitochondria, perixosomes, and melanosomes [1]. ROS are increased during inflammation and cancer but also due to environmental factors such as UV exposure. Oxidative stress deregulates the normal homeostasis of melanocytes, influencing melanogenesis and their survival [2]. The reactive oxygen species (ROS) released during melanogenesis render melanocytes particularly vulnerable to oxidative stress. Oxidation reactions, superoxide anion (O^{2-}), and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) are produced during melanin synthesis. Melanogenesis takes place in specialized organelles called melanosomes, which protects the other cellular components from oxidative damage [3]. The levels of H_2O_2 after UV exposure correlate inversely with skin pigmentation [4]. In contrast, strongly pigmented melanocytes are more susceptible to UVA-induced DNA damage then pigment cells with low concentrations of melanin [5]. This suggests that the oxidative damage due to the same environmental triggers is likely different across different skin phototypes.

Increased melanocyte cell death due to oxidative stress may contribute to the loss of melanocytes in vitiligo. Numerous studies have confirmed increased erythrocyte superoxide dismutase (SOD), superoxide dismutase in the skin, and decreased skin catalase levels in vitiligo patients [6]. Therefore, an elevated oxidative/antioxidative balance is clearly present in vitiligo. Even in non-lesional vitiligo skin, elevated SOD, glutathione peroxidase (GPx), and deregulated catalase have been documented. GPx converts hydrogen peroxide



Citation: Speeckaert, R.; Bulat, V.; Speeckaert, M.M.; van Geel, N. The Impact of Antioxidants on Vitiligo and Melasma: A Scoping Review and Meta-Analysis. *Antioxidants* **2023**, *12*, 2082. https://doi.org/10.3390/ antiox12122082

Academic Editor: Alessandra Napolitano

Received: 29 October 2023 Revised: 14 November 2023 Accepted: 20 November 2023 Published: 6 December 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). into water and oxygen. This suggests that oxidative stress is likely a contributor to the pathogenesis of vitiligo rather than a secondary phenomenon [7,8]. Oxidative stress can trigger a variety of inflammatory cascades. The misfolding of proteins due to oxidative stress initiates the unfolded protein response (UPR). The UPR induces the production of chemokines [e.g., Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand CXCL16] and dendritic cell activity, contributing to autoantigen presentation [9]. Additionally, the inflammatory environment due to the immune-mediated attack of melanocytes results in a further increase of free radicals and oxidative particles, creating a vicious loop of inflammation and oxidative stress.

More recently, increased oxidative stress has also been shown in melasma. Serum levels of malondialdehyde (MDA), an end product of lipid peroxidation, are increased in melasma patients and correlate with disease severity and disease extent [10]. Vitamin C was decreased in melasma. Serum SOD and glutathione were also reported to be increased in melasma, although no correlation with disease severity or extent was found [11].

Nonetheless, vitiligo and melasma have a clearly different pathogenesis. Vitiligo is primarily an immune-mediated disease where the destruction of melanocytes by IFN- γ producing cytotoxic T cells is considered the primary cause of the loss of melanocytes [12]. However, the inflammatory cascade is complex, involving multiple deregulated pathways. Oxidative stress is likely an initiating and driving factor of the inflammatory response and an epiphenomenon resulting from the production of chemokines and cytokines [13]. In contrast, melasma is caused by a focal hypermelanogenesis triggered through multiple factors, including a genetic predisposition, sun exposure, hormonal stimuli, increased vascularity, and skin inflammation. ROS are produced through external factors such as UV-exposure and during melanogenesis. Oxidative stress in melasma represents the multifactorial damage of melanocytes as deficient antioxidative mechanisms [6].

Both vitiligo and melasma are challenging skin disorders requiring long-term treatment. Antioxidants represent an attractive therapeutic option due to the very low risk of adverse events. In this review, we summarize the evidence around the use of antioxidants as a treatment for vitiligo and melasma and explore the similarities and differences in the types of antioxidants that are useful for both pigmentary disorders.

2. Materials and Methods

A systematic search was conducted in Embase and Pubmed from inception to July 2023. All articles investigating antioxidants as a treatment for vitiligo or melasma were included. First, a preliminary search was done to identify the most common antioxidants used for melasma and vitiligo to be included in the search query. As such, studies that used antioxidants (e.g., vitamin C) for vitiligo or melasma but did not mention the word 'antioxidant' or other search terms referring to oxidative stress could be identified. The final search strategy is added as supplementary material (Supplementary Data S1). The systematic search was done by 2 independent investigators, RS and MS. Only articles in English were considered. All types of original articles were taken into account, including letters and abstracts. Overlapping studies were excluded based on the author lists and descriptions of the same patient population. Only trials that included more than 5 patients were considered. Reviews were excluded. Data on the type of study design, patient size, and efficacy were extracted (Supplementary Data S2). For the vitiligo part, the study population was limited to vitiligo (non-segmental) patients. Patients with segmental vitiligo were excluded from the analysis. Both repigmentation and disease stabilization were considered relevant endpoints to assess the efficacy of antioxidative treatment. For melasma, the melasma severity index (MASI) or modified MASI (mMASI) were collected, if available. This review followed the PRISMA guidance and was not registered in PROSPERO. The PRISMA flow chart can be found in Figure 1. Studies on melasma using combination treatments were not selected if more than one antioxidant was different compared to the control group, as the individual contribution of each antioxidant is impossible to evaluate in these trials. Studies of antioxidants used in peelings were excluded.



Figure 1. PRISMA flow diagram.

Meta-analysis was not possible for vitiligo as the reported outcome measures were too heterogeneous, preventing statistical analysis. In contrast, a meta-analysis was done for antioxidants against melasma in case >2 studies that were available and reported a difference (\pm standard deviation) between baseline and follow-up MASI scores in the interventional and control groups. Funnel plots were created to check for bias. The significance level was set at *p* < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Results in Vitiligo (Table 1)

Thirty-three articles were found, including thirteen on catalase/superoxide dismutase, five on polypodium leucotomos, four on vitamin E, five on vitamin B12, three on ginkgo biloba, and three on lipoic acid.

3.1.1. Catalase/Superoxide Dismutase

Nine studies compared the use of (pseudo)catalase/superoxide dismutase to another treatment or placebo. In 6/9 publications, phototherapy was concurrently used. Moreover, 4/9 found significantly higher repigmentation rates for (pseudo)catalase/superoxide dismutase compared to the control group. In contrast, 4/9 reported no difference compared to the placebo group or patients without treatment. However, one study did find comparable repigmentation of topical catalase/superoxide dismutase compared to 0.05% betamethasone (18.5 \pm 93.14% with betamethasone and 12.4% \pm 59 with C/DSO) [14]. Two studies were performed with oral treatment of which one resulted in a significant positive effect compared to placebo [15]. The second study did not include a comparison group but mentioned excellent repigmentation in 90% of patients on the face and the dorsum of the hands [16].

3.1.2. Polypodium Leucotomos

Five studies used oral polypodium leucotomos, of which four included a control group [17–20]. Moreover, 3/4 of the studies resulted in improved repigmentation rates with

polypodium leucotomos, whereas the only report not mentioning an improved outcome included only eight patients (comparison n = 4 vs. n = 4). In all four trials, a combination with phototherapy was used.

3.1.3. Vitamin E

Three out of four studies using oral vitamin E reported more repigmentation than placebo or no treatment. However, in two of the positive studies, the vitamin supplements included other antioxidants such as α -lipoic acid, vitamin C, carotenoids, and fruit extracts [21,22]. A small study of 15 treated and 15 non-treated patients found no difference in using a multivitamin and antioxidant supplement [23]. For now, we can only state that the addition of vitamin E to supplements containing other antioxidants might lead to increased repigmentation.

3.1.4. Vitamin B12

Five studies were carried out with vitamin B12, although only two had a comparison group. Neither study found a significant difference in oral vitamin B12 compared to no treatment [24,25]. Three studies without a control group reported beneficial results with significant repigmentation in at least half of the patients and a stop of progression from 64–100% [26–28]. However, the data are insufficient to confirm an added value of vitamin B12 in vitiligo.

3.1.5. Ginkgo Biloba

Three studies with oral ginkgo biloba were published. Parsad et al., 2003 [29] found a significantly higher cessation of spread with ginkgo biloba compared to placebo. Another study reported a significant decrease in serum IL-6 in vitiligo patients receiving ginkgo biloba for 4 weeks compared to placebo [30]. Szczurko et al., 2011 found a significant decrease in disease progression and disease extent (mean repigmentation of 15%) 3 months after initiation of ginkgo biloba in young vitiligo patients (12–35 years) [31].

3.1.6. α-Lipoic Acid

 α -lipoic acid has been investigated in 3 randomized controlled trials. Two studies used oral α -lipoic acid in monotherapy but resulted in non-significant results. However, in the study of Li et al., 2015 repigmentation \geq 75% was seen more frequently (55%) compared to the control group (37%) [32]. Another study used a combination of different antioxidants, including α -lipoic acid, vitamin C, vitamin E, and other ingredients, which gave significantly more repigmentation compared to placebo after 24 weeks [21]. Nonetheless, the sample size was small (14 treated vs. 11 placebo patients). The efficacy of α -lipoic acid in vitiligo remains, therefore, unconfirmed.

3.1.7. Other

Other antioxidants have a limited number of studies. In patients receiving phototherapy, oral selenium induced follicular repigmentation in 60.9% of patients, whereas this was only 29.1% in the placebo group [33]. However, another report found no difference in oral selenium used in combination with other antioxidants compared to no antioxidants with phototherapy [23]. Turmeric cream resulted in more repigmentation compared to placebo in an RCT of 4 months [34].

3.2. Melasma (Table 2; Supplementary Table S1)

Fifty-three articles were found on melasma, including eighteen on vitamin C, six on niacinamide, six on cysteamine, five on azelaic acid, four on silymarin, three on polypodium leucotomos, two on tomato extract/lycopene, two on zinc sulfate and seven on other antioxidants.

| Antioxidant | (P)lacebo/No Treatment; (O)ther; (N)o Comparison | (T)opical or (O) Oral | Author | UV | Study Design | (B)etween/(W)ithin | # Pts | Weeks | Outcome |
|--|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|----|---------------------------|--------------------|-------|---------|--|
| Oral gliadin-protected SOD | (P) Placebo | 0 | Fontas et al., 2021 [15] | Y | RCT | B | 25/25 | 24 | I: improvement VES:19.9% (SE:4.6); VES30:6 (24%); VES50:4 (16%) C: improvement VES:8.8% (SE 4.7); VES30:3 (12%); VES50:1 (4%) |
| Pseudocatalase | (P) Nothing | Т | Schallreuter et al., 2008 [35] | Y | Uncontrolled, retrospect. | В | 71/10 | 32–52 w | I: repigmentation: 100%: 28/71 (39.4%); >75%: 38/71 (53.2); 0%: 5/71 (7%); C: repigmentation: 50%: 1 (face); none in all other |
| Pseudocatalase | (P) Placebo | Т | Schallreuter et al., 2002 [36] | Y | Random., prosp. | В | 39/20 | 3 w | I: Significantly more follicular repigmentation in the face compared to controls; 100% cessation of spread |
| SOD, copper, zinc, vit.B12, calcium pantothenate | (P) Nothing | Т | Soliman et al., 2014 [37] | Y | Open label, prosp. | W | 30 | 12 w | I: response excellent = 20%; good = 26.7%; moderate = 22.2%; ↑ poor = 22.2%,; none = 8.9%. C: response: 48.9% moderate; 42.2% poor; 8.9% none. |
| Vitix gel | (P) Nothing | Т | Yuksel et al., 2009 [38] | Y | Open | В | 15/15 | 24 w | I: Repigmentation: <i>n</i> = 1 (>75%); <i>n</i> = 4 (51–75%); <i>n</i> = 10 (26–50%); <i>n</i> = 6 (≤25%) C: Repigmentation: <i>n</i> = 0 (>75%); <i>n</i> = 2 (51–75%); <i>n</i> = 8 (26–50%); <i>n</i> = 11 (≤25%) |
| Pseudocatalase/SOD gel (+ tacrolimus) | (P) Nothing (+tacrolimus) | Т | Alshijab et al., 2020 [39] | Ν | RCT | В | 25/24 | 36 w | = Percentages of pigmentation on 3, 6, and 9 months: group 1= 23.9%, 40.4%, and 60% vs. group 2 = 23.2%, 40.7%, and 62.4%. |
| Pseudocatalase | (P) Placebo | Т | Bakis et al., 2009 [40] | Y | RCT | В | 14/18 | 24 w | = N.S. |
| Pseudocatalase/SOD | (P) Placebo | Т | Naini et al., 2012 [41] | Ν | RCT | W | 23 | 6 m | = N.S. |
| Catalase/SOD | (O) 0.05% betamethason | Т | Sanclemente et al., 2008 [14] | Ν | RCT | В | 25 | 40 w | I: repigmentation: $12.4\% \pm 59$ C: repigmentation: $18.5\% \pm 93$ |
| Catalase and SOD | (N) | Т | Kostović et al., 2007 [42] | Y | Prosp., 1 arm | Ν | 19 | 6 m | Repigmentation: >50%: 11/19 (57.9%); >75%: 3/19 (15.79%); 26–50%: 6/19 (31.58%); 1–25%: 1/19 (5.26%); none: 1/19 (5.26%); No new lesions |

Table 1. Antioxidants and vitiligo.

(P)lacebo/No Treatment; (T)opical or Author Antioxidant $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}$ Study Design (B)etween/(W)ithin # Pts Weeks Outcome (O)ther; (N)o (O) Oral Comparison No obvious improvement; 10/26 with Patel et al., 2002 Pseudocatalase (N) Т Υ Prosp., 1 arm Ν 32 24 w at least some improvement of either the / [43] hands or face Excellent repigmentation of the face Pseudocatalase Schallreuter and dorsum of the hands in 90%. Focal (N) Ο Υ Prosp. 1-arm Ν 33 36 m and calcium et al., 1995 [16] vitiligo: 90-100% repigmentation in all cases; No new lesions No repigmentation without Cucumis melo Schallreuter Retrosp., phototherapy (N) Т Ν Ν 53 1–24 w Repigmentation in 3/9 with et al., 2011 [44] extract one-arm 311 nm phototherapy Polypodium leucotomos (PLE) I: repigmentation: head/neck: excellent = 85%; trunk: moderate-excellent = 92%; extremities: Pacifico et al., RCT, assessor-PLE 0 Υ В 23/21 moderate = 83%; C: repigmentation: Placebo 6 m ↑ 2021 [17] blinded head/neck: excellent = 25%; trunk: moderate-excellent = 44%; extremities: moderate = 12%; Reves et al., I: >50% repigmentation significantly PLE Placebo Ο Υ RCT В 10/912 w ↑ 2005 [18] higher compared to placebo Middelkamp I: trend towards more repigmentation Υ PLE Placebo Ο RCT В 25/24 \nearrow 26 w et al., 2007 [19] compared with the placebo group I: depigmentation: Baseline: $35 \pm 21\%$ Salazar et al., Υ PLE Placebo Ο RCT В 4/424 w 2013 [20] FU: 6 + 4%100% cured of the disease. This Mohammad PLE / Ο Ν Prosp. 1-arm 22 successful treatment coincided with the 5 m et al., 1989 [45] hottest months of the year. Vitamin E I: significant mild repigmentation in the Phyllanthus head and neck (p = 0.019) and on the emblica fruit trunk (p = 0.051), a higher but not Colucci et al., Nothing Ο Υ В 65/65 24 Random ↑ extracts, vitamin E, 2014 [22] significant repigmentation for each carotenoids body site, and higher stable disease (p = 0.065).

(P)lacebo/No Treatment; (T)opical or Antioxidant Author $\mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}$ Study Design (B)etween/(W)ithin # Pts Weeks Outcome (O)ther; (N)o (O) Oral Comparison a-lipoic acid, vit C, I: repigmentation: >75% in 8/17(47%; vit E, polyunsat. Dell'Anna et al., Ο Υ RCT В 14/11*p* < 0.05 vs. placebo);0–75%; 4/17 (23.5) Placebo 24 w ↑ fatty acids, 2007 [21] C: repigmentation: >50% in 2/11(18%)cysteine I: Marked to excellent repigmentation Elgoweini et al., in 8/11 (72.7%); No new lesions Υ 0 Prosp. Random В 12/12 Vitamin E Nothing 6 m 1 2009 [46] C: Marked to excellent repigmentation in 5/9 (55.6%); No new lesions Vit E, beta-carotene, vit Jayanth et al., Random., not Υ C, selenium, 0 В 15/1512 Nothing = 2002 [23] blinded copper, zinc, manganese Vitamin B12 2 groups (1) vit D Iraji et al., 2017 Open and (2) vit D and Nothing Ο Υ IB 20/20/20 24 w [24] randomized vit B12 Vit B12 and folic Tijoe et al., 2002 0 Υ Nothing Prosp., open В 14/31 y acid [25] Vitamin C Significant repigmentation in all Vit C, vit B12, folic Don et al., 2006 / 0 Υ Prosp, 1-arm / 9 10 m / patients. Stop disease progression acid [27] in 9/9 Vit B12 and folic Juhlin et al., Repigmentation in 52/100, Stop of / Ο Υ / 100 Prosp, 1-arm 1997 [26] disease progression in 64% acid Sendrasoa et al., Ο Ν Vit C, vit B12 / / Prosp, 1-arm 308 3–18 m / >76% repigmentation in 50 (65.7%) 2019 [28] Ginkgo biloba I: Marked to complete repigmentation in 10 patients C: Marked to complete repigmentation Parsad et al.. 0 Ν RCT В Ginkgo biloba Placebo 25/22↑ 6 m in 2 patients 2003 [29] Significant cessation of spread G. biloba (p = 0.006): 20/25 vs. 8/22

Table 1. Cont.

| Antioxidant | (P)lacebo/No Treatment; (O)ther; (N)o Comparison | (T)opical or (O) Oral | Author | UV | Study Design | (B)etween/(W)ithin | # Pts | Weeks | Outcome |
|---------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|----|-------------------------|--------------------|-------|-------|--|
| Ginkgo biloba | Placebo | 0 | Abu-Raghif et al., 2013 [30] | Y | RCT., single blinded | В | 12/12 | 8 w | $ \begin{array}{c} \mbox{I: VASI baseline: } 6.42 \pm 4.08; \mbox{VASI FU:} \\ 6.17 \pm 4.27; \mbox{Difference: } -0.25 \\ \mbox{C: VASI baseline: } 3.75 \pm 2.81; \mbox{VASI FU:} \\ 3.88 \pm 2.77; \mbox{Difference: } +0.13 \end{array} $ |
| Ginkgo biloba | / | 0 | Szczurko et al., 2011 [31] | N | Prosp. open-label | / | 11 | 12 w | / Mean percent improvement: 15%. Significant impact on arresting the spread of vitiligo: total spreading score from 2.7 to $-1.1 (p \le 0.001)$ |
| | | | | | α-lipoic a | icid | | | |
| α-lipoic acid | Placebo | 0 | Li et al., 2015 [32] | Y | RCT | В | 26/24 | 6 m | I: repigmentation ≥75% in 55%; 51–75% in 35% C: repigmentation ≥75% in 36.84%; 51–75% in 47.37%. |
| α-lipoic acid | Placebo | О | Sun et al., 2020 [47] | Y | RCT | В | 37/28 | 6 m | I: >50% repigmentation: 37.8% (14/37) C: >50% repigmentation: 42.9% (12/28) |
| | | | | | Other | | | | |
| Selenium | / | О | Tsiskarishvili et al., 2016 [33] | Y | ? | В | 17/18 | 5 w | ? I: follicular repigmentation: 60.9%; C: follicular repigmentation: 29.1% |
| Turmeric | Placebo | Т | Jalalmanesh et al., 2022 [34] | N | RCT | В | 24 | 4 m | I: significant reduction in the size of the lesions following applying turmeric cream compared to placebo (independent-sample <i>t</i>-test, mean ± SE: 29.31 ± 5.31 for drug vs. −21.36 ± 11.00 for placebo, <i>p</i> < 0.05). |

 \uparrow (dark green) Significantly increased efficacy compared to the control group; \nearrow (light green) increased without statistical significance or statistical significance of the difference between the final follow-up and baseline not mentioned; = (grey) no difference with the control group; \searrow (brown) decreased efficacy compared to the control group without statistical significance or statistical significance of the difference between the final follow-up and baseline not mentioned; \downarrow (red) significantly decreased efficacy compared to the control group; ? the statistical significance is unclear; / no comparison possible because lack of control group

3.2.1. Vitamin C

A total of 20 articles described the use of topical vitamin C for melasma. Nine reports performed a comparison with placebo or no treatment, although none of these studies used vitamin C in monotherapy. This is remarkable as other antioxidants have been investigated in monotherapy for melasma. This makes it difficult to determine the exact efficacy of vitamin C. Seven out of nine studies found a significantly increased improvement of the MASI with vitamin C compared to the control group. Nine studies investigated the efficacy of vitamin C compared to another treatment. A slightly lower improvement of the MASI was observed with topical vitamin C compared to topical tranexamic acid after dermapen microneedling, although the difference remained not significant [48]. Transdermal injections with either vitamin C or tranexamic acid resulted in similar results with slightly higher improvement in the tranexamic acid group, although again without reaching statistical significance [49]. Vitamin C iontophoresis outperformed with a 42% improvement in MASI glycolic acid 70% peels, which exhibited only a 22% improvement [50]. Overall, vitamin C has a reproducible efficacy on melasma, although other agents, such as tranexamic acid, may be more potent.

Meta-analysis resulted in a highly significant (p < 0.0001) result pointing to a benefit of vitamin C against melasma compared to placebo or no treatment (Figure 2). Egger's test and Begg's test showed no signs of publication bias. A funnel plot identified the study of Soliman et al., 2007 as an outlier, although the meta-analysis without inclusion of the report remained significant (p < 0.001) [51].

Ustuner et al. 2017 Dayal et al. 2017 Soliman et al. 2007 Pazyar et al. 2022 Balevi et al. 2017

Total (fixed effects) Total (random effects)



Figure 2. Meta-analysis of studies investigating vitamin C against melasma for all studies that reported a change in MASI from baseline to follow-up in the interventional and placebo groups [51–55].

3.2.2. Niacinamide

The findings concerning the skin-brightening capacity of niacinamide have been mixed. A split-face study in 21 patients documented a 1.38–2.08 fold improvement compared to placebo [56]. In this trial, niacinamide was formulated into cationic liposomes to facilitate percutaneous absorption. This result was confirmed in another split-face trial, showing a decreased melasma area and improvement in hyperpigmentation [57]. Other reports also mentioned a reduction in melasma, although significance was not always reached. Comparison of niacinamide with hydroquinone, triple combination, and intradermal tranexamic acid resulted in a slightly higher average MASI decrease of 70% with hydroquinone compared to 62% for niacinamide and no significant difference compared to the triple combination or intradermal tranexamic acid [58,59]. The findings for niacinamide are, therefore, promising.

3.2.3. Cysteamine

Compared to placebo, topical cysteamine 5% resulted in two trials with a significantly higher decrease in MASI score after 4 months of treatment [60,61]. Moreover, 5% cysteamine cream induced a 38% decrease in the mMASI after 120 days. This was less compared to 4% hydroquinone (-53%), although no significant difference was observed between the two treatments [62]. Sepaskhah et al., in 2022, reported similar non-significant findings when comparing topical 5% cysteamine with hydroquinone 4% and ascorbic acid 3%. Again, the mMASI decreased most in the hydroquinone group without significant difference compared to 5% cysteamine, comparable to another small RCT [63,64]. Finally, a similar improvement was observed with 5% cysteamine compared to tranexamic acid and mesotherapy [65].

3.2.4. Silymarin

Topical sylimarin 0.1% and 0.2% clearly outperformed placebo. Comparison of sylimarin with hydroquinone or low fluence 1064 Q Switched Nd:YAG laser was done in three studies. In none of these reports, silymarin performed significantly worse or better than the comparator treatment [66–68]. The comparable efficacy of silymarin to hydroquinone could place this antioxidant firmly into the therapeutic arsenal for melasma.

3.2.5. Polypodium Leucotomos

Oral polypodium leucotomos in combination with 4% hydroquinone led to a mMASI decrease of 54.9% after 12 weeks, significantly less than monotherapy with hydroquinone (44.4% decrease) [69]. A 28.8% improvement was found after 12 weeks of using polypodium leucotomos without any additional treatment, which was non-significantly better than placebo with a 13.8% skin brightening [70]. Another similar study only found improvement in the polypodium leucotomos-treated group, while the placebo group worsened [71]. Based on the current evidence, a modest efficacy of polypodium leucotomos in melasma seems plausible.

3.2.6. Azelaic Acid

Five trials were done to compare topical azelaic acid to other depigmentation treatments. Overall, 20% azelaic acid performed slightly worse compared to hydroquinone 4%, tranexamic acid 10%, diclofenac gel 2.3%, and topical tranexamic acid 3%, despite one trial reporting a better efficacy of azelaic acid compared to hydroquinone 4% when combined with tranexamic acid [72–76]. These results point to a confirmed efficacy, although other agents may be more potent.

3.2.7. Tomato/Lycopene

Oral tomato extract lead to more improvement in patients already using topical hydroquinone, with a 3-point decrease in mMASI compared to a 1.2 mMASI decrease without this supplement [77]. Topical tomato lycopene 0.05% and wheat bran extract 3.45% resulted in a modest but significant difference compared to placebo [78].

3.2.8. Zinc Sulfate

Topical zinc sulfate performed significantly worse than hydroquinone 4% in two trials. More than double the MASI reduction was seen with hydroquinone at 4% compared to zinc sulfate at 10% solution [79,80].

3.2.9. Other

Many other antioxidants have been tested in melasma with variable outcomes, although confirmatory studies are lacking. Mulberry extract oil, glutathione, oral pycnegol, and rucinol serum scored significantly better than placebo or no treatment [62,81–85]. Petroselinum Crispum was non-inferior compared to 4% hydroquinone, although limited improvement was seen in both groups after 8 weeks [85].

3.3. Effects of Antioxidants (Figure 3, Supplementary Table S2)

Remarkably, all described antioxidants for melasma and vitiligo have evidence of a tyrosinase-inhibiting effect. Reduction of melanin/melanosome transfer from melanocytes to keratinocytes is only present for two antioxidants, niacinamide, whose efficacy has only been reported in melasma, and vitamin E, which can be useful for both melasma and vitiligo. In contrast to what might be expected, no antioxidants for vitiligo have evidence for melanocyte proliferation or migration. Vitamin E and catalase/superoxide dismutase decrease melanocyte proliferation. Most antioxidants for melasma exert no effect on melanocyte proliferation. All reported antioxidants carry an anti-inflammatory capacity and/or reduce chemokine production. A reduction of the minimal erythema dose (MED) does not seem to be the primary mechanism of antioxidants against melasma. In contrast, all antioxidants effective against vitiligo reduce the MED. Overall, it seems that the inhibition of tyrosinase is crucial for melasma, whereas the reduction of inflammation, the decreased chemokine production, and lower inflammation following UV exposure (MED) are more characteristic of an effective antioxidant against vitiligo.



Figure 3. Impact of antioxidants on melanogenesis, melanosome transfer, melanocyte proliferation, inflammation, chemokine production, and minimal erythema dose (MED). (Green: antioxidants with efficacy for both vitiligo and melasma; white: antioxidants for vitiligo; black: antioxidants for melasma).

| Antioxidant | O = Other Treatment) | (T)opical/(O)ral | Author | Study Design | (B)etween/(W)ithin | # Pts | Duration | | Outcome | | |
|--|---|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|-------|-----------------|---|--|--|--|
| Vitamin C | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4% liquiritin mixed in 5% ascorbic acid | (P) 4% liquiritin | Т | Akram et al., 2013 [86] | RCT | W | 41/41 | 6 m | ↑ | I: improvement in MASI: $n = 36$ (88%) C: improvement in MASI: $n = 25$ (61%) | | |
| 20% TCA Peel with 5% Ascorbic Acid | (P) 20% TCA peeling | Т | Dayal et al., 2017 [53] | Unblinded, prosp., rand. | / | 30/30 | 12 w | ¢ | I: Baseline MASI: 23.55 ± 4.62; 12 wk: 9.50 +/- 5.31 C: Baseline MASI:23.6 ± 4.08; 12 wk: 15.10 +/- 4.44 | | |
| Vitamin C iontophoresis | (P) Distilled water iontophoresis | Т | Huh et al., 2003 [87] | RCT | W | 21 | 12 w | 1 | Significant difference between the ¢L value of the vitamin C- and placebo-treated sites ($p = 0.03$). | | |
| Intradermal tranexamic acid + top. ascorbic acid | (P) Intradermal tranexamic acid + placebo | Т | Pazyar et al., 2022 [54] | Split-face comparative | W | 24 | 12 w | ¢ | I: Decrease in MASI: 2 points (baseline: 4.61 (SD 1.54); FU: 2.61 (SD 1.14) C: Decrease in MASI: 1.29 points (baseline: 4.49 (SD 1.48); FU: 3.20 (SD 1.21) Significantly lower final MASI in the intervention group. | | |
| TCA peel and ascorbic acid | (P) TCA peel | Т | Soliman et al., 2007 [51] | Prop. trial (randomized?) | W | 15/15 | 16 w | ¢ | I: Baseline MASI: 13.753 ± 4.101 ; FU: 7.73 ± 4.203 ; average decrease: 6.023 C: Baseline MASI: 15.413 ± 2.881 ; FU: 12.32 ± 3.381 average decrease: 3.093 | | |
| Microneedling with vit C + Q-switched Nd:YAG | (P) Q-switched Nd:YAG | Т | Ustuner et al., 2017 [52] | RCT | W | 16 | 6 m | 1 | I: MASI at baseline: 7.04 \pm 4.55; final: 2.49 \pm 2.30 C: MASI at baseline: 6.13 \pm 4.94; final: 4.52 \pm 3.49 | | |
| Medlite C6 q-1064 laser+ vit C, E and ferulic acid | Medlite C6 q-1064 laser | Т | Y et al., 2023 [88] | Ranomized split-face | W | 61 | 14 w | 1 | I: difference in MASI score: t = 17.25 C: difference in MASI score: t = 9.78 | | |
| Salicylic acid peeling + vitamin C mesotherapy | (P) Salicylic acid peeling | Т | Balevi et al., 2017 [55] | Single-blinded RCT | В | 23/21 | 2 m | 7 | I: baseline MASI:16.68 \pm 11.57; FU: 5.32 \pm 2.68; Decrease: 11.36 C: baseline MASI: 15.81 \pm 10.51; FU: 13.97 \pm 10.86; Decrease: 1.84. N.S. | | |
| 1064-nm Q-switched Nd:YAG + ultrasonic vitamin C | (P) 1064-nm Q-switched Nd:YAG | Т | Lee et al., 2015 [89] | Split-face prosp. | W | 8 | 4 sess + 3 m | 7 | I: VAS after the first treatment: 3.00 ± 0.53 ; final VAS: 1.37 ± 0.52 C: VAS after the first treatment: 3.75 ± 0.89 ; final VAS: 1.50 ± 0.53 Significant > VAS reduction with vit. C at different time points, not at the final FU | | |

Table 2. Antioxidants and melasma.

(P = Placebo/Nothing Antioxidant (T)opical/(O)ral Study Design (B)etween/(W)ithin # Pts Duration Author Outcome **O** = Other Treatment) I: Baseline MASI: 11.75 ± 2.75; FU: 2.78 ± 0.67; (O) PRP + Abdel-Rahman MASI reduction: 76.29% Vitamin C + 6 sess +Т Prosp. split-face W 10 1 C: Baseline MASI: 12.06 ± 2.39 ; FU: 5.86 ± 1.06 ; microneedling microneedling et al., 2021 [90] 1 m MASI reduction: 46.13% I: Baseline MASI: 8.3143 ± 2.815 ; Vitamin C (O) glycolic acid Sobhi et al., 2012 Prosp., single FU: 4.778 ± 2.793; decrease: 3.535 Т W 14 6 sess \uparrow iontophoresis 70% peel [50] blinded (?) C: Baseline MASI: 7.9714 \pm 2.536; FU: 6.2143 ± 2.725; decrease: 1.757 I: baseline hemi-MASI: 0.90-21.60; FU: 0.60-18.0; Vitamin C after decrease: 45.94% (O) tranexamic acid El Attar. et al., Prosp., rand., Т W 20 12 w \searrow microneedling after microneedling 2022 [48] uncont. C: baseline hemi-MASI: 0.90-21.60; FU: 0.0-16.20; decrease: 53.76%. I: 6.94 ± 4.28 ; FU: 3.32 ± 3.30 ; Difference: Transdermal 3.62 ± 2.79 (O) transdermal Zhao et al., 2020 D W RCT 17 injections of 2 m \searrow tranexamic acid [49] C: 7.03 \pm 3.84; FU: 2.97 \pm 2.62; Difference: vitamin C 4.06 ± 2.62 Vitamin C (O) Multivitamin Choi et al., 2010 Both groups reported equal improvement in Т W 20 12 Split-face = iontophoresis subjective self-assessment and colorimetry ionotophoresis [91] I: 13% excellent response, 43% good response, 30% fair improvement Vitamin C + (O) Tranexamic acid Raza et al., 2020 Т Split-face prosp. W 30 6 w = microneedling + microneedling [92] C: 16% excellent response, 40% good, 26% mild improvement Both formulations significantly increased the 3% vitamin C (O) Plant extracts, Tadokoro et al., Split-face, prosp. Т W 18 8 w average color value (lightness) of the pigmented = derivative including orchid 2010 [93] study spots (*p* < 0.01) 20% vitamin C I: MASI baseline: 6.34 ± 3.78 ; FU: 3.04 ± 2.64 (O) Tranexamic acid Tahoun et al., 2020 Т W solution + Split-face prosp. 30 16 w = + microneedling [94] C: MASI: baseline: 5.98 ± 3.58); FU: 3.64 ± 2.62 microneedling (O) 4% Espinal-Perez Т W RCT, split-face 16 5% ascorbic acid 16 w \downarrow hydroquinone et al., 2004 [95] Niacinamide Niacinamide Prospective, I: 1.38–2.08-fold improvement compared to the formulated into (P) Control solution Т Lee et al., 2022 [56] W 21 $4 \mathrm{w}$ ↑ split-face control solution (v < 0.05) cationic liposomes Campuzano et al., I: Baseline MASI: 15.4 ± 6.7 ; FU: 10.4 ± 5.1 Т RCT В Niacinamide 4% (P) Placebo 10/108 w \nearrow 2019 [96] C: Baseline MASI: 9.1 ± 1.4 ; FU: 7.1 ± 1.2 .

(P = Placebo/Nothing (T)opical/(O)ral (B)etween/(W)ithin # Pts Duration Antioxidant Author Study Design Outcome **O** = Other Treatment) 4–6 weeks: niacinamide + sunscreen showed (P) Placebo + Niacinamide + Hakozaki et al., Т W 18 8 w \nearrow RCT, split-face significant increase in Lvalue (skin lightness) vs. 2002 [57] sunscreen sunscreen placebo. No significance at 8 weeks (p = 0.059) MASI scores showed a significant reduction in Niacinamide + (P) Placebo + Goh et al., 2012 Т RCT В 30/30 84 d patients treated with the study cream (6.0 to 4.6) = [97] sunscreen sunscreen and vehicle cream (6.6 to 4.7) (N.S.). I: Baseline MASI: 3.7 (95% CI, 2.9-4.4); FU: 1.4 (O) Hydroquinone Navarrete et al., (95% CI, 3.3-4.7) Niacinamide 4% Т RCT, split-face W 27 8 w \searrow 2011 [59] 4% C: Baseline MASI: 4 (5% CI, 90.9-1.8); FU: 1.2 (95% IC. 0.8–1.6) Triple combination, topical niacinamide, and (O) Kigman, intrad. Giasante et al., Niacinamide Т RCT В 10/108 w intradermal tranexamic acid have = 2020 [58] tranexamic acid similar responses. Cysteamine Farshi et al., 2017 I: MASI baseline: 18.1 ± 8.1 ; FU: 8.03 ± 5.2 (P) Placebo Т RCT В 20/20Cysteamine 5% 4 m 1 [60] C: MASI baseline: 13.2 ± 7.4 ; FU: 12.2 ± 7.4 I: MASI baseline: 17.2 ± 8.1 ; MASI follow-up: Mansouri et al., 7.2 ± 5.5 (P) Placebo Т RCT В 25/25Cysteamine 5% 4 m ↑ 2014 [61] C: MASI baseline: 13 ± 8.1 ; MASI follow-up: 11.6 ± 7.9 I: mMASI baseline: 11.68 ± 2.70 ; FU: 6.32 ± 2.11 (O) tranexamic acid Karrabi et al., 2022 Т Single-blind, rand. В 27/27Cysteamine 5% 2 m = mesotherapy [65] C: mMASI baseline: 10.43 ± 2.69 ; FU: 5.52 ± 2.55 I: median (IQR): mMASI baseline: 9 (6-12); After: 5(4-8)(O) 4% Lima et al., 2020 quasi-rand., C: median (IQR): mMASI baseline: 6 (3-8); After: Т В 20/20120 d Cysteamine 5% = hydroguinone [62] evaluator-blinded 2(1-3)Mean reduction mMASI was 38% for CYS and 53% for HQ (p = 0.017). I: decrease in mMASI from 6.69 \pm 2.96 to (O) Hydroquinone Sepaskhah et al., 4.47 ± 2.16 Cysteamine 5% Т single-blind, RCT В 31/34 4 m = 2022 [63] 4% + vit C 3% C: decrease in mMASI from 6.26 \pm 3.25 to 3.87 ± 2.00 in the HC group. Rand., double Nguyen et al., I: reduction in mMASI: 1.52 ± 0.69 (21.3%) Т В Cysteamine 5% (O) Hydroquinone 5/916 w \searrow 2021 [64] blinded trial C: reduction in mMASI: 2.96 \pm 1.15 (32%). N.S. Silymarin I: (0.1%) MASI_{start}: 17.1 ± 3.12; FU: 0; (0.2%); Altaei et al., 2012 (P) No treatment or Т Silymarin RCT В 32/32 $MASI_{start}$: 16.5 ± 2.8; FU: 0 $4 \mathrm{w}$ ↑ placebo [98] C: baseline MASI: 16.8 \pm 3.2; FU: 17 \pm 3.4

| Antioxidant | (P = Placebo/Nothing O = Other Treatment) | (T)opical/(O)ral | Author | Study Design | (B)etween/(W)ithin | # Pts | Duration | | Outcome |
|--|---|------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|--------------|--|
| Silymarin | (O) Low Fluence 1064 Nd:YAG | Т | Ibrahim et al., 2021 [68] | RCT | В | 25/25 | 3 m | \checkmark | I: mMASI baseline: 9 (IQR: 5.4–12.1); mMASI FU: 2.3 (1.2–6.6) C: mMASI baseline: 7.3 (IQR: 4.8–10.3)); mMASI FU: 2.1 (1–4.7) |
| Silymarin | (O) Hydroquinone | Т | Wattanakrai et al., 2022 [67] | RCT, split-face | W | 23 | 3 m | \nearrow | I: Modified MASI reduction: 17.97% C: Modified MASI reduction: 7.11% |
| Silymarin | (O) Hydroquinone | Т | Nofal et al., 2019 [66] | Prosp. clinical trial | В | 14/14/14 | 3 m | \checkmark | I: (0.7%): baseline MASI: 18.56 ± 5.58 ; FU: 10.96 ± 4.48; difference: 39.21% (1.4%): baseline MASI: 21.75 ± 8.47 ; FU: 14.88 ± 7.79 ; difference: 33.84% C: baseline MASI: 16.64 ± 9.02 ; FU: 8.81 ± 5.68 ; difference: 46.75% |
| | | | | Polypodium | leucotomos | | | | |
| Polypodium leucotomos | (P) Placebo | Ο | Martin et al., 2012 [71] | RCT | В | 21 | 12 w | ↑ | I: significantly improved mean (MASI) scores (5.7 to 3.3; $p < 0.05$), while the placebo group did not (4.7 to 5.7; $p > 0.05$). |
| Polypodium leucotomos + 4% hydroquinone | (P) Placebo + hydroquinone 4% | О | Goh et al., 2018 [69] | RCT | В | 33 | 12 w | 1 | I: baseline mMASI: 6.8; final: -54.9% C: baseline mMASI: 6; final: -44.4% |
| Polypodium leucotomos | (P) Placebo | О | Ahmed et al., 2013 [70] | RCT | В | 16/17 | 12 w | = | The MASI scores similarly showed improvement in both groups without significant intergroup differences ($p = 0.62$). |
| | | | | Azela | ic acid | | | | |
| Azelaic acid 20% + oral tran. acid + sunscr. | (O) Hydroq 4% + oral tran. acid + sunscr. | Т | Akl et al., 2021 [72] | RCT | В | 25/25 | 4 m | 7 | I: mMASI baseline: 17.06 (+/-1.51); mMASI FU: 5.58 (+/-1.28) C: mMASI baseline: 17.77 (+/-1.45); mMASI FU: 7.18 (+/-1.31) |
| 20% azelaic acid | (O) 10% tranexamic acid cream | Т | Das et al., 2020 [73] | RCT, split-face | В | 16/16 | 12 w | = | Composite malar area pigment score (CMAPS) of tranexamic acid (1.73 \pm 1.68) less than azelaic acid (2.08 \pm 1.70). N.S. |
| 20% azelaic acid cream | 2.3% diclofenac gel | Т | Arpornpattanapong et al. 2023 [76] | Single blind, split face | W | 20 | 12 | \checkmark | The difference in mMASI between diclofenac and azelaic acid was insignificant ($p = 067$); patient satisfaction was significantly higher for diclofenac. |
| Azelaic acid 20% | (O) Hydroquinone 4% | Т | Emad et al., 2013 [75] | Prosp, split-face | В | 33 | 20 w | X | I: baseline mMASI: 7.88 \pm 3.27; FU mMASI: 3.47 \pm 2.88; reduction: 55% C: baseline mMASI; 7.8 \pm 3.36; FU mMASI: 3.11 \pm 2.91; reduction: 61% |

| Antioxidant | (P = Placebo/Nothing) O = Other Treatment) | (T)opical/(O)ral | Author | Study Design | (B)etween/(W)ithin | # Pts | Duration | | Outcome | |
|---|---|------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-------|----------|------------|--|--|
| Oral tranexamic acid + topical 20% Azelaic Acid | (O) Oral + topical 3% tranexamic acid | Т | Malik et al., 2019 [74] | Rand, prosp | В | 50/50 | 6 m | \searrow | I: baseline MASI: 34 \pm 13; FU: 10.62 \pm 7.43 C: baseline MASI: 33.7 \pm 12; FU: 6.06 \pm 5.06 | |
| | | | | Tomato/ | Lycopene | | | | | |
| Tomato extract + hydroquinone 4% | (P) Hydroquinone 4% | 0 | Afriliana et al., 2020 [77] | Double-blind, rand. | В | 31/31 | 12 w | ↑ | I: mMASI baseline: 5.25; after: 1.2; decrease: 3 C: mMASI baseline: 6.0; after: 4.2; decrease: 1.2 | |
| Lycopene 30 mg + 4% hydroquinone | (P) Placebo + 4% hydroquinone | Т | Avianggi et al., 2022 [99] | Double-blind RCT | В | 59 | 12 w | 1 | The difference in MASI scores after therapy in the treatment group had a significant decrease compared to the control group. | |
| | | | | Zi | inc | | | | | |
| 10% Zinc Sulfate Solution | (O) Hydroquinone 4% | Т | Iraji et al., 2012 [79] | RCT, investigblinded | В | 36/36 | 6 m | Ļ | I: difference in MASI: 0.7 ± 0.7 C: difference in MASI: 2.7 ± 1.6. More significant reduction for HQ vs. zinc. | |
| 10% Zinc Sulfate Solution | (O) Hydroquinone 4% | Т | Yousefi et al., 2014 [80] | RCT | / | 40/42 | 2 m | Ļ | I: baseline MASI: 6.3 ± 2.1 ; FU MASI: 5.1 ± 2.0 ; decrease in MASI: $18.6\% \pm 20.8$ C: baseline MASI: 6.4 ± 1.6 ; FU MASI: 3.9 ± 1.4 ; decrease in MASI: $43.5\% \pm 15.5$ | |
| | | | | Gluta | thione | | | | | |
| Tranex. acid mesoth. + vit C + glutathione | (P) Tranex. acid mesotherapy + vit C | Т | Iarji et al., 2019 [100] | RCT | W | 30 | 12 w | ↑ | I: mMASI decrease: of 3.046 ± 1.25 (p-value < 0.001) C: mMASI decrease: 1.82 ± 0.88 (p-value < 0.001). | |
| Glutathione + microneedling | (P) Microneedling | Т | Mohamed et al., 2023 [83] | Split face, non-blinded | W | 29 | 3 m | ↑ | I: baseline hemi-mMASI: 4.21 ± 2.08 ; FU hemi-mMASI: 1.96 ± 1.30 C: baseline hemi-mMASI: 4.06 ± 1.91 ; FU hemi-mMASI: 2.31 ± 1.45 | |
| Other | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mulberry extract oil | (P) Placebo | Т | Alvin et al., 2011 [81] | RCT | В | 25/25 | 8 w | \uparrow | I: Baseline MASI: 4.076 ± 0.24 ; FU: $2.884 (\pm 0.25)$; mean difference: 1.19 C: mean difference MASI: 0.06 | |
| Rucinol serum | (P) Placebo | Т | Khemis et al., 2007 [84] | RCT, split-face | W | 32 | 12 w | ¢ | I: clinical pigmentation score at baseline: 7.5 +/- 1.9; follow-up: 6.2 +/- 2.3 C: clinical pigmentation score at baseline: 7.5 +/- 1.9; follow-up: 6.7 +/- 2.1. | |

| Antioxidant | (P = Placebo/Nothing) O = Other Treatment) | (T)opical/(O)ral | Author | Study Design | (B)etween/(W)ithin | # Pts | Duration | n Outcome |
|-----------------------------------|---|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|-------|----------|---|
| 75 mg pycnogenol 2x/d | (P) Placebo | 0 | Lima et al., 2020 [82] | RCT | В | 22/22 | 60 d | I: mMASI _{baseline} : 9.1 (4.1); FU: 4.6 (3.4); mMASI reduction: 4.4 (3.1) ↑ C: mMASI _{baseline} : 9.2 (4.2); mMASI FU: 6.4 (4.3); mMASI reduction: 2.7 (2.5) |
| Petroselinum Crispum (Parsley) | (O) hydroquinone 4% | Т | Khosravan et al., 2017 [85] | RCT | В | 25/25 | 8 w | $= \begin{array}{c} \text{I: Baseline MASI: 6.66 \pm 4.39; FU MASI:} \\ 4.92 \pm 3.07 \\ \text{C: Baseline MASI: 6.68 \pm 3.24; FU MASI:} \\ 5.06 \pm 2.66. \text{ N.S.} \end{array}$ |

Table 2. Cont.

 \uparrow (dark green) Significantly increased efficacy compared to the control group; \nearrow (light green) increased without statistical significance or statistical significance of the difference between the final follow-up and baseline not mentioned; = (grey) no difference with the control group; \searrow (brown) decreased efficacy compared to the control group without statistical significance or statistical significance of the difference between the final follow-up and baseline not mentioned; \downarrow (red) significantly decreased efficacy compared to the control group; ? the statistical significance is unclear; / no comparison possible because lack of control group

4. Discussion

Beneficial results of a variety of antioxidants have been reported in both vitiligo and melasma. For vitiligo, most studies were performed with (pseudo)catalase or superoxide dismutase. Due to the mixed findings, definitive conclusions are difficult. SOD is inactivated via passage through the gastrointestinal tract. Oral gliadin-protected SOD may prevent the deactivation of this enzyme, possibly explaining the variability in efficacy [15]. Remarkably, no reports were found on (pseudo)catalase or superoxide dismutase in melasma despite a submitted study in clinicaltrials.gov with oral-gliadin-protected SOD, last updated in September 2021. Therefore, it is difficult to state whether the lack of published studies about (pseudo)catalase/SOD in melasma also represents a lack of clinical efficacy.

For polypodium leucotomos, multiple reports have been published both for vitiligo and melasma. In vitiligo, polypodium leucotomos has been confirmed to improve repigmentation in combination with UV exposure. It reduces acute inflammation following UV irradiation via inhibition of COX-2, increased removal of cyclobutane pyrimidine dimers, and prevention of oxidative DNA damage [101]. The reported dosages ranged from 480–720 mg/day. Polypodium leucotomos reduces the activation of Opsin-3 after exposure to blue light. Opsin-3 activates tyrosinase, leading to melanogenesis. A decreased cell death was also observed [102]. Schalka et al., 2014 showed not only an increased minimal erythema dose (up to 20.37%) with polypodium leucotomos but also an increased minimal pigmentary dose (17.41%), confirming its dual activity against vitiligo and melasma [103].

Ginkgo biloba appears to be a notable exception because its oral use has not (yet) been linked to an increase in MED. The topical application of ginkgo biloba exhibits a solar protective capacity in vitro [104]. Interestingly, 2/3 of the identified trials did not combine ginkgo biloba with phototherapy. Especially its potential capacity to decrease JAK2/STAT3 signaling is of interest [105]. Ginkgo biloba decreases serum inflammatory markers such as CRP, IL-6, and TNF- α [106]. Despite its capacity to inhibit α -MSH-induced melanogenesis, the evidence for melasma is scarce [107]. This is probably due the fact that ginkgo biloba is a weaker tyrosinase inhibitor than ascorbic acid [108].

Almost all antioxidants inhibit tyrosinase directly or indirectly. Vitamin C carries the highest evidence, with most melasma trials demonstrating a clear efficacy of topical treatment. Nonetheless, the effect seems less than tranexamic acid [48,49]. Vitamin C reduces tyrosinase in a dose-dependent manner, indicating that its efficacy may not be directly related to an antioxidative effect [109]. As all antioxidants reported to be beneficial for melasma inhibit tyrosinase, it is difficult to assess whether the strength of the antioxidative capacity plays a major role. Vitamin C has been used in two comparative trials of vitiligo, although both trials used combination supplements. One study showed beneficial results, whereas the second trial mentioned no significant repigmentation.

Multiple studies have used vitamin E both in vitiligo and melasma, showing positive results in general, although its efficacy is difficult to determine due to the different combination treatments used. Only one small study (2 groups of 12 patients) with vitamin E in monotherapy reported a higher degree of >75% repigmentation compared to no treatment [46]. Vitamin E has an indirect modulating capacity on the activation of T cells by reducing proinflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-6...) and prostaglandin E2 [110].

For vitiligo, antioxidants appear to have a limited effect on the direct stimulation of melanocytes (e.g., melanocyte proliferation). Increasing the minimal erythema dose (MED) following UV exposure appears to be an important factor determining the efficacy of antioxidants in vitiligo. Most vitiligo studies have investigated antioxidants in clinical trials using concomitant UV exposure. Decreasing oxidative stress and associated inflammation may prevent the autoimmune destruction of newly developing melanocytes or migrating melanocytes after UV exposure. A similar mechanism was observed with other topical and systemic treatments for vitiligo with increased repigmentation rates, combining antiinflammatory treatments with phototherapy. However, the standardization of outcome measures in vitiligo is needed to allow better comparability and meta-analyses. It should be noted that the antioxidants used for pigmentary disorders have a very diverse nature. Some are enzymes (e.g., catalase, SOD), others are vitamins (Vit C, Vit E, niacinamide), thiolamines (e.g., cysteamine), flavonoids (e.g., sylimarin), carotenoids (e.g., lycopene), tripeptides (e.g., glutathione), fatty acids (e.g., α -lipoic acid) or saturated dicarboxylic acids (e.g., azelaic acid) [110–114]. Natural-derived antioxidants often contain a complex mixture of compounds. Examples are polypodium leucotomos (containing p-coumaric, ferulic, caffeic, vanillic, and chlorogenic acids) or ginkgo biloba (containing flavonoids and terpenoids) [101,106]. These compounds often have pleiotropic effects, and the different mechanisms contributing to the improvement of pigmentary disorders can be difficult to determine. Additionally, the physicochemical, biopharmaceutical, and pharmacokinetic properties can influence the results of clinical trials. Further research is therefore needed to elucidate the mechanisms through which these diverse antioxidants contribute to the treatment of pigmentary disorders and to optimize their delivery and efficacy in clinical settings.

5. Conclusions

In conclusion, antioxidants can be useful for both vitiligo and melasma. Although some agents have a combined effect against vitiligo and melasma, others seem to display a narrower mode of action.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https: //www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/antiox12122082/s1, Supplementary Data S1: Search Strategy and Prisma Flow Diagram; Supplementary Data S2: PRISMA checklist; Supplementary Table S1: Studies about antioxidants in melasma without a control group; Supplementary Table S2: Influence of antioxidants on tyrosinase activity, melanosome transfer, melanocyte proliferation/differentiation and/or migration; Supplementary Table S3: Summary of the placebo-controlled trials using antioxidants for vitiligo and/ormelasma [115–167].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, R.S.; methodology, R.S. and M.M.S.; formal analysis, R.S.; writing, R.S.—original draft preparation, R.S.; writing—review and editing, R.S., V.B., M.M.S. and N.v.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The research activities of R. Speeckaert and N. van Geel are supported by the Scientific Research Foundation-Flanders (FWO Senior Clinical Investigator: 18B2721N and 1831512N, respectively).

Data Availability Statement: Data are available upon request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Kamiński, K.; Kazimierczak, U.; Kolenda, T. Oxidative Stress in Melanogenesis and Melanoma Development. *Contemp. Oncol.* 2022, 26, 1–7. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Denat, L.; Kadekaro, A.L.; Marrot, L.; Leachman, S.; Abdel-Malek, Z.A. Melanocytes as Instigators and Victims of Oxidative Stress. J. Investig. Dermatol. 2014, 134, 1512–1518. [CrossRef]
- Simon, J.D.; Peles, D.; Wakamatsu, K.; Ito, S. Current Challenges in Understanding Melanogenesis: Bridging Chemistry, Biological Control, Morphology, and Function. *Pigment. Cell Melanoma Res.* 2009, 22, 563–579. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Song, X.; Mosby, N.; Yang, J.; Xu, A.; Abdel-Malek, Z.; Kadekaro, A.L. Alpha-MSH Activates Immediate Defense Responses to UV-Induced Oxidative Stress in Human Melanocytes. *Pigment. Cell Melanoma Res.* 2009, 22, 809–818. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, H.-T.; Choi, B.; Tang, M. Melanocytes Are Deficient in Repair of Oxidative DNA Damage and UV-Induced Photoproducts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2010, 107, 12180–12185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Speeckaert, R.; Dugardin, J.; Lambert, J.; Lapeere, H.; Verhaeghe, E.; Speeckaert, M.M.; van Geel, N. Critical Appraisal of the Oxidative Stress Pathway in Vitiligo: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol.* 2018, 32, 1089–1098. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sravani, P.V.; Babu, N.K.; Gopal, K.V.T.; Rao, G.R.R.; Rao, A.R.; Moorthy, B.; Rao, T.R. Determination of Oxidative Stress in Vitiligo by Measuring Superoxide Dismutase and Catalase Levels in Vitiliginous and Non-Vitiliginous Skin. *Indian J. Dermatol. Venereol. Leprol.* 2009, 75, 268–271. [CrossRef]
- Schallreuter, K.U.; Wood, J.M.; Berger, J. Low Catalase Levels in the Epidermis of Patients with Vitiligo. J. Investig. Dermatol. 1991, 97, 1081–1085. [CrossRef]

- Li, S.; Zhu, G.; Yang, Y.; Jian, Z.; Guo, S.; Dai, W.; Shi, Q.; Ge, R.; Ma, J.; Liu, L.; et al. Oxidative Stress Drives CD8+ T-Cell Skin Trafficking in Patients with Vitiligo through CXCL16 Upregulation by Activating the Unfolded Protein Response in Keratinocytes. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 2017, 140, 177–189. [CrossRef]
- 10. Rahimi, H.; Mirnezami, M.; Yazdabadi, A.; Hajihashemi, A. Evaluation of Systemic Oxidative Stress in Patients with Melasma. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* **2023**. [CrossRef]
- Choubey, V.; Sarkar, R.; Garg, V.; Kaushik, S.; Ghunawat, S.; Sonthalia, S. Role of Oxidative Stress in Melasma: A Prospective Study on Serum and Blood Markers of Oxidative Stress in Melasma Patients. *Int. J. Dermatol.* 2017, 56, 939–943. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Harris, J.E.; Harris, T.H.; Weninger, W.; Wherry, E.J.; Hunter, C.A.; Turka, L.A. A Mouse Model of Vitiligo with Focused Epidermal Depigmentation Requires IFN-γ for Autoreactive CD8⁺ T-Cell Accumulation in the Skin. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* 2012, 132, 1869–1876. [CrossRef]
- Lee, E.J.; Kim, J.Y.; Yeo, J.H.; Park, S.; Bae, Y.J.; Kwon, I.J.; Seong, S.H.; Lee, J.; Oh, S.H. ISG15-USP18 Dysregulation by Oxidative Stress Promotes IFN-γ Secretion from CD8+ T Cells in Vitiligo. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* 2023. [CrossRef]
- 14. Sanclemente, G.; Garcia, J.; Zuleta, J.; Diehl, C.; Correa, C.; Falabella, R. A Double-Blind, Randomized Trial of 0.05% Betamethasone vs. Topical Catalase/Dismutase Superoxide in Vitiligo. *J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol.* **2008**, 22, 1359–1364. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Fontas, E.; Montaudié, H.; Passeron, T. Oral Gliadin-Protected Superoxide Dismutase in Addition to Phototherapy for Treating Non-Segmental Vitiligo: A 24-Week Prospective Randomized Placebo-Controlled Study. J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol. 2021, 35, 1725–1729. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schallreuter, K.U.; Wood, J.M.; Lemke, K.R.; Levenig, C. Treatment of Vitiligo with a Topical Application of Pseudocatalase and Calcium in Combination with Short-Term UVB Exposure: A Case Study on 33 Patients. *Dermatology* 2009, 190, 223–229. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pacifico, A.; Damiani, G.; Iacovelli, P.; Conic, R.R.Z.; Young Dermatologists Italian Network (YDIN); Gonzalez, S.; Morrone, A. NB-UVB plus Oral Polypodium Leucotomos Extract Display Higher Efficacy than NB-UVB Alone in Patients with Vitiligo. *Dermatol. Ther.* 2021, 34, e14776. [CrossRef]
- Reyes, E.; Jaén, P.; de las Heras, E.; de Eusebio, E.; Carrión, F.; Cuevas, J.; González, S.; Villarrubia, V.G.; Álvarez-Mon, M. Systemic Immunomodulatory Effects of Polypodium Leucotomos as an Adjuvant to PUVA Therapy in Generalized Vitiligo: A Pilot Study. J. Dermatol. Sci. 2006, 41, 213–216. [CrossRef]
- 19. Middelkamp-Hup, M.; Bos, J.; Rius-Diaz, F.; Gonzalez, S.; Westerhof, W. Treatment of Vitiligo Vulgaris with Narrow-Band UVB and Oral Polypodium Leucotomos Extract: A Randomized Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Study. *J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol.* **2007**, *21*, 942–950. [CrossRef]
- Salazar, G.Z.; Cedeño, M.B.; Román, V.P.; Pazmiño, R.U. Efecto del Polypodium leucotomos como adjuvante en la repigmentación inducida con UVB de banda estrecha en pacientes con vitíligo. *Med. Cutanea Ibero-Lat.-Am.* 2013, 41, 205–209.
- Dell'Anna, M.L.; Mastrofrancesco, A.; Sala, R.; Venturini, M.; Ottaviani, M.; Vidolin, A.P.; Leone, G.; Calzavara, P.G.; Westerhof, W.; Picardo, M. Antioxidants and Narrow band-UVB in the Treatment of Vitiligo: A Double-blind Placebo Controlled Trial. *Clin. Exp. Dermatol.* 2007, *32*, 631–636. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 22. Colucci, R.; Dragoni, F.; Conti, R.; Pisaneschi, L.; Lazzeri, L.; Moretti, S. Evaluation of an Oral Supplement Containing Phyllanthus Emblica Fruit Extracts, Vitamin E, and Carotenoids in Vitiligo Treatment. *Dermatol. Ther.* **2015**, *28*, 17–21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Jayanth, D.P.; Pai, B.S.; Shenoi, S.D.; Balachandran, C. Efficacy of Antioxidants as an Adjunct to Photochemotherapy in Vitiligo: A Case Study of 30 Patients. *Indian J. Dermatol. Venereol. Leprol.* 2002, *68*, 202–205. [PubMed]
- 24. Iraji, F.; Haftbaradaran, E.; Davashi, S.; Zolfaghari-Baghbaderani, A.; Bokaii-Jazi, S. Comparing the Improvement of Unstable Vitiligo in Patients Treated by Topical PUVA-Therapy Alone, Topical PUVA-Therapy and Oral Vitamin D, and Topical PUVA-Therapy and Oral Vitamin D and Vitamin B12. *J. Isfahan Med. Sch.* **2017**, *34*, 1699–1705.
- 25. Tjioe, M.; Gerritsen, M.J.P.; Juhlin, L.; van de Kerkhof, P.C.M. Treatment of Vitiligo Vulgaris with Narrow Band UVB (311 Nm) for One Year and the Effect of Addition of Folic Acid and Vitamin B12. *Acta Derm. Venereol.* 2002, *82*, 369–372. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Juhlin, L.; Olsson, M. Improvement of Vitiligo after Oral Treatment with Vitamin B12 and Folic Acid and the Importance of Sun Exposure. Acta Derm.-Venereol. 1997, 77, 460–462. [CrossRef]
- 27. Don, P.; Iuga, A.; Dacko, A.; Hardick, K. Treatment of Vitiligo with Broadband Ultraviolet B and Vitamins. *Int. J. Dermatol.* 2006, 45, 63–65. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sendrasoa, F.A.; Ranaivo, I.M.; Sata, M.; Andrianarison, M.; Raharolahy, O.; Rakotoarisaona, M.F.; Razanakoto, N.H.; Ramarozatovo, L.S.; Rapelanoro Rabenja, F. Treatment Responses in Patients with Vitiligo to Very Potent Topical Corticosteroids Combined with Vitaminotherapy in Madagascar. Int. J. Dermatol. 2019, 58, 908–911. [CrossRef]
- 29. Parsad, D.; Pandhi, R.; Juneja, A. Effectiveness of Oral Ginkgo Biloba in Treating Limited, Slowly Spreading Vitiligo. *Clin. Exp. Dermatol.* **2003**, *28*, 285–287. [CrossRef]
- Abu Raghif, A.; Ali, N.; Farhood, I.; Sahib, H.; Hameed, M. Evaluation of a Standardized Extract of Ginkgo Biloba in Vitiligo Remedy. Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res. 2013, 6, 127–130.
- Szczurko, O.; Shear, N.; Taddio, A.; Boon, H. Ginkgo Biloba for the Treatment of Vitilgo Vulgaris: An Open Label Pilot Clinical Trial. BMC Complement. Altern. Med. 2011, 11, 21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 32. Li, L.; Li, L.; Wu, Y.; Gao, X.-H.; Chen, H.-D. Triple-Combination Treatment with Oral α-Lipoic Acid, Betamethasone Injection, and NB-UVB for Non-Segmental Progressive Vitiligo. *J. Cosmet. Laser Ther.* **2016**, *18*, 182–185. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Tsiskarishvili, N.I.; Katsitadze, A.; Tsiskarishvili, N.V.; Tsiskarishvili, T.; Chitanava, L. Efficacy of combined use of antioxidative and phototherapy i the treatment of vitiligo. *Georgian Med. News* 2016, 52–57.
- 34. Jalalmanesh, S.; Mansouri, P.; Rajabi, M.; Monji, F. Therapeutic Effects of Turmeric Topical Cream in Vitiligo: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Pilot Study. J. Cosmet. Dermatol. 2022, 21, 4454–4461. [CrossRef]
- Schallreuter, K.U.; Krüger, C.; Würfel, B.A.; Panske, A.; Wood, J.M. From Basic Research to the Bedside: Efficacy of Topical Treatment with Pseudocatalase PC-KUS in 71 Children with Vitiligo. Int. J. Dermatol. 2008, 47, 743–753. [CrossRef]
- 36. Schallreuter, K.U.; Moore, J.; Behrens-Williams, S.; Panske, A.; Harari, M. Rapid Initiation of Repigmentation in Vitiligo with Dead Sea Climatotherapy in Combination with Pseudocatalase (PC-KUS). *Int. J. Dermatol.* **2002**, *41*, 482–487. [CrossRef]
- 37. Soliman, M.; Samy, N.; Rafei, M.; Hegazy, M. Excimer Light Monotherapy vs Combined Excimer Light and Topical Antioxidants in the Treatment of Vitiligo. *Lasers Surg. Med.* **2014**, *46*, 17. [CrossRef]
- Yuksel, E.P.; Aydin, F.; Senturk, N.; Canturk, T.; Turanli, A.Y. Comparison of the Efficacy of Narrow Band Ultraviolet B and Narrow Band Ultraviolet B plus Topical Catalase-Superoxide Dismutase Treatment in Vitiligo Patients. *Eur. J. Dermatol.* 2009, 19, 341–344. [CrossRef]
- Alshiyab, D.M.; Al-qarqaz, F.A.; Muhaidat, J.M.; Alkhader, Y.S.; Al-sheyab, R.F.; Jafaar, S.I. Comparison of the Efficacy of Tacrolimus 0.1% Ointment and Tacrolimus 0.1% plus Topical Pseudocatalase/Superoxide Dismutase Gel in Children with Limited Vitiligo: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J. Dermatol. Treat. 2022, 33, 146–149. [CrossRef]
- 40. Bakis-Petsoglou, S.; Le Guay, J.L.; Wittal, R. A Randomized, Double-blinded, Placebo-controlled Trial of Pseudocatalase Cream and Narrowband Ultraviolet B in the Treatment of Vitiligo. *Br. J. Dermatol.* **2009**, *161*, 910–917. [CrossRef]
- Naini, F.F.; Shooshtari, A.V.; Ebrahimi, B.; Molaei, R. The Effect of Pseudocatalase/Superoxide Dismutase in the Treatment of Vitiligo: A Pilot Study. J. Res. Pharm. Pract. 2012, 1, 77–80. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Kostović, K.; Pastar, Z.; Pasić, A.; Ceović, R. Treatment of Vitiligo with Narrow-Band UVB and Topical Gel Containing Catalase and Superoxide Dismutase. *Acta Dermatovenerol. Croat.* 2007, *15*, 10–14. [PubMed]
- 43. Patel, D.C.; Evans, A.V.; Hawk, J.L.M. Topical Pseudocatalase Mousse and Narrowband UVB Phototherapy Is Not Effective for Vitiligo: An Open, Single-centre Study. *Clin. Exp. Dermatol.* **2002**, 27, 641–644. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Schallreuter, K.U.; Panske, A.; Chiuchiarelli, G. Ineffective Topical Treatment of Vitiligo with Cucumis Melo Extracts. *Int. J. Dermatol.* 2011, *50*, 374–375. [CrossRef]
- 45. Mohammad, A. Vitiligo Repigmentation with Anapsos (Polypodium leucotomos). Int. J. Dermatol. 1989, 28, 479.
- 46. Elgoweini, M.; Din, N.N.E. Response of Vitiligo to Narrowband Ultraviolet B and Oral Antioxidants. *J. Clin. Pharmacol.* 2009, 49, 852–855. [CrossRef]
- Sun, Y.; Guan, X.; Wang, H.; Zhang, J.; Gu, H.; Lu, H.; Yao, Z.; Chen, X.; Zeng, F.; Wu, Y.; et al. Randomized Clinical Trial of Combined Therapy with Oral α-Lipoic Acid and NB-UVB for Nonsegmental Stable Vitiligo. *Dermatol. Ther.* 2021, 34, e14610. [CrossRef]
- El Attar, Y.; Doghaim, N.; El Far, N.; El hedody, S.; Hawwam, S.A. Efficacy and Safety of Tranexamic Acid versus Vitamin c after Microneedling in Treatment of Melasma: Clinical and Dermoscopic Study. J. Cosmet. Dermatol. 2022, 21, 2817–2825. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, H.; Li, M.; Zhang, X.; Li, L.; Yan, Y.; Wang, B. Comparing the Efficacy of Myjet-Assisted Tranexamic Acid and Vitamin C in Treating Melasma: A Split-Face Controlled Trial. J. Cosmet. Dermatol. 2020, 19, 47–54. [CrossRef]
- 50. Sobhi, R.M.; Sobhi, A.M. A Single-Blinded Comparative Study between the Use of Glycolic Acid 70% Peel and the Use of Topical Nanosome Vitamin C Iontophoresis in the Treatment of Melasma. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* **2012**, *11*, 65–71. [CrossRef]
- Soliman, M.M.; Ramadan, S.A.-R.; Bassiouny, D.A.; Abdelmalek, M. MSc Combined Trichloroacetic Acid Peel and Topical Ascorbic Acid versus Trichloroacetic Acid Peel Alone in the Treatment of Melasma: A Comparative Study. J. Cosmet. Dermatol. 2007, 6, 89–94. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ustuner, P.; Balevi, A.; Ozdemir, M. A Split-Face, Investigator-Blinded Comparative Study on the Efficacy and Safety of Q-Switched Nd:YAG Laser plus Microneedling with Vitamin C versus Q-Switched Nd:YAG Laser for the Treatment of Recalcitrant Melasma. J. Cosmet. Laser Ther. 2017, 19, 383–390. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Dayal, S. Clinical Efficacy and Safety on Combining 20% Trichloroacetic Acid Peel with Topical 5% Ascorbic Acid for Melasma. *J. Clin. Diagn. Res.* 2017, *11*, WC08–WC11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Pazyar, N.; Molavi, S.N.; Hosseinpour, P.; Hadibarhaghtalab, M.; Parvar, S.Y.; Dezfuly, M.B. Efficacy of Intradermal Injection of Tranexamic Acid and Ascorbic Acid versus Tranexamic Acid and Placebo in the Treatment of Melasma: A Split-Face Comparative Trial. *Health Sci. Rep.* 2022, 5, e537. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Balevi, A.; Ustuner, P.; Özdemir, M. Salicylic Acid Peeling Combined with Vitamin C Mesotherapy versus Salicylic Acid Peeling Alone in the Treatment of Mixed Type Melasma: A Comparative Study. J. Cosmet. Laser Ther. 2017, 19, 294–299. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, M.S.; Kim, S.J.; Lee, J.B.; Yoo, H.S. Clinical Evaluation of the Brightening Effect of Chitosan-Based Cationic Liposomes. J. Cosmet. Dermatol. 2022, 21, 6822–6829. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hakozaki, T.; Minwalla, L.; Zhuang, J.; Chhoa, M.; Matsubara, A.; Miyamoto, K.; Greatens, A.; Hillebrand, G.G.; Bissett, D.L.; Boissy, R.E. The Effect of Niacinamide on Reducing Cutaneous Pigmentation and Suppression of Melanosome Transfer. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 2002, 147, 20–31. [CrossRef]
- 58. Giasante, E. Melasma Treatment: Comparative Study between Triple Combination vs Topical Niacinamide and Triple Combination vs. Tranexamic Intradermal Acid. J. Dermatol. Nurses' Assoc. 2020, 12.

- Navarrete-Solís, J.; Castanedo-Cázares, J.P.; Torres-Álvarez, B.; Oros-Ovalle, C.; Fuentes-Ahumada, C.; González, F.J.; Martínez-Ramírez, J.D.; Moncada, B. A Double-Blind, Randomized Clinical Trial of Niacinamide 4% versus Hydroquinone 4% in the Treatment of Melasma. *Dermatol. Res. Pract.* 2011, 2011, 379173. [CrossRef]
- Farshi, S.; Mansouri, P.; Kasraee, B. Efficacy of Cysteamine Cream in the Treatment of Epidermal Melasma, Evaluating by Dermacatch as a New Measurement Method: A Randomized Double Blind Placebo Controlled Study. *J. Dermatol. Treat.* 2018, 29, 182–189. [CrossRef]
- 61. Mansouri, P.; Farshi, S.; Hashemi, Z.; Kasraee, B. Evaluation of the Efficacy of Cysteamine 5% Cream in the Treatment of Epidermal Melasma: A Randomized Double-Blind Placebo-Controlled Trial. *Br. J. Dermatol.* **2015**, *173*, 209–217. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lima, P.B.; Dias, J.A.F.; Cassiano, D.; Esposito, A.C.C.; Bagatin, E.; Miot, L.D.B.; Miot, H.A. A Comparative Study of Topical 5% Cysteamine versus 4% Hydroquinone in the Treatment of Facial Melasma in Women. *Int. J. Dermatol.* 2020, 59, 1531–1536. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sepaskhah, M.; Karimi, F.; Bagheri, Z.; Kasraee, B. Comparison of the Efficacy of Cysteamine 5% Cream and Hydroquinone 4%/Ascorbic Acid 3% Combination Cream in the Treatment of Epidermal Melasma. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* 2022, 21, 2871–2878. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nguyen, J.; Remyn, L.; Chung, Y.; Honigman, A.; Wutami, I.; Mane, S.; Wong, C.; Rogrigues, M. Evaluation of the Efficacy of Cysteamine Cream Compared to Hydroquinone in the Treatment of Melasma: A Randomised, Double-Blinded, Tria. *Australas. J. Dermatol.* 2021, 62, e41–e46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Karrabi, M.; Mansournia, M.A.; Sharestanaki, E.; Abdollahnejad, Y.; Sahebkar, M. Clinical Evaluation of Efficacy and Tolerability of Cysteamine 5% Cream in Comparison with Tranexamic Acid Mesotherapy in Subjects with Melasma: A Single-Blind, Randomized Clinical Trial Study. Arch. Dermatol. Res. 2021, 313, 539–547. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 66. Nofal, A.; Ibrahim, A.-S.M.; Nofal, E.; Gamal, N.; Osman, S. Topical Silymarin versus Hydroquinone in the Treatment of Melasma: A Comparative Study. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* **2019**, *18*, 263–270. [CrossRef]
- 67. Wattanakrai, P.; Nimmannitya, K. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Split-Face Study of Topical Silymarin vs 2% Hydroquinone Cream in Melasmas. *J. Drugs Dermatol.* 2022, *21*, 1304–1310. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ibrahim, S.M.A.; Farag, A.S.; Ali, M.S.; El-Gendy, W.M.A.F. Efficacy and Safety of Topical Silymarin Versus Low Fluence 1064-Nm Q Switched Nd:YAG Laser in the Treatment of Melasma: A Comparative Randomized Trial. *Lasers Surg. Med.* 2021, 53, 1341–1347. [CrossRef]
- 69. Goh, C.-L.; Chuah, S.Y.; Tien, S.; Thng, G.; Vitale, M.A.; Delgado-Rubin, A. Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial to Evaluate the Effectiveness of Polypodium Leucotomos Extract in the Treatment of Melasma in Asian Skin. *J. Clin. Aesthet. Dermatol.* **2018**, *11*, 14–19.
- Ahmed, A.M.; Lopez, I.; Perese, F.; Vasquez, R.; Hynan, L.S.; Chong, B.; Pandya, A.G. A Randomized, Double-Blinded, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Oral Polypodium Leucotomos Extract as an Adjunct to Sunscreen in the Treatment of Melasma. *JAMA Dermatol.* 2013, 149, 981–983. [CrossRef]
- Martin, L.K.; Caperton, C.; Woolery-Lloyd, H.; Avashia, N. A Randomized Double-Blind Placebo Controlled Study Evaluating the Effectiveness and Tolerability of Oral Polypodium Leucotomos in Patients with Melasma. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* 2012, 66, AB21. [CrossRef]
- Akl, E.M. Liposomal Azelaic Acid 20% Cream vs Hydroquinone 4% Cream as Adjuvant to Oral Tranexamic Acid in Melasma: A Comparative Study. J. Dermatol. Treat. 2022, 33, 2008–2013. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Das, N.; Rahaman, S.; Mondal, N.; Patra, A.; Sil, A.; Ghosh, A. Comparison of the Efficacy, Tolerability and Safety of 10% Tranexemic Acid Cream versus 20% Azelaic Acid Cream in Melasma: A Split Face, Double-Blind Randomised Controlled Trial. J. Dermatol. Nurses' Assoc. 2020, 12, 2.
- 74. Malik, F.; Hanif, M.; Mustafa, G. Combination of Oral Tranexamic Acid with Topical 3% Tranexamic Acid versus Oral Tranexamic Acid with Topical 20% Azelaic Acid in the Treatment of Melasma. J. Coll. Physicians Surg. Pak. 2019, 29, 502–504. [CrossRef]
- 75. Emad, M.; Moezzi, J.; Dastgheib, L. Therapeutic Efficacy of a Cream Based Azelaic Acid 20% versus Hydroquinone 4% in Patients with Melasma. *Iran J. Dermatol.* 2013, *16*, 13–16.
- 76. Arpornpattanapong, J.; Vejjabhinanta, V.; Tanasombatkul, K.; Phinyo, P. The Effectiveness and Safety of Using 2.3% Diclofenac Gel and 20% Azelaic Acid Cream for Melasma: A Single-Blind, Split-Face Study. J. Dermatol. Treat. 2023, 34, AB233. [CrossRef]
- 77. Afriliana, L.; Budipradigda, L.; Djamiatun, K. The Effect of Tomato Extract Supplementation to Interleukin-17 Serum Level in Women with Melasma. *Int. J. Pharm. Res.* 2020, *12*, 3660–3666. [CrossRef]
- 78. Bavarsad, N.; Mapar, M.A.; Safaezadeh, M.; Latifi, S.M. A Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Randomized Trial of Skin-Lightening Cream Containing Lycopene and Wheat Bran Extract on Melasma. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* **2021**, *20*, 1795–1800. [CrossRef]
- 79. Iraji, F.; Tagmirriahi, N.; Gavidnia, K. Comparison between the Efficacy of 10% Zinc Sulfate Solution with 4% Hydroquinone Cream on Improvement of Melasma. *Adv. Biomed. Res.* **2012**, *1*, 39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yousefi, A.; Khani Khoozani, Z.; Zakerzadeh Forooshani, S.; Omrani, N.; Moini, A.M.; Eskandari, Y. Is Topical Zinc Effective in the Treatment of Melasma? A Double-Blind Randomized Comparative Study. *Dermatol. Surg.* 2014, 40, 33–37. [CrossRef]
- Alvin, G.; Catambay, N.; Vergara, A.; Jamora, M.J. A Comparative Study of the Safety and Efficacy of 75% Mulberry (Morus Alba) Extract Oil versus Placebo as a Topical Treatment for Melasma: A Randomized, Single-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial. *J. Drugs Dermatol.* 2011, 10, 1025–1031. [PubMed]

- Lima, P.B.; Dias, J.A.F.; Esposito, A.C.C.; Miot, L.D.B.; Miot, H.A. French Maritime Pine Bark Extract (Pycnogenol) in Association with Triple Combination Cream for the Treatment of Facial Melasma in Women: A Double-Blind, Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial. J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol. 2021, 35, 502–508. [CrossRef]
- 83. Mohamed, M.; Beshay, Y.M.A.; Assaf, H.M. Microneedling with Glutathione versus Microneedling Alone in Treatment of Facial Melasma: Split-Face Comparative Study. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* **2023**. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 84. Khemis, A.; Kaiafa, A.; Queille-Roussel, C.; Duteil, L.; Ortonne, J.P. Evaluation of Efficacy and Safety of Rucinol Serum in Patients with Melasma: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Br. J. Dermatol.* **2007**, *156*, 997–1004. [CrossRef]
- Khosravan, S.; Alami, A.; Mohammadzadeh-Moghadam, H.; Ramezani, V. The Effect of Topical Use of Petroselinum Crispum (Parsley) Versus That of Hydroquinone Cream on Reduction of Epidermal Melasma: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *Holist. Nurs. Pract.* 2017, 31, 16–20. [CrossRef]
- 86. Akram, S.; Sattar, F.; Tahir, R.; Mujtaba, G. Efficacy of Topical 4% Liquiritin Compared with Topical 4% Liquiritin Mixed in 5% Ascorbic Acid in the Treatment of Melasma. *J. Pak. Assoc. Dermatol.* **2013**, *23*, 149–152.
- Huh, C.-H.; Seo, K.-I.; Park, J.-Y.; Lim, J.-G.; Eun, H.-C.; Park, K.-C. A Randomized, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Vitamin C Iontophoresis in Melasma. *Dermatology* 2003, 206, 316–320. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 88. Zhao, Y. Efficacy and Safety Analysis of Medlite C6 Q-1064 Laser Combined with a Topical Antioxidant Serum Containing Vitamin C, Vitamin E, and Ferulic Acid in the Treatment of Melasma. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* **2023**, *143*, S223.
- Lee, M.-C.; Chang, C.-S.; Huang, Y.-L.; Chang, S.-L.; Chang, C.-H.; Lin, Y.-F.; Hu, S. Treatment of Melasma with Mixed Parameters of 1,064-Nm Q-Switched Nd:YAG Laser Toning and an Enhanced Effect of Ultrasonic Application of Vitamin C: A Split-Face Study. Lasers Med. Sci. 2015, 30, 159–163. [CrossRef]
- Abdel-Rahman, A.T.; Abdel-Hakeem, F.G.; Ragaie, M.H. Clinical, Dermoscopic, and Histopathologic Evaluation of Vitamin C versus PRP, with Microneedling in the Treatment of Mixed Melasma: A Split-Face, Comparative Study. *Dermatol. Ther.* 2022, 35, e15239. [CrossRef]
- Choi, Y.K.; Rho, Y.K.; Yoo, K.H.; Lim, Y.Y.; Li, K.; Kim, B.J.; Seo, S.J.; Kim, M.N.; Hong, C.K.; Kim, D.-S. Effects of Vitamin C vs. Multivitamin on Melanogenesis: Comparative Study in Vitro and in Vivo. Int. J. Dermatol. 2010, 49, 218–226. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 92. Raza, M.H.; Iftikhar, N.; Anwar, A.; Mashhood, A.A.; Tariq, S.; Hamid, M.A.B. Split-Face Comparative Analysis of Micro-Needling with Tranexamic Acid vs Vitamin C Serum in Melasma. *J. Ayub Med. Coll. Abbottabad* **2022**, *34*, 169–172. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Tadokoro, T.; Bonté, F.; Archambault, J.C.; Cauchard, J.H.; Neveu, M.; Ozawa, K.; Noguchi, F.; Ikeda, A.; Nagamatsu, M.; Shinn, S. Whitening Efficacy of Plant Extracts Including Orchid Extracts on Japanese Female Skin with Melasma and Lentigo Senilis. J. Dermatol. 2010, 37, 522–530. [CrossRef]
- Tahoun, A.I.; Mostafa, W.Z.; Amer, M.A. Dermoscopic Evaluation of Tranexamic Acid versus Vitamin C, with Microneedling in the Treatment of Melasma: A Comparative, Split-Face, Single-Blinded Study. J. Dermatol. Treat. 2022, 33, 1623–1629. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 95. Espinal-Perez, L.E.; Moncada, B.; Castanedo-Cazares, J.P. A Double-Blind Randomized Trial of 5% Ascorbic Acid vs. 4% Hydroquinone in Melasma. *Int. J. Dermatol.* **2004**, *43*, 604–607. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Campuzano-García, A.E.; Torres-Alvarez, B.; Hernández-Blanco, D.; Fuentes-Ahumada, C.; Cortés-García, J.D.; Castanedo-Cázares, J.P. DNA Methyltransferases in Malar Melasma and Their Modification by Sunscreen in Combination with 4% Niacinamide, 0.05% Retinoic Acid, or Placebo. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2019, 2019, 9068314. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 97. Goh, C.-L.; Thng, S.; Kumar, S.; Tan, W.P. A Double Blind Randomized Controlled Trial to Evaluate the Efficacy of a Niacinamide Containing Cream in the Treatment of Melasma. *J. Dermatol.* **2012**, *39* (Suppl. 1), 219–220.
- 98. Altaei, T. The Treatment of Melasma by Silymarin Cream. BMC Dermatol. 2012, 12, 18. [CrossRef]
- Avianggi, H.D.; Indar, R.; Adriani, D.; Riyanto, P.; Muslimin, M.; Afriliana, L.; Kabulrachman, K. The Effectiveness of Tomato Extract on Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) and Severity Degree of Patients with Melasma. *Ital. J. Dermatol. Venerol.* 2022, 157, 262–269. [CrossRef]
- Iraji, F.; Nasimi, M.; Asilian, A.; Faghihi, G.; Mozafarpoor, S.; Hafezi, H. Efficacy of Mesotherapy with Tranexamic Acid and Ascorbic Acid with and without Glutathione in Treatment of Melasma: A Split Face Comparative Trial. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* 2019, 18, 1416–1421. [CrossRef]
- 101. Zattra, E.; Coleman, C.; Arad, S.; Helms, E.; Levine, D.; Bord, E.; Guillaume, A.; El-Hajahmad, M.; Zwart, E.; van Steeg, H.; et al. Polypodium Leucotomos Extract Decreases UV-Induced Cox-2 Expression and Inflammation, Enhances DNA Repair, and Decreases Mutagenesis in Hairless Mice. Am. J. Pathol. 2009, 175, 1952–1961. [CrossRef]
- 102. Portillo, M.; Mataix, M.; Alonso-Juarranz, M.; Lorrio, S.; Villalba, M.; Rodríguez-Luna, A.; González, S. The Aqueous Extract of Polypodium Leucotomos (Fernblock®) Regulates Opsin 3 and Prevents Photooxidation of Melanin Precursors on Skin Cells Exposed to Blue Light Emitted from Digital Devices. *Antioxidants* 2021, 10, 400. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 103. Schalka, R.; Vitale-Villarejo, M.A.; Agelune, C.M.; Bombarda, P.C.P. Benefícios do uso de um composto contendo extrato de polypodium loucotomos na redução da pigmentação e do eritema decorrentes da radiação ultravioleta. *Surg. Cosmet. Dermatol.* 2014, 6, 344–348.
- 104. Cefali, L.C.; Ataide, J.A.; Fernandes, A.R.; Sanchez-Lopez, E.; de O. Sousa, I.M.; Figueiredo, M.C.; Ruiz, A.L.T.G.; Foglio, M.A.; Mazzola, P.G.; Souto, E.B. Evaluation of In Vitro Solar Protection Factor (SPF), Antioxidant Activity, and Cell Viability of Mixed Vegetable Extracts from *Dirmophandra mollis* Benth, *Ginkgo biloba* L., *Ruta graveolens* L., and *Vitis vinífera* L. *Plants* 2019, *8*, 453. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 105. Zhang, Y.; Liu, J.; Yang, B.; Zheng, Y.; Yao, M.; Sun, M.; Xu, L.; Lin, C.; Chang, D.; Tian, F. Ginkgo Biloba Extract Inhibits Astrocytic Lipocalin-2 Expression and Alleviates Neuroinflammatory Injury via the JAK2/STAT3 Pathway After Ischemic Brain Stroke. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2018, *9*, 518. [CrossRef]
- 106. Mousavi, S.N.; Hosseinikia, M.; Yousefi Rad, E.; Saboori, S. Beneficial Effects of Ginkgo Biloba Leaf Extract on Inflammatory Markers: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Clinical Trials. *Phytother. Res.* 2022, 36, 3459–3469. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 107. Ku, B.; Kim, D.; Choi, E.-M. Anti-Melanogenic Effect of the Aqueous Ethanol Extract of Ginkgo Biloba Leaf in B16F10 Cells. *Toxicol. Environ. Health Sci.* **2020**, *12*, 287–295. [CrossRef]
- Klomsakul, P.; Aiumsubtub, A.; Chalopagorn, P. Evaluation of Antioxidant Activities and Tyrosinase Inhibitory Effects of *Ginkgo* biloba Tea Extract. Sci. World J. 2022, 2022, e4806889. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 109. Telang, P.S. Vitamin C in Dermatology. Indian Dermatol. Online J. 2013, 4, 143–146. [CrossRef]
- 110. Lewis, E.D.; Meydani, S.N.; Wu, D. Regulatory Role of Vitamin E in the Immune System and Inflammation. *IUBMB Life* 2019, 71, 487–494. [CrossRef]
- Hung, C.; Lin, Y.; Zhang, L.; Chang, C.; Fang, J. Topical Delivery of Silymarin Constituents via the Skin Route. *Acta Pharmacol. Sin.* 2010, *31*, 118–126. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 112. Wohlrab, J.; Kreft, D. Niacinamide-Mechanisms of Action and Its Topical Use in Dermatology. *Ski. Pharmacol. Physiol.* **2014**, 27, 311–315. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 113. Wang, K.; Jiang, H.; Li, W.; Qiang, M.; Dong, T.; Li, H. Role of Vitamin C in Skin Diseases. Front. Physiol. 2018, 9, 819. [CrossRef]
- 114. Li, N.; Wu, X.; Jia, W.; Zhang, M.C.; Tan, F.; Zhang, J. Effect of Ionization and Vehicle on Skin Absorption and Penetration of Azelaic Acid. *Drug Dev. Ind. Pharm.* 2012, *38*, 985–994. [CrossRef]
- Hwang, S.-W.; Oh, D.-J.; Lee, D.; Kim, J.-W.; Park, S.-W. Clinical Efficacy of 25% L-Ascorbic Acid (C'ensil) in the Treatment of Melasma. J. Cutan. Med. Surg. 2009, 13, 74–81. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 116. Ismail, E.S.A.; Patsatsi, A.; Abd el-Maged, W.M.; Nada, E.E.-D.A. el-Aziz Efficacy of Microneedling with Topical Vitamin C in the Treatment of Melasma. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* **2019**, *18*, 1342–1347. [CrossRef]
- 117. Kelm, R.C.; Zahr, A.S.; Kononov, T.; Ibrahim, O. Effective Lightening of Facial Melasma during the Summer with a Dual Regimen: A Prospective, Open-Label, Evaluator-Blinded Study. J. Cosmet. Dermatol. 2020, 19, 3251–3257. [CrossRef]
- 118. Yoo, J.M.; Park, H.J.; Choi, S.W.; Kim, H.O. Vitamin C-iontophoresis in Melasma. Korean J. Dermatol. 2014, 39, 285–291.
- 119. Campos, V.; Pitassi, L. Oral Administration of Pycnogenol Associated with Sunscreen Improve Clinical Symptoms of Melasma. *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.* **2014**, *70*, AB19. [CrossRef]
- 120. Ni, Z.; Mu, Y.; Gulati, O. Treatment of Melasma with Pycnogenol®. Phytother. Res. 2002, 16, 567–571. [CrossRef]
- Hsu, C.; Ahmadi, S.; Pourahmad, M.; Ali Mahdi, H. Cysteamine cream as a new skin depigmenting product. *Pigment. Cell Melanoma Res.* 2012, 25, 645–675. [CrossRef]
- Kar, H.K. Efficacy of Beta-Carotene Topical Application in Melasma: An Open Clinical Trial. *Indian J. Dermatol. Venereol. Leprol.* 2002, 68, 320–322. [PubMed]
- 123. Park, H.S.; Choi, J.C.; Chun, D.K.; Lee, Y.S. The Efficacy of Peeling with Amino Acid Filaggrin Based Antioxidants(AFAs). *Korean J. Dermatol.* **2015**, *41*, 1487–1493.
- Sharquie, K.E.; Al-Mashhadani, S.A.; Salman, H.A. Topical 10% Zinc Sulfate Solution for Treatment of Melasma. *Dermatol. Surg.* 2008, 34, 1346–1349. [CrossRef]
- 125. Song, M.; Mun, J.-H.; Ko, H.-C.; Kim, B.-S.; Kim, M.-B. Korean Red Ginseng Powder in the Treatment of Melasma: An Uncontrolled Observational Study. *J. Ginseng Res.* 2011, 35, 170–175. [CrossRef]
- 126. Yamakoshi, J.; Sano, A.; Tokutake, S.; Saito, M.; Kikuchi, M.; Kubota, Y.; Kawachi, Y.; Otsuka, F. Oral Intake of Proanthocyanidin-Rich Extract from Grape Seeds Improves Chloasma. *Phytother. Res.* **2004**, *18*, 895–899. [CrossRef]
- 127. Yokoyama, M.; Itoh, Y. Clinical Evaluation of the Use of Whitening Cream Containing Ellagic Acid for the Treatment of Skin Pigmentation Conditions. *Ski. Res.* 2001, *43*, 286–291.
- 128. Gonzalez, S.; Alcaraz, M.V.; Cuevas, J.; Perez, M.; Jaen, P.; Alvarez-Mon, M.; Villarrubia, V.G. An Extract of the Fern Polypodium Leucotomos (Difur) Modulates Th1/Th2 Cytokines Balance in Vitro and Appears to Exhibit Anti-Angiogenic Activities in Vivo: Pathogenic Relationships and Therapeutic Implications. *Anticancer Res.* **2000**, *20*, 1567–1575. [PubMed]
- 129. Gustafson, C.B.; Yang, C.; Dickson, K.M.; Shao, H.; Van Booven, D.; Harbour, J.W.; Liu, Z.-J.; Wang, G. Epigenetic Reprogramming of Melanoma Cells by Vitamin C Treatment. *Clin. Epigenetics* **2015**, *7*, 51. [CrossRef]
- Gegotek, A.; Skrzydlewska, E. Antioxidative and Anti-Inflammatory Activity of Ascorbic Acid. *Antioxidants* 2022, 11, 1993. [CrossRef]
- 131. Kang, J.S.; Kim, H.N.; Jung, D.J.; Kim, J.E.; Mun, G.H.; Kim, Y.S.; Cho, D.; Shin, D.H.; Hwang, Y.-I.; Lee, W.J. Regulation of UVB-Induced IL-8 and MCP-1 Production in Skin Keratinocytes by Increasing Vitamin C Uptake via the Redistribution of SVCT-1 from the Cytosol to the Membrane. *J. Investig. Dermatol.* 2007, 127, 698–706. [CrossRef]
- 132. Eberlein-König, B.; Ring, J. Relevance of Vitamins C and E in Cutaneous Photoprotection. *J. Cosmet. Dermatol.* **2005**, *4*, 4–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kamei, Y.; Otsuka, Y.; Abe, K. Comparison of the Inhibitory Effects of Vitamin E Analogues on Melanogenesis in Mouse B16 Melanoma Cells. *Cytotechnology* 2009, 59, 183–190. [CrossRef]
- 134. Endo, K.; Osawa, S.; Mizutani, T.; Miyaguchi, Y.; Okano, Y.; Masaki, H. A Potential of α-Tocopherol Fatty Acid Ester as an Anti-Pigmentation Agent. J. Jpn. Cosmet. Sci. Soc. 2020, 44, 283–288. [CrossRef]

- 135. Asbaghi, O.; Sadeghian, M.; Nazarian, B.; Sarreshtedari, M.; Mozaffari-Khosravi, H.; Maleki, V.; Alizadeh, M.; Shokri, A.; Sadeghi, O. The Effect of Vitamin E Supplementation on Selected Inflammatory Biomarkers in Adults: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials. *Sci. Rep.* 2020, *10*, 17234. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 136. Nazrun, A.S.; Norazlina, M.; Norliza, M.; Nirwana, S.I. The Anti-Inflammatory Role of Vitamin E in Prevention of Osteoporosis. *Adv. Pharmacol. Sci.* 2012, 2012, 142702. [CrossRef]
- 137. Cook-Mills, J.M.; McCary, C.A. Isoforms of vitamin e differentially regulate inflammation. *Endocr. Metab. Immune Disord. Drug Targets* 2010, 10, 348–366. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 138. Wu, D.; Koga, T.; Martin, K.R.; Meydani, M. Effect of Vitamin E on Human Aortic Endothelial Cell Production of Chemokines and Adhesion to Monocytes. *Atherosclerosis* **1999**, *147*, 297–307. [CrossRef]
- 139. Werninghaus, K.; Meydani, M.; Bhawan, J.; Margolis, R.; Blumberg, J.B.; Gilchrest, B.A. Evaluation of the Photoprotective Effect of Oral Vitamin E Supplementation. *Arch. Dermatol.* **1994**, *130*, 1257–1261. [CrossRef]
- Mireles-Rocha, H.; Galindo, I.; Huerta, M.; Trujillo-Hernández, B.; Elizalde, A.; Cortés-Franco, R. UVB Photoprotection with Antioxidants: Effects of Oral Therapy with d-Alpha-Tocopherol and Ascorbic Acid on the Minimal Erythema Dose. *Acta Derm. Venereol.* 2002, *82*, 21–24. [CrossRef]
- 141. Kim, H.-Y.; Sah, S.K.; Choi, S.S.; Kim, T.-Y. Inhibitory Effects of Extracellular Superoxide Dismutase on Ultraviolet B-Induced Melanogenesis in Murine Skin and Melanocytes. *Life Sci.* 2018, 210, 201–208. [CrossRef]
- 142. Yasui, K.; Baba, A. Therapeutic Potential of Superoxide Dismutase (SOD) for Resolution of Inflammation. *Inflamm. Res.* 2006, 55, 359–363. [CrossRef]
- 143. Takayanagi, S.; Suzuki, H.; Yokozawa, M.; Yamauchi, K. The Effect of Intake of GliSODin on Minimal Erythema Dose (MED), a Randomised, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled, Parallel-Group Study of Healthy Japanese. *Pharmacometrics* **2016**, *90*, 77–81.
- 144. Kim, Y.; Lee, Y.; Lee, J.; Yi, Y. Inhibitory Effect of Ginkgo Biloba Extracts on Melanin Biosynthesis. J. Soc. Cosmet. Sci. Korea 2015, 41, 383–389. [CrossRef]
- 145. Plonka, P.M.; Handjiski, B.; Michalczyk, D.; Popik, M.; Paus, R. Oral Zinc Sulphate Causes Murine Hair Hypopigmentation and Is a Potent Inhibitor of Eumelanogenesis in Vivo. *Br. J. Dermatol.* **2006**, *155*, 39–49. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 146. Rudolf, E.; Rudolf, K. Increases in Intracellular Zinc Enhance Proliferative Signaling as Well as Mitochondrial and Endolysosomal Activity in Human Melanocytes. *Cell. Physiol. Biochem.* **2017**, *43*, 1–16. [CrossRef]
- 147. Prasad, A.S. Clinical, Immunological, Anti-Inflammatory and Antioxidant Roles of Zinc. *Exp. Gerontol.* 2008, 43, 370–377. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lei, T.C.; Virador, V.M.; Vieira, W.D.; Hearing, V.J. A Melanocyte–Keratinocyte Coculture Model to Assess Regulators of Pigmentation in Vitro. Anal. Biochem. 2002, 305, 260–268. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yiasemides, E.; Sivapirabu, G.; Halliday, G.M.; Park, J.; Damian, D.L. Oral Nicotinamide Protects against Ultraviolet Radiation-Induced Immunosuppression in Humans. *Carcinogenesis* 2009, 30, 101–105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 150. Xing, X.; Wang, H.; Zhao, L.; Bai, Y.; Xie, F.; He, J.; Lv, C. Niacin Downregulates Chemokine (c-c Motif) Ligand 2 (CCL2) Expression and Inhibits Fat Synthesis in Rat Liver Cells. *Trop. J. Pharm. Res.* **2020**, *19*, 977–982. [CrossRef]
- 151. Snaidr, V.A.; Damian, D.L.; Halliday, G.M. Nicotinamide for Photoprotection and Skin Cancer Chemoprevention: A Review of Efficacy and Safety. *Exp. Dermatol.* 2019, *28*, 15–22. [CrossRef]
- 152. Atallah, C.; Viennet, C.; Robin, S.; Ibazizen, S.; Greige-Gerges, H.; Charcosset, C. Effect of Cysteamine Hydrochloride-Loaded Liposomes on Skin Depigmenting and Penetration. *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.* **2022**, *168*, 106082. [CrossRef]
- 153. Ahmad, F.; Mitchell, R.D.; Houben, T.; Palo, A.; Yadati, T.; Parnell, A.J.; Patel, K.; Shiri-Sverdlov, R.; Leake, D.S. Cysteamine Decreases Low-Density Lipoprotein Oxidation, Causes Regression of Atherosclerosis, and Improves Liver and Muscle Function in Low-Density Lipoprotein Receptor–Deficient Mice. J. Am. Heart Assoc. 2021, 10, e017524. [CrossRef]
- 154. Choo, S.-J.; Ryoo, I.-J.; Kim, Y.-H.; Xu, G.-H.; Kim, W.-G.; Kim, K.-H.; Moon, S.-J.; Son, E.-D.; Bae, K.; Yoo, I.-D. Silymarin Inhibits Melanin Synthesis in Melanocyte Cells. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. 2009, 61, 663–667. [CrossRef]
- 155. Tsaroucha, A.K.; Valsami, G.; Kostomitsopoulos, N.; Lambropoulou, M.; Anagnostopoulos, C.; Christodoulou, E.; Falidas, E.; Betsou, A.; Pitiakoudis, M.; Simopoulos, C.E. Silibinin Effect on Fas/FasL, HMGB1, and CD45 Expressions in a Rat Model Subjected to Liver Ischemia-Reperfusion Injury. J. Investig. Surg. 2018, 31, 491–502. [CrossRef]
- 156. Lin, Z.-Y.; Chuang, W.-L. Influence of Silibinin on Differential Expressions of Total Cytokine Genes in Human Hepatocellular Carcinoma Cell Lines. *Biomed. Prev. Nutr.* 2011, 1, 91–94. [CrossRef]
- 157. Trappoliere, M.; Caligiuri, A.; Schmid, M.; Bertolani, C.; Failli, P.; Vizzutti, F.; Novo, E.; di Manzano, C.; Marra, F.; Loguercio, C.; et al. Silybin, a Component of Sylimarin, Exerts Anti-Inflammatory and Anti-Fibrogenic Effects on Human Hepatic Stellate Cells. *J. Hepatol.* 2009, 50, 1102–1111. [CrossRef]
- 158. Katiyar, S.K.; Meleth, S.; Sharma, S.D. Silymarin, a Flavonoid from Milk Thistle (*Silybum marianum* L.), Inhibits UV-Induced Oxidative Stress Through Targeting Infiltrating CD11b⁺ Cells in Mouse Skin. *Photochem Photobiol* **2008**, *84*, 266–271. [CrossRef]
- 159. Kim, Y.J.; Kang, K.S.; Yokozawa, T. The Anti-Melanogenic Effect of Pycnogenol by Its Anti-Oxidative Actions. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2008**, 46, 2466–2471. [CrossRef]
- Verlaet, A.; van der Bolt, N.; Meijer, B.; Breynaert, A.; Naessens, T.; Konstanti, P.; Smidt, H.; Hermans, N.; Savelkoul, H.F.J.; Teodorowicz, M. Toll-Like Receptor-Dependent Immunomodulatory Activity of Pycnogenol®. *Nutrients* 2019, 11, 214. [CrossRef]

- 161. Saliou, C.; Rimbach, G.; Moini, H.; McLaughlin, L.; Hosseini, S.; Lee, J.; Watson, R.R.; Packer, L. Solar Ultraviolet-Induced Erythema in Human Skin and Nuclear Factor-Kappa-B-Dependent Gene Expression in Keratinocytes Are Modulated by a French Maritime Pine Bark Extract. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* 2001, 30, 154–160. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 162. Ambarwati, N.S.S.; Armandari, M.O.; Widayat, W.; Desmiaty, Y.; Elya, B.; Arifianti, A.E.; Ahmad, I. In Vitro Studies on the Cytotoxicity, Elastase, and Tyrosinase Inhibitory Activities of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* Mill.) Extract. *J. Adv. Pharm. Technol. Res.* 2022, 13, 182–186. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 163. Khan, U.M.; Sevindik, M.; Zarrabi, A.; Nami, M.; Ozdemir, B.; Kaplan, D.N.; Selamoglu, Z.; Hasan, M.; Kumar, M.; Alshehri, M.M.; et al. Lycopene: Food Sources, Biological Activities, and Human Health Benefits. Oxidative Med. Cell. Longev. 2021, 2021, e2713511. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 164. Gouranton, E.; Thabuis, C.; Riollet, C.; Malezet-Desmoulins, C.; El Yazidi, C.; Amiot, M.J.; Borel, P.; Landrier, J.F. Lycopene Inhibits Proinflammatory Cytokine and Chemokine Expression in Adipose Tissue. J. Nutr. Biochem. 2011, 22, 642–648. [CrossRef]
- Stahl, W.; Eichler, O.; Sies, H.; Heinrich, U.; Wiseman, S.; Tronnier, H. Dietary Tomato Paste Protects against Ultraviolet Light–Induced Erythema in Humans. J. Nutr. 2001, 131, 1449–1451. [CrossRef]
- Aust, O.; Stahl, W.; Sies, H.; Tronnier, H.; Heinrich, U. Supplementation with Tomato-Based Products Increases Lycopene, Phytofluene, and Phytoene Levels in Human Serum and Protects against UV-Light-Induced Erythema. *Int. J. Vitam. Nutr. Res.* 2005, 75, 54–60. [CrossRef]
- 167. Page, M.J.; McKenzie, J.E.; Bossuyt, P.M.; Boutron, I.; Hoffmann, T.C.; Mulrow, C.D.; Shamseer, L.; Tetzlaff, J.M.; Akl, E.A.; Brennan, S.E.; et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021, 372, n71. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.