Influence of artichoke antioxidant activity in their susceptibility to suffer frost injury

Marina Giménez-Berenguer, María Gutiérrez-Pozo, Vicente Serna-Escolano, Pedro Javier Zapata* and María José Giménez

Department of Food Technology, Escuela Politécnica Superior de Orihuela, University Miguel Hernández, Ctra. Beniel km. 3.2, 03312, Alicante, Spain; marina.gimenezb@umh.es (M.G.-B.); maria.gutierrezp@umh.es (M.G.-P.); vserna@umh.es (V.S.-E.); pedrojzapata@umh.es (P.J.Z.); maria.gimenezt@umh.es (M.J.G.).
* Correspondence: maria.gimenezt@umh.es

Supplementary Material

Table S1. Climatic conditions recorded in the artichoke field on the 29th and 30th of January.

DAY	29th				30th			
HOUR	Temperature (ºC)	Relative Humidity (%)	WIND		Temperature (ºC)	Relative Humidity (%)	WIND	
			Direction (tens of degrees)	Speed (km/h)			Direction (tens of degrees)	Speed (km/h)
0	2.2	82	-	0	6.4	76	19	2
1	1.9	85	-	0	4.8	78	-	0
2	1.3	81	-	0	4.1	83	23	2
3	2	83	-	0	3.1	83	-	0
4	0.9	84	25	2	2.1	85	-	0
5	0.9	79	24	3	1.3	85	-	0
6	-0.1	79	-	0	0.6	87	-	0
7	-1.3	83	-	0	-0.2	90	-	0
8	0.8	75	22	3	0.7	91	-	0
9	3.5	72	24	5	4.8	84	27	4
10	7.1	52	23	9	8	67	22	4
11	9.3	47	21	6	10.6	56	22	5
12	11.2	46	22	6	12.4	47	22	8
13	12.7	44	22	4	13.9	43	14	4
14	13.1	42	9	8	13.9	41	15	5
15	11.8	56	7	13	13.4	37	21	4

16	10.7	59	7	14	13.9	36	26	5
17	9.7	63	7	13	13.9	43	17	3
18	9.1	67	5	11	10.2	54	-	0
19	8.5	68	6	10	8.5	60	-	0
20	7.7	75	5	8	6.1	68	-	0
21	7.9	73	7	8	4.7	74	-	0
22	8.3	68	8	6	3.8	77	22	4
23	7.3	72	23	2	2.4	77	24	3