



# Article Functional Characterization of Sugar Beet M14 Antioxidant Enzymes in Plant Salt Stress Tolerance

Jinna Li <sup>1,2</sup>, Bing Yu <sup>2,3</sup>, Chunquan Ma <sup>2,3</sup>, Hongli Li <sup>2,3</sup>, Desheng Jiang <sup>2,3</sup>, Jingdong Nan <sup>2,3</sup>, Meng Xu <sup>2,3</sup>, He Liu <sup>2,3</sup>, Sixue Chen <sup>4</sup>, Huizi Duanmu <sup>2,3,\*</sup> and Haiying Li <sup>1,2,3,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Heilongjiang University, Harbin 150080, China
- <sup>2</sup> Key Laboratory of Molecular Biology of Heilongjiang Province, College of Life Sciences, Heilongjiang University, Harbin 150080, China
- <sup>3</sup> Engineering Research Center of Agricultural Microbiology Technology, Ministry of Education, Heilongjiang University, Harbin 150080, China
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Biology, University of Mississippi, Oxford, MS 38677-1848, USA
- \* Correspondence: 2016065@hlju.edu.cn (H.D.); lihaiying@hlju.edu.cn (H.L.)

**Abstract:** Salt stress can cause cellular dehydration, which induces oxidative stress by increasing the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in plants. They may play signaling roles and cause structural damages to the cells. To overcome the negative impacts, the plant ROS scavenging system plays a vital role in maintaining the cellular redox homeostasis. The special sugar beet apomictic monosomic additional M14 line (*BvM14*) showed strong salt stress tolerance. Comparative proteomics revealed that six antioxidant enzymes (glycolate oxidase (GOX), peroxiredoxin (PrxR), thioredoxin (Trx), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR), and dehydroascorbate reductase3 (DHAR3)) in *BvM14* were responsive to salt stress. In this work, the full-length cDNAs of genes encoding these enzymes in the redox system were cloned from the *BvM14*. Ectopic expression of the six genes reduced the oxidative damage of transgenic plants by regulating the contents of hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), malondialdehyde (MDA), ascorbic acid (AsA), and glutathione (GSH), and thus enhanced the tolerance of transgenic plants to salt stress. This work has charecterized the roles that the antioxidant enzymes play in the *BvM14* response to salt stress and provided useful genetic resources for engineering and marker-based breeding of crops that are sensitive to salt stress.

**Keywords:** sugar beet M14 line; salt stress; antioxidant enzyme system; reactive oxygen species (ROS); ectopic expression

# 1. Introduction

Wild sugar beet (*Beta corolliflora* Zoss.) has excellent characteristics of drought resistance, frost resistance, salt tolerance, cold tolerance, and apomixis. In the early stage of the study, diploid cultivated beet (*B. vulgaris* L.) and tetraploid wild sugar beet (*B. corolliflora* Zoss.) were crossed by distant hybridization. After obtaining allotriploid, they were further backcrossed with cultivated sugar beet, and the M14 with the wild sugar beet chromosome 9 (*BvM14*) was selected for apomixix and high salt tolerance. It is a rare germplasm for studying plant salt stress tolerance mechanisms [1–5].

Reactive oxygen species (ROS), including superoxide anions ( $O_2^{\bullet-}$ ), hydroxyl radicals ( $HO_2^{\bullet}$ ), hydrogen peroxide ( $H_2O_2$ ), and singlet oxygen ( ${}^1O_2$ ), play an important role in plant metabolism, signal transduction, photosynthesis regulation, bacterial defense, and cell apoptosis [6–8]. Salinity affects plants growth and development through osmotic stress, ion toxicity, overproduction of ROS, and oxidative stress [9]. It is known that chloroplasts, mitochondria, peroxisomes, apoplast, and plasma membranes are the main sites of cellular ROS generation [10]. Overproduction of ROS can lead to severe damage of protein, membrane lipid, DNA, and other cellular components [11]. To cope with this challenge, plants



**Citation:** Li, J.; Yu, B.; Ma, C.; Li, H.; Jiang, D.; Nan, J.; Xu, M.; Liu, H.; Chen, S.; Duanmu, H.; et al. Functional Characterization of Sugar Beet M14 Antioxidant Enzymes in Plant Salt Stress Tolerance. *Antioxidants* **2023**, *12*, 57. https:// doi.org/10.3390/antiox12010057

Academic Editor: Stanley Omaye

Received: 4 December 2022 Revised: 20 December 2022 Accepted: 26 December 2022 Published: 27 December 2022



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). have antioxidative mechanisms that consist of enzymatic and non-enzymatic components to regulate ROS synthesis and scavenging. The antioxidant enzymes of ROS scavenging in plants mainly include superoxide dismutase (SOD), ascorbate perxidase (APX), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GPX), and thioredoxin (Trx) [12–14]. Some antioxidative genes have been cloned from rice [12], *Arabidopsis* [15], maize [14], and soybean [16], while only partial sequences of peroxisome *APX* gene coding region and *GOX* gene have been obtained from salt-tolerant sugar beet [17]. However, there are few reports about the different roles of these enzymes under salt stress.

In the past years, the salt tolerance characteristics of *BvM14* have been wellstudied [1,2,4,18–20]. The differentially expressed proteins (DEPs) under salt stress (0, 200, 400 mM) have been studied by iTRAQ LC-MS/MS. A total of 76 DEPs have been identified in leaves of the *BvM14*. These proteins involve photosynthesis, metabolism, protein synthesis, protein folding and degradation, stress and defense, cell structure, transcription, and transport processes. Among them, six main proteins (GOX, PrxR, Trx, APX, DHAR3, and MDHAR) of the antioxidant enzymes system changed most significantly in the ROS scavenging system under salt stress [4]. However, to the best of our knowledge, salt tolerance of the *GOX*, *PrxR*, *Trx*, *APX*, *DHAR3*, and *MDHAR* genes and their relationships have not been characterized in sugar beet M14.

In this work, we aimed to investigate the following related questions. What are the reactions that are directly responsible for these six genes in the antioxidant enzyme system during salt stress? What is the relationship between these six genes in antioxidant enzyme system under salt stress conditions? How important are the six genes in antioxidant enzyme system during salt stress? To answer these questions, molecular biological methods were used to evaluate the functions of the six major antioxidant enzymes in *BvM14* under salt stress [3,4]. We generated a complete set of single mutants for the six key genes in *Arabidopsis* and analyzed the function of the six genes under salt stress. Understanding the mechanism of ROS scavenging allows for a powerful strategy to enhance crop salt tolerance.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Plant Materials, and Growth Conditions

Sugar beet M14 seeds were initially sowed in vermiculite for seven days. Then, the seedlings were planted in Hoagland solution and kept at 23 °C, 450  $\mu$ mol  $\cdot$  m<sup>-2</sup> $\cdot$  s<sup>-1</sup>, and 14 h/10 h light/dark cycles [3,4]. *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Columubia 0) seeds were treated with 5% (w/v) NaClO for 6 min, rinsed with sterilized water to remove NaClO. *Arabidopsis* plants were grown in soil under controlled conditions (22 °C, 300  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 16 h/8 h light/dark cycles) [21].

#### 2.2. Isolation and Sequence Analysis of Genes

Total RNA was isolated and subjected to reverse transcription by using the Super-ScriptTM III Reverse Transcriptase kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The full-length coding regions were PCR-amplified from the sugar beet M14 cDNA with gene specific primers (Supplementary Table S1).

#### 2.3. Quantitative Real-Time PCR Analysis

Quantitative real-time PCR analysis (qRT-PCR) analyses were performed using SYBR Premix ExTaqTM II Mix (TaKaRa, Shiga, Japan). *GAPDH* (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, accession no. DQ355800) was used as a reference. The expression levels of all candidate genes were analyzed by the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  CT method. The primers used for qRT-PCR were listed in Supplementary Table S1 [1,2,22].

#### 2.4. Generation and Phenotypic Analyses of Transgenic A. thaliana

At present, the genetic transformation system in sugar beet is not successful, so the gene function research will be carried out in *A. thaliana*. Currently, our team is experimenting with various approaches to the genetic transformation system in sugar beet.

We obtained the mutants *gox*, *prxr*, *trx*, *apx*, *mdhar*, and *dhar3* from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC), genotyping PCR was performed to identify the T-DNA insertion. To construct 35S::*BvM14-GOX*, 35S:: *BvM14-PrxR*, 35S:: *BvM14-Trx*, 35S::*APX*, 35S:: *BvM14-MDHAR*, and 35S:: *BvM14-DHAR3* in *Arabidopsis*, the open-reading frames (ORF) were cloned into pCAMBIA1305.1, and transformed into *Arabidopsis* as described [23]. The T0 *Arabidopsis* seeds were screened on MS plates [21] containing 30 mg/L hygromycin, and the survival seedlings were further verified by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) analyses. Homozygous T3 generation plants were used for further analyses [21].

# 2.5. *Quantification of Biomass, MDA Content, H*<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> *Content, Na*<sup>+</sup> *and K*<sup>+</sup> *Content, Morphological Index, and Physiological Indicators*

The root length was measured in Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium. The 7-day-old seedlings were transferred to MS medium containing 150 mM NaCl for salt treatment 10 days. Three biological replicates were carried out.

The fresh weight and dry weight and physiological indicators of leaves were measured in the soil. The one-month wild type (WT) and transgenic plants were treated with 150 mM NaCl for 7 days.

The MDA content was measured as described by Wang [21]. The contents of  $H_2O_2$  were measured by  $H_2O_2$  assay kit (Comin Botechnology, Suzhou, China), the contents of glutathione (GSH), and ascorbic acid (AsA) were determined using the GSH and AsA assay kits, respectively (Comin Botechnology, Suzhou, China). The activities of catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), glycolate oxidase (GOX), peroxiredoxins (PrxR), and thioredoxins (Trx) were determined in WT and transgenic leaves using an assay kit (Comin Botechnology, Suzhou, China). The activities of dehydroascorbate reductase (DHAR) and monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR) were determined using an ELISA assay kit (Mlbio, Shanghai, China).

### 2.6. Cis-Regulatory Elements(CREs) Analysis

The promoters of the six stress-responsive genes in *BvM14* were analyzed for putative *cis*-elements using available genomic sequences and our transcriptomic data [19]. In addition, the 2000 bp genomic sequences located on the 5' upstream of the Transcriptional Start Site (TSS) of the six stress-responsive genes sequences were extracted and analyzed with PlantCARE (https://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/, accessed on 15 November 2022) and TB tools. The Promoter binding of transcription factors was predicted by PlantTFDB (http://planttfdb.gao-lab.org/prediction.php, accessed on 3 June 2022) analysis [16].

#### 2.7. Statistical Analysis

For all the experiments, three biological replicates with three technical replicates of each treatment were measured. All data were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 8 (Dr. Harvey Motulsky, San Diego, CA, USA). For multiple comparisons, one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine statistical significance among treatments at p < 0.05.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Ectopic Expression of BvM14 Antioxidant Enzymes Enhanced Plant Salt Tolerance

Ectopic expression of the six genes encoding the antioxidant enzymes promoted root elongation of transgenic plants under salt stress. With WT plants as control, the root length of plants overexpressing (OX) the *BvM14-Trx*, *BvM14-PrxR*, and *BvM14-APX* increased sig-

nificantly by 1.3-fold, 1.2-fold, and 1.2-fold after 150 mM NaCl treatment. Compared with knock-out (KO) mutant plants of *Trx*, *PrxR*, and *APX* genes, the root length of complementation transgenic lines (CO) was restored by complementation with the *BvM14-Trx*, *BvM14-PrxR*, and *BvM14-APX* genes to the levels of OX plants (Figure 1). Plants overexpressing other enzyme-coding genes also showed similar phenotypes (Supplementary Figure S1).



**Figure 1.** Effects of salt stress on the root length of seedings with different genotypes (WT, OX, KO, and CO): (a) Root length of WT and *Trx*-related plants under salt stress; (b) root length of WT and *PrxR*-related plants under salt stress; (c) root length of WT and *APX*-related plants under salt stress. Data were analyzed by Duncan's analysis of variance, and different lowercase letters (a, b, c, d) indicate differences in root length.

The overexpression of these six genes increased the fresh and dry weights of the OX plants under salt stress. Compared to the WT plants, the fresh and dry weights of the plants overexpressing *BvM14-APX*, *BvM14-DHAR3*, and *BvM14-MDHAR* genes increased by 1.2-fold, 1.3-fold, and 1.2-fold under 150 mM NaCl stress, respectively, the fresh and dry weights of CO plants were restored, in contrast to the KO plants with mutated *APX*, *DHAR3*, and *MDHAR* genes (Figure 2). Similar phenotypic changes were observed for other OX lines of the antioxidant enzyme-coding genes (Supplementary Figure S2).

# 3.2. Ectopic Expression of Genes Encoding the Antioxidant Enzymes of BvM14 Improved Plant Antioxidant Capacity

The H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> contents in the WT and OX plants of *BvM14-APX*, *BvM14-DHAR3*, *BvM14-PrxR*, *BvM14-Trx*, and *BvM14-MDHAR* were similar under normal conditions. However, the results became significantly different under salt stress (Figure 3). Interestingly, except for OX plants of *BvM14-GOX*, the rest of the OX plants showed less H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Figure 3). Compared to CO lines, the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> contents in the KO of the genes *APX*, *DHAR3*, *PrxR*, *Trx*, and *MDHAR* showed significantly elevated by1.5-fold, 2.0-fold, 1.2-fold, 1.3-fold, and 1.6-fold under salt stress, respectively.

MDA concentrations were noticeably reduced in *BvM14-DHAR3*, *BvM14-GOX*, and *BvM14-MDHAR* OX compared with WT plants, but there were no significant changes in other OX plants under salt stress conditions, MDA concentrations were significantly elevated in *MDHAR* KO compared with CO plants (Supplementary Figure S3).



**Figure 2.** Effects of salt stress on the phenotype and biomass: (a) Phenotype of WT and *APX*-related plants under salt stress; (b) phenotype of of WT and *DHAR3*-related plants under salt stress; (c) phenotype of WT and *MDHAR*-related plants under salt stress; (d,g) biomass of WT and *APX*-related plants under salt stress; (e,h) biomass of of WT and *DHAR3*-related plants under salt stress; (f,i) biomass of WT and *MDHAR*-related plants under salt stress. Data were analyzed by Duncan's analysis of variance, and different lowercase letters (a, b, c, d) indicate differences in fresh and dry weight.



**Figure 3.**  $H_2O_2$  contents of WT and *GOX*, *PrxR*, *Trx*, *APX*, *DHAR3*, and *MDHAR* transgenic plants under salt stress: (a) *GOX*-related plants; (b) *PrxR*-related plants; (c) *Trx*-related plants; (d) *APX*-related plants; (e) *DHAR3*-related plants; (f) *MDHAR*-related plant. Data were analyzed by Duncan's analysis of variance, and different lowercase letters (a, b, c) indicate differences in  $H_2O_2$  contents.

The contents of AsA were similar in the *BvM14-Trx*, *BvM14-GOX*, and *BvM14-PrxR* OX plant leaves compared with WT leaves under salt stress. However, the contents of AsA were increased by 1.8-fold and 1.5-fold in the *BvM14-DHAR3* and *BvM14-MDHAR* OX plants, while the content of AsA was decreased by 0.73-fold in the *BvM14-APX* OX plants under salt stress (Supplementary Figure S4). The content of GSH was significantly induced by 1.7-fold in the *BvM14-MDHAR* OX plant leaves compared with WT leaves and reduced by 0.7-fold in the *MDHAR* KO plant leaves compared with CO leaves under salt stress. However, the content of GSH was decreased by 0.6-fold in the *BvM14-DHAR3* OX plants under salt stress. The other transgenic plants did not show significant changes under salt stress (Figure 4).



**Figure 4.** The GSH contents of WT and *GOX*, *PrxR*, *Trx*, *APX*, *DHAR3*, and *MDHAR* transgenic plants under salt stress: (**a**) *GOX*-related; (**b**) *PrxR*-related; (**c**) *Trx*-related; (**d**) *APX*-related; (**e**) *DHAR3*-related; (**f**) *MDHAR*-related plants. Data were analyzed by Duncan's analysis of variance, and different lowercase letters (**a**, **b**, **c**, **d**) indicate differences in GSH contents.

# 3.3. Antioxidant Enzyme Activities of WT, Different KO, and Transgenic Plants under Salt Stress

Compared with WT plants, overexpression of *BvM14-GOX* gene increased significantly in gene expression levels and the antioxidant enzyme activities under 150 mM NaCl stress. The Trx and PrxR activities in *BvM14-GOX* gene OX lines were significantly increased by 1.4-fold and 1.2-fold relative to WT under salt stress (Figure 5).



**Figure 5.** Analyses of relative gene expression and enzyme activities in *GOX*-related plants under salt stress: (**a**–**f**) Expression of antioxidative genes (*BvM14-CAT*, *BvM14-PrxR*, *BvM14-Trx*, *BvM14-DHAR3*, and *BvM14-MDHAR*) in WT and *GOX*-related plants under salt stress; (**g**–**l**) antioxidant enzyme activities (*Bv*M14-CAT, *Bv*M14-PrxR, *Bv*M14-APX, *Bv*M14-APX, *Bv*M14-DHAR3, and *Bv*M14-MDHAR) in WT and *GOX*-related plants under salt stress. Data were analyzed by Duncan's analysis of variance, and different lowercase letters (a, b, c, d, e) indicate differences in gene expression and enzyme activities.

The Trx and PrxR enzyme activities in *BvM14-PrxR* gene OX lines and *BvM14-Trx* gene OX lines were significantly higher by 1.5-fold and 1.3-fold than WT under salt stress, respectively (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure S5). Therefore, it is inferred that the two genes have synergistic effects in plant tolerance to salt stress.



**Figure 6.** Analyses of relative gene expression and enzyme activities in *PrxR*-related plants under salt stress: (**a**–**c**) Analysis of the expression of antioxidative genes (*BvM14-CAT*, *BvM14-APX*, and *BvM14-Trx*) in WT and *PrxR*-related plants under salt stress; (**d**–**f**) antioxidant enzyme activity (BvM14-CAT, BvM14-APX, and BvM14-CAT, BvM14-APX, and BvM14-Trx) in WT and *PrxR*-related plants under salt stress. Data were analyzed by Duncan's analysis of variance, and different lowercase letters (a, b, c, d) indicate differences in gene expression and enzyme activities.

In *BvM14-APX* OX lines, the MDHAR and DHAR3 enzyme activity was positively correlated with that of the APX, and both increased by 1.9-fold and 1.3-fold under salt stress. The *MDHAR* and *DHAR3* gene expression levels was increased by 2.3-fold and 2.1-fold in *BvM14-APX* OX lines, and both reduced by 0.6-fold and 0.6-fold in *APX* KO lines under salt stress (Figure 7).



**Figure 7.** Analyses of relative gene expression and enzyme activities in *APX*-related plants under salt stress: (**a**,**c**) Analysis of the expression of antioxidative genes (*BvM14-DHAR3* and *BvM14-MDHAR*) in WT and *APX*-related plants under salt stress; (**b**,**d**) antioxidant enzyme activity (BvM14-DHAR3 and BvM14-MDHAR) in WT and *APX*-related plants under salt stress. Data were analyzed by Duncan's analysis of variance, and different lowercase letters (a, b, c, d, e) indicate differences in gene expression and enzyme activities.

Both in *BvM14-DHAR3* and *BvM14-MDHAR* OX lines, the APX enzyme activity was significantly higher by 1.5-fold and 1.3-fold than WT, in *DHAR3* and *MDHAR* KO lines,

the APX enzyme activity was significantly reduced by 0.3-fold and 0.7-fold relative to CO under salt stress (Supplementary Figure S6). Increased DHAR and MDHAR activities were reported in different plants subjected to abiotic stresses [24–26].

#### 3.4. Different Levels of Regulations of the Six Antioxidant Enzymes of BvM14

Overexpression of *BvM14-GOX* gene significantly increased the expression and activity of Trx and PrxR enzymes in antioxidant system (Figure 5). The *BvM14-Trx* gene expression and enzyme activity showed the same trend in *BvM14-PrxR* transgenic plants. Compared with *BvM14-APX* KO plants, CO plants with *BvM14-APX* gene restored significantly increased *BvM14-DHAR3* gene and *BvM14-DHAR3* gene expression and enzyme activity after 150 mM NaCl stress. In *BvM14-DHAR3* transgenic plants, *BvM14-APX* gene expression and enzyme activity were both increased, the *BvM14-MDHAR* gene expression and enzyme activity were consistent with *BvM14-APX*. The expression of *BvM14-APX* gene and enzyme activity existed positive correlation to *BvM14-MDHAR*, but the expression of *BvM14-DHAR3* gene and enzyme activity showed the opposite trend (Figure 8a).



**Figure 8.** Regulatory networks of the six antioxidant enzymes in the *Bv*M14: (**a**) The role of *Bv*M14-*GOX*, *Bv*M14-*PrxR*, *Bv*M14-*Trx*, *Bv*M14-*APX*, *Bv*M14-*DHAR3*, and *Bv*M14-*MDHAR* gene in antioxidant enzyme system; (**b**) the TF binding motifs in the promoter regions of *Bv*M14-*GOX*, *Bv*M14-*PrxR*, *Bv*M14-*Trx*, *Bv*M14-*DHAR3*, and *Bv*M14-*MDHAR*; (**c**) localization of the more frequent *cis*-acting elements among the *Bv*M14-*GOX*, *Bv*M14-*PrxR*, *Bv*M14-*Trx*, *Bv*M14-*APX*, *Bv*M14-*DHAR3*, and *Bv*M14-*PrxR*, *Bv*M14-*APX*, *Bv*M14-*DHAR3*, and *Bv*M14-*MDHAR* in the promoter regions. The *cis*-regulatory elements presented are labled with different colors and illustrated on the right side. The TATA-box and CAAT-box are not shown. (The dashed line indicates the transcript level and the solid line indicates the activity level; the arrows indicates positive; the lines indicates negative).

To understand the potential transcriptional regulatory mechanisms of *BvM14-GOX*, *BvM14-Trx*, *BvM14-PrxR*, *BvM14-APX*, *BvM14-DHAR3*, and *BvM14-MDHAR* genes, we analyzed the presence of *cis*-regulating elements 2000 bp upstream the TSS. The common *cis*-acting elements (such as enhancer element CAAT-box and core promoter element TATA-box) were not shown in the results, the most abundant elements were salt stress-responsive elements, including ABA-responsive element (ABRE), GT-1 motifs that were present in *BvM14-Trx*, *BvM14-PrxR*, *BvM14-APX*, *BvM14-DHAR3*, and *BvM14-MDHAR*. Moreover, we detected hormone-related *cis*-acting elements, including the jasmonate-responsive element (TGACG motif and CGTCA motif), and the salicylic acid-responsive element (TCA element), as widespread among the *BvM14-Trx*, *BvM14-PrxR*, *BvM14-APX*, *BvM14-PrxR*, *BvM14-DHAR3*, *BvM14-APX*, *BvM14-GOX*, *BvM14-DHAR3*, and *BvM14-APX*. This suggests that these genes are regulated by abiotic stresses and hormones. The binding sites for the salt stress-responsive

TFs MYB and APETALA2/ethylene-responsive element binding factors (AP2/ERF) were found in *BvM14-Trx, BvM14-PrxR*, and *BvM14-MDHAR3* (Figure 8b).

#### 4. Discussion

Based on the previous transcriptome database of *BvM14* under salt stress, the openreading frames (ORF) of the coding genes *BvM14-GOX*, *BvM14-PrxR*, *BvM14-Trx*, *BvM14-APX*, *BvM14-MDHAR*, *BvM14-DHAR3* encoding major enzymes in the antioxidant system were cloned and analyzed. *Arabidopsis* KO mutants of the homologous genes, CO lines, OX lines, and WT plants were used to characterize the roles of these enzymes in plant salt stress tolerance. Enzyme activity and transcriptional level of six major enzymes in transgenic lines were detected.

ROS (mainly  $H_2O_2$  and  $O_2^{\bullet-}$ ) accumulation can be used as an important indicator for cellular oxidative stress [27]. MDA content can reflect the degree of membrane lipid peroxidation in plant [28]. The results showed that overexpression of the six genes in Arabidopsis increased the tolerance of the OX plants to salt stress, which may be attributed to enhanced antioxidante capacity and reduced oxidative damage by decreasing the contents of  $H_2O_2$  and MDA and increasing the AsA and GSH contents. Further analysis showed that overexpression of the *BvM14-GOX* led to significant increases in the expression levels and enzyme activities of other key enzymes in the antioxidant enzyme system. DHAR recycles ascorbic acid (AsA), which is then oxidized to form MDHAR. MDHAR is further converted to dehydroascorbate (DHA). AsA is essential to main the cellular redox state under abiotic stresses. MDHAR accompanies APX and scavenges H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in the mitochondria and peroxisome [29–34]. For example, the BvM14-Trx and the BvM14-PrxR mutually promote each other; the expression level and enzyme activity of BvM14-APX were positively correlated with the expression levels and enzyme activities of BvM14-DHAR3 and BvM14-MDHAR, but the expression level and enzyme activity of BvM14-MDHAR were negatively correlated with the expression level and enzyme activity of BvM14-DHAR3; the PrxR/Trx pathway had no significant interaction with the CAT pathway or the AsA/GSH pathway and participated in the plant antioxidant process independently. Based on these results, a salt stress response regulatory network of BvM14 antioxidant system was constructed.

GOX plays an important role in the glycolate-glyoxylate conversion during photorespiration, which catalyzes the oxidation of glycolate to generate glyoxylate and  $H_2O_2$  [35–38]. BvM14-GOX regulates the activity of other key enzymes in the antioxidant enzyme system and the expression of corresponding genes by catalyzing the production of  $H_2O_2$ from glycolic acid, the regulation of  $H_2O_2$  may occur in a fluctuating manner because the association-dissociation of GOX and CAT could take place dynamically and transiently in response to environmental stresses or stimuli. Consistently, related research showed that in spite of the constant and high production of ROS caused by the transgenic *GOX* in rice, but it can assist innate antioxidative systems in modulating ionic and redox homeostasis for salt stress tolerance [39], so as to improve the antioxidant capacity of plants and reduce the inhibition of salt stress on plant growth and development [35].

PrxR/Trx pathway-related coding genes *PrxR* and *Trx* have a vital function in cellular antioxidative defense via eliminating excessive ROS [15,40–42]. *BvM14-Trx* gene and *BvM14-PrxR* gene act synergistically to eliminate excess of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in plants, but they do not participate in MDA and GSH metabolic pathways since OX plants did not show differences in AsA/GSH pathway and CAT pathway. In *Arabidopsis, AtTrxh2* overexpressing transgenic plants exhibited higher activities of antioxidant enzymes including peroxidase (POD), catalase (CAT), and superoxide dismutase (SOD), compared with the plants expressing the empty vector control [43]. In tomato, *SlTrxh* enhanced nitrate stress tolerance with decreased oxidative damage by increased antioxidant enzyme activities and interacted with SlPrx [42]. The AsA-GSH cycle is one of the important antioxidant systems in plants [44,45]. APX is involved in the initial step of the AsA-GSH cycle that scavenges excess ROS and protects the plant from salt stress [46,47]. In AsA/GSH pathway, *BvM14-APX* expression and enzyme activity were positively correlated with *BvM14-DHAR3* and *BvM14-MDHAR* 

expression and enzyme activity, while *BvM14-MDHAR* expression and enzyme activity were negatively correlated with *BvM14-DHAR3* expression and enzyme activity. In tobacco, overexpression of *MnSOD*, *MDHAR*, *DHAR*, and *CAT* in transgenic plants exhibited the improvement of salt tolerance [29,48]. The improved *MnSOD*, *CAT*, *POD*, *APX*, *DHAR*, *MDHAR*, and *GR* expression was also detected in wheat lines and two *Chrysanthemum* species under cold acclimation [49], which was similar with our results. PrxR/Trx pathway has no obvious interaction with CAT pathway and AsA/GSH pathway in plant antioxidant enzymes system.

The distribution and type of CREs in promoters affect the activities and functions of genes. In this study, through a systematic analysis of CRE in the promoter regions of the six genes, we identified various types of CRE (Figure 8c). Related to salt stress, previous studies have shown that abscisic acid (ABA) responsive element binding protein (AREB)/ABRE binding factors (ABFs) in bZIP transcription factors were involved in salt stress [50,51]. Other research indicated that the GT-1 element directly controls the salt response of *OsRAV2*. The study provided a better understanding of the putative functions of *OsRAVs* and the molecular regulatory mechanisms of plant genes under salt stress [52,53]. In this work, we found the CREs in promoters of the six genes can bind many transcription factors, it contains transcription factors (e.g., MYB, C2H2, ERF) related to salt stress (Figure 8b). Recent studies suggested that the AP2/ERF TF family are involved in abiotic stress adaptation [54,55]. Functional analysis of the *SmAP2-17* gene confirmed its role in plant salt tolerance [56]. It has also been demonstrated that C2H2 zinc finger proteins and MYB transcription factors play vital roles in biotic and abiotic stress tolerance [57].

## 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, we characterized the key genes encoding the major antioxidant enzymes of ROS scavenging system in plant salt stress tolerance. In different pathways, the key enzymes synergistically or antagonistically play important role in plant salt stress tolerance. In the PrxR/Trx pathway, the *BvM14-Trx* and the *BvM14-PrxR* mutually promote each other, but the *BvM14-Trx* gene and *BvM14-PrxR* gene do not affect AsA/GSH pathway and CAT pathway. In the AsA/GSH pathway, *BvM14-APX* expression and enzyme activity were positively correlated with *BvM14-DHAR3* and *BvM14-MDHAR* expression and enzyme activity. Meanwhile, the CREs in promoters contain salt stress-responsive elements ABRE, GT-1 motifs and the CREs in promoters of the six genes can bind transcription factor MYB, C2H2, and ERF related to salt stress. Based on the working model, it became clear that multiple levels of regulations, including transcription and translation, are important in controlling plant salt stress tolerance. Future efforts in improving crop salt stress tolerance can benefit from the results from this study and need to consider multiple genes and markers to achieve optimal outcomes.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/antiox12010057/s1, Table S1: List of the primer sequences for the six genes tested by RT-PCR; Figure S1: Effects of salt stress on the root length of seedlings with different genotypes (WT, OX, KO, and CO). (a) Root length of WT and GOX-related plants under salt stress; (b) Root length of of WT and DHAR3-related plants under salt stress; (c) Root length of WT and MDHAR-related plants under salt stress; Figure S2: Effects of salt stress on the phenotype and biomass. (a) Phenotype of WT and Trx-related plants under salt stress; (b) Phenotype of of WT and *PrxR*-related plants under salt stress; (c) Phenotype of WT and *GOX*-related plants under salt stress; (d,g) Biomass of WT and Trx-related plants under salt stress; (e,h) Biomass of of WT and PrxR-related plants under salt stress; (f,i) Biomass of WT and GOX-related plants under salt stress; Figure S3: MDA content of WT and GOX, PrxR, Trx, APX, DHAR3, and MDHAR-related plants under salt stress; Figure S4: AsA contents of WT and GOX, APX, DHAR3, and MDHAR-related plants under salt stress; Figure S5: (a–c) Analysis of the expression of antioxidative genes (BvM14-CAT, BvM14-APX and BvM14-PrxR) in WT and Trx-related plants under salt stress; (d–f) Antioxidant enzyme activity (BvM14-CAT, BvM14-APX, and BvM14-PrxR) in WT and Trx-related plants under salt stress; Figure S6: Analyses of relative gene expression and enzyme activities in DHAR3-related and MDHAR- related plants under salt stress. (a,c) Analysis of the expression of antioxidative genes (BvM14-APX and BvM14-MDHAR) in WT and DHAR3-related plants under salt stress; (b,d) Antioxidant enzyme activity (BvM14-APX and BvM14-MDHAR) in WT and DHAR3-related plants under salt stress; (e,f) Analysis of the expression of antioxidative genes (BvM14-MDHAR and BvM14-APX) in WT and MDHAR-related plants under salt stress; (g,h) Antioxidant enzyme activity (BvM14-MDHAR and BvM14-APX) in WT and MDHAR-related plants under salt stress; Figure S7: Identification of overexpression of BvM14-GOX, BvM14-PrxR, BvM14-Trx, BvM14-APX, BvM14-DHAR3, BvM14-MDHAR and atpox, atprxr, attrx, atapx, atdhar3, atmdhar mutant in Arabidopsis. (a) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression levels of the overexpressed BvM14-GOX (OX1 and OX2) in Arabidopsis; (b) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression levels of *AtPOX* in the *atpox* mutant; (c) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression levels of the overexpressed BvM14-PrxR (OX1 and OX2) in Arabidopsis; (d) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression levels of AtPrxR in the atprxr mutant; (e) I qRT-PCR analysis of the expression levels of the overexpressed BvM14-Trx (OX1 and OX2) in Arabidopsis; (f) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression levels of AtTrx in the attrx mutant; (g) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression levels of the overexpressed BvM14-APX (OX1 and OX2) in Arabidopsis; (h) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression levels of AtAPX in the *atapx* mutant; (i) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression levels of the overexpressed *BvM14- DHAR3* (OX1 and OX2) in Arabidopsis; (j) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression levels of AtDHAR3 in the atdhar3 mutant; (k) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression levels of the overexpressed BvM14-MDHAR (OX1 and OX2) in Arabidopsis; (I) qRT-PCR analysis of the expression levels of AtMDHAR in the atmdhar mutant.

**Author Contributions:** J.L.: conducted gene transcription analysis, conducted biochemical experiments, and wrote the first draft; S.C.: assisted with editing of the manuscript; C.M. and H.L. (Hongli Li): experimental design; D.J., J.N., M.X. and H.L. (He Liu): data analysis; B.Y., H.D. and H.L. (Haiying Li): funding acquisition, project supervision, and finalized the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by the National Science Foundation of China (Project 32072122: The transcription factor BvGT-1 regulates the molecular mechanism of glyoxalase I gene involved in the salt tolerance of sugar beet M14); the National Science Foundation of China (Project 32272058: The molecular mechanism research of BvM14-STPK in regulation of its interaction proteins to salt tolerance).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data are contained within the article and supplementary materials.

**Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by Heilongjiang Provincial Key Laboratory of Plant Genetic Engineering and Biological Fermentation Engineering for Cold Region.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Li, J.; Wang, K.; Ji, M.; Zhang, T.; Yang, C.; Liu, H.; Chen, S.; Li, H.; Li, H. Cys-SH based quantitative redox proteomics of salt induced response in sugar beet monosomic addition line M14. *Bot. Stud.* 2021, 62, 16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, H.; Du, X.; Zhang, J.; Li, J.; Chen, S.; Duanmu, H.; Li, H. Quantitative redox proteomics revealed molecular mechanisms of salt tolerance in the roots of sugar beet monomeric addition line M14. *Bot. Stud.* 2022, 63, 5. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, L.; Ma, C.; Wang, L.; Chen, S.; Li, H. Salt stress induced proteome and transcriptome changes in sugar beet monosomic addition line M14. J. Plant Physiol. 2012, 169, 839–850. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Yang, L.; Zhang, Y.; Zhu, N.; Koh, J.; Ma, C.; Pan, Y.; Yu, B.; Chen, S.; Li, H. Proteomic analysis of salt tolerance in sugar beet monosomic addition line M14. J. Proteome Res. 2013, 12, 4931–4950. [CrossRef]
- Mulet, J.M. Shaping the Sugar Beet of Tomorrow: Current Advances in Sugar Beet Biotechnology and New Breeding Techniques. In Sugar Beet Cultivation, Management and Processing; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2022; pp. 49–74.
- Chapman, J.M.; Muhlemann, J.K.; Gayomba, S.R.; Muday, G.K. RBOH-Dependent ROS Synthesis and ROS Scavenging by Plant Specialized Metabolites To Modulate Plant Development and Stress Responses. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* 2019, 32, 370–396. [CrossRef]
- Hasanuzzaman, M.; Bhuyan, M.; Zulfiqar, F.; Raza, A.; Mohsin, S.M.; Mahmud, J.A.; Fujita, M.; Fotopoulos, V. Reactive Oxygen Species and Antioxidant Defense in Plants under Abiotic Stress: Revisiting the Crucial Role of a Universal Defense Regulator. *Antioxidants* 2020, 9, 681. [CrossRef]
- 8. Mhamdi, A.; Van Breusegem, F. Reactive oxygen species in plant development. Development 2018, 145, dev164376. [CrossRef]

- 9. Qi, J.; Song, C.P.; Wang, B.; Zhou, J.; Kangasjärvi, J.; Zhu, J.K.; Gong, Z. Reactive oxygen species signaling and stomatal movement in plant responses to drought stress and pathogen attack. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* **2018**, *60*, 805–826. [CrossRef]
- 10. You, J.; Chan, Z. ROS Regulation During Abiotic Stress Responses in Crop Plants. Front. Plant Sci. 2015, 6, 1092. [CrossRef]
- 11. Zhao, C.; Zhang, H.; Song, C.; Zhu, J.K.; Shabala, S. Mechanisms of Plant Responses and Adaptation to Soil Salinity. *Innovation* **2020**, *1*, 100017. [CrossRef]
- 12. Steffens, B. The role of ethylene and ROS in salinity, heavy metal, and flooding responses in rice. *Front Plant Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 685. [CrossRef]
- Tyagi, S.; Shah, A.; Karthik, K.; Rathinam, M.; Rai, V.; Chaudhary, N.; Sreevathsa, R. Reactive oxygen species in plants: An invincible fulcrum for biotic stress mitigation. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 2022, 106, 5945–5955. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, M.; Gong, S.; Fu, L.; Hu, G.; Li, G.; Hu, S.; Yang, J. The Involvement of Antioxidant Enzyme System, Nitrogen Metabolism and Osmoregulatory Substances in Alleviating Salt Stress in Inbred Maize Lines and Hormone Regulation Mechanisms. *Plants* 2022, 11, 1547. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Yokochi, Y.; Fukushi, Y.; Wakabayashi, K.I.; Yoshida, K.; Hisabori, T. Oxidative regulation of chloroplast enzymes by thioredoxin and thioredoxin-like proteins in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2021**, *118*, e2114952118. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sainz, M.M.; Filippi, C.V.; Eastman, G.; Sotelo-Silveira, J.; Borsani, O.; Sotelo-Silveira, M. Analysis of Thioredoxins and Glutaredoxins in Soybean: Evidence of Translational Regulation under Water Restriction. *Antioxidants* 2022, 11, 1622. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hossain, M.S.; ElSayed, A.I.; Moore, M.; Dietz, K.J. Redox and Reactive Oxygen Species Network in Acclimation for Salinity Tolerance in Sugar Beet. J. Exp. Bot. 2017, 68, 1283–1298. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, H.; Pan, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, C.; Ma, C.; Yu, B.; Zhu, N.; Koh, J.; Chen, S. Salt stress response of membrane proteome of sugar beet monosomic addition line M14. *J. Proteom.* 2015, 127 (*Pt A*), 18–33. [CrossRef]
- 19. Lv, X.; Jin, Y.; Wang, Y. De novo transcriptome assembly and identification of salt-responsive genes in sugar beet M14. *Comput. Biol. Chem.* **2018**, *75*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- 20. Zhang, Y.; Nan, J.; Yu, B. OMICS Technologies and Applications in Sugar Beet. Front Plant Sci. 2016, 7, 900. [CrossRef]
- 21. Wang, Y.; Wang, S.; Tian, Y.; Wang, Q.; Chen, S.; Li, H.; Ma, C.; Li, H. Functional Characterization of a Sugar Beet BvbHLH93 Transcription Factor in Salt Stress Tolerance. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 3669. [CrossRef]
- Yu, B.; Li, J.; Koh, J.; Dufresne, C.; Yang, N.; Qi, S.; Zhang, Y.; Ma, C.; Duong, B.V.; Chen, S.; et al. Quantitative proteomics and phosphoproteomics of sugar beet monosomic addition line M14 in response to salt stress. *J. Proteomics.* 2016, 143, 286–297. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Luan, J.; Dong, J.; Song, X.; Jiang, J.; Li, H. Overexpression of Tamarix hispida ThTrx5 Confers Salt Tolerance to Arabidopsis by Activating Stress Response Signals. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, *21*, 1165. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Lu, Z.; Liu, D.; Liu, S. Two rice cytosolic ascorbate peroxidases differentially improve salt tolerance in transgenic Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Rep.* **2007**, *26*, 1909–1917. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Noshi, M.; Hatanaka, R.; Tanabe, N.; Terai, Y.; Maruta, T.; Shigeoka, S. Redox regulation of ascorbate and glutathione by a chloroplastic dehydroascorbate reductase is required for high-light stress tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 2016, *80*, 870–877. [CrossRef]
- Qin, A.; Shi, Q.; Yu, X. Ascorbic acid contents in transgenic potato plants overexpressing two dehydroascorbate reductase genes. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 2011, 38, 1557–1566. [CrossRef]
- Castro, B.; Citterico, M.; Kimura, S.; Stevens, D.M.; Wrzaczek, M.; Coaker, G. Stress-induced reactive oxygen species compartmentalization, perception and signalling. *Nat. Plants.* 2021, 7, 403–412. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Nadarajah, K.K. ROS Homeostasis in Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Plants. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2020, 21, 5208. [CrossRef]
- Eltayeb, A.E.; Kawano, N.; Badawi, G.H.; Kaminaka, H.; Sanekata, T.; Shibahara, T.; Inanaga, S.; Tanaka, K. Overexpression of monodehydroascorbate reductase in transgenic tobacco confers enhanced tolerance to ozone, salt and polyethylene glycol stresses. *Planta* 2007, 225, 1255–1264. [CrossRef]
- Leterrier, M.; Corpas, F.J.; Barroso, J.B.; Sandalio, L.M.; del Río, L.A. Peroxisomal monodehydroascorbate reductase. Genomic clone characterization and functional analysis under environmental stress conditions. *Plant Physiol.* 2005, 138, 2111–2123.
- Rahantaniaina, M.S.; Li, S.; Chatel-Innocenti, G.; Tuzet, A.; Issakidis-Bourguet, E.; Mhamdi, A.; Noctor, G. Cytosolic and Chloroplastic DHARs Cooperate in Oxidative Stress-Driven Activation of the Salicylic Acid Pathway. *Plant Physiol.* 2017, 174, 956–971. [CrossRef]
- 32. Sultana, S.; Khew, C.Y.; Morshed, M.M.; Namasivayam, P.; Napis, S.; Ho, C.L. Overexpression of monodehydroascorbate reductase from a mangrove plant (AeMDHAR) confers salt tolerance on rice. *J. Plant Physiol.* **2012**, *169*, 311–318. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vanacker, H.; Guichard, M.; Bohrer, A.S.; Issakidis-Bourguet, E. Redox Regulation of Monodehydroascorbate Reductase by Thioredoxin y in Plastids Revealed in the Context of Water Stress. *Antioxidants* 2018, 7, 183. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, Y.; Li, Z.; Peng, Y.; Wang, X.; Peng, D.; Li, Y.; He, X.; Zhang, X.; Ma, X.; Huang, L.; et al. Clones of *FeSOD*, *MDHAR*, DHAR Genes from White Clover and Gene Expression Analysis of ROS-Scavenging Enzymes during Abiotic Stress and Hormone Treatments. *Molecules* 2015, 20, 20939–20954. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, X.; Liao, M.; Huang, J.; Xu, Z.; Lin, Z.; Ye, N.; Zhang, Z.; Peng, X. Glycolate oxidase-dependent H(2)O(2) production regulates IAA biosynthesis in rice. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2021, 21, 326.

- 36. Liu, Y.; Wu, W.; Chen, Z. Structures of glycolate oxidase from Nicotiana benthamiana reveal a conserved pH sensor affecting the binding of FMN. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2018**, *503*, 3050–3056. [CrossRef]
- Schmitz, J.; Hüdig, M.; Meier, D.; Linka, N.; Maurino, V.G. The genome of Ricinus communis encodes a single glycolate oxidase with different functions in photosynthetic and heterotrophic organs. *Planta* 2020, 252, 100. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Z.; Xu, Y.; Xie, Z.; Li, X.; He, Z.H.; Peng, X.X. Association-Dissociation of Glycolate Oxidase with Catalase in Rice: A Potential Switch to Modulate Intracellular H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Levels. *Mol. Plant.* **2016**, *9*, 737–748. [CrossRef]
- Formentin, E.; Sudiro, C.; Ronci, M.B.; Locato, V.; Barizza, E.; Stevanato, P.; Ijaz, B.; Zottini, M.; De Gara, L.; Lo Schiavo, F. Signature and Innate Antioxidative Profile Make the Difference Between Sensitivity and Tolerance to Salt in Rice Cells. *Front. Plant Sci.* 2018, *9*, 1549. [CrossRef]
- 40. Liebthal, M.; Maynard, D.; Dietz, K.J. Peroxiredoxins and Redox Signaling in Plants. *Antioxid. Redox. Signal.* **2018**, *28*, 609–624. [CrossRef]
- Meyer, Y.; Belin, C.; Delorme-Hinoux, V.; Reichheld, J.P.; Riondet, C. Thioredoxin and glutaredoxin systems in plants: Molecular mechanisms, crosstalks, and functional significance. *Antioxid. Redox. Signal.* 2012, 17, 1124–1160. [CrossRef]
- 42. Zhai, J.; Qi, Q.; Wang, M.; Yan, J.; Li, K.; Xu, H. Overexpression of tomato thioredoxin h (SlTrxh) enhances excess nitrate stress tolerance in transgenic tobacco interacting with SlPrx protein. *Plant Sci.* **2022**, *315*, 111137. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ji, M.G.; Park, H.J.; Cha, J.Y.; Kim, J.A.; Shin, G.I.; Jeong, S.Y.; Lee, E.S.; Yun, D.J.; Lee, S.Y.; Kim, W.Y. Expression of Arabidopsis thaliana Thioredoxin-h2 in Brassica napus enhances antioxidant defenses and improves salt tolerance. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 2020, 147, 313–321. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Hasanuzzaman, M.; Bhuyan, M.; Anee, T.I.; Parvin, K.; Nahar, K.; Mahmud, J.A.; Fujita, M. Regulation of Ascorbate-Glutathione Pathway in Mitigating Oxidative Damage in Plants under Abiotic Stress. *Antioxidants* **2019**, *8*, 384. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sofo, A.; Scopa, A.; Nuzzaci, M.; Vitti, A. Ascorbate Peroxidase and Catalase Activities and Their Genetic Regulation in Plants Subjected to Drought and Salinity Stresses. Int J Mol Sci. 2015, 16, 13561–13578. [CrossRef]
- 46. Aleem, M.; Aleem, S.; Sharif, I.; Aleem, M.; Shahzad, R.; Khan, M.I.; Batool, A.; Sarwar, G.; Farooq, J.; Iqbal, A.; et al. Whole-Genome Identification of APX and CAT Gene Families in Cultivated and Wild Soybeans and Their Regulatory Function in Plant Development and Stress Response. *Antioxidants* 2022, 11, 1626. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Z.; Zhang, Q.; Wu, J.; Zheng, X.; Zheng, S.; Sun, X.; Qiu, Q.; Lu, T. Gene knockout study reveals that cytosolic ascorbate peroxidase 2(OsAPX2) plays a critical role in growth and reproduction in rice under drought, salt and cold stresses. *PLoS ONE* 2013, *8*, e57472. [CrossRef]
- 48. Wang, Y.C.; Qu, G.Z.; Li, H.Y.; Wu, Y.J.; Wang, C.; Liu, G.F.; Yang, C.P. Enhanced salt tolerance of transgenic poplar plants expressing a manganese superoxide dismutase from Tamarix androssowii. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2010**, *37*, 1119–1124. [CrossRef]
- 49. Chen, Y.; Jiang, J.; Chang, Q.; Gu, C.; Song, A.; Chen, S.; Dong, B.; Chen, F. Cold acclimation induces freezing tolerance via antioxidative enzymes, proline metabolism and gene expression changes in two chrysanthemum species. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* **2014**, *41*, 815–822. [CrossRef]
- 50. Wang, D.R.; Yang, K.; Wang, X.; You, C.X. A C2H2-type zinc finger transcription factor, MdZAT17, acts as a positive regulator in response to salt stress. *J. Plant Physiol.* 2022, 275, 153737. [CrossRef]
- 51. Zhang, H.; Mao, L.; Xin, M.; Xing, H.; Zhang, Y.; Wu, J.; Xu, D.; Wang, Y.; Shang, Y.; Wei, L.; et al. Overexpression of GhABF3 increases cotton(*Gossypium hirsutum* L.) tolerance to salt and drought. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2022**, *22*, 313.
- Duan, Y.B.; Li, J.; Qin, R.Y.; Xu, R.F.; Li, H.; Yang, Y.C.; Ma, H.; Li, L.; Wei, P.C.; Yang, J.B. Identification of a regulatory element responsible for salt induction of rice OsRAV2 through ex situ and in situ promoter analysis. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 2016, 90, 49–62. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nawaz, I.; Iqbal, M.; Hakvoort, H.W.J.; de Boer, A.H.; Schat, H. Analysis of Arabidopsis thaliana HKT1 and Eutrema salsugineum/botschantzevii HKT1;2 Promoters in Response to Salt Stress in Athkt1:1 Mutant. *Mol. Biotechnol.* 2019, *61*, 442–450. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mizoi, J.; Shinozaki, K.; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K. AP2/ERF family transcription factors in plant abiotic stress responses. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 2012, 1819, 86–96. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 55. Zhou, Y.; Wen, L.; Liao, L.; Lin, S.; Zheng, E.; Li, Y.; Zhang, Y. Comparative transcriptome analysis unveiling reactive oxygen species scavenging system of Sonneratia caseolaris under salinity stress. *Front Plant Sci.* **2022**, *13*, 953450. [CrossRef]
- Chen, Y.; Dai, Y.; Li, Y.; Yang, J.; Jiang, Y.; Liu, G.; Yu, C.; Zhong, F.; Lian, B.; Zhang, J. Overexpression of the Salix matsudana SmAP2-17 gene improves Arabidopsis salinity tolerance by enhancing the expression of SOS3 and ABI5. *BMC Plant Biol.* 2022, 22, 102.
- 57. Yu, Z.; Yan, H.; Liang, L.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, H.; Li, W.; Choi, J.; Huang, J.; Deng, S. A C2H2-Type Zinc-Finger Protein from Millettia pinnata, MpZFP1, Enhances Salt Tolerance in Transgenic Arabidopsis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 10832. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.