Supplementary Materials:



Supplementary Figure S1. IL17A affects macrophage polarization, viability and receptor expression. *Nos2* (**a**), *Ifng* (**b**), *Cd86* (**c**), *Il12b* (**d**), *Arg1* (**e**) and *Ym1* (**f**) mRNA levels in WT (white bars) or IL17A^{-/-} (blue bars) M0 untreated bone-marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs). ***P \leq 0.001 values from IL17A^{-/-} macrophages were significantly different from those of WT cells. *Nos2* (**g**), *Ifng* (**h**), *Cd86* (**i**), *Il12b* (**j**), *Arg1* (**k**) and *Ym1* (**l**) mRNA levels in WT (white bars) or IL17A^{-/-} (blue bars) M0 treated with gemcitabine bone-marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs).*P \leq 0.05, **P \leq 0.01 values from IL17A^{-/-} macrophages were significantly different from those of WT cells. *Nos2* (**g**), *Ifng* (**h**), *Cd86* (**i**), *Il12b* (**j**), *Arg1* (**k**) and Ym1 (**l**) mRNA levels in WT (white bars) or IL17A^{-/-} (blue bars) M0 treated with gemcitabine bone-marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs).*P \leq 0.05, **P \leq 0.01 values from IL17A^{-/-} macrophages were significantly different from those of WT cells. *Nos2* (**g**), *Ifng* (**h**), *Cd86* (**i**), *Il12b* (**j**), *Arg1* (**k**) and *Ym1* (**l**) mRNA levels in WT (white bars) or IL17A^{-/-} (blue bars) M0 treated with gemcitabine bone-marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs).IL17A levels

were quantified by ELISA in supernatants from M1- or M2-like WT BMDMs, untreated or treated with gemcitabine for 24 h (**m**). 24-48 h MTT assay performed with M1(**n**) or M2 (**o**) polarized WT (black symbols) or IL17A^{-/-} (blue symbols) BMDMs. ***P \leq 0.001 values from IL17A^{-/-} macrophages were significantly different from those of WT cells. ⁵⁵⁵P \leq 0.001 values from untreated macrophages were significantly different from those of gemcitabine-treated cells. *ll17ra*, *ll17rb*, *ll17rc*, *ll17rd* and *ll17re* mRNA levels in WT (white bars) or IL17A^{-/-} (blue bars) BMDMs (**p**). Graphs represent the fold-change versus relative untreated M0 cells (upper panels) or M1-like or M2-like cells (lower panels). Data are expressed as means ± SEM of biological replicates. *P \leq 0.05, **P \leq 0.01, ***P \leq 0.001 values from gemcitabine-treated macrophages were significantly different from those of M1-like or M2-like untreated cells. (**q**) Representative Western Blot images for NOS2 and ARG1 with proteins extracted from untreated M1- and M2-like macrophages or those with gemcitabine for 24 h. HSP90 was used as a loading control. Graphs represent the quantification of NOS2 and ARG1 bands from two independent immunoblotting experiments. *P \leq 0.05 values from IL17A^{-/-} BMDMs were significantly different from those in WT macrophages. ^{\$P} \leq 0.05, ^{\$SP} \leq 0.001 values from those of M1-like or NOS2 and ARG1 bands from two independent immunoblotting experiments. *P \leq 0.05 values from IL17A^{-/-} BMDMs were significantly different from those in WT macrophages. ^{\$P} \leq 0.05, ^{\$SP} \leq 0.001 values from IL17A^{-/-} BMDMs were significantly different from those in WT macrophages. ^{\$P} \leq 0.05, ^{\$SP} \leq 0.01, ^{\$SSP} \leq 0.001 values from IL17A^{-/-} BMDMs were significantly different from those in WT macrophages. ^{\$P} \leq 0.05, ^{\$SSP} \leq 0.01, ^{\$SSP} \leq 0.001 values from gemcitabine-treated macrophages were significantly different from those in WT macrophages. ^{\$P} \leq 0.05, ^{\$SSP} \leq 0.01, ^{\$SSP} \leq 0.001 values fr

Markers and metabolic pathways		WT M1		IL17A-/- M1		WT M2		IL17A-/- M2	
	Gem	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+
Nos2 (mRNA)									
NOS2 (protein)			ndª		nd	nd		nd	
Arg1 (mRNA)									
ARG1 (protein)		nd	nd	nd	nd				
Ifng									
Cd86									
Il12b									
Ym1									
PPP flux									
Lactate									
FAO									
TCA flux									
ETC									
ATP									
Glutaminase									

Supplementary Table S1. Summary of changes induced by gemcitabine treatment in macrophages in the presence or absence of IL17A.

^a Not detected by Western Blotting.

Red boxes represent an increase, green boxes a reduction and black boxes unchanged levels compared with control samples: M0 untreated macrophages for all the conditions in the absence of gemcitabine, and M1- or M2-like untreated macrophages when gemcitabine is present.



Supplementary Figure S2. Gating strategy for immune cells infiltrating pancreatic cancer. Representative flow cytometry plots for intra-tumor cell populations, showing the gating strategy. Data are representative of n = 5 mice/group. Total CD45⁺ cells were first gated on single cells deriving from a Forward Scattered (FSC_A)/Side Scattered (SSC_A) plot (a). CD45⁺ cells were further gated for the subsets of interest, namely F4/80⁺ cells (b), CD206⁺ (c) and IFN γ^+ (d) gated on the F4/80⁺ cells, CD8⁺ (e), CD4⁺ (f) and FoxP3⁺ gated on the CD4⁺ cells (g).