



# Article Intermittent Exposure to Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> Did Not Affect Neurobehavioral Parameters and Biochemical Markers of Oxidative Stress

Ana Claudia Monteiro Braga <sup>1,†</sup>, Naieli Schiefelbein Souto <sup>2</sup>, Fernanda Licker Cabral <sup>3</sup>, Micheli Dassi <sup>2</sup>, Érica Vanessa Furlan Rosa <sup>1</sup>, Naiara dos Santos Guarda <sup>3</sup>, Luiz Fernando Freire Royes <sup>4</sup>, Michele Rechia Fighera <sup>4</sup>, Rafael Noal Moresco <sup>3</sup>, Mauro Schneider Oliveira <sup>1</sup>, Marcel Henrique Marcondes Sari <sup>1,3</sup> and Ana Flávia Furian <sup>1,2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Farmacologia, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Santa Maria 97105-900, Brazil
- <sup>2</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciência e Tecnologia dos Alimentos, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Santa Maria 97105-900, Brazil
- <sup>3</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Farmacêuticas, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Santa Maria 97105-900, Brazil
- <sup>4</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ciências Biológicas: Bioquímica Toxicológica, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, Santa Maria 97105-900, Brazil
- \* Correspondence: ana.furian@ufsm.br; Tel.: +55-55-3220-8254
- + This is part of the PhD Dissertation of Ms. Ana Claudia Monteiro Braga.

**Abstract:** Aflatoxin  $B_1$  (AFB<sub>1</sub>) is the most common toxic mycotoxin that contaminates food. The treatment of its intoxication and the management of contaminations are a constant subject of health agendas worldwide. However, such efforts are not always enough to avoid population intoxication. Our objective was to investigate whether intermittent exposure to AFB<sub>1</sub> would cause any impairment in biochemical and behavioral parameters, intending to simulate an irregular consumption. Male Wistar rats received four AFB<sub>1</sub> administrations (250 µg/kg) by intragastric route separated by a 96-h interval. Toxicity was evaluated using behavioral tests (open field, object recognition, nest construction, marble burying, and splash test), biochemical markers of oxidative stress (cerebral cortex, hippocampus, liver, and kidneys), and plasma parameters of hepatic and renal functions. The intermittent exposure caused no modification in body weight gain as well as in organ weight. Both control and AFB<sub>1</sub> groups presented similar profiles of behavior to all tests performed. Furthermore, AFB<sub>1</sub> administrations alter neither antioxidant defenses nor markers of oxidation in all assayed tissues and in the plasma markers of hepatic and renal functions. Therefore, AFB<sub>1</sub> intermittent administration did not cause its common damage from exposure to this toxicant, which must be avoided, and additional studies are required.

Keywords: aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>; intermittent exposure; oxidative stress; behavior; irregular consumption

# 1. Introduction

Mycotoxins are fungi secondary metabolites and one of the main food safety problems, due to their effects on human and animal health [1]. AFB<sub>1</sub> is the most common toxic mycotoxin known, found mainly in oilseeds, namely soybean, sunflower, almond, chestnut, peanut, as well as in spices, dried fruits, and beans [2]. In addition, all products derived from these raw materials are subject to perpetuating contamination, since AFB<sub>1</sub> is very stable and resistant, difficult to eliminate, and toxic even at low concentrations [3,4].

AFB<sub>1</sub> is absorbed in the gastrointestinal tract and metabolized in the liver by cytochrome P450 enzymes in a toxic metabolite (AFB<sub>1</sub>-8,9-epoxide). Additionally, AFB<sub>1</sub> is classified as a human carcinogen I (International Agency for Research on Cancer) [5] and is known to induce a variety of biological acute toxicity, teratogenicity, mutagenicity, impaired growth, immunosuppression, genotoxicity, increased lipid peroxidation, and free radical



**Citation:** Braga, A.C.M.; Souto, N.S.; Cabral, F.L.; Dassi, M.; Rosa, É.V.F.; Guarda, N.d.S.; Royes, L.F.F.; Fighera, M.R.; Moresco, R.N.; Oliveira, M.S.; et al. Intermittent Exposure to Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> Did Not Affect Neurobehavioral Parameters and Biochemical Markers of Oxidative Stress. *Brain Sci.* **2023**, *13*, 386. https://doi.org/10.3390/ brainsci13030386

Academic Editor: Wayne Carter

Received: 29 January 2023 Revised: 15 February 2023 Accepted: 21 February 2023 Published: 23 February 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). generation, as well as changes in the central nervous system [6], posing a threat to public health, especially in developing countries [7].

The consumption of food commonly contaminated by AFB<sub>1</sub> is increasing mainly considering the so-called "healthy" trend—transition from stage 4 to stage 5 of food evolution—that stimulates the consumption of whole grains, where this mycotoxin is frequently found [8]. This change in feeding behavior directly influences exposure to AFB<sub>1</sub>, especially since the maximum threshold allowed by developing country legislation is up to seven times that of developed countries, and one-quarter of the cereals produced are contaminated with mycotoxins [9].

To date, the main ways of controlling  $AFB_1$  intoxication are prevention before consumption and detoxification after consumption [1]. Methods of detection and biodegradation are known and developed; however, they involve an expensive technology, hindering the access and their application [10–12].

Literature shows exposure protocols for elucidation, reversion, or protection from AFB<sub>1</sub>-induced damages [13–16]. Nevertheless, studies investigating exposure strategies that avoid or decrease the damages identified in the population are scarce. Most of the protocols tested repeated exposure [13,17,18]. Nonetheless, if it was already demonstrated that single, acute, sub-chronic, and chronic exposure leads to aggravations and do not have the option of stopping the consumption of contaminated foods, alternatives should be sought.

Based on previous experiments performed by our research group and others [14,15,18,19], instead of a single or repeated exposure, our proposal was to perform different times of exposures, separated by a 96-h non-tested interval. The approach aims to investigate whether intermittent exposure over a period would be sufficient to guarantee the home-ostasis of the organism. Thus, we sought to evaluate whether AFB<sub>1</sub> toxicity in rats would be mitigated using a protocol of intermittent exposure. For this aim, general toxicity signals were determined by behavioral, biochemical, and molecular approaches.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Chemicals and Animals

AFB<sub>1</sub> (Cas No. 1162-65-8,  $\geq$ 95% purity, Cayman Chemical, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) was dissolved in 2% DMSO at a final concentration of 250 µg/mL. All other chemicals used were of pure analytical grade and were from standard commercial suppliers.

This study was conducted using sixteen young male Wistar rats (53.31  $\pm$  3.281 g). The animals were maintained in cages of polypropylene (41 cm  $\times$  34 cm  $\times$  16 cm L  $\times$  W  $\times$  H, 1394 cm<sup>2</sup>) under a 12:12 h light-dark cycle, with lights turned on at 07:00 a.m.; environmental controlled temperature (24  $\pm$  1 °C) and relative humidity (45–65%); and had free access to water and food. At the end of the protocol, prior to tissue collection, the animals were euthanized by decapitation in a hand-operated guillotine, which was performed by an expert researcher. The experimental protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee for Animal Research of the Federal University of Santa Maria, Brazil (Approval number 5166290316/2016) and carried out in strict accordance with the recommendations in the national and international legislation (Brazilian Council of Animal Experimentation guidelines—CONCEA—and of U.S. Public Health Service's Policy on Humane Care and Use of Laboratory Animals—PHS Policy).

#### 2.2. Experimental Design

The experimental design is illustrated in Figure 1. The animals were randomly divided into two groups. The control group received four doses of 2% DMSO (10 mL/kg, b.w.), while the AFB<sub>1</sub> group received four administrations of AFB<sub>1</sub> (250  $\mu$ g/kg, b.w.). The doses were administered by the intragastric route and separated by an interval of 96 h among the treatments. It was based on previous studies that showed AFB<sub>1</sub> intoxication signals after smaller intervals of exposure [14,15,18,19]. Animals' body weight was monitored over the experimental period. The behavioral tests were conducted after the last dose and the next

day was followed by euthanasia for tissue collection. The blood samples were obtained after decapitating the animals, by collecting the tissue from the body trunk in heparinized vials for analysis. The samples were centrifugated for yielding plasma fraction, which was used for biochemical assays. The brain, heart, kidneys, liver, lungs, spleen, and testicles were weighed using an analytical scale to evaluate weight toxicity parameters. As concerns sample storage, brain samples (which were dissected for collecting the cerebral cortex and hippocampus) and kidney and liver samples were stored (-80 °C) for ex vivo evaluations.



**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of the experimental design. Animals were divided into two groups (AFB<sub>1</sub> and DMSO) and treated with four doses separated by an interval of 96 h between each dose.

### 2.3. Behavioral Analysis

On the 13th day, animals performed object recognition, open-field test, marble burying, and nest construction tests. On the 14th day, nest construction was evaluated, and object recognition was performed (long-term memory—24 h after training section), followed by the splash test (Figure 1). Behavioral analyses were conducted during the light cycle, between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m., except during the nest construction test that was carried out over the dark cycle (7 p.m. to 7 a.m.).

#### 2.3.1. Object Recognition Test

The object recognition test (ORT) was performed by submitting the animals to three experimental sessions, respectively, training #1 (first session—two equal objects [A1 and A2]), short-term memory assessment #2 (second session, 4 h after training #1—two distinct objects [A1 and B]), and long-term memory evaluation (third session, 24 h after training #1—two distinct objects [A1 and C]) in the same apparatus used to perform the open field test (Section 2.3.2). The animals were individually submitted to three sessions and for 10 min the time spent exploring each object was recorded. The results were expressed as a recognition index, which was calculated as previously described [20].

#### 2.3.2. Open Field Test

Animals were tested for 10 min in a round open field, where the floor was divided into ten equal parts. Five parts, which were near the walls, were considered the peripheral area and the rest was considered the central area. Immobility, crossings, rearing, and time spent in the central area were recorded and analyzed to evaluate the locomotor activity and anxiety-like behavior [20]. The results were expressed as the total number of crossings and rearing. The time spent in central areas was reported in seconds.

## 2.3.3. Marble Burying Test

The marble burying test was conducted in individual cages filled with 5 cm of wood chip bedding and twelve marbles equidistant in a  $2 \times 6$  arrangement. Then, after 30 min, the number of buried marbles (>50% marble covered by bedding material) was recorded [21].

#### 2.3.4. Nest Construction Test

Rats were allocated in individual cages covered with normal bedding and with a pressed cotton square ( $\pm 3$  g). Twelve hours later (dark cycle), the nest construction was evaluated and a score was attributed, as previously reported by Deacon and collaborators [22].

#### 2.3.5. Splash Test

Animals were individually observed over 10 min after a squirting of a 10% sucrose solution on the dorsal coat. The time to start the grooming behavior and the time of grooming were evaluated as an index of self-care and motivational behavior [23]. The results were expressed in seconds.

# 2.4. Ex Vivo Analysis

The blood collected was centrifuged at room temperature for 10 min to obtain the plasma fraction, which was used for assessing aspartate and alanine aminotransferase activity, creatinine, and albumin content, thiobarbituric acid reactive substances (TBARS) levels, and ferric reducing antioxidant power (FRAP).

Liver, kidney, cerebral cortex, and hippocampus samples were homogenized (1:10, w/v; 30 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4) and centrifuged at  $2400 \times g$  for 15 min at 4 °C to yield the supernatant fraction (S<sub>1</sub>), which was used for the biochemical analysis immediately after euthanization—protein, non-protein thiols (NPSH), ascorbic acid (AA), TBARS, and FRAP determination and Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase, catalase (CAT) and glutathione S-transferase (GST) activity. The other hemisphere of the cerebral cortex and hippocampus were stored at -80 °C for a Western blot analysis—protein kinase C (PKC) immunoreactivity.

## 2.4.1. Plasma Biochemical Analysis

Plasma levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), creatinine (CREA), and albumin (ALB) were evaluated in BS 380 Mindray Chemistry Analyzer (Shenzhen, China) using commercial kits (Bioclin, Brazil).

## 2.4.2. Protein Determination

Protein content was determined by the Bradford method [24], using bovine serum albumin (1 mg/mL) as the analytical standard.

## 2.4.3. NPSH Levels

The NPSH levels were determined according to the method proposed by Ellman [25]. Samples of S<sub>1</sub> were precipitated using 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and subsequently centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min to yield the supernatant fraction (S<sub>2</sub>). An aliquot of S<sub>2</sub> (100  $\mu$ L) was mixed with potassium phosphate buffer (1 M, pH 7.4) and 5,5'-dithio-bis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB) (10 mM). The NPSH levels were measured at 412 nm using a spectrophotometer, and the results were expressed as nmol NPSH/mg of protein.

## 2.4.4. Ascorbic Acid (AA) Levels

Samples were precipitated with 5% TCA and centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min for ascorbic acid determination. Then, 100  $\mu$ L of the supernatant was incubated with 13.3% TCA and a color reagent containing dinitrophenyl hydrazine, thiourea, and CuSO<sub>4</sub>, at 37 °C for 3 h. The reaction was stopped with 65% H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (v/v) and the system was measured at 520 nm in a spectrophotometer. The results were expressed as nmol ascorbic acid/mg of protein [26].

### 2.4.5. TBARS Levels

During the lipid peroxidation process, one of the products formed is the malondialdehyde (MDA). This product reacts with thiobarbituric acid to form a colored complex, which is quantified by its absorbance. The color formed was measured at 532 nm using a spectrophotometer and the results were expressed as nmol MDA/mg of protein [27].

## 2.4.6. FRAP Potential

This test is based on the reduction of the ferric 2,4,6-tripyridyl-s-triazine complex ([Fe (III)—(TPTZ)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>3+</sup> in the intense blue iron complex [Fe (II)—(TPTZ)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> by the action of antioxidant compounds present in the sample, including mainly uric acid, and vitamins C and E. The color formed is measured spectrophotometrically at 593 nm and the results are expressed as nmol Fe<sup>2+</sup>/mg of protein [28].

## 2.4.7. Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase Activity

In this protocol, we evaluated in the cerebral cortex whether AFB<sub>1</sub> caused changes in individual Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase  $\alpha$  isoforms. For this, we used a classical pharmacological approach based on the isoform-specific sensitivity to ouabain. With a concentration of 12  $\mu$ M ouabain, the isoform  $\alpha$ 1 is inhibited and then we discovered the activity of  $\alpha$ 2/ $\alpha$ 3 isoforms. Whereas, with a concentration of 8 mM ouabain, we inhibited the activity of  $\alpha$ 2/ $\alpha$ 3 isoforms and only  $\alpha$ 1 activity was observed. Total Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity was obtained by adding  $\alpha$ 1 and  $\alpha$ 2/ $\alpha$ 3 isoforms activity [29].

## 2.4.8. CAT Activity

CAT activity was determined in the liver and the kidneys based on the decomposition of hydrogen peroxide by the catalase present in the sample. This reaction was measured at 240 nm for 120 s in a spectrophotometer and the results were expressed as first-order rate constant k/mg of protein [30].

# 2.4.9. GST Activity

GST activity was assayed in liver and kidney samples at 340 nm [31]. The reaction occurred when an aliquot of  $S_1$  was mixed with buffer, GSH, and 1-chloro-2,4-dinitrobenzene (CDNB), which was used as substrate. The activity was spectrophotometrically measured. The results were expressed as nmol CDNB/mg of protein/min.

#### 2.4.10. Western Blot

Western blot analysis was performed according to a previous study by our research group [15]. Primary antibodies were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Dallas, TX, USA) anti-phospho-PKC $\alpha$  (1:5000, SC-12356) and anti-total PKC $\alpha$  (1:5000, SC-208). Following overnight incubation, membranes were incubated with the secondary antibodies from Sigma Aldrich (San Luis, MO, USA) (1:10,000, Sigma-A0545). The immunoreactivity was detected with ECL (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and quantified using the ImageJ software. The phosphorylation ratio was calculated as the relative amount of phosphorylated and non-phosphorylated forms of PKC and normalized by Ponceau.

#### 2.5. Statistical Analysis

All data were reported as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M and normality was assessed using the D'Agostino and Pearson omnibus test. Results were analyzed using GraphPad Prism version 8.0 software and the statistical analysis was carried out by the unpaired Student's *t* test. A probability of *p* < 0.05 was considered significant. The same software was used for plotting graphs.

## 3. Results and Discussion

The AFB<sub>1</sub>, during its hepatic metabolism, increases the production of reactive species, causing damage to the cell membrane (lipid and protein oxidation) and DNA, altering mitochondrial homeostasis, generating inflammatory responses, and, as consequence, may trigger cell death [32]. Several studies have already demonstrated the damages caused by AFB<sub>1</sub> exposure, focusing on comprehending the mechanisms underlying its toxic effects [33]. Importantly, no study assessed a less harmful condition for exposure to this toxicant, which is a suitable approach considering the limitations regarding AFB<sub>1</sub> decontamination [34]. In this context, it is important to highlight that among the various research goals in the field of mycotoxins proposed by the American Phytopathological Society, the one that guides this study is the development of new interventions and prevention strategies against adverse health effects caused by mycotoxins. Thus, we sought to investigate whether the rats submitted to a protocol of intermittent exposure to AFB<sub>1</sub> would present general toxicity signals, which were assessed by behavioral, biochemical, and molecular approaches.

The toxicokinetic profile of AFB<sub>1</sub> estimates a plasma half-life of 36.5 min, renal clearance of 1.25 L/kg/h with approximately 80% of the administered dose excreted within one week [35]. Then, for proper data interpretation, it is important to mention that the selected dose of AFB<sub>1</sub> used in the animals (250  $\mu$ g/kg) represents an estimated human dose of 40  $\mu$ g/kg, which was estimated based on the formula proposed by Reagan-Shaw and collaborators [36]. Disregarding other factors, such as species sensitivity and pharmacokinetics, which could considerably reduce the toxic threshold for humans, the dose of 40  $\mu$ g/kg means 3.15 mg of AFB<sub>1</sub> to a human with an average body weight of 70 kg. In this context, the acceptable daily intake of total aflatoxins recommended by the World Health Organization is  $20 \,\mu g/kg$  [37]. In this way, such a dose would represent occasional intoxication by high amounts of aflatoxins, since higher doses of AFB<sub>1</sub> were found in food products (122.35 µg/kg and 2.79 µg/kg in peanuts and cashew nuts, 6.7 mg/kg in peanut products to 36.9 mg/kg in Brazil nuts) [7,38]. Herein, we demonstrated that a protocol of intermittent exposure to AFB<sub>1</sub> caused no changes in body weight gain (Figure S1), organs weight and relative organ weight compared to the brain weight (Tables S1 and S2), as well as any alteration in the tissue biochemical markers of oxidative stress (cerebral cortex, hippocampus, liver, and kidneys) (Figure 2 and Table 1). The levels of TBARS, NPSH, andAA, FRAP determination, as well as CAT and GST activity did not present statistically significant differences between the control and AFB<sub>1</sub> groups (p > 0.05). Importantly, other studies showed differences in body weight gain 72 h after a single exposition to  $AFB_1$  $(1000 \ \mu g/kg, i.g.)$  [14]. The same AFB<sub>1</sub> dosage was tested in a repeated administration schedule (seven or fourteen days) to rats in our previous studies and critical hazardous effects to the central nervous system, and hepatic and renal tissues were observed concerning behavioral profile and oxidative tonus [15,18,20,39]. Moreover, changes in oxidative stress were described in several studies that applied higher or lower doses in comparison to the current study [40-42]. Thus, our data showed that the schedule of intermittent administration may be an alternative for providing an adequate period for homeostasis reestablishment.

**Table 1.** Effect of intermittent administration of  $AFB_1$  (250  $\mu$ g/kg b.w., i.g.) or 2% DMSO (10 mL/kg b.w., i.g.) on GST and CAT activity in liver and kidneys.

	DMSO	AFB <sub>1</sub>	p Value
GST (nmol CDNB/mg protein/min)			
Liver	$61.13\pm9.43$	$50.10\pm8.30$	0.39
Kidneys	$15.07\pm2.17$	$10.13 \pm 1.37$	0.07
CAT (K/mg protein)			
Liver	$1.47\pm0.15$	$1.95\pm0.24$	0.12
Kidneys	$0.71\pm0.08$	$0.59\pm0.08$	0.34

Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. for *n* = eight animals in each group. Statistical evaluation was performed by unpaired Student's *t* test (*p* > 0.05).



**Figure 2.** Effect of intermittent administration of AFB<sub>1</sub> (250  $\mu$ g/kg b.w., i.g.) or 2% DMSO (10 mL/kg b.w., i.g.) on TBARS levels (**A**), NPSH levels (**B**), ascorbic acid levels (**C**), and FRAP determination (**D**). Data are mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. for *n* = eight animals in each group. Statistical evaluation was performed by unpaired Student's *t* test (*p* > 0.05).

AFB<sub>1</sub> is known to affect the liver function, causing hepatotoxicity that can progress to liver cancer. As its toxic metabolite is excreted primarily through the urine, changes in renal function are also observed [43]. In this sense, some biochemical parameters were assessed in plasma samples, such as ALT and AST activity, and creatine and albumin content. Moreover, the oxidative status of plasma was investigated as well (FRAP assay and TBARS levels). The results demonstrated that the intermittent exposure to AFB<sub>1</sub> did not cause any modification in those parameters in comparison to the control group (p > 0.05; Table 2). Importantly, these data agree with the other results concerning hepatic and renal tissue, which showed no modification of oxidative tonus. In this context, the repeated administration of AFB<sub>1</sub> (250 µg/kg/once a day/14 days) increased the hepatic activity of alkaline phosphatase and gamma-glutamyl transferase, while reducing nonenzymatic antioxidant defenses [18], suggesting that the treatment schedule may present low acute toxicity.

	DMSO	AFB <sub>1</sub>	p Value
ALT (U/L)	$48.88 \pm 3.44$	$56.25 \pm 4.92$	0.23
AST (U/L)	$249.30\pm14.69$	$284.80\pm18.78$	0.15
CREA (mg/dL)	$0.52\pm0.01$	$0.52\pm0.02$	0.80
ALB (g/L)	$2.95\pm0.11$	$2.98\pm0.04$	0.76
TBARS (nmol MDA/mg protein)	$3.91\pm0.21$	$4.06\pm0.70$	0.83
FRAP (nmol Fe <sup>2+</sup> /mg protein)	$11.95\pm0.36$	$14.77 \pm 1.61$	0.11
	. 1		<i>(</i> 11

**Table 2.** Effect of intermittent administration of  $AFB_1$  (250 µg/kg b.w., i.g.) or 2% DMSO (10 mL/kg b.w., i.g.) in plasma biochemical parameters.

Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. for *n* = eight animals in each group. Statistical evaluation was performed by unpaired Student's *t* test (*p* > 0.05).

Behavioral and biochemical changes in the central nervous system are investigated in models of AFB<sub>1</sub> exposure given its lipophilic character and its toxic metabolite, which easily cross the blood-brain barrier and are neurotoxic [44-46]. The effects of AFB<sub>1</sub> on the central nervous system are not fully understood, however, it is known that it alters the number and distribution of astrocytes and neurons [47], changes the expression of toll-like receptors, triggering inflammatory responses [48–50]. In addition, AFB<sub>1</sub> exposure decreases brain serotonin, norepinephrine, and dopamine concentration [51], suggesting that this toxicant could impair cerebral functions, such as normal and abnormal behavior, affective disorders, sleep regulation, and cognition, such as learning and memory [33]. Following the intermittent exposure protocol, the animals performed a batch of behavioral tasks to assess anxiety, depressive-like behavior, and memory skills. The results revealed that both experimental groups presented similar behavioral patterns (p > 0.05; Table 3), which are reinforced by the cerebral oxidative markers data. As shown in more detail in Table 3, at the end of the protocol chosen, the animals did not present locomotor and exploratory impairment (open field test), short- and long-term memory injury (object recognition test), and induction of anxiety-like behavior (nest construction, marble burying, and splash test). Indeed, while a single AFB<sub>1</sub> administration (250  $\mu$ g/kg, i.g.) caused no behavioral changes in rats [18], a repeated treatment schedule (250 µg/kg/once day/7 days) induced locomotor and exploratory impairments and anxiety-like behavior [19]. Overall, our current findings indicate that the interval between AFB1 administrations may play a critical role to reestablish organism homeostasis.

Table 3. Effect of intermittent administration of  $AFB_1$  (250  $\mu$ g/kg b.w., i.g.) or 2% DMSO (10 mL/kg b.w., i.g.) on the behavioral tests.

	DMSO	AFB <sub>1</sub>	p Value
Marble Burying			
Buried marbles (number)	$3.75\pm0.70$	$4.00\pm0.82$	0.82
Nest Test			
Nest score	$4.25\pm0.36$	$3.25\pm0.45$	0.10
Splash Test			
Latency to grooming (s)	$53.38 \pm 6.56$	$53.00\pm9.30$	0.97
Grooming (s)	$115.10\pm24.11$	$137.90\pm18.87$	0.46
Object Recognition			
Short-term memory	$0.56\pm0.08$	$0.59\pm0.06$	0.80
Long-term memory	$0.53\pm0.04$	$0.48\pm0.05$	0.48
Open Field			

	DMSO	AFB <sub>1</sub>	p Value	
Latency to explore (s)	$2.50\pm0.56$	$2.25\pm0.59$	0.76	
Time spent in center (%)	$1.84\pm0.49$	$3.03\pm0.96$	0.28	
Time spent in periphery (%)	$98.16\pm0.49$	$96.97 \pm 0.96$	0.28	
Crossings (number)	$51.50 \pm 4.96$	$64.50\pm8.03$	0.19	
Rearings (number)	$24.38\pm2.79$	$29.00\pm5.47$	0.46	

Table 3. Cont.

Data are expressed as mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. for *n* = eight animals in each group. Statistical evaluation was performed by unpaired Student's *t* test (*p* > 0.05).

The Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase is a neuronal membrane enzyme that controls the electrochemical gradient, modulates action potential, and the release of neurotransmitters, and is particularly sensitive to oxidative stress [52]. The activity of this enzyme in the cortex was evaluated and no significant differences were observed between treatments (Table S3). Furthermore, it is known that AFB<sub>1</sub> increases the activation of PKC [18], which is responsible for the processes of cell multiplication and differentiation, morphogenesis, and apoptosis [53]. To investigate the effects of intermittent AFB<sub>1</sub> on this protein, the immunoreactivity of PKC was estimated by the ratio between the phosphorylated fraction and the total fraction by Western blot. There were no significant differences between the treated and control groups in the cerebral cortex and hippocampus (Figure 3), evidencing that the protocol interval of 96 h is less harmful, with the AFB<sub>1</sub> chosen dose on the CNS.



**Figure 3.** Effect of intermittent administration of AFB<sub>1</sub> (250 µg/kg b.w., i.g.) or 2% DMSO (10 mL/kg b.w., i.g.) on the phosphorylation ratio of PKC in the cortex and hippocampus. Data are mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. for *n* = four animals in each group. Statistical evaluation was performed by unpaired Student's *t* test (*p* > 0.05).

Lastly, we must recognize some limitations of this study for proper data comprehension, which are the following: (a) It was applied for investigation of an accessible  $AFB_1$  dose administrated by intragastric route. Such a route may influence the extent of absorption and metabolism and cause a reduction in the  $AFB_1$  and its metabolite concentration in tissues. Consequently, it is possible to suggest that the degree and rate of exposure, as well as tissue damage may be also modified; (b) The toxicity of aflatoxins varies according to animal species, age, and sex [54]. Apart from their toxicity to humans, there are species more susceptible to the mycotoxin actions, such as the avian ones [55]. Then, some differences regarding experimental results could be attributed to such parameters; and (c), the absence of a dose-response curve and longer schedule of treatments could limit the mechanistic conclusions regarding the toxic effects of AFB<sub>1</sub>.

### 4. Conclusions

Following an intermittent exposure protocol to AFB<sub>1</sub>, no damage or alteration was observed in the behavior and biochemical markers investigated in rats. These data suggested that in this protocol of exposition to AFB<sub>1</sub>, it is possible that the animals were able to neutralize the potentially toxic effects resulting from the mycotoxin. Still, regardless of that, AFB<sub>1</sub> is a highly toxic compound and exposure should be avoided. However, additional studies are required to reinforce our findings and better comprehend the possible outcomes of intermittent exposure to AFB<sub>1</sub>.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www. mdpi.com/article/10.3390/brainsci13030386/s1, Figure S1: Effect of intermittent administration of AFB<sub>1</sub> (250 µg/kg b.w., i.g.) or DMSO 2% (10 mL/kg b.w., i.g.) on body weight gain. Data are reported mean  $\pm$  S.E.M. for n = 8 animals in each group. Statistical evaluation was performed by unpaired Student's *t* test (*p* > 0.05); Table S1: Effect of intermittent administration of AFB<sub>1</sub> (250 µg/kg b.w., i.g.) or DMSO 2% (10 mL/kg b.w., i.g.) on the organ weight of animals; Table S2: Effect of intermittent administration of AFB<sub>1</sub> (250 µg/kg b.w., i.g.) or DMSO 2% (10 mL/kg b.w., i.g.) on relative organ weight compared to the brain weight; Table S3: Effect of intermittent administration of AFB<sub>1</sub> (250 µg/kg b.w., i.g.) or DMSO 2% (10 mL/kg b.w., i.g.) on Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity in cerebral cortex.

**Author Contributions:** A.C.M.B.: Methodology, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing, Visualization and Conceptualization; N.S.S.: Methodology, Data curation and Investigation; F.L.C.: Methodology, Data curation and Investigation; É.V.F.R.: Methodology, Data curation and Investigation; N.d.S.G.: Methodology, Data curation and Investigation; K.Y.F.R.: Data curation, Investigation and Writing—review and editing; M.R.F.: Data curation, Investigation and Writing—review and editing; M.S.O.: Methodology, Data curation, Conceptualization and Writing—review and editing; M.S.O.: Methodology, Data curation, Conceptualization and Writing—review and editing; M.S.O.: Methodology, Data curation, Conceptualization and Writing—review and editing; M.H.M.S.: Data curation, Conceptualization and Writing—review and editing; A.F.F.: Conceptualization and Writing—review and editing; M.H.M.S.: Data curation, Conceptualization and Writing—review and editing; A.F.F.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing, Supervision and Funding acquisition. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq grant #471653/2013-6) and Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio Grande do Sul (FAPERGS grant #16/2551-0000365-3). The authors gratefully acknowledge the student fellowships from Coordination of Superior Level Staff Improvement (CAPES) (to A.C.M.B., N.S.S., M.H.M.S.) and CNPq (to M.D. and É.V.F.R.). A.F.F. (grant #304824/2016-0 and 304825/2020-4), M.S.O., L.F.F.R, M.R.F. and R.N.M. are grantees of CNPq research productivity fellowships.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board (or Ethics Committee) of the Federal University of Santa Maria CEUA in vivo evaluations: 5166290316/2016.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: Authors thank Carlos Fernando de Mello for providing laboratory facilities.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Patriarca, A.; Fernández Pinto, V. Prevalence of mycotoxins in foods and decontamination. *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* 2017, 14, 50–60. [CrossRef]
- 2. Ruadrew, S.; Craft, J.; Aidoo, K. Occurrence of toxigenic Aspergillus spp. and aflatoxins in selected food commodities of Asian origin sourced in the West of Scotland. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2013**, *55*, 653–658. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Benford, D.; Leblanc, J.-C.; Setzer, R.W. Application of the margin of exposure (MoE) approach to substances in food that are genotoxic and carcinogenic: Example: Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> (AFB<sub>1</sub>). *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2010**, *48*, S34–S41. [CrossRef]
- 4. Udomkun, P.; Wiredu, A.N.; Nagle, M.; Muller, J.; Vanlauwe, B.; Bandyopadhyay, R. Innovative technologies to manage aflatoxins in foods and feeds and the profitability of application—A review. *Food Control* **2017**, *76*, 127–138. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. International Agency for Research on Cancer. *IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans*; International Agency for Research on Cancer: Lyon, France, 2012; Volume 100F, pp. 225–244.
- Stein, R.A.; Bulboacă, A.E. Chapter 21—Mycotoxins. In *Foodborne Diseases*, 3rd ed.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2017; pp. 407–446.
- 7. Andrade, P.D.; de Mello, M.H.; Franca, J.A.; Caldas, E.D. Aflatoxins in food products consumed in Brazil: A preliminary dietary risk assessment. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A* 2013, 30, 127–136. [CrossRef]
- 8. Popkin, B.M. Global nutrition dynamics: The world is shifting rapidly toward a diet linked with noncommunicable diseases. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* **2006**, *84*, 289–298. [CrossRef]
- 9. Marin, S.; Ramos, A.J.; Cano-Sancho, G.; Sanchis, V. Mycotoxins: Occurrence, toxicology, and exposure assessment. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* **2013**, *60*, 218–237. [CrossRef]
- 10. Pankaj, S.K.; Shi, H.; Keener, K.M. A review of novel physical and chemical decontamination technologies for aflatoxin in food. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2018**, *71*, 73–83. [CrossRef]
- 11. Raksha Rao, K.; Vipin, A.V.; Hariprasad, P.; Anu Appaiah, K.A.; Venkateswaran, G. Biological detoxification of Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> by *Bacillus licheniformis* CFR1. *Food Control* **2017**, *71*, 234–241. [CrossRef]
- 12. Sun, X.; Sun, C.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, H.; Ji, J.; Liu, Y.; Tang, L. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> decontamination by UV-mutated live and immobilized *Aspergillus niger. Food Control* **2016**, *61*, 235–242. [CrossRef]
- 13. Adedara, I.A.; Owumi, S.E.; Oyelere, A.K.; Farombi, E.O. Neuroprotective role of gallic acid in aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> -induced behavioral abnormalities in rats. *J. Biochem. Mol. Toxicol.* **2021**, *35*, e22684. [CrossRef]
- 14. Qian, G.; Wang, F.; Tang, L.; Massey, M.E.; Mitchell, N.J.; Su, J.; Williams, J.H.; Phillips, T.D.; Wang, J.S. Integrative toxicopathological evaluation of aflatoxin B(1) exposure in F344 rats. *Toxicol. Pathol.* **2013**, *41*, 1093–1105. [CrossRef]
- 15. Souto, N.S.; Claudia Monteiro Braga, A.; Lutchemeyer de Freitas, M.; Rechia Fighera, M.; Royes LF, F.; Schneider Oliveira, M.; Furian, A.F. Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> reduces non-enzymatic antioxidant defenses and increases protein kinase C activation in the cerebral cortex of young rats. *Nutr. Neurosci.* **2018**, *21*, 268–275. [CrossRef]
- 16. Wei, W.; Li, R.; He, L.; Wang, X.; Ji, X.; Zhou, Y. Evaluation of toxicological impacts on Sprague-Dawley rat by successively low dose of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>. *J. Sci. Food Agric*. **2014**, *94*, 3017–3022. [CrossRef]
- Trebak, F.; Alaoui, A.; Alexandre, D.; El Ouezzani, S.; Anouar, Y.; Chartrel, N.; Magoul, R. Impact of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> on hypothalamic neuropeptides regulating feeding behavior. *Neurotoxicology* 2015, 49, 165–173. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Da Silveira, A.R.; Rosa, É.V.F.; Sari, M.H.M.; Sampaio, T.B.; Dos Santos, J.T.; Jardim, N.S.; Müller, S.G.; Oliveira, M.S.; Nogueira, C.W.; Furian, A.F. Therapeutic potential of beta-caryophyllene against aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-Induced liver toxicity: Biochemical and molecular insights in rats. *Chem. Biol. Interact.* 2021, 348, 109635. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Souto, N.S.; Dassi, M.; Braga, A.C.M.; Rosa, E.V.F.; Fighera, M.R.; Royes, L.F.F.; Oliveira, M.S.; Furian, A.F. Behavioural and biochemical effects of one-week exposure to aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> and aspartame in male Wistar rats. *World Mycotoxin J.* 2019, *12*, 293–305. [CrossRef]
- De Oliveira, C.C.; de Oliveira, C.V.; Grigoletto, J.; Ribeiro, L.R.; Funck, V.R.; Grauncke, A.C.; de Souza, T.L.; Souto, N.S.; Furian, A.F.; Menezes, I.R.; et al. Anticonvulsant activity of beta-caryophyllene against pentylenetetrazol-induced seizures. *Epilepsy Behav.* 2016, 56, 26–31. [CrossRef]
- 21. Sanathara, N.M.; Garau, C.; Alachkar, A.; Wang, L.; Wang, Z.; Nishimori, K.; Xu, X.; Civelli, O. Melanin concentrating hormone modulates oxytocin-mediated marble burying. *Neuropharmacology* **2017**, *128*, 22–32. [CrossRef]
- 22. Deacon, R. Assessing burrowing, nest construction, and hoarding in mice. J. Vis. Exp. 2012, 59, e2607. [CrossRef]
- Pesarico, A.P.; Sartori, G.; Bruning, C.A.; Mantovani, A.C.; Duarte, T.; Zeni, G.; Nogueira, C.W. A novel isoquinoline compound abolishes chronic unpredictable mild stress-induced depressive-like behavior in mice. *Behav. Brain Res.* 2016, 307, 73–83. [CrossRef]
- 24. Bradford, M.M. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* **1976**, *72*, 248–254. [CrossRef]
- 25. Ellman, G.L. Tissue sulfhydryl groups. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1959, 82, 70–77. [CrossRef]
- 26. Jacques-Silva, M.C.; Nogueira, C.W.; Broch, L.C.; Flores, E.M.; Rocha, J.B. Diphenyl diselenide and ascorbic acid changes deposition of selenium and ascorbic acid in liver and brain of mice. *Pharm. Toxicol.* **2001**, *88*, 119–125. [CrossRef]
- 27. Ohkawa, H.; Ohishi, N.; Yagi, K. Assay for lipid peroxides in animal tissues by thiobarbituric acid reaction. *Anal. Biochem.* **1979**, 95, 351–358. [CrossRef]

- 28. Benzie, I.F.; Strain, J.J. The ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP) as a measure of "antioxidant power": The FRAP assay. *Anal. Biochem.* **1996**, 239, 70–76. [CrossRef]
- Funck, V.R.; Ribeiro, L.R.; Pereira, L.M.; de Oliveira, C.V.; Grigoletto, J.; Fighera, M.R.; Royes, L.F.; Furian, A.F.; Oliveira, M.S. Long-term decrease in Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity after pilocarpine-induced status epilepticus is associated with nitration of its alpha subunit. *Epilepsy Res.* 2014, 108, 1705–1710. [CrossRef]
- 30. Aebi, H. Catalase in vitro. Methods Enzym. 1984, 105, 121-126.
- 31. Habig, W.H.; Pabst, M.J.; Jakoby, W.B. Glutathione S-transferases. The first enzymatic step in mercapturic acid formation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1974**, 249, 7130–7139. [CrossRef]
- Bagchi, M.; Zafra-Stone, S.; Bagchi, D.; Patel, S. Chapter 27—Oxidative stress and chemical toxicity A<sub>2</sub>—Gupta, Ramesh C. In *Veterinary Toxicology*, 2nd ed.; Academic Press: Boston, MA, USA, 2012; pp. 426–439.
- Godfrey, S.; Kitya, D.; Lubega, A.; Ogwal-Okeng, J.; William, W.; David, B. Review of the Biological and Health Effects of Aflatoxins on Body Organs and Body Systems. *Aflatoxins—Recent Adv. Future Prospect.* 2013, 12, 239–265.
- Song, C.; Yang, J.; Wang, Y.; Ding, G.; Guo, L.; Qin, J. Mechanisms and transformed products of aflatoxin B1 degradation under multiple treatments: A review. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* 2022. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Urrego Novoa, J.R.; Díaz, G.J. Aflatoxinas: Mecanismos de toxicidad en la etiología de cáncer hepático celular. *Rev. Fac. Med.* 2006, 54, 108–116.
- Reagan-Shaw, S.; Nihal, M.; Ahmad, N. Dose translation from animal to human studies revisited. FASEB J. 2007, 22, 659–661. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- World Human Organization (WHO). Evaluation of Certain Contaminants in Food; WHO Technical Reports Series; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2017; pp. 40–55.
- Kujbida, P.; Maia, P.P.; de Araújo, A.N.; Mendes, L.D.; de Oliveira, M.L.; Silva-Rocha, W.P.; de Brito, G.Q.; Chaves, G.M.; Martins, I. Risk assessment of the occurrence of aflatoxin and fungi in peanuts and cashew nuts. *Braz. J. Pharm. Sci.* 2019, 55, e18135. [CrossRef]
- Souto, N.S.; Dassi, M.; Braga, A.C.M.; Rosa, E.V.F.; Fighera, M.R.; Royes, L.F.F.; Oliveira, M.S.; Sari, M.H.M.; Furian, A.F. Hepatic susceptibility to oxidative damage after repeated concomitant exposure to aspartame and aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in rats. *Drug Chem. Toxicol.* 2022, 45, 2780–2785. [CrossRef]
- 40. El-Barbary, M.I. Evaluation of the efficacy of glutathione against aflatoxicosis in *Oreochromis niloticus* on hepatic antioxidants and gene expression of CYP1A and GPx. *Egypt. J. Aquat. Res.* **2015**, *41*, 337–344. [CrossRef]
- Liu, W.; Wang, L.; Zheng, C.; Liu, L.; Wang, J.; Li, D.; Tan, Y.; Zhao, X.; He, L.; Shu, W. Microcystin-LR increases genotoxicity induced by aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> through oxidative stress and DNA base excision repair genes in human hepatic cell lines. *Environ. Pollut.* 2018, 233, 455–463. [CrossRef]
- 42. Mahfouz, M.E.; Sherif, A.H. A multiparameter investigation into adverse effects of aflatoxin on *Oreochromis niloticus* health status. *J. Basic Appl. Zool.* **2015**, *71*, 48–59. [CrossRef]
- Abdel-Hamid, A.A.M.; Firgany, A.E.-D.L. Vitamin E supplementation ameliorates aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>-induced nephrotoxicity in rats. *Acta Histochem.* 2015, 117, 767–779. [CrossRef]
- 44. Ikegwuonu, F.I. The neurotoxicity of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> in the rat. *Toxicology* **1983**, *28*, 247–259. [CrossRef]
- 45. Qureshi, H.; Hamid, S.S.; Ali, S.S.; Anwar, J.; Siddiqui, A.A.; Khan, N.A. Cytotoxic effects of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> on human brain microvascular endothelial cells of the blood-brain barrier. *Med. Mycol.* **2015**, *53*, 409–416. [CrossRef]
- Supriya, C.; Reddy, P.S. Prenatal exposure to aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>: Developmental, behavioral, and reproductive alterations in male rats. *Naturwissenschaften* 2015, 102, 26. [CrossRef]
- 47. Bahey, N.G.; Elaziz, H.O.A.; Gadalla, K.K.E.S. Toxic effect of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> and the role of recovery on the rat cerebral cortex and hippocampus. *Tissue Cell* **2015**, *47*, 559–566. [CrossRef]
- 48. Bruneau, J.C.; Stack, E.; O'Kennedy, R.; Loscher, C.E. Aflatoxins B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>2</sub> and G<sub>1</sub> modulate cytokine secretion and cell surface marker expression in J774A.1 murine macrophages. *Toxicol. Vitr.* **2012**, *26*, 686–693. [CrossRef]
- Kielian, T. Toll-like receptors in central nervous system glial inflammation and homeostasis. J. Neurosci. Res. 2006, 83, 711–730. [CrossRef]
- 50. Mohammadi, A.; Mehrzad, J.; Mahmoudi, M.; Schneider, M. Environmentally Relevant Level of Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> Dysregulates Human Dendritic Cells through Signaling on Key Toll-Like Receptors. *Int. J. Toxicol.* **2014**, *33*, 175–186. [CrossRef]
- 51. Coulombe, R.A.; Sharma, R.P. Effect of repeated dietary exposure of aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub>~on brain biogenic amines and metabolites in the rat. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **1985**, *80*, 496–501. [CrossRef]
- 52. Benarroch, E.E. Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase: Functions in the nervous system and involvement in neurologic disease. *Neurology* **2011**, *76*, 287–293. [CrossRef]
- 53. Isakov, N. Protein kinase C (PKC) isoforms in cancer, tumor promotion and tumor suppression. In *Seminars in Cancer Biology;* Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2018.

- 54. Furian, A.F.; Fighera, M.R.; Royes, L.F.; Oliveira, M.S. Recent advances in assessing the effects of mycotoxins using animal models. *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.* **2022**, 47, 100874. [CrossRef]
- 55. Bozzo, G.; Pugliese, N.; Samarelli, R.; Schiavone, A.; Dimuccio, M.M.; Circella, E.; Bonerba, E.; Ceci, E.; Camarda, A. Ochratoxin A and Aflatoxin B<sub>1</sub> Detection in Laying Hens for Omega 3-Enriched Eggs Production. *Agriculture* **2023**, *13*, 138. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.