



Article Implementation of R&D Results and Industry 4.0 Influenced by Selected Macroeconomic Indicators

Jena Švarcová^{1,*}, Tomáš Urbánek², Lucie Povolná¹ and Eliška Sobotková¹

- ¹ Department of Economics, Tomas Bata University in Zlín, Zlín 76001, Czech Republic; povolna@utb.cz (L.P.); sobotkova@utb.cz (E.S.)
- ² Department of Statistics and Quantitative Methods, Tomas Bata University in Zlín, Zlín 76001, Czech Republic; turbanek@utb.cz
- * Correspondence: svarcova@utb.cz

Received: 15 March 2019; Accepted: 24 April 2019; Published: 5 May 2019



Featured Application: An economic recession can destroy the potential of INDUSTRY 4.0 projects and R&D projects. Current EU grant support, tax relief, and other specific factors appear to be more important for the development of new R&D projects in the Czech Republic than the effects of economic recession.

Abstract: Successful timing of INDUSTRY 4.0 projects in businesses can be disrupted by the coming of a recession. The authors assume a close link between INDUSTRY 4.0 and research and development (R&D) projects. R&D projects are statistically internationally monitored and have a significant impact on European Union economic policies. This article explores the impact of the two economic recessions in 2009 and 2012–2013 on the number of R&D entities and human resources involved in R&D in the Czech Republic. The method of multivariate statistics with dummy variables was used. Research has shown that different sectors (business sector, government sector, higher education sector, and non-profit sector) show a different development of the number of R&D entities in times of economic crisis. The research findings indicate that current European Union grant support, tax relief, and other specific factors appear to be more important for the development of R&D projects in the Czech Republic than the effects of economic recession. In terms of longer time horizons, however, the effects of the business cycle cannot be ignored. In order to predict economic development, enterprises and other subjects can use leading macroeconomic indicators.

Keywords: INDUSTRY 4.0; economic recession; research and development indicators

1. Introduction

A number of authors, such as Weyer et al. [1] or Wang et al. [2], recognize INDUSTRY 4.0 as one of the major factors in improving manufacturing processes, increasing productivity and economic performance. At the same time, an economic crisis may be one of the most important factors that could lead to the failure of promising INDUSTRY 4.0 projects, as Povolná and Švarcová [3] point out. Pavelková et al. [4] examines economic performance in the Czech Republic (CR) in the dominant automotive sector in the pre-crisis, crisis, and post-crisis periods. There is clear pressure on the introduction of INDUSTRY 4.0 and research and development (R&D) knowledge. Statistical data in the CR shows that the number of subjects actively involved in R&D did not decline during two recessions in 2009 and 2012–2013, but even increased in selected sectors (the business sector). This is a completely untypical phenomenon. Usually, in times of recession, gross domestic product (GDP) and the number of economically active entities decrease in the economy under review. Macroeconomic performance (measured by GDP) and number of economically active entities increase at a time of boom. This article

tested hypotheses of whether the recessions affect the number of subjects actively involved in R&D, both in the business sector and in the government sector, universities, and non-governmental non-profit organizations in the CR. At the same time, the development of workforces in R&D workplaces is examined. The results show that the impact of economic recession on the R&D entities in the CR is not significant nowadays and the economic crisis does not affect the number of subjects evolving INDUSTRY 4.0 and R&D. Subjects of R&D in Europe are much more influenced by other factors, for instance grants to support R&D from European Union funds. The Czech Republic is very closely linked to the German economy, which is the main driver of the European Union (EU) economy, so it can be expected that the results in the Czech Republic will be similar to those in Germany. At the same time, it should be emphasized that the support system for R&D projects is uniform across the EU, so similar impacts can be expected in other EU countries. It is a stimulus for economic policy and state support and transnational grant programs (such as EU programs), to increase the supply of subsidies, grants, and tax relief in times of economic crisis. Active support for R&D can, thus, be one of the counter-cyclical measures to reduce the negative effects of economic crises.

This paper is arranged as follows. We first introduce some literature review and related work in Section 2. Section 3 explains the materials and methods. Section 4 describes the results. Section 5 presents discussion and conclusions in detail, and Section 6 highlights actual INDUSTRY 4.0 successes in Central Europe, brings final conclusions of the paper, provides corresponding policy suggestions, and describes the limitations.

2. Literature Review

Conceptual framework questions: Is the INDUSTRY 4.0 phenomenon beneficial in both developed and emerging economies? Which areas does INDUSTRY 4.0 focus on? Does INDUSTRY 4.0 have negative impacts? What is the macroeconomic significance of INDUSTRY 4.0 for the Czech Republic, and in a wider international context? Compared to other countries in the world, do the Czech Republic and its business partner Germany need to revitalize the Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) industry and support INDUSTRY 4.0? Can new technologies be the only source of economic growth or is human resource development and its long-term impact important? In the short term, it is important for the economy to monitor the signals of coming economic recessions, which are provided by the statistical indicators IFO Business Climate Index (leading indicator for economic activity in Germany prepared by the Ifo Institute for Economic Research in Munich, Germany) and ZEW indicators (The ZEW – Leibniz Centre for European Economic Research in Mannheim is an economic research institute and monthly publish their forecasts for macroeconomic indicators). Can we use R&D data to describe the INDUSTRY 4.0 phenomenon?

Dalenogare et al. [5] highlights evidence of INDUSTRY 4.0's benefits, not only in advanced economies, but also in emerging economies. In their extensive study, they examined and discussed the contextual conditions of the Brazilian industry that may require a partial implementation of the INDUSTRY 4.0 concepts created in developed countries.

Diez-Olivan et al. [6] concludes that one of the main objectives of data science in the context of INDUSTRY 4.0 is to effectively predict abnormal behavior in industrial machinery, tools, and processes, so as to anticipate critical events and damage that eventually cause significant economic losses and safety issues. Many authors focus on the review of essential standards and patent landscapes for the Internet of Things (IoT) and industrial IoT, such as Xia et al. [7] and Trappey et al. [8]. Some authors emphasize the relevance of visual computing for manufacturing processes, for example, Lee et al. [9] focus on service innovation and smart analytics using big data as a key enabler for next generation advanced manufacturing. Rajput and Singh [10] show that the IoT ecosystem and IoT big data are the most influential IoT enablers that help industry practitioners effectively implement INDUSTRY 4.0.

Kovacs [11], in his article, The Dark Corners of INDUSTRY 4.0, emphasizes that INDUSTRY 4.0 processes have not only positive economic impacts but also negative side-effects on the environment and society. It is a serious topic that needs to be addressed so that negative impacts are minimized.

Implementation of INDUSTRY 4.0, taking into account influences on the environment and society, provides immense opportunities for the realization of sustainable manufacturing [12].

The macroeconomic significance of INDUSTRY 4.0 is being studied both in the context of the Czech Republic and in a wider international context. The initial conditions of aspects of process management in the context of company strategies in Czech enterprises are evaluated by Tuček [13]. Pavelková et al. [4] examines economic performance in the Czech Republic in the dominant automotive sector in the pre-crisis, crisis, and post-crisis periods. There is clear pressure on the introduction of INDUSTRY 4.0 and R&D knowledge. Increasing the productivity and economic performance of companies using INDUSTRY 4.0 is becoming an important part of the competitive struggle and more companies are trying to use R&D results in business processes. Kang et al. [14] brings comparisons between Germany, the United States, and Korea, and talks about support from the economic policies of the states. INDUSTRY 4.0 is also an important growth factor in China. Li [15] notes not only the technological but also sociological context of these changes, and finds an upward trajectory in China in manufacturing capability development, research and development commitment, and human capital investment. It is very important to see the connections between R&D, INDUSTRY 4.0, the development of human capital, and economic political strategies of individual states. No less important is the monitoring of the links between science and research, innovation, INDUSTRY 4.0, and the development of the workforce to meet these strategies.

Min et al. [16] focuses on a very broad innovation framework in the US and the smartization strategy in Japan. The authors show that developed countries are pushing nation-wide innovation strategies. Similarly, China is pursuing the Made in China 2025, and Korea has announced the Manufacturing Industry Innovation 3.0 strategy. Min et al. [16] provides a comparative study on industrial spillover effects among Korea, China, the USA, Germany, and Japan, especially the spillover effect of the Information and Communication Technology (ICT) industry and equipment (the foundation of smart manufacturing through convergence with the ICT industry). Practical implications of their findings are that Germany needs to revitalize the ICT industry to strengthen its manufacturing industry. It is a very important finding with many implications for the Czech Republic, because Czech industry is an important subcontractor to the German industry. The connection of Czech companies to German industry has been the basis for the growth of the Czech GDP in the last 25 years. There is transfer know-how in subcontracting chains, which enhances the development of workforce skills. At the same time, there is a very strong pressure to increase production efficiency and reduce costs, which is manifested by the pressure to increase labor productivity, the introduction of robotics, and the successful implementation of INDUSTRY 4.0 in the Czech Republic.

Macroeconomics captures economic growth through production functions, where the basic variables are human resources and capital, taking into account that capital is financial, and also includes the impact of new technologies and processes, including INDUSTRY 4.0. However, it would be a fundamental mistake to separate it from human resources, in their quantitative and, above all, qualitative assessment (qualifications, personalities responsive to change, teamwork, etc.). Most of the theoretical work, however, does not take into account the required growth of labor force qualification for INDUSTRY 4.0. For example, Grassetti and Hunanyan [17] used a neoclassical one-sector growth model with differential savings, while assuming a Kadiyala production function that shows a variable elasticity of substitution symmetric with respect to capital and labor. Authors declare that thanks to the proposed methodology, the government can select a proper economic policy to reduce production costs without decreasing the capitalization trend of the economy. The theory, however, does not correspond to the issue of investment in education and the growth of labor force skills needed to grow productivity and the whole economy. The causes of economic crises are perceived as external factors of the growth model.

Some authors are looking for the causes of cyclical macroeconomic behavior. Devezas and Corredine [18] focused on the effective causality of long-term macroeconomic rhythms, commonly referred to as long waves or Kondratieff waves. The authors have demonstrated that the unfolding

of each structural cycle of a long wave is controlled by two parameters: the diffusion-learning rate δ and the aggregate effective generation tG, whose product is maintained in the interval $3 < \delta tG < 4$ (deterministic chaos) of social systems. For the development of INDUSTRY 4.0, it is particularly important to define the basic variables that the authors have included in their model and which show the importance of the human factor for long-term development. Dosi et al.'s [19] model results show that seemingly more rigid labor markets and labor relations are conducive to coordinating successes, leading to higher and smoother growth. Emphasis on the development and organization of the workforce can be crucial for the development of INDUSTRY 4.0.

On the other hand, for businesses that are using INDUSTRY 4.0 technologies and practices, it is important to anticipate the near-term (not long-term) macroeconomic development of the economy. For Czech companies, it is mainly macroeconomic developments in Germany, but also in many other European countries and the world. The anticipation of close macroeconomic developments is the responsibility of a number of institutions. For a short time horizon (typically several months), the statistical authorities perform standardized statistical surveys—purchasing managers' expectations, but also IFO Index, ZEW indicators, and more. Homolka and Pavelková [20] examined the predictive power of the ZEW sentiment indicator in the case of the German automotive industry, which is crucial for the Czech economy. The ZEW Indicator of Economic Sentiment is a leading indicator of the German economy, similar to the IFO index. All of these indicators can reduce the uncertainty of businesses that want to invest in R&D and INDUSTRY 4.0, but fear that their investment can be destroyed by a future economic crisis.

INDUSTRY 4.0 is a very new phenomenon that does not go beyond this decade. Macroeconomic research of this phenomenon, therefore, faces insufficient methodological definition and coverage of data by international and national statistical institutions. The definition of Research and Development (R&D) is much older and more sophisticated, as developed by the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development OECD in 1963 in its first Frascati Manual, which started the process of institutional R&D surveys. Nowadays, R&D surveys regularly monitor, among other things, expenditure on ICT equipment, software, biotechnology, and nanotechnology, funded from both private and public sources. Subsequently, there has been an effort to link the R&D methodological system with the System of National Accounts (SNA), which has been methodically managed by the United Nations (UN) since 1947. In the latest version of SNA 2008, both systems were already compatible and usable for the analyses of Gross Domestic Product (GDP). SNA and GDP are important for analyzing periods of recession or boom periods. Some authors (e.g., Monsori [21]) see a very close relationship between INDUSTRY 4.0 and R&D. In this article, the concept of R&D, which is more methodically covered, has been used to research the new INDUSTRY 4.0 phenomenon. However, it would certainly be worth exploring the characteristics and degree of overlap of the two important concepts in the future.

3. Materials and Methods

Research Question 1 (RQ1): Is the number of economic subjects investing in R&D in the Czech Republic in times of economic crisis (recession) decreasing?

Research Question 2 (RQ2): Do the economic sectors of the Czech Republic (business, government, university, and non-governmental non-profit sectors) develop equally, or do they each have specific conditions and are affected by specific factors?

Research question 3 (RQ3): Can human resources be a limiting factor for the development of R&D and INDUSTRY 4.0?

Data: Data on subjects investing in R&D results, including INDUSTRY 4.0 technologies and methods, were taken from the Czech Statistical Office [22–26]. Supporting the introduction of R&D results into production and business processes is part of the Czech Republic's economic policy strategy. Companies in the Czech Republic interested in obtaining scientific grants and subsidies from the economic policy of the state are voluntarily registered with CZ-NACE 72 Research and Development (R&D in the technical industry has a number CZ-NACE 72.19.2). The Czech Classification of Economic

Activities CZ-NACE is derived from the International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities (ISIC). The Czech Statistical Office sends to these companies the Annual Report on R&D VTR 5-01 [24–26]. It is the collection of internationally comparable data on human and financial resources in the field of research and development in the Czech Republic. This collection of statistics is in line with Decision No. 1608/2003/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on a methodology for statistics on science and technology for the European Union, within the Europe 2020 Strategy. The collection monitors all R&D subjects (CZ-NACE 72), regardless of whether R&D is their main or secondary economic activity. The Czech Statistical Office uses the method of combining exhaustive and sample surveys [24–26].

Method: The method of multivariate statistics with dummy variables was used to analyze the impact of economic contractions on the number of R&D subjects. Multiple regression is an extension of the bivariate linear regression firms [27], predicting a variable from another's scores. Dummy variables (nominal variables coded 1 = "recession", 0 = "non-recession") were used for the purpose of identifying the influence of the economic cycle-phase recession.

Procedure: Firstly, Model 1 was created and tested; the model included R&D entities from all four sectors of the Czech economy surveyed (business, governmental, university, and non-profit sectors). Secondly, different conditions (especially legal and economic) and different factors of influence (in particular taxes, grants and subsidies) in individual sectors were expected. Therefore, Model 2 was subsequently created and this model examined each sector separately. The results of both models were then compared and discussed. Subsequently, data on the development of human resources in R&D in the Czech Republic and their possible impact on the development of INDUSTRY 4.0 were presented and discussed.

4. Results

The results are presented in connection with the research questions (RQ1-RQ3).

4.1. Research Questions 1 and 2

4.1.1. Recession and Non-Recession Model 1-All Sectors Together

Table 1 describes the number of Czech subjects reporting R&D results over the years 2007 to 2017. The recession periods are based on the definition of declining GDP and are marked. The economic crises in 2009 and 2012–2013 were accompanied not only by a decline in GDP, but also by a sharp decline in the production performance of CZ-NACE C Manufacturing in the Czech Republic (The Czech Classification of Economic Activities CZ-NACE is derived from the International Standard Industrial Classification of All Economic Activities).

Table 1. Numbers of Research and Development (R&D) subjects in the Czech Republic in sectors 2007–2017 [24–26].

Sector	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Business enterprise	1736	1766	1872	2107	2237	2312	2299	2368	2387	2355	2628
Government	224	222	223	219	209	195	199	195	196	195	199
Higher education	186	185	187	193	202	203	208	213	228	227	229
Non-gov-non-profit	58	60	63	68	72	68	62	64	59	53	58
GDP ¹ real	3964	4070	3874 ²	3962	4033	4001 ²	3981 ²	4089	4307	4412	4601

¹ Database of National Accounts. Czech Statistical Office (CZSO) in billion Czech crowns (CZK) [23]. ² Recessions (decline in GDP).

The number of subjects of the Czech Republic declaring the results of R&D in the years 2007 to 2017 raises from 2021 to 3114 subjects [24–26]. The total number of all entities in the Czech Republic increased from 570,000 to 668,000 subjects in the same period (the non-profit sector consisted of

120–150,000 subjects, the government sector had 18,000 subjects, and the business sector had developed from 409,000 to 502,000 subjects) [23].

Table 2 shows descriptive statistics of all four sectors of the Czech economy in terms of the number of entities that declare R&D results.

Sector	n	Mean	Sd	Median	Min	Max	Se
Business enterprise s.	11	2187.91	285.03	2299	1736	2628	85.94
Government s.	11	206.91	12.64	199	195	224	3.81
Higher education s.	11	205.55	17.03	203	185	229	5.14
Non-gov. non-profit s.	11	62.27	5.5	62	53	72	1.66

 Table 2. Descriptive statistics of each sector.

As can be seen for business enterprise sector the numbers of subject is much higher than for other sectors. This is the main reason why we use the logarithm function in our first model (Model 1) to eliminate the different levels of measurement. The fact that government and higher education sectors have very similar mean and median number of subjects is also interesting.

The following overview shows the results of the bivariate linear regression forecasting variable from other scores. Dummy variables (nominal variables coded 1 = "recession", 0 = "non-recession") were used for the purpose of identifying the influence of economic cycle phase recession.

Model 1 is given in Equation (1):

$$\log(\#\text{subjects}) = \beta_{0,i} + \beta_{1,i} (t) + \beta_{2,i} (\text{recession}) + \epsilon_{i,i}, \tag{1}$$

where #subjects denote the number of subjects, j is the index of each sector.

The logarithm of the number of R&D entities working in all sectors (business, government, higher education, and non-profit) is determined by the year and whether or not there has been a recession. Results of Model 1 (all sectors together) are presented in Table 3.

	Value	Std. Error	DF	t-Value	p-Value
intercept	5.557	0.6861	38	8.09836	0.0000
t	0.00954	0.0131	38	0.7309	0.4693
recession	0.00585	0.0184	38	0.318373	0.7519

Table 3. Results of linear mixed-effect Model 1.

Table 3 shows results of linear mixed effect model. As can be seen the only statistically significant variable is the intercept with the value of 5.557. When the p-value for t and recession variable are greater than 0.05 we can conclude that these two variables are not statistically significant. Interestingly, the t variable was observed to be insignificant, which can be firstly seen as counterintuitive. However, from Table 1 we can see that the number of subjects rises only for two sectors (Business enterprise sector and Higher education sector) over time, as can be seen the number of subjects in the government sector decreases. This is the main reason why the time variable is insignificant if we analyze all four sectors together.

4.1.2. Recession and Non-Recession Model 2-Each Sector Separately

Model 2 works with the same data as Model 1, but it examines each sector of the Czech economy separately. Therefore, it is no longer necessary to use the logarithm function.

Model 2 (separate results for each sector) is given in Equation (2):

$$#subjects = \beta_0 + \beta_1 (t) + \beta_2 (recession) + \epsilon_i,$$
(2)

where #subjects are number of subjects.

		L.	
Predictors	Estimates	CI	р
(Intercept)	1685.42	1547.18-1823.67	< 0.001
t	82.01	62.95-101.08	< 0.001
Recession	38.18	-97.19-173.55	0.596
Observations	11		
R ² /adjusted R ²	0.899/0.874		

Results of Model 2 (Business enterprise sector) are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Model 2 results for business enterprise sector.

The results of linear regression (Table 4) show that the p-value is lower than 0.05 for intercept and time variable. As can be seen, each year the number of subjects in this sector rises by a value of 82. It also can be seen that the dummy variable (recession) is insignificant. The R² of this model is 0.89, which can be interpreted as this model explaining 89.9% of variation.

Results of Model 2 (Non-government non-profit sector) are presented in Table 5.

Predictors	Estimates	CI	р
(Intercept)	64.52	56.74-72.30	< 0.001
t	-0.48	-1.56-0.59	0.403
Recession	2.39	-5.23 - 10.01	0.556
Observations	11		
R ² /adjusted R ²	0.142/-0.073		

 Table 5. Model 2 results for non-governmental non-profit sector.

The results of linear regression (Table 5) show that the p-value is lower than 0.05 for the intercept only. This model has very poor explanatory power. It can be seen from the data that this sector has a very subtle number of subject fluctuations, which can be explained by the intercept itself. The result shows that the number of subjects can be predicted by intercept, which is 64 subjects with no growth or descent annually.

Results of Model 2 (Government sector) are presented in Table 6.

Predictors	Estimates	CI	р
(Intercept)	229.07	220.85-237.29	< 0.001
t	-3.47	-4.60 - 2.34	< 0.001
Recession	-4.89	-12.94-3.16	0.268
Observations	11		
R ² /adjusted R ²	0.819/0.774		

Table 6. Model 2 results for Government sector.

The results of linear regression (Table 6) show that the p-value is lower than 0.05 for the intercept and time variable. As can be seen, each year the number of subjects in this sector decreases by a value of 3. It also can be seen that the dummy variable (recession) is insignificant. The R^2 of this model is 0.82, which can be interpreted as this model explaining 82% of variation.

Results of Model 2 (Higher education sector) are presented in Table 7.

The results of linear regression (Table 7) show that the p-value is lower than 0.05 for the intercept and time variable. As can be seen each year, the number of subjects in this sector rises by a value of 5. It also can be seen that the dummy variable (recession) is insignificant. The R² of this model is 0.96, which can be interpreted that this model explains 96% of variation.

Predictors	Estimates	CI	р
(Intercept)	176.97	172.23-181.71	< 0.001
t	4.95	4.29-5.60	< 0.001
Recession	-4.01	-8.65 - 0.63	0.129
Observations	11		
R ² /adjusted R ²	0.967/0.959		

Table 7. Model 2 results for higher education sector.

4.2. Research Questions 3

4.2.1. Human Resources Working in R&D in the Czech Republic

The focus of this research is also on human resources for R&D and INDUSTRY 4.0 projects in relation to the period of recession or non-recession. Table 8 shows an overview of the development of R&D personnel by sector and field of science. Recession periods are highlighted.

Table 8. R&D personnel by sector of the Czech Republic by fields of science 2007–2017 [24–26].

Sector	2007	2008	2009 ¹	2010	2011	2012 ¹	2013 ¹	2014	2015	2016	2017
Business enterprise	30,640	31,660	32,375	34,658	37,437	41,445	44,255	48,194	49,252	51,069	55,232
Science	4513	4226	4675	5872	7156	8494	9810	11,558	11,812	12,148	13,361
Technical sciences	23,830	25,114	25,279	26,063	27,085	29,079	31,614	32,673	33,697	34,262	37,727
Medical sciences	744	801	820	1196	1174	1156	993	1022	1080	1212	1168
Agricultural sciences	1256	1243	1230	1255	1305	1622	1151	1262	1302	1302	1131
Social Sciences	271	242	343	246	686	1077	666	1666	1347	2116	1818
Humanities	26	35	29	27	29	16	21	14	14	28	27
Government	15,470	15,559	15,402	15,029	15,313	15,482	15,996	16,177	16,705	16,615	17,941
Science	8276	8544	8586	8532	8576	9165	9464	9544	9517	9686	10,094
Technical sciences	464	557	484	413	414	411	488	492	559	554	604
Medical sciences	2195	2124	2168	1948	2000	1931	1935	1802	1980	1605	2029
Agricultural sciences	1239	1136	956	1089	1107	829	842	993	1225	1211	1319
Social Sciences	1029	893	820	777	874	874	758	785	943	973	1112
Humanities	2267	2305	2388	2270	2342	2272	2509	2562	2481	2586	2783
Higher education	26,735	26,993	27,694	27,844	29,149	30,301	32,173	32,680	33,891	31,915	34,234
Science	2887	3431	3286	3788	5818	5864	6512	6804	6743	6528	7517
Technical sciences	8573	8463	8492	8304	7436	8947	8308	8955	9530	9118	9383
Medical sciences	6347	6439	7386	6648	6773	6172	7340	7149	7361	6677	7213
Agricultural sciences	2353	2356	2657	2538	2003	2166	2276	2097	1927	1861	1996
Social Sciences	4574	4367	3393	3487	4959	4597	5447	5365	5550	5194	5509
Humanities	2001	1937	2480	3079	2160	2555	2290	2310	2780	2537	2616
Non-gov. non-profit s.	236	296	317	372	384	300	290	302	280	276	327
Science	26	29	74	103	84	61	55	73	79	62	68
Technical sciences	69	93	28	49	56	47	40	51	23	36	59
Medical sciences	5	16	11	6	23	10	3	1	-	-	8
Agricultural sciences	13	25	24	16	12	8	4	10	4	5	5
Social Sciences	115	106	156	146	174	155	183	166	174	165	179
Humanities	7	27	24	52	36	19	5	1	1	8	8

¹ Recessions.

The business enterprise sector has a very strong predominance of R&D personnel in the field of technical sciences. This is fundamentally different from other sectors, specifically the university sector, which has a high share of technical scientists, but a high proportion of workers are also seen in the fields of natural sciences and medicine. This comparison shows the strong unilateral orientation of CZ-NACE C Manufacturing in the business sector, which also directs the current INDUSTRY 4.0 projects in the Czech Republic.

Figure 1 shows the international comparison of the number of R&D personnel in selected countries of the world during 2005–2015 [24]. Data on human resources working in R&D in the United States was not available.



Figure 1. Human resources working in R&D-international comparison for the period 2005-2015 [24].

Figure 1 shows an international comparison of R&D personnel development numbers in the Czech Republic and Germany, showing that numbers are increasing, which gives good conditions for the development of INDUSTRY 4.0 projects. Compared with China, however, the growth rate is relatively slow, which may worsen future competitiveness.

4.2.2. Future Human Resources for R&D in the Czech Republic

The future competitiveness of the Czech Republic will be influenced mainly by the number of graduates of higher education institutions working in the area of R&D, especially in the field of technical sciences. Table 9 shows current vacancies for graduates.

Field	Total Number of Vacancies for University ¹ Graduates	Total Number of Vacancies for School ² Graduates		
Administration	67	2150		
Transport	9	994		
Finance	38	1352		
Information Technology	347	854		
Culture and Sport	23	290		
Management	16	357		
Trade and Tourism	65	4553		
Defense and Security	3	720		
Services	0	1351		
Building Industry	44	1634		
Science and Research	144	98		
Education	378	351		
Operations	256	10,131		
Health Care	1112	1912		
Agriculture and Forest	26	239		
Law	44	8		

Table 9. The statistics of vacancies for university graduates and high school graduates in the Czech Republic on the date 18 January 2019 [28].

¹ University (college) graduates. ² Jobs for applicants with completed secondary education.

Table 9 shows current vacancies for university graduates, where the R&D area in the Czech Republic is not large. For the further development of INDUSTRY 4.0, these vacancies will need to be expanded and more attractive to young people.

5. Discussion and Conclusions

INDUSTRY 4.0 is a very important topic that can be explored from a variety of perspectives. In the introduction to this article at least some of them have been highlighted. The research has revealed a number of definitions of INDUSTRY 4.0, but the authors have not found a precise definition of the relationship of INDUSTRY 4.0 to another important topic, namely the development of science and research. The authors of this article perceive a close relationship between INDUSTRY 4.0 and R&D, but this relationship itself is not the subject of research. The authors see it as important to seek a more precise definition of this relationship in future research.

A very interesting topic is the process of implementing INDUSTRY 4.0 (or R&D projects) in relation to the macroeconomic cycle stage. The arrival of an economic recession especially affects the introduction of new projects in companies, as Pavelková has stated [4]. Homolka and Pavelková [20] examined the predictive power of the ZEW sentiment indicator in the case of the German automotive industry, which is important for the Czech economy. Leading macroeconomic indicators can reduce the uncertainty of businesses that want to invest in R&D and INDUSTRY 4.0, but there is still fear that their investment could be destroyed by an economic crisis. The authors of this article based their work on these assumptions and focused on the question of whether the evolution of the business cycle measured by GDP at constant prices affects the numbers and selected indicators of R&D results, both in the business sector and in the government, universities, and non-governmental non-profit organization sectors.

The research was divided into three research questions, of which the first two research questions examined the number of R&D entities in the Czech Republic during 2007–2017. The research horizon included two periods of economic recession, in 2009 and during 2012–2013, but the research is not robust enough to be considered representative. It is possible to discuss whether R&D entities voluntarily register with CZ NACE 72 due to their research activities or due to subsidies and grants. On the other hand, the methodology used for monitoring R&D subjects is internationally recognized and widely implemented.

The method of multivariate statistics with dummy variables was used to analyze the impact of economic recession on the number of R&D subjects. The results (Table 3) show that the recession variable in Model 1 is insignificant. This can have at least three possible explanations. The first explanation is that recession does not have any explanatory power. The second explanation is that recessions are relatively very rare events and there is not enough data to show their explanatory power. The third explanation is that each sector (business, governmental, university, and non-profit sectors) has different conditions (especially legal and economic) and different factors of influence (in particular taxes, grants, and subsidies). This idea is supported by the fact that almost in every year (no matter if recession strikes or not) the number of subjects rising or descending is continuous. Therefore, Model 2 was subsequently created, and this model examined each sector separately.

Results for Model 2 are presented separately from each sector (Tables 4–7). The results of linear regression for the business sector (Table 4) show that the p-value is lower than 0.05 for the intercept and the time variable. As can be seen, each year the number of subjects in this sector rises by a value of 82. It also can be seen that the dummy variable (recession) is insignificant. The R² of this model is 0.89, which can be interpreted that this model explains 89.9% of variation. The results of linear regression show that the p-value is lower than 0.05 for intercept only. The result of the linear regression for the non-governmental non-profit sector (Table 5) has very poor explanatory power. This sector has very subtle number of subject fluctuations, which can be explained by the intercept itself. The result shows that the number of subjects can be predicted by the intercept, which is 64 subjects, with no growth or descent annually. The results for the governmental sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 5) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) show that the number of subjects in the sector (Table 6) sho

this sector decreases by a value of 3 each year. It can also be seen that the dummy variable (recession) is insignificant. The R² of this model is 0.82 which can be interpreted as this model explaining 82% of the variation. This result shows that the government sector is not affected either by economic recession or by grants and subsidies, and is steadily declining. This is surprising and alarming. On the contrary the results of the higher education sector (Table 7) show that the p-value is lower than 0.05 for the intercept and time variable. As can be seen, each year the number of subjects in this sector rises by a value of 5. Although a significant number of the universities in the Czech Republic are public, it is positive that the number of R&D subjects is steadily increasing. Here you can see the positive impact of grants, especially from European Union funds. It also can be seen that the dummy variable (recession) is insignificant. The R² of this model is 0.96, which can be interpreted as this model explaining 96% of the variation.

The results of both models show that the impact of recession (dummy variable) is not significant. The growth of the number of R&D entities in the CR is much more influenced by other factors (mainly tax relief and grants supporting R&D from EU funds).

Subsequently, data on the development of human resources in R&D in the Czech Republic and their possible impact on the development of INDUSTRY 4.0 (Research question 3) were presented and discussed. Table 8 presents an overview of the development of R&D personnel by sector and field of science. The business enterprise sector in the Czech Republic has a very strong predominance of R&D personnel in the field of technical sciences. This is fundamentally different from other sectors. Specific is the university sector, which has a high share of technical scientists, but a high proportion of workers are also seen in the fields of natural sciences and medicine. This comparison shows the strong unilateral orientation of Manufacturing in the business sector, which also directs the current INDUSTRY 4.0 projects in the Czech Republic. Strong pressure on increasing the number of R&D personnel, however, is not reflected in the currently offered vacancies for university graduates (Table 9). For the further development of INDUSTRY 4.0 projects in the Czech Republic, there is a need to increase and make attractive the qualified job positions that are important for these projects.

Min et al. [16] emphasizes that Germany needs to revitalize the ICT industry to strengthen its manufacturing industry. The Czech industry is an important subcontractor for the German industry. The development of INDUSTRY 4.0 projects in the Czech Republic could boost the competitiveness of both the Czech Republic and Germany, but it is conditioned by a change in the structure of the supply of qualified positions in the necessary structure and relevant demand from university graduates.

The research findings of this article also showed that current EU grant support and tax relief appear to be more important factors for the development of new R&D projects in the Czech Republic than the effects of the economic recession. In terms of longer time horizons, however, the effects of the business cycle cannot be ignored, and their impact on the future development of INDUSTRY 4.0 may be strengthened over time.

6. Conclusions

The current INDUSTRY 4.0 projects in the Czech Republic bring a number of cutting-edge solutions that can be used globally. There are also research institutions dealing with advanced manufacturing in cooperation with companies, which is a step closer towards smart factories. A few of these are listed below.

The Research Center of Manufacturing Technologies (RCMT) at the Czech Technical University cooperates with industry, especially on topics of advanced simulation models, virtual prototyping and virtual testing, development of advanced feed drive control techniques and vibration suppression methods, advanced monitoring and diagnostics of machine tool condition, multi-axis machining technology, etc. Introducing R&D results helps the industry address its challenges. Machine tools can use, for example, a model to predict micromilining cutting forces, which estimates the tool's deflection and the real tool-path during the micromilling process [29]. Manufacturing also needs methods to monitor tool wear; for instance, one of such methods uses

the application of a ceramic piezoelectric sensor mounted on the tool holder in the turning machine to monitor vibration signals due to the flank wear progression [30]. A very important objective, not only in the automotive industry, is to achieve a good surface quality directly from machining without any additional manual work, especially with use of advanced high-strength steels (AHSS). For instance, López de Lacalle's technological model of the milling process estimates values of cutting forces and can offer manufacturers a reduction of production and lead times [31].

- Many companies have their own research facilities, for instance the company Prusa Research focuses on manufacturing of 3D printers and is a global leader in its category thanks to the innovation of using a full metal nozzle and PCB heated bed [32]. Smart factories are in the process of automation and robotization of their production.
- Hybrid manufacturing technologies are used, for instance, the technology developed by Kovosvit
 and RCMT, which enables manufacturing with additive technology and welding of various
 combinations of materials, welding of functional surfaces, parts, and details, repairs, creation of
 full parts with internal channels, shell parts, and hollow parts, all in combination with machining.
 The rate of growth of parts of different steels is in the range of 0.2 to 1.0 kg/h [32].
- The remote diagnostic is useful and popular in smart factories, for instance, Wikov launched the remote diagnostic tool for online monitoring of gearbox condition. This is a system for the complete driveline and enables optimization of the maintenance plan for maximum availability and minimum downtime. Various data, such as vibration, temperature, speed, pressure, and other parameters are monitored and postprocessed. Outputs are accessible in real time via a web-based interface. The software's advanced algorithms can detect gear-teeth and bearing damages at a very early stage, and thus prevent major gearbox damage [32].

There are many fields in which IoT and monitoring or high-tech approaches are in use. Multiple IoT networks are already being constructed and are ready for use in the country.

The results of both models show that the impact of economic recession on the R&D entities in the CR is not significant nowadays. These entities in Europe are much more influenced by other factors, for instance, grants to support R&D from EU funds, such as Horizon 2020 [32]. The number of R&D subjects in the Czech Republic grew in some sectors (business enterprise sector) in the monitored period of two economic recessions. Usually, in a period of economic recession, the decline in economic performance is accompanied by a decline in the number of economically active entities. From this perspective, it is possible to supplement the scientific literature with findings from this paper.

The added value of Models 1 and 2 is seen primarily in the business sector, which is sufficiently large and dynamically evolving. The dummy variable is not significant in model 2 (Table 4, business enterprise sector), so it can be said that the number of R&D subjects in the business sector is not dependent on the recession. Other sectors are small in number of entities, for example, non-profit non-governmental sector, which has about 150,000 subjects, but only about 60 entities declare R&D results. The study wanted to show the difference in business sector development from other sectors. The ability of the business sector to develop R&D projects, even in times of economic recession, could be used in economic policy. The effective direction of grants in this area could then accelerate the faster return of the economy to economic growth.

This study has its limitations, mainly in the area of available data, because the recession is a rare phenomenon and we have only three data points for each sector (data on the number of R&D entities are collected only once a year; Report VTR 5-01). On the other hand, this phenomenon is very interesting from perspectives of both scientific literature and business, so it would be beneficial to develop this research and perform calculations on a representative set of data.

The other limitation of this research is that in this article, the concept of R&D, which is more methodically covered, has been used to research the new INDUSTRY 4.0 phenomenon. However, it would certainly be worth exploring the characteristics and degree of overlap of the two important concepts.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.Š. and T.U.; methodology, J.Š.; software, T.U.; validation, L.P., and E.S.; formal analysis, T.U.; investigation, J.Š.; resources, L.P.; data curation, J.Š. and E.S.; writing—original draft preparation, J.Š.; writing—review and editing, L.P. and E.S.; visualization, T.U.; supervision, J.Š.; project administration, L.P.; funding acquisition, L.P.

Funding: This research was funded by the Internal Grant Agency of FaME TBU IGA/FaME/2018/001 "Leading indicators in the buying behavior of companies in B2B markets" and RO/2018/08 "Research on qualitative and quantitative changes in demand on the Czech labor market with the introduction of INDUSTRY 4.0".

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to thank the Internal Grant Agency of FaME TBU IGA/FaME/2018/001 "Leading indicators in the buying behavior of companies in B2B markets" and RO/2018/08 "Research on qualitative and quantitative changes in demand on the Czech labor market with the introduction of INDUSTRY 4.0".

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Weyer, S.; Schmitt, M.; Ohmer, M.; Gorecky, D. Towards Industry 4.0-Standardization as the crucial challenge for highly modular, multi-vendor production systems. *Ifac-Papersonline* **2015**, *48*, 579–584. [CrossRef]
- Wang, S.; Wan, J.; Zhang, D.; Li, D.; Zhang, C. Towards Smart Factory for Industry 4.0: A Self-organized Multi-agent System with Big Data Based Feedback and Coordination. *Comput. Netw.* 2016, 101, 158–168. [CrossRef]
- 3. Povolná, L.; Švarcová, J. The Macroeconomic Context of Investments in the Field of Machine Tools in the Czech Republic. *J. Compet.* **2017**, *9*, 110–122. [CrossRef]
- Pavelková, D.; Homolka, L.; Knápková, A.; Kolman, K.; Pham, H. EVA and key performance indicators: The case of automotive sector in pre-crisis, crisis and post-crisis periods. *Econ. Sociol.* 2018, *11*, 78–95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 5. Dalenogare, L.S.; Benitez, G.B.; Ayala, N.F.; Frank, A.G. The expected contribution of Industry 4.0 technologies for industrial performance. *Int. J. Prod. Econ.* **2018**, 204, 383–394. [CrossRef]
- 6. Diez-Olivan, A.; Del Ser, J.; Galar, D.; Sierra, B. Data Fusion and Machine Learning for Industrial Prognosis: Trends and Perspectives Towards Industry 4.0. *Inf. Fusion* **2019**, *50*, 92–111. [CrossRef]
- 7. Xia, F.; Yang, L.T.; Wang, L.; Vinel, A. Internet of things. Int. J. Commun. Syst. 2012, 25, 1101–1102. [CrossRef]
- 8. Trappey, A.J.; Trappey, C.V.; Govindarajan, U.H.; Chuang, A.C.; Sun, J.J. A review of essential standards and patent landscapes for the Internet of Things: A key enabler for Industry 4.0. *Adv. Eng. Inf.* **2017**, *33*, 208–229. [CrossRef]
- 9. Lee, J.; Kao, H.A.; Yang, S. Service innovation and smart analytics for industry 4.0 and big data environment. *Procedia CIRP* **2014**, *16*, 3–8. [CrossRef]
- 10. Rajput, S.; Singh, S.P. Identifying Industry 4.0 IoT enablers by integrated PCA-ISM-DEMATEL approach. *Manage. Decis.* **2018**. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kovacs, O. The Dark Corners of Industry 4.0—Grounding Economic governance 2.0. *Technol. Soc.* 2018, 55, 140–145. [CrossRef]
- 12. Stock, T.; Seliger, G. Opportunities of sustainable manufacturing in industry 4.0. *Procedia CIRP* **2016**, 40, 536–541. [CrossRef]
- 13. Tuček, D. New Strategy for Business Process Management—Quantitative Research in Czech Republic. *Int. Adv. Econ. Res.* 2017, 23, 131–132. [CrossRef]
- Kang, H.S.; Lee, J.Y.; Choi, S.; Kim, H.; Park, J.H.; Son, J.Y.; Kim, B.H.; Noh, D.S. Smart manufacturing: Past research, present findings, and future directions. *Int. J. Precis. Eng. Manuf.-Green Technol.* 2016, *3*, 111–128. [CrossRef]
- 15. Li, L. China's manufacturing locus in 2025: With a comparison of "Made-in-China 2025" and "Industry 4.0". *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Chang.* **2018**, *135*, 66–74. [CrossRef]
- 16. Min, Y.K.; Lee, S.G.; Aoshima, Y. A comparative study on industrial spillover effects among Korea, China, the USA, Germany and Japan. *Ind. Manag. Data Syst.* **2018**, *119*, 454–472. [CrossRef]
- 17. Grassetti, F.; Hunanyan, G. On the economic growth theory with Kadiyala production function. *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **2018**, *58*, 220–232. [CrossRef]
- 18. Devezas, T.C.; Corredine, J.T. The biological determinants of long-wave behavior in socioeconomic growth and development. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Chang.* **2001**, *68*, 1–57. [CrossRef]

- Dosi, G.; Pereira, M.C.; Roventini, A.; Virgillito, M.E. When more flexibility yields more fragility: The microfoundations of Keynesian aggregate unemployment. J. Econ. Dyn. Control 2017, 81, 162–186. [CrossRef]
- 20. Homolka, L.; Pavelková, D. Predictive power of the ZEW sentiment indicator: Case of the German automotive industry. *Acta Polytech. Hung.* **2018**, *15*, 161–178. [CrossRef]
- 21. Monostori, L. Cyber-physical Production Systems: Roots, Expectations and R&D Challenges. *Procedia CIRP* **2014**, *17*, 9–13. [CrossRef]
- 22. Obst, C.; Hein, L.; Edens, B. National Accounting and the Valuation of Ecosystem Assets and Their Services. *Environ. Resour. Econ.* **2015**, *64*, 1–23. [CrossRef]
- 23. Database of National Accounts. CZSO. Available online: http://apl.czso.cz/pll/rocenka/rocenka.indexnu? mylang=EN (accessed on 21 January 2019).
- 24. Research and Development. CZSO. Available online: https://www.czso.cz/csu/czso/statistika_vyzkumu_a_vyvoje (accessed on 22 January 2019).
- 25. Výkaz VTR 5-01. CZSO. Available online: http://apl.czso.cz/pll/vykazy/pdf113?xvyk=2414&cd=0 (accessed on 22 January 2019).
- VTR 5-01 Roční Výkaz o Výzkumu a Vývoji. CZSO. Available online: https://www.czso.cz/csu/vykazy/vtr-5-01-rocni-vykaz-o-vyzkumu-a-vyvoji_psz_2019 (accessed on 22 January 2019).
- 27. Cooper, D.R.; Schindler, P.S. *Business Research Methods*, 12th ed.; McGraw-Hill Higher Education: New York, NY, USA, 2013; pp. 547–549, ISBN-13: 9781259070952.
- 28. The Ministry of Labour and Social Affairs. Available online: https://portal.mpsv.cz/sz/obcane/vmjedno (accessed on 18 January 2019).
- 29. Uriarte, L.; Azcárate, S.; Herrero, A.; Lopez de Lacalle, L.N.; Lamikiz, A. Mechanistic modelling of the micro end milling operation. *J. Eng. Manuf.* 2008, 222, 23–33. [CrossRef]
- Ahmad, M.A.F.; Nuawi, M.Z.; Abdullah, S.; Wahid, Z.; Karim, Z.; Dirhamsyah, M. Development of Tool Wear Machining Monitoring Using Novel Statistical Analysis Method, I-kaz[™]. Procedia Eng. 2015, 101, 355–362. [CrossRef]
- López de Lacalle, L.N.; Lamikiz, A.; Muñoa, J.; Salgado, M.A.; Sánchez, J.A. Improving the high-speed finishing of forming tools for advanced high-strength steels (AHSS). *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* 2006, 29, 49–63. [CrossRef]
- Industry 4.0 in the Czech Republic. CzechInvest. Available online: https://www.czechinvest.org/getattachment/ 27479be7-854a-4720-8258-e9143cc2d22c/Industry-4-0-in-the-Czech-Republic (accessed on 3 March 2019).



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).