

Article



Numerical Calculation of Oil Dispersion through the Air Flow Applied to the Inner Surface of Slim Tubes

Jozef Svetlík ^{1,*}, Tomáš Brestovič ², Ján Kráľ ³, Ján Buša ⁴, Juraj Kováč ¹ and Miroslav Štofa ¹

- ¹ Department of Manufacturing Machinery, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, The Technical University of Košice, Letná 9, Košice 04001, Slovakia; juraj.kovac@tuke.sk (J.K.); miroslav.stofa@tuke.sk (M.S.)
- ² Department of Power Engineering, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, The Technical University of Košice, Letná 9, Košice 04001, Slovakia; tomas.brestovic@tuke.sk
- ³ Prototype and Innovation Center, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, The Technical University of Košice, Letná 9, Košice 04001, Slovakia; kral@tuke.sk
- ⁴ Department of Mathematics and Theoretical Informatics, Faculty of Electrical Engineerings and Informatics, The Technical University of Košice, Letná 9, Košice 04001, Slovakia; jan.busa@tuke.sk
- * Correspondence: jozef.svetlik@tuke.sk; Tel.: +421-55-602-2195

Received: 10 May 2019; Accepted: 10 June 2019; Published: 12 June 2019

Abstract: One simple, efficient, reliable, and inexpensive method to protect the inner surface of slim tubes prior to exposure to corrosion is to apply a dispersed oil fraction through a nozzle and a mixing valve to prepare a mixture of air and oil applied on the texture in a suitable form. The problem, in particular, is in transporting the oil micro particles to the distal end of the lean tube. The method also has an ecological effect, since other known "soaking" methods of oil application onto the inner surface of slim tubes require long and laborious cleaning methods with higher oil consumption. This method was experimentally verified by measurement and modal simulation in Ansys CFX 19.1 software. The results indicate the possibilities of using the method in practice. However, there are a few rules and recommendations to follow when applying this solution strategy.

Keywords: oil fraction dispersion; oil dispersion; spraying of oil; air flow; Ansys CFX; numerical calculation; modal simulation; steel tubes

1. Introduction

The problem of corrosion of steel materials is generally known. In general, great attention has been paid to efforts to eliminate its effects. Our research aims to eliminate the effects of atmospheric corrosion. The anticipated load according to EN ISO 9223 assumes stress corrosion conditions of corrosion grade C3 (medium) to C4 (high, corresponding to wet areas, industrial zones, ports, etc.).

There are a number of known methods for protecting metal surfaces against moisture and corrosive vapors as well as methods that utilize physical or chemical methods in terms of corrosion protection.

Simple procedures and guidelines for protection can be found in the use of corrosion inhibitors, which represent an inexpensive and effective alternative to traditional protection, cathodic protection, incorporation of suitable alloys, efficient process control, reduction of alloy impurities and use of surface treatment techniques, etc. according to Chigondo [1] and also Lgaz et al. [2]. Kashif and Ahmad [3] described the principle procedure where nanoparticles of oil are deposited as a protective layer against corrosion by their dispersion, standard methods have already been applied, such as weight loss, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and potentiodynamic polarization to determine the corrosion resistance performance.

Pourhashem et al. [4] studied the enhancement of corrosion protection through solvent-based epoxy–graphene oxide application. Research results presented by Zhang et al. [5] showed that the fabricated superhydrophobic film had excellent corrosion resistance and excellent corrosion protection efficiency.

Zavareh et al. [6] wrote about methods of coating the surface with two new advanced ceramic composite materials. Mallozzi and Kehr [7] dealt with cases where it is necessary to combine mechanical and chemical resistance. The methods of non-destructive testing solutions for monitoring and inspection of pipelines and pressure vessels to the oil and gas industry were discussed by Shameli [8]. New scientific work on green polymers and corrosion inhibitors were analyzed by Chen [9]. It is gradually used in industrial processes to protect carbon steel against corrosion in the solution environment. For mild steel corrosion protection, Bustos-Terrones [10] recommended composite material SBA-15 (silicon oxide) as a mild steel corrosion inhibitor. Lorza [11] in his work focused on optimizing the use of zinc electroplating on steel material surfaces. Barrera [12] analyzed the new coatings on cast iron. Special attention was paid to low-maintenance methods of protection.

An interesting article from Božek [13] may be an inspiration for a pragmatic analysis of the solution of a previously unidentified problem and the way of designing the calculation procedure in general.

However, the most important parameter is the resulting layer thickness applied to the inner surface of the pipe. One possible way is the optical sensing principle, which is the desired contactless measurement method. It is precisely this subject that can be found in the description of this interesting method of sensing similar quantities, as explained in the article by Krehel [14].

It is necessary to achieve a high level of reliability and productivity with a well-established and functioning production system. Turygin [15] deals with reducing the number and time of layups and increasing the period of effective operation of a mechatronic system to carry out predictive recovery works. This method is well applicable to the above-mentioned method.

In practice, the protection of the inner surface of long tubes with a small diameter (hereinafter referred to as "slim tubes") is solved by a method of immersing the entire tubes into chemical fluid in order to provide protection against corrosion, e.g., "Anticorid DFV 2001" (hereinafter "oil") from Fuchs Oil Corp. Ltd. (Gliwice, Poland) [16]. After a sufficient period of time, the tubes are removed from the bath and allowed to drip for 24 h followed by manual wiping and cleaning of oily surface film. This cleaning is a physically demanding, time-consuming, dangerous and unhygienic practice. Even the greatest effort to thoroughly clean the oily outer surface of the pipes and the leaking oil inside the pipes, is the result of practice. This seems to be an unsuitable method and it is necessary to look for better ways to improve how to apply oil to the inner surface of the slim tubes.

2. Numerical Calculation of Oil Dispersion Flow in Flowing Air

To obtain a comprehensive view of the influence of the velocity of the airflow on the change in the average surface density of the oil film applied to the inner surface of the slim tubes, calculations were performed for the range of velocities at which good conformity with the experimental results was obtained. The purpose of numerical simulation is to point out the possibility of eliminating experimental measurements by applying numerical methods to other shapes and dimensions of the coating surface applied by using dispersed oil. However, the problem is the considerable dependence of the numerically calculated area density of the applied oil on the large number of input parameters, the feed rate of the mixture, the roughness of the slim tube, or the angle of inclination of the input velocity towards the axis of the slim tubes.

Jablonská [17] characterized the dynamic flow behavior in oil piping, where a mathematical model of dynamic behavior was obtained using Matlab SimHydraulics software. Križan [18] also applied this mathematical model to the process of biomass compaction. The aim of this model is to determine the impact of process parameters, its usefulness when applied to oil flow.

Leporini M. et al. [19], in their study, dealt with a similar issue of transporting small particles of sand in piping systems using one-dimensional dynamic multiphase code. Jing J. et al. [20] in their publication focused on an experimental and numerical study in order to determine the amount of an anticorrosion inhibitor applied by injecting an appropriate volume into a horizontal pipeline. They also came to the conclusion of a reduced concentration of liquid at the top of the pipeline.

The following section provides a detailed view of the mathematical formulation of multiphase flow which will be used in numerical calculation.

2.1. Mathematical Formulation

Ansys CFX 19.1 software was used for the numerical calculation of the multiphase fluid flow represented by the oil fraction in the flowing air. Software solves the conservation equations for momentum, mass and energy by the finite volume formulation. The equations are expressed in terms of the Cartesian coordinate system.

Continuity equation for multiphase non-homogeneous flow without mass sources and mass flow rate per unit volume from phase β to phase α is as follows:

$$\frac{\partial (r_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (r_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} U_{\alpha}) = 0$$
⁽¹⁾

where r_{α} is the volume fraction of phase α , ρ_{α} is the density of the α - phase as the phase of the fluid, t is time, and U_{α} is the velocity of the phase α as the phase of the fluid. The momentum conservation equation is given by:

$$\frac{\partial (r_{\alpha} \rho_{\alpha} U_{\alpha})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (r_{\alpha} (\rho_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \otimes U_{\alpha})) = -r_{\alpha} \nabla p_{\alpha} + \nabla \cdot (r_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha} (\nabla U_{\alpha} + (\nabla U_{\alpha})^{T})) + M_{\alpha}$$
⁽²⁾

where *p* is the static pressure, μ_{α} is dynamic viscosity, M_{α} describes the interphase forces acting on the α phase due to the presence of other phases. An interphase drag with drag coefficient $C_D = 0.44$ was used in Interphase Momentum Transfer Models. Lift coefficient $C_L = 0.5$ was defined for the lift force. The wall lubrication force was activated according to the numerical solution of the two-phase flow which acts on the oil fraction and is described by:

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{wl} = \boldsymbol{C}_{wl} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{p} \cdot \left| \left(\boldsymbol{U}_{q} - \boldsymbol{U}_{p} \right)_{l} \right|^{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}_{w}$$
(3)

where C_{wl} is the wall lubrication coefficient (1), ρ_q is the primary phase density, α_p is the oil volume fraction, $|(\boldsymbol{U}_q - \boldsymbol{U}_p)_t|$ is the phase relative velocity component tangential to the wall surface (m·s⁻¹), and \boldsymbol{n}_w is a normal unit pointing away from the wall.

Antal et al. Model [21] was used to calculate the wall lubrication coefficient:

$$C_{wl} = \max\left(0, \frac{C_{w1}}{d_b} + \frac{C_{w2}}{y_w}\right)$$
(4)

where $C_{w1} = -0.01$ and $C_{w2} = 0.05$ are non-dimensional coefficients, d_b is the particle diameter, and y_w is the distance to the nearest wall.

The homogeneous k- ω SST (Share Stress Transport) turbulence model was used for the numerical calculation of the multiphase flow with the corresponding k- and ω - equations containing the buoyancy effects:

$$\frac{\partial(\rho k)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} (\rho U_{j} k) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_{t}}{\sigma_{k3}} \right) \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_{j}} \right] + P_{k} - \beta' \rho k \omega + P_{kb}$$
(5)

$$\frac{\partial(\rho\omega)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left(\rho U_{j}\omega\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_{t}}{\sigma_{\omega^{3}}}\right) \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_{j}} \right] + (1 - F_{1}) 2\rho \frac{\sigma_{\omega^{2}}}{\omega} \frac{\partial k}{\partial x_{j}} \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x_{j}} + \alpha_{3} \frac{\omega}{k} P_{k} - \beta_{3}\rho\omega^{2} + P_{\omega b}$$

$$(6)$$

where *k* is the turbulent kinetic energy, ω is specific dissipation rate, μ t is turbulent dynamic viscosity calculated by (7), *x* is the space coordinate, and *P*_k is the shear production of turbulence.

$$\mu_{t} = \frac{a_{1}k\rho}{\max(a_{1}\omega, SF_{2})}$$
(7)

The coefficients σ_{k3} and $\sigma_{\omega 3}$ are calculated by a linear combination of the respective coefficients according to the following Equations (8) and (9).

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{k3} = F_1 \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{k1} + (1 - F_1) \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{k2} \tag{8}$$

$$\sigma_{\omega 3} = F_1 \sigma_{\omega 1} + (1 - F_1) \sigma_{\omega 2} \tag{9}$$

The blending functions (10) are critical to the success of the method. Their formulation is based on the distance to the nearest surface and on the flow variables:

$$F_1 = \tanh\left(arg_1^4\right) \tag{10}$$

$$arg_{1} = \min\left(\max\left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{\beta'\omega y}, \frac{500\nu}{y^{2}\omega}\right), \frac{4\rho k}{CD_{k\omega}\sigma_{\omega 2}y^{2}}\right)$$
(11)

where y is the distance to the nearest wall, v is the kinematic viscosity. These mathematical dependencies and parameters are described in detail in the literature [22].

2.2. Computational Domain and Boundary Conditions

A three-dimensional numerical simulation was chosen for this study. Numerical calculation was carried out for three different pipe diameters (35, 25 and 15 mm), which were identical to the pipe diameter during the experiment. The pipeline had a length of 6 m with respect to isothermal flow without the need for heat transfer and it consists of only one domain representing air with an oil dispersion. In order to simplify the evaluation results of the analysis, the domain was divided into 30 volumetric subdivisions (0.2 m/element). Each of the areas created for specific geometry and flow velocity was divided by the network into the final number of the tetrahedron volume elements. Modeling the fluid velocity near the pipe wall in the boundary layer was ensured by densifying the mesh at the wall, wherein the thickness of the first element was determined from the selected dimensionless distance value of $y^* = 1$. Figure 1 is a view of a part of the mesh formed at the inlet of the pipe for pipe diameter of 35 mm and a flow rate of 5 m·s⁻¹, wherein the thickness is 12 with an increase of 1.2. With an average mesh size of 5 mm, the total number of elements of the selected geometry is 3.28 mil.



Figure 1. A view of a section of the mesh formed at a pipe diameter of 35 mm and a speed of 5 m/s.

A numerical simulation of the airflow with an oil dispersion of an average oil particle size of 0.2 μ m was from a physical point of view designed as isothermal multi-phase turbulent airflow with a temperature of 10 °C, using the SST turbulence model. Air with continuous fluid and oil as dispersed fluid morphology was the carrier gas and a buoyancy model with a gravitational acceleration value of 9.81 m·s⁻² was included in the solution. A multi-phase inhomogeneous flow model with homogeneous turbulence calculation was employed. The domain reference pressure was determined at 1 atm according to the conditions of the experiment. Within the initialization conditions (at time τ = 0 s), the zero velocity of the flowing fluid was defined in the entire volume, as well as zero relative static pressure and zero volume fraction of oil.

The input boundary condition was set with a defined subsonic air velocity with an oil fraction, based on the set value of the input intensity of the turbulence at the level of 10%. The oil mass flow rate based on experimental knowledge is $4.67 \cdot 10^{-4}$ kg·s⁻¹. The dispersed oil particles flow at the selected speed according to the boundary condition at the pipe entry, wherein oil volume fraction at the inlet is given by the following formula:

$$r_{\rm oil} = \frac{V_{\rm oil}}{V_{\rm oil} + V_{\rm air}} \doteq \frac{4 \cdot Q_{\rm m,oil}}{\pi \cdot \rho_{\rm oil} \cdot d^2 \cdot v}$$
(12)

where r_{oil} is oil volume fraction of oil (1), V_{oil} —oil volume (m³), V_{air} —air volume (m³), $Q_{\text{m,oil}}$ —oil flow rate (kg·s⁻¹), ρ_{oil} —oil density (kg·m⁻³), d—pipe diameter (mm), v—airflow velocity (m·s⁻¹). Volume fraction of air is subsequently calculated as (1 – Φ_{oil}). At the outlet of the duct, a boundary condition was specified with an average relative static pressure of 0 Pa by averaging pressure using the Average Over Whole Outlet method. A zero fluid velocity (No Slip Wall) with an absolute surface roughness of 0.03 mm is set on the cylindrical surface of the pipe.

2.3. Time and Solver Settings

The calculation was carried out as time-dependent with a total time of 15 s and a time step of 0.05 s. A maximum of 40 iterations were used with required target residuals of 10⁻⁵ along with the residual type of RMS (Root Mean Square). The evaluation of the investigated parameters was subsequently carried out in the final time of 15 s.

2.4. Results

Three simulations were performed at 4, 4.7 and 5 m/s for a 35 mm pipe diameter. For individual velocities, the change of the mesh quality was calculated based on the following formula; thicknesses of the first element compared to the size of the mesh at the wall. After numerical calculation, the

quantities of oil in each volume section with a length of 0.2 m were evaluated and subsequently converted to the area density of oil in relation to:

$$\rho_{\rm A} = \frac{\rho_{\rm oil} \cdot \int_{V} \Phi_{\rm oil} \, dV}{\pi \cdot d \cdot L_{1/30}} \tag{13}$$

where ρ_A is the areal oil density (kg·m⁻²), dV is the elemental volume of the domain geometry (m³), $L_{1/30}$ is the length of 1/30 of the pipe (0.2 m) (m). Figure 2 shows the flow rate of the oil for three different speeds together with the data measured for a diameter of 35 mm.



Figure 2. Flow rate of oil density for three different velocities compared to data measured for a 35 mm inner diameter pipe.

It is clear from the plots that at speeds of up to 4.7 m/s, the coverage of the inner surface of the pipe in the second half of its length is almost zero. At higher speeds, the resistance force acting on the oil particles overtakes the flow of air against gravitational force, and the particles are carried and distributed over the entire length of the pipe. At a speed of 5 m/s, the results of the numerical calculation are in very good agreement with the results of the experiment. At a speed of 5 m/s, a minimum coverage of $1.4 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$ of oil film is provided over the entire length of the pipe.

Figure 3 shows the volume of oil at the surface of the pipe over its full length at a speed of 4 m/s measured volume fraction scale obtained at 15-s intervals. For comparison, Figure 4 shows the volume of oil over its full length at a speed of 5 m/s.



Figure 3. Volume of the oil at the surface of the pipe over its full length at the speed of 4 m/s with a pipe diameter of 35 mm.



Figure 4. Volume of the oil at the surface of the pipe over its full length at the speed of 5 m/s with a pipe diameter of 35 mm.

Figure 4 shows that the oil fraction covering the pipe over its full length at a speed above $5 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ is more pronounced over its entire length and contributes to achieving the minimum required oil density.

Three simulations were performed at 5, 5.5 and 7 m/s for a 25 mm pipe diameter. Figure 5 shows a plot of oil bulk density at three different speeds together with the measured data for a pipe diameter of 25 mm.



Figure 5. Plot of the areal density of oil at three different velocities on an inner pipe with a diameter of 25 mm pipe.

The more even distribution of the oil film was evident only at a speed above 5.5 m/s with a pipe diameter of 25 mm. Comparable results between the numerical calculation and the experiment could only be seen at the speed of 7 m/s. Figures 6 and 7 show oil volume distribution at an air flow rate of 5.5 and 7 m/s respectively.



Figure 6. Volume of the oil at the surface of the pipe over its full length at the speed of 5.5 m/s with a pipe diameter of 25 mm.



Figure 7. Volume of the oil at the surface of the pipe over its full length at the speed of 7 m/s with a pipe diameter of 25 mm.

Figure 8 shows the areal density of oil at the speed of 3, 4 and 5 m/s passing through a 15 mm diameter pipeline according to experimental data. Due to the small diameter of the pipe, the distribution of oil in the first half of the pipe length is quite even according to numerical calculation.



Figure 8. Plot of the areal density of oil at three different velocities compared to data measured on an inner pipe with a diameter of 15 mm.

At a pipe diameter of 15 mm, there is a match between the experiment and the numerical calculation at the lowest level, but the tendency of a sharp drop in oil density with increasing distance from the start of the pipe is still maintained. From the numerical calculation, it is also possible to adopt recommendation to maintain speed above 5 m/s. Figure 9 shows oil volume distribution on the surface of the tube with a diameter of 15 mm at a speed of 5 m/s.



Figure 9. Volume of the oil at the surface of the pipe over its full length at the speed of 5 m/s with a pipe diameter of 15 mm.

The considerable unevenness of the distribution of the areal density of the oil film over the length of the pipe between the individual pipe diameters is particularly noticeable in diameters of 15 and 35 mm. From the results of the numerical calculations, it is clear that the velocities of the fluid medium

equal to or more precisely are less than 5 m/s and the course is significantly different from that of areal density as is achieved with a pipe diameter of 25 mm and higher speeds. This is due to the formation of two counter-rotating vortices, which are formed at speeds exceeding 5 m/s, causing the mixture to swirl and smooth the oil distribution over the length of the pipe surface. Figure 10 shows a projection of a vector field in a plane perpendicular to the pipe at a distance of 3 m from the pipe inlet. On the left, there is a 25 mm diameter pipe at a speed of 5.5 m/s with counter-vortices displayed. On the right side, a pipe with diameter of 35 mm is displayed at a speed of 5 m/s without vortices, causing an uneven distribution of the oil fraction. By comparing the flow rate components of the flowing fluid in the displayed plane, it is evident that for low speeds, these components are negligible compared to the components at higher speeds.



Figure 10. Projection of a vector field at a plane perpendicular to the pipe axis at a distance of 3 m from the inlet of the pipe. On the left, there is a 25 mm diameter pipe at a speed of 5.5 m/s; on the right-hand side, a pipe with a diameter of 35 mm is displayed at a speed of 5 m/s.

A sensitivity analysis was performed in order to evaluate the influence of the inaccuracy of the inlet angle of the multiphase mixture from the pipe axis. In its design, the results were taken for a pipe with an inner diameter of 25 mm with the corresponding inlet velocity of $5.5 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. As shown in Figure 11, the direction vector of the input rate was changed in the vertical position between values of +10 and -10° with a step 5°.



Figure 11. An illustration of the orientation of the positive and negative angles of the incoming current.

Figure 12 shows the results of the distribution of the areal density of the oil in the individual elements of the pipe depending on the angle of the input speed vector. A more pronounced deviation of the numerically calculated orientation angle occurs mainly with a positive orientation angle, at which a higher areal density of the oil is achieved due to a change in the trajectory of the fall of the oil particles.



Figure 12. The course of the surface density of the oil film depending on the vertical change in the direction of the input velocity vector.

Local deviations of areal density in individual sections of the pipe, when the inlet angle varies between -10 and $+10^{\circ}$, obtain a value of 19 g·m⁻². However, the basic shape of the orientation angle remains preserved and the minimum required surface density of the oil at an oil level of 1.4 g/m², it is necessary to apply a sequential two-sided injection of the oil dispersion. The sensitivity to a small change in the angle of rise is therefore irrelevant.

3. Experimental Testing of Coating by Oil Dispersion

The solution parameters were based on 6 m long steel pipe coating requirements. Compared to the investigation of the technological feasibility of the problem, selected pipes with a d = 15, 25 and 35 mm diameter were chosen. Wall thickness in the case of modal analysis, mathematical modeling and experiments did not play any role in our findings. The material of the test and experimentally verified tubes was selected from polyethylene (PE) foam, available under the trade name "Mirelon" (Figure 13), originally intended for insulation of water pipes for hot and cold water. This material was chosen for its consistency in mass across the entire cross section, for easier handling, price, and for the possibility of mechanical examination of the inner surface by touching it with a normal knife.

Adhesiveness of oil particles to the PE pipeline during the flow of the multiphase compound was numerically dealt with by application of the Antal et al. [21] model, considering the wall lubrication coefficient (formula 4), whereas the results of numerical calculation of the application of the values Cw1 = -0.01 and Cw2 = 0.05 showed good match with the experiment results. The oil adhesiveness itself showed no dependence on the type of the pipe material.

The standard deviation of the weight of one meter of dry Mirelon with a 15 mm inner diameter is 0.082 g, the standard deviation for 25 mm is 0.18 g, and the standard deviation for 35 mm is 0.63 g. If steel pipes were to be used, it would have been very difficult to measure the oil layer inside the steel pipe as the certified scales with the required carrying capacity are not sufficiently precise and sensitive. The ratio between the weight of the pipe and the weight of the oil film is far more favorable for weighing a material such as "Mirelon". For example, the dry weight of a 1 m long Mirelon tube with a 25 mm width and a wall thickness of 5 mm weighs approximately 11.5 g, the weight of a steel tube (EN 10 210-2 standard) of 25.3 mm diameter and a wall thickness of 0.8 mm (thinner is not normally produced) weighs approximately 515 g. The dry tube was weighed first, followed by weighing when wet. In percentage points, the differences thus obtained, i.e., between the dry and the wet tube, would have been too small. The measurement of the oil film itself would not have been possible.



Figure 13. "Mirelon" or "Tubolit" is a brand of polyethylene (PE) foam that has been used as a suitable substitute for steel pipes when measuring an oil coating produced from injected dispersion oil fraction.

Precise experimental measurements are given in previous works by Svetlík [23,24]. The chemical substance called "Anticorrid DFV 2001" is accurately described in detail in the manufacturer's document described by Fuchs [16]. Selected essential oil parameters of "Anticorrid DFV 2001" used in the experimental measurement are listed on the product card:

- vapor pressure: 1 mbar, at the temperature of 20 °C;
- density: 830 kg/m³, at the temperature of 25 °C, in compliance with DIN 51 757 standard;
- kinematic viscosity: 4.6 mm²/s, at the temperature of 20 °C, in compliance with DIN 51 562 standard.

Material used for measurement (Mirelon 15-the inner diameter of the pipe was 15 mm):

- "Mirelon 15" with a length of 2 m adhered by a sticky tape to a 6 m tight section;
- "Mirelon 25" with a length of 2 m—adhered by a sticky tape to a 6 m tight section;
- "Mirelon 35" with a length of 2 m adhered by a sticky tape to a 6 m tight section.

The original Mirelon tubes are 2 m long. Prior to the application, three pieces were joined together into a single tube of 6 m. The connection was made using a high quality adhesive tape, so that oil leakage from the joint was not possible.

Devices used in experimental verification:

- Apollo 50 compressor (air receiver 50 L, output power 1.5 kW, supplied air volume 158 L/m) with pressure regulator
- SMC LMV210-35 oil mist applicator;
- hand valve with a 10 mm hose in width and 5 m in length;

calibrated scales with a certificate from the manufacturer A&D, TSQ 128/95-133 model, d: 0.01 g, e: 0.02 g, carrying capacity: 200 g.

The experiment was realized on 30 December 2016. The temperature in the laboratory reached a constant value of 10 °C. The maximum working pressure was set at 8 bar during experimental measurement. The working pressure during the application of the oil dispersion with the open hand valve dropped to a constant value of 6 bar. A simplified circuit diagram of the pneumatic experimental measurement device is shown in Figure 14.



Figure 14. Simplified pneumatic wiring diagram for experimental measurement [23].

In the experimental measurement, an oil dispersion was applied over the entire 6 m sections, which were cut into precise sections with a length of 1 m. These sections were then weighed on a calibrated scale and the results were accurately recorded.

The precise measuring procedure was as follows:

- 1. The compressor working pressure was set at 8 bar.
- 2. The compressor was switched on for a limited period of time (approximately 5 min.) to compress a 50 L of air tank until the compressor motor was automatically shut off.
- 3. The application nozzle was directed to the free space and the manual valve of the application nozzle was activated for about 4 s due to the oil intake into the lubrication feed pipe and for filling the lubricant itself.
- 4. The oil application nozzle was placed in high precision in front of the coated tube in a short time.
- 5. The manual valve, and the timer were activated simultaneously, and oil dispersion flowed into the tube.
- 6. After 15 s of application, the manual valve was deactivated and the oil dispersion stopped flowing into the tube.
- 7. The tube to which the oil fraction was applied was cut into 1 m long sections.
- 8. These 1 m sections were weighed on the precision scale.
- 9. The measured values were incorporated into the measurement protocol. The measurement results are shown in Tables 1–3.

Table 1. The first set of measurements of oil film areal density (g/m^2) – pipe with the inner diameter of 15 mm.

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. measurement	73.74	56.97	2.97	3.18	0.95	0.10
2. measurement	110.94	30.22	2.91	2.36	2.39	0.76
3. measurement	100.35	33.78	2.36	1.70	1.44	0.02
4. measurement	86.68	30.22	3.71	2.86	2.23	0.06
Average value	92.93	37.80	2.99	2.53	1.76	0.24

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. measurement	56.47	5.54	3.88	2.61	2.10	3.38
2. measurement	38.83	9.17	2.10	2.61	2.36	1.85
3. measurement	54.69	17.00	11.59	4.97	3.57	4.71
Average value	49.99	10.57	5.86	3.40	2.68	3.31

Table 2. Second set of measurements of oil film areal density (g/m^2) – a slim tube with the inner diameter of 25 mm.

Table 3. Third set of measurements of oil film areal density (g/m^2) – a slim tube with the inner diameter of 35 mm.

Sector	1	2	3	4	5	6
1. measurement	42.84	3.00	2.55	1.91	1.89	0.05
2. measurement	34.65	3.00	1.59	1.96	1.27	0.45
3. measurement	22.37	8.64	2.64	1.82	1.23	0.68
Average value	33.29	4.88	2.26	1.89	1.46	0.39

3.1. Conditions of Experimental Measurements

Measurements have been made with precision, with the utmost effort to maintain identical conditions. The ambient temperature did not change, the pressure of the air in the pressure vessel was always the same before each measurement, and the time of duration of each period was kept as precisely as possible. Application tubes, which served as a substitute for steel pipes to be treated with anticorrosive protection, were yielding and did not retain their shape. This phenomenon was eliminated by their stiffening with solid steel tubes, as seen in Figure 15.



Figure 15. Implementation of experimental measurement with the SMC LMV210-35 Mixing Valve, which produced a consistent dispersion oil fraction.

One important detail was also the detail of the position of the nozzle when entering the test tube. The output nozzle position was very important, as seen in Figure 16B. It was necessary to keep its position in the pipe axis and also the application angle had to correspond to the axis of the pipe. Otherwise, if the nozzle or pipe nozzle is incorrect, it is likely that a larger amount of oil will settle in the initial part of the tube and consequently less oil will settle in the back as the oil mist will be less dense and will contain a weaker concentration of oil particles than it might have when the nozzle is correctly positioned.



Figure 16. Details of how to apply the dispersion oil fraction to the inner surface of the tubes. (**A**) Photograph from experimental measurement shows the emerging fine oil mist; (**B**) The photograph shows the entry of a dispersion oil fraction into a pipe using a nozzle applicator.

In view of the texture of the dispersion oil fraction itself, it was important to run the applicator for a few seconds without the application tube just before application for a few seconds at the beginning, until the applicator mixing valve filled with applied oil from the container, thereby displacing the air bubbles that were in the application set. These bubbles were formed by gravity in intervals between applications themselves. Another, no less important detail in the application of the dispersion oil fraction in the tube is its outlet part, i.e., the exhaust. It is important for the exhaust not to be covered; its free outlet should be unimpeded, as seen in Figure 16A. It is possible that further research will be able to propose a better aerodynamic element necessary to achieve the desired flow characteristic of the pipe outlet. From the point of view of measuring and observing identical conditions of measurement of tubes of different height clearance, it is appropriate to set identical conditions for the outlet of the pipe mouth.

3.2. Results of Experimental Measurements

Measurement of the coating of a slender pipe made of "Mirelon 15" (inner tube diameter is 15 mm) with oil dispersion fraction was performed with a calibrated A & D scale, the parameters of which are given above. In total, four sets of weights were measured by weighing the weight of the tubes, weighing six sectors of 1 m long "Mirelon 15" and six sectors of 1 m long "Mirelon 15" wet tubes after application of the oil fraction dispersion with identical parameters. The result of the first measurement set is shown in Table 1.

Figure 17 shows the results of the first set of measurements with data taken from Table 2. The oil filler limit value for guaranteed anti-corrosion protection according to the oil product card is 1.4 g/m². It follows that under the given conditions and with a pipe with the geometrical parameters of the "Mirelon 15" pipe, the sufficient oil film layer extends approximately to 4.6 m. However, it should be kept in mind that the inner surface of the pipe is not evenly coated with the oil film. The biggest differences will be between the highest and lowest points of the inner cross-section of the coated pipe. It can be said, therefore, that the method under the given conditions is not suitable for anticorrosive protection at the originally required length of 6 m.





Figure 17. Graphical representation of average oil film weights in individual sections of the Mirelon 15 coated pipe. Data is downloaded from Table 1. The graph contains a trend line with an estimated power function and a corresponding confidence equation R.

Figure 18 shows the results from the second set of measurements with data taken from Table 3. The limit value of enough oil film is identical to the first set of measurements, i.e., 1.4 g/m². Figure 4 shows that, under the given conditions and with the pipe geometrical parameters of the "Mirelon 25" pipe, sufficient oil film layer extends approximately to the required distance of 6 m. Thus, it appears that the greater fluorescence of the pipe is more suitable for the application of an anti-corrosion protection at the given oil dispersion application parameters.



Figure 18. Graphical representation of average oil film weights in individual sections of coated Mirelon 25 pipes. Data is downloaded from Table 2.

However, it should be remarked that these are only average values with unequal distribution of the thickness of the applied oil layer on the inner surface of the pipe. It is probable that at the top of the cross section, the anti-corrosion protection layer will still be inadequate.

Figure 19 shows the results of the third set of measurements with data taken from Table 3. The limit value of the oil film sufficient for anticorrosive protection according to the oil product card is 1.4 g/m². It follows that under the given conditions and with a tube with the geometrical parameters of the "Mirelon 35", a sufficient oil film layer extends to approximately 4 m. As in the previous cases, the inner surface of the pipe is not evenly coated with the oil film. The biggest differences will be between the highest and lowest points of the inner cross-section of the coated pipe. It can be said, therefore, that the method under the given conditions is not suitable for anticorrosive protection at the originally required length of 6 m.



Figure 19. Graphical representation of average oil film weights in individual sections of coated Mirelon 35 pipes. Data is downloaded from Table 3.

4. Discussion

We believe that its useful to approximate the results measured by the experiment and try to find and algorithmize the relationship between variables such as: height clearance of the pipe, pipe length, flow rate of the dispersed oil fraction, and dynamic viscosity of the applied substance.

Achieving the anti-corrosion protection of inaccessible slim spots is not a simple matter. The tightness of the pipe makes the transport of the microparticle of the applied substance (in our case, the Anticorrid DFV 2001 oil) to the lateral distance complicated. Most often, heavier or larger particles are caught at the beginning of the pipe. Furthermore, only medium and large particles with a lower potential for attaching to the inner surface of the pipe continue further. It is therefore advisable to know the distance, depending on the height clearance of the pipe, in which the particles of the dispersion oil fraction are in sufficient quantity to reach the required limit value prescribed by the manufacturer as 1.4 g/m².

In assessing the technical reliability of the device described above (Figure 14) and its application success, it is appropriate to apply some diagnostic tools used to diagnose oil condition in machinery as described by Baron [25].

5. Conclusions

By appropriately selecting numerical simulation settings, it is possible to achieve a relatively good match between the measured and numerically calculated values of the areal density of the oil film deposited on the inner surface of the pipe. The basic problem of using numerical solutions is the considerable dependence of oil deposition over the length of the pipe from a large number of selected parameters. It is essential to correctly select the input speed, the roughness of the pipe and the resistance coefficients. Due to the fact that numerical calculation dealt with the flow of viscous fluid with oil particles, simultaneously taking into account turbulent flow, the pipe resistance is also accounted for in the calculation. However, the paper did not deal with pressure drops due to their irrelevance with respect to the resulting oil fraction layer on the pipe's surface. There is substantial adherence to the speed at the entrance into the pipe and the oil volume fraction (compliance with the margin conditions). The pipe's resistance to the flow of the fluid was causing a pressure drop ranging between 75 and 138 Pa subject to the pipe's diameter (at 5 m·s⁻¹).

The deviation of the angle between the pipe axis and the speed at the inlet to the pipe during oil mist injection does not have a significant effect on the distribution of the areal density of the oil film due to the rapid stabilization of the current.

As can be seen from Figures 2, 5 and 8, simulation and experimental measurement results are not always accurate. It is due to the imperfection of measurement and simulation. Despite the fact that a strong emphasis was placed on the best possible simulation, as can be seen in Figure 1, as the cross-linking of the elements with respect to the most serious object where the trapped oil micro particles are made, there is still a large amount of input variables into the simulation software. The simulations themselves were performed on a high-performance computer with 36 processor cores, one simulation lasting over 24 h. However, it can be said that the results of simulation, despite its imperfection, can be considered to be the same as experimental measurements, which we consider to be the starting point for further reflections on the investigated issue.

Experimental measurement also involved a number of influential factors that had to be unified within the individual metering occurrences and eliminated as much as possible.

The resulting graphs of the dispersion oil fraction plot for the different pipe diameters can be taken as the primary information for possible real use in practice. In general, it can be said a few important findings have been made and recommendations should be respected:

- The pipes during the application of the dispersion oil fraction should be rotated to achieve a uniform distribution of the oil layer along their inner surface.
- The entry of the dispersion oil fraction through the nozzle must be as accurate as possible, aligned with the pipe axis, and the angle between the axis of the pipe and the nozzle axis should be the same.
- Ensure the texture of the dispersed oil fraction without dirt and air bubbles is consistent.
- Ensure the ambient parameters, such as: temperature, flow rate of the dispersion oil fraction, air
 pressure in the compressor reservoir are stable, ensure that the opening for the dispersed oil
 fraction to escape the treated pipe is open.

After observing the above parameters, the method can be used to coat steel (or other materials) pipes and thereby obtain the desired anticorrosive protection of the inner surface of slim pipes.

Author Contributions: J.S. suggested the concept of coating the inner surface of slim tubes with of a dispersed oil fraction; J.K. (Ján Kráľ) and J.S. designed and performed experimental measurements in the laboratory; J.B. analyzed the data and suggested a calculation model for estimating the thickness of the oil layer; T.B. performed a numerical coating simulation; J.K. (Juraj Kováč) and M.Š. contributed materials and analysis tools; J.S. wrote the paper.

Funding: Grant numbers APVV-18-0413, VEGA 1/0437/17, VEGA 1/0108/19, KEGA 005TUKE-4/2019.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The founding sponsors had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, and in the decision to publish the results.

References

- Chigondo, M.; Chigondo, F. Recent Natural Corrosion Inhibitors for Mild Steel: An Overview. J. Chem. 2016, 2016, doi:10.1155/2016/6208937.
- Lgaz, L.H.; Larouj, M.; Belkhaouda, M.; Salghi, R.; Jodeh, S.; Warad, I.; Oudda, H.; Chetouani, A. Corrosion Protection of Carbon Steel in Acidic Solution by Using Ylang-Ylang Oil as Green Inhibitor. *Moroc. J. Chem.* 2016, *4*, 101–111.
- 3. Kashif, M.; Ahmad, S. Polyorthotoluidine dispersed castor oil polyurethane anticorrosive nanocomposite coatings. *RSC Adv.* **2014**, *4*, 20984–20999, doi:10.1039/c4ra00587b.
- Pourhashem, S.; Vaezi, M.R.; Rashidi, A.; Bagherzadeh, M.R. Exploring corrosion protection properties of solvent based epoxy-graphene oxide nanocomposite coatings on mild steel. *Corros. Sci.* 2017, *115*, 78–92, doi:10.1016/j.corsci.2016.11.008.
- Zhang, B.; Feng, H.T.; Lin, F.; Wang, Y.B.; Wang, L.P.; Dong, Y.P.; Li, W. Superhydrophobic surface fabricated on iron substrate by black chromium electrodeposition and its corrosion resistance property. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2016, 378, 388–396, doi:10.1016/j.apsusc.2016.03.004.
- Zavareh MA, Sarhan AA, Zavareh PA, Razak BB, Basirun WJ, Ismail MB. Development and protection evaluation of two new, advanced ceramic composite thermal spray coatings, Al₂O₃-40TiO₂ and Cr₃C₂-20NiCr on carbon steel petroleum oil piping. *Ceram. Int.* 2016, 42, 5203–5210, doi:10.1016/j.ceramint.2015.12.044.
- Mallozzi, M.; Kehr, J.A. Nano-Technology for Improved Dual Layer Performance. In Proceedings of the ASME International Pipeline Conference, Calgary, AB, Canada, 29 September–3 October 2008; AMER SOC Mechanical Engineers, Three Park Avenue: New York, NY, USA, 2008.
- Shameli, E. Thickness evaluation of insulated pipelines and pressure vessels using pulsed eddy current technology. In Proceedings of the International Mechanical Engineering Congress and Exposition, Huston, TX, USA, 9–15 November 2012; Volume 10.
- Chen, J.X.; Wang; Han; Hu, B.S.; Wang, C.B.; Zhong, Y.L.; Xu, H. Corrosion inhibition performance of threonine-modified polyaspartic acid for carbon steel in simulated cooling water, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 2019, 136, 47242–47242.
- Bustos-Terrones, V.; Uruchurtu, J.; Rochin-Medina, J.J.; Ramirez, K.; Rangel-Peraza, J.G.; Romero-Romo, M.A.; Bustos-Terrones, Y. Synthesis, characterisation and electrochemical evaluation of a functionalised coating for mild steel corrosion protection. *Surf. Eng.* 2019, *35*, 360–369.
- 11. Lorza, R.; Calvo, M.A.M.; Labari, C.B.; Fuente, P.J.R. Using the Multi-Response Method with Desirability Functions to Optimize the Zinc Electroplating of Steel Screws. *Metals* **2018**, *9*, 711–711.
- 12. Barrera, P.R.; Gomez, F.J.R.; Ochoa, E.G. Assessing of New Coatings for Iron Artifacts Conservation by Recurrence Plots Analysis. *Coatings* **2019**, *9*, 12–12.
- Božek, P.; Lozhkin, A.; Galajdova, A.; Arkhipov, I.; Maiorov, K. Information Technology and Pragmatic Analysis. *Comput. Inform.* 2018, 37, 1011–1036, doi:10.4149/cai_2018_4_1011.
- 14. Kreheľ, R.; Pollak, M. The contactless measuring of the dimensional attrition of the cutting tool and roughness of machined surface. *Int. J. Adv. Manuf. Technol.* **2016**, *86*, 437–449.
- Turygin, Y.; Božek, P.; Abramov, I.; Nikitin, Y. Reliability Determination and Diagnostics of a Mechatronic System. *Adv. Sci. Technol. Res. J.* 2018, *12*, 274–290, doi:10.12913/22998624/92298
- Fuchs Oil Corporation. Product Information. Available online: http://olejari.sk/web_data/content/upload/subsubsub/84/pi_sk_anticorit-dfv-2001-1.pdf (accessed on 20 February 2019).

- Jablonská, J. The Dynamic Behavior of the Flow in the Pipeline with Oil. *Acta Mech. Slovaca* 2017, 21, 40–45, doi:10.21496/ams.2017.025.
- Križan, P.; Svatek, M.; Matus, M.; Beniak, J. Application of mathematical modelling when determining the parameters effect of biomass densification process on solid biofuels quality. In Proceedings of the XXI International Science Conference—The Application of Experimental and Numerical Methods in Fluid Mechanics and Energy 2018, Rajecke Teplice, Slovakia, 25–27 April 2018; EDP Sciences: Les Ulis, France, 2018; doi:10.1051/matecconf/201816807005.
- Leporini, M.; Terenzi, A.; Marchetti, B.; Corvaro, F.; Polonara, F. On the numerical simulation of sand transport in liquid and multiphase pipelines. *J. Pet. Sci. Eng.* 2019, 175, 519–535, doi:10.1016/j.petrol.2018.12.057.
- Jing, J.; Xiao, F.; Yang, L.; Wang, S.; Sun, J. Experimental and simulation study of atomization concentration of corrosion inhibitor in a gas pipe. *J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng.* 2018, 49, 8–18, doi:10.1016/j.jngse.2017.10.006.
- Lubchenko, N.; Magolan, B.; Sugrue, R.; Baglietto, E. A more fundamental wall lubrication force from turbulent dispersion regularization for multiphase CFD applications. *Int. J. Multiph. Flow* 2018, 98, 36– 44, doi:10.1016/j.ijmultiphaseflow.2017.09.003.
- 22. Ansys CFX 19.1. Theory Guide 2018; ANSYS, Inc.: Canonsburg, USA, 2018.
- Svetlík, J.; Busa, J.; Brestovič, T.; Dobránsky, J.; Kráľ, J. Film thickness estimation for the oil applied to the inner surface of slim tubes. *Appl. Sci.* 2017, *7*, 1–15, doi:10.3390/app7100977.
- 24. Svetlík, J.; Kráľ, J.; Brestovič, T.; Pituk, M. Device for applying a thin layer of oil onto the inner surface of steel pipes. *Manuf. Technol.* **2017**, *17*, 257–260.
- Baron, P.; Kočiško, M.; Dobránsky, J.; Pollak, M.; Telišková, M. Research and Application of Methods of Technical Diagnostics for the Verification of the Design Node. *Measurement* 2016, 94, 245–253. Available online: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0263224116304602 (accessed on 21 April 2017).



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).