



Yi He D, Yunan Yao * and Hongsen Ou

School of Naval Architecture, Ocean and Energy Power Engineering, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430063, China; 261803@whut.edu.cn (Y.H.); hsou@whut.edu.cn (H.O.) * Correspondence: ynyao@whut.edu.cn

* Correspondence: ynyao@whut.edu.cn

Featured Application: Marine centrifugal pumps' online status recognition.

Abstract: Marine centrifugal pumps (MCPs) are widely used in ships, so it is important to identify their status accurately for their maintenance. Due to the influence of load, friction, and other non-linear factors, the vibration signal of an MCP shows non-linear and non-stationary characteristics, and it is difficult to extract the state characteristics contained in the vibration signal. To solve the difficulty of feature extraction of non-linear non-stationary vibration signals generated by MCPs, a novel MCP frequency domain signal feature extraction method based on a stacked sparse auto-encoder (SSAE) is proposed. The characteristic parameters of MCP frequency domain signals are extracted via the SSAE model for classification training, and different statuses of MCPs are identified. The vibration signals in different MCP statuses were collected for feature extraction and classification training, and the MCP status recognition accuracy based on the time domain feature and fuzzy entropy feature was compared. According to the test data, the accuracy of MCP status recognition based on the fuzzy entropy feature is 87.7%, and the accuracy of MCP status recognition based on the proposed method is 100%. These results show that the proposed method can accurately identify each status of an MCP under test conditions.

Keywords: marine centrifugal pumps; stacked sparse auto-encoder; vibration signal; status recognition

1. Introduction

In the marine industry, MCPs comprise about 15% to 20% of all machinery and equipment [1]. MCPs are very critical for the operation of the entire ship: MCP failure, while causing economic losses, also affects the safety of ship navigation, endangering the safety of personnel, so it is particularly important to accurately identify the statuses of MCPs.

Condition monitoring of MCPs has always been the focus of research on marine machinery and equipment. For example, Ning [2] implemented an MCP condition monitoring and analysis system with the help of LabVIEW2009 programming software. In the system, signal analysis and performance curves can be drawn, and real-time display and condition monitoring of MCP performance parameters can be realized. Peng [3] also implemented the MCP monitoring system with the help of LabVIWE2009 programming software. In this system, the vibration signal of an MCP and whether it was abnormal was judged online through spectrum analysis, and the vibration level of the MCP was determined according to the mean square value of velocity. Liu et al. [4] established a mathematical model for online monitoring of centrifugal pump status and fault diagnosis. Using online monitoring of the centrifugal pump flow, pressure, head, and other performance parameters, Liu et al. identified the four states of cavitation, loosening, rotor misalignment, and rotor unbalance according to threshold comparison method. Luo et al. [5] established the relationship



Citation: He, Y.; Yao, Y.; Ou, H. Status Recognition of Marine Centrifugal Pumps Based on a Stacked Sparse Auto-Encoder. *Appl. Sci.* 2024, *14*, 1371. https://doi.org/10.3390/ app14041371

Academic Editor: Davide Astolfi

Received: 27 December 2023 Revised: 21 January 2024 Accepted: 30 January 2024 Published: 7 February 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). between characteristics and state by analyzing the time domain and frequency domain characteristics of the vibration signal of the centrifugal pump. Cao et al. [6] extracted 15 characteristic indexes of the vibration acceleration signal of the centrifugal pump, established the relationship between cavitation and characteristic indexes, and realized cavitation state monitoring of the centrifugal pump according to the characteristics of the vibration signal. By calculating the vibration signal characteristics of an MCP, Cheng et al. [7] realized the recognition of different statuses of the MCP by using multi-dimensional characteristic indexes. In the above literature, some scholars developed an online monitoring system for condition monitoring of MCPs, and realized online status identification of MCPs. Some scholars extract different features for state recognition according to the different states of centrifugal pumps, among which, vibration signal feature extraction is the most common.

Condition monitoring via vibration signals is an important method for condition assessments of mechanical equipment that depends on feature extraction of the vibration signals of mechanical equipment. Common feature extraction methods mainly extract fault features from the time domain and frequency domain. The fault information of the equipment is hidden in the original time domain vibration data, and the fault information can be mined by calculating the time domain characteristic index [8]. Li et al. [9] proposed two new time domain indicators based on existing time domain eigenvalues, namely, the TALAF index based on the RMS value and kurtosis coefficient, and the THIKAT index based on kurtosis, RMS, and peak values, and used probabilistic neural networks to classify the health status of rolling bearings. Jing Liu et al. [10] calculated 25 statistical features of vibration signals in the time domain, used the Pearson correlation coefficient to determine the valid features among the 25 statistical features, and proposed a new method for diagnosing the spalling fault of ball bearings. Long Wen et al. [11] converted their original vibration data into grayscale graphs through time domain calculations for feature extraction, and input them into the proposed LeNet-5-based convolutional neural network to identify the health status of bearings. A variety of frequency domain characteristics can be obtained from the frequency domain, such as the mean square frequency, frequency variance, root mean square frequency, frequency amplitude variance, etc. Appana et al. [12] used the envelope spectrum of vibration data as the feature input for their convolutional neural network; Shaobo Li et al. [13] used RMS mapping of the fast Fourier transform of vibration data collected by two sensors as the feature input for their convolutional neural network. Wentao Mao et al. [14] used fast Fourier transform results of vibration data as a feature input to a multi-layer extreme learning machine. Feature extraction using time domain and frequency domain processing is better in stationary vibration signals than in non-stationary vibration signals. Because an MCP is affected by load, turbulence, friction, and other factors, its vibration signal will show a non-stationary and non-linear situation relative to flow, pressure, and other signals, so the traditional time domain and frequency domain feature extraction is not suitable for MCP vibration signal feature extraction.

In order to better extract equipment operation features contained in non-stationary vibration signals, some scholars choose to use the time–frequency analysis method to extract features from original vibration signals. Common methods include short-time Fourier transform (STFT), wavelet transform (WT), and wavelet packet transform (WPT). Hongmei Liu et al. [15] chose to use the time spectrum diagram of STFT as a feature to achieve fault diagnosis for rolling bearings. Chen et al. [16] chose to use WT as a feature and input it into a diagnostic model combining a convolutional neural network and extreme learning machine for further analysis and processing, so as to realize the diagnosis of rolling bearing health status. Islam M et al. [17] used discrete WPT and quantified each sub-band of the signal by defining a new evaluation index defect ratio, and finally input it into a new adaptive deep convolutional neural network to realize the identification of bearing health status. Zhang et al. [18] carried out wavelet packet decomposition of vibration signals, selected the optimal frequency band, and calculated their respective Renyi entropy to form feature vectors to complete the performance degradation evaluation of rolling bearings. Sun et al. [19] used the Hilbert–Huang transform (HHT) to extract the characteristic infor-

mation of the monitoring signal, and obtained the mean amplitude of the inherent mode function and the maximum value point of the marginal spectrum as the characteristic parameters of tool wear, so as to identify a tool's state. David et al. [20] simultaneously used three time-frequency analysis methods (including STFT, WT, and HHT) to obtain a time-frequency spectrum diagram to obtain an image representation of the original vibration signal, and used this feature to input a deep convolutional neural network to identify the health state of a bearing, while comparing the diagnostic performance of the three methods. RAI et al. [21] proposed a fault degradation assessment method combining empirical mode decomposition (EMD) feature extraction and K-means clustering, which achieved feature index extraction well. Wang et al. [22] used variational mode decomposition (VMD) to extract component singular values, combined with root mean square values and sample entropy to form feature indicators, and verified the effectiveness of feature extraction through performance degradation assessments. Xiao et al. [23] identified the fault type by calculating the fuzzy entropy of vibration signal components of three-phase asynchronous motors, and their results show that the accuracy of fuzzy entropy feature identification is high. Aiming to solve the problems of sensitive parameters and insufficient noise suppression in traditional feature extraction, Chen et al. [24] extracted a multi-scale high-dimensional mapping entropy (MHDME) feature extraction algorithm, which can identify different states of reciprocating compressor bearings. However, the process of these methods is more complicated, and some methods rely on analyses of the mechanisms of the equipment, and the parameter setting depends on human experience. Thus, when the amount of data is too large, the processing time is too long.

With the rise of artificial intelligence, deep learning methods have deep structures and strong non-linear feature extraction abilities, thus can realize feature extraction from non-stationary vibration signals, and are increasingly applied in signal feature extraction. Zhao et al. [25] proposed a universal fault feature extraction and diagnosis method based on a deep confidence network. Cheng et al. [26] input the normalized amplitude spectrum of vibration signals into a deep belief network (DBN) for feature extraction, and evaluated the performance degradation of rolling bearings through the features. Their results show that this method can extract all stages of bearing degradation. Cao et al. [27] used convolutional neural network (CNN) adaptive feature extraction to further explore the hidden micro features in the signals by deepening the network. Zhang et al. [28] used an SSAE to extract the fault features of spiral bevel gear with strong non-linear and non-stationary characteristics, and input the fault features into multiple classifiers for classification training. Through their experiments, the recognition accuracy of this method was verified. Through the above research, it can be seen that feature extraction methods for vibration signals based on deep learning have a good effect and can extract weak features reflecting the state of the device in the signal, and their state recognition effect is good.

To summarize, in view of the non-stationary and non-linear characteristics of MCP vibration signals, this paper built an MCP test bench to collect vibration signals under different statuses of an MCP, divided the vibration signal dataset into a training set and a test set, trained the SSAE model with the training set, input the extracted features into the classifier training, and verified the model according to the test set. At the same time, two other methods were selected for comparative verification: One involves extracting the time domain characteristics [29] of MCP vibration signals for status recognition. The other involves decomposing the MCP vibration signal via VMD, and calculating the fuzzy entropy characteristics [30] of each modal component after decomposition to identify the state.

Finally, the validity of the proposed method was judged according to the accuracy of its status recognition.

2. SSAE Model

An auto-encoder (AE) [31] is an unsupervised learning neural network model that automatically learns the features of raw data and consists of input, hidden, and output layers. Its network structure is shown in Figure 1. The coding network is composed of an input layer and a hidden layer, and the decoding network is composed of a hidden layer and an output layer. The coding network extracts the features from the original data, and the decoding network restores the input data through the features.



Figure 1. AE structure.

In Figure 1, $X = [X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n]^T$ is the input to the network, and *n* is the number of nodes in the input layer of the network, representing the sample data dimension. The hidden feature *h* of the original data *X* obtained through the coded network is calculated as follows:

$$h = f(WX + b) \tag{1}$$

where *h* is the feature parameter extracted by coding; *f* is the activation function used in the coding process, for which Sigmoid is generally selected; *W*, *b* are the weights and biases used in the coding phase, respectively; and the dimension of *W* is $s \times n$, where *s* is the dimension of the feature parameter.

The decoding network is used to reconstruct the original input data, and the reconstructed data Y can be obtained after decoding the hidden feature h of the data, as follows:

$$Y = U(W/h + b/) \tag{2}$$

where $Y = [Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n]^T$ is the network's output data; *U* is the activation function used in the decoding process, for which Sigmoid is generally selected; *W* and *b* are the weights and biases used in the coding phase, respectively; and $W' = W^T$.

The AE uses backpropagation and stochastic gradient descent algorithms to optimize the parameter set $\theta = \{W, b, W', b'\}$ in order to minimize errors between input and output data. In general, the mean square error function is defined as a loss function, which is as follows:

$$U_{MSE}(\theta) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{2} \| \mathbf{X}^{(i)} - \mathbf{Y}^{(i)} \|^2$$
(3)

where *m* is the total number of training samples; and $X^{(i)}$, $Y^{(i)}$ are the original data and output data of the *i* sample, respectively.

The sparse auto-encoder (SAE) [32] is constructed by adding a sparse penalty term to the cost function of an AE. The SAE can learn more abstract and representative compression features and has great potential. The sparse penalty term is defined as follows:

$$J_{spare}(\theta) = \beta \sum_{j=1}^{s} KL(\rho \parallel \rho_{j})$$
(4)

$$KL(\rho \parallel \widehat{\rho}_{j}) = \rho \log_{2} \frac{\rho}{\widehat{\rho}_{j}} + (1 - \rho) \log \frac{1 - \rho}{1 - \widehat{\rho}_{j}}$$
(5)

$$\widehat{\rho}_{j} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_{j} X^{(i)})$$
(6)

In Formula (4), β is the sparse penalty factor, which is used to control the weight of the sparse penalty term in the loss function; *s* is the dimension of the hidden layer; ρ_j is the average activation value of the hidden unit; and ρ is the sparse parameter.

Formula (5) is the relative entropy calculation formula, which is used to measure the degree of deviation between the two distributions.

Formula (6) calculates the average activation value of the hidden unit, where a_j indicates the amount of activity in the j unit of the hidden layer.

Formula (7) is the loss function of the SAE; the first term is the mean square error function, the second term is the sparse penalty term.

$$I(\theta) = J_{MSE}(\theta) + J_{sparse}(\theta)$$
(7)

An SSAE is a deep neural network model composed of multiple SAEs. By taking the hidden layer of the previous SAE as the input of the next SAE, each hidden layer is a non-linear mapping representation of the output of the previous layer. With each additional hidden layer, the network can calculate a more complex non-linear mapping relationship. It can obtain the deeper and lower-dimensional features of the original data, and this model has a strong feature mining and expression ability. Its network structure is shown in Figure 2.





In this paper, the deep characteristics of MCP vibration signals are extracted by stacking multiple SAEs, wherein the hidden layer h_s of the last SAE is taken as the output of the SSAE model, and h_s is the extracted vibration signal characteristic parameter of the MCP.

3. State Recognition Process of MCPs Based on the SSAE

The MCP status identification process based on the SSAE is shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3. MCP status identification flow chart.

The specific steps of this process are as follows:

(1) Data preprocessing: First, the original time domain vibration signal of the MCP is transformed into the frequency domain signal via the fast Fourier transform (FFT);

then, the frequency domain signal is normalized, and the normalized frequency domain amplitude signal is obtained.

- (2) Dataset partitioning: The normalized frequency domain amplitude signals of the MCP in different states are divided into training sets and test sets, which are convenient for subsequent SSAE feature extraction.
- (3) Depth feature extraction: Taking the training set as the input of the SSAE model, unsupervised depth feature extraction is carried out to extract the hidden features of the vibration signals of the MCP under different states.
- (4) Classification training: The feature parameters extracted using the SSAE are input into the classifier for classification training.
- (5) Model verification: After the test set is input into the trained SSAE model for feature extraction, the test set is input into the classifier for state recognition, and the model is judged according to the state recognition accuracy.

4. Experiment and Analyses

There are many types of faults that can occur in MCPs in actual operation, and the factors that cause these faults are also various. The common faults of MCPs include rotor unbalance, rotor misalignment, impeller cavitation, rolling bearing failure, and mechanical seal failure. At present, most scholars are focusing on different faults of MCPs for fault identification. However, in the actual operation process, it is impossible to replace the impeller immediately after cavitation occurs, and in most cases, the impeller is damaged to a certain extent before replacement. Therefore, this paper mainly identifies the degree of damage caused by MCP impeller cavitation to the impeller, and determines whether to replace the impeller according to the degree of damage.

In order to verify the effectiveness of the MCP status recognition method proposed in this paper, an MCP test bench was built. A vibration acceleration sensor was used to collect the vibration signals of the MCP under different degrees of cavitation damage, and status recognition was carried out using the method proposed in this paper. The experimental bench is shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. MCP test bench diagram.

A unidirectional acceleration sensor was arranged in the MCP volute on the test bench. The sampling frequency was 20,000 Hz, and the sampling time was 0.1 s. First, the vibration signal under the normal state (S1) of the MCP was collected. The operating condition was

rated and the speed was 2900 r/min. In order to simulate the MCP impeller cavitation, the MCP impeller was drilled and the cavitation degree of the MCP was defined according to the number of holes drilled in the impeller. These were either 1 hole in the impeller (S2), 2 holes in the impeller (S3), 2.5 holes in the impeller (S4), 3 holes in the impeller (S5), 4 holes in the impeller (S6), 5 holes in the impeller (S7), 6 holes in the impeller (S8), or 6 holes in the impeller with side wear of the impeller (S9). The operating conditions remained the same as S1, and the MCP vibration signals under these 8 states were collected successively. A total of 250 sets of vibration signal data were collected in each state. Figure 5 shows the impellers in S3 and S9.





```
(a)
```



Figure 5. (a) S3 state; (b) S9 state.

The time domain signals under each MCP state are shown in Figure 6, below. From the perspective of vibration amplitude, the vibration amplitude of an MCP under different states does not increase; regarding the trend of the waveform, only the S5 state is abnormal, and the differentiation in other statuses is not large.

The normalized amplitude spectra in the frequency domain under each MCP state are shown in Figure 7, below. As can be seen from this figure, each normalized amplitude spectrum of an MCP under different states has its own characteristics, and several states can be identified with the naked eye, which is also the reason why in this paper we chose to extract MCP features from frequency domain signals.

A total of 2250 sets of time domain signals were collected under the 9 states of MCP. Since the acquisition time was 0.1 s, the length of each set of time domain signals was 2000 and the data matrix was 2250×2000 . The time domain signal was transformed via fast Fourier transform and normalized, and the data matrix became 2250×1000 . Then, 150 samples in each state were randomly selected as the training set, and the remaining 100 samples were the test set. The training set matrix was 1350×1000 and the test set matrix was 900×1000 . The specific division results are shown in Table 1.

| Table 1. | Dataset | partitioning. |
|----------|---------|---------------|
|----------|---------|---------------|

| MCP Status | Sample Size | Number of Training Sets | Number of Test Sets |
|------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| S1 | 250 | 150 | 100 |
| S2 | 250 | 150 | 100 |
| S3 | 250 | 150 | 100 |
| S4 | 250 | 150 | 100 |
| S5 | 250 | 150 | 100 |
| S6 | 250 | 150 | 100 |
| S7 | 250 | 150 | 100 |
| S8 | 250 | 150 | 100 |
| S9 | 250 | 150 | 100 |



Figure 6. MCP nine-state time domain waveform diagram.



Figure 7. MCP nine-status normalized amplitude spectra.

The training set matrix was input into the SSAE model for feature extraction, and the parameters of the SSAE model were set as described in Table 2.

Table 2. SSAE model parameter settings.

| Network Structure | Sparse Penalty Factor | Sparse Parameter | Activation Function |
|-------------------|-----------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| 1000-250-60-15 | 1 | 0.5 | Sigmoid |

Fifteen characteristic parameters extracted under the nine MCP states have been randomly selected for display, as shown in Figure 8 below. It can be seen from this figure that the characteristics in the MCP vibration signals extracted with the SSAE can reflect their different statuses.



Figure 8. MCP nine-state characteristic parameter curves.

The extracted feature parameters were input into the classifier for classification training and verified through the test set. The verification matrix is shown in Figure 9. As can be seen from Figure 9, after feature extraction via the SSAE, the MCP status recognition accuracy reaches 100%, and the effect is very good.

| Confusion Matrix | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| 1 | 100 | 0 | 100% |
| | 11.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100% |
| | 0.0% | 11.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% |
| | 0.0% | 0.0% | 11.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% |
| | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 11.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| t class | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% |
| | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 11.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Dutput | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% |
| | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 11.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100% |
| | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 11.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 8 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100% |
| | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 11.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 9 | 0 0.0% | 100 11.1% | 100% 0.0% |
| | 100% 0.0% | 100% 0.0% |
| | ~ | r | ი | ⊳ | Ś | Ø | 1 | ଚ | 9 | |
| | | | | | Target | class | | | | |

Figure 9. SSAE feature confusion matrix.

In order to compare the advantages and disadvantages of the proposed method with other methods, two other methods have been selected for comparison. The first method is to extract 16 time domain characteristics of MCP vibration signals under different states, including the peak-to-peak value, variance, kurtosis, skewness, waveform factor, peak factor, margin factor, etc. The 16 features of the training set are input to the classifier for classification training and verified with the test set. The second method is to decompose each vibration signal of the MCP in different statuses into five modal components using VMD, and calculate the fuzzy entropy of the five modal components as its characteristics. The fuzzy entropy of modal components in each state of the training set is input to the classifier for classification training and verified using the test set.

The time domain features of nine statuses have been randomly selected for display, as shown in Figure 10. As can be seen from Figure 10, the time domain feature differentiation between different MCP statuses is very poor. The 16 time domain features of the training set were input into the classifier for classification training. The confusion matrix verified with the test set is shown in Figure 10. As can be seen from Figure 11, the overall accuracy of MCP status recognition using this method is only 71.2%, and the effect is not good.



Figure 10. Variation trend of time domain characteristic parameters of an MCP in nine states.

The training set was decomposed into VMD: each signal was decomposed into five modal components, and the fuzzy entropy of the five modal components was calculated. The fuzzy entropy trends under nine states are shown in Figure 12, below. It can be seen from Figure 11 that the trend of fuzzy entropy can roughly distinguish between different statuses of the MCP, but the fuzzy entropy trends in the S1 state and S6 state are close. Five fuzzy entropy features in each state of the training set were input to the classifier as features for training. The confusion matrix verified with the test set is shown on then Figure 12. As

can be seen from Figure 13, the overall accuracy of MCP status recognition with this method is 87.7%, and the effect is much better than that of the time domain characteristics method.

| | Confusion Matrix | | | | | | | | | |
|---------|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------|
| | 84 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 23 | 63.6% |
| | 9.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.1% | 0.0% | 2.6% | 36.4% |
| 2 | 0 | 78 | 31 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66.1% |
| | 0.0% | 8.7% | 3.4% | 1.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 33.9% |
| 3 | 0 | 20 | 59 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67.0% |
| | 0.0% | 2.2% | 6.6% | 1.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 33.0% |
| 2 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78.8% |
| | 0.7% | 0.2% | 1.1% | 7.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 21.2% |
| t class | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% |
| | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 11.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| Outpu | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 98.0% |
| | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 11.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 2.0% |
| 7 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 16 | 29 | 47.9% |
| | 0.4% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 5.0% | 1.8% | 3.2% | 52.1% |
| 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 19 | 74 | 12 | 69.2% |
| | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 2.1% | 8.2% | 1.3% | 30.8% |
| ç | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 35 | 46.7% |
| | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 2.9% | 1.0% | 3.9% | 53.3% |
| | 84.0% | 78.0% | 59.0% | 67.0% | 100% | 99.0% | 45.0% | 74.0% | 35.0% | 71.2% |
| | 16.0% | 22.0% | 41.0% | 33.0% | 0.0% | 1.0% | 55.0% | 26.0% | 65.0% | 28.8% |
| | ~ | r | ი | ⊳ | Ś | Ø | 1 | ଚ | 9 | |
| | | | | | Target | class | | | | |

Figure 11. Time domain feature confusion matrix.



Figure 12. Fuzzy entropy trends of nine states of an MCP.

| 1 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100% |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| | 11.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% |
| 2 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 90.9% |
| | 0.0% | 10.0% | 0.0% | 0.7% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 9.1% |
| 3 | 0 | 2 | 97 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93.3% |
| | 0.0% | 0.2% | 10.8% | 0.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | <mark>6.7%</mark> |
| 4 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 61 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 76.2% |
| | 0.1% | 0.8% | 0.0% | 6.8% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.7% | 0.2% | 23.8% |
| t class | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 97.1% |
| | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 11.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 2.9% |
| Output | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 87.6% |
| 9 | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 10.2% | 0.0% | 1.3% | 0.1% | 12.4% |
| 7 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 6 | 7 | 83.5% |
| | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 10.1% | 0.7% | 0.8% | 16.5% |
| 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 75 | 4 | 72.8% |
| | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.6% | 0.0% | 0.9% | 0.2% | 8.3% | 0.4% | 27.2% |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 85 | 85.9% |
| | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 1.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.1% | 9.4% | 14.1% |
| | 99.0% | 90.0% | 97.0% | 61.0% | 99.0% | 92.0% | 91.0% | 75.0% | 85.0% | 87.7% |
| | 1.0% | 10.0% | 3.0% | 39.0% | 1.0% | 8.0% | 9.0% | 25.0% | 15.0% | 12.3% |
| | ~ | r | ი | b | [%] Target | ে class | 1 | θ | Q | |

Confusion Matrix

Figure 13. Fuzzy entropy feature confusion matrix.

Status recognition of an MCP based on the SSAE, MCP status recognition based on time domain features, and MCP status recognition based on fuzzy entropy features have been compared. The accuracy of the MCP status recognition method based on time domain features is the lowest, followed by the accuracy of the MCP status recognition method based on fuzzy entropy features; the MCP status recognition accuracy of on the SSAE-based method is up to 100%, and its effect is the best.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, an MCP status recognition method based on an SSAE is proposed, and a feature extraction process based on the SSAE is designed. By designing the SSAE structure and using its unsupervised learning characteristics, the MCP vibration signal features are adaptively mined from the original frequency domain signals, and the features are input into the classifier for classification training and verification. An MCP test bench was built to simulate the different degrees of cavitation of the MCP impeller, and the vibration signals of the MCP under different degrees of cavitation were collected. The results were verified using the method presented in this paper. Our results show that the method proposed in this paper can accurately identify the state of an MCP under different cavitation degrees, and can judge whether it is necessary to replace the impeller according to the cavitation degrees in practical engineering.

However, this paper still has the following shortcomings: the test bench only tests the vibration signals of an MCP with different degrees of cavitation under rated working conditions, and does not consider the influence of changes in working conditions on the vibration signals. In a follow-up study, the influence of working conditions on MCP vibration signals will be considered, and the degree of MCP cavitation under different working conditions will be identified.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://susy.mdpi.com/user/manuscripts/review_info/2c33fd20230e6285f46a7d37fc5e505b (accessed on 19 January 2024), data_9work_2Y_2000_100_test.mat: test data; data_9work_2Y_2000_150_train.mat: training data.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.H. and Y.Y.; methodology, Y.H.; validation, Y.H., H.O. and Y.Y.; formal analysis, Y.H.; investigation, Y.H.; resources, H.O.; data curation, Y.H.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.H.; writing—review and editing, Y.Y.; visualization, Y.H.; supervision, H.O.; project administration, H.O. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This study was funded by the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2022YFB2602303); Beidou +5G shipyard logistics engineering vehicle intelligent control system development (KY23ZG11); and the research and demonstration application project on the intelligent evaluation system for the technical status of official ships on the Yangtze River trunk line (project number 2021-ZD1-023).

Institutional Review Board Statement: "Not applicable" for studies not involving humans or animals.

Informed Consent Statement: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in this study.

Data Availability Statement: Data is contained within the Supplementary Material.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Chen, X. Research on Operating Performance of Marine Centrifugal Pumps. Ph.D. Thesis, Jiangsu University, Zhenjiang, China, 2021.
- Ning, B. Research on Marine Centrifugal Pump's State Monitoring Andanalysis System on the Basis of LabVIEW. Master's Thesis, Dalian Maritime University, Dalian, China, 2010.
- 3. Peng, L. Research on Monitoring System for Marine Centrifugal Pump. Master's Thesis, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan, China, 2009.
- 4. Liu, Q.; Zhou, Y.; Liang, C.; Yang, M.; Huang, N. Development and application of on-line monitoring and fault diagnosis device for operation state of centrifugal pumps. *Therm. Power Gener.* **2019**, *48*, 30–35. [CrossRef]
- Luo, Y.; Han, Y.; Yuan, S.; Yuan, J. Research on the Single-Value Indicators for Centrifugal Pump Based on Vibration Signals. Sensors 2020, 20, 3283. [CrossRef]
- Cao, R.; Yuan, J. Selection Strategy of Vibration Feature Target under Centrifugal Pumps Cavitation. *Appl. Sci.* 2020, 10, 8190. [CrossRef]
- JMSE | Free Full-Text | Research on Multi-Fault Identification of Marine Vertical Centrifugal Pump Based on Multi-Domain Characteristic Parameters. Available online: https://www.mdpi.com/2077-1312/11/3/551 (accessed on 19 January 2024).
- 8. Zeng, Y. Research on Intelligent Fault Diagnosis Method of Rolling Bearing of Railway Train Running Part Based on Signal Processing and Deep Learning. Master's Thesis, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing, China, 2023.
- Li, W.; Dai, H.; Xu, A. New Time Domain Index and Probabilistic Neural Network and Their Application in Fault Diagnosis of Rolling Bearing. *Mech. Sci. Technol. Aerosp. Eng.* 2016, 35, 1382–1386. [CrossRef]
- Liu, J.; Xu, Z.; Zhou, L.; Yu, W.; Shao, Y. A Statistical Feature Investigation of the Spalling Propagation Assessment for a Ball Bearing. *Mech. Mach. Theory* 2019, 131, 336–350. [CrossRef]
- Wen, L.; Li, X.; Gao, L.; Zhang, Y. A New Convolutional Neural Network-Based Data-Driven Fault Diagnosis Method. *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.* 2018, 65, 5990–5998. [CrossRef]
- 12. Appana, D.K.; Prosvirin, A.; Kim, J.-M. Reliable Fault Diagnosis of Bearings with Varying Rotational Speeds Using Envelope Spectrum and Convolution Neural Networks. *Soft Comput.* **2018**, *22*, 6719–6729. [CrossRef]
- Li, S.; Liu, G.; Tang, X.; Lu, J.; Hu, J. An Ensemble Deep Convolutional Neural Network Model with Improved D-S Evidence Fusion for Bearing Fault Diagnosis. *Sensors* 2017, 17, 1729. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Mao, W.; Feng, W.; Liang, X. A Novel Deep Output Kernel Learning Method for Bearing Fault Structural Diagnosis. *Mech. Syst. Signal Process.* **2019**, *117*, 293–318. [CrossRef]
- 15. Liu, H.; Li, L.; Ma, J. Rolling Bearing Fault Diagnosis Based on STFT-Deep Learning and Sound Signals. *Shock Vib.* **2016**, 2016, 6127479. [CrossRef]
- Chen, Z.; Gryllias, K.; Li, W. Mechanical Fault Diagnosis Using Convolutional Neural Networks and Extreme Learning Machine. Mech. Syst. Signal Process. 2019, 133, 106272. [CrossRef]
- 17. Islam, M.M.M.; Kim, J.-M. Automated Bearing Fault Diagnosis Scheme Using 2D Representation of Wavelet Packet Transform and Deep Convolutional Neural Network. *Comput. Ind.* **2019**, *106*, 142–153. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, L.; Song, C.; Zou, Y.; Hong, C.; Wang, C. Bearing performance degradation assessment based on renyi entropy and K—Medoids clustering. *J. Vib. Shock* 2020, *39*, 24–31+46. [CrossRef]
- 19. Sun, H.; Niu, W.; Wang, J. Tool wear feature extraction based on Hilbert-Huang transformation. J. Vib. Shock 2015, 34, 158–164+183. [CrossRef]
- 20. Verstraete, D.; Ferrada, A.; Droguett, E.L.; Meruane, V.; Modarres, M. Deep Learning Enabled Fault Diagnosis Using Time-Frequency Image Analysis of Rolling Element Bearings. *Shock Vib.* **2017**, 2017, 5067651. [CrossRef]

- 21. Rai, A.; Upadhyay, S.H. Bearing Performance Degradation Assessment Based on a Combination of Empirical Mode Decomposition and K-Medoids Clustering. *Mech. Syst. Signal Process.* **2017**, *93*, 16–29. [CrossRef]
- 22. Wang, F.; Fang, L.; Zhao, Y.; Qi, Z. Rolling bearing early weak fault detection and performance degradation assessment based on VMD and SVDD. *J. Vib. Shock* 2019, *38*, 224–230+256. [CrossRef]
- 23. Xiao, Y.; Yan, W.; Gong, Q. Research on Fault Diagnosis of Three-Phase Asynchronous Motor Based on Fuzzy Entropy Feature Selection and Support Vector Machine. *Mach. Des. Manuf.* 2023, *61*, 207–211. [CrossRef]
- Chen, G.; Wang, X.; Zhao, H.; Li, X.; Zhao, L. High-Dimensional Mapping Entropy Method and Its Application in the Fault Diagnosis of Reciprocating Compressors. *Appl. Sci.* 2023, 13, 13084. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, G.; Ge, Q.; Liu, X.; Peng, X. Fault feature extraction and diagnosis method based on deep belief network. *Chin. J. Sci. Instrum.* 2016, 37, 1946–1953. [CrossRef]
- Cheng, D.; Wei, T.; Pan, Y.; Ma, X. Performance Degradation Assessment Method for Rolling Bearings Based on DBN—SVDD. Bearing 2021, 64, 41–46. [CrossRef]
- Cao, D.; Sun, H.; Zhang, J.; Mo, R. In-process tool condition monitoring based on convolution neural network. *Comput. Integr. Manuf. Syst.* 2020, 26, 74–80. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, L.; Chen, G.; Sun, G.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Zhang, Z. Automatic Feature Extraction and Fault Diagnosis of Input—Stage Spiral Bevel Gear Based on SSAE. *Mech. Manuf. Autom.* 2022, *51*, 161–164. Available online: http://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/ detail.aspx?doi=10.19344/j.cnki.issn1671-5276.2022.02.043 (accessed on 19 January 2024). [CrossRef]
- 29. Chen, Y.; Li, Y.; Ji, Z.; Zhang, S.; Lei, B. Fault Diagnosis Method for Marine Rolling Bearings Based on Vibration Time Domain Characteristics. *Mach. Tool Hydraul.* **2021**, *49*, 193–200.
- 30. Wei, Y.; Wang, Z.; Wang, P.; Zeng, Z.; Wang, X. Fault detection method for DC distribution network based on VMD fuzzy entropy and GG clustering. *Electr. Mach. Control.* **2023**, *62*, 1–13.
- 31. Zhou, J.; Liu, L.; Yang, X.; Wang, Y. Application of Auto-Encoder and Its Improvement in Rolling Bearing Fault Diagnosis. *J. East China Jiaotong Univ.* 2023, 40, 88–96. [CrossRef]
- 32. Luo, J.; Tong, J.; Zheng, J.; Pan, H.; Pan, Z. Fault Diagnosis Method for Rolling Bearings Based on EEMD and Stacked Sparse Auto-encoder. *Noise Vib. Control* 2020, *40*, 115–120.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.