



Alexander Minakov¹ and Christoph Schick^{2,*}

- ¹ Prokhorov General Physics Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Vavilov Str. 38, 119991 Moscow, Russia; minakov@nsc.gpi.ru
- ² Institute of Physics and Competence Centre CALOR, University of Rostock, Albert-Einstein-Str. 23–24, 18051 Rostock, Germany
- * Correspondence: christoph.schick@uni-rostock.de; Tel.: +49-381-498-6880

Featured Application: The obtained knowledge can be useful for understanding and optimizing various technologies with glass-forming materials under local fast laser excitations.

Abstract: The ability to control the temperature distribution T(t, r) and the rate of temperature change $\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ inside glasses is important for their microstructuring. The lattice temperature is considered at time t, exceeding the electron–phonon thermalization time, and at a distance r from the center of the model spherical heating zone. In order to describe thermal excitations, the heat capacity of glasses must be considered as a function of time due to its long-term relaxation. A method for the analytical calculation of T(t, r) and $\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ for glasses with dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ is proposed. It is shown that during laser microstructuring, the local cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ significantly depends on the time dispersion of $c_{dyn}(t)$. It has been established that at the periphery of the model heating zone of the laser beam focus, the local cooling rate can reach more than 10^{11} K/s. Strong cooling rate gradients were found at the periphery of the heating zone, affecting the microstructure of the material. This effect is significantly enhanced by the time dispersion of $c_{dyn}(t)$. The effect associated with this time dispersion is significant, even well above the glass transition temperature T_g , since even short relaxation times of the dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ are significant.

Keywords: glasses; dynamic heat capacity; non-equilibrium heat transfer; laser-induced microstructuring; femtosecond laser processing; optical storage

1. Introduction

Glass-forming materials are often used to create optical elements and components for optical integrated circuits. In the last two decades, the technology for the femtosecond laser microfabrication of various optical structures inside glass-forming materials has been intensively developed. Due to the extremely high peak intensities at the focus of femtosecond laser pulses, nonlinear processes such as multiphoton absorption can lead to significant energy absorption even within transparent materials such as glass. Thus, the femtosecond laser-induced microstructuring of glass-forming materials opens up wide possibilities for various applications. Laser heating can cause irreversible local phenomena at the beam focus, such as phase transitions [1], the formation of bubbles or microfluidic channels [2–5], changes in chemical composition or refractive index [6,7] such as the diffusion and aggregation of silver ions [6], or photo-oxidation [7]. Spatially selective laser-induced crystallization or structure changes in glasses allows the direct recording of channel waveguides [1,8-14]. In fact, laser-written waveguides (with improved mode structures of guided light) can be fabricated through local laser heating inside a glass matrix [8,9]. Thus, optical integrated circuits can be fabricated using a laser beam that induces local structural changes in a fglass [15-18]. Photopolymerization and photodamage by highly focused laser pulses can be used in microchemistry and stereolithography [6,19]. Moreover, the femtosecond laser



Citation: Minakov, A.; Schick, C. Temperature Relaxation in Glass-Forming Materials under Local Fast Laser Excitations during Laser-Induced Microstructuring. *Appl. Sci.* 2024, *14*, 1076. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/app14031076

Academic Editor: Fernanda Andreola

Received: 9 January 2024 Revised: 20 January 2024 Accepted: 22 January 2024 Published: 26 January 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). structuring of glass-forming materials can be used for the optical long-term storage of information [3,20–30], photonics [18], the fabrication of phase gratings [31], nanogratings [32], and quantum dots that can be used in various devices [33–35]. Notably, optical storage based on glass-forming materials has the potential to replace magnetic storage in the quest to provide high-speed, high-capacity, low-power, low-cost, highly secure, and long-term data storage [25–30]. However, for the development of these technologies, theoretical models of thermal processes occurring during spatially selective laser-induced structuring of glass-forming materials are required. For example, understanding the dynamics of the laser crystallization process of amorphous Ge films is important for transistor technology, photovoltaic devices, particle detectors, and photodetectors [36]. In fact, the laser crystallization method makes it possible to control the local temperature inside the material and avoid random nucleation [36]. The ability to form and control the dynamics of changes in the temperature distribution T(t, r) inside the material is very important for the opportunity to control the morphology of the laser-written structure inside the glass matrix [22,32,37]. Thus, a deep understanding of the thermal processes inside glass-forming materials under fast laser thermal perturbations is required. In this paper, we focus on local temperature changes, especially the local cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t,r)$ in the focal region during laser-writing processes in a glass matrix (where $\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ is the rate of temperature change).

Femtosecond laser-induced microstructuring is a promising tool because the structures induced by femtosecond laser pulses can be even smaller than the optical diffraction limit of the beam focusing since multiphoton absorption is most effective in the central part of the beam's focus. Typically, in laser-induced microstructuring, the laser pulse duration τ_{laser} is on the order of 100 fs, and the radiation wavelength is about 1 µm. When a transparent material is irradiated with a powerful femtosecond laser pulse focused into a micro-sized focusing zone, multiphoton absorption of the laser radiation energy via electrons of the irradiated material occurs. The energy of the electrons in the laser focus increases sharply during τ_{laser} due to electron–photon interaction. The electrons then transfer their energy to the lattice through electron–phonon interaction. The thermalization process takes approximately tens or hundreds of picoseconds [6,10,38,39]. Consequently, the duration of heating pulses τ_p that heat the material can be on the order of tens to hundreds of picoseconds. Thus, the material can be locally heated above the glass transition temperature T_g and melting temperature T_m . Heat then spreads through the material, and the hot focal zone is rapidly cooled below T_g .

In this article, we will consider laser pulses of moderate energy E_p (in the range 10–100 nJ), which is sufficient to locally heat the material well above the glass transition temperature T_g but not sufficient to destroy the material with the formation of voids. Thus, the intensity of the laser pulses considered in this article is below the material damage threshold, which, for example, is about 10^{16} W/cm² for silica glass [40]. We focus on micrometer-scale local thermal perturbations caused by laser pulses near or below the threshold ionization intensity of a material. The threshold ionization intensity of most transparent solids ranges from 10^{13} to 10^{14} W/cm² for light with a wavelength of about 1 μ m [41,42]. For example, the threshold intensity in silica glass and similar glass-forming materials is about 10^{13} W/cm² for light pulses with a wavelength of about 1 μ m and a duration of 100 fs [41].

Thus, we will consider thermal processes in dielectric glasses below the threshold intensity of ionization of the material and in the time interval after electron–phonon thermalization, when there is no electron-hole plasma. Thus, we are interested in changes in the lattice temperature on a time scale outside the time interval when a multi-temperature model is usually considered [12–14]. In fact, we are interested in changes in lattice temperature that affect the structure of the material at moderate laser pulse energies and time t exceeding the electron–phonon thermalization time.

The initial structure of glass-forming materials is not completely restored after the rapid heating–cooling cycle, which leads to a change in the local properties of the material in rapidly cooled areas. The local structure, specific volume, density, Rayleigh scattering

loss, and refractive index of glass-forming materials significantly depend on the local cooling rate [19,43,44]; see Figure 1.



Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the specific volume *V* as a function of temperature *T* for glass-forming materials at different cooling rates of $-\mathcal{R}_1$ and $-\mathcal{R}_2$. Liquid structures freeze to a glassy state with $V_2(\mathcal{R}_2) > V_1(\mathcal{R}_1)$ at $|\mathcal{R}_2| > |\mathcal{R}_1|$.

Thus, we focus on the dynamics of the temperature distribution T(t, r) and study the local cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t,r)$ near the focal region during laser-induced microstructuring. Measurements of the temperature distribution at the focus of the laser beam can be carried out using micro-Raman spectroscopy [45–47]. However, the time resolution of Raman spectroscopy (with a measuring pulse duration of about 10 ns and a repetition rate of 1 kHz) is not sufficient to detect ultrafast changes in the local temperature T(t, r). We found that the local cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ after the end of the heating pulse can reach more than 10^{11} K/s in a thin layer around the focus of the laser beam. In fact, resolving such ultrafast temperature changes at the periphery of the hot focal zone requires a temporal and spatial resolution of at least about 0.1 ns and 10 nm, respectively, since the cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ reaches about 600 GK/s in a narrow layer around the hot zone at the laser focus (see below). Thus, the maximum change in the glass structure occurs at the periphery of the focal region (where the material undergoes ultrafast quenching). In fact, the laser microstructuring of glasses makes it possible to form micron-sized domains that are close to spherical or ring-shaped, with a modified glass structure at the periphery of the domains [19,33,35,39,45,48–52]. For example, the local modification of silver-doped phosphate glasses with laser pulse energies E_{p} in the range of 10–100 nJ led to the formation of micron-sized ring-shaped domains due to the aggregation of silver nanoclusters at the periphery of the domains [48]. Laser pulse energy E_p and pulse repetition rate play an important role in the microstructuring of glass-forming materials. In fact, laser pulses with a sufficient repetition rate can provide cumulative heating near the focus of the laser beam. In this article, we will focus on the effect of single laser pulses (the effect of repetition rate will be discussed in a separate article).

The temperature change associated with the heating pulse is on the order of $\Delta T = E_p/C_{loc}$, where $C_{loc} = \rho c_p V_0$ and V_0 are the heat capacity and volume of the heating zone, and ρ and c_p are the density and specific heat capacity, respectively, of the material. The thermal effect caused by elastic deformations is negligible compared to ΔT , at least at a moderate E_p , in the range of, say, 10–100 nJ for a focal region with a radius of about 1 µm. Indeed, the thermoelastic pressure in the hot zone is less than the maximum pressure response $p_{max} = K_B \alpha_V \Delta T$ to the temperature change ΔT at constant volume, where K_B and α_V are the bulk modulus and the volumetric thermal expansion coefficient. However, the volume of the hot zone is not rigidly fixed by the material surrounding this zone. In fact, a pressure wave is created around the hot zone [53,54]. In this case, the thermoelastic pressure is even less than p_{max} . Thus, the elastic energy associated with the thermoelastic pressure in the hot zone of volume V_0 is less than $E_{TE} = \frac{1}{2} K_B (\alpha_V \Delta T)^2 V_0$. Therefore, the relative effect associated with thermoelastic deformations does not exceed $E_{TE}/E_p = \frac{1}{2}K_B(\alpha_V)^2\Delta T/\rho c_p$. For example, for sodium-limesilicate glasses with the composition Na₂O·2CaO·3SiO₂, E_{TE}/E_p is about 1% at $\Delta T = 2000$ K, $K_B = 55$ GPa, $\rho = 2.8$ g/cm³, $c_p = 1.14$ J/gK, $\alpha_V = 3\alpha$, and linear thermal expansion $\alpha =$ 7.7 10⁻⁶ 1/K [55–59]. This ratio is even lower for borosilicate glasses with a low coefficient of thermal expansion [60]. For example, for borosilicate glasses such as Pyrex, the E_{TE}/E_p ratio is about 0.1% at $\Delta T = 2000$ K, $K_B = 33$ Gpa, $\rho = 2.23$ g/cm³, $c_p = 1.1$ J/gK, and $\alpha = 3.3 \cdot 10^{-6}$ 1/K [60–63]. However, E_{TE} becomes comparable with E_p at laser pulse energies approximately two orders of magnitude higher than those considered in this work. We are interested in local temperature changes in a micron-sized hot zone at $t > \tau_p$, when the pressure waves created around the hot zone are already at a distance of more than $1-2 \mu m$ from the hot zone. However, at the same time, the front of the temperature change extends only about ten nanometers from the periphery of the hot zone. Thus, we consider the change in local temperature after the local pressure in the hot zone has almost stabilized. For example, in silicate glasses at t = 0.5 ns, the distance tV_L is about 3 µm at the longitudinal speed of sound $V_L \approx 6.10^3$ m/s [58] and $\sqrt{tD_0} \approx$ 10 nm at the thermal diffusion coefficient D_0 of about $3 \cdot 10^{-7}$ m²/s [56,62,64].

It Is noteworthy that an important property of glass-forming materials is the long-term relaxation of the specific heat capacity with rapid changes in temperature. Thus, the heat capacity of glass-forming materials must be considered as a function of time $c_{dun}(t)$ [65–67]. In fact, if a glass-forming material is heated to a liquid state with heat capacity c_L from a solid glassy state with heat capacity c_{S} , then the heat capacity of the material does not change immediately with the change in temperature but slowly relaxes from c_S to c_L ; see below. The long-term relaxation of the dynamic heat capacity $c_{dun}(t)$ of glasses is due to the slow exchange of energy between different degrees of freedom in glasses. Thus, the thermal response of glass-forming materials to a thermal perturbation at time t depends on the temperature at earlier times. The effect of the long-term relaxation of dynamic heat capacity significantly affects the dynamics of local temperature changes T(t,r) [68,69]. In turn, the rate of change in local temperature T(t,r) can significantly affect the microstructuring of glass-forming materials [19,37,43,44,70]. An experimental study of the dynamics of the temperature distribution T(t, r) inside a glass matrix under the action of fast laser thermal perturbations is very difficult. Therefore, it is necessary to develop theoretical models of thermal processes occurring during the laser-induced structuring of glasses. In this paper, we will focus on modeling such thermal processes, taking into account the relaxation effect of the dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$. The dynamic behavior of glass-forming materials under fast local thermal perturbations can be described using the integrodifferential heat equation with "memory" [68,69]. This equation has an analytical solution, at least in spherical, cylindrical, and planar geometries [68,69,71]. This work aims to determine the dynamics of local temperature changes, especially the local rate of the temperature change $\mathcal{R}(t,r)$ associated with laser-induced thermal excitations during laser processing of glasses. An analytical method for determining T(t, r) and $\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ has been developed. The knowledge obtained can be useful for various technologies related to laser-induced microstructuring.

In the first part of the article, the heat equation with dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ is considered. An analytical solution to this equation in general form was presented in our previous work [69], and then this method was applied to describe local thermal disturbances in supercooled glass-forming liquids and polymers during the nucleation of the crystalline phase [70]. We are currently using this method to study the rate of temperature change $\mathcal{R}(t,r)$ inside glasses under local fast laser excitations during laser-induced microstructuring. An analysis of the dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ of glass-forming materials was conducted, and an analytical solution of the heat equation with dynamic heat capacity for a spherically symmetric problem was constructed. Then, the temperature distribution T(t,r) was calculated for glasses with dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ under local fast laser excitations. Examples with borosilicate and sodium-lime-silicate glasses

are considered at different heating pulses and dynamic heat capacity parameters. Finally, the local distribution of the cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ and its influence on the microstructuring processes of glasses is discussed.

2. Heat Equation with Dynamic Heat Capacity

Since the pioneering work of Birge and Nagel [65], the dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ of glass-forming materials as a function of frequency (or time t) has been intensively studied. For such studies, heat capacity spectroscopy can be used [66,67]. The time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ can be described within the framework of linear response theory [72], which is similar to the time dispersion of the dielectric constant [73]. Then, heat transfer in the glass-forming material can be described using an integro-differential heat equation [68,69]. In the case of spherical geometry and zero initial conditions, this heat equation can be represented in the following form [68,69]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t \rho c_{dyn}(t-\tau) T'(\tau,r) d\tau - \lambda \Delta T(t,r) = \Phi(t,r), \tag{1}$$

where $\Phi(t, r)$ is the volumetric heat flux density, $T'(t, r) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}T(t, r)$, Δ is the Laplacian, and λ and ρ are the thermal conductivity and density of the material. Equation (1) has an analytical solution for homogeneous boundary conditions; see below.

2.1. Dynamic Heat Capacity of Glass-Forming Materials

The dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ as a function of time can be represented as a continuous sum of exponential decays, since $c_{dyn}(t)$ is a monotonically relaxing function of time [68,69,74]:

$$c_{dyn}(t) = c_0 - (c_0 - c_{in}) \int_0^\infty H(\tau_0) exp(-t/\tau_0) d\tau_0,$$
(2)

where c_{in} and c_0 are the initial and final (equilibrium) heat capacities. $c_{dyn}(t) \rightarrow c_{in}$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $c_{dyn}(t) \rightarrow c_0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In fact, $c_{in} = c_S$ and $c_0 = c_L$ if the material is heated from a solid glassy state to a liquid one. The distribution function $H(\tau_0)$ of relaxation times τ_0 can be found using broadband heat capacity spectroscopy [67]; for details, see [68,69,71]. The dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ is usually described using the Kohlrausch–Williams–Watts stretched exponent $exp(-(t/\tau_K)^\beta)$ for $0 < \beta \le 1$, where the Kohlrausch relaxation time τ_K and constant β characterize the relaxation time spectrum of the material [44,75,76]. In this case,

$$c_{dyn}(t) = c_0 \Big[1 - \varepsilon_0 exp \Big(-(t/\tau_K)^\beta \Big) \Big], \tag{3}$$

where $\varepsilon_0 = (c_0 - c_{in})/c_0$. Usually, ε_0 is about 0.3–0.5 [67,77]. For example, if $\beta = 0.5$, then $H(\tau_0) = \frac{exp(-\tau_0/4\tau_K)}{\sqrt{4\pi\tau_K\tau_0}}$ [75,76]. Thus, $c_{dyn}(t)$ can be approximately represented by Equation (4) for a sufficiently large finite interval $[\tau_{min}, \tau_{max}]$:

$$c_{dyn}(t,\tau_K) \approx c_0 \bigg[1 - \varepsilon_0 \int_{\tau_{min}}^{\tau_{max}} \frac{exp(-\tau_0/4\tau_K)}{\sqrt{4\pi\tau_K\tau_0}} exp(-t/\tau_0) d\tau_0 \bigg], \tag{4}$$

where τ_K is a function of temperature. The function $\tau_K(T)$ can be obtained from the Vogel–Fulcher–Tammann–Hesse (VFTH) relation measured using broadband heat capacity spectroscopy [67]:

$$f_{max} = f_0 \exp[-B/(T - T_0)],$$
(5)

where f_{max} is the frequency corresponding to the maximum value of the temperature dependence of the imaginary part of the dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(\omega)$; $\omega = 2\pi f$ is the temperature modulation frequence; and f_0 , B, and T_0 are the VFTH parameters. In fact, $\tau_K \omega$ is about 1. More precisely, τ_K for different T can be obtained from the relation $\tau_K = \frac{0.737}{2\pi f_{max}}$ [68]. However, the shape of the distribution function $H(\tau_0)$ has insignificant effect on T(t, r), since the effect due to the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity

reaches saturation with increasing τ_0 ; see below. Thus, it is sufficient to consider the dynamic heat capacity with the Debye relaxation law (see Equation (6)) for sufficiently large τ_0 . Below, we will show that this result practically coincides with the result obtained after averaging over the distribution function $H(\tau_0)$. In the case of the Debye relaxation law, $c_{dyn}(t)$ is equal to

$$c_{dyn}(t) = c_0[1 - \varepsilon_0 exp(-t/\tau_0)].$$
(6)

In this case, the integro-differential heat equation, Equation (1), is transformed into Equation (7), which can be solved analytically. After this, solutions for different τ_0 can be averaged using the distribution function $H(\tau_0)$.

2.2. Analytical Solution of the Heat Equation with Dynamic Heat Capacity

Let us consider the temperature distribution T(t,r) after a single laser pulse in a micron-sized focal zone. A relatively small change in the thermal conductivity of the glass matrix around the hot focal zone does not affect the dynamics of the temperature distribution in the hot focal zone. In fact, for the glass-forming materials under consideration, λ varies insignificantly with temperature and is about $1 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$ over a wide temperature range [64,78,79]. Thus, it is assumed that the thermal conductivity λ of the glass matrix does not depend on temperature. However, the change in dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ from c_S to c_L during heating is significant.

As a first step, we consider the Debye relaxation law; see Equation (6). Thus, for spherical geometry, from Equation (1), we obtain Equation (7):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}T(t,r) - D_0\Delta T(t,r) = \frac{\Phi(t,r)}{\rho c_0} + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t exp\left(-\frac{t-\tau}{\tau_0}\right) T'(t,r)d\tau, \tag{7}$$

where $D_0 = \lambda / \rho c_0$ and the spherically symmetric heat source $\Phi(t, r) = \Phi(r)F(t)$ is distributed in a spherical volume of radius r_0 . The decomposition of $\Phi(t, r)$ into the product $\Phi(r)F(t)$ is common for laser heating. Furthermore, after the end of the heating pulse, the temperature distribution T(t, r) does not depend on the shape of F(t); see below. In this article, we are interested in the dynamics of the temperature distribution T(t, r) after the heating pulse, when the shape of the heating pulse does not matter.

Consider Equation (7) under the initial conditions $\Phi(t, r) = 0$ and $T(t, r) = T_{in}$ for $t \le 0$, where T_{in} is the initial temperature in the glass matrix. Let T(t, r) be a bounded function and $T(t, R_0) = T_{in}$ for $R_0 \gg r_0$. Since we are considering a single heating pulse, it does not matter how large the parameter R_0 is, as long as $R_0 \gg r_0$. Indeed, the temperature change is localized in a hot zone about a few micrometers in size; see below. The solution to this boundary value problem can be represented in the form of the following series (for details, see Appendix A):

$$T(t,r) = T_{in} + \sum_{n=1} \psi_n(t) \frac{\sin(\pi n r / R_0)}{r},$$
(8)

where the functions $\psi_n(t)$ are determined by Equation (9).

$$\psi_n'(t) + \frac{\psi_n(t)}{\tau_n} = \frac{\Phi_n F(t)}{\rho c_0} + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t exp\left(-\frac{t-\tau}{\tau_0}\right) \psi_n'(\tau) d\tau, \tag{9}$$

where $\Phi_n = \frac{2}{R_0} \int_0^{R_0} r \Phi(r) \sin(\pi n r/R_0) dr$ and $\tau_n^{-1} = D_0 (\pi n/R_0)^2$. The functions $\psi_n(t)$ satisfying Equation (9) are presented in Appendix A.

The spatial distribution $\Phi(r)$ of the heating power $\Phi(t, r)$ can be arbitrary. For example, we consider a heat source uniformly distributed in a volume of radius r_0 with volumetric density Φ_0 (in W/m³); then,

$$\Phi_n = 2R_0 \Phi_0 \frac{\sin(\pi n r_0 / R_0) - (\pi n r_0 / R_0) \cdot \cos(\pi n r_0 / R_0)}{(\pi n)^2}.$$
(10)

In fact, the distribution of the intensity of light radiation in the spot of a focused laser beam, depending on the distance r from the center of the spot, can have a Gaussian bell-shaped character; see Figure 2. However, the intensity sufficient to induce nonlinear processes; for example, multiphoton absorption is located close to the center of the laser beam spot [5]. Thus, the model of a heat source uniformly distributed in a volume of radius r_0 with a sharp drop at the periphery is more realistic than the bell-shaped Gaussian distribution.



Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the distribution of the intensity of light radiation in a spot of a focused laser beam depending on the distance r from the center of the spot (dashed line) and the intensity distribution sufficient to induce nonlinear processes such as multiphoton absorption in a spot with radius r_0 (solid line).

The shape of the change in heating power over time can be bell-shaped or more complex. However, this shape is not significant for the temperature distribution T(t, r) at $t > \tau_p$; see below. For model calculations, we will use a heating pulse of a half-sinusoidal shape, which is slightly different from the bell-shaped one. Thus, $F(t) = sin(\pi t/\tau_p)$ for $0 \le t \le \tau_p$ and F(t) = 0 for $t > \tau_p$.

3. Temperature Distribution T(t, r) in Glasses under Local Thermal Perturbations

For example, let us consider the two most common types of silicate glasses: sodiumlime-silicate glasses (Na₂O-CaO-SiO₂), as the most widely used of all industrial glasses, known for their low cost and availability, and borosilicate glasses (B₂O₃-SiO₂), which are widely used due to their low coefficient of thermal expansion and high resistance to chemical attack. Sodium-lime-silicate glass with the composition Na₂O·2CaO·3SiO₂ and borosilicate glass of the Pyrex type were chosen as model systems due to the availability of the necessary parameters [56,57,60–64]. The thermal parameters of these glasses are collected in Table 1.

Substance	Density in Solid State ρ g/cm ³	Specific Heat Capacity in the Solid State ^{Cp} J/g·K	Volumetric Heat Capacity in Solid State ^c s J/m ³ K	Volumetric Heat Capacity in Liquid State c_L J/m ³ K	Dynamic Heat Capacity Parameter $\varepsilon_0 = (c_L - c_S)/c_L$	Thermal Conductivity λ W/mK
Sodium-lime- silicate glass	2.8	1.14	$3.2 \cdot 10^{6}$	$4.4 \cdot 10^{6}$	0.273	1
Borosilicate glass	2.23	1.1	$2.45 \cdot 10^{6}$	$3.8 \cdot 10^{6}$	0.355	1

Table 1. Thermal parameters of silicate glasses used for model calculations.

3.1. Influence of the Shape and Duration of Heating Pulses on T(t, r)

Thus, for model calculations, we use a heating pulse with a power density $\Phi_0 sin(\pi t/\tau_p)$, acting during the time interval τ_p in a spherical region with a radius $r_0 = 1 \ \mu m$. Let us com-

pare the results for heating pulses with F(t) with half-sinusoidal and rectangular shapes; see Figures 3 and 4. Let the initial temperature $T_{in} = 300$ K, $\tau_p = 300$ ps, $E_p = 15$ nJ, and the volume of the spherical heating zone $V_0 = \frac{4\pi}{3}r_0^3$. Thus, Φ_0 is equal to $\frac{\pi}{2}E_p/\tau_pV_0$ and E_p/τ_pV_0 for half-sinusoidal and rectangular heating pulses, respectively. In this case, the energy of these pulses is the same and equal to E_p . The temperature distribution T(t, r) can be calculated according to Equations (8) and (9) at various τ_0 .



Figure 3. Temperature distribution $T(t_1, r)$ in the hot zone depending on the distance r at $t_1 = 10$ ns (**a**) and $t_1 = 100$ ns (**b**) for heating pulses of half-sinusoidal shape (filled symbols) and rectangular shape (open symbols) in sodium-line-silicate glass at $r_0 = 1 \mu m$, $\tau_p = 300$ ps, $E_p = 15$ nJ, and $T_{in} = 300$ K ($\tau_0 = 0$, 0.1 µs, and 10 µs—squares, circles, and triangles, respectively).



Figure 4. Time dependence of T(t, 0) in the center of the hot zone during (**a**) and after (**b**) the heating pulses of half-sinusoidal shape (filled symbols) and rectangular shape (open symbols) at the same parameters as in Figure 3 ($\tau_0 = 0$, 0.1 µs, and 10 µs—squares, circles, and triangles, respectively). Inset (**a**) shows pulse power as a function of time.

For example, for sodium-lime-silicate glass (see Table 1), T(t, r) at $\tau_0 = 0, 0.1 \,\mu$ s, and 10 μ s is represented in Figures 3 and 4. The temperature distribution T(t, r) is calculated at $R_0 \gg r_0$ (for example, at $R_0 = 30 \,\mu$ m). It follows from direct calculations that the result does not depend on R_0 , at least on the time scale $t < R_0^2/4D_0$, where $R_0^2/4D_0$ for the glasses under consideration is about 3–4 ms. However, we are interested in changes in the temperature distribution T(t, r) on a time scale of microseconds and less when the temperature changes are localized within a few micrometers; see Figure 3.

The influence of the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ is significant; see Figures 3 and 4. The difference between equilibrium ($\tau_0 = 0$) and non-equilibrium

 $(\tau_0 \neq 0)$ solutions T(t, r) increases with the growth of τ_0 . The shape of the change in heating power over time is significant only during the time interval $(0, \tau_p)$; see Figure 4a. However, this shape is not significant at $t > \tau_p$; see Figures 3 and 4b.

The duration of the heating pulses is also not significant for the temperature distribution T(t,r) at $t > \tau_p$; see Figure 5. For example, compare T(t,r) for pulses with $\tau_p = 10$ ps and 300 ps and the same parameters as in Figure 3. The duration of heating pulses is significant only during the time interval $(0, \tau_p)$; see Figure 5a. However, this duration is not significant at $t > \tau_p$; see Figure 5b.



Figure 5. Time dependence of T(t, 0) in the center of the hot zone during (**a**) and after (**b**) the heating pulse of half-sinusoidal shape at $\tau_p = 10$ ps and 300 ps, represented by filled and open symbols, respectively, at the same parameters as in Figure 3 ($\tau_0 = 0, 0.1 \, \mu$ s, 1 μ s, and 10 μ s—squares, circles, triangles up, and triangles down, respectively). Inset (**b**) shows the pulse power as a function of time for short and long pulses, represented by dotted and solid lines, respectively.

Thus, the temperature T(t,0) at the center of the hot zone increases very quickly over the time interval $(0, \tau_p)$ from T_{in} to the maximum value T_{max} and then relaxes relatively slowly on a microsecond time scale; see Figures 4 and 5. The influence of the time dispersion of dynamic heat capacity is significant on time scales of several hundred nanoseconds or less. The solution associated with the dynamic heat capacity (at $\tau_0 \neq 0$) tends to the classical solution (corresponding to $\tau_0 = 0$) when *t* reaches approximately 1 µs; see Figures 4b and 5b.

3.2. Comparison with the Fundamental Solution of the Classical Problem

It is often believed that the hot focal spot should spread and cool down according to the law that $r \sim (D_0 t)^{1/2}$ and $T \sim t^{-3/2}$, respectively [19,46,80,81]. This assumption is based on the fundamental solution of the Fourier heat equation, which can be represented by the following function [82]:

$$G\left(t,\vec{r}\right) = \theta(t)\exp\left(-\left|\vec{r}\right|^2/4D_0t\right)/(4\pi D_0 t)^{3/2},\tag{11}$$

where $\theta(t)$ is the Heaviside unit step function and r is the distance from the instantaneous point heat source. However, for a non-point heat source, the approximation of T(t,r)by this function is not satisfactory. The real dependence T(t,r) differs significantly from Equation (11) [45]. As expected, the temperature perturbation $\delta T(t) = T(t,0) - T_{in}$ in the center of the heating zone relaxes in a time of the order of r_0^2/D_0 . However, $\delta T(t)$ remains near the maximum value $\delta T(\tau_p)$ at $t < 0.1 \ \mu$ s (see Figure 6), and only then does $\delta T(t)$ relax over a time period on the order of r_0^2/D_0 . In contrast to the estimate based on the fundamental solution of the Fourier heat equation, the temperature perturbation $\delta T(t)$ relaxes approximately as $T \sim t^{-1}$ and not as $T \sim t^{-3/2}$; see Figure 6. In order to obtain the correct temperature distribution T(t, r) using the fundamental solution, it is necessary to integrate the thermal response over the time interval $(0, \tau_p)$ and the volume of the heating zone. In fact, the solution of the generalized Cauchy problem for the classical heat equation with the heat source function $\Phi(t, r)$ under zero initial conditions is expressed by the classical Poisson formula (see Equation (12)), where $G(t, \vec{r})$ is the fundamental solution of the classical heat equation [82]. This fundamental solution is represented by Equation (11).

Thus, for the heat source $\Phi(t, r)$ considered above, distributed in a volume with radius r_0 and acting on the time interval $(0, \tau_p)$, we obtain the temperature distribution $T_{FS}(t, r)$; see Equation (12).

$$T_{FS}(t,r) = \int_0^{r_0} \int_0^{\tau_p} \int_0^{\pi} \frac{\Phi_0 F(\tau)}{\rho c_0} G\left(t - \tau, \overrightarrow{r} - \overrightarrow{\xi}\right) 2\pi sin(\theta) \xi^2 d\xi d\tau d\theta, \tag{12}$$

where $(\vec{r} - \vec{\xi})^2 = r^2 + \xi^2 - 2r\xi\cos(\theta)$. Integration in Equation (12) was carried out using standard mathematical engineering software Mathcad 15.0. Integration over the variables ξ and θ was carried out directly using Mathcad software. However, to avoid singularity, time integration was replaced with a discrete sum so that the difference between t and τ was at least 0.3 ps. In fact, a sum of 30 terms was enough to obtain good accuracy with a sum normalization factor of 0.969 required to normalize the total energy of the heating pulse. In addition, the integration over all variables can be carried out directly using Mathcad software for $t > 2\tau_p$, which is far from the singularity of the fundamental solution.

As expected, the solution $T_{FS}(t, r)$ completely coincides with the temperature distribution T(t, r), calculated using Equations (8) and (9) at $\tau_0 = 0$; see Figure 6. In contrast, the simplified estimate $\mathcal{T}(t, r) = N_{cor} \frac{\Phi_0}{\rho c_0} G(t, \vec{r}) \tau_p V_0$ is far from the correct $T_{FS}(t, r)$ even for any correction factor N_{cor} , see Figure 6.



Figure 6. $T(t_1, r)$ depending on the distance r at $t_1 = 100$ ns (**a**) and time dependence of T(t, 0) in the center of the hot zone (**b**) at the same parameters as in Figure 3 ($\tau_0 = 0, 0.1 \ \mu$ s, 1 μ s, 10 μ s, and 100 μ s—squares, circles, triangles up, triangles down, and crosses, respectively, as well as $T_{FS}(t, r)$ for $\tau_0 = 0$ —diamonds). Dotted lines show $T(t_1, r)$ at $N_{cor} = 1$ and 100 (**a**), as well as T(t, 0) at $N_{cor} = 1/150$ and 1/200 (**b**). Inset (**b**) shows the initial fragments of $T_{FS}(t, 0)$ and T(t, 0) at $\tau_0 = 0$.

Thus, the correct calculation of the temperature distribution T(t, r) using the fundamental solution of the classical heat equation (without time dispersion) gives the same result as the calculation using Equations (8) and (9) at $\tau_0 = 0$. However, using Equations (8) and (9), it is possible to calculate the temperature distribution T(t, r) for materials with dynamic heat capacity (at $\tau_0 \neq 0$). It turns out that T(t, r) obtained at $\tau_0 \neq 0$ increases with τ_0 and tends to saturation at τ_0 about 10 µs; see Figure 6. For this reason, the shape of the distribution function

 $H(\tau_0)$ has an insignificant effect on T(t, r). Next, we consider the influence of this distribution on T(t, r); see Figures 7 and 8.

3.3. Dependence of T(t, r) on the Distribution of Relaxation Times

The influence of the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity is most pronounced at the beginning of the heating process on a nanosecond time scale; see Figure 6b. This effect is significant already at τ_0 about 0.1 µs, increases with increasing τ_0 , and it reaches saturation at τ_0 above r_0^2/D_0 , where r_0^2/D_0 is about 4 µs at $r_0 = 1$ µm and $D_0 = 2.6 \cdot 10^{-7}$ m²/s for borosilicate glass. In fact, in glasses, the relaxation times τ_0 are distributed over a wide range. The parameters of the VFTH relationship (see Equation (5)) can be obtained from measurements of glass transition processes depending on the cooling rate. For example, we use these parameters for borosilicate glass [63], sodium-lime-magnesium-silicate glass [83], and sodium-silicate glass [84]. The relaxation parameters of these silicate glasses used for model calculations are collected in Table 2.



Figure 7. $T(t_1, r)$ depending on the distance r at $t_1 = 100$ ns (**a**) and time dependence T(t, 0) in the center of the hot zone (**b**) at the same parameters as in Figure 3 ($\tau_0 = 0$ and 10 µs—squares and circles). The temperature distribution $T_{AV}(t, r)$ is averaged using the distribution function $H(\tau_K(T_{int}))$ obtained from [84] at $T_{int} = 700$ K and 1000 K—diamonds and stars, as well as from [83] at $T_{int} = 700$ K and 1000 K, which are represented by triangles facing up and triangles facing down, respectively.



Figure 8. $T(t_1, r)$ depending on the distance r at $t_1 = 100$ ns (**a**) and time dependence T(t, 0) in the center of the hot zone (**b**) for borosilicate glass at $r_0 = 1 \mu m$, $\tau_p = 10$ ps, and $E_p = 15$ nJ $T_{in} = 300$ K ($\tau_0 = 0, 0.1 \mu$ s, 1 μ s, 10 μ s, and 100 μ s—squares, circles, triangles up, triangles down, and crosses). The solution $T_{AV}(t, r)$ averaged using the distribution function $H(\tau_K(T_{int}))$ obtained from [63] at $T_{int} = 700$ K and 1000 K, which are represented by diamonds and stars, respectively.

Substance	f ₀ Hz	B K	T ₀ K
Borosilicate glass	5.10^{17}	$1.9 \cdot 10^4$	392
Godium-lime-magnesium- silicate glass	$1 \cdot 10^{14}$	$1.1 \cdot 10^4$	480
Sodium-silicate glass	$1.24 \cdot 10^{14}$	$1.29 \cdot 10^4$	407

Table 2. Relaxation parameters of silicate glasses used for model calculations.

The dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ can be modeled using Equation (4), where $\tau_K(T) = \frac{0.737}{2\pi f_{max}}$ can be obtained from f_{max} (see Equation (5)) using the relaxation parameters; see Table 2. Thus, we obtain the temperature distribution $T_{AV}(t, r)$ averaged using the distribution function $H(\tau_K(T_{int}))$, where $\tau_K(T_{int})$ is obtained at several intermediate temperatures between T_{in} and T_{max} (where T_{max} is the maximum value of the temperature T(t, 0) at the center of the hot zone). For example, set $T_{int} = 700$ K and 1000 K and consider the relaxation parameters for borosilicate glass [63], sodium-lime-magnesium-silicate glass [83], and sodium-silicate glass [84], see Table 2. The results are presented in Figures 7 and 8. The difference between T(t, r), obtained for sufficiently large $\tau_0 \ge 10 \ \mu$ s, and $T_{AV}(t, r)$, obtained for $\tau_K(700 \ K)$ and $\tau_K(1000 \ K)$, is insignificant; see Figures 7 and 8. Since the influence of the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity on the temperature distribution. Thus, the influence of the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity on the temperature distribution T(t, r) can be calculated for a fixed, sufficiently large τ_0 .

The influence of time dispersion of dynamic heat capacity is significant in both borosilicate and sodium-silicate glasses. Now, let us consider the influence of the size of the heating zone r_0 on the temperature distribution T(t, r).

3.4. Dependence of T(t, r) on the Size of the Heating Zone

For example, let us compare the temperature distributions T(t, r) at $r_0 = 1$ and $r_0 = 2 \mu m$ for borosilicate glass; see Table 1. To obtain the same thermal response value, let us set $E_p = 120$ nJ at $r_0 = 2 \mu m$, increasing the energy in proportion to the volume of the heating zone. Thus, in both cases, we obtain the same amplitudes of the temperature response to heating pulses; see Figures 8 and 9. As expected, the temperature T(t, 0) in the center of the heating zone relaxes in a time period of the order of r_0^2/D_0 , which for borosilicate glass is about 15 µs at $r_0 = 2 \mu m$ and $D_0 = 2.6 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$. For comparison, T(t, 0) relaxes four times faster at $r_0 = 1 \mu m$ than at $r_0 = 2 \mu m$; see Figures 8b and 9b. As the size of the heating zone increases, the saturation of the influence of the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity on T(t, r) shifts towards larger values of τ_0 . For example, compare T(t, r) at $\tau_0 = 1 \mu s$ for $r_0 = 1$ and $r_0 = 2 \mu m$; see Figures 8 and 9.



Figure 9. $T(t_1, r)$ depending on the distance *r* at $t_1 = 300$ ns (**a**) and time dependence of T(t, 0) in the center of the hot zone (**b**) for borosilicate glass at $r_0 = 2 \mu m$, $\tau_p = 300$ ps, $E_p = 120$ nJ, $T_{in} = 300$ K ($\tau_0 = 0, 0.3 \mu$ s, 1 μ s, 10 μ s, and 100 μ s—squares, circles, triangles facing up, triangles facing down, and diamonds).

4. Cooling Rate Distribution $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ and Its Influence on Microstructuring Processes of Glasses

Now consider the spatial distribution of the rate of temperature change $\mathcal{R}(t, r)$. Due to thermal expansion, the size of the heating zone changes with temperature by about 10 nm or less. We neglect these changes with respect to r_0 . Let us consider the rate of temperature change $\mathcal{R}(t,r) = \frac{\partial T(t,r)}{\partial t}$ due to thermal diffusion. This temperature change affects the physical properties of the material. We will focus on very fast (about 10⁹ K/s or more) local temperature changes that have the greatest impact on the microstructuring process and will study the effect associated with the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity. It is noting that this effect is significant even well above the glass transition temperature T_g .

For example, the rate of temperature change $\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ for borosilicate glass at $r_0 = 2 \mu m$, $\tau_p = 300 \text{ ps}$, $E_p = 120 \text{ nJ}$ and various τ_0 values is presented in Figures 10 and 11. Note that the rate of temperature change $\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ is greatest near the periphery of the hot zone; see Figure 10a. The cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ is about 600 GK/s at $r_1 = 1.98 \mu m$ and $t_1 = 0.36 \text{ ns}$; see Figure 11a. However, in the center of the heating zone, the cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, 0)$ does not exceed 0.6 GK/s; i.e., $-\mathcal{R}(t, 0)$ is three orders of magnitude less than the cooling rate at the periphery—see Figure 11. Therefore, the local structure of glass in the center of the hot zone should differ significantly from the structure at the periphery of the heating zone after laser modification. This conclusion is consistent with experiments [19,33,35,39,45,48–52]. However, the effect associated with dynamic heat capacity (at $\tau_0 \neq 0$) is significant both in the center and at the periphery of the heating zone. Indeed, the maximum cooling rate is approximately 2.5 times greater at $\tau_0 \neq 0$ than at $\tau_0 = 0$ both at the periphery and in the center of the heating zone; see Figure 11.



Figure 10. Rate of temperature change $\mathcal{R}(t_1, r)$ depending on the distance *r* at the periphery of the hot zone at $t_1 = 0.5$ ns (**a**) and time dependence of $T(t, r_1)$ at $r_1 = 1.98 \ \mu\text{m}$ (**b**) at the same parameters as in Figure 9 ($\tau_0 = 0, 0.3 \ \mu\text{s}, 1 \ \mu\text{s}, 10 \ \mu\text{s}$, and 100 μs —squares, circles, triangles up, triangles down, and diamonds). Inset (**a**) shows $\mathcal{R}(t_1, r)$ as a function of distance *r* at $t_1 = 100$ ns for different τ_0 .



Figure 11. Time dependence of the cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r_1)$ at $r_1 = 1.98 \ \mu\text{m}$ (**a**) and $r_1 = 0$ (**b**) at the same parameters as in Figures 9 and 10 ($\tau_0 = 0$, 10 μ s, and 100 μ s—squares, circles, and triangles).

Let us consider the cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t,r)$ in a thin shell in the region from $0.95r_0$ to $0.99r_0$. As we have established for borosilicate and sodium-line-silicate glasses, ultrafast cooling of the material occurs in this region at a rate of more than 10^{11} K/s; see Figures 10–13. It is noteworthy that at the beginning of the cooling process (during the first few nanoseconds), the rate of temperature change $\mathcal{R}(t,r)$ is the same for small and large relaxation times τ_0 ; see Figures 10a and 12a. This means that at the beginning of the cooling process at the periphery of the hot zone, the shape of the distribution function $H(\tau_0)$ does not affect the cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t,r)$. Therefore, in this case, even at very small relaxation times τ_0 , the influence of the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity is significant.



Figure 12. $\mathcal{R}(t_1, r)$ depending on the distance *r* at the periphery of the hot zone at $t_1 = 0.5$ ns (**a**) and $t_1 = 100$ ns (**b**) for sodium-lime-silicate glass at the same parameters as in Figure 3 ($\tau_0 = 0 \ \mu$ s, 0.1 μ s, 1 μ s, and 10 μ s—squares, circles, triangles up, and triangles down).



Figure 13. $\mathcal{R}(t_1, r)$ depending on the distance *r* at the periphery of the hot zone at $\tau_0 = 0.1 \,\mu\text{s}$ (a) and $\tau_0 = 10 \,\mu\text{s}$ (b) at the same parameters as in Figure 3 ($t_1 = 0.5 \,\text{ns}$, 1 ns, 5 ns, and 10 ns—squares, circles, triangles up, and triangles down).

The cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t_1, r)$ reaches a maximum at r_{max} for a given t_1 ; see Figures 10 and 12. The rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r_{max})$ decreases over time, and the distance r_{max} at which the rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r_{max})$ is maximum shifts slightly toward the center of the heating zone. However, $-\mathcal{R}(t, r_{max})$ still exceeds 2–3 GK/s at $t_1 = 100$ ns; see Figures 10a and 12b. The change in cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r_{max})$ over time for sodium-lime-silicate glass at $r_0 = 1 \ \mu m$, $\tau_0 = 10 \ \mu s$ and the same conditions as in Figure 3 is presented in Table 3. The rate $\mathcal{R}(t_1, r)$ depending on the distance r at the periphery of the hot zone for different t_1 is shown in Figure 13.

Time t ₁ ns	Distance r _{max} µm	Cooling Rate $-\mathcal{R}(t_{1},r_{max})$ GK/s
0.5	0.985	410
1	0.98	170
5	0.94	31
10	0.92	16
50	0.80	4
100	0.70	2.3

Table 3. Cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ for sodium-lime-silicate glass at $r_0 = 1 \, \mu m$.

Thus, since the local structure of the material strongly depends on the local cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t,r)$, the local structure of the material at the periphery of the hot zone changes significantly relative to the original glass matrix. This modification of glass practically stops as soon as the local region is cooled below the glass transition temperature T_g . Thus, the modification process occurs until the temperature $T(t, r_1)$ in the local region at $r = r_1$ drops below T_g . For example, consider the cooling curves calculated at the periphery of the hot zone for borosilicate (T_g = 900 K [62]) and sodium-lime-silicate glasses (T_g = 840 K [56]); see Figures 10b and 14, respectively. It should be noted that the effect associated with the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity is significant well above the glass transition temperature T_{g} . Indeed, in the case of $r_0 = 1 \mu m$, the modification process occurs within approximately 80 ns at $r_1 = 0.95 \ \mu m$ and $\tau_0 \ge 1 \ \mu s$; see Figure 14a. However, without taking into account the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity (at $\tau_0 = 0$), this process occurs in less than 20 ns; see Figure 14a. In the case of $r_0 = 2 \mu m$, the modification process occurs during a longer period of about 300 ns at $r_1 = 1.98 \ \mu\text{m}$ and $\tau_0 > 1 \ \mu\text{s}$; see Figure 10b. However, without taking into account the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity (at $\tau_0 = 0$), this process occurs in less than 50 ns; see Figure 10b.



Figure 14. Time dependence of $T(t, r_1)$ at the periphery of the hot zone at $r_1 = 0.95 \,\mu\text{m}$ (a) and $r_1 = 0.98 \,\mu\text{m}$ (b) at the same parameters as in Figure 3 ($\tau_0 = 0, 0.1 \,\mu\text{s}, 1 \,\mu\text{s}, 10 \,\mu\text{s}, \text{and } 100 \,\mu\text{s}$ —squares, circles, triangles up, triangles down, and diamonds). Inset (a) shows the time dependence of T(t, 0) in the center of heating zone (at $\tau_0 = 10 \,\mu\text{s}$).

To summarize, we can conclude that the maximum cooling rate exists in the outer regions of the heating zone in the shell of about $(0.97 \pm 0.02)r_0$. The cooling rate reaches several hundred GK/s in the first nanosecond after the laser pulse. Then, the cooling rate decreases. However, even 100 ns after the laser pulse, $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ in the outer shell of the hot zone still exceeds 1 GK/s. It is noteworthy that in the center of the heating zone, the cooling rate is three orders of magnitude less than $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ at the periphery. Since regions quenched at different cooling rates have different physical properties, strong gradients

of physical properties should exist in the material predominantly at a distance of about $0.9r_0$ from the center of the heating zone. This effect is significantly enhanced by the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity.

In conclusion, let us briefly discuss laser microprocessing in the cumulative heating mode. Typically, cumulative heating is achieved at a laser pulse repetition rate of hundreds of kHz or more. Usually, this mode operates at frequencies from 200 kHz to 10 MHz [3]. Indeed, the temperature T(t,0) in the center of the hot zone relaxes in a time of the order of r_0^2/D_0 , which for the glasses under consideration is about 4 µs at $r_0 = 1$ µm; see inset in Figure 14a. Thus, in this case, the cumulative effect can be achieved at a frequency of about 250 kHz or more. Note that the cumulative effect leads to stable average heating of the material in the heating zone. Thus, the effect of laser pulse repetition can be approximately simulated by shifting the local temperature distribution T(t, r) upward by a certain temperature difference ΔT , which increases with the increasing pulse repetition frequency. It is clear that with increasing ΔT , the modification process will occur over a longer time interval—see Figure 14—where $T(t, r_1)$ should be shifted upward by the amount ΔT . Then, $T(t, r_1)$ in the local region at $r = r_1$ will fall below T_g at a cooling rate lower than at zero repetition frequency (at $\Delta T = 0$). Consequently, local gradients in the physical properties of locally modified glass will be smoothed out due to cumulative heating. The effect of the repetition rate will be discussed in more detail in a separate article.

5. Discussion

The local temperature distribution T(t, r) and the local cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ determine the local structure of the glass matrix during laser-induced microstructuring. Thus, knowledge of the dynamics of the local temperature distribution T(t, r) is very important for applications associated with local laser heating, for example, for the femtosecond laser microstructuring of glasses. However, as shown in this article, the dynamics of the local temperature distribution T(t, r) significantly depends on the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ of the glass matrix. This work proposes a method for the analytical calculation of T(t,r) and $\mathcal{R}(t,r)$ for glass-forming materials under local fast laser excitations. It is shown that the dynamics of the local temperature distribution T(t, r) caused by a laser pulse can be described by the heat equation with dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$. This equation has an analytical solution for a spherically symmetric boundary value problem. Using this analytical solution, we obtained the temperature distribution T(t, r) and local cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ for the thermal parameters of borosilicate and sodium-lime-silicate glasses. In fact, this analytical solution depends on the following relaxation parameters of the dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ of the material: relaxation time τ_0 and the distribution function $H(\tau_0)$, which can be obtained from broadband heat capacity spectroscopy. However, as shown in this article, the shape of the distribution function $H(\tau_0)$ has little effect on T(t, r) and $\mathcal{R}(t, r)$, since with increasing τ_0 , the influence of the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ reaches saturation. It should be noted that the influence of the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity is most pronounced at the beginning of the process, when t changes on a nanosecond time scale. We found that this effect is significant already at a τ_0 of about 0.1 µs, increases with increasing τ_0 , and reaches saturation at τ_0 above r_0^2/D_0 . Thus, the influence of the time dispersion of dynamic heat capacity on the temperature distribution T(t, r) can be calculated for a fixed, sufficiently large τ_0 (in fact, for τ_0 on the order of ten or several tens of microseconds, depending on the radius of the heating zone r_0). As expected, the results obtained are very similar for different r_0 values. However, as the size of the heating zone increases, the laser pulse energy E_{v} must increase in proportion to the volume V_0 of the heating zone in order to obtain the same amplitude of the local thermal response.

It has been established that the temperature distribution T(t, r) and local cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ are not affected by the heating pulse duration τ_p or the shape of the heating pulse. It should be noted that the temperature perturbation $\delta T(t) = T(t, 0) - T_{in}$ in the center of the heating zone does not relax as $\delta T \sim t^{-3/2}$, in contrast to the often-used estimate based on the fundamental solution of the Fourier heat equation. In fact, the heating pulse cannot be considered as an instantaneous point source of heat. Thus, it is necessary to integrate the thermal response over the time interval $(0, \tau_p)$ and the volume of the heating zone, and then the resulting correct solution $T_{FS}(t, r)$ completely coincides with the temperature distribution T(t, r) found in this article for $\tau_0 = 0$. In addition, the solution found in this article can be used for materials with dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ at $\tau_0 \neq 0$.

We found that the rate of temperature change $\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ is greatest near the periphery of the hot zone. The cooling rate reaches a maximum of $-\mathcal{R}(t, r_{max})$ when r_{max} is slightly less than r_0 . It turns out that ultrafast cooling of the material occurs at a rate of more than 10^{11} K/s in a thin shell in the region from $0.95r_0$ to $0.99r_0$. However, in the center of the heating zone, the cooling rate is three orders of magnitude lower than at the periphery. Thus, the local structure of glass after laser modification in the center of the hot zone should differ significantly from the structure at the periphery in a thin shell Δr of about 0.05 µm, which is consistent with experiments [19,33,35,39,45,48–52,85]. In fact, the experimentally observed thin shell at the periphery of the laser beam focus is visually about $\Delta r/r_0 \approx 10\%$; i.e., Δr is about 0.5 µm at $r_0 \approx 5$ µm [19,33,35]. Of course, the visual size Δr is smoothed out due to the diffraction limit of optical images of laser-induced microbeads. Indeed, for such laser-induced microbeads, the shell size Δr is about 0.05 µm in high-resolution scanning electron microscopy images [49,85]. In addition, the shell size Δr is smoothed due to the high-frequency repetition of laser pulses during laser processing in the cumulative heating mode.

The rate $-\mathcal{R} \approx 10^{11}$ K/s of ultrafast cooling of the material in a thin shell at the periphery of the heating zone is sufficient to freeze the liquid structure corresponding to a temperature T_f that is significantly higher than the initial temperature T_{in} . In fact, the difference $\Delta T = T_f - T_{in}$ can be on the order of several hundred K. Indeed, the material at the periphery of the heating zone is locally quenched-in within a time interval Δt of about 10–100 ns; see Figure 14. In this case, the liquid structure of the material should remain frozen, since the distance $\sqrt{\Delta t D_{SD}}$ of self-diffusion of glass molecules does not exceed the order of several nanometers with the self-diffusion coefficient D_{SD} of the order of 10^{-9} m²/s [64]. Suppose the material is locally heated and then rapidly cooled to the initial temperature of T_{in} of the matrix material. Thus, if a rapidly cooled material has a locally frozen density $\rho(T_f)$ corresponding to a certain temperature $T_f = T_{in} + \Delta T$, then the local density change $\Delta \rho / \rho$ is about $\alpha_V \Delta T$, where α_V is the volumetric thermal expansion coefficient. For example, for borosilicate glasses, $\Delta \rho / \rho$ is about 0.2% at $\Delta T = 200$ K, $\alpha_V = 3\alpha$, and linear thermal expansion $\alpha = 3.3 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ 1/K}$ [60]. Then, the local stress can reach the order of $K_B \alpha_V \Delta T$ (about 65 MPa) with a bulk modulus $K_B = 33$ GPa [60,61]. For sodium-lime-silicate glass, $\Delta \rho / \rho$ is about 0.5% at $\Delta T = 200$ K, $\alpha_V = 3\alpha$, and linear thermal expansion $\alpha = 7.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$ 1/K [59]. Then, the local stress can reach about $K_B \alpha_V \Delta T \approx 250$ MPa with a bulk modulus $K_B = 55$ GPa [55,58]. This local stress can cause a change in the local birefringence on the order of several percent [86]. A similar change in the local refractive index, proportional to $\Delta \rho / \rho$, can be caused by a change in the local density [13]. This estimate is consistent with femtosecond laser anisotropic nanostructuring in transparent materials for optical data storage [3]. Of course, the stress caused by the laser pulse then relaxes over time to some residual value. This residual stress may be the subject of a separate study. In this work, we focus on the unique capability of femtosecond laser microstructuring deep inside transparent glasses. This possibility can be used for optical data storage. In fact, changes in the refractive index with changes in local density $\Delta \rho / \rho$ and changes in the birefringence due to local stress are sufficient for data storage [13]. The locally frozen density $\rho(T_f)$ depends on the local cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ near the laser beam focus. The gradients of the local cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$ calculated in this work lead to the gradients of the locally frozen density and optical properties of the material. Such gradients have been observed at the periphery of the laser beam focus [19,33,35,39,45,48–52,85]. Thus, we have good agreement with the experiment. However, such experiments were usually

carried out at different laser pulse repetition rates. In this case, these gradients of physical properties should be smoothed relative to the case of the single laser pulse considered in this work. A future direction of research may be the influence of laser pulse repetition rate on local gradients of locally frozen density and other physical properties.

It is noteworthy that the effect associated with the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity is very significant both in the center and at the periphery of the heating zone. In fact, the maximum cooling rate is approximately 2.5 times greater at $\tau_0 \neq 0$ than at $\tau_0 = 0$ both at the periphery and in the center of the heating zone; see Figure 11.

It is worth noting that at the beginning of the cooling process at the periphery of the hot zone, the shape of the distribution function $H(\tau_0)$ does not affect the cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t, r)$. In fact, even at a very short relaxation time τ_0 , the influence of the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity is very significant at the beginning of the cooling process. The cooling rate decreases over time *t*; however, in the outer regions of the heating zone, the cooling rate $-\mathcal{R}(t,r)$ still exceeds 2–3 GK/s at t about 100 ns. Regions quenched at different cooling rates have different physical properties. Thus, strong gradients of physical properties should exist in the material, mainly at a distance of about $0.9r_0$ from the center of the heating zone. It is worth noting that the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity significantly enhances this effect. In addition, the effect associated with the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity is significant even well above the glass transition temperature T_{g} . It turns out that the duration of the modification process strongly depends on the relaxation time τ_0 of the dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$. Thus, the rate of local cooling and gradients of physical properties of locally modified glass strongly depend on the relaxation time of the dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$. Finally, it is worth noting that the gradients of physical properties in areas quenched at different cooling rates are smoothed out by cumulative heating when processed in the cumulative heating mode.

In this article, we solved a problem for spherical geometry, which is closely related to data storage technologies. There are a number of future directions that are worth developing. A future research direction could be the problem with cylindrical geometry, which is closely related to laser waveguide technologies. The cumulative heating mode at different laser pulse repetition rates and laser beam scanning speeds also deserves to be studied.

6. Conclusions

To summarize, it can be emphasized that during the laser microstructuring of glassforming materials, the local temperature distribution T(t,r) and the local cooling rate significantly depend on the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity $c_{dyn}(t)$ of the glass matrix. The rate of temperature change $\mathcal{R}(t,r)$ is at a maximum near the periphery of the heating zone. However, the effect associated with the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity is very significant both in the center and at the periphery of the heating zone. The effect associated with the time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity is significant even well above the glass transition temperature T_g . It turns out that in the thin shell of the heating zone, the ultrafast cooling of the material occurs at a rate of more than 10^{11} K/s, and strong gradients of physical properties must exist in the material, mainly in a thin shell around the heating zone. The time dispersion of the dynamic heat capacity significantly enhances this effect. However, these gradients in physical properties should be smoothed out when processed in the cumulative heating mode. Further directions of research may be related to the consideration of the cumulative heating regime for various geometries. The results of this work can be useful for a better understanding and optimization of technologies associated with laser-induced microstructuring of glasses.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.M.; formal analysis, A.M.; methodology, A.M.; supervision, C.S.; visualization, A.M.; writing—original draft, A.M.; writing—review and editing, C.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors on request.

Acknowledgments: A.M. acknowledges the administrative and technical support of the Prokhorov General Physics Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Nomenclature

Latin Symbols	
B and T_0	Parameters of the VFTH equation (K)
CS	Heat capacity of the solid material $(J \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot K^{-1})$
cL	Heat capacity of the liquid material $(J \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot K^{-1})$
c_{in}, c_0	Initial and equilibrium heat capacities $(J \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot K^{-1})$
$c_{dyn}(t)$	Dynamic heat capacity $(J \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot K^{-1})$
D_0	Thermal diffusivity $\lambda/\rho c_0$ (m ² ·s ⁻¹)
E_n	Energy of laser pulse (n])
f_0^r	Parameter of the VFTH equation (Hz)
F(t)	Time dependence of the pulse power (dimensionless)
$G(t, \vec{r})$	Fundamental solution of the Fourier heat equation (m^{-3})
$H(\tau_0)$	Distribution function (s^{-1})
K _B	Bulk modulus (GPa)
$\mathcal{R}(t,r)$	Rate of temperature change $\mathcal{R}(t,r) = \partial T(t,r) / \partial t$ (K·s ⁻¹)
$-\mathcal{R}(t,r)$	Cooling rate ($K \cdot s^{-1}$)
R_0	Parameter of the boundary value problem (µm)
<i>r</i> ₀	Heating zone radius (µm)
r	Distance from the center of the hot zone (μm)
t	Time (s)
$T_{FS}(t,r)$	Temperature distribution obtained from fundamental solution (K)
T(t,r)	Local temperature distribution (K)
$T_{AV}(t,r)$	Temperature distribution averaged using $H(au_0)$ (K)
T_g	Glass transition temperature (K)
T _{in}	Initial temperature (K)
T_{int}	Intermediate temperature (K)
T_{max}	Maximum value of $T(t, r)$ (K)
V_0	Heating zone volume (m ³)
V	Specific volume ($m^3 \cdot kg^{-1}$)
V_L	Longitudinal speed of sound $(m \cdot s^{-1})$
Greek Symbols	
α	Linear thermal expansion (K^{-1})
α_V	Volumetric thermal expansion coefficient (K^{-1})
β	Parameter of the Kohlrausch relaxation law (dimensionless)
γ_n	Relaxation parameter (dimensionless)
ε_0	Coefficient $\varepsilon_0 = (c_0 - c_{in})/c_0$ (dimensionless)
λ	Thermal conductivity ($W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$)
μ_n	Relaxation parameter (dimensionless)

ρ	Density (kg⋅m ⁻³)
$ au_0$	Debye relaxation time (μ s)
$ au_K$	Kohlrausch relaxation time (µs)
τ_{laser}	Duration of laser pulse (fs)
τ_n	<i>n</i> th relaxation time (μ s)
$ au_p$	Duration of heating pulse (ps)
$\Phi'(t,r)$	Volumetric heat flux density ($W \cdot m^{-3}$)
Φ_0	Volumetric heat flux density ($W \cdot m^{-3}$)
Φ_n	<i>n</i> th Fourier component ($W \cdot m^{-2}$)
$\psi_n(t)$	<i>n</i> th Fourier component ($K \cdot m$)
ω	Temperature modulation frequency (rad s^{-1})

Appendix A

Equation (7) can be converted into Equation (A1) by replacing $r(T(t,r) - T_{in})$ with U(t,r):

$$U'(t,r) - D_0 \partial^2 U / \partial r^2 = \frac{r \Phi(r) F(t)}{\rho c_0} + \varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t exp\left(-\frac{t-\tau}{\tau_0}\right) U'(\tau,r) d\tau, \qquad (A1)$$

Thus, we obtain a one-dimensional problem with uniform boundary and initial conditions: U(t, 0) = 0, $U(t, R_0) = 0$, and U(t, r) = 0 at $t \le 0$.

This boundary value problem is satisfied by the following series:

$$U(t,r) = \sum_{n=1} \psi_n(t) \sin(\pi nr/R_0), \qquad (A2)$$

where the functions $\psi_n(t)$ are the solutions of Equation (9) [69]. Thus, for a heat source uniformly distributed in a volume of radius r_0 with power density Φ_0 , and for the heating pulse $\Phi_0 sin(\pi t/\tau_p)$, acting during the time interval τ_p , we obtain solutions $\psi_n(t)$ to Equation (9) represented by Equations (A3) and (A4) for $0 \le t \le \tau_p$ and $\tau_p < t$, respectively.

$$\psi_n(t) = \frac{\Phi_n}{\rho c_0} \frac{\tau_n \gamma_n \mu_n}{(\gamma_n - \mu_n)} \left[\frac{(\gamma_n \tau_0 - 1) \left[\gamma_n sin(\pi t/\tau_p) + \frac{\pi}{\tau_p} (exp(-\gamma_n t) - cos(\pi t/\tau_p)) \right]}{(\gamma_n)^2 + \left(\frac{\pi}{\tau_p}\right)^2} + \frac{(1 - \mu_n \tau_0) \left[\mu_n sin(\pi t/\tau_p) + \frac{\pi}{\tau_p} (exp(-\mu_n t) - cos(\pi t/\tau_p)) \right]}{(\mu_n)^2 + \left(\frac{\pi}{\tau_p}\right)^2} \right],$$
(A3)

$$\psi_{n}(t) = \frac{\Phi_{n}}{\rho c_{0}} \frac{\pi \tau_{n} \gamma_{n} \mu_{n}}{\tau_{p} (\gamma_{n} - \mu_{n})} \left[\frac{(\gamma_{n} \tau_{0} - 1) \left[exp(-\gamma_{n} t) + exp(\gamma_{n} (\tau_{p} - t)) \right]}{(\gamma_{n})^{2} + \left(\frac{\pi}{\tau_{p}}\right)^{2}} + \frac{(1 - \mu_{n} \tau_{0}) \left[exp(-\mu_{n} t) + exp(\mu_{n} (\tau_{p} - t)) \right]}{(\mu_{n})^{2} + \left(\frac{\pi}{\tau_{p}}\right)^{2}} \right],$$
(A4)

where $-\gamma_n$ and $-\mu_n$ are the roots of the polynomial $(1 - \varepsilon_0)p^2 + p(\tau_n^{-1} + \tau_0^{-1}) + \tau_n^{-1}\tau_0^{-1}$. The parameters γ_n and μ_n are real, positive, and $(\gamma_n - \mu_n) \neq 0$ for $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1$ and $0 < \tau_n$, τ_0 . The series in Equation (8) converges as $1/n^2$ for $\tau_p < t$. In fact, it is enough to calculate the sum in Equation (8) to about a thousand terms to obtain a result with an error of less than 1%.

Similarly, for a heating pulse of a square shape with power density Φ_0 , acting on a time interval τ_p , we obtain $\psi_n(t) = \phi_n(t)$ and $\psi_n(t) = [\phi_n(t) - \phi_n(\tau_p - t)]$ for $\tau_p < t$ and $0 \le t \le \tau_p$, respectively, where $\phi_n(t)$ are represented by Equation (A5):

$$\phi_n(t) = \frac{\Phi_n}{\rho c_0} \tau_n \Big[1 + \frac{\tau_0 \gamma_n \mu_n (exp(-\mu_n t) - exp(-\gamma_n t))}{(\gamma_n - \mu_n)} + \frac{\mu_n exp(-\gamma_n t) - \gamma_n exp(-\mu_n t)}{(\gamma_n - \mu_n)} \Big].$$
(A5)

Note that the solutions $\phi_n(t)$ continuously transform into solutions of the classical Fourier heat equation: $\phi_n(t) \rightarrow \frac{\Phi_n}{\rho c_0} \tau_n [1 - exp(-t/\tau_n)]$ as $\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$ or/and $\tau_0 \rightarrow 0$.

Similarly, the functions $\psi_n(t)$ represented by Equations (A3) and (A4) continuously transform into solutions of the classical Fourier heat equation as $\varepsilon_0 \rightarrow 0$ or/and $\tau_0 \rightarrow 0$ —see Equations (A6) and (A7)—for $0 \le t \le \tau_p$ and $\tau_p < t$, respectively.

$$\psi_n(t) = \frac{\Phi_n}{\rho c_0} \frac{\frac{1}{\tau_n} \sin(\pi t/\tau_p) + \frac{\pi}{\tau_p} \left[\exp(-t/\tau_n) - \cos(\pi t/\tau_p) \right]}{\left(\frac{1}{\tau_n}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\pi}{\tau_p}\right)^2},\tag{A6}$$

$$\psi_n(t) = \frac{\Phi_n}{\rho c_0} \frac{\pi}{\tau_p} \frac{\left[exp(-t/\tau_n) + exp((\tau_p - t)/\tau_n))\right]}{\left(\frac{1}{\tau_n}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\pi}{\tau_p}\right)^2}.$$
(A7)

References

- 1. Zhang, B.; Wang, Z.; Tan, D.; Liu, X.; Xu, B.; Tong, L.; Kazansky, P.G.; Qiu, J. Ultrafast Laser Inducing Continuous Periodic Crystallization in the Glass Activated via Laser-Prepared Crystallite-Seeds. *Adv. Optical Mater.* **2021**, *9*, 2001962. [CrossRef]
- Osellame, R.; Hoekstra, H.J.W.M.; Cerullo, G.; Pollnau, M. Femtosecond laser microstructuring: An enabling tool for optofluidic lab-on-chips. *Laser Photonics Rev.* 2011, 5, 442–463. [CrossRef]
- Lei, Y.; Wang, H.; Shayeganrad, G.; Kazansky, P.G. Ultrafast laser nanostructuring in transparent materials for beam shaping and data storage. Opt. Mater. Express 2022, 12, 3327–3355. [CrossRef]
- 4. Stoian, R.; Colombier, J.P. Advances in ultrafast laser structuring of materials at the nanoscale. *Nanophotonics* **2020**, *9*, 4665–4688. [CrossRef]
- Butkute, A.; Jonusauskas, L. 3D Manufacturing of Glass Microstructures Using Femtosecond Laser. *Micromachines* 2021, 12, 499. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Petit, Y.; Danto, S.; Guerineau, T.; Khalil, A.A.; Camus, A.; Fargin, E.; Duchateau, G.; Berube, J.P.; Vallee, R.; Messaddeq, Y.; et al. On the femtosecond laser-induced photochemistry in silver-containing oxide glasses: Mechanisms, related optical and physico-chemical properties, and technological applications. *Adv. Opt. Technol.* 2018, 7, 291–309. [CrossRef]
- Torun, G.; Yadav, A.; Richardson, K.A.; Bellouard, Y. Ultrafast Laser Direct-Writing of Self-Organized Microstructures in Ge-Sb-S Chalcogenide Glass. *Front. Phys.* 2022, 10, 883319. [CrossRef]
- Lipatiev, A.S.; Lotarev, S.V.; Lipateva, T.O.; Fedotov, S.S.; Lopatina, E.V.; Sigaev, V.N. Ultrafast-Laser-Induced Tailoring of Crystal-in-Glass Waveguides by Precision Partial Remelting. *Micromachines* 2023, 14, 801. [CrossRef]
- Lipatiev, A.; Lotarev, S.; Lipateva, T.; Okhrimchuk, A.; Fedotov, S.; Lotnyk, A.; Sigaev, V. Fabrication of single-mode hybrid crystal-glass waveguides by direct femtosecond laser writing and thermal annealing. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 2022, 152, 111840. [CrossRef]
- 10. Beresna, M.; Gecevicius, M.; Kazansky, P.G. Ultrafast laser direct writing and nanostructuring in transparent materials. *Adv. Opt. Photonics* **2014**, *6*, 293–339. [CrossRef]
- 11. Shakhgildyan, G.Y.; Lipatiev, A.S.; Fedotov, S.S.; Vetchinnikov, M.P.; Lotarev, S.V.; Sigaev, V.N. Microstructure and optical properties of tracks with precipitated silver nanoparticles and clusters inscribed by the laser irradiation in phosphate glass. *Ceram. Int.* **2021**, *47*, 14320–14329. [CrossRef]
- 12. Jurgens, P.; Liewehr, B.; Kruse, B.; Peltz, C.; Witting, T.; Husakou, A.; Rouzee, A.; Ivanov, M.Y.; Fennel, T.; Vrakking, M.J.J.; et al. Characterization of laser-induced ionization dynamics in solid dielectrics. *ACS Photonics* **2022**, *9*, 233–240. [CrossRef]
- 13. Seuthe, T.; Mermillod-Blondin, A.; Grehn, M.; Bonse, J.; Wondraczek, L.; Eberstein, M. Structural relaxation phenomena in silicate glasses modified by irradiation with femtosecond laser pulses. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 43815. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Bonse, J.; Seuthe, T.; Grehn, M.; Eberstein, M.; Rosenfeld, A.; Mermillod-Blondin, A. Time-resolved microscopy of fs-laser-induced heat flows in glasses. *Appl. Phys. A* 2018, 124, 60. [CrossRef]
- 15. Meany, T.; Grafe, M.; Heilmann, R.; Perez-Leija, A.; Gross, S.; Steel, M.J.; Withford, M.J.; Szameit, A. Laser written circuits for quantum photonics. *Laser Photonics Rev.* 2015, *9*, 363–384. [CrossRef]
- Nasu, Y.; Abe, M.; Kohtoku, M. Developments in laser processing for silica-based planar lightwave circuits. In Proceedings of the SPIE 6107, Laser-Based Micropackaging, San Jose, CA, USA, 23 February 2006; p. 61070B. [CrossRef]
- 17. Tan, D.; Zhang, B.; Qiu, J. Ultrafast Laser Direct Writing in Glass: Thermal Accumulation Engineering and Applications. *Laser Photonics Rev.* 2021, *15*, 2000455. [CrossRef]
- 18. Lu, J.; Tian, J.; Poumellec, B.; Garcia-Caurel, E.; Ossikovski, R.; Zeng, X.; Lancry, M. Tailoring chiral optical properties by femtosecond laser direct writing in silica. *Light Sci. Appl.* **2023**, *12*, 46. [CrossRef]
- 19. Juodkazis, S.; Horyama, M.; Miwa, M.; Watanabe, M.; Marcinkevicius, A.; Mizeikis, V.; Matsuo, S.; Misawa, H. Stereolithography and 3D microstructuring of transparent materials by femtosecond laser irradiation. *Proc. SPIE* **2002**, *4644*, 27–38. [CrossRef]
- 20. Lencer, D.; Salinga, M.; Wuttig, M. Design Rules for Phase-Change Materials in Data Storage Applications. *Adv. Mater.* **2011**, *23*, 2030–2058. [CrossRef]
- Hong, J.; Li, J.; Chu, D. Modulation approach of arbitrary linear polarization states of optical fields using single-beam coding for next-generation optical storage in glass. *Opt. Laser Technol.* 2023, 164, 109539. [CrossRef]
- 22. Lee, B.S.; Burr, G.W.; Shelby, R.M.; Raoux, S.; Rettner, C.T.; Bogle, S.N.; Darmawikarta, K.; Bishop, S.G.; Abelson, J.R. Observation of the role of subcritical nuclei in crystallization of a glassy solid. *Science* **2009**, *326*, 980–984. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 23. Wang, Z.; Tan, D.; Qiu, J. Single-shot photon recording for three-dimensional memory with prospects of high capacity. *Opt. Lett.* **2020**, 45, 6274–6277. [CrossRef]
- 24. Huang, X.; Guo, Q.; Yang, D.; Xiao, X.; Liu, X.; Xia, Z.; Fan, F.; Qiu, J.; Dong, G. Reversible 3D laser printing of perovskite quantum dots inside a transparent medium. *Nat. Photonics* **2020**, *14*, 82–88. [CrossRef]
- 25. Li, X.; Wu, Y.; Lin, H.; Chen, G.; Hao, Y.; Wang, P.; Lin, S.; Xu, J.; Cheng, Y.; Wang, Y. Photochromic 3D Optical Storage: Laser-Induced Regulation of Localized Optical Basicity of Glass. *Laser Photonics Rev.* **2023**, *18*, 2300744. [CrossRef]

- 26. Jin, M.; Zhou, W.; Ma, W.; Wang, Q.; Liang, X.; Zhang, P.; Xiang, W. The inhibition of CsPbBr3 nanocrystals glass from self-crystallization with the assistance of ZnO modulation for rewritable data storage. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2022**, *427*, 129812. [CrossRef]
- Sun, S.; Cheng, Z.; Song, J.; Yan, C.; Man, T.; Dong, G.; Qian, B.; Qiu, J. Low-Power-Consumption, Reversible 3D Optical Storage Based on Selectively Laser-Induced Photoluminescence Degradation in CsPbBr3 Quantum Dots Doped Glass. *Adv. Mater. Technol.* 2022, 7, 2200470. [CrossRef]
- 28. Wang, H.; Lei, Y.; Wang, L.; Sakakura, M.; Yu, Y.; Shayeganrad, G.; Kazansky, P.G. 100-Layer Error-Free 5D Optical Data Storage by Ultrafast Laser Nanostructuring in Glass. *Laser Photonics Rev.* **2022**, *16*, 2100563. [CrossRef]
- 29. Fedotov, S.; Lipatiev, A.; Lipateva, T.; Lotarev, S.; Melnikov, E.; Sigaev, V. Femtosecond laser-induced birefringent microdomains in sodium-borate glass for highly secure data storage. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2021**, *104*, 4297–4303. [CrossRef]
- 30. Su, Z.; Sun, S.; Dai, Y.; Liu, X.; Qiu, J. Femtosecond laser direct-writing of perovskite nanocrystals in glasses. J. Non-Cryst. Solids X 2023, 18, 100182. [CrossRef]
- Azkona, J.J.; Martinez-Calderon, M.; Granados, E.; Gomez-Aranzadi, M.; Rodriguez, A.; Olaizola, S.M. Femtosecond laser fabrication of volume-phase gratings in CdS_xSe_{1-x} doped borosilicate glass at a low repetition rate. *Appl. Opt.* 2019, 58, 4220–4226. [CrossRef]
- 32. Lotarev, S.V.; Fedotov, S.S.; Pomigueva, A.I.; Lipatiev, A.S.; Sigaev, V.N. Effect of Pulse Repetition Rate on Ultrafast Laser-Induced Modification of Sodium Germanate Glass. *Nanomaterials* **2023**, *13*, 1208. [CrossRef]
- Vetchinnikov, M.P.; Lipatiev, A.S.; Shakhgildyan, G.Y.; Golubev, N.V.; Ignateva, E.S.; Fedotov, S.S.; Lipatieva, T.O.; Lotarev, S.V.; Vilkovisky, G.A.; Sigaev, V.N. Direct femtosecond laser-induced formation of CdS quantum dots inside silicate glass. *Opt. Lett.* 2018, 43, 2519–2522. [CrossRef]
- 34. Bera, D.; Qian, L.; Tseng, T.K.; Holloway, P.H. Quantum Dots and Their Multimodal Applications: A Review. *Materials* **2010**, *3*, 2260–2345. [CrossRef]
- Lipatiev, A.S.; Shakhgildyan, G.Y.; Vetchinnikov, M.P.; Lotarev, S.V.; Lipatieva, T.O.; Sigaev, V.N. Laser writing of luminescent microdomains with CdS quantum dots in silicate glass. *Glass Ceram.* 2021, 77, 369–371. [CrossRef]
- 36. Korkut, C.; Cınar, K.; Kabacelik, I.; Turan, R.; Kulakcı, M.; Bek, A. Laser Crystallization of Amorphous Ge Thin Films via a Nanosecond Pulsed Infrared Laser. *Cryst. Growth Des.* **2021**, *21*, 4632–4639. [CrossRef]
- 37. Zhang, Y.; Liu, H.; Mo, J.; Wang, M.; Chen, Z.; He, Y.; Yang, W.; Tang, C. Atomic-level crystallization in selective laser melting fabricated Zr-based metallic glasses. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *21*, 12406–12413. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Phillips, K.C.; Gandhi, H.H.; Mazur, E.; Sundaram, S.K. Ultrafast laser processing of materials: A review. Adv. Opt. Photonics 2015, 7, 684–712. [CrossRef]
- Tan, D.; Sharafudeen, K.N.; Yue, Y.; Qiu, J. Femtosecond laser induced phenomena in transparent solid materials: Fundamentals and applications. *Prog. Mater. Sci.* 2016, 76, 154–228. [CrossRef]
- Ams, M.; Marshall, G.D.; Dekker, P.; Dubov, M.; Mezentsev, V.K.; Bennion, I.; Withford, M.J. Investigation of Ultrafast Laser– Photonic Material Interactions: Challenges for Directly Written Glass Photonics. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.* 2008, 14, 1370–1381. [CrossRef]
- 41. Gamaly, E.G.; Juodkazis, S.; Nishimura, K.; Misawa, H.; Luther-Davies, B.; Hallo, L.; Nicolai, P.; Tikhonchuk, V.T. Laser-matter interaction in the bulk of a transparent solid: Confined microexplosion and void formation. *Phys. Rev. B* 2006, *73*, 214101. [CrossRef]
- 42. Schaffer, C.B.; Brodeur, A.; Mazur, E. Laser-induced breakdown and damage in bulk transparent materials induced by tightly focused femtosecond laser pulses. *Meas. Sci. Technol.* 2001, 12, 1784–1794. [CrossRef]
- Lancry, M.; Regnier, E.; Poumellec, B. Fictive temperature in silica-based glasses and its application to optical fiber manufacturing. Prog. Mater. Sci. 2012, 57, 63–94. [CrossRef]
- 44. Debenedetti, P.; Stillinger, F. Supercooled liquids and the glass transition. Nature 2001, 410, 259–267. [CrossRef]
- 45. Yoshino, T.; Ozeki, Y.; Matsumoto, M.; Itoh, K. In situ Micro-Raman Investigation of Spatio-Temporal Evolution of Heat in Ultrafast Laser Microprocessing of Glass. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **2012**, *51*, 102403. [CrossRef]
- 46. Richter, S.; Hashimoto, F.; Zimmermann, F.; Ozeki, Y.; Itoh, K.; Tunnermann, A.; Nolte, S. Spatial and temporal temperature distribution of ultrashort pulse induced heat accumulation in glass. In *Frontiers in Ultrafast Optics: Biomedical, Scientific, and Industrial Applications XV, Proceedings of the SPIE LASE, San Francisco, CA, USA, 7–12 February 2015*; Heisterkamp, A., Herman, P.R., Meunier, M., Nolte, S., Eds.; SPIE: Bellingham, WA, USA, 2015; Volume 9355. [CrossRef]
- 47. Richter, S.; Zimmermann, F.; Tunnermann, A.; Nolte, S. Laser welding of glasses at high repetition rates—Fundamentals and prospects. *Opt. Laser Technol.* **2016**, *83*, 59–66. [CrossRef]
- Shakhgildyan, G.Y.; Lipatiev, A.S.; Vetchinnikov, M.P.; Popova, V.V.; Lotarev, S.V.; Golubev, N.V.; Ignateva, E.S.; Presniakov, M.M.; Sigaev, V.N. One-step micro-modification of optical properties in silver-doped zinc phosphate glasses by femtosecond direct laser writing. J. Non-Cryst. Sol. 2018, 481, 634–642. [CrossRef]
- Kunwar, P.; Soman, P. Direct Laser Writing of Fluorescent Silver Nanoclusters: A Review of Methods and Applications. ACS Appl. Nano Mater. 2020, 3, 7325–7342. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Varkentina, N.; Cardinal, T.; Morote, F.; Mounaix, P.; Andre, P.; Deshayes, Y.; Canioni, L. Examination of femtosecond laser matter interaction in multipulse regime for surface nanopatterning of vitreous substrates. *Opt. Express* 2013, 21, 29090–29100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 51. Chen, L.; Guo, C.; Pan, M.; Lai, C.; Wang, Y.; Liao, G.; Ma, Z.; Zhang, F.; Suriyaprakash, J.; Guo, L.; et al. Sub-40 nm nanogratings self-organized in PVP-based polymer composite film by photoexcitation and two sequent splitting under femtosecond laser irradiation. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2023, *609*, 155395. [CrossRef]
- 52. Shimizu, M.; Sakakura, M.; Ohnishi, M.; Shimotsuma, Y.; Nakaya, T.; Miura, K.; Hirao, K. Mechanism of heat-modification inside a glass after irradiation with high-repetition rate femtosecond laser pulses. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2010**, *108*, 073533. [CrossRef]
- 53. Sakakura, M.; Terazima, M. Initial temporal and spatial changes of the refractive index induced by focused femtosecond pulsed laser irradiation inside a glass. *Phys. Rev. B* 2005, *71*, 024113. [CrossRef]
- 54. Shimotsuma, Y.; Sakakura, M.; Miura, K.; Qiu, J.; Kazansky, P.G.; Fujita, K.; Hirao, K. Application of femtosecond-laser induced nanostructures in optical memory. *J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol.* **2007**, *7*, 94–104. [CrossRef]
- 55. Macedo, G.N.B.M.; Sawamura, S.; Wondraczek, L. Lateral hardness and the scratch resistance of glasses in the Na₂O-CaO-SiO₂ system. *J. Non-Cryst. Sol.* **2018**, 492, 94–101. [CrossRef]
- 56. Gonzalez-Oliver, C.J.R.; James, P.F. Crystal nucleation and growth in a Crystal nucleation and growth in a Na₂O·2CaO·3SiO₂ glass. *J. Non-Cryst. Sol.* **1980**, *38–39*, 699–704. [CrossRef]
- Huang, J.; Gupta, P.K. Temperature dependence of the isostructural heat capacity of a soda lime silicate glass. J. Non-Cryst. Sol. 1992, 139, 239–247. [CrossRef]
- 58. Liu, B.; Liu, Q.; Li, B.; Behrens, H.; Lange, R.A. Ultrasonic studies of alkali-rich hydrous silicate glasses: Elasticity, density, and implications for water dissolution mechanisms. *Am. Mineral.* **2023**, *108*, 744–753. [CrossRef]
- 59. Wang, M.; Cheng, J.; Li, M.; He, F.; Deng, W. Viscosity and thermal expansion of soda-lime-silica glass doped with Gd₂O₃ and Y₂O₃. *Solid State Sci.* **2012**, *14*, 1233–1237. [CrossRef]
- 60. Bouras, N.; Madjoubi, M.A.; Kolli, M.; Benterki, S.; Hamidouche, M. Thermal and mechanical characterization of borosilicate glass. *Phys. Procedia* **2009**, *2*, 1135–1140. [CrossRef]
- 61. Wereszczak, A.A.; Anderson Jr, C.E. Borofloat and Starphire Float Glasses: A Comparison. *Int. J. Appl. Glass Sci.* 2014, *5*, 334–344. [CrossRef]
- 62. George, J.L.; Sugawara, T.; Ohira, T.; Kruger, A.A.; Vienna, J.D. Heat capacity of complex aluminoborosilicate glasses. *Int. J. Appl. Glass Sci.* 2022, *13*, 576–590. [CrossRef]
- 63. Moynihan, C.T.; Easteal, A.J.; De Bolt, M.A.; Tucker, J. Dependence of the Fictive Temperature of Glass on Cooling Rate. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **1976**, *59*, 12–16. [CrossRef]
- 64. Lide, D.R. CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 90th ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2009; ISBN 13:978-1420090840/ISBN 10:1420090844.
- 65. Birge, N.O.; Nagel, S.R. Specific heat spectroscopy of the glass transition. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1985, 54, 2674–2677. [CrossRef]
- 66. Korus, J.; Beiner, M.; Busse, K.; Kahle, S.; Unger, R.; Donth, E. Heat capacity spectroscopy at the glass transition in polymers. *Thermochim. Acta* **1997**, 304–305, 99–110. [CrossRef]
- 67. Chua, Y.Z.; Schulz, G.; Shoifet, E.; Huth, H.; Zorn, R.; Scmelzer, J.W.P.; Schick, C. Glass transition cooperativity from broad band heat capacity spectroscopy. *Colloid Polym. Sci.* 2014, 292, 1893–1904. [CrossRef]
- Minakov, A.; Schick, C. Nanometer scale thermal response of polymers to fast thermal perturbations. J. Chem. Phys. 2018, 149, 074503. [CrossRef]
- 69. Minakov, A.; Schick, C. Integro-Differential Equation for the Non-Equilibrium Thermal Response of Glass-Forming Materials: Analytical Solutions. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 256. [CrossRef]
- 70. Minakov, A.; Schick, C. Thermal Perturbations at Crystal Nucleation in Glass-Forming Liquids. Energies 2022, 15, 9005. [CrossRef]
- Minakov, A.A.; Schick, C. Nanoscale Heat Conduction in CNT-polymer Nanocomposites at Fast Thermal Perturbations. *Molecules* 2019, 24, 2794. [CrossRef]
- Landau, L.D.; Lifshitz, E.M. Course of Theoretical Physics 5: Statistical Physics Part 1, 3rd ed.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, UK, 1980; ISBN 10:0750633727/ISBN 13:978-0750633727.
- 73. Landau, L.D.; Lifshitz, E.M. Course of Theoretical Physics 8: Electrodynamics of Continuous Media, 2nd ed.; Pergamon Press: Oxford, UK, 2000; ISBN 10:0750626348/ISBN 13:978-0750626347.
- Schilling, R.L.; Song, R.; Vondracek, Z. Bernstein Functions: Theory and Applications; Hubert & Co: Berlin, Germany, 2010; ISBN 978-3-11-021530-4. [CrossRef]
- 75. Johnston, D.C. Stretched exponential relaxation arising from a continuous sum of exponential decays. *Phys. Rev. B* 2006, 74, 184430. [CrossRef]
- Berberan-Santos, M.N.; Bodunov, E.N.; Valeur, B. Mathematical functions for the analysis of luminescence decays with underlying distributions 1: Kohlrausch decay function (stretched exponential). *Chem. Phys.* 2005, 315, 171–182. [CrossRef]
- 77. Gupta, P.K.; Moynihan, C.T. Prigogine-Defay ratio for systems with more than one order parameter. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1976**, *65*, 4136–4140. [CrossRef]
- Sukenaga, S.; Endo, T.; Nishi, T.; Yamada, H.; Ohara, K.; Wakihara, T.; Inoue, K.; Kawanishi, S.; Ohta, H.; Shibata, H. Thermal Conductivity of Sodium Silicate Glasses and Melts: Contribution of Diffusive and Propagative Vibration Modes. *Front. Mater.* 2021, *8*, 753746. [CrossRef]
- 79. Kim, Y.; Morita, K. Thermal Conductivity of Molten B₂O₃, B₂O₃–SiO₂, Na₂O–B₂O₃, and Na₂O–SiO₂ Systems. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2015**, *98*, 1588–1595. [CrossRef]

- Shimizu, M.; Sakakura, M.; Ohnishi, M.; Yamaji, M.; Shimotsuma, Y.; Hirao, K.; Miura, K. Three-dimensional temperature distribution and modification mechanism in glass during ultrafast laser irradiation at high repetition rates. *Opt. Express* 2012, 20, 934–940. [CrossRef]
- 81. Sakakura, M.; Terazima, M.; Shimotsuma, Y.; Miura, K.; Hirao, K. Heating and rapid cooling of bulk glass after photoexcitation by a focused femtosecond laser pulse. *Opt. Express* **2007**, *15*, 16800–16807. [CrossRef]
- Vladimirov, V.S. A Collection of Problems on the Equations of Mathematical Physics; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1986; ISBN 978-3-662-05560-1. [CrossRef]
- 83. Schawe, J.E.K.; Hess, K.U. The kinetics of the glass transition of silicate glass measured by fast scanning calorimetry. *Thermochim. Acta* **2019**, 677, 85–90. [CrossRef]
- 84. Li, Y.Z.; Zhao, L.Z.; Sun, Y.T.; Wen, P.; Bai, H.Y.; Wang, W.H. Effect of dynamical heterogeneity on heat capacity at glass transition in typical silicate glasses. *J. Appl. Phys.* 2015, *118*, 244905. [CrossRef]
- Bellec, M.; Royon, A.; Bourhis, K.; Choi, J.; Bousquet, B.; Treguer, M.; Cardinal, T.; Videau, J.J.; Richardson, M.; Canioni, L. 3D Patterning at the Nanoscale of Fluorescent Emitters in Glass. J. Phys. Chem. C 2010, 114, 15584–15588. [CrossRef]
- Carrillo, A.J.; Isayev, A.I. Thermal Stresses and Birefringence in Quenched Tubes and Rods: Simulation and Experiment. *Polym. Eng. Sci.* 2011, *51*, 179–200. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.