

Article Applicability of Sun's Empirical Relations for Non-Uniform Sediment in Jiaojiang Estuaries, Zhejiang, China

Wuming Ni^{1,*}, Zhilin Sun¹, Cong Guo², Zongyu Li³ and Rong Zheng¹

- 2 Power China Huadong Engineering Corporation Limited, Hangzhou 310014, China 3
- Ocean College, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China

Correspondence: wumingni@zju.edu.cn

Abstract: The numerical simulation of non-uniform sediment transport under tidal flow in estuaries is a complicated, yet important, issue in Zhejiang estuaries. In this paper, a depth-averaged twodimensional (2D) mathematical model for non-uniform sediment transport in estuaries is established and applied in Zhejiang tidal estuaries based on several newly derived formulas by Zhlin Sun et al. The model is validated using data from several experiments, including an aggradation test and an erosion test. Good performance in the tests indicates that the present model can simulate aggradation and erosion processes of non-uniform sediment. The model is also verified by observational data from the Jiaojiang estuary, and calculations agree well with measurements. The model is thus adaptable to simulating flow and non-uniform sediment transport in tidal estuaries.

Keywords: non-uniform sediment; cohesive sediment; mathematical model; critical bed-shear stress; tidal estuary



Citation: Ni, W.; Sun, Z.; Guo, C.; Li, Z.; Zheng, R. Applicability of Sun's Empirical Relations for Non-Uniform Sediment in Jiaojiang Estuaries, Zhejiang, China. Appl. Sci. 2023, 13, 4286. https://doi.org/10.3390/ app13074286

Academic Editor: Frank T. Manheim

Received: 25 February 2023 Revised: 23 March 2023 Accepted: 27 March 2023 Published: 28 March 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

Compared with physical models of sediment transport, numerical models have several advantages, such as low running costs, fast simulation speed, and convenient parameter adjustment. Thus, numerical models have wide applications in the planning, design, and assessment of estuarine and coastal engineering [1-5].

Previous efforts to model sediment transport have conducted lots of work based on non-uniform sediment that exists in natural rivers and coastal waters [2]. Research showed that models considering multiple sediment classes appeared to be better suited to simulate suspended sediment transport in the Tagus estuary than models using a single grain size [6]. In the numerical experiments, the computed results improved significantly when they used non-uniform sediment transport formulas or a modified approach [7]. Research also demonstrated that a model considering non-uniform sediment transport yielded the results that were consistent with measurements, while a model that only considered uniform sediment under-predicted or over-predicted the sediment transport rates [8,9]. It has been found that dividing sediments into a number of classes and separately simulating each sediment size class improved predictions [10]. In contrast, they found that the traditional approach of adjusting the sediment in a multi-disperse mixture to consider all particle sizes does not accurately predict the distribution of volumetric sediment concentrations. As a result, models using non-uniform sediment parameters show better simulation reliability than uniform sediment methods. Zhejiang, where the estuary of one of the world's biggest river lies, is one of the most densely distributed areas of estuaries in China. The flow structure and sediment transport are complicated under the combined influence of natural and anthropic factors. Quantification of sediment transport in tidal estuaries is essential to support both theoretical, as well as numerical modelling, of tidal estuarine morphodynamic evolution. Obtaining high-resolution data of sediment transport is hard. Both physical modelling and numerical simulation methods have been employed to investigate the

¹ College of Civil Engineering and Architecture, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310058, China

sediment transport problem in estuaries. Novak et al. [11] elaborated on the theory and design of different river engineering models, including estuarine and coastal conditions. Davinroy et al. [12] proposed a small-scale riverine model, and Maynord et al. [13] reviewed its applicability.

Flow in estuary is subject to the combined effect of tide and residual runoff, and sediment flux comes in both directions, thus creating a complex dynamic environment. Compared with inland rivers, the sediment transport in tidal estuaries is more complex and therefore more difficult to simulate [14-16]. The estuarine zone is where the land and sea interact. Various physical processes, such as current, waves, and sediments, are coupled and add to computation complexity. In recent years, a few non-uniform sediment numerical models have been proposed in the literature. Lu et al. [17] established a two-dimensional non-uniform sediment transport model for the Oujiang estuary and Wenzhou Bay by using the sediment transport capacity formula for uniform sediment. Wu et al. [18,19] developed two models for non-uniform sediment transport in channels by considering hiding-exposure effects among different size classes. Fang et al. [20,21] proposed a one-dimensional and a three-dimensional model for non-uniform sediment transport in rivers by using a formula for sediment transport capacity that did not consider the interaction between fractions. Hung et al. [22] described a two-dimensional model for non-uniform sediment transport in alluvial channels by using empirical values for the critical bed-shear stresses for erosion and deposition. Xiao et al. [23] presented a twodimensional model for mixed-grain size sediment transport by considering grain-sorting effects in non-uniform sediment. Hu et al. [24] proposed a one-dimensional model using the surface-based formulation (SBF), which uses sediment exchange flux in estimating the sediment fraction instead of the traditional active layer formulation (ALF). Qian et al. [25] presented a one-dimensional well balanced model to simulate flows and non-capacity transport of non-uniform sediment in alluvial rivers. Most of the present models for nonuniform sediment transport use formulas for uniform sediment for calculations involving the various particle size fractions of non-uniform sediment or use empirical values for key parameters. Besides, most sediment formulas were not optimized for the Zhejiang area and did not show good compatibility. To better describe non-uniform sediment transport, Sun [26–32] deduced the probability of the fractional incipient motion for non-uniform sediment. This allowed the critical bed-shear stress for erosion and deposition of nonuniform sediment to be calculated [31,32]. The erosion coefficients for cohesive non-uniform sediment are suggested [31]. In addition, Sun [26] derived a quasi-theoretical formula for the settling velocity of any particle size in various passing-flow states.

In this paper, Sun's theories for non-uniform sediment transport are used to develop a depth-averaged two-dimensional mathematical model in estuaries. We encode Sun's formulas about non-uniform sediment into the numerical model and aim to further verify Sun's theories for non-uniform sediment transport using numerical examples and practical applications. The resulting model can be applied to the analysis and design of estuarine engineering involving sediment transport problems.

2. Overview of Sun's Theories for Non-Uniform Sediment Transport

2.1. Incipient Probability of Non-Uniform Sediment

The probability of incipient motion is one of the key parameters in the mechanics of sediment transport, and most of the previous research [33] has focused on non-cohesive uniform sediment. The probability of fractional incipient motion for non-cohesive non-uniform sediment was deduced by Sun [27] after analyzing the random properties of the acting forces including drag force *FDk*, lift force *FLk*, and gravity *Gk*, as well as their moment arms *LDk*, *LLk*, and *LGk*. Further introducing the cohesive force *FC* and its moment arm *LCk*, the incipient probability for cohesive non-uniform sediment was derived as follows [30]:

$$a_{k} = P_{r}(L_{Dk}F_{Dk} + L_{Lk}F_{Lk} \ge L_{Gk}G_{k} + L_{Ck}F_{Ck}) = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-2.7(\sqrt{0.0822/\tau_{*k}} - 1)}^{2.7(\sqrt{0.0822/\tau_{*k}} - 1)} e^{-0.5x^{2}} dx$$
(1)

where the subscript *k* is the *k*-th fraction of non-uniform sediment, and τ_{*k} is the dimensionless shear stress. For cohesive non-uniform sediment, the following holds:

$$\tau_{*k} = \frac{\tau_0'}{\xi_k(\rho_s - \rho)gD_k} \left[1 + 1.5C_0 \left(\frac{\rho_s'}{\rho_{s*}'}\right)^l \frac{\rho}{\rho_s - \rho} \sqrt{\frac{D_m}{D_k}} \frac{(H + h_0)\delta}{D_k^2} \right]^{-1}$$
(2)

in which ρ is the density of water; ρ_s is the density of sediment particles; τ'_0 is the bed-shear stress with respect to grain roughness; D_k is the average diameter for the *k*-th size fraction; ξ_k is the coefficient of hiding and exposure effects; and $\xi_k = (D_k/D_m)^{0.5}\sigma_g^{0.25}$, where σ_g and D_m are the geometric deviation and mean diameter of bed material. C_0 is a coefficient, and *l* is an exponent, and C_0 is approximately 0.032–0.046, and *l* ranges from 2.2 to 3.1 in experimental studies. Both quantities can be calibrated in the application. ρ'_s is the dry density of sediment; ρ'_{s*} is the stable dry density of sediment, taken as $1.6 \times 10^3 \text{ kg/m}^3$; *H* is the water depth; h_0 is the additional head pressure caused by molecular attraction; in general, $h_0 = 3.5 \text{ m}$; δ is the thickness of the bonded water at the particle surface; and $\delta = 2.0 \times 10^{-7} \text{ m}$.

Formula (1) results in a relatively comprehensive description of the forces acting on the particles. The incipient velocity and critical bed-shear stress for erosion of non-uniform sediment can be deduced from Formula (1).

2.2. Critical Bed-Shear Stress of Non-Uniform Sediment

2.2.1. Critical Bed-Shear Stress for Erosion

The erosion rate E(k) for non-uniform cohesive sediment is a power function of the dimensionless excessive shear stress:

$$E(k) = \begin{cases} M\left(\frac{\tau_b - \tau_{ek}}{\tau_{ek}}\right)^m & \text{for } \tau_b \ge \tau_{ek} \\ 0 & \text{for } \tau_b < \tau_{ek} \end{cases}$$
(3)

where τ_b is the bed-shear stress; τ_{ek} is the critical bed-shear stress for erosion; *M* is the erosion coefficients; and *m* is an exponent.

The critical bed-shear stress for erosion can be regarded as the bottom shear stress of flow when the incipient probability is equal to 0.05 [30]. In that case, the expression of critical bed-shear stress for erosion can be obtained from Formula (2).

$$\tau_{ek} = 0.032 \frac{(\rho_s - \rho)gD_k}{\xi_k} + 0.048C_0 \left(\frac{\rho'_s}{\rho'_{s*}}\right)^l \left(\frac{D_m}{D_k}\right)^{0.5} \frac{\rho g(H + h_0)\delta}{\xi_k D_k}$$
(4)

The first term of Formula (4) represents the effect of gravity, and the second term represents the effect of cohesive force. It is difficult to move the fine sediment because of the cohesive force, so the smaller the particle size, the greater the critical shear stress required. For coarse particles, the second term is a small value relative to the first term, so Formula (4) can be applied both to cohesive and non-cohesive sediment.

2.2.2. Critical Bed-Shear Stress for Deposition

Similar to the Krone formula [34], the deposition rate D(k) for the *k*-th fraction of non-uniform sediment is as follows:

$$D(k) = \begin{cases} \omega_k S_k \left(1 - \frac{\tau_b}{\tau_{dk}} \right) & \text{for } \tau_b \le \tau_{dk} \\ 0 & \text{for } \tau_b > \tau_{dk} \end{cases}$$
(5)

where ω_k is the settling velocity; S_k is the sediment concentration by weight, and $S_k = P_k S$; P_k is the percentage of non-uniform suspended sediment; S is the total sediment concentration, and $S = \sum_{k=1}^{N} S_k$; N is the total number of sediment fractions; and τ_{dk} is the critical bed-shear stress for deposition.

The critical shear stress for deposition τ_d is a key parameter for determining sedimentation rates. The research shows that the incipient velocity must reach approximately 1.2 times the ceasing velocity [35]. Thus, the critical bed-shear stress for erosion should be approximately 1.44 times the critical shear stress for deposition, and the critical bed-shear stress for deposition of the coarse particles can be expressed as follows:

$$\tau_{dk} = 0.022 \frac{(\rho_s - \rho)gD_k}{\xi_k} \tag{6}$$

Sun [6] suggests that flocculation of fine suspended particles is caused by molecular attraction, so the flocculation of fine particles can be parameterized by molecular attraction. Flow depth H in the cohesive force term can be neglected because the hydraulic pressure does not work for suspended particles. Therefore, the critical bed-shear stress for the deposition of cohesive non-uniform sediment can be expressed as follows:

$$\tau_{dk} = 0.022 \left[\frac{(\rho_s - \rho)gD_k}{\xi_k} + 1.5C_0 \left(\frac{S}{S_c}\right)^l \left(\frac{D_m}{D_k}\right)^{0.5} \frac{\rho g h_0 \delta}{\xi_k D_k} \right]$$
(7)

where S_c is the critical sediment concentration for the transition from normal flow to hyperconcentrated flow. The value of S_c exceeds 200–300 kg/m³ for riverine flow [36]. Formula (7) reflects the increase in critical bed-shear stress for deposition caused by molecular attraction between fine particles, which increases the settling probability of the cohesive fine particles, i.e., $1 - \tau_b / \tau_{dk}$.

2.3. Erosion Coefficient of Cohesive Non-Uniform Sediment

The erosion coefficient *M* and exponent *m* play a crucial role in determining the erosion rate, and models generally use empirical values for them. A series of incipient motion and scour experiments were carried out using drilling samples (with a grain size ranging from 5 μ m to 100 μ m) from the Qiantang River Estuary [2]. Experimental results indicate that the erosion parameters are related to the consolidation state of the bed material. The values of *M*'(*M* = $\rho_s M'$) and *m* are suggested by the following:

$$\begin{cases} M' = (2.0 \sim 3.5) \times 10^{-5} \text{ m/s}, \quad m = 2 \quad \text{for unconsolidated silt} \\ M' = (1.0 \sim 4.5) \times 10^{-5} \text{ m/s}, \quad m = 1 \quad \text{for partially consolidated silt} \\ M' = (1.0 \sim 2.0) \times 10^{-5} \text{ m/s}, \quad m = 1 \quad \text{for fully consolidated silt} \end{cases}$$
(8)

The erosion coefficients in Formula (8) can be calibrated based on measured data.

2.4. Settling Velocity Formula

After analyzing several representative formulas for the settling velocity, Sun [26] proposed a formula as follows:

$$\omega_k = \frac{\nu}{D_k} \sqrt{\frac{4D_{*k}^3}{3\left(\lambda_{1k}\cos\theta_{0k} + \lambda_{2k}\sin^2\theta_{0k}\right)}} \tag{9}$$

where ν is the kinematic viscosity of flow, D_{*k} is the dimensionless diameter grain size of sediment, $D_{*k} = \left(\frac{\rho_s - \rho}{\rho} \frac{g D_k^3}{\nu^2}\right)^{1/3}$, λ_{1k} is the viscous friction coefficient, and $\lambda_{1k} = 2.4 + 10^{10}$

 $384\left(\sqrt{1+D_{*k}^3/80+1}\right)/D_{*k}^3$, λ_{2k} is the shape resistance coefficient and $\lambda_{2k} = 1.2$, and θ_{0k} is the separation angle of the passing flow as follows:

$$\theta_{0k} = \frac{0.5\pi}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{11}{D_{*k}}\right)^3\right]} \tag{10}$$

The flocculation of cohesive sediment in estuaries must be considered to determine the settling velocity. In general, the settling velocity of flocs can be written as:

$$\omega_{fk} = F\omega_k \tag{11}$$

where *F* is the flocculation factor related to the sediment size and concentration, salinity, and turbulence. Several empirical expressions for *F* have been proposed by researchers, including Wu and Wang [37].

3. Governing Equations and Numerical Scheme

3.1. Governing Equations

The depth-integrated continuity and momentum equations of tidal flow are as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial Hu}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Hv}{\partial y} = 0 \tag{12}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - fv + g\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\varepsilon_x\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\varepsilon_y\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right) + \frac{1}{\rho H}\left(\tau_x^s - \tau_x^b\right)$$
(13)

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + fu + g\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\varepsilon_x\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(\varepsilon_y\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right) + \frac{1}{\rho H}\left(\tau_y^s - \tau_y^b\right)$$
(14)

where *t* is the time; *x* and *y* are horizontal Cartesian coordinates; ζ is the water level from the mean sea level; *h* is the water depth from the seabed to the mean sea level; $H = \zeta + h$ is the total water depth; *u* and *v* are depth-averaged flow velocities in the *x* and *y* directions, respectively; ε_x and ε_y are the horizontal eddy viscosity of turbulent flow, respectively; *f* is the parameter; *g* is the gravitational acceleration; τ_x^s and τ_y^s are surface wind shear stresses; τ_x^b and τ_y^b are bottom shear stresses, and they can be expressed as $\tau_x^b = gn^2u\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}/H^{1/3}$, and $\tau_y^b = gn^2v\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}/H^{1/3}$; and *n* is Manning's roughness coefficient.

The transport of non-uniform sediment in water is governed by the following differential equation:

$$\frac{\partial S_k}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u S_k}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v S_k}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\varepsilon_{s,x} \frac{\partial S_k}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\varepsilon_{s,y} \frac{\partial S_k}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{F_{sk}}{H}$$
(15)

in which $\varepsilon_{s,x}$ and $\varepsilon_{s,y}$ are horizontal diffusion coefficients for sediment, and F_{sk} is the source/sink term or the bed erosion/deposition function, expressed as:

$$F_{sk} = E(k) - D(k) \tag{16}$$

The erosion rate E(k) and the deposition rate D(k) are calculated by Formulas (29) and (31), respectively.

The bed deformation equation can be written as:

$$\rho_s' \frac{\partial z_b}{\partial t} + \sum_{k=1}^N F_{sk} = 0 \tag{17}$$

where z_b is the bed elevation. The dry density of sediment ρ'_s can be obtained from experimental data or calculated by formulas, such as Wu and Wang's formula [38].

3.2. Numerical Scheme

Lu [39] developed a hybrid operator splitting (HOS) method for a hydrodynamic and sediment transport model by dividing the time integration of the flow momentum and sediment transport equations into three sequential stages according to physical significance. The numerical scheme used in the present model is based on Lu's work. Lu's discrete methods are shown to be stable unconditionally. Detailed information of stability and convergence analysis of discrete formats can be found in Lu's paper. Advection and the Coriolis force are approximated by the Eulerian-Lagrangian method (ELM) in the first step and the diffusion terms are discretized by implicit FEM in the second step. In the final step, the continuity and bed deformation equations are solved, and then, the pressure gradient and shear stress terms of the flow momentum equations and the sink/source terms of the sediment transport equation are computed by implicit FEM. Sun's empirical Formulas (1)–(17) are encoded in sediment transport module and used for sediment concentration and transport flux calculation. Nine-nodal isoparametric quadrilateral finite elements are used as the computational grid for the numerical model.

4. Model Verifications

4.1. Aggradation Test of Non-Uniform Sediment

The capacity of the model to simulate non-uniform sediment deposition was validated by comparing the simulated results with experimental data obtained by McAnally [40].

The experimental flume used by McAnally was 100 m long and 0.23 m wide. The inflow condition was obtained by injecting fine sediment slurry into a steady flow. The water depth at the outlet of flume was maintained at 15.2 cm by a tailgate. A summary of sediment experimental conditions is given in Table 1. Kaolinite was used in experiments W4 to W10, and Atchafalaya Bay mud was used in experiment W11. The grain size distributions of the kaolinite and Atchafalaya Bay mud are shown in Figure 1. Median diameters were approximately 1 μ m for the kaolinite and 15 μ m for the Atchafalaya Bay mud. The water used in the flume experiment had a pH of 7.8, 18.3 ppm of chloride, 13.1 ppm of sodium, and 1.9 ppm of calcium.

Experiment Number	Sediment	Inflow Concentration kg/m ³	Flow Rate m ³ /s	Slurry Temperature deg C	Duration h	Manning's Roughness Coefficient
W4	Kaolinite	10	0.0052	27	1.5	0.020
W5	Kaolinite	10	0.0070	27	1.0	0.021
W6	Kaolinite	10	0.0034	29	1.0	0.021
W9	Kaolinite	2	0.0034	27	1.25	0.022
W10	Kaolinite	5	0.0034	23	1.25	0.023
W11	Atchafalaya Bay Mud	10	0.0017	13	1.25	0.031

Table 1. Conditions for McAnally's experiments and numerical simulation.



Figure 1. Grain size distribution of sediment used in numerical simulation.

Figure 2 shows the sediment size distribution at the beginning of the experiment and the calculated sediment size distribution after 30 min, when a water–sediment parcel

would have neared the end of the flume in experiment W11. The size distribution calculated by present model is similar to the results obtained by McAnally [40]; the distribution is bimodal, with the peak remaining at approximately 75 μ m and the secondary peak moving toward larger sizes as ongoing aggregation of the smallest particles occurred.



Figure 2. Calculated size distribution of W11 at *t* = 30 min [40].

Figure 3 shows McAnally observed and calculated depth-averaged sediment concentration profiles over the length of the flume, with laboratory observations depicted as solid points and calculated depth-mean profiles as lines. Figure 3 demonstrates that the computed sediment concentrations generally agree with the measured concentrations. These results indicate that the critical bed-shear stress for deposition used in this model, Formula (6), reasonably well represents the flocculation of fine particles.



Figure 3. Observed and calculated longitudinal depth-mean concentration profiles.

4.2. Erosion Test of Non-Uniform Sediment

Hung et al. [29] conducted an experiment for the erosion of cohesive non-uniform sediment. A straight rectangular channel was used with a length of 8000 m, a width of 100 m, a slope of 0.0005, and Manning's roughness of 0.03. Three size classes of sediment were used in the study: 0.001 mm (clay, 33.33%), 0.01 mm (silt, 33.33%), and 0.05 mm (silt, 33.33%). The water surface elevation for the channel outlet was fixed at 4.5 m, and an

initial sediment concentration of zero was used. The total simulation time was six days, and a grid of 81×11 cells was used in the simulation. The erosion experiment included four cases with a constant inflow sediment concentration of 2 kg/m³ and various inflow discharges Q (case1: Q = 100 m³/s; case2: Q = 200 m³/s; case3: Q = 400 m³/s; and case4: Q = 800 m³/s).

Figure 4 shows that the erosion depths simulated by the present model and Hung et al.'s are nearly equal near the channel inlet, but the absolute values from our model become smaller relative to Hung's towards the outlet of the channel. A reasonable interpretation for this phenomenon is that the τek of our model is calculated using Formula (4) and considers the cohesive force in proportion to the water depth. In Hung's model, a constant value was used for critical bed-shear stress for erosion along the channel. These results demonstrate that our model can simulate erosion of cohesive non-uniform sediment.



Figure 4. Bed level change caused by different inflow discharges during the erosion test [29].

4.3. Simulation of Current and Sediment Transport in Jiaojiang Estuary

The Jiaojiang estuary, the third largest tidal estuary in Zhejiang Province, China, was chosen for model verification (Figure 5). It is a typical macro-tidal estuary with predominantly cohesive fine sediment. Samples from Jiaojiang estuary show that nearly 90% of the sediment is clay and fine silt with a diameter below 10 μ m (Figure 6). More detailed information about Jiaojiang estuary is available from previous work [41,42]. The hydrology data from April to May 2009 were chosen for verification to match the topography data. The morphology data were obtained from measured data in 2009. A discharge per-cell boundary was applied at the upstream boundary, and a long-term tidal surface elevation signal was used downstream. Additionally, measured sediment concentration profile was applied at the upstream boundary, and downstream sediment flux was obtained from sediment sampling stations. Based on the grading curve shown in Figure 6, five different sediment classes were chosen as representative sediment classes of the non-uniform sediment: 0.0014 mm, 10%; 0.004 mm, 15.2%; 0.016 mm, 30.5%; 0.031 mm, 12.5%. The grid cell sizes in the computation are 20-200 m within the Jiaojiang estuary mouth and 200–3500 m in the outer sea (Figure 5). The total area of the computational domain is approximately 2500 km². The time step is 10 s. Based on the available observations, the open boundary upstream of Jiaojiang River is controlled by water discharge, and the outer sea boundaries are governed by tidal elevations in the hydrodynamic simulations. The average size distribution of sediment samples was set as the initial sediment gradation.



Figure 5. Simulation domain and grids.





The model was validated by tidal levels from 27 April to 3 May 2009, as well as the current (speed and direction) and suspended sediment concentrations (SSC) during spring tide. Figure 7 compares the computed and observed tidal levels at several stations. The computed results are in good agreement with the measured values. Figure 8 compares calculated to measured flow velocities and directions, showing that both the magnitude and the direction of the computed velocity match the field data at each station. Thus, the present model is well suited to simulate tidal currents.



Figure 7. Comparison of the computed and observed tidal levels.



Figure 8. Comparison of the computed and observed tidal flow velocities.

The time series of computed and measured SSC is shown in Figure 9. In general, simulated SSC is in agreement with measured SSC. Figure 10a,b indicate the simulated SSC distribution at the flood and ebb during spring tide, respectively. The turbidity maximum zone of Jiaojiang estuary is reasonably duplicated by the model, as shown in Figure 10a,b. These results demonstrate that the present model, which uses Sun's theories for non-uniform sediment transport, has the capacity to simulate non-uniform sediment transport in estuaries.



Figure 9. Comparison of the computed and observed SSC.



Figure 10. (a) SSC distribution at the flood tide; (b) SSC distribution at the ebb tide.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, a depth-averaged two-dimensional model for the simulation of tidal current and non-uniform sediment transport in estuaries was developed based on a series of formulas proposed by Sun [1–5]. We firstly evaluate and discuss several key parameters for non-uniform sediment transport, including the critical bed-shear stress for erosion and deposition, erosion coefficients, and the settling velocity. The critical bed-shear stress for erosion and deposition deduced from the incipient probability of non-uniform sediment are able to describe both cohesive and noncohesive non-uniform sediment transport. Erosion coefficients are derived from experimental data on the scour of drilling samples from the Qiantang River estuary. The settling velocity formula is adequate for particles of any size and various passing flow states.

Results from this model are compared with several datasets, including both an aggradation and an erosion experiment. Good performance in the tests indicates that the model can simulate the aggradation and erosion processes of non-uniform sediment. The model is also verified using measured data from Jiaojiang estuary, and the computed results agree well with the measured values. The model can reasonably replicate the turbidity maximum zone of Jiaojiang estuary. The proposed model is able to simulate the flow and non-uniform sediment transport in tidal estuaries.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, W.N. and Z.S.; Methodology, C.G.; Validation, C.G.; Formal analysis, W.N.; Investigation, W.N.; Resources, Z.S.; Writing—Original draft, C.G.; Writing—Review & editing, W.N., Z.L. and R.Z.; Supervision, Z.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The research was supported by the doctoral program of Higher 300 Education Research Fund (Grant NO. 20120101110108) and the National Science and Technology Major Project 299 (Grant NO. 2009ZX07424-001). This work was supported by the project of Zhejiang Provincial Key Research and Development Program (2023C03133).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Notation

The incipient probability of non-uniform sediment
density of water
density of sediment particles
average diameter for the k-th size fraction
coefficient of hiding and exposure effects
geometric standard deviation of non-uniform sediment
mean diameter of bed material
dry density of sediment;
stable dry density of sediment: $ ho_{s*}^{\prime}$ = 1.6 $ imes$ 10^3 kg/m 3
erosion rate for the k-th fraction of non-uniform sediment
erosion coefficients and exponent
bed shear stress
critical bed-shear stress for erosion
deposition rate for the k-th fraction of non-uniform sediment
settling velocity
sediment concentration by weight: $S_k = P_k S$
percentage of non-uniform suspended sediment
total sediment concentration: $S = \sum_{k=1}^{N} S_k$

Ν	total numbers of sediment fractions
$ au_{dk}$	critical bed-shear stress for deposition
S _c	critical sediment concentration for the transition from normal flow to
	hyperconcentrated flow
t	time
и, v	components of flow velocity in x and y directions
Н	total water depth: $H = \zeta + h$
ζ	water level from the mean sea level
h	water depth from seabed to the mean sea level
ε_x and ε_y	horizontal eddy viscosity of turbulent flow
f	Coriolis parameter
8	gravitational acceleration: $g = 9.80 \text{ m/s}^2$
τ_x^s, τ_y^s	components of surface wind shear stresses in <i>x</i> and <i>y</i> directions
τ_x^b, τ_y^b	components of bottom shear stresses in x and y directions
$\varepsilon_{s,x}, \varepsilon_{s,y}$	horizontal diffusion coefficient of sediment
F _{sk}	the source/sink terms
z_b	bed elevation

Subscript

Κ

k-th fraction of non-uniform sediment.

References

- 1. Cao, Z.; Carling, P.A. Mathematical modelling of alluvial rivers: Reality and myth. Part 1: General review. In *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Water and Maritime Engineering*; Thomas Telford Ltd.: London, UK, 2002; Volume 154, pp. 207–219.
- Papanicolaou, A.N.; Elhakeem, M.; Krallis, G.; Prakash, S.; Edinger, J. Sediment transport modeling review—Current and future developments. J. Hydraul. Eng.-ASCE. 2008, 134, 1–14. [CrossRef]
- 3. Juez, C.; Ferrer-Boix, C.; Murillo, J.; Hassan, M.A.; García-Navarro, P. A model based on Hirano-Exner equations for twodimensional transient flows over heterogeneous erodible beds. *Adv. Water Resour.* **2016**, *87*, 1–18. [CrossRef]
- 4. Cao, Z.; Hu, P.; Pender, G.; Liu, H.H. Non-capacity transport of non-uniform bed load sediment in alluvial rivers. *J. Mt. Sci.* 2016, 13, 377–396. [CrossRef]
- Navas-Montilla, A.; Juez, C.; Franca, M.J.; Murillo, J. Depth-averaged unsteady RANS simulation of resonant shallow flows in lateral cavities using augmented WENO-ADER schemes. J. Comput. Phys. 2019, 395, 511–536. [CrossRef]
- 6. Portela, L.I. Non-uniform modelling of suspended sediment transport in the Tagus estuary. *WIT Trans. Ecol. Environ.* **2000**, 40, 1–8.
- Fischer-Antze, T.; Rüther, N.; Olsen, N.R.B.; Gutknecht, D. Three-dimensional (3D) modeling of non-uniform sediment transport in a channel bend with unsteady flow. *J. Hydraul. Res.* 2009, 47, 670–675. [CrossRef]
- 8. Tritthart, M.; Schober, B.; Liedermann, M.; Habersack, H. Numerical modeling of sediment transport in the Danube River: Uniform vs. non-uniform formulation. *River Flow* **2010**, *2010*, 977–984.
- Tritthart, M.; Liedermann, M.; Schober, B.; Habersack, H. Non-uniformity and layering in sediment transport modelling 2: River application. J. Hydraul. Res. 2011, 49, 335–344. [CrossRef]
- 10. Jha, S.K.; Bombardelli, F.A. Theoretical/numerical model for the transport of non-uniform suspended sediment in open channels. *Adv. Water Resour.* **2011**, *34*, 577–591. [CrossRef]
- 11. Novák, P.; Čabelka, J. Models in Hydraulic Engineering: Physical Principles and Design Applications; Pitman Publishing: London, UK, 1981.
- 12. Davinroy, R.D. Physical Sediment Modeling of the Mississippi River on a Micro Scale. Master's Thesis, University of Missouri, Rolla, MO, USA, 1994.
- 13. Maynord, S.T. Evaluation of the micromodel: An extremely small-scale movable bed model. *J. Hydraul. Eng.* **2006**, *132*, 343–353. [CrossRef]
- 14. Afzal, M.S.; Holmedal, L.E.; Myrhaug, D. Sediment transport in combined wave–current seabed boundary layers due to streaming. *J. Hydraul. Eng.* **2021**, *147*, 04021007. [CrossRef]
- 15. Afzal, M.S. 3D Numerical Modelling of Sediment Transport under Current and Waves. Master's Thesis, Institutt for Bygg, Anlegg og Transport, Trondheim, Norway, 2013.
- 16. Pourshahbaz, H.; Abbasi, S.; Pandey, M.; Pu, J.H.; Taghvaei, P.; Tofangdar, N. Morphology and hydrodynamics numerical simulation around groynes. *ISH J. Hydraul. Eng.* **2022**, *28*, 53–61. [CrossRef]
- 17. Lu, Y.J.; Li, H.L.; Dong, Z.; Lu, J.Y.; Hao, J.L. Two-Dimensional Mathematical Model of Tidal Current and Sediment for Oujiang Estuary and Wenzhou Bay. *China Ocean. Eng.* **2002**, *16*, 107–122.

- 18. Wu, W. Depth-averaged two-dimensional numerical modeling of unsteady flow and nonuniform sediment transport in open channels. *J. Hydraul. Eng.* **2004**, *130*, 1013–1024. [CrossRef]
- Wang, S.Y. Depth-averaged 2-D calculation of tidal flow, salinity and cohesive sediment transport in estuaries. *Int. J. Sediment Res.* 2004, 19, 172–190.
- 20. Fang, H.W.; Wang, G.Q. Three-dimensional mathematical model of suspended-sediment transport. J. Hydraul. Eng. 2000, 126, 578–592. [CrossRef]
- Hongwei, F.; Minghong, C.; Qianhai, C. One-dimensional numerical simulation of non-uniform sediment transport under unsteady flows. *Int. J. Sediment Res.* 2008, 23, 316–328.
- Hung, M.C.; Hsieh, T.Y.; Wu, C.H.; Yang, J.C. Two-dimensional nonequilibrium noncohesive and cohesive sediment transport model. *J. Hydraul. Eng.* 2009, 135, 369–382. [CrossRef]
- Xiao, Y.; Wang, H.; Shao, X. 2D numerical modeling of grain-sorting processes and grain size distributions. J. Hydro-Environ. Res. 2014, 8, 452–458. [CrossRef]
- Peng, H.; Cao, Z.; Pender, G.; Liu, H. Numerical modelling of riverbed grain size stratigraphic evolution. *Int. J. Sediment Res.* 2014, 29, 329–343.
- Qian, H.; Cao, Z.; Pender, G.; Liu, H.; Hu, P. Well-balanced numerical modelling of non-uniform sediment transport in alluvial rivers. Int. J. Sediment Res. 2015, 30, 117–130. [CrossRef]
- 26. Sun, Z.L. The General Law for the Fall Velocity of Particle in Quiescent Water. J. Hangzhou Univ. 1990, 17, 246–255. (In Chinese)
- 27. Sun, Z.L.; Xie, J.H.; Duan, W.Z.; Xie, B.L. Incipient motion of any fraction of non-uniform sediment. *J. Hydraul. Eng.-Beijing.* **1997**, *10*, 25–32. (In Chinese)
- 28. Sun, Z.; Donahue, J. Statistically derived bedload formula for any fraction of nonuniform sediment. *J. Hydraul. Eng.* **2000**, *126*, 105–111. [CrossRef]
- 29. Sun, Z.; Sun, Z.; Donahue, J. Equilibrium bed-concentration of nonuniform sediment. J. Zhejiang Univ.-SCIENCE A. 2003, 4, 186–194. [CrossRef]
- Sun, Z.L.; Huang, S.H.; Zhu, L.L. Incipient probability of cohesive non-uniform sediment. J. Zhejiang Univ. Eng. Sci. 2007, 41, 18–22. (In Chinese)
- 31. Sun, Z.L.; Zhang, C.C.; Huang, S.H.; Liang, X. Scour of cohesive non-uniform sediment. J. Sediment Res. 2011, 44–48. (In Chinese)
- 32. Sun, Z.L.; Ni, X.J.; Xu, D.; Nie, H. Some problems on mathematical model of sediment transport in estuary. J. Zhejiang Univ. Eng. Sci. 2015, 49, 231–236. (In Chinese)
- Tayfur, G. Empirical, numerical, and soft modelling approaches for non-cohesive sediment transport. *Environ. Process.* 2021, 8, 37–58. [CrossRef]
- 34. Krone, R.B. *Flume Studies of the Transport of Sediment in Estuarial Shoaling Processes*; Hydraulic and Sanitary Engineering Laboratory, University of California: Berkeley, CA, USA, 1962.
- 35. Zhang, R.J.; Xie, J.H. River Sediment Transport; China Water and Power Press: Beijing, China, 1997. (In Chinese)
- van Maren, D.S.; Winterwerp, J.C.; Wu, B.S.; Zhou, J.J. Modeling hyperconcentrated flow in the Yellow River. *Earth Surf. Process.* Landf. 2009, 34, 596–612. [CrossRef]
- Wu, W.M.; Vieira Dalmo, A.; Wang, S.S.Y. One-dimensional numerical model for non-uniform sediment transport under unsteady flows in channel networks. J. Hydraul. Eng.-ASCE 2004, 130, 914–923.
- Wu, W.M.; Wang Sam, S.Y. Formulas for Sediment Porosity and Settling Velocity. J. Hydraul. Eng.-ASCE 2006, 132, 858–862. [CrossRef]
- Lu, Q.M. Three-Dimensional Modeling of Hydrodynamics and Sediment Transport with Parallel Algorithm. Ph.D. Thesis, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong, China, 1998.
- McAnally, W.H. Aggregation and Deposition of Estuarial Fine Sediment; Army Engineer Research and Development Center: Vicksburg, MS, USA, 2000.
- Guan, W.B.; Wolanski, E.; Dong, L.X. Cohesive Sediment Transport in the Jiaojiang River Estuary, China. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* 1998, 46, 861–871. [CrossRef]
- Li, B.G.; Eisma, D.; Xie, Q.C.; Kalf, J.; Li, Y.; Xia, X. Concentration, clay mineral composition and Coulter counter size distribution of suspended sediment in the turbidity maximum of the Jiaojiang river estuary, Zhejiang, China. J. Sea Res. 1999, 42, 105–116. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.