

Article



# **Research on the Evacuation Characteristics of Cruise Ship Passengers in Multi-Scenarios**

Min Hu and Wei Cai \*

Green and Smart River-Sea-Going Ship, Cruise Ship and Yacht Research Center, Wuhan University of Technology, Wuhan 430063, China; hu\_min@whut.edu.cn

\* Correspondence: wcai@whut.edu.cn

**Abstract:** As a popular way of travelling on water, cruise tourism is welcomed by the public. The cruise ship, as a large water-borne city, can accommodate a large number of passengers, but simultaneously their safety should be ensured in the event of an emergency. This work studied the evacuation characteristics of passengers by analyzing evacuation processes in multiple scenarios on cruise ships. Four typical evacuation scenarios were established, and the initial parameters of passengers were defined by creating a passenger agent. Simulation experiments were carried out for these scenarios, and the results show that groups of passengers are more time to complete the evacuation than individual passengers. The number of passengers arriving at the embarkation area in one time period under the group evacuation scenario is less than that under the individual evacuation scenario. However, the peak period of arrival at the embarkation area under the group evacuation scenario lasts longer than that under the individual evacuation scenario. For passengers with slower walking speeds, they may complete the evacuation in a shorter time as long as their cabins are near the embarkation deck or in the suitable main vertical zones. This proves that the evacuation efficiency of passengers is affected by their initial positions, and evacuation time can be reduced by means of the allocation of cabins according to the movement characteristics of passengers.

**Keywords:** cruise ships; types of passengers; individual evacuation; group evacuation; evacuation characteristics

# 1. Introduction

The safety of passengers has always been a concern of maritime authorities and scholars, especially regarding the evacuation problem of some large passenger ships such as cruise ships. Cruise ships generally have ten or more decks, and most passengers need to traverse several decks to arrive at the embarkation area in the event of an emergency. The evacuation process is also related to the walking speed and the behavior of the passengers. In order to ensure the safety of passengers, some scholars have carried out research on ship evacuation.

The research methods used in studying ship evacuation mainly include data collection, experiments, simulations, and so on. Luo [1] adopted the cutting-edge sensor mesh technology of ScanReach to observe the movement trajectory and evacuation process of pedestrians in real-time. Wang et al. [2,3] investigated the possible behaviors of passengers during evacuation through a questionnaire survey. Casareale et al. [4] analyzed the characteristics of evacuation behavior of pedestrians through another survey. At present, a relatively comprehensive evacuation experiment for passenger ships is project SAFE-GUARD [5]. This project carried out evacuation experiments on cruise ships and ROPAX ferries, and collected data related to the response duration and evacuation time of passengers [6,7].

Citation: Hu, M.; Cai, W. Research on the Evacuation Characteristics of Cruise Ship Passengers in Multi-Scenarios. *Appl. Sci.* **2022**, *12*, 4213. https://doi.org/10.3390/ app12094213

Academic Editor: José A. Orosa

Received: 12 March 2022 Accepted: 20 April 2022 Published: 21 April 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

In addition, some scholars have studied ship evacuation through simulations due to the high cost of experiments. Evacuations can be simulated by means of simplified or advanced approaches. Simplified approaches assume pedestrian flow as a hydraulic or flow model, and the advanced approaches assume each pedestrian to be an individual [8]. Most software for evacuation is based on advanced approaches. Gwynne et al. [9,10] conducted an evacuation simulation for naval vessels and tour boats using the maritimeEXODUS software. Vassalos [11,12] used EVI software to analyze the influence of passenger speed on evacuation time. Ginnis et al. [13–15] developed the Virtual Environment for Life on Ships system and evaluated the influence of ship motion on the evacuation characteristics of passengers by the system. Meyer-König et al. [16] studied the influence of the trim and pitch angles on pedestrian movement by using AENEAS software to build the passenger evacuation model of a RoPax ship. Hu et al. [17,18] simulated the evacuation process of passengers in a cruise ship by using cellular automata and the multi-grid model, and they analyzed the evacuation characteristics of pedestrians under different floating conditions of the cruise ship. Ni et al. [19,20] studied the behavior of passengers' return cabins regarding wearing life jackets and simulated the evacuation process using one deck as a scenario. Bellas et al. [21] used Pathfinder software to simulate the evacuation process of pedestrians in a warship and analyzed the effect of stair width on evacuation performance.

For cruise ships, it is common for family members to travel together, and so the behavior in a group evacuation should be considered. Some researchers have studied the differences between group and individual evacuations. Hu et al. [22] took individuals and social groups composed of two pedestrians as the experimental subjects and carried out unidirectional movement experiments in a confined space. Bode et al. [23] conducted an evacuation experiment on social groups of 12 pedestrians in a room with six exits; they found that the evacuation time increased when the social groups were in existence. By considering the impact of social group behavior on evacuation, an extended cellular automaton model was proposed by Lu et al. [24], and their research showed that the negative effects of social group behavior during evacuation would intensify when the social group density increased. The walking mode of social groups had a significant impact on the evacuation process. Zhao et al. [25] found that social groups that walked in the chain pattern had the highest evacuation efficiency compared with those that walked in the mixed pattern and line-abreast pattern. With the increased size of the social group, the decrease in the group walking speed is more obvious, and the deceleration of female walking speed is greater than that of males [26]. However, gender and social relations had little influence on the group walking speed when the group went downstairs [27]. Leaders of groups can play a certain role in the group evacuation process. Research by Turgut et al. [28] showed that leader-centered behavior is superior to group-centered behavior in small group evacuation, and the number of leaders affects the evacuation. Haghani et al. [29] found that the decision time of a social group during evacuation increases with the increase in the group size from an evacuation experiment. However, regardless of the group size, decisions were usually made by the group leader, and the leader would indicate the direction of movement to other members of the group.

In addition, the total evacuation time also increases with the group size [30]. The groups also discussed the evacuation path, but the final decision to evacuate using the stairs or elevators was usually made by the leader [31]. The evacuation is also often affected by the familiarity between individuals among groups. The experiment of Ma et al. [32] showed that group behavior has a positive impact on evacuation if individuals are acquainted with each other. However, competitive behavior may occur when group members were unfamiliar with each other, and thus the movement speed of the group is decreased. Visibility can also affect the movement of groups. Xie et al. [33] found that group behavior had a negative impact on evacuation under normal visibility. However, the situation in the case of the group under limited visibility is the opposite, where group behavior has a positive effect on evacuation. Based on considering the center of mass, shape

and direction of the group, Krüchten et al. [34] adopted the minimal ellipse to describe the shape, internal dynamics and movement characteristics of a group. Müller et al. [35] extended the floor field cellular automaton model and added the group-specific floor fields into the model. They simulated the evacuation process of symmetric and asymmetric groups and studied the influence of groups' interactions on evacuation dynamics.

From the above existing studies, group evacuation is different from individual evacuation, and it has a certain influence on the evacuation process. Moreover, as a dynamic process, the number of pedestrians arriving at the destination in each stage of evacuation is different. Tang et al. [36] used uniform distribution to describe the interval time of passengers arriving at the check-in counter and simulated the arrival process and check-in of passengers through cellular automata. Postorino et al. [37] analyzed the arrival time of different types of passengers based on Bar Coded Boarding Pass technology. Guo et al. [38] established the waiting time model of transfer passengers according to their arrival rates. Kaparias et al. [39] collected the data of passengers arriving at the platform by means of field counting and on-site interviews with passengers, and the collected data showed that the perceived and budgeted waiting times of passengers could be approximately fitted by a lognormal distribution or gamma distribution. Based on the passenger arrival information obtained from railway service tickets, Csikos et al. [40] explored the daily consistency of passengers' non-random behaviors in the morning commute. Measurement is one of the methods used to obtain the pedestrian arrival distribution, and the data accuracy is greatly affected by the measurement interval. Ye et al. [41] analyzed the effect of different time measurement intervals on hourly flow rate stability and proposed a method to quantitatively calculate the optimal measurement interval of the pedestrian traffic flow model. As for the measurement method of pedestrian density, Das et al. [42] found that 15 or 30 s was the best measurement interval for variance analysis for pedestrian flow data on the sidewalk. For bus passengers with random arrivals, Kieu et al. [43] proposed the collective non-homogeneous Poisson process (cNHPP) to simulate the arrival process of passengers. The computing time of this method was short, and it could construct the arrival process using fewer time regions compared with the homogeneous Poisson process (HPP). Based on analyzing the data of passengers arriving randomly and non-randomly, Ingvardson et al. [44] proposed a general modeling method to describe passenger waiting time by means of uniform distribution and beta distribution. Luethi et al. [45] surveyed the data of 28 public transport facilities in the Zurich area and constructed the passenger arrival distribution model for the timetable-independent and the timetable-dependent arrival of passengers.

It can be seen from the above that scholars have carried out relevant studies on ship evacuation, group evacuation, and pedestrian arrival rules, respectively. However, there are different types of passengers on a ship, and their characteristics and behavior will have different impacts on evacuation. Therefore, this paper mainly analyzes the evacuation process by combining the behavior of passengers (in individual and group mode) and the characteristics of passengers (speed, response time, initial position, etc.).

In addition, evacuation planning is also one of the ways to keep people safe. Evacuation planning establishes a complete emergency plan from a macro point of view. Planning can avoid or minimize the impact of emergencies by building a safe and sustainable environment. The planning approach helps to reduce risks and enhance emergency preparedness through exercises [46]. However, the stay time of passengers on the ship is short, and there is a lack of adequate exercise opportunities. Hence, this paper mainly uses simulation methods to analyze the evacuation process of different types of passengers on cruise ships and explore potential ways to improve evacuation efficiency.

The main contributions in this paper are as follows. Firstly, the evacuation scenarios under the action of individuals and groups are established, and the waiting behavior is added to group evacuation. Secondly, the arrival time distributions of passengers are obtained by simulating the evacuation process of passengers. Finally, the effects of passenger type, walking speed, and initial position on evacuation time are analyzed, and we explore potential methods to improve evacuation efficiency.

The rest of this paper is as follows. Section 2 presents the description of the research problems. The simulation model is established in Section 3. In Section 4, simulation results are discussed. The last section presents the conclusions and future works.

#### 2. The Description of Research Problems

# 2.1. The Cruise Ship Evacuation Scenarios

Passenger safety has always been one of the most important indicators for cruise ships. The International Maritime Organization issued the *Guidelines for Evacuation Analysis for New and Existing Passenger Ships* (The IMO Guidelines) [47], which provided relevant provisions for passenger evacuation. The evacuation analysis of passenger ships is stipulated in the guidelines, including two main scenarios, which are day and night scenarios. In the day scenario, passengers are distributed in public areas, and they are inside cabins under the night scenario. The objective cruise ship for this paper is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. The space distribution of the cruise ship.

According to the fire safety and evacuation requirements of cruise ships, there are several main vertical zones (MVZ) along the longitudinal length of the cruise ship. The red line in Figure 1 indicates the location of the main fire barriers (MFB), which divide the ship into six MVZs. Passengers are distributed between MVZ 1 and 5. There are several staircases along the longitudinal length of the cruise ship. Stairs 1–5 are the main evacuation staircases that connect multiple decks. Stairs 6 connects Deck 1 to 2, and stairs 7 and 8 connect Deck 9 to 10. Stairs 1, 2, 5 and 6 are double-run staircases, stairs 3 and 4 are double-divided staircases, and stairs 7 and 8 are single-run staircases. The structure and dimensions of these staircases are shown in Figures 2–4.



Figure 2. The double-run staircase.



Figure 3. The double-divided staircase.



Figure 4. The single-run staircase.

The activity space of passengers is distributed from Deck 1 to Deck 10. As shown in Figure 1, yellow areas are the public zones and green areas are cabin zones. According to the IMO guidelines, all passengers are distributed in cabins under the night scenario. Each cabin holds two passengers on this cruise ship. In the day scenario, passengers are distributed in public zones, and the number of passengers in each zone can be calculated according to the area of the zone [48]. The passenger capacity of the cruise ship is 948, and the initial positions of passengers in the two main scenarios are shown in Table 1.

	The Numbers of Passengers									
Item	MVZ 1		MVZ 2		MVZ 3		MVZ 4		MVZ 5	
	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night
Deck 1	0	0	44	0	48	0	82	18	60	0
Deck 2	58	0	36	0	24	0	52	0	40	0
Deck 3	0	40	0	44	0	0	0	52	0	60
Deck 4	0	36	0	44	0	68	0	48	0	48
Deck 5	0	28	0	36	0	68	0	48	0	44
Deck 6	0	24	0	36	0	68	0	44	0	32
Deck 7	34	0	22	0	118	0	54	8	42	14
Deck 8	21	0	14	0	54	0	13	16	36	24
Deck 9	10	0	25	0	11	0	9	0	23	0
Deck 10	0	0	4	0	2	0	4	0	8	0

Table 1. The initial positions of passengers in the day and night scenarios.

At the beginning of the evacuation, all passengers are in their initial positions, and the destinations of the evacuation are embarkation stations where lifeboats are located. The embarkation stations are located on two sides of Deck 2, and passengers from other decks move to Deck 2 through staircases.

#### 2.2. The Parameters of Passengers

Due to gender, age, and other factors, the walking speeds of passengers are different, and the walking speeds have an influence on the evacuation process. Moreover, the walking speeds of passengers going upstairs and downstairs are different from those of passengers traversing flat terrain. Therefore, in order to accurately simulate the evacuation process of passengers, it is necessary to set the corresponding walking speed for different areas. Table 2 shows different types of passengers and their walking speeds as stipulated in the IMO guidelines [47]. As can be seen from Table 2, passengers are divided into ten types according to ages and genders, and each type of passenger occupies a certain proportion of the total passengers. The walking speeds of passengers are different according to their types.

Desserves		Demotor	Walking	Speed on	Walking Speed on Stairs (m/s)			
	rassengers	Percentage of	Flat Terra	ain (m/s)	Stairs	Down	Stair	s Up
Туре	Characteristics	-1 assengers (70)	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.	Min.	Max.
1	Females younger than 30 years	7	0.93	1.55	0.56	0.94	0.47	0.79
2	Females 30–50 years old	7	0.71	1.19	0.49	0.81	0.44	0.74
3	Females older than 50 years	16	0.56	0.94	0.45	0.75	0.37	0.61
4	Females older than 50, mobility impaired (1)	10	0.43	0.71	0.34	0.56	0.28	0.46
5	Females older than 50, mobility impaired (2)	10	0.37	0.61	0.29	0.49	0.23	0.39
6	Males younger than 30 years	7	1.11	1.85	0.76	1.26	0.5	0.84
7	Males 30–50 years old	7	0.97	1.62	0.64	1.07	0.47	0.79
8	Males older than 50 years	16	0.84	1.4	0.5	0.84	0.38	0.64
9	Males older than 50, mobility impaired (1)	10	0.64	1.06	0.38	0.64	0.29	0.49
10	Males older than 50, mobility impaired (2)	10	0.55	0.91	0.33	0.55	0.25	0.41

Table 2. The percentage and walking speed of passengers.

#### 2.3. The Indicators of Evacuation

Within the evacuation process, evacuation time is one of the most important criteria. The IMO guidelines point out that the evacuation time is divided into three parts. The first part is the response duration (R), during which passengers perceive the initial notification of an emergency and begin to evacuate. The second part is total travel duration (T), during which all passengers move from where they perceive the initial notification to the evacuation destinations. The third part is the embarkation and launching duration (E + L), which starts from passengers being assembled and the abandon ship signal being given. The evacuation time of passengers can be calculated by Equation (1) [47]:

. . . . . .

. . . . .

$$1.25(R+T) + 2/3(E+L) < n \tag{1}$$

where *R* represents the response duration. This begins when the initial notification of an emergency is received and ends when the passenger has realized the situation and has begun to evacuate. T is the total travel duration. (E + L) represents the embarkation and launching duration, which should be equal to 30 min when this duration cannot be obtained from manufacturers, similar ships, or embarkation analysis. n is the performance standard of evacuation. n is 60 if the passenger ship has no more than three MVZs, or *n* is 80 if the MVZs of the ship number more than three. This paper mainly studies the evacuation process of passengers moving from the initial position to the embarkation station when an accident occurs. The evacuation time refers to (R+T) in subsequent parts of this paper.

Generally, the response duration of passengers is different in an emergency. The SAFEGUARD project measured the response duration of thousands of cruise passengers during a variety of scenarios and recommends Equations (2) and (3) to represent the response duration under day and night scenarios, respectively [49].

$$P_{Day} = \frac{1.0548}{\sqrt{2\pi} \cdot 0.702 \cdot R} exp[-\frac{(\ln(R) - 4.562)^2}{2 \times 0.702^2}] \qquad 0 < R < 300$$
(2)

$$P_{\text{Night}} = \frac{1.1074}{\sqrt{2\pi} \cdot 0.817(R - 400)} exp[-\frac{(\ln(R - 400) - 5.49)^2}{2 \times 0.817^2}] \quad 400 < R < 700$$
(3)

where  $P_{Day}$  and  $P_{Night}$  represent the probability density in the response duration R in the day and night scenarios, respectively.

The IMO Guidelines stipulate that the convergence of evacuation time should be checked every 50 simulations. The convergence of the time should meet the provisions of Equation (4) [47].

$$\left| T_{\rm lim} - T_{0.95}^{\rm mean\,50} \right| \ge T_{0.95}^{\rm max\,50} - T_{0.95}^{\rm min\,50} \tag{4}$$

where  $T_{\text{lim}} = (n - (2/3)(E + L))/1.25$ .  $T_{0.95}$  is the value of the 95th centile of evacuation times of multi-simulations.  $T_{0.95}^{\text{mean}50}$  represents the mean value of  $T_{0.95}$  in the last 50 simulations.  $T_{0.95}^{\text{maa}50}$  and  $T_{0.95}^{\text{min}50}$  are the maximum and minimum values of  $T_{0.95}$  in the last 50 simulations, respectively. On cruise ships, there are often families who travel together. In the event of an accident, they will also evacuate in groups. Combined with the group behavior of passengers, the day and night scenarios can be subdivided into four types that include day-individual, day-group, night-individual, and night-group. These four scenarios are used to study the different characteristics between individual and group evacuation in the day and night scenarios. It is common for family trips (a mother with one child in most conditions) or elderly couples to travel together [50], so the groups of passengers consist of two people in this paper. In the group mode, one member of a group will wait for the other before evacuating.

The evacuation time of passengers is generally distributed discretely, which can be expressed as Equation (5):

$$T_{R(j)}^{S(i)} = \{ PT_{R(j)}^{S(i)}(1), PT_{R(j)}^{S(i)}(2), \dots, PT_{R(j)}^{S(i)}(k), \dots, PT_{R(j)}^{S(i)}(N_p) \}$$
(5)

where S(i) represents scenario *i*. R(j) represents the *j* th simulation.  $PT_{R(j)}^{S(i)}(k)$  represents the evacuation time of the *k* th passenger in the *j* th simulation of scenario *i*.  $T_{R(j)}^{S(i)}$  represents the set of evacuation times of passengers in the *j* th simulation of scenario *i*.  $N_p$  represents the total number of passengers in scenario *i*.

In order to study the distribution characteristics of passengers arriving at the embarkation stations with discrete evacuation times, the distribution of the number of passengers arriving in each time interval is analyzed, as shown in Equation (6):

$$PNG_{D(l)}^{S(i)} = \{PN_{D(l)}^{S(i)}(R(1)), PN_{D(l)}^{S(i)}(R(2)), \dots, PN_{D(l)}^{S(i)}(R(j)), \dots, PN_{D(l)}^{S(i)}(R(N_R))\}$$
(6)

where D(l) represents the time interval l.  $PN_{D(l)}^{S(i)}(R(j))$  represents the number of passengers arriving in the time interval l in the j th simulation of scenario i.  $N_R$  is the total number of simulations for each scenario.  $PNG_{D(l)}^{S(i)}$  represents the set of the number of passengers arriving during the time interval l in scenario i.

The median is commonly used to describe the data tendency. The arrival trend of the evacuation can be obtained by analyzing the median of passengers arriving at embarkation stations within each time interval, as shown in Equation (7):

$$M_{D(j)}^{S(i)} = \begin{cases} PNG_{D(j)}^{S(i)}(\frac{N_{R}+1}{2}) & N_{R} \text{ is odd} \\ \\ \frac{PNG_{D(j)}^{S(i)}(\frac{N_{R}}{2}) + PNG_{D(j)}^{S(i)}(1+\frac{N_{R}}{2})}{2} & N_{R} \text{ is even numbers} \end{cases}$$
(7)

where  $M_{D(j)}^{S(i)}$  represents the median of passengers arriving at embarkation stations during the time interval l in scenario i.

#### 2.4. The Description of the Method

Figure 5 presents the description of the method in this paper. Firstly, the walking speed, response duration, and behavior patterns of the group and individual passengers are analyzed. Secondly, according to the obtained characteristics of passengers, the model is established, and four evacuation scenarios are simulated. Thirdly, the arrival time distributions of evacuation are analyzed through the simulation results. Finally, the influence of passenger type, MVZs, and decks on evacuation is discussed.

In addition, the behavior patterns of passengers mainly consider the differences between individuals and groups in the process of evacuation. The main distinction is that one member of the group will wait for another one before evacuating, and group members will also act together in the evacuation process. Therefore, the one member of the group with a faster walking speed will slow down to stay consistent with the speed of another one in the group.



Figure 5. The description of the method.

#### 3. The Construction of Simulation Model

The evacuation of pedestrians is a dynamic process, and this process is usually simulated by establishing evacuation models. The Cellular Automata model [51], Lattice-gas automata model [52], Multi-Grid model [53], and Social Force model [54] are common models used by researchers. The social force model is based on Newtonian force. This model assumes that pedestrians have reaction behavior to the outside world, and the force on pedestrians can be represented by force vectors. AnyLogic [55] is software based on the social force model, and it is a widely used tool for the modeling and simulation of evacuation [56–58]. This software provides a wide variety of application programming interfaces (APIs), and special parameters and function bodies can be defined by users according to the simulation needs.

In order to verify whether the software is suitable for evacuation simulation, the verification scenarios [47] specified in the IMO Guidelines are used to test the AnyLogic software. Appendix A is the test result, which shows that AnyLogic meets the test requirements of all scenarios. In addition, we also built a model based on the experimental scenario of the previous research [59] and simulated the scenario using AnyLogic. The details of this simulation can be seen in Appendix B. The simulation result is very close to the experimental result of the previous research. The results of two tests show that AnyLogic can be suitable for evacuation simulation. Therefore, this study used the AnyLogic software to establish the evacuation scenarios and to simulate evacuation processes.

### 3.1. The Construction of Evacuation Space Models

The evacuation space mainly includes planar and vertical spaces composed of decks and staircases, respectively. The deck model can be built according to the deck layout, and the space model of Deck 2 is shown in Figure 6. For furniture that exists in space, such as fixed tables, cabinets, and bar counters, the wall module of the software can be used to simulate this furniture to form boundaries. These boundaries are used to simulate obstacles in scenarios, and passengers cannot cross these boundaries during the simulation process. The final destinations of evacuation are the embarkation stations, which are two areas near the lifeboats on both sides of Deck 2, as shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. The space model of Deck 2.

Figure 7 shows the established space model of the cruise ship, and decks in the model are connected by staircases. In the process of evacuation, passengers on other decks arrive at Deck 2 through staircases. The detailed spatial model and annotations of Decks 1–10 can be seen in Figure A13 and Table A2 of Appendix C.



Figure 7. The space model of the cruise ship.

#### 3.2. The Construction of Passenger Model

The Analogic software can define a class of groups with similar attributes by establishing an agent. Due to different types of passengers having different walking speeds and proportions, attributes of passengers can be set by establishing a passenger agent. Java can be used to write programs to realize the functions needed in the simulation process in the software. Figure 8 shows items defined in the passenger agent. The items in the red box, the green box, and the blue box are parameters, variables, and functions of the passenger agent, respectively. A detailed description of each item can be found in Table A3 of Appendix C. The defined functions can be called in the process of evacuation simulation to realize the corresponding functions.



Figure 8. The passenger agent.

#### 3.3. The Simulation Process

After the cruise ship evacuation space and passenger agent are established, the behavior of passenger evacuation can be set through the Process Modeling Library in the software. Each process module includes interfaces that functions can be called. Figure 9 shows the simulation process of passenger evacuation, which includes the following steps.

Step 1: Define four evacuation scenarios and determine the spatial distribution of passengers in each scenario.

Step 2: By selecting different evacuation scenarios, passenger models are prepared to be loaded into the space model.

Step 3: Call functions in the passenger agent to initialize passenger models, allocate proportions of different types of passengers, and assign the ID and type for each passenger.

Step 4: When the passenger is loaded into the space model, the corresponding walking speed is set based on the type of the passenger. The initial coordinates, deck, and MVZ can be obtained according to the initial position of the passenger.

Step 5: If the initial location of the passenger is on Deck 2, the passenger directly moves towards the embarkation stations. If the initial location is not on Deck 2, go to step 6.

Step 6: Call Find\_Location\_Line function, causing passengers to turn into the pathfinding mode, and passengers will move towards nearest staircases.

Step 7: When the passenger enters the staircase, if the current location of the passenger is above Deck 2, the passenger will go downstairs from the current deck. Meanwhile, the walking speed of the passenger will be reset by the SetPedSpeed\_StairsDown\_min and SetPedSpeed\_StairsDown\_max functions. If the current location of the passenger is below Deck 2, the passenger will go upstairs from the current deck. The walking speed of the passenger will be reset by setPedSpeed\_StairsUp\_min and setPedSpeed\_Stairs Up\_max functions.

Step 8: When the passenger arrives at another deck by the staircase, the walking speed of the passenger will be reset by the setPedSpeed\_min and setPedSpeed\_max functions. Then, the passenger checks whether the current deck is Deck 2 or not. If the current deck is not Deck 2, go to step 6. If the current deck is Deck 2, the passenger will move towards the embarkation stations.



Figure 9. The simulation process of passenger evacuation.

## 4. Discussion of Simulation Results

The evacuation processes of the individual and group passengers under the day and night scenarios were simulated. Each scenario was simulated 500 times according to the stipulation of the IMO Guidelines. Figure 10 presents the snapshots of the simulation. Figure 10a shows the passenger distribution on Deck 7 at 220 s under the day-individual scenario, and Figure 10b shows the passenger distribution on Deck 3 at 650 s under the night-individual scenario. It was found that all passengers were moving toward the staircases, and congestion emerged around the entrance of the staircases.



Figure 10. The snapshots of the evacuation simulation. (a) Deck 7; (b) Deck 3.

Table 3 shows the convergence test of evacuation times under four scenarios. It can be seen that  $|T_{\text{lim}} - T_{0.95}^{\text{mean}50}|$  is greater than  $(T_{0.95}^{\text{max}50} - T_{0.95}^{\text{min}50})$  in all ranges of simulation times, indicating that all results meet the convergence requirements.

Table 3. The convergence test of the evacuation times.

		Tiı	me for Con	vergence Calculat	ion Under Fo	ur Scenarios (s	;)	
The Range of Simulation	The Night-Individual Sce- nario		The Night-Group Scenario		The Day-Individual Sce- nario		The Day-Group Scenario	
Times	$\begin{vmatrix} T_{\rm lim} - \\ T_{0.95}^{\rm mean50} \end{vmatrix}$	$T_{0.95}^{ m max50} - T_{0.95}^{ m min50}$	$\begin{vmatrix} T_{\rm lim} - \\ T_{0.95}^{\rm mean50} \end{vmatrix}$	$T_{0.95}^{ m max50} - T_{0.95}^{ m min50}$	$\begin{vmatrix} T_{\rm lim} - \\ T_{0.95}^{\rm mean50} \end{vmatrix}$	$T_{0.95}^{\max 50} - T_{0.95}^{\min 50}$	$\begin{vmatrix} T_{\rm lim} - \\ T_{0.95}^{\rm mean50} \end{vmatrix}$	$T_{0.95}^{\max 50} - T_{0.95}^{\min 50}$
0 to 50	1675.4	117.9	1492.4	306.0	2163.5	142.9	1927.8	403.1
51 to 100	1674.7	171.3	1493.1	297.6	2148.2	168.5	1933.2	345.4
101 to 150	1680.9	142.5	1475.9	295.2	2162.6	129.5	1925.5	409.7
151 to 200	1673.4	144.3	1494.4	244.8	2163.0	137.4	1924.3	341.8
201 to 250	1675.0	123.6	1487.2	237.9	2152.4	157.9	1910.5	417.1
251 to 300	1675.5	150.6	1471.6	393.9	2147.3	194.2	1909.4	409.1
301 to 350	1670.6	167.4	1465.1	419.7	2159.5	132.9	1939.5	302.9
351 to 400	1670.2	168.9	1499.8	253.5	2156.5	141.2	1943.1	439.7
401 to 450	1678.1	121.2	1489.4	293.4	2153.1	214.6	1946.8	333.7
451 to 500	1675.6	137.7	1492.6	231.3	2142.4	186.8	1910.7	460.6

# 4.1. The Analysis of Arrival Time Distributions

Figure 11 shows frequency histograms of the arrival time distributions of passenger evacuation. The abscissa is the time interval of the evacuation time, and each time interval

is 20 s. The maximum value of the abscissa is the total evacuation time. The left ordinate represents the median number of passengers who arrived at the embarkation stations. The right ordinate is the cumulative percentage of the arrival rate. The blue curve represents the cumulative arrival rate. It can be seen from the curve that the slope of the curve is small when the arrival rate is less than 10%. However, the slope of the curve suddenly increases when the arrival rate exceeds about 10%. Within the range of 10–90%, the curve slope barely changes, indicating that the arrival rate of passengers at this stage is stable. In addition, it can also be found from the histogram that many people are arriving in unit time during this stage, but the arrival number experiences little change within a time interval. When the arrival rate exceeds 90%, the arrival number of passengers in a time interval begins to decline significantly, and the slope of the curve also suddenly becomes shallower. Therefore, this paper considers the period when the cumulative percentage is in the range of 10–90% as the peak period of arrival.



**Figure 11.** The arrival time distributions of passenger evacuation. (**a**) The day-individual scenario; (**b**) the day-group scenario; (**c**) the night-individual scenario; (**d**) the night-group scenario.

Figure 11a shows the arrival time distributions of passengers in the day-individual scenario. It seems that the arrival rate of passengers begins to increase after 80 s. The arrival rate approaches the peak period after 220 s and begins to decline gradually after 560 s. From the cumulative curve, it can be found that about 80% of passengers arrived at a time in the range between 220 s and 560 s. The duration of this period is only 340 s and accounts for about 45.9% of the total evacuation time. Therefore, it is necessary to pay special attention to passenger safety in this period.

Figure 11b shows the arrival time distributions of passengers in the day-group scenario. Compared with Figure 11a, the total evacuation time is longer than that in the dayindividual scenario. Meanwhile, the number of passengers arriving in each time interval is less than that in the day-individual scenario. The maximum number of passenger arrivals in all intervals is 40, while it is 52 in Figure 11a. The peak period of group passenger arrival lasts longer, which starts from 240 s and ends at 660 s. About 80% of passengers arrived within this 420 s time period, which accounts for 45.7% of the total evacuation time. Due to group behavior among passengers, the total evacuation time becomes longer, the number of passengers arriving in a time interval becomes smaller and the peak period lasts longer.

Figure 11c shows the arrival time distributions of passengers in the night-individual scenario. It can be found that the total evacuation time of this scenario is longer than that of the above day scenarios; the reason for this phenomenon may be that the response time of passengers is longer in the night scenario. The peak period starts from 650 s and ends at 900 s. The duration of the peak period is 340 s, which accounts for 27.6% of the total evacuation time. Compared with the day scenario, the duration of the peak period is shorter. From Figure 1 and Table 1, it can be seen that the initial positions of most passengers are at Deck 3 to Deck 6 in the night scenario. Moreover, these four decks are close to Deck 2, so that a large number of passengers may arrive in a short time period. In the day scenario, the initial positions of most passengers are on Deck 1, 2, 7, 8 and 9, and passengers on Deck 7, 8, and 9 may need more time to arrive at Deck 2. Therefore, the duration of the peak period is longer than that of the night scenario.

Figure 11d shows the arrival time distributions of passengers in the night-group scenario. Due to the group behavior of passengers, the total evacuation time is longer than that of the night-individual scenario, which is consistent with the day scenario. Compared with Figure 11c, the number of passengers in each time interval is less and the duration of the peak period is longer.

From the above analysis, it can be found that group passengers need more time to complete the evacuation in both day and night scenarios. In the case of group passengers, the duration of the peak period lasts longer than it does for individual passengers. In the night scenario, passengers need more time to complete the evacuation compared with the day scenario. Apart from passengers arriving in peak arrival times, the remaining 20% of arriving passengers take up more than half of the total evacuation time. Therefore, a detailed analysis of the first and last 10% of arriving passengers is necessary.

#### 4.2. The Analysis of the First 10% and Last 10% of Arriving Passengers

By analyzing the arrival time distribution of passengers, the general arrival characteristics of the passenger evacuation can be obtained. Combining the characteristics of the first 10% and last 10% of arriving passengers in the simulation result, a comprehensive understanding of the entire evacuation process could be gained.

Table 4 shows the proportions of passengers whose initial locations are on different decks. For the first 10% of arriving passengers, it seems that the initial positions of passengers have a significant impact on the arrival. In the day scenarios, passengers on Deck 2 can be evacuated quickly due to the embarkation stations being on Deck 2, and so the proportion of these passengers is largest. In the night scenarios, passengers on Deck 3 and 4 could arrive at the destinations quickly, as these two decks are closer to Deck 2. Consequently, passengers on this deck occupy the largest proportion. For the last 10% of arriving passengers, the higher the deck that passengers are on, the greater the proportion of the last 10% they occupy. This means that passengers need more time to arrive at the evacuation destination on Deck 2 if their initial positions are on the higher decks. However, the passenger proportions for Deck 10 in the day scenarios are smaller than the lower decks, and the same situation also appears for Deck 7 under the night scenarios. It can be seen from Table 1 that the initial number of passengers on these two decks is relatively small, so the proportion of passengers is also low.

	First 10	riving Passeng	gers	Last 10% of Arriving Passengers				
Decks	Day-Indi-	Day-	Night-Indi-	Night-	Day-Indi-	Day-	Night-Indi-	Night-
	vidual	Group	vidual	Group	vidual	Group	vidual	Group
Deck1	38.29%	36.81%	4.18%	3.91%	2.13%	5.01%	-	-
Deck2	61.70%	63.18%	-	-	1.50%	0.73%	-	-
Deck3	-	-	38.33%	37.04%	-	-	10.11%	7.25%
Deck4	-	-	35.80%	35.87%	-	-	19.48%	17.14%
Deck5	-	-	15.22%	16.33%	-	-	24.70%	25.77%
Deck6	-	-	6.46%	6.86%	-	-	25.46%	27.92%
Deck7	-	-	-	-	35.06%	29.06%	6.47%	6.67%
Deck8	-	-	-	-	34.48%	36.32%	13.79%	15.24%
Deck9	-	-	-	-	22.32%	24.05%	-	-
Deck10	-	-	-	-	4.51%	4.81%	-	-

Table 4. Proportions of passengers on different decks.

Table 5 shows the proportions of passengers whose initial locations are in different MVZs. In the first 10% of arriving passengers, the proportions of passengers in MVZ 2, 3, and 4 are significantly larger than the other two MVZs. As can be seen from Figure 1, staircases are set on both sides of MVZ 2, 3 and 4, and passengers in these MVZs can evacuate from two directions. Meanwhile, staircases are only arranged on one side of MVZ 1 and 5, and passengers can only move in one direction in the process of evacuation. Therefore, the evacuation efficiency of MVZ 1 and 5 is lower than that of the other three MVZs. For the last 10% of arriving passengers, the proportions of passengers in MVZ 3 and 5 are larger than other MVZs in the day scenario. As can be seen from Table 1, the number of passengers in MVZ 3 is the largest in the day scenario, which may lead to the higher value of the proportion of passengers in MVZ 3. Meanwhile, the reason for the high proportion value of passengers in MVZ 5 is also the layout of the staircases. For the same reason, the evacuation efficiencies of passengers in MVZ 1 and 5 are low under the night scenario, resulting in the proportions of passengers in these two MVZs being significantly higher than other MVZs. Since the initial number of passengers in MVZ 5 is larger than that in MVZ 1, the value proportion of MVZ 5 is higher than that of MVZ 1.

Table 5. Proportions of passengers in different MVZs.

	First 10	riving Passen	Last 10% of Arriving Passengers					
MVZ	Day-Indi-	Day-	Night-Indi-	Night-	Day-Indi-	Day-	Night-Indi-	Night-
	vidual	group	vidual	Group	vidual	Group	vidual	Group
1	11.41%	13.22%	11.06%	9.28%	10.58%	10.13%	18.46%	19.39%
2	27.23%	27.17%	20.15%	20.68%	15.86%	14.69%	5.80%	6.79%
3	32.73%	29.64%	23.45%	27.01%	31.27%	24.14%	4.60%	3.84%
4	19.75%	21.11%	28.69%	27.40%	8.78%	13.82%	8.82%	11.39%
5	8.89%	8.87%	16.65%	15.63%	33.50%	37.22%	62.32%	58.59%

Table 6 shows the proportions of different types of passengers. For the first 10% of arriving passengers, their walking speed has a significant impact on evacuation, and the faster the walking speed is, the higher the proportion value of the passengers is. In four scenarios, the proportions of type 3 and 8 passengers are the largest in female and male passengers, respectively. It can be seen from Table 2 that, although the walking speeds of type 3 and 8 passengers are not the fastest, the number of these two types of passengers is the largest. The number of these two types of passengers is the largest. The number of these two types of passengers is highest in the three scenarios. In female and male passengers, the walking speed of type 1 and 6 passengers is the fastest, respectively. The proportions of these two types of passengers are larger in individual scenarios than in group scenarios. On the contrary, regarding type 4, 5, 9, and 10 passengers, whose walking speeds are slower, the proportions of these

passengers were higher in the group scenario than in the corresponding individual scenario. It can be found that in the individual scenario, passengers who move faster have an advantage in the evacuation. However, in the group scenario, this advantage is diminished due to the group behavior of passengers.

Turna of	First 10	% of Ar	riving Passer	ngers	Last 10	% of Arr	iving Passeng	gers
Passon gang	Day-Indi-	Day-	Night-Indi-	Night-	Day-Indi-	Day-	Night-Indi-	Night-
1 assengers	vidual	Group	vidual	Group	vidual	Group	vidual	Group
1	10.20%	9.49%	10.71%	9.73%	2.51%	4.04%	3.12%	4.18%
2	7.75%	7.76%	8.09%	7.99%	4.44%	5.14%	4.71%	5.40%
3	13.33%	13.76%	12.93%	14.07%	16.16%	15.21%	15.90%	15.20%
4	5.60%	5.77%	3.92%	5.51%	18.55%	15.78%	18.01%	15.23%
5	4.09%	4.40%	2.16%	3.76%	24.87%	20.63%	24.75%	20.59%
6	11.33%	11.28%	12.87%	11.59%	1.53%	3.20%	1.95%	3.33%
7	10.44%	9.84%	11.38%	10.03%	2.33%	3.75%	2.50%	3.85%
8	20.22%	20.15%	22.07%	20.35%	8.02%	10.12%	7.96%	10.47%
9	9.43%	9.45%	9.43%	9.41%	9.00%	9.66%	8.85%	9.67%
10	7.61%	8.08%	6.46%	7.56%	12.61%	12.47%	12.26%	12.09%

Table 6. Proportions of passengers in different types.

For the last 10% of arriving passengers, it appears that the slower the walking speed, the greater the proportion of passengers, and the proportion of female passengers is larger than that of male passengers. In day or night scenarios, the proportion of individual passengers with faster walking speeds is smaller than group passengers, and the situation is quite the reverse for type 4, 5, and 10 passengers with slower speeds. It can be seen that in the group scenario, the evacuation efficiency of passengers with slower walking speeds can be improved, but for passengers with a faster walking speed, the evacuation efficiency is reduced. This is consistent with the characteristics of the first 10% of arriving passengers.

It can also be seen from Table 6 that although the walking speed of passengers in types 4, 5, 9, and 10 is slow, some of them are still in the first 10% of arriving passengers. From Table 4, it is found that, in the night scenario, some passengers on the higher deck can also be within the first 10% of arriving passengers. For type 1, 2, 6, and 7 passengers with a fast walking speed, some of them are in the last 10% of arrivals under the night scenarios. The reasons for this phenomenon are analyzed by combining the types and initial positions of passengers.

Figure 12 shows the initial positions of types 4, 5, 9, and 10 of the first 10% of arriving passengers under the night scenario, and types 1, 2, 6, and 7 of the last 10% of arriving passengers under the night scenario can be seen in Figure 13. As can be seen from these figures, most type 4, 5, 9, and 10 passengers are distributed on Decks 3, 4, and 5. For type 1, 2, 6, and 7 passengers, they are mainly distributed on decks that are higher than Deck 5. In addition, the first 10% of arriving passengers are mainly located in MVZ 2, 3, and 4. The last 10% of arriving passengers are mainly in the two MVZs near the bow and stern. Further analysis is conducted through the initial MVZs where these passengers are located.



(b)

**Figure 12.** The initial positions of the first 10% of arriving passengers under night scenarios. (**a**) The night-individual scenario; (**b**) the night-group scenario.





**Figure 13.** The initial positions of the last 10% of arriving passengers under night scenarios. (**a**) The night-individual scenario; (**b**) the night-group scenario.

As shown in Figure 14, the proportion of types 4, 5, 9, and 10 of the first 10% of arriving passengers in MVZ 1 and 5 is low. The proportion in MVZ 5 is not more than 20%, and the proportion in MVZ 1 does not exceed 10%. From the previous analysis, the evacuation efficiency of passengers in these two MVZs is low due to the distribution of staircases. Therefore, more than 70% of these passengers are distributed in the MVZ 2–5 area. Although the walking speeds of these four types of passengers are slow, they may reach the embarkation area at an earlier stage of evacuation if their initial position is in the middle MVZs.



**Figure 14.** The MVZs of the first 10% of arriving passengers under night scenarios; (**a**) the night-individual scenario. (**b**) the night-group scenario.

In the individual scenario of Figure 15a, the proportion of types 1, 6, and 7 of the last 10% of arriving passengers are almost over 80% in MVZ 5, and over 10% in MVZ 1. In the group scenario of Figure 15b, the proportions of the four types of passengers in MVZ 5 are also more than 60%, and the proportions in MVZ 1 are nearly 20%. For these four types of passengers under both scenarios, the sum of proportions of MVZ 1 and 5 is more than

80%. It can be seen that although these four types of passengers move fast, they may also arrive at the embarkation area in the final stage of evacuation if their initial position is distributed on a higher deck or in the MVZs near the bow and stern.



**Figure 15.** The MVZs of the last 10% of arriving passengers under night scenarios. (**a**) The night-individual scenario; (**b**) the night-group scenario.

# 5. Conclusions and Future Works

This paper takes the evacuation characteristics of the cruise passenger evacuation as the research object and establishes the cruise evacuation model by using AnyLogic software. The passenger agent is constructed to set the attributes of ten types of passengers, and then the simulation experiments are carried out in four scenarios that contain dayindividual, day-group, night-individual, and night-group scenarios. The results show that the evacuation time of day scenarios is shorter than that of the night scenarios. The peak duration of passenger arrival in the group scenario is longer than in the individual scenario. In the group scenarios, the evacuation efficiency of passengers with fast walking speeds will be reduced, and the situation is quite the reverse for the passengers with a slow walking speed.

The evacuation results are also analyzed from the initial conditions of the passengers. It can be found that passengers with fast walking speeds may arrive at the embarkation area in the final stage if their cabins are far away from the embarkation decks or located in MVZs near the bow and stern. Passengers with a slow walking speed may arrive at the embarkation stations in the early stage of the evacuation as long as their cabins are near the embarkation deck or located in the middle MVZs. Therefore, the positions of passenger cabins could be appropriately adjusted and optimized according to the movement characteristics of passengers, and this is conducive to improving the evacuation efficiency of passengers.

The scenarios studied in this paper were mainly based on the condition that the cruise ship is floating positively. In the event of a casualty, the hull may be damaged and cause the cruise ship to be inclined. In future work, we will add the factor of inclining conditions on passenger movement into the model and study the influence of different incline angles on the passenger evacuation process.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, M.H. and W.C.; methodology, M.H. and W.C.; software, M.H. and W.C.; writing—original draft preparation, M.H.; writing—review and editing, W.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Special Project of Large-Scale Cruise Ship Research and Development in the Ministry of Industry and Information Technology of China, grant number [2017] No. 614; The Research on Design and Construction Technology of Medium-Scale Cruise Ships in the Ministry of Industry and Information Technology of China, grant number G18473CZ03; The

Research on the Design Technology of High-Tech Ocean Passenger Ships for the Safe Return to Port System in the Ministry of Industry and Information Technology of China, grant number [2019] No. 331; Research funding of Sanya Science and Education Innovation Park of Wuhan University of Technology, grant number 2020KF0060.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### Appendix A

# Test 1: Maintaining set walking speed in corridor.

One pedestrian in a passage 2 m wide and 40 m long with a movement speed of 1 m/s should be verified to complete this distance in 40 s.

Figure A1 is the scenario of test 1, and the completion time of the test is 39.6 s.



Figure A1. The scenario of test 1.

#### Test 2: Maintaining set speed up staircase.

One pedestrian on a stair 2 m wide and a length of 10 m measured along the incline with a movement speed of 1 m/s should be verified to complete this distance in 10 s. Figure A2 is the scenario of test 2, and the completion time of the test is 9.9 s.



Figure A2. The scenario of test 2.

#### Test 3: Maintaining set speed down staircase.

One pedestrian on a stair 2 m wide and a length of 10 m measured along the incline with a movement speed of 1 m/s should be verified to complete this distance in 10 s. Figure A3 is the scenario of test 3, and the completion time of the test is 9.8 s.



Figure A3. The scenario of test 3.

# Test 4: Exit flow rate.

One hundred pedestrians (p) in a space 8 m by 5 m in size with a 1 m-wide door in the middle of the 5 m wall. The flow rate over the whole stage should be no more than 1.33 p/s.

Figure A4 is the scenario of test 4, and the maximum flow rate of the entire period is 0.73 p/s.



Figure A4. The scenario of test 4.

# Test 5: Response duration.

Ten pedestrians in a space 8 m by 5 m in size with a 1 m-wide door in the middle of the 5 m wall. The uniform distribution between 10 s and 100 s is set as the response duration. Verify that each pedestrian begins moving at the right time.

As shown in Figure A5, a person starts to move toward the door every 10 s. Figure A5a–d present the states in the room when the time is 10, 20, 50, and 70 s, respectively.



**Figure A5.** The scenario of test 5. (a) Time = 10 s; (b) time = 20 s; (c) time = 50 s; (d) time = 70 s.

# Test 6: Rounding corners.

Twenty pedestrians entering a left-hand corner will successfully walk around the corner without penetrating the boundaries.

As shown in Figure A6, the pedestrians completed the walk around the corner without crossing the boundary.



Figure A6. The scenario of test 6.

#### Test 7: Counterflow-two rooms connected via a corridor.

Two rooms are both ten meters wide and long, and these two rooms are connected with a passage whose size is ten meters long and two meters wide.

Step 1: One hundred pedestrians walk from room 1 to room 2. In the initial condition, pedestrians are allocated on the left of room 1 at maximum density. The time is recorded when the last pedestrian arrives at room 2.

Step 2: Step one is repeated with 10, 50, and 100 pedestrians assigned to room 2, respectively. These assigned pedestrians should have the same characteristics as the pedestrians in room 1. Pedestrians in both rooms begin to move at the same time, recording the persistent period when the last pedestrian in room 1 arrives in room 2. The desired result is that the recorded persistent period increases when the number of pedestrians in counterflow increases.

In Figure A7, the rooms on the left and right are rooms 1 and 2, respectively. In Figure A7a, ten pedestrians are in room 2, 100 pedestrians are in room 1, and the time taken for the last person in room 1 to enter room 2 is 111 s. In Figure A7b, *c*, 50 pedestrians and 100 pedestrians are in room 2, respectively, and the time taken for the last person in room 1 to enter room 2 is s, respectively.





(b)



(c)

**Figure A7.** The scenario of test 7. (a) Time = 111 s; (b) time = 157 s; (c) time = 183 s.

# Test 8: Exit flow: crowd dissipation from a large public room.

A public space with four doors and containing 1000 pedestrians who are distributed uniformly within the room. Pedestrians leave from the nearest doors.

Step 1: Record the time the last pedestrian exits the room.

Step 2: Shut doors 1 and 2 and repeat step 1. The desired result is an approximate doubling of the period in step 1.

Figure A8a shows the scenario where pedestrians leave through four exits, and the duration is 279 s. Figure A8b shows the scenario of pedestrians leaving through two exits, and the duration of this scenario is 555 s, which is about twice the duration in Figure A8a.



(b)

Figure A8. The scenario of test 8. (a) Four exits; (b) Two exits.

#### Text 9: Exit route allocation.

The pedestrians in cabins 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, and 10 are arranged to leave from the main exit, and the remaining pedestrians are assigned the secondary exit. The desired result is that the assigned pedestrians leave from suitable exits.

As shown in Figure A9, the pedestrians in the red and blue boxes move towards two exits, respectively.



Figure A9. The scenario of test 9.

# **Test 10: Staircase**

The pedestrians in the room move to the stair through a passage. The desired result is that congestion occurs at the exit of the room. There is a steady flow in the passage with the appearance of congestion at the bottom of the stairs.

As shown in Figure A10, the congestion appears at the exit of the room and the bottom of the staircase. The flow of pedestrians in the passage is steady.



Figure A10. The scenario of test 10.

#### Appendix **B**

To verify the availability of AnyLogic software on the evacuation simulation, the scenario of the evacuation experiment organized by Lei et al. [59] is simulated with AnyLogic. The simulation result is as follows.

As shown in Figure A11, there are 24 female dormitories. The two exits are at the lower-left corner, and the width of each exit is 2 m. Each dormitory accommodates ten female students, and the speed range of students is 0.95~1.35 m/s.



Figure A11. The evacuation scenario.

Figure A12 and Table A1 present the comparison of the AnyLogic simulation result and the experimental result of the reference [59]. Figure A12 shows that the simulation results are very close to the experimental results. It can also be seen from Table A1 that the difference between simulation and experimental results is very small, and the differences between the three types of time are all within 3.5 s. Therefore, the AnyLogic is suitable for the evacuation simulation of large numbers of pedestrians from this result.



**Figure A12.** The results of the evacuation experiment [59] and simulation. The data of evacuation experiment is adapted with permission from Ref. [59]. Copyright 2012 Elesvier.

<b>Fable A1.</b> The comparison result betweer	n the evacuation experiment a	and simulation
--	-------------------------------	----------------

	Experiment [59]	Simulation
Arrival time of the first student (s)	9.2	11.3
Mean evacuation time (s)	81.7	85.1
Total evacuation time (s)	154.2	156.2

# Appendix C





(i)



**Figure A13.** The space model of decks. (a) Deck 10; (b) Deck 9; (c) Deck 8; (d) Deck 7; (e) Deck 6; (f) Deck 5; (g) Deck 4; (h) Deck 3; (i) Deck 2; (j) Deck 1.

Decks	Area (m²)	Length (m)	Maximum Breath (m)
Dock 10	000	53.5 (Stern Area)	12.6 (Stern Area)
Deck IU	900	35.3 (Bow Area)	15 (Bow Area)
Deck 9	2250	155.7	25.2
Deck 8	4520	167.8	29.2
Deck 7	4860	177.7	31.2
Deck 6	4725	182.2	26.6
Deck 5	4920	188.8	26.6
Deck 4	5090	195.1	26.6
Deck 3	5160	197.9	26.6
Deck 2	6550	224.1	31.2
Deck 1	6130	218.0	31.4

Table A2. The dimensions and areas of the decks.

Table A3. The detailed descriptions of parameters, variables, and functions in the passenger agent.

Items	<b>Functional Description</b>
PedType	Stores types of passengers.
InitialDeck	Stores initial decks where passengers are located.
InitialCoordinateX, InitialCoordinateY	Stores initial locations of passengers.
MVZ	Stores initial MVZs where passengers are located.
id	Stores identifications of passengers.
list_type	The linked list stores passenger attributes
id_passengers	Temporarily stores the passenger id
setType,	The setType function sets the proportion and type of
setPedDistribution,	the passenger. The setType function calls setPed- Distribution and ListDistribution functions to gener-
ListDistribution	ate passenger attributes, and finally returns the gen- erated result to the setType function.
Find_Location_Line	Sets the wayfinding pattern of passengers.
Judge_StairsType	Sets the judgment pattern of passengers on the type of stairs.
setPedSpeed_min,	Sets the range of walking speed of different types of
setPedSpeed_max	passengers on flat terrains.
setPedSpeed_StairsUp_min,	
setPedSpeed_StairsUp_max,	Sets the range of the walking speed of different types
setPedSpeed_StairsDown_min,	of passengers on stairs.
setPedSpeed_StairsDown_max	

## References

- 1. Luo, M. How to Guide Emergency Evacuations on Cruise Ships? Modelling with Optimization and Simulation Methodology. Master's Thesis, Norwegian School of Economics, Bergen, Norway, 2019.
- Wang, X.; Liu, Z.; Zhao, Z.; Wang, J.; Loughney, S.; Wang, H. Passengers' likely behaviour based on demographic difference during an emergency evacuation in a Ro-Ro passenger ship. *Saf. Sci.* 2020, *129*, 104803. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2020.104803.
- 3. Wang, X.; Liu, Z.; Wang, J.; Loughney, S.; Zhao, Z.; Cao, L. Passengers' safety awareness and perception of wayfinding tools in a Ro-Ro passenger ship during an emergency evacuation. *Saf. Sci.* 2021, 137, 105189, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105189.
- 4. Casareale, C.; Bernardini, G.; Bartolucci, A.; Marincioni, F.; Orazio, M.D. Cruise ships like buildings: Wayfinding solutions to improve emergency evacuation. *Build. Simul. China* **2017**, *10*, 989–1003. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12273-017-0381-0.
- 5. Fire Safety Engineering Group. Available online: https://fseg.gre.ac.uk/ShipEvacSeminar/index.html (accessed on 5 March 2022).
- 6. Galea, E.R.; Brown, R.C.; Filippidis, L.; Deere, S. Collection of Evacuation Data for Large Passenger Vessels at Sea. In *Pedestrian and Evacuation Dynamics*; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 2011; pp. 163–172, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4419-9725-8\_15.
- Galea, E.R.; Deere, S.J.; Brown, R.; Filippidis, L. A validation data-set and suggested validation protocol for ship evacuation models. *Fire Saf. Sci.* 2014, 11, 1115–1128, https://10.3801/IAFSS.FSS.11-1115.
- Nasso, C.; Bertagna, S.; Mauro, F.; Marino, A.; Bucci, V. Simplified and Advanced Approaches for Evacuation Analysis of Passenger Ships in the Early Stage of Design. *Brodogradnja* 2019, 70, 43–59.
- 9. Gwynne, S.; Galea, E.R.; Lyster, C.; Glen, I. Analysing the Evacuation Procedures Employed on a Thames Passenger Boat Using the maritimeEXODUS Evacuation Model. *Fire Technol.* **2003**, *39*, 225–246, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1024189414319.
- Gwynne, S.; Filippidis, L.; Galea, E.R.; Cooney, D.; Boxall, P. Data Collection in Support of the Modelling of Naval Vessels. In *Pedestrian and Evacuation Dynamics* 2005; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2007; pp. 443–454, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-47064-9\_42.
- Vassalos, D.; Kim, H.S.; Christiansen, G.; Majumder, J.; Schreckenberg, M.; Sharma, S.D. A mesoscopic model for passenger evacuation in a virtual ship-sea environment and performance-based evaluation. In *Pedestrian and Evacuation Dynamics*; Springer: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2002; pp. 369–391.
- Vassalos, D.; Guarin, L.; Vassalos, G.C.; Bole, M.; Kim, H.S.; Majumder, J. Advanced Evacuation Analysis–Testing the Ground on Ships. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Pedestrian and Evacuation Dynamics, Greenwich, UK, 20–22 August 2003.
- 13. Ginnis, A.I.; Kostas, K.V.; Politis, C.G.; Kaklis, P.D. VELOS: A VR platform for ship-evacuation analysis. *Comput. Aided Des.* **2010**, 42, 1045–1058, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cad.2009.09.001.
- Ginnis, A.I.; Kostas, K.V.; Politis, C.G.; Kaklis, P.D. VELOS A VR Environment for Ship Applications: Current Status and Planned Extensions. In Proceedings of the Virtual Realities: International Dagstuhl Seminar, Dagstuhl Castle, Wadern, Germany, 9–14 June 2013; pp. 33–55, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17043-5\_3.
- 15. Kostas, K.V.; Ginnis, A.A.I.; Politis, C.G.; Kaklis, P.D. Motions Effect for Crowd Modeling Aboard Ships. In *Pedestrian and Evacuation Dynamics* 2012; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2012; pp. 825–833, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-02447-9\_69.
- Meyer-König, T.; Valanto, P.; Povel, D. Implementing Ship Motion in AENEAS—Model Development and First Results. In *Pedestrian and Evacuation Dynamics* 2005; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2005; pp. 429–441, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-47064-9\_41.
- 17. Hu, M.; Cai, W. Evacuation simulation and layout optimization of cruise ship based on cellular automata. *Int. J. Comput. Appl.* **2020**, *42*, 36–44, https://doi.org/10.1080/1206212X.2017.1396428.
- Hu, M.; Cai, W.; Zhao, H. Simulation of passenger evacuation process in cruise ships based on a multi-grid model. *Symmetry* 2019, *11*, 1166, https://doi.org/10.3390/sym11091166.
- 19. Ni, B.; Li, Z.; Li, X. Agent-Based Evacuation in Passenger Ships Using a Goal-Driven Decision-Making Model. *Pol. Marit. Res.* **2017**, *24*, 56–67, doi: https://doi.org/0.1515/pomr-2017-0050.
- Ni, B.; Lin, Z.; Li, P. Agent-based evacuation model incorporating life jacket retrieval and counterflow avoidance behavior for passenger ships. J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp. 2018, 2018, 123405, doi: https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aaf10c.
- 21. Bellas, R.; Martínez, J.; Rivera, I.; Touza, R.; Gómez, M.; Carreño, R. Analysis of Naval Ship Evacuation Using Stochastic Simu-Experimental Data 2020, 122, lation Models and Sets. Comput. Modeling Eng. Sci. 971-995. https://doi.org/10.32604/cmes.2020.07530.
- 22. Hu, Y.; Zhang, J.; Song, W.; Bode, N.W.F. Social groups barely change the speed-density relationship in unidirectional pedestrian flow, but affect operational behaviours. *Saf. Sci.* 2021, *139*, 105259, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105259.
- 23. Bode, N.W.F.; Stefan, H.; Wolfgang, M.; Armin, S.; Gaoxi, X. Disentangling the Impact of Social Groups on Response Times and Movement Dynamics in Evacuations. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e121227, https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0121227.
- 24. Lu, L.; Chan, C.; Wang, J.; Wang, W. A study of pedestrian group behaviors in crowd evacuation based on an extended floor field cellular automaton model. *Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol.* **2017**, *81*, 317–329, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2016.08.018.
- 25. Zhao, P.; Sun, L.; Yao, L.; Cui, L.; Zhang, K. Analysis of Impact of Group Walking Patterns on Pedestrian Evacuation. *Transp. Res. Rec.* **2017**, *2604*, 71–81, https://doi.org/10.3141/2604-09.
- 26. Zhang, B.; Chen, W.; Ma, X.; Qiu, P.; Liu, F. Experimental study on pedestrian behavior in a mixed crowd of individuals and groups. *Phys. A Stat. Mech. Its Appl.* **2020**, *556*, 124814, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2020.124814.

- Fu, L.; Cao, S.; Shi, Y.; Chen, S.; Yang, P.; Fang, J. Walking behavior of pedestrian social groups on stairs: A field study. *Saf. Sci.* 2019, 117, 447–457, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2019.04.048.
- 28. Turgut, Y.; Bozdag, C.E. Modeling pedestrian group behavior in crowd evacuations. *Fire Mater.* 2021, 46, 420–442, https://doi.org/10.1002/fam.2978.
- 29. Haghani, M.; Sarvi, M.; Shahhoseini, Z.; Boltes, M. Dynamics of social groups' decision-making in evacuations. *Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol.* **2019**, *104*, 135–157, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2019.04.029.
- von Krüchten, C.; Müller, F.; Svachiy, A.; Wohak, O.; Schadschneider, A. Empirical Study of the Influence of Social Groups in Evacuation Scenarios. In *Traffic and Granular Flow* 15; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2016; pp. 65–72, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-33482-0\_9.
- Li, L.; Ding, N.; Ma, Y.; Zhang, H.; Jin, H. Social Relation Network and group behavior based on evacuation experiments. *Phys.* A Stat. Mech. Its Appl. 2020, 545, 123518, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2019.123518.
- 32. Ma, Y.; Li, L.; Zhang, H.; Chen, T. Experimental study on small group behavior and crowd dynamics in a tall office building evacuation. *Phys. A Stat. Mech. Its Appl.* **2017**, *473*, 488–500, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2017.01.032.
- Xie, W.; Lee, E.W.M.; Cheng, Y.; Shi, M.; Cao, R.; Zhang, Y. Evacuation performance of individuals and social groups under different visibility conditions: Experiments and surveys. *Int. J. Disaster Risk Reduct.* 2020, 47, 101527, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101527.
- von Krüchten, C.; Schadschneider, A. Group Parameters for Social Groups in Evacuation Scenarios. In *Traffic and Granular Flow* 17; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2019; pp. 255–263, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11440-4\_29.
- Müller, F.; Wohak, O.; Schadschneider, A. Study of Influence of Groups on Evacuation Dynamics Using a Cellular Automaton Model. *Transp. Res. Procedia* 2014, 2, 168–176, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2014.09.022.
- Tang, T.; Shao, Y.; Chen, L. Modeling pedestrian movement at the hall of high-speed railway station during the check-in process. *Phys. A Stat. Mech. Its Appl.* 2017, 467, 157–166, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2016.10.008.
- Postorino, M.N.; Mantecchini, L.; Malandri, C.; Paganelli, F. Airport Passenger Arrival Process: Estimation of Earliness Arrival Functions. *Transp. Res. Procedia* 2019, 37, 338–345, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2018.12.201.
- Guo, S.; Yu, L.; Chen, X.; Zhang, Y. Modelling waiting time for passengers transferring from rail to buses. *Transp. Plan. Technol.* 2011, 34, 795–809, https://doi.org/10.1080/03081060.2011.613589.
- Kaparias, I.; Rossetti, C.; Trozzi, V. Analysing passenger arrivals rates and waiting time at bus stops. In Proceedings of the 94th Annual Meeting of the Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC, USA, 11–15 January 2015.
- Csikos, D.; Currie, G. Investigating consistency in transit passenger arrivals: Insights from longitudinal automated fare collection data. *Transp. Res. Rec.* 2008, 2042, 12–19, https://doi.org/10.3141/2042-02.
- Jianhong, Y.; Xiaohong, C. Optimal measurement interval for pedestrian traffic flow modeling. J. Transp. Eng. 2011, 137, 934– 943, https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)TE.1943-5436.0000286.
- 42. Das, P.; Parida, M.; Bhaskar, A.; Katiyar, V.K. Optimization technique for pedestrian data extraction. *Transp. Res. Procedia* 2016, 17, 32–42, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2016.11.058.
- 43. Kieu, L.M.; Cai, C. Stochastic collective model of public transport passenger arrival process. *IET Intell. Transp. Syst.* **2018**, *12*, 1027–1035, https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-its.2018.0085.
- Ingvardson, J.B.; Nielsen, O.A.; Raveau, S.; Nielsen, B.F. Passenger arrival and waiting time distributions dependent on train service frequency and station characteristics: A smart card data analysis. *Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol.* 2018, 90, 292–306, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trc.2018.03.006.
- Luethi, M. Passenger arrival rates at public transport stations. In Proceedings of the TRB 86th Annual Meeting Compendium of Papers, Washington, DC, USA, 21–25 January 2007.
- Rindone, C.; Panuccio, P. Risk reduction in transport system in emergency conditions: A framework for evacuation planning. Saf. Secur. Eng. IX 2022, 206, 285–297. https://doi.org/10.2495/SAFE210241.
- 47. International Maritime Organization. *Guidelines for Evacuation Analysis for New and Existing Passenger Ships;* International Maritime Organization: London, UK, 2016; p. 5.
- 48. International Maritime Organization. International Code for Fire Safety Systems; International Maritime Organization: London, UK, 2000; p. 31.
- Brown, R.; Galea, E.R.; Deere, S.; Filippidis, L. Passenger Response Time Data-Sets for Large Passenger Ferries and Cruise Ships Derived from Sea Trials and Recommendations to IMO to Update MSC Circ 1238; The Royal Institution of Naval Architects: London, UK, 2012.
- 50. Wang, R. Study on Characteristics of Chinese Cruise Tourists Based on Demographic Data. Master's Thesis, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China, 2018.
- 51. Li, D.; Han, B. Behavioral effect on pedestrian evacuation simulation using cellular automata. *Saf. Sci.* 2015, *80*, 41–55, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2015.07.003.
- 52. Jiang, R.; Wu, Q. Pedestrian behaviors in a lattice gas model with large maximum velocity. *Phys. A Stat. Mech. Its Appl.* **2007**, 373, 683–693. https://doi.org/.
- 53. Song, W.; Xu, X.; Wang, B.; Ni, S. Simulation of evacuation processes using a multi-grid model for pedestrian dynamics. *Phys. A Stat. Mech. Its Appl.* **2006**, *363*, 492–500. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2005.08.036.
- 54. Helbing, D.; Molnar, P. Social force model for pedestrian dynamics. *Phys. Rev. E* 1995, *51*, 4282–4286, https://doi.org/10.1103/physreve.51.4282.

- 55. The AnyLogic Software. Available online: https://www.anylogic.com/ (accessed on 5 March 2022).
- 56. Joo, J.; Kim, N.; Wysk, R.A.; Rothrock, L.; Son, Y.; Oh, Y.; Lee, S. Agent-based simulation of affordance-based human behaviors in emergency evacuation. *Simul. Model. Pract.* 2013, *32*, 99–115, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.simpat.2012.12.007.
- 57. Zuo, J.; Shi, J.; Li, C.; Mu, T.; Zeng, Y.; Dong, J. Simulation and optimization of pedestrian evacuation in high-density urban areas for effectiveness improvement. *Environ. Impact Assess. Rev.* 2021, *87*, 106521, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2020.106521.
- 58. Tang, Y.; Xia, N.; Lu, Y.; Varga, L.; Li, Q.; Chen, G.; Luo, J. BIM-based safety design for emergency evacuation of metro stations. *Autom. Constr.* **2021**, *123*, 103511. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2020.103511.
- 59. Lei, W.; Li, A.; Gao, R.; Zhou, N.; Mei, S.; Tian, Z. Experimental study and numerical simulation of evacuation from a dormitory. *Phys. A Stat. Mech. Its Appl.* **2012**, *391*, 5189–5196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2012.05.056.