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#### Abstract

We analyze three transmission-line antennas using the moment method. Each line antenna comprises a coplanar feed line, loops, and straight segments connecting the loops and feedline. First, we investigate bent and branched line antennas with loops on one side of the feedline. It is found that the segment length affects both the beam direction and axial ratio for the bent line antenna; in contrast, it only affects the branched line antenna's axial ratio, resulting in a more straightforward design. Subsequently, the branched line antenna is modified with loops on both sides of the feedline to enhance the gain. It is observed that the antenna shows a gain enhancement of 2.0 dB , maintaining the same axial-ratio bandwidth as that of the original branched line antenna. The simulated results are validated by experimental work.
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## 1. Introduction

There have been many studies on microstrip transmission-line antennas (line antennas) radiating a circularly polarized $(\mathrm{CP})$ wave $[1,2]$. The line antenna is created by using the feedline itself that is periodically bent [3-5] or branched [6-8] above the ground plane. It has the advantage of a simple feed system for a series-fed linear array. So far, line antennas have been designed individually, and there have been few systematic studies on different line antennas [9].

This paper investigates different line antennas, where a loop shape [5] is selected as the feedline's periodical bend for a systematic study. Loop-shape selection enables us to study bent and branched line antennas (see Figure 1b,c), together with a modified branched one (see Figure 1d). All line antennas are analyzed using the moment method [10].

The systematic study aids in designing and understanding a line antenna since the study discusses three different line-antennas having relationships and presents new findings. The relationships concern both configurations (see Figure 1b-d) and radiation characteristics (Figures 3, 5, and 9a). The new findings include the following:
(1) A slight change $\delta$ in configurations (Figure 1b,c) results in a critical difference in antenna design (Figures 3 and 5);
(2) Loop addition (Figure 1c,d) results in a gain enhancement of 2.0 dB with the same CP wave bandwidth (Figrue 9a).
Note that a finding of (1) has never been presented in the authors' publications [3,4,7], including a preliminary conference paper [9]. Moreover, note that in [9], there has been no experimental result to validate a finding of (2). This paper is the only systematic study on three different line antennas to the best of the authors' knowledge.

It should be emphasized that present elements (Figure 1b-d) are entirely different from the ones in the authors' publications (see Figure 2a,b). In Figure 2a, a line $A-B-C$ is bent inside the loop, while a line $A-B-C$ is branched to a loop corner $L_{\mathrm{c}}$ (not the loop-side center) in Figure 2b. These traditional elements have never resulted in the systematic study of this paper. In other words, the present elements themselves constitute the novelty of this paper.


Figure 1. Antenna configurations: (a) perspective view; (b) bent line antenna having loops with a gap $\delta$; (c) branched line antenna having loops without a gap $(\delta=0)$; (d) modified branched line antenna with additional loops $\# n^{\prime}$; (e) side view with a vertical wire $F$ - $F^{\prime}$.

(a)

(b)

Figure 2. Antenna configurations in [3,7]: (a) bent line antenna [3]; (b) branched line antenna [7].

## 2. Bent Line Antenna

Figure 1a shows an antenna configuration. The antenna has $N$ periodical bends in a feedline $F-T$ located above the ground plane at height $h$. Each periodical bend consists of a line of length $d$, a square loop of perimeter $P$ with a gap $\delta$, and two segments of length $L_{\mathrm{s}}$, as shown in Figure 1b. Feedline F-T is excited at the left terminal $F$ via a vertical wire $F-F^{\prime}$ using a coaxial line (see Figure 1e), and the right terminal $T$ is open-circuited. A matched termination $[1,2]$ is not used to avoid decreased radiation efficiency. The antenna is made of wires with a radius $\rho[4,11]$.

It is known that a wire is regarded as a strip having the equivalent width of $w=4 \rho$ [12]. Therefore, a wire analysis implies its equivalent strip one. In our analysis, the strip is located on an air-dielectric substrate to avoid decreased radiation efficiency [4]. An antenna printed on a conventional dielectric substrate may be designed based on the antenna on an air-dielectric substrate, i.e., by changing the configuration parameters in the free-space wavelength to those in the wavelength guided on the conventional dielectric substrate $[2,4]$.

Using the moment method [10], we design the antenna to radiate a CP beam in a direction normal to the antenna plane in the $+z$-axis direction. For this, we chose the loop perimeter $P$ and path length along the periodical bend $\left(d+2 L_{\mathrm{s}}+P-\delta\right)$ to be $1 \lambda_{0}$ and $2 \lambda_{0}$, respectively, where $\lambda_{0}$ is the free-space wavelength at a test frequency $f_{0}$. The other configuration parameters are fixed to be $(h, \rho)=\left(\lambda_{0} / 8, \lambda_{0} / 200\right)$ [9,11] throughout this paper. Note that the ground plane is assumed to be perfectly conducting and of infinite extent, and image theory [13] is used in the analysis. Moreover, note that the line length in a periodical bend of \#1 is arbitrary ( set to be $d_{1}=\lambda_{0} / 8$ ).

We use self-developed software, where wire radius $\rho$ is assumed to be small compared with $\lambda_{0}\left(\rho \ll \lambda_{0}\right)$. This assumption ensures that the antenna characteristics can be calculated by only the current flowing in the wire axis direction. The current is expanded using piecewise sinusoidal functions. A segment length of approximately $\lambda_{0} / 50$ is used in the analysis.

Calculations for $N=12$ [4] show that one of the critical parameters for CP beam formation is segment length $L_{\mathrm{s}}$. The simulated beam direction and axial ratio versus $L_{\mathrm{s}}$ are shown in Figure 3, where the other parameters are $(d, P, \delta)=\left(0.96 \lambda_{0}, 1.12 \lambda_{0}, 0.06 \lambda_{0}\right)$. It is observed that the beam direction becomes $\theta=0^{\circ}$ for $L_{\mathrm{S}}=0.06 \lambda_{0}$, together with an axial ratio of less than 3 dB . Note that $L_{\mathrm{s}}$ affects both the beam direction and axial ratio.


Figure 3. Simulated beam direction and axial ratio versus segment length $L_{\mathrm{s}}$ for a bent line antenna with $N=12$.

Since the antenna feedline $F$ - $T$ has open-circuited termination $T$, there should be a minimum number of periodical bends for CP radiation. This is shown with small circles in Figure 4. The axial ratio for $L_{\mathrm{S}}=0.06 \lambda_{0}$ is evaluated as a function of $N$ with the other parameters being fixed. It is found that the antenna radiates a CP wave with an axial ratio of less than 3 dB for $N>6$.


Figure 4. Simulated axial ratio versus periodic number $N$.
The increased axial ratios for a few periodical bends are due to increased current reflected from the terminal $T$ [14]. The reflected current gives rise to the opposite rotational sense of CP radiation to that of traveling current, deteriorating the axial ratio. In the next section, we investigate a line antenna that travels and reflects currents that contribute to the same rotational sense of CP radiation.

## 3. Branched Line Antenna

We design two antennas: one has loops on one side of the feedline, and the other has additional loops on the other side to increase gain.

### 3.1. Original Antenna

Figure 1c shows an antenna configuration. We create the antenna using the bent line antenna in Section 2: Each loop gap is chosen to be $\delta=0$ (the two segments of length $L_{\mathrm{s}}$ are replaced with a single one), and a stub of length $\Delta \ell$ is added to each loop [11]. The antenna is again designed for a $C P$ beam in the $+z$-axis direction.

Figure 5 shows the simulated beam direction and axial ratio versus $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ for $N=12$ and ( $d$, $P, \Delta \ell)=\left(1.00 \lambda_{0}, 0.90 \lambda_{0}, 0.12 \lambda_{0}\right)$. It should be noted that beam direction remains unchanged at $\theta=0^{\circ}$ regardless of the variation in $L_{s}$. It is also found that the minimum axial ratio is obtained at $L_{\mathrm{s}}=0.30 \lambda_{0}$. These two facts make the antenna design more straightforward when compared with that in Section 2 (see Figure 3).


Figure 5. Simulated beam direction and axial ratio versus segment length $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ for a branched line antenna with $N=12$.

We calculate the axial ratio versus periodic number $N$, as in Section 2. The result is shown with dots in Figure 4. It is emphasized that the axial ratio is almost constant even when the periodic number becomes small, e.g., $N=4$.

The simulated radiation pattern for $N=4$ is shown in Figure 6. Radiation decomposed into right $\left(E_{\mathrm{R}}\right)$ and left-hand $\left(E_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathrm{CP}$ wave components, which are shown with solid and dotted lines, respectively. It is observed that the antenna radiates a CP beam in the +z -axis direction. The half-power beamwidth (HPBW) and gain are $13^{\circ}$ and 14.6 dBi , respectively. In order to increase the gain, we modify the antenna in the next section.


Figure 6. Simulated radiation pattern in $\phi=0^{\circ}$ plane for a branched line antenna with $N=4$.

### 3.2. Modified Antenna

Figure 1d shows an antenna configuration. The antenna is created using the branched line antenna in Section 3.1: We add $N-1$ loops and segments on feedline $F$-T's other side (the $+y$ side). Each additional segment is connected to the line center of length $d\left(=1 \lambda_{0}\right)$. For CP beam formation in the +z -axis direction, each additional loop $\# n^{\prime}$ is rotated by $180^{\circ}$ concerning the original loop $\# n$ to compensate for an excitation phase difference of $180^{\circ}$ $\left(=d / 2 \times 360^{\circ} / \lambda_{0}\right)$. The other configuration parameters are the same as those of the original antenna with $N=4$, resulting in $7(=N+N-1)$ loops and segments.

First, the antenna is analyzed for a direct feed using vertical wire $F$ - $F^{\prime}$ shown in Figure 1e. After confirming CP beam formation, we replace the direct feed with an electromagnetic-coupling one shown in Figure 7 to obtain impedance matching. The feed parameters are selected so that they do not deteriorate the radiation characteristics for the direct feed and are determined to be $\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, \ell_{3}, \ell_{4}\right)=\left(0.08 \lambda_{0}, 0.02 \lambda_{0}, 0.18 \lambda_{0}, 0.08 \lambda_{0}\right)$.


Figure 7. Electromagnetic-coupling feed for impedance matching.
The simulated radiation pattern is shown with solid and dotted lines in Figure 8. It is observed that the antenna radiates a CP beam in the $+z$-axis direction. The HPBW is $12^{\circ}$, and the gain is evaluated to be 16.6 dBi . It is emphasized that the gain is increased by 2.0 dB compared with that of the original antenna.


Figure 8. Radiation pattern in $\phi=0^{\circ}$ plane for a modified branched line antenna with $N=4$.
Solid lines in Figure 9 show the simulated frequency responses of the gain, axial ratio, and VSWR. It is found that a CP wave bandwidth for a 3 dB axial-ratio criterion is $2.5 \%$, where the gain is almost constant with a VSWR of less than 2 . For reference, the gain and axial ratio of the original antenna are also shown with dotted lines. The CP wave bandwidth is almost the same as that of the modified antenna. It can be said that the modified antenna has a gain enhancement of 2.0 dB without deterioration in the CP wave bandwidth of the original antenna.


Figure 9. Frequency responses of branched line antennas with $N=4$ : (a) axial ratio and gain; (b) VSWR for $50 \Omega$.

The increased gain is explained using the radiation mechanism of a line antenna with loops [2,5]. Let us focus on a periodical bend of \#n shown in Figure 1b. Radiation from the
periodical bend is decomposed into partial radiation from the loop part and partial radiation from parts other than the loop. It is known that partial radiation from the loop part becomes dominant in the broadside (z-axis) direction for a loop perimeter of $1 \lambda_{0}$. This radiation mechanism holds for other periodical branches of \#n shown in Figure 1c,d. Note that partial radiation from the loop part is CP due to a traveling-wave current along the loop.

Keeping the abovementioned radiation mechanism in mind, we consider the gains of modified and original branched line antennas. Remember that each antenna is designed for a broadside beam, where the phase of partial radiation from each loop part is the same. This means that the gain depends on the number of loops. The modified antenna has more loops than the original one, resulting in a larger gain. In summary, the increased gain of the modified antenna is due to more loops than the original one.

A final consideration is given to validating the simulated results. For this, we fabricate a modified antenna at $f_{0}=3 \mathrm{GHz}$ using a ground plane of $9 \lambda_{0} \times 3 \lambda_{0}$. The prototype's photographs are shown in Figure 10. Small circles and dots in Figures 8 and 9 show the experimental results. They are in good agreement with the simulated results. Our measurement system knows the radiation phase using a vector network analyzer (Anritsu MS46322A) as a transmitter and receiver. We calculate the axial ratio using the phase and check if the antenna radiates a CP wave.

(a)

(b)

(c)

Figure 10. Prototype of a modified branched line antenna with $N=4$ : (a) top view; (b) side view; (c) perspective view of an electromagnetic-coupling feed.

We compare our results with those of other research groups. The comparisons are summarized in Table 1. The present antenna has the advantage that it does not require a matched termination. This advantage results in a higher gain even for a few periods than an antenna requiring the matched termination.

Table 1. Comparisons with line antennas of other research groups.

| Line Type | Periodical <br> Shape | Number <br> of <br> Periods | 3 dB <br> Axial Ratio <br> Bandwidth <br> $\mathbf{( \% )}$ | Gain <br> (dBi) | Operating <br> Frequency <br> (GHz) | Matched <br> Termination |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bent [2,15] | rampart | 10 | -1 | -1 | 15 | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| branched [6] | butterfly <br> herringbone | 24 | 3.5 | 17 | 86 | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |
| branched [8] | 12 | 13 | 12.5 | 8 | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ |  |
| present | loop | 4 | 2.5 | 16.6 | 3 | $\mathrm{~N}^{3}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Not described. ${ }^{2}$ Required. ${ }^{3}$ Not required.

## 4. Conclusions

We have analyzed three line-antennas with loops. Analyses reveal that segment length $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ is one of the critical parameters of bent and branched line antennas for CP radiation. For the bent line antenna, $L_{\mathrm{s}}$ is influential in both the beam direction and axial ratio, while $L_{\mathrm{S}}$ is influential in only the axial ratio for the branched line antenna. Subsequently, we designed another branched line antenna modified with additional loops. It is demonstrated numerically and experimentally that loop addition results in a gain enhancement of 2.0 dB without any change in design parameters and CP wave bandwidth of the original antenna.

A comparison with other CP antennas such as helixes has yet to be conducted.
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