

Design and Management of Manufacturing Systems

Arkadiusz Gola 

Department of Production Computerization and Robotization, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering,
Lublin University of Technology, ul. Nadbystrzycka 36, 20-618 Lublin, Poland; a.gola@pollub.pl

Although the design and management of manufacturing systems have been explored in the literature for many years now, they still remain topical problems in current scientific research. Changing market trends, globalization, the constant pressure to reduce production costs, and technical and technological progress make it necessary to search for new manufacturing methods and ways of organizing them, and to modify manufacturing-system design paradigms.

Even though the very concept of a manufacturing system emerged at the beginning of the 19th century, production continued to be an artisanal activity until the beginning of the 20th century. An important milestone (referred to today as the Second Industrial Revolution) was Henry Ford's introduction of the moving assembly line, which radically increased the efficiency of manufacturing processes. The following years, which, on the one hand, brought unprecedented progress in the development of manufacturing techniques, mechanization, and methods of controlling production devices, and, on the other hand, saw the evolution of customer expectations, necessitating the individualization of products, completely changed the paradigms of designing manufacturing systems at that time. To remain competitive, companies had to design manufacturing systems that not only produced high-quality products at low costs, but also allowed for producing a wide range of different products using the same system. As a consequence, research at the end of the 20th century was focused on the optimal design of flexible manufacturing systems (FMSs) capable of producing a variety of goods belonging to a defined family of a specific class of products. Unfortunately, FMSs turned out to be costly, most particularly because the equipment that possessed features enabling general flexibility was expensive to build and maintain. Those systems were also expensive because the machines that they used had more functionality than what they really needed, and this additional flexibility and functionality in many cases caused a waste of resources, since the added cost paid for this general functionality equalled unrealized capital investment until the extra functionality was actually used.

To eliminate the negative characteristics of both dedicated manufacturing lines (DMLs) and FMSs, and to combine the two opposing goals of reducing production costs and ensuring high system flexibility, new paradigms had to be defined, and new solutions for the design and management of manufacturing processes had to be found. The slogan "exactly the capacity and functionality needed, exactly when needed" became the keynote and main challenge of the process of designing manufacturing systems. Accordingly, in the past several years, research on the development of manufacturing systems has revolved around three main concepts that meet the assumptions of focused flexibility and the challenges of the Industry 4.0 philosophy: focused-flexibility manufacturing systems (FFMSs), reconfigurable manufacturing systems (RMSs), and smart manufacturing systems (SMSs).

Overall, designing manufacturing processes and systems is a complex multilevel procedure influenced by a large number of factors. Designing requires the in-depth analysis of market targets, and possible ways of preparing and implementing usually automated and robotized manufacturing systems, assessing the impact of crucial factors, as well as integrating the knowledge of many branches of science and individual divisions. The



Citation: Gola, A. Design and Management of Manufacturing Systems. *Appl. Sci.* **2021**, *11*, 2216. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11052216>

Received: 1 March 2021

Accepted: 2 March 2021

Published: 3 March 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the author. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

target of each design is to optimally provide the design processes while maintaining the required quality and minimizing costs.

This Special Issue presents the current research in different areas connected with the design and management of manufacturing systems. In particular, papers published in this volume cover the following subject areas:

- methods supporting the design of manufacturing systems [1–6],
- methods of improving maintenance processes in companies [7–9],
- the design and improvement of manufacturing processes [10–14],
- the control of production processes in modern manufacturing systems [15,16],
- production methods and techniques used in modern manufacturing systems [17], and
- environmental aspects of production and their impact on the design and management of manufacturing systems [18–20].

The wide range of research findings reported in this Special Issue confirms that the design of manufacturing systems is a complex problem, and the achievement of goals set for modern manufacturing systems requires interdisciplinary knowledge and simultaneous design of product, process, and system, as well as the knowledge of modern manufacturing and organizational methods and techniques. The need for and ability to reduce the negative impact of manufacturing processes on the natural environment are also of importance, as signaled in this introductory article and this volume. I wish to thank all the authors for the effort that they expended in preparing their papers. I hope that this Special Issue will be of wide interest to readers and inspire further research, leading to the development of new effective solutions supporting the processes of designing and managing manufacturing systems.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Won, Y. On Solving Large-Size Generalized Cell Formation Problems via a Hard Computing Approach Using the PMP. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 3478. [[CrossRef](#)]
2. Relich, M.; Świć, A. Parametric Estimation and Constraint Programming-Based Planning and Simulation of Production Cost of a New Product. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 6330. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Nagy, L.; Ruppert, T.; Abonyi, J. Analytic Hierarchy Process and Multilayer Network-Based Method for Assembly Line Balancing. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 3932. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Kowalski, A.; Waszkowski, R. Layout Guidelines for 3D Printing Devices. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 6333. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Matuszek, J.; Seneta, T.; Moczala, A. Assessment of the Design for Manufacturability Using Fuzzy Logic. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 3935. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Calderón-Andrade, R.; Hernández-Gress, E.S.; Montufar Benítez, M.A. Productivity Improvement through Reengineering and Simulation: A Case Study in a Footwear-Industry. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 5590. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Borucka, A.; Grzelak, M. Application of Logistic Regression for Production Machinery Efficiency Evaluation. *Appl. Sci.* **2019**, *9*, 4770. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Świdorski, A.; Borucka, A.; Grzelak, M.; Gil, L. Evaluation of Machinery Readiness Using Semi-Markov Processes. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 1541. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Antosz, K.; Paśko, Ł.; Gola, A. The Use of Artificial Intelligence Methods to Assess the Effectiveness of Lean Maintenance Concept Implementation in Manufacturing Enterprises. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 7922. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Realyvásquez-Vargas, A.; Arredondo-Soto, K.C.; García-Alcaraz, J.L.; Macías, E.J. Improving a Manufacturing Process Using the 8Ds Method. A Case Study in Manufacturing Company. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 2433. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Park, K.; Kim, G.; No, H.; Jeon, H.W.; Kremer, G.E.O. Identification of Optimal Process Parameter Settings Based on Manufacturing Performance for Fused Filament Fabrication of CFR-PEEK. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 4630. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Stoma, P.; Stoma, M.; Dudziak, A.; Caban, J. Bootstrap Analysis of the Production Processes Capability Assessment. *Appl. Sci.* **2019**, *9*, 5360. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Grznar, P.; Gregor, M.; Krajcovic, M.; Mozol, S.; Schickerle, M.; Vavrik, V.; Durica, L.; Marschall, M.; Bielik, T. Modeling and Simulation of Processes in a Factory of Future. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 4503. [[CrossRef](#)]

14. Sobaszek, Ł.; Gola, A.; Kozłowski, E. Predictive Scheduling with Markov Chains and ARIMA Models. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 6121. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Koo, P.-H.; Ruiz, R. Simulation-Based Analysis on Operational Control of Batch Processors in Wafer Fabrication. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 5936. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Kaid, H.; Al-Ahmari, A.; Li, Z.; Davidrajuh, R. Automatic Supervisory Controller for Deadlock Control in Reconfigurable Manufacturing Systems with Dynamic Changes. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 5270. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Patalas-Maliszewska, J.; Topczak, M.; Kłos, S. The Level of the Additive Manufacturing Technology Use in Polish Metal and Automotive Manufacturing Enterprises. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 735. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Ratner, S.; Gomonov, K.; Revinova, S.; Lazanyuk, I. Eco-Design of Energy Production Systems: The Problem of Renewable Energy Capacity Recycling. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 4339. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Piotrowska, K.; Piasecka, I.; Baldowska-Witos, P.; Kruszelnicka, W. LCA as a Tool for the Environmental Management of Car Tire Manufacturing. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 7015.
20. Nielsen, I.E.; Majumder, S.; Saha, S. Game-Theoretic Analysis to Examine How Government Subsidy Policies Affect a Closed-Loop Supply Chain Decision. *Appl. Sci.* **2020**, *10*, 145. [[CrossRef](#)]