



# Article Insight into the Influence of Grinding on the Extraction Efficiency of Selected Bioactive Compounds from Various Plant Leaves

## Noor Alsaud and Mohammed Farid \*

Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, University of Auckland, Private Bag 92019,

Auckland 1142, New Zealand; anoo979@aucklanduni.ac.nz

\* Correspondence: m.farid@auckland.ac.nz; Tel.: +64-9-9234807

Received: 17 August 2020; Accepted: 9 September 2020; Published: 12 September 2020



**Abstract:** The impact of particle size on bioactive compounds recovery was investigated for two valuable plant matters. This project was to assess the effect of grinding on bioactives extraction of New Zealand Manuka leaves of the *Leptospermum scoparium* and *Stevia rebaudiana* plants. Non-grounded and grounded Manuka with particle sizes ranging from 68  $\mu$ m to 1400  $\mu$ m were processed using n-hexane extraction for 0–60 min. Moreover, the extraction of two sweeteners from Stevia powder (d ≤ 200  $\mu$ m) was carried out using hot water extraction and Ultrasound as a non-conventional method. As particle size was reduced, the extraction of bioactives increased, which is expected. However, it was observed that for the small particle size, which was obtained by sever grinding, most of the extraction was achieved as soon as the powder was exposed to the solvent (at zero time) with no further extraction at an extended period. This indicates that short-time exposure appears to be sufficient to recover most of the bioactive compounds, since most of the release of these compounds happened during grinding to fine powder, an issue that has not been addressed in the literature.

**Keywords:** Plant leaves; Particle size; bioactive compounds; Non-conventional extraction; Zero time extraction

## 1. Introduction

Bioactive compounds from natural flora are an essential constituent of the nutraceuticals pharmaceutical, which signifies the importance of identifying the appropriate method to extract these active components from the source material. These compounds are proved to have antioxidant, anti-diabetic, anti-mutagenic, anticancer, anti-inflammatory, and antimicrobial effects [1]. Extraction is the first step in obtaining these bioactive compounds from various biomaterials. The most traditional methods reported for the bioactive recovery, and still considered as a reference technique, are Soxhlet, cold maceration, boiling, and steam distillation [2].

New technologies are emerging to overcome these challenges, such as microwave-assisted extraction (MAE), supercritical fluid extraction (SFE), and ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE), as shown in Table 1. These technologies improve the extractability and recovery of bioactive compounds from various biomaterials, with many advantages such as higher extraction yield, shorter extraction time, and being operable at a lower temperature [3]. Moreover, the efficiencies of these methods depend on some critical parameters, such as a good understanding of the chemistry of bioactive and sample pre-treatment.

All steps of extractions, from material pre-treatment (such as grinding, drying) to final extraction, are equally important and can affect the efficiency of the whole extraction process.

A good number of researchers have studied the effect of different extraction parameters, such as extraction temperature, time, biomass percentage, material particle size, and solvent type on the

extractability of various bioactives using conventional and non-conventional methods [4–12]. It is observed that reducing the material particle size usually has a significant effect on the efficiency of the extraction method used.

The reduction of particle size by milling not only increases the diffusivity of the bioactive compounds, but also ruptures cell walls. Since particle size is one of the critical parameters affecting extraction [4,5,8], this might bring up the question of whether the extraction of bioactive compounds as reported by others was achieved through the extraction process or simply during sample pre-treatment (grinding).

*Leptospermum scoparium* (Manuka) is a tree that belongs to the family of Myrtaceae. It is a small indigenous tree grown widely throughout most of New Zealand. Maori people have used the bark, leaves, seeds capsules, and flowers of this tree for therapeutic preparation [13].

Manuka oil that is present in the cellular structure of Manuka leaves is high in bioactive compounds. There are three main chemo types of *Leptospermum scoparium* available in New Zealand: (i) *Leptospermum*, high in triketones in the North Island "East Cape" and Marlborough Sounds, (ii) *Leptospermum*, high in monoterpene in North Island and West Coast, (iii) *Leptospermum*, high in sesquiterpene, grown around New Zealand [13].

Steviol glycoside is a sweetener present in *Stevia rebaudiana* leaf, an herb cultivated originally in South America (Paraguay and Brazil). It has been used as a sweetener and for medicinal preparations by indigenous Paraguayan people for many centuries [14].

The Stevia plant, which belongs to the family of Asteraceae, is a perennial sub-tropical plant, grown during spring to summer. Today, Stevia is cultivated successfully in many countries around the world, such as Mexico, Canada, Hawaii, Spain, the UK, Italy, Czech Republic, Malaysia, Singapore, South Korea, Japan, Thailand, India, and Indonesia [15], and even in home gardens. Stevia is usually used as a zero-calorie sweetener containing a mixture of diterpene compounds. Commercial sweet glycosides product has about 80% stevioside, 8% rebaudioside A, 0.6% rebaudiosideC, and other glycosides in trace amounts [16].

Raw Material	Bioactive Compound (s)	Particle Size (µm)	Grinder Type	Method of Extraction	Solid/Liquid (g/mL)	Solvent Type	Extraction Time (min)	Yield (mg/g)	Ref.
		200	Blade grinder	SE <sup>1</sup> , 25 °C	1/20	Methanol	1440	0.07632	
Amaranthus caudatus	Tocols	200	Blade grinder	UAE <sup>2</sup> , 25 °C	1/20	Methanol	60	0.0637	[17]
		200	Blade grinder	SLE <sup>3</sup> , 25 °C, 400 atm	1/30	$CO_2$	15	0.12927	
	0 Citerate well's	grounded	Hammer mill	Soxhlet		n-hex	-	0.00201/0.127/0.38	
Okra seed	p-Sitosterol/α-	grounded	Hammer mill	Soxhlet		EtOH	-	0.00268/0.129/0.494	[18]
	locopherol/y-locopherol	grounded	Hammer mill	SLE, 50 °C, 450 bar	1/24-1/80	CO <sub>2</sub>	240-800	0.00239/0.148/0.407	
Cincong	Canaining	1. 250	Cutting mill	SE, 75 °C	1/10	MeOH 80%	720	52.4	[10]
Ginseng	Saponinis	a > 250	Cutting min	MAE <sup>4</sup> , 75 °C	1/10	MeOH 80%	2	53.1	[19]
				Soxhlet	1/20	Alcohol	240	3.78/350	
				SD <sup>5</sup>	7/120	Steam	300	0.167/0.4	
Onion	Sulfur/Oleoresin	200-1400	-	SE, 25 °C	1/20	n-hex	120	0.087/11	[20]
				SE, 25 °C	1/20	Alcohol	120	0.895/126	
				SLE, 65 °C, 300 bar	1/14	CO <sub>2</sub>	180	0.208/9	
Citrus navadiai	Naringin	Fragmented	Food	Soxhlet	1/10	EtOH:water (70:30)	480	15.2	[01]
Citrus paraaisi	Naringin	fresh peels	processor	SE, 22–25 °C	1/5	EtOH:water (70:30)	180	13.5	[21]
				SLE, 58.6 °C, 95 bar		CO <sub>2</sub> : EtOH (85:15)	45	14.4	
			Pollor mill	Soxhlet	1/20	n-hex	300	16.28/15.15	
Caraway seeds	Carvono/limono	-	Wester Sigver	SE, 69 °C	1/20	n-hex	60	13.38/12.63	[22]
Calaway seeds	Carvone/innone		(vector siever,	UAE, 69 °C		n-hex	60	14.45/14.27	[22]
			950 ipin)	UAE, 20–38 °C		n-hex	60	17.16/16.16	
				Soxhlet	1/25	n-hex	180	48.5	
				UAE, 69 °C	1/25	n-hex	60	32.5	
Rosehip seeds	Oil	360	Coffee mill	MAE, 40 °C	1/3.5	n-hex	30	52.6	[23]
				SLE, 35 °C, 250 bar	-	CO <sub>2</sub>	80	57.2	
				SLE, 28°C, 100 bar	-	CO <sub>2</sub> :propane	35	66.8	
				UAE, 50 °C, 40 KHz of 700 W	1/8		30	761	
Papaya seed	Papaya seed oil	powder	-	SE, shaking water bath 100 rpm, 25 °C		n-hex	720	791	[24]
				Soxhlet			-	304	
Mango (Mangifera indica L.) Leaves	Mangiferin (xanthone)	Fine powder	-	UAE, 60 °C, 200 W		EtOH 40%	19.2	58.46	[25]
Spirulina platensis Alga	β-carotene	250	-	UAE, 30 °C, 167 W/cm <sup>2</sup>	1/30	n-heptane 1 M sodium	8	1.15	[26]
Cymbopogon martinii	Geranoil	280	-	CAE,65% amplitude, 60 W, 70% cycle time	1/32.5	cumene sulfonate	16	1.9012	[27]
Prunella vulgaris L. Plant	Flavonoids	250	Knife mill	UAE, 79 °C	1/30	EtOH 41%	30.5	36.2	[28]
Hawthorn seeds by-product	Flavonoids	297	-	UAE, 65 °C, 40 W	1/18	EtOH 72%	37	16.45	[29]
Litchi seeds by-product	Polysaccharides	250	-	UAE, 222 W	15.0	Water	45	3.39	[30]

**Table 1.** Studies on the extraction of valuable compounds from various source material.

Raw Material	Bioactive Compound (s)	Particle Size (µm)	Grinder Type	Method of Extraction	Solid/Liquid (g/mL)	Solvent Type	Extraction Time (min)	Yield (mg/g)	Ref.							
Pigeonpea leaves Plant	Cajaninstilbene acid(CSA)/Pinostrobin (PI)	d < 500	-	MAE, 65 °C, 300 W	1/30	EtOH 80%	2	18.00/3.50	[31]							
Green coffee beans Plant	Chlorogenic acid, caffeine, and *TPC	d < 720	Hammer mill	MAE, 50 °C, at 800 W	1/4	Water	5	7.25/8.40/10-17mg GAE/g	[32]							
Rosemary leaves Spice	TPC <sup>6</sup> , rosmarinic and carnosic acids	200-850	-	MAE (ON/OFF) cycles of at 250 W	1/6	Water, EtOH	7	Higher extraction compared to fresh and non-grinded leaves	[33]							
Grape seeds	TPC	Powder	-	MAE, 60 °C at 150 W	-	EtOH 47.2%	4.6	96.30	[34]							
Parkia speciosa podagro-waste	TPC and flavonoids	250	-	SE, 35–36 °C	1/20	Ace 50%	100-102	66,800 and 4960	[ <mark>6</mark> ]							
Raw propolis	TPC	Ground	-	Maceration, 25 °C UAE-bath, 300 W, 25 °C MAE, (ON/OFF) 800 W	1/10	EtOH 70%	4320 30 0.33	4300 5200 4040	[35]							
				Conventional,25 °C	1/10	MeOH and EtOH 80%	720	77.40								
Stevia revauatana (Bertoni)	Stevioside and rebaudioside	250 Moi	250	250	250	250	Mortar	250 Mortar	UAE, 35 ± 5 °C	1/10	1/10	1/10	MeOH and EtOH 80%	30	61.98	[36]
				MAE, 50 °C		MeOH 80%	1	109.8								
Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni)	Stevioside and rebaudioside	-	Microfine grinder	Hot water, 10 °C with shaking UAE, 81.2 °C		Water	1440 10	96.98 133.40	[7]							
Walnut shell	TPC	-	Ball mill	MAE, 100 °C	1/20	Water/ACN1/1	30	$99.09 \pm 0.09$	[37]							

Table 1. Cont.

SE<sup>1</sup>: solvent extraction, UAE<sup>2</sup>: ultrasound-assisted extraction, SLE<sup>3</sup>: supercritical liquid extraction, MAE<sup>4</sup>: microwave-assisted extraction, SD<sup>5</sup>: steam distillation, TPC<sup>6</sup>: total phenolic compounds.

The concentrations of some of the major bioactive monoterpenes (Pinene<alpha->, Eucalyptol, Terpinene<gamma->) and sesquiterpenes (Caryophyllene<E->, Selinene<beta->, Selinene<alpha->, Calamenene<trans->,Menthol,1'-(butyn-3-one-1-yl)-,(1R,2S,5R)-,Eudesmol<beta->, Eudesmol<alpha->, Eudesmol<gamma->), which are insoluble in water, as products of interest, were used as markers. Moreover, the extraction of two major sweet steviol glycosides, which are water-soluble, was also carried out using conventional hot water extraction (90 °C, 1 h) and ultrasound thermal assisted adiabatic extraction in improving the efficiency of the commonly used ultrasound extraction processing. 'Zero time,' or instant extraction, is defined throughout this study to illustrate the measurement when the grounded powder is added to the extractant and immediately removed (within a few seconds).

Due to the increasing demands to obtain bioactive compounds using different methods, there is a need to understand every aspect of the extraction process, such as grinding, and to evaluate the performance of the selected extraction method accurately. Thus, the aim of this paper is to critically investigate the impact of particle size on the extraction yield of bioactive compounds from two valuable plant leaves. In addition, we assessed a hypothesis for whether the extraction of bioactives actually occurs as a result of grinding (prior to any extraction), and the superiority of the extraction method used, an issue that has not been sufficiently addressed.

### 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Chemicals

All chemicals and standards were GC-grade. Pinene<alpha->, Eucalyptol, Linalool Caryophyllene<E-> and Eudesmol<beta-> standards were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich, Inc. (St. Louis, MO, USA). Stevioside and Rebaudioside A "HPLC-grade" sweetener standards were obtained from AK Scientific, Inc., USA.

#### 2.2. Manuka Plant Material

Leaves of New Zealand Manuka tree (*Leptospermum scoparium*) were harvested during October 2018 in controlled plantations at Manuka Bioactives Ltd. (North Island/New Zealand). The materials were left slowly to welt at room temperature for a few weeks and dried using a convection oven at 35 °C for three days. Samples were kept in a plastic bag and stored at 4 °C prior to the process.

#### 2.3. Manuka Leaves Sample Grinding

Dried Manuka leaves were grounded with a blade (food-grade stainless steel) grain miller 28,000/min (Huangcheng, China). Two types of milling were used for particle size reduction. Mild milling (around 1 min) to get a particle size between 250–1400  $\mu$ m and severe milling (approximately 5 min) to achieve the finest particle size possible, between 68–200  $\mu$ m Table 2. All samples were prepared immediately before bioactive extraction.

	Grinding	Particle Size (µm)
	No grinding	-
		1400
		d > 850
	Mild	d > 500
		d > 250
		$d \le 250$
		d > 200
	C	$d \le 200$
Severe	100	
		68

Table 2. Sample particle size of the mild and severe grinding.

Manuka dried and grounded leaves were sieved on a Vibratory Sieve Shaker AS 200, Germany. Mild treatment was sieved through 250, 500, 850, and 1400  $\mu$ m mesh screens. The finest powder that resulted from severe grinding was sieved through 68, 100, and 200  $\mu$ m mesh screens. All the fraction samples mentioned before were extracted for bioactive compounds using n-hexane as a solvent. New Zealand Manuka leaves, and their grounded powder with different particle sizes, are shown in Figure 1.

#### 2.5. Preparation of Manuka Extract by Solvent

Dried and grounded Manuka leaves with particular particle size were immersed separately in n-hexane 95%, with a ratio of 1:20 (w/v) at room temperature for 0, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 30, and 60 min. After extraction, the solid part was separated by centrifugation at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. All the samples were filtered through a 0.45 µm PTFE filter and then analyzed for terpenes content by GC-MS analysis. All samples were extracted and analyzed in duplicate in this study. The experimental parameters and conditions are presented in Table 3.

Table 3. Experimental parameters of solvent extraction.

Experimental Parameters	Conditions
Solvent	n-hexane 95%
Sample: solvent ratio, g/mL	1:20
Extraction time (min)	0, 3, 5,7, 10, 15, 30, 60 min
Temperature (°C)	25

### 2.6. GC-MS Analysis

The essential oil of Manuka primarily consists of terpenes and terpenoids. They have been usually measured by GC-MS [38].

The samples were analyzed by a GC-MS-QP2010 Ultra system (Shimadzu) attached to an AOC-5000 plus autosampler (Shimadzu). A HP-5MS (30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm, film thickness 0.25  $\mu$ m  $\times$  0.32 mm ID) fused silica capillary column was used.

Chromatographic conditions were: injector temperature 280.0 °C, injection mode: split, split ratio: 50.0. The column temperature program was 40 to 100 °C at a heating rate of 3 °C/min, then 100 °C to 115 °C at a heating rate of 1 °C/min for 15 min; lastly, from 115 °C to 200 °C at a heating rate of 3 °C/min. The carrier gas was helium. One  $\mu$ L of the hexane extract solution was injected. Mass spectrometry conditions were as follows: acquisition of mass scanning between 50–800, scan time 0.32 s, and ionization voltage of 70 eV Table 4. Oil constituents were identified by comparing the mass spectra of each component with those values stored in GCMS libraries. Moreover, a solution of C8-C20 alkanes was also injected using the same column under the same conditions described for GCMS analysis to calculate the retention indices of the obtained components and compare it with those available authentic standards.

Table 4. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry Conditions.

GC Condit	ions	MS Conditions			
Injection temperature	280 °C	Ion source temperature	250 °C		
Injection mode	Split	Interface temperature	290 °C		
Column flow	4.81 mL/min	Solvent cut time	2.5 min		
Split ratio	50.0	Start m/z	50.00		
*		End m/z	800.00		
Oven temperature program					
Rate (°C	2)	Temperature (°C)	Hold time (min)		
-		40.0	5.00		
3.00		100.0	0.00		
1.00		115.0	0.00		
3.00		200.0	5.00		



**Figure 1.** New Zealand Manuka leaves and their corresponding leaves grounded to different particle size: (**A**) 1400  $\mu$ m, (**B**) 850  $\mu$ m, (**C**) 500  $\mu$ m, (**D**) d  $\geq$  250  $\mu$ m, (**E**) d  $\leq$  250  $\mu$ m, (**F**) d  $\geq$  200  $\mu$ m, (**G**) d  $\leq$  200  $\mu$ m, (**H**) 100  $\mu$ m, (**I**) 68  $\mu$ m.

#### 2.7. Stevia Plant Pre-Treatment

Dry stevia (*Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni) leaves were purchased from Eternal Delight Company, Christchurch, New Zealand, which was imported originally from India in a dried form Figure 2. Before each set of experiments, the dried stevia leaves were blended to powder with a food-grade stainless steel grain miller 28,000/min (Huangcheng, China). The powder with  $\leq$ 200 µm particle size was collected.



Figure 2. Stevia leaves powder.

#### 2.8. Conventional and Non-Conventional Extraction of Stevia Natural Sweeteners

Dried and grounded stevia leaves were extracted with Milli-Q water in ratio 1:15 (w/v) at 90 °C for 1 h by using a shaking water bath (Acorn Scientific BS-31) at 100 rpm Table 5. After extraction, stevia extract was rapidly cooled down to ambient temperature using an ice water bath. The extracts were centrifuged (10,000 rpm for 10 min) and filtered using Whatman No. 1. The filtrate part was dried in a convection oven at 45 °C overnight.

<b>Experimental Parameters</b>	Conditions
Sample particle size	d < 200
Solvent	Milli-Q water
Sample: solvent ( <i>w</i> / <i>v</i> , g/mL)	1:15
Extraction time (h)	1
Temperature (°C)	90

Table 5. Experimental parameters of hot water extraction.

Ultrasound-assisted extraction was also used for stevioside and rebaudioside A. An ultrasonic horn transducer (model 750 W, Vibra-CellTM, USA) with a diameter of 12.75 mm at a frequency of 20 kHz, and a constant amplitude of 100%, was used. The stevia leaf powder was suspended in milli-Q water at ratio (1 g stevia powder: 15 mL Milli-Q water) with continuous stirring. An insulated vessel was used to retain the heat generated during the UAE process. The increments in temperature during ultrasound-assisted extraction were recorded every 30 s, and the temperature has reached a value of 88.00 °C after 10 min. The ultrasound treatment was carried out for 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 min. After ultrasound treatment, the extracts were centrifuged (10,000× g, 10 min), and vacuum filtered using Whatman No. 1. The filtrate part was dried in a convection oven at 45 °C overnight. All samples were performed in duplicate. The ultrasound-assisted extraction setup is illustrated in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Ultrasound-assisted extraction system: without circulating water-bath.

#### 2.9. HPLC Analysis of Stevia Extracts

Typically, steviol glycosides are determined individually by liquid chromatography methods [39]. HPLC system Shimadzu (Tokyo, Japan) was used for stevioside and rebaudioside A analysis together with DGU-20A5 degasser, SPD-20A UV/VIS detector, SIL-20A, and an Agilent Eclipse XDB-C18, 5  $\mu$ m, 4.6 × 150 mm column. Acetonitrile (A) and 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH = 2.63) (B) with a 32A:68B ratio were used as a mobile phase. The column was purged for 20 min with the mobile phase prior to the sample injection at flowrate 1 mL/min. The detection wavelength, column temperature, and the injection volume were set at 210 nm, 40 °C, and 20  $\mu$ L, respectively.

HPLC-grade stevioside and rebaudioside standards were obtained from AK Scientific, Inc., USA. The standard stock solution was prepared accurately using 0.01 g of each standard and diluted to 10 mL with the mobile phase. Seven dilutions were prepared at concentrations of 25, 50, 100, 200, 300, 400, and 500 ppm for stevioside and rebaudioside A (Figure S1 in the supplementary file). All the standard solutions were filtered through a 0.45  $\mu$ m PTFE filter prior to HPLC analysis. About 0.02 g of dried extracts were dissolved in 10 mL Milli-Q water to determine stevioside and rebaudioside A concentrations in the extracts. All the samples were filtered using a 0.45  $\mu$ m PTFE filter prior to HPLC analysis. HPLC chromatograms of Stevioside, rebaudioside A, and stevia leaves extract are shown in Figure S2 in the supplementary file.

## 3. Results and Discussion

## 3.1. The Impact of Particle Size on the Extractability of the Bioactive Compounds

This paper presents the effect of sample pre-treatment (grinding) on the extraction of the bioactive compounds from two selected plants. The relation between several bioactive terpenoids and different particle sizes was demonstrated. Such an effect has been studied before, but in the absence of the possibility of a significant release of bioactive during the process of grinding the leaves, which is the main objective of this paper.

GCMS chromatograms results of un-milled, 500  $\mu$ m, 850  $\mu$ m, and 1400  $\mu$ m Manuka leaves at different times showed no extraction of terpenes for the unmilled and the 1400  $\mu$ m diameter samples even after 60 min. However, Pinene<alpha-> was the only monoterpenes observed in samples with 850  $\mu$ m particle size within the defined time. For the diameter of 500  $\mu$ m, the intensity of four compounds, namely Pinene< alpha-, RT 5.995, Eucalyptol, RT 10.526, Globulol, RT 40.952, and Menthol, 1'-(butyn-3-one-1-yl)-, (1R, 2S, 5R)-, RT 42.84, increased over time, reaching the highest intensity after 60 min. In Figure 4a, the extraction of bioactive terpenoids of Manuka samples with a particle size of d  $\geq$  250  $\mu$ m showed almost no extraction at zero time, and the extraction of all bioactives increased

with time, which is expected since the sample was only mildly grounded. Figure 4b shows that the extraction happens at zero time, indicating that most of the release of bioactives have occurred during grinding, which supports our hypothesis.



**Figure 4.** Total of selected bioactive terpenoids in Manuka samples with particle size of: (a)  $d \ge 250$ , (b)  $d \le 250$ , (c)  $d \ge 200 \ \mu m$ .

Samples with a diameter of  $d \ge 200 \ \mu m$  Figure 4c, a high amount of the terpenes, were again extracted and appeared in the chromatogram at a zero time (in a few seconds). Moreover, the terpenes content in the extracts increased little after 3, 5, and 7 min to remain relatively constant. Furthermore, the total terpenes content after decreasing the particle size ( $d \le 200 \ \mu m$ ) is represented in Figure 5a. Indeed, the increase of the terpenes content in the extracts with a sample diameter of  $d \le 200 \ \mu m$  was expected. On a similar approach, Gião et al. studied the effect of particle size on the antioxidant extraction from *Agrimonia eupatoria*, Salvia sp., and *Satureja montana* plants [40]. The particle size of 200  $\mu m$ , which was the smallest, obtained by the coffee grinder, gave the highest rate of extraction [40]. We believe that the 50% increase in the extraction shown in Figures 4c and 5a is due to the release of terpenoids during the grinding process, which supports our hypothesis that the maximum terpenoids concentration occurs as soon as the powder is immersed in the solvent. This is also supported by the results of Figure 5b,c for the fine particles  $d = 100 \ \mu m$  and 68  $\mu m$ , respectively. Interestingly, the extraction of terpenes had slightly decreased after 15 min Figure 5c, which could be the degradation of the terpenes. Data comparison of Manuka extracts with the particle size used in this study at Zero time (in a few seconds) is demonstrated in the GCMS chromatogram Figure S3 in the supplementary file.

#### 3.2. Effect of Different Extraction Methods on Stevioside and Rebaudioside A Yield

As mentioned in Section 2.8, the extraction was carried out at a variable temperature in an insulated vessel to retain the heat generated during the ultrasound. The increase in temperature with time is as shown in Figure 6. The temperature has reached values of 35.7 °C, 61.5 °C, 65.5 °C, 81.5 °C, and 88.00 °C during sonication after 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 min of extraction, respectively. According to the literature, the samples used for extraction are usually placed in a cooling bath to absorb the heat generated by ultrasonication. UAE with a circulating water bath is higher energy consumption compared to UAE under uncontrolled temperature (variable temperature) at the same duration due to (i) the energy required to bring the temperature to a certain degree and (ii) the energy needed to keep the temperature at constant. It was surprising that the energy used during sonification (with a cooling bath) was excessively large, making such treatment highly impractical.

As can be seen from Table 6, the stevioside and rebaudioside A content in the hot water extract was  $5.34 \pm 0.006$  and  $2.07 \pm 0.015$  g/100 g dry leaves, respectively. These values are within the range of steviol glycosides found in different varieties of the stevia plant (stevioside 4–13 g/100 g dry leaves, rebaudioside A 2–4 g/100 g dry leaves) [7,36,39,41].

Method	Extraction Time (min)	Stevioside Yield % (g/100 g Dry Leaves)	Rebaudioside A Yield % (g/100 g Dry Leaves)
Hot water, 90 °C	60	$5.34 \pm 0.006$	$2.07\pm0.015$
	1	$5.02 \pm 0.009$	$2.00 \pm 0.004$
	3	$5.48 \pm 0.017$	$2.13 \pm 0.009$
UAE	5	$5.37 \pm 0.066$	$2.09 \pm 0.039$
	7	$5.33 \pm 0.009$	$2.06 \pm 0.001$
	10	$5.47 \pm 0.030$	$2.15 \pm 0.024$

Table 6. Stevioside and rebaudioside A yield using hot water and UAE methods.

From the results shown in Table 6, the stevioside content in the extracts obtained by UAE at different times has remained relatively constant (5.02, 5.48, 5.37, 5.33, and 5.47 g/100 g dry leaves with extraction times 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 min, respectively) compared with hot water extraction (90  $^{\circ}$ C, 1 h).

Similarly, the yield of rebaudioside A was  $2.00 \pm 0.004$ ,  $2.13 \pm 0.009$ ,  $2.09 \pm 0.039$ ,  $2.06 \pm 0.001$ , and  $2.15 \pm 0.024$  g/100 g dry leaves after 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 min of sonication, respectively. It can be concluded that an exposure extraction time of a few minutes appears to be sufficient to recover most of the bioactives from *Stevia rebaudiana* fine powder (200 µm) via ultrasound as a novel technology as

compared to the classical hot water extraction (90  $^{\circ}$ C, 1 h) in this study. By decreasing the raw material particle size, rapid extraction could happen.



**Figure 5.** Total of selected bioactive terpenoids in Manuka samples with particle size of: (a)  $d \le 200$ , (b)  $d = 100 \ \mu m$ , (c)  $d = 68 \ \mu m$ .



**Figure 6.** Temperature change during UAE for stevia powder suspension (750 W, 12.75 mm, 20 kHz, 100% amplitude).

This, again, supports our hypothesis that most of the bioactive compound is released during grinding since the increase of extraction time did not have any effect.

The extraction of stevioside and rebaudioside A from *Stevia rebaudiana* fine powder (250  $\mu$ m) was conducted and compared by[36]. The extraction was performed by microwave–assisted extraction (MAE) and compare it with cold maceration. The results showed that MAE (1 min, 50 °C) was more efficient than cold maceration (12 h, 25 °C). The higher extraction yield of microwave-assisted extraction can be explained by the elevated temperature used in MAE.

In another study, a saponin extraction from Chinese white ginseng (I) and fine root of Panax ginseng C. A. Meyer (II) by microwave-assisted extraction were reported by [19]. The raw materials were milled to 250  $\mu$ m particle size. The results confirmed that the extraction yield of the target compounds by microwave-assisted extraction at 72.2 °C for two minutes was similar to the conventional reflux (75.1 °C for 12 h). Unfortunately, the authors did not conduct a conventional reflux extraction for a similar period of 2 min as used in microwave extraction, to have a fair comparison. It is unlikely that a microwave could reduce extraction from 12 h to 2 min.

Sumere et al. [42] have studied the influence of different processing factors such as solvent type, temperature, particle size, ultrasound power, and number of cycles on the phenolic compounds extraction from pomegranate peel using a combination of two extraction methods (UAE, PLE) [42]. The results showed that samples with small particle size (680  $\mu$ m) show higher phenolic compounds extraction as compared to samples with larger particle sizes (1050  $\mu$ m). However, the authors, due to the constant clogging of the processing line, did not recommend samples with small particle sizes. Moreover, Stamatopoulos et al. found a similar finding [43], showing that the smaller the size of particles, the faster the solvent can travel through it, which will indirectly shorten the extraction time.

Excellent previous work has been done in the literature to obtain a better yield of the target compound/s using different methods. The aim in most of the previous work has been to compare the different extraction methods [34,44,45]. However, none of the researchers conducted the measurement of the bioactive soon after the powder produced by grinding is exposed to the solvent (zero time). The current study suggests doing further investigation to address the effect of grinding on the release of bioactives from plant leaves by conducting zero time extraction, as this has not done in the literature.

### 4. Conclusions

This work highlights the impacts of particle size on bioactive compounds recoveries from two selected plant leaves. The major bioactive monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes from grounded Manuka leaves were extracted by n-hexane. Moreover, the extraction of two major sweet steviol glycosides from stevia powder was also carried out using conventional hot water extraction (90 °C, 1 h) and ultrasound thermal assisted adiabatic extraction. Previous investigators have not conducted the extraction of a very short period of time. 'Zero time,' or instant extraction, was used in this work for the first time by removing the grounded powder from the solvent within a few seconds. Results revealed that the bioactive terpenoids content from Manuka leaves Leptospermum scoparium could be easily extracted by decreasing the particle size to 68–200  $\mu$ m. The experimental results also revealed that employing fine powder (200  $\mu$ m) of stevia leaves could shorten the extraction time required to recover most of the sweet steviol glycosides via ultrasound.

The results showed that most of the extraction happened as soon as the fine powder is introduced to the solvent (a few seconds), with no further increase in extraction. This indicated that the release of bioactive compounds occurred during grinding.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2076-3417/10/18/6362/s1, Figure S1: title, Table S1: title, Video S1: title.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, M.F. and N.A.; methodology, N.A.; formal analysis, N.A.; investigation, M.F. and N.A; resources, M.F.; data curation, N.A.; writing—original draft preparation, N.A.; writing—review and editing, M.F.; visualization, M.F. and N.A; supervision, M.F.; project administration, N.A.; funding acquisition, M.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was carried out as part of the Food Industry Enabling Technologies (FIET) program funded by the New Zealand Ministry of Business, Innovation, and Employment (contract MAUX1402).

**Acknowledgments:** The authors would like to acknowledge Manuka Bioactives Ltd., located in the East Cape, New Zealand for providing Manuka fresh leaves.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### References

- Sasidharan, S.; Chen, Y.; Saravanan, D.; Sundram, K.; Latha, L. Extraction, isolation and characterization of bioactive compounds from plants' extracts. *Afr. J. Tradit. Complementary Altern. Med.* 2011, *8*, 1–10. [CrossRef]
- Wang, L.; Weller, C.L. Recent advances in extraction of nutraceuticals from plants. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* 2006, 17, 300–312. [CrossRef]
- Azmir, J.; Zaidul, I.S.M.; Rahman, M.M.; Sharif, K.M.; Mohamed, A.; Sahena, F.; Jahurul, M.H.A.; Ghafoor, K.; Norulaini, N.A.N.; Omar, A.K.M. Techniques for extraction of bioactive compounds from plant materials: A review. J. Food Eng. 2013, 117, 426–436. [CrossRef]
- 4. Syed Jaapar, S.Z.; Morad, N.A.; Iwai, Y.; Nordin, M.F.M. Effects of processing parameters in the sonic assisted water extraction (SAWE) of 6-gingerol. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* **2017**, *38*, 62–74. [CrossRef]
- Yang, B.; Liu, X.; Gao, Y. Extraction optimization of bioactive compounds (crocin, geniposide and total phenolic compounds) from Gardenia (Gardenia jasminoides Ellis) fruits with response surface methodology. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* 2009, 10, 610–615. [CrossRef]
- 6. Gan, C.-Y.; Latiff, A.A. Optimisation of the solvent extraction of bioactive compounds from Parkia speciosa pod using response surface methodology. *Food Chem.* **2011**, *124*, 1277–1283. [CrossRef]
- Šic Žlabur, J.; Voća, S.; Dobričević, N.; Brnčić, M.; Dujmić, F.; Rimac Brnčić, S. Optimization of ultrasound assisted extraction of functional ingredients from Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni leaves. *Int. Agrophys.* 2015, 29, 231–237.
- Ćujić, N.; Šavikin, K.; Janković, T.; Pljevljakušić, D.; Zdunić, G.; Ibrić, S. Optimization of polyphenols extraction from dried chokeberry using maceration as traditional technique. *Food Chem.* 2016, 194, 135–142. [CrossRef]

- 9. Papoutsis, K.; Pristijono, P.; Golding, J.B.; Stathopoulos, C.E.; Bowyer, M.C.; Scarlett, C.J.; Vuong, Q.V. Optimisation of aqueous extraction conditions for the recovery of phenolic compounds and antioxidants from lemon pomace. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *51*, 2009–2018. [CrossRef]
- Hiranvarachat, B.; Devahastin, S.; Soponronnarit, S. Comparative evaluation of atmospheric and vacuum microwave-assisted extraction of bioactive compounds from fresh and dried Centella asiatica L. leaves. *Int. J. Food Sci. Technol.* 2015, *50*, 750–757. [CrossRef]
- Palsikowski, P.A.; Besen, L.M.; Klein, E.J.; da Silva, C.; da Silva, E.A. Optimization of ultrasound-assisted extraction of bioactive compounds from B. forficata subsp. Pruinosa. *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* 2020, 1–13. [CrossRef]
- Ghafoor, K. Optimized Extraction of Phenolic Compounds from Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) Seed and Their Radical Scavenging Properties. J. Food Process. Preserv. 2015, 39, 793–799. [CrossRef]
- 13. Porter, N.; Smale, P.; Nelson, M.; Hay, A.; Van Klink, J.; Dean, C. Variability in essential oil chemistry and plant morphology within a Leptospermum scoparium population. *N. Z. J. Bot.* **1998**, *36*, 125–133.
- 14. Soejarto, D.; Compadre, C.; Medon, P.; Kamath, S.; Kinghorn, A.D. Potential sweetening agents of plant origin. II. Field search for sweet-tastingStevia species. *Econ. Bot.* **1983**, *37*, 71–79.
- 15. Kinghorn, A. *Food Ingredient Safety Review: Stevia Rebaudiana Leaves*; Herbal Research Foundation: Boulder, CO, USA, 1992.
- Cardello, H.; Da Silva, M.; Damasio, M. Measurement of the relative sweetness of stevia extract, aspartame and cyclamate/saccharin blend as compared to sucrose at different concentrations. *Plant Foods Hum. Nutr.* 1999, 54, 119–129.
- Bruni, R.; Guerrini, A.; Scalia, S.; Romagnoli, C.; Sacchetti, G. Rapid techniques for the extraction of vitamin E isomers from Amaranthus caudatus seeds: Ultrasonic and supercritical fluid extraction. *Phytochem. Anal.* 2002, 13, 257–261. [CrossRef]
- András, C.D.; Simándi, B.; Örsi, F.; Lambrou, C.; Missopolinou-Tatala, D.; Panayiotou, C.; Domokos, J.; Doleschall, F. Supercritical carbon dioxide extraction of okra (*Hibiscus esculentus* L.) seeds. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* 2005, *85*, 1415–1419.
- Kwon, J.-H.; Bélanger, J.M.R.; Paré, J.R.J.; Yaylayan, V.A. Application of the microwave-assisted process (MAP™☆☆ is a Trademark of Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada as represented by the Minister of the Environment.) to the fast extraction of ginseng saponins. *Food Res. Int.* 2003, *36*, 491–498. [CrossRef]
- 20. Sass-Kiss, A.; Simandi, B.; Gao, Y.; Boross, F.; Vamos-Falusi, Z. Study on the pilot-scale extraction of onion oleoresin using supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **1998**, *76*, 320–326. [CrossRef]
- 21. Giannuzzo, A.N.; Boggetti, H.J.; Nazareno, M.A.; Mishima, H.T. Supercritical fluid extraction of naringin from the peel of Citrus paradisi. *Phytochem. Anal.* **2003**, *14*, 221–223.
- 22. Chemat, S.; Lagha, A.; AitAmar, H.; Bartels, P.V.; Chemat, F. Comparison of conventional and ultrasound-assisted extraction of carvone and limonene from caraway seeds. *Flavour Fragr. J.* **2004**, *19*, 188–195. [CrossRef]
- 23. Szentmihályi, K.; Vinkler, P.; Lakatos, B.; Illés, V.; Then, M. Rose hip (*Rosa canina* L.) oil obtained from waste hip seeds by different extraction methods. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2002, *82*, 195–201. [CrossRef]
- 24. Samaram, S.; Mirhosseini, H.; Tan, C.P.; Ghazali, H.M. Ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE) and solvent extraction of papaya seed oil: Yield, fatty acid composition and triacylglycerol profile. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*, 12474–12487.
- 25. Zou, T.-B.; Xia, E.-Q.; He, T.-P.; Huang, M.-Y.; Jia, Q.; Li, H.-W. Ultrasound-assisted extraction of mangiferin from mango (*Mangifera indica* L.) leaves using response surface methodology. *Molecules* **2014**, *19*, 1411–1421.
- Dey, S.; Rathod, V.K. Ultrasound assisted extraction of β-carotene from Spirulina platensis. *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 2013, 20, 271–276. [CrossRef]
- 27. Thakker, M.R.; Parikh, J.K.; Desai, M.A. Ultrasound Assisted Hydrotropic Extraction: A Greener Approach for the Isolation of Geraniol from the Leaves of Cymbopogon martinii. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *6*, 3215–3224. [CrossRef]
- 28. Zhang, G.; He, L.; Hu, M. Optimized ultrasonic-assisted extraction of flavonoids from Prunella vulgaris L. and evaluation of antioxidant activities in vitro. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* **2011**, *12*, 18–25.
- 29. Pan, G.; Yu, G.; Zhu, C.; Qiao, J. Optimization of ultrasound-assisted extraction (UAE) of flavonoids compounds (FC) from hawthorn seed (HS). *Ultrason. Sonochem.* **2012**, *19*, 486–490. [CrossRef]

- Chen, Y.; Luo, H.; Gao, A.; Zhu, M. Ultrasound-assisted extraction of polysaccharides from litchi (*Litchi chinensis* Sonn.) seed by response surface methodology and their structural characteristics. *Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol.* 2011, 12, 305–309. [CrossRef]
- Kong, Y.; Zu, Y.-G.; Fu, Y.-J.; Liu, W.; Chang, F.-R.; Li, J.; Chen, Y.-H.; Zhang, S.; Gu, C.-B. Optimization of microwave-assisted extraction of cajaninstilbene acid and pinostrobin from pigeonpea leaves followed by RP-HPLC-DAD determination. *J. Food Compos. Anal.* 2010, 23, 382–388. [CrossRef]
- 32. Upadhyay, R.; Ramalakshmi, K.; Jagan Mohan Rao, L. Microwave-assisted extraction of chlorogenic acids from green coffee beans. *Food Chem.* **2012**, *130*, 184–188. [CrossRef]
- 33. Rodríguez-Rojo, S.; Visentin, A.; Maestri, D.; Cocero, M.J. Assisted extraction of rosemary antioxidants with green solvents. *J. Food Eng.* **2012**, *109*, 98–103. [CrossRef]
- 34. Li, Y.; Skouroumounis, G.K.; Elsey, G.M.; Taylor, D.K. Microwave-assistance provides very rapid and efficient extraction of grape seed polyphenols. *Food Chem.* **2011**, *129*, 570–576. [CrossRef]
- 35. Trusheva, B.; Trunkova, D.; Bankova, V. Different extraction methods of biologically active components from propolis: A preliminary study. *Chem. Cent. J.* **2007**, *1*, 13. [CrossRef]
- 36. Jaitak, V.; Bandna, B.S.; Kaul, V. An efficient microwave-assisted extraction process of stevioside and rebaudioside-A from Stevia rebaudiana (Bertoni). *Phytochem. Anal.* **2009**, *20*, 240–245.
- 37. Rodríguez-Padrón, D.; Zhao, D.; Garín Ortega, R.N.; Len, C.; Balu, A.M.; García, A.; Luque, R. Characterization and Antioxidant Activity of Microwave-Extracted Phenolic Compounds from Biomass Residues. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2020**, *8*, 1513–1519. [CrossRef]
- 38. Douglas, M.H.; van Klink, J.W.; Smallfield, B.M.; Perry, N.B.; Anderson, R.E.; Johnstone, P.; Weavers, R.T. Essential oils from New Zealand manuka: Triketone and other chemotypes of Leptospermum scoparium. *Phytochemistry* **2004**, *65*, 1255–1264. [CrossRef]
- Jentzer, J.-B.; Alignan, M.; Vaca-Garcia, C.; Rigal, L.; Vilarem, G. Response surface methodology to optimise Accelerated Solvent Extraction of steviol glycosides from Stevia rebaudiana Bertoni leaves. *Food Chem.* 2015, 166, 561–567. [CrossRef]
- 40. Gião, M.S.; Pereira, C.I.; Fonseca, S.C.; Pintado, M.E.; Malcata, F.X. Effect of particle size upon the extent of extraction of antioxidant power from the plants Agrimonia eupatoria, Salvia sp. and Satureja montana. *Food Chem.* **2009**, *117*, 412–416. [CrossRef]
- 41. Erkucuk, A.; Akgun, I.; Yesil-Celiktas, O. Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> extraction of glycosides from Stevia rebaudiana leaves: Identification and optimization. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* **2009**, *51*, 29–35.
- Sumere, B.R.; de Souza, M.C.; dos Santos, M.P.; Bezerra, R.M.N.; da Cunha, D.T.; Martinez, J.; Rostagno, M.A. Combining pressurized liquids with ultrasound to improve the extraction of phenolic compounds from pomegranate peel (*Punica granatum* L.). *Ultrason. Sonochem.* 2018, 48, 151–162. [CrossRef]
- Stamatopoulos, K.; Chatzilazarou, A.; Katsoyannos, E. Optimization of Multistage Extraction of Olive Leaves for Recovery of Phenolic Compounds at Moderated Temperatures and Short Extraction Times. *Foods* 2014, *3*, 66–81.
- 44. Li, C.; Zhang, J.; Zhao, C.; Yang, L.; Zhao, W.; Jiang, H.; Ren, X.; Su, W.; Li, Y.; Guan, J. Separation of the main flavonoids and essential oil from seabuckthorn leaves by ultrasonic/microwave-assisted simultaneous distillation extraction. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **2018**, *5*, 180133. [CrossRef]
- 45. Khan, M.K.; Abert-Vian, M.; Fabiano-Tixier, A.-S.; Dangles, O.; Chemat, F. Ultrasound-assisted extraction of polyphenols (flavanone glycosides) from orange (*Citrus sinensis* L.) peel. *Food Chem.* **2010**, *119*, 851–858.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).