

Article

Bidirectional Quantum Communication through the Composite GHZ-GHZ Channel

Shuangshuang Shuai, Na Chen *  and Bin Yan

College of Electronic and Information Engineering, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266590, China; ShuaiShuang1997@163.com (S.S.); yanbinhit@sdust.edu.cn (B.Y.)

* Correspondence: nchen@sdust.edu.cn; Tel.: +86-0532-86057522

Received: 5 July 2020; Accepted: 6 August 2020; Published: 8 August 2020



Abstract: This paper solved the problem of transmitting quantum bits (qubits) in a multi-hop and bidirectional way. Considering that the Greenberger–Horne–Zeilinger (GHZ) states are less prone to the decoherence effects caused by the surrounding environment, we proposed a bidirectional quantum communication scheme based on quantum teleportation and the composite GHZ-GHZ states. On a multi-hop quantum path, different types of GHZ states are previously shared between the adjacent intermediate nodes. To implement qubit transmission, the sender and intermediate nodes perform quantum measurements in parallel, and then send their measurement results and the types of previously shared GHZ states to the receiver independently. Based on the received information, the receiver performs unitary operations on the local particle, thus retrieving the original qubit. Our scheme can avoid information leakage at the intermediate nodes and can reduce the end-to-end communication delay, in contrast to the hop-by-hop qubit transmission scheme.

Keywords: bidirectional quantum communication; GHZ; quantum teleportation

1. Introduction

Quantum teleportation is a process of transmitting unknown quantum states between two distant nodes based on entanglement and some auxiliary classical communication. Since Bennett proposed the concept of quantum teleportation [1], where Einstein–Podolsky–Rosen (EPR) pairs are used as an entanglement channel, quantum teleportation has been paid extensive attention in recent years. Some quantum teleportation schemes have been proposed by using Greenberger–Horne–Zeilinger (GHZ) states, mixed W states, and other entangled states as quantum channels [2–5].

To realize qubit transmission in a quantum communication network, Wang et al. [6] proposed the idea of quantum wireless multi-hop communication based on arbitrary EPR pairs and teleportation, where simultaneous entanglement swapping is utilized to reduce the end-to-end quantum communication delay. To overcome the decoherence effects caused by the surrounding environments and take advantage of partially entangled EPR pairs efficiently, Yu et al. [7] proposed a wireless quantum communication scheme. However, hop-by-hop qubit transmission introduces great communication delay and information leakage at the intermediate nodes. After that, Chen et al. [8] proposed a wide area quantum communication network via partially entangled EPR states, where sequential entanglement swapping is exploited. In this scheme, the security of qubit transmission is improved, while end-to-end quantum communication delay is not reduced to a great extent. Compared to two-particle entanglement, multi-particle entangled states are equipped with better entanglement properties, and are more powerful in revealing the nonlocality of quantum physics [9,10]. Zhan and Zou et al. proposed multi-hop quantum teleportation schemes based on W states and GHZ–Bell channels [11,12]. Considering that duplex quantum communication between two arbitrary nodes is crucial to the future quantum networks, Li et al. [13] first proposed the bidirectional controlled quantum

transmission scheme using a five-qubit entangled state. Since then, some schemes for bidirectional quantum communication have been proposed [14–21].

To address all the issues mentioned above, such as quantum communication delay, information leakage, and duplex quantum communication, in this paper we investigate multi-hop bidirectional quantum communication based on GHZ states, considering that GHZ states are less prone to the decoherence effects caused by the surrounding environment [22]. The main contribution of this work is as follows.

We propose a scheme for multi-hop bidirectional quantum communication using the composite GHZ-GHZ channel, where all the nodes perform quantum measurements in parallel. After that, they send the measurement results and the types of previously shared GHZ-GHZ states to the sender and receiver through the classical channel independently. Based on the received information, the sender and receiver perform appropriate unitary operations to recover the original qubit. Our scheme has a shorter delay for the end-to-end quantum communication and avoids information leakage at the intermediate nodes.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, one-hop bidirectional quantum communication based on a GHZ-GHZ entangled channel is discussed. In Section 3, we investigate two-hop directional quantum communication. In Section 4, a scheme for multi-hop bidirectional quantum communication is proposed. Finally, some discussions and conclusions are given in Section 5.

2. One-Hop Bidirectional Quantum Communication

In this section, we investigate one-hop bidirectional quantum communication through the composite GHZ-GHZ states. Eight types of GHZ states used in quantum communication are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\psi^1\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle + |111\rangle), |\psi^2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|001\rangle + |110\rangle) \\
 |\psi^3\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|010\rangle + |101\rangle), |\psi^4\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|011\rangle + |100\rangle) \\
 |\psi^5\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|000\rangle - |111\rangle), |\psi^6\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|001\rangle - |110\rangle) \\
 |\psi^7\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|010\rangle - |101\rangle), |\psi^8\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|011\rangle - |100\rangle)
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

These GHZ states can be transformed into each other through unitary operations, such as

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\psi^2\rangle &= X \otimes X \otimes I |\psi^1\rangle, & |\psi^3\rangle &= I \otimes X \otimes I |\psi^1\rangle \\
 |\psi^4\rangle &= X \otimes I \otimes I |\psi^1\rangle, & |\psi^5\rangle &= Z \otimes I \otimes I |\psi^1\rangle \\
 |\psi^6\rangle &= ZX \otimes X \otimes I |\psi^1\rangle, & |\psi^7\rangle &= Z \otimes X \otimes I |\psi^1\rangle \\
 |\psi^8\rangle &= ZX \otimes I \otimes I |\psi^1\rangle
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $Z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ are Pauli matrices, and $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is the identity matrix.

Assume that Alice intends to transmit an arbitrary qubit $|\chi\rangle_a$ to Bob, while Bob intends to transmit $|\chi\rangle_b$ to Alice at the same time, $|\chi\rangle_a$ and $|\chi\rangle_b$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\chi\rangle_a &= (\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle)_a \\
 |\chi\rangle_b &= (\gamma|0\rangle + \delta|1\rangle)_b
 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where α, β, γ and δ are complex probability amplitudes satisfying $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1, |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$.

Without loss of generality, Alice and Bob previously share a six-particle GHZ-GHZ state, given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 |C\rangle &= |\psi^1\rangle_{A_1 B_1 A_2} \otimes |\psi^1\rangle_{B_2 A_3 B_3} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} [(|000000\rangle + |000111\rangle + |111000\rangle + |111111\rangle)_{A_1 B_1 A_2 B_2 A_3 B_3}]
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where the particles A_1, A_2 and A_3 belong to Alice and particles B_1, B_2 and B_3 belong to Bob, as shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1. The composite Greenberger–Horne–Zeilinger (GHZ)-GHZ state previously shared between Alice and Bob.

The state of the initial eight-particle system $|Y_1\rangle$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Y_1\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2B_2A_3B_3ab} &= |\psi^1\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2} \otimes |\psi^1\rangle_{B_2A_3B_3} \otimes |\chi\rangle_a \otimes |\chi\rangle_b \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} [(|000\rangle + |111\rangle)_{A_1B_1A_2} \otimes (|000\rangle + |111\rangle)_{B_2A_3B_3}] \otimes (\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle)_a \otimes (\gamma|0\rangle + \delta|1\rangle)_b
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5}$$

As shown in Figure 2, to achieve bidirectional qubit transmission, Alice and Bob perform Control-NOT (CNOT) gates and Hadamard gates in parallel, and the state of the entire system becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Y'_1\rangle = \frac{1}{4} \{ & [|000\rangle_{aA_1A_2} (\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle)_{B_1} + |100\rangle_{aA_1A_2} (\alpha|0\rangle - \beta|1\rangle)_{B_1} \\
 & + |011\rangle_{aA_1A_2} (\alpha|1\rangle + \beta|0\rangle)_{B_1} + |111\rangle_{aA_1A_2} (\alpha|1\rangle - \beta|0\rangle)_{B_1}] \\
 & \otimes [|000\rangle_{bB_2B_3} (\gamma|0\rangle + \delta|1\rangle)_{A_3} + |100\rangle_{bB_2B_3} (\gamma|0\rangle - \delta|1\rangle)_{A_3} \\
 & + |011\rangle_{bB_2B_3} (\gamma|1\rangle + \delta|0\rangle)_{A_3} + |111\rangle_{bB_2B_3} (\gamma|1\rangle - \delta|0\rangle)_{A_3}] \}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6}$$




Figure 2. Quantum circuit for one-hop bidirectional quantum communication, where the dashed lines represent classical channels and the solid lines represent quantum channels.

After that, Alice and Bob perform quantum measurements (denoted as PM in Figure 2) on the particles a, A_1, A_2 and b, B_2, B_3 under the basis $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$, and then inform each other of their measurement results through classical channel. According to the received measurement results, Alice and Bob perform appropriate unitary operations on the particle A_3 and particle B_1 to recover the original qubit. For example, when Alice’s measurement result is $|000\rangle_{aA_1A_2}$ and Bob’s measurement result is $|011\rangle_{bB_2B_3}$, from Equation (6) we can see that the quantum state of the particles A_3 and B_1 would

be $(\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle)_{B_1} \otimes (\gamma|1\rangle + \delta|0\rangle)_{A_3}$. Alice can retrieve the original qubit by performing the identity operation I on particle B_1 and Bob can retrieve the original qubit by performing the Pauli-X operation on particle A_3 , respectively. Table 1 lists Alice’s and Bob’s unitary operations corresponding to the received measurement results.

Table 1. The relations between Alice’s and Bob’s measurement results and unitary operations.

Received Measurement Result	Unitary Operation
$ 000\rangle$	I
$ 011\rangle$	X
$ 100\rangle$	Z
$ 111\rangle$	ZX

Similarly, when other types of GHZ-GHZ states are previously shared between Alice and Bob, the unitary operations corresponding to Alice’s and Bob’s measurement results are listed in Table 2. The first row shows the GHZ-GHZ states shared between Alice and Bob, while the first column shows Alice’s and Bob’s measurement results.

Table 2. The unitary operations to realize one-hop bidirectional quantum communication.

	$ \psi^1\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2}$ $ \psi^1\rangle_{B_2A_3B_3}$	$ \psi^2\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2}$ $ \psi^2\rangle_{B_2A_3B_3}$	$ \psi^3\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2}$ $ \psi^3\rangle_{B_2A_3B_3}$	$ \psi^4\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2}$ $ \psi^4\rangle_{B_2A_3B_3}$	$ \psi^5\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2}$ $ \psi^5\rangle_{B_2A_3B_3}$	$ \psi^6\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2}$ $ \psi^6\rangle_{B_2A_3B_3}$	$ \psi^7\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2}$ $ \psi^7\rangle_{B_2A_3B_3}$	$ \psi^8\rangle_{A_1B_1A_2}$ $ \psi^8\rangle_{B_2A_3B_3}$
$ 000\rangle$	I		X		Z		ZX	
$ 011\rangle$	X		I		ZX		Z	
$ 100\rangle$	Z		ZX		I		X	
$ 111\rangle$	ZX		Z		X		I	
$ 001\rangle$		I		X		Z		ZX
$ 010\rangle$		X		I		ZX		Z
$ 101\rangle$		Z		ZX		I		X
$ 110\rangle$		ZX		Z		X		I

3. Two-Hop Directional Quantum Communication

Assume that Alice intends to send an arbitrary qubit $|\chi\rangle_a = (\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle)_a$ to Bob; at the same time, Bob intends to send $|\chi\rangle_b = (\gamma|0\rangle + \delta|1\rangle)_b$ to Alice, where $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ and $|\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$, while there is no GHZ state shared directly between Alice and Bob. Suppose that Candy previously shared a six-particle GHZ-GHZ state with Alice and Bob, respectively, as shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3. The composite GHZ-GHZ entanglement channel in a two-hop quantum communication case.

Without loss of generality, assume that $|\psi^1\rangle_{A_1C_1A_2} - |\psi^1\rangle_{C_2A_3C_3}$ was previously shared between Alice and Candy, while $|\psi^4\rangle_{C_4B_1C_5} - |\psi^4\rangle_{B_2C_6B_3}$ was previously shared between Candy and Bob. The state of the initial fourteen-particle system $|Y_2\rangle$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 |Y_2\rangle &= |\psi^1\rangle_{A_1C_1A_2} \otimes |\psi^1\rangle_{C_2A_3C_3} \otimes |\psi^4\rangle_{C_4B_1C_5} \otimes |\psi^4\rangle_{B_2C_6B_3} \otimes |\chi\rangle_a \otimes |\chi\rangle_b \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[(|000\rangle + |111\rangle)_{A_1C_1A_2} \otimes (|000\rangle + |111\rangle)_{C_2A_3C_3} \right] \\
 &\quad \otimes \frac{1}{2} \left[(|011\rangle + |100\rangle)_{C_4B_1C_5} \otimes (|011\rangle + |100\rangle)_{B_2C_6B_3} \right] \\
 &\quad \otimes (\alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle)_a \otimes (\gamma|0\rangle + \delta|1\rangle)_b
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{7}$$

As shown in Figure 4, to achieve bidirectional two-hop qubit transmission, Alice, Bob, and Candy perform CNOT, Hadamard operations, and quantum measurements in parallel. After that, the measurement results of Alice and Candy and the type of the composite GHZ-GHZ channel between Alice and Candy are transmitted to Bob. Similarly, the measurement results of Bob and Candy and the type of the composite GHZ-GHZ channel between Bob and Candy are transmitted to Alice. Based on the received information, Alice and Bob perform appropriate unitary operations on the particles A_3 and B_1 to recover the original qubit.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|Y_2\rangle \xrightarrow{CNOT} \\
 &|Y'_2\rangle = \frac{1}{4} \alpha \gamma |00\rangle_{ab} [|000101011011\rangle + |000000011100\rangle + |000101100011\rangle + |000000100100\rangle \\
 &+ |000010011011\rangle + |000111011100\rangle + |000010100011\rangle + |000111100100\rangle \\
 &+ |111101110011\rangle + |111000110100\rangle + |111101001011\rangle + |111000001100\rangle \\
 &+ |111010110011\rangle + |111111110100\rangle + |111010001011\rangle + |111111001100\rangle]_{A_1C_1A_2C_2A_3C_3C_4B_1C_5B_2C_6B_3} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{4} \alpha \delta |01\rangle_{ab} [|000101011110\rangle + |00000011001\rangle + |000101100110\rangle + |000000100001\rangle \\
 &+ |000010011110\rangle + |000111011001\rangle + |000010100110\rangle + |000111100001\rangle \\
 &+ |111101110110\rangle + |111000110001\rangle + |111101001110\rangle + |111000001001\rangle \\
 &+ |111010110110\rangle + |111111110001\rangle + |111010001110\rangle + |111111001001\rangle]_{A_1C_1A_2C_2A_3C_3C_4B_1C_5B_2C_6B_3} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{4} \beta \gamma |10\rangle_{ab} [|101101011011\rangle + |101000011100\rangle + |101101100011\rangle + |101000100100\rangle \\
 &+ |101010011011\rangle + |101111011100\rangle + |101010100011\rangle + |101111100100\rangle \\
 &+ |010101110011\rangle + |010000110100\rangle + |010101001011\rangle + |010000001100\rangle \\
 &+ |010010110011\rangle + |010111110100\rangle + |010010001011\rangle + |010111001100\rangle]_{A_1C_1A_2C_2A_3C_3C_4B_1C_5B_2C_6B_3} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{4} \beta \delta |11\rangle_{ab} [|101101011110\rangle + |101000011001\rangle + |101101100110\rangle + |101000100001\rangle \\
 &+ |101010011110\rangle + |101111011001\rangle + |101010100110\rangle + |101111100001\rangle \\
 &+ |010101110110\rangle + |010000110001\rangle + |010101001110\rangle + |010000001001\rangle \\
 &+ |010010110110\rangle + |010111110001\rangle + |010010001110\rangle + |010111001001\rangle]_{A_1C_1A_2C_2A_3C_3C_4B_1C_5B_2C_6B_3} \\
 &\xrightarrow{Hadamard} \\
 &= \frac{1}{16} \alpha \gamma [(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_a (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_b] \otimes \\
 &[|000101011001\rangle + |010101011001\rangle - |000101011011\rangle - |010101011011\rangle + \dots]_{A_1C_1A_2C_2A_3C_3C_4B_1C_5B_2C_6B_3} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{16} \alpha \delta [(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_a (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_b] \otimes \\
 &[|000111011001\rangle + |000111011011\rangle + |010111011001\rangle + |010111011011\rangle + \dots]_{A_1C_1A_2C_2A_3C_3C_4B_1C_5B_2C_6B_3} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{16} \beta \gamma [(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_a (|0\rangle + |1\rangle)_b] \otimes \\
 &[|000101001001\rangle - |010101001001\rangle - |000101001011\rangle + |010101001011\rangle + \dots]_{A_1C_1A_2C_2A_3C_3C_4B_1C_5B_2C_6B_3} \\
 &+ \frac{1}{16} \beta \delta [(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_a (|0\rangle - |1\rangle)_b] \otimes \\
 &[|000111001001\rangle - |010111001001\rangle + |000111001011\rangle - |010111001011\rangle + \dots]_{A_1C_1A_2C_2A_3C_3C_4B_1C_5B_2C_6B_3} \\
 &= [|000011101001\rangle_{abA_1A_2C_1C_2C_3C_4C_5C_6B_2B_3} + \dots] \otimes [(\gamma|0\rangle + \delta|1\rangle)_{A_3} \otimes (\alpha|1\rangle - \beta|0\rangle)_{B_1}] \\
 &+ [|010011101001\rangle_{abA_1A_2C_1C_2C_3C_4C_5C_6B_2B_3} + \dots] \otimes [(\gamma|0\rangle - \delta|1\rangle)_{A_3} \otimes (\alpha|1\rangle - \beta|0\rangle)_{B_1}] \\
 &+ [|100011101001\rangle_{abA_1A_2C_1C_2C_3C_4C_5C_6B_2B_3} + \dots] \otimes [(\gamma|0\rangle + \delta|1\rangle)_{A_3} \otimes (\alpha|1\rangle + \beta|0\rangle)_{B_1}] \\
 &+ [|110011101001\rangle_{abA_1A_2C_1C_2C_3C_4C_5C_6B_2B_3} + \dots] \otimes [(\gamma|0\rangle - \delta|1\rangle)_{A_3} \otimes (\alpha|1\rangle + \beta|0\rangle)_{B_1}] \\
 &+ \dots
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{8}$$




Figure 4. Quantum circuit for two-hop bidirectional quantum communication.

For example, if Bob receives measurement results $|000\rangle_{aA_1A_2}$ and $|101\rangle_{C_1C_4C_5}$, and Alice receives measurement results $|011\rangle_{C_6C_2C_3}$ and $|101\rangle_{bB_2B_3}$, the quantum state of the particles A_3 and B_1 would be $(\gamma|0\rangle - \delta|1\rangle)_{A_3} \otimes (\alpha|1\rangle - \beta|0\rangle)_{B_1}$. Alice can retrieve the original qubit by performing the Pauli-XZ operation on particle B_1 , and Bob can retrieve the original qubit by performing the Pauli-Z operation on particle A_3 .

If the above two-hop qubit transmission is realized hop-by-hop, after Alice performs CNOT, Hadamard operations, and the projective measurement, the quantum state of Candy’s particle would be $I|\chi\rangle_a$ when she was informed of Alice’s measurement result of $|000\rangle_{aA_1A_2}$, according to Table 2. After Candy performs CNOT, Hadamard operations, and the projective measurement, the quantum state of Bob’s particle would be $ZX(I|\chi\rangle_a) = ZX|\chi\rangle_a$ when he was informed of Candy’s measurement result of $|101\rangle_{C_1C_4C_5}$. Similarly, in the other direction, when Bob’s measurement result is $|101\rangle_{bB_2B_3}$, the quantum state of Candy’s particle would be $ZX|\chi\rangle_b$. If Candy’s measurement result is $|011\rangle_{C_6C_2C_3}$, the quantum state of Alice’s particle would be $X(ZX|\chi\rangle_b) = Z|\chi\rangle_b$, which is compatible with Equation (8).

4. Multi-Hop Bidirectional Quantum Communication

In this section, we generalize two-hop bidirectional quantum communication to the multi-hop case.

4.1. N-Hop Bidirectional Quantum Communication

Specifically, in the n -hop case, the entanglement channel between the node N_1 and the nodes N_{n+1} is shown in Figure 5. Assume that the node N_1 intends to transmit an arbitrary qubit $|\chi\rangle_a = \alpha|0\rangle_a + \beta|1\rangle_a$ to the node N_{n+1} , while the node N_{n+1} intends to transmit $|\chi\rangle_b = \gamma|0\rangle_b + \delta|1\rangle_b$ to the node N_1 at the same time, where $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ and $|\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2 = 1$.

To achieve bidirectional n -hop qubit transmission, each node on the quantum path performs quantum measurements simultaneously. After that, the node N_1 and all intermediate nodes send their measurement results together with the types of the composite GHZ-GHZ states shared between themselves and the next-hop nodes to the node N_{n+1} through classical channels independently. Similarly, the node N_{n+1} and all intermediate nodes send their measurement results together with the types of the GHZ-GHZ states to the node N_1 independently. Based on the received information, the node N_1 and the node N_{n+1} perform appropriate unitary operations locally to recover the original qubit.




Figure 5. The composite GHZ-GHZ entanglement channel in the n -hop quantum communication case.

According to the analysis in Section 3, the unitary operations of N_1 and N_{n+1} can be determined in a hop-by-hop manner. We take the qubit transmission from the node N_1 to the node N_{n+1} as an example. Given that node N_1 intends to transmit $|\chi\rangle_a$ to the node N_{n+1} , after N_1 's CNOT, Hadamard operations, and quantum projective measurement, the quantum state of N_2 's particle would be $T_1|\chi\rangle_a$, where $T_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ is a unitary matrix. After N_2 performs CNOT, Hadamard operations, and quantum projective measurement, the quantum state of N_3 's particle would be $T_2(T_1|\chi\rangle_a)$. Similarly, after all the intermediate nodes perform CNOT, Hadamard operations, and quantum projective measurements, the quantum state of the node N_{n+1} 's particle would be $T_n \cdots T_2 T_1 |\chi\rangle_a$.

To recover the original qubit $|\chi\rangle_a$ locally, the node N_{n+1} needs to perform the unitary operation U on his particle N_{n+1}^1 , given by

$$U = \prod_{i=1}^n T_i \tag{9}$$

where $T_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ is determined according to Table 2, based on the measurement results of the node N_i and the composite GHZ-GHZ state previously shared between the node N_i and N_{i+1} .

Similarly, for the other direction of qubit transmission, the node N_1 can perform the unitary operation V on its particle N_1^3 to recover the original qubit $|\chi\rangle_b$,

$$V = \prod_{j=n}^1 C_{j+1} \tag{10}$$

where $C_{j+1} (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ is determined according to Table 2, based on the measurement results of the node N_{j+1} and the composite GHZ-GHZ state previously shared between the node N_j and N_{j+1} .

4.2. Example of Multi-Hop Bidirectional Quantum Communication

An example is presented to better illustrate the whole process of multi-hop bidirectional quantum communication. Assume that two nodes named N_1 and N_5 intend to transmit two qubits $|\chi\rangle_a$ and $|\chi\rangle_b$ to each other. We might as well suppose that three intermediate nodes N_2, N_3 and N_4 are involved in the quantum path ' $N_1 \rightarrow N_2 \rightarrow N_3 \rightarrow N_4 \rightarrow N_5$ ', as shown in Figure 6.




Figure 6. An example of multi-hop bidirectional quantum communication.

Assume that the composite GHZ-GHZ states $|\psi^1\rangle_{N_1^1 N_2^1 N_1^2} - |\psi^1\rangle_{N_2^2 N_1^3 N_2^3}$, $|\psi^5\rangle_{N_2^4 N_3^1 N_2^5} - |\psi^5\rangle_{N_3^2 N_2^6 N_3^3}$, $|\psi^4\rangle_{N_3^4 N_4^1 N_3^5} - |\psi^4\rangle_{N_4^2 N_3^6 N_4^3}$, and $|\psi^8\rangle_{N_4^4 N_5^1 N_4^5} - |\psi^8\rangle_{N_5^2 N_4^6 N_5^3}$ were previously shared on the quantum path. To implement the qubit transmission from N_1 to N_5 , N_1 and all the intermediate nodes perform quantum measurements simultaneously, then they send their measurement results and the type of the composite GHZ-GHZ states previously shared between themselves and the next-hop nodes to the node N_5 through classical channels. Assume the node N_5 receives a group of measurement results $|011\rangle_{sN_1^1 N_2^1}$, $|100\rangle_{N_2^2 N_2^4 N_2^5}$, $|001\rangle_{N_3^1 N_3^4 N_3^5}$, and $|001\rangle_{N_4^1 N_4^4 N_4^5}$ from N_1, N_2, N_3, N_4 respectively; the unitary operation required for recovering the original qubit locally is given by

$$U = \prod_{i=1}^4 T_i = X \cdot I \cdot X \cdot ZX = ZX \tag{11}$$

where $T_1 = X, T_2 = I, T_3 = X$, and $T_4 = ZX$ are determined according to Table 2.

When it comes to the qubit transmission from N_5 to N_1 , N_5 and all the intermediate nodes perform quantum measurements simultaneously, then they send their measurement results and the type of the composite GHZ-GHZ state previously shared between themselves and the next-hop node to the node N_1 through classical channels. Assume the node N_1 receives a group of measurement results $|011\rangle_{N_2^6 N_2^2 N_2^3}$, $|100\rangle_{N_3^6 N_3^2 N_3^3}$, $|101\rangle_{N_4^6 N_4^2 N_4^3}$, and $|110\rangle_{dN_5^2 N_5^3}$ from N_2, N_3, N_4 , and N_5 respectively; the unitary operation required for recovering the original qubit locally is given by

$$V = \prod_{j=4}^1 C_{j+1} = I \cdot ZX \cdot I \cdot X = Z \tag{12}$$

where $C_5 = I, C_4 = ZX, C_3 = I$, and $C_2 = X$ are determined according to Table 2.

5. Discussions and Conclusions

5.1. Discussions

In the process of multi-hop quantum communication, the quantum communication delay is introduced mostly by quantum measurements, unitary operations, and transmission of classical information. Due to the limited decoherence time in the quantum memory and the demand for QOS (Quality of Service), a short delay is expected in quantum communication. Assume that each quantum measurement takes d_m milliseconds, unitary operation takes d_u milliseconds, and one-hop transmission of classic information through classical channel takes d_t milliseconds.

In our scheme, as shown in Figure 7, quantum measurements (CNOT, Hadamard operations, and projective measurements are included) are performed simultaneously, and classical information is sent to the destination node independently. Taking $H_i^d (i = 1, \dots, n)$ to denote the hop count of the classical information transmitted from the i -th node to the destination node, therefore the total end-to-end quantum communication delay in the n -hop case is given by

$$d_{A,total} = \max\{H_i^d\} \times d_t + d_u + d_m \tag{13}$$

For hop-by-hop qubit transmission [7], as shown in Figure 8, the total end-to-end quantum communication delay is given by

$$d_{B,total} = n(d_t + d_u + d_m) \tag{14}$$

Given that $d_t = 2d_u = 2d_m = 0.6ms$, the end-to-end quantum communication delay in our scheme and hop-by-hop qubit transmission scheme is demonstrated by Figure 9.




Figure 7. The proposed scheme in this paper.




Figure 8. Hop-by-hop qubit transmission.




Figure 9. The comparison of two schemes in terms of communication delay.

From Figure 9, it is obvious that our scheme has a shorter end-to-end quantum communication delay. In a wide-area quantum communication network where the hop count number n is large, our scheme shows obvious advantage in the end-to-end quantum communication delay.

In addition to the shorter quantum communication delay, our scheme shows other notable advantages, such as: (i) Duplex quantum communication is available for two arbitrary nodes compared with other quantum network schemes [6–8,11,12]. (ii) The composite GHZ-GHZ states are used as the quantum channel, which is less prone to the decoherence effects caused by the surrounding

environment [22]. (iii) Only quantum projective measurements and unitary operations are needed to implement qubit transmission. Auxiliary qubits are not required, in contrast to the bidirectional quantum communication scheme based on GHZ-Bell states [20]. (iv) In our scheme, for an intermediate node, it is not necessary to recover the original qubit locally and then teleport it to its next-hop node. Therefore, information leakage can be avoided compared with the hop-by-hop qubit transmission scheme [7].

5.2. Conclusions

In summary, we propose a bidirectional quantum communication protocol using the composite GHZ-GHZ state as quantum channel. Two arbitrary nodes in a quantum communication network can transmit qubits to each other based on quantum measurements performed in parallel by all the nodes involved. The original qubits can be retrieved locally at the destination site based on the measurement results and the types of GHZ channel. Information leakage is avoided in our scheme, and it has a shorter delay for the end-to-end quantum communication, in contrast to the hop-by-hop qubit transmission scheme.

There are many avenues for future work and extensions. One aspect is the imperfection of quantum channel, which is crucial for the efficiency and fidelity of qubit transmission. Another important aspect is the extension to multi-user quantum communication, which is a realistic scenario for future quantum networks.

Author Contributions: Data curation, S.S. and N.C.; methodology, S.S. and N.C.; supervision, B.Y.; validation, S.S. and N.C.; visualization, B.Y.; writing—original draft, S.S.; writing—review and editing, N.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 61701285).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Bennett, C.H.; Brassard, G.; Crépeau, C.; Jozsa, R.; Wootters, W.K. Teleporting an unknown quantum state via dual classical and einstein-podolsky-rosen channels. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1993**, *70*, 1895–1899. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Espoukeh, P.; Pedram, P. Quantum teleportation through noisy channels with multi-qubit GHZ states. *Quant. Inf. Process.* **2014**, *13*, 1789–1811. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Joo, J.; Park, Y.J.; Oh, S.; Kim, J. Quantum teleportation via a W state. *New J. Phys.* **2003**, *5*, 136. [[CrossRef](#)]
4. Karlsson, A.; Bourennane, M. Quantum teleportation using three-particle entanglement. *Phys. Rev. A* **2002**, *58*, 4394–4400. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Cao, Z.L.; Song, W. Teleportation of a two-particle entangled state via W class states. *Physica A* **2005**, *347*, 177–183. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Wang, K.; Yu, X.T.; Lu, S.L.; Gong, Y.X. Quantum wireless multihop communication based on arbitrary Bell pairs and teleportation. *Phys. Rev. A* **2014**, *89*, 022329. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Yu, X.T.; Zhang, Z.C.; Xu, J. Distributed wireless quantum communication networks with partially entangled pairs. *Chin. Phys. B* **2014**, *23*, 010303. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Chen, N.; Quan, D.X.; Pei, C.X.; Yang, H. Quantum communication for satellite-to-ground networks with partially entangled states. *Chin. Phys. B* **2015**, *24*, 020304. [[CrossRef](#)]
9. Horodecki, R.; Horodecki, P.; Horodecki, M.; Horodecki, K. Quantum entanglement. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2009**, *81*, 865–942. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Tashima, T.; Tame, M.S.; Özdemir, Ş.K.; Nori, F.; Koashi, M.; Weinfurter, H. Photonic multipartite entanglement conversion using nonlocal operations. *Phys. Rev. A* **2016**, *94*, 052309. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Zhan, H.T.; Yu, X.T.; Xiong, P.Y.; Zhang, Z.C. Multi-hop teleportation based on W state and EPR pairs. *Chin. Phys. B* **2016**, *25*, 050305. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Zou, Z.Z.; Yu, X.T.; Gong, Y.X.; Zhang, Z.C. Multihop teleportation of two-qubit state via the composite GHZ-Bell channel. *Phys. Lett. A* **2017**, *381*, 76–81. [[CrossRef](#)]

13. Li, Y.H.; Li, X.L.; Sang, M.H.; Nie, Y.Y.; Wang, Z.S. Bidirectional controlled quantum teleportation and secure direct communication using five-qubit entangled state. *Quant. Inf. Process.* **2013**, *12*, 3835–3844. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Hassanpour, S.; Houshmand, M. Bidirectional teleportation of a pure EPR state by using GHZ states. *Quant. Inf. Process.* **2016**, *15*, 905–912. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Duan, Y.J.; Zha, X.W. Bidirectional quantum controlled teleportation via a six-qubit entangled state. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **2014**, *53*, 3780–3786. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Zhang, D.; Zha, X.W.; Li, W.; Yu, Y. Bidirectional and asymmetric quantum controlled teleportation via maximally eight-qubit entangled state. *Quant. Inf. Process.* **2015**, *14*, 3835–3844. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Sang, M.H. Bidirectional quantum teleportation by using five-qubit cluster state. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **2016**, *55*, 1333–1335. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Fang, S.H.; Jiang, M. A novel scheme for bidirectional and hybrid quantum information transmission via a seven-qubit state. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **2017**, *57*, 523–532. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Fang, S.H.; Jiang, M. Bidirectional and asymmetric controlled quantum information transmission via five-qubit brown state. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **2017**, *56*, 1530–1536. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Cai, R.; Yu, X.T.; Zhang, Z.C. Bidirectional teleportation protocol in quantum wireless multi-hop network. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **2018**, *57*, 1723–1732. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Zhou, R.G.; Xu, R.; Lan, H. Bidirectional quantum teleportation by using six-qubit cluster state. *IEEE Access* **2019**, *7*, 44269–44275. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Saha, D.; Panigrahi, P.K. N-qubit quantum teleportation, information splitting and superdense coding through the composite GHZ–Bell channel. *Quant. Inf. Process.* **2011**, *11*, 615–628. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).