Article

Influence of TiO₂ Nanoparticles Addition on the Hydrophilicity of Cementitious Composites Surfaces

Andreea Hegyi ¹, Henriette Szilagyi ^{1,*} , Elvira Grebenişan ¹, Andrei Victor Sandu ^{2,3,*} ,
Adrian-Victor Lăzărescu ¹ and Claudiu Romila ⁴

¹ NIRD URBAN-INCERC Cluj-Napoca Branch, 117 Calea Floreşti, 400524 Cluj-Napoca, Romania; andreea.hegyi@incerc-cluj.ro (A.H.); elvira.grebenisan@incerc-cluj.ro (E.G.); adrian.lazarescu@incerc-cluj.ro (A.-V.L.)

² Faculty of Material Science and Engineering, Gheorghe Asachi Technical University of Iaşi, 41 D. Mangeron St., 700050 Iaşi, Romania

³ Romanian Inventors Forum, 3 Sf. Petru Movila St., 700089 Iaşi, Romania

⁴ Faculty of Civil Engineering and Building Services, Gheorghe Asachi Technical University of Iaşi, 1 D. Mangeron St., 700050 Iaşi, Romania; claudiu.romila@academic.tuiasi.ro

* Correspondence: henriette.szilagyi@incerc-cluj.ro (H.S.); sav@tuiasi.ro (A.V.S.)

Received: 10 June 2020; Accepted: 25 June 2020; Published: 29 June 2020

Abstract: The effect of the use of TiO₂ nanoparticles in the production of cementitious composites, worldwide already known, represents an area of interest for the development of materials with self-cleaning capacity; antimicrobial, antibacterial, antifungal properties; and to contribute to the reduction of environmental pollution. This paper aims to analyze the influence that TiO₂ nanoparticles have on the cementitious matrix regarding hydrophilicity, this being one of the two main parameters of the self-cleaning mechanism. Experimental tests, conducted by using the indirect method of measuring the surface water absorption, indicated that an addition of 3%-6% (relative to the amount of cement) of TiO₂ nanoparticles is effective in terms of increasing the surface hydrophilicity of the cementitious composites. An excess of TiO₂ nanoparticles in the composite matrix (10% TiO₂ nanoparticles relative to the amount of cement) not only does not improve surface performance in terms of hydrophilicity, but also reduces them. However, in practice on a case-by-case basis, an analysis is required regarding the optimal amount of nanoparticles used as an addition in the mix-design of the cementitious materials that are intended to induce the quality of self-cleaning process, depending on the intended use, climate, degree and duration of sunlight, and so on.

Keywords: self-cleaning; TiO₂ nanoparticles; cementitious composites; hydrophilicity; water absorption

1. Introduction

Studies conducted worldwide [1–4] have shown that one of the most important and common causes of structure degradation is the accumulation of exogenous/external, polluting, organic or inorganic materials on surfaces. The accumulation of exogenous/external materials initially leads to loss of aesthetic appearance, but in time causes the degradation of the building material, which implicitly determines maintenance works and repairing costs, as well as indirect costs (for example, the reduction, the hindrance or stopping the activity in the building during the repair works, etc.). One solution to improve this situation is the use of nanotechnology by creating materials with a self-cleaning capacity in the construction field.

The photocatalytic oxidation-reduction reaction under the influence of ultraviolet (UV) radiation of titanium dioxide (TiO₂) was reported as the Honda–Fujishima effect in 1972 [5]. It is known that

cementitious composites containing TiO_2 nanoparticles, under UV rays, have a specific behavior: on one hand, they catalyze the decomposition of organic molecules, which are generally large, into compounds with a simpler and smaller molecule, and on the other hand they increase the hydrophilicity of their surface. The two mechanisms, namely the photocatalytic oxidation of the chemical pollutants and increasing the surface hydrophilicity under UV action, determine the self-cleaning effect of cementitious composites containing TiO_2 nanoparticles. When this stage is achieved, exogenous/external substances or particles can be more easily removed by rainwater [1,5–12], as schematically shown in Figure 1. Moreover, the literature shows that catalytic photoactivation capacity contributes to the reduction of NO_x pollutants in the air and surface decontamination of viruses, bacteria and sponges [13–17].

On the other hand, the literature [5] indicates that TiO_2 nanoparticles alone lose their hydrophilicity property as soon as the UV action ceases, but in combination with the amount of SiO_2 contained by cement, the UV-activated effect extends even in days of complete darkness (no UV radiation).

The literature [18–22] indicates an influence of the addition of TiO_2 nanoparticles on the structure of the cementitious composite, at the microstructural level, by modifying the distribution and size of the pores. Reduction of the general porosity by a decrease of the total volume of the pores simultaneously with the reduction of their dimensions will cause a local densification and, consequently, a modification of both the physico-mechanical characteristics, especially the mechanical strength, as well as the water absorption capacity and the permeability to liquids or gaseous substances. Chunping et al. [19] reports an improvement in the mechanical strength of high-performance concrete and a reduction in capillary porosity of concrete, in general, by the addition of 1% TiO_2 nanoparticles. Bautista-Gutierrez et al. [18] confirms the possibility of reducing the total porosity by changes occurring at the microstructural level as a result of the addition of TiO_2 nanoparticles. Singh et al. [23] confirms this hypothesis as a consequence of an inhomogeneous dispersion of nanoparticles in the cementitious matrix, recommending an incorporation method with ultrasonic dispersion. On the other hand, in terms of the capacity for the generation of the hydroxyl radical, respectively, of a modification of the chemical bonds, Jimenez-Relinque and Castellote [24] confirm, in accordance with other reports in the literature [25–27], that the specific surface, i.e., the total porosity, has no influence on the performance of photo-catalytic characteristics of the materials with the addition of TiO_2 nanoparticles. Hamidi and Aslani [28], consistent with Zhang et al. [29] and other researchers [30–34], showed that by adding 1, 3 and 5 wt.% nano- TiO_2 , pore diameters and their total volume are reduced compared to the control sample (without nano- TiO_2) even by half, but these decreases are not directly proportional with the amount of nanoparticles. Salman et al. [35] observed that nano- TiO_2 are able to fill the pores, reducing the size of calcium hydrate crystals and densifying the microstructure of cement-based composites [32].

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the self-cleaning capacity by the cumulation of the two mechanisms: the process of photocatalytic oxidation of organic substances (left) and facilitating the removal of foreign substances by increasing surface hydrophilicity (right).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Theoretical Aspects

The mechanism that underlies the increase in hydrophilicity of cementitious composites surfaces containing TiO₂ nanoparticles under exposure to UV rays can be explained on the basis of the increase in hydroxyl groups (OH[−]). This phenomenon, identified through X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS), Fourier transformation infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) or nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), is schematically shown in Figure 2 [5,36–38]. The transition of the surface, under the influence of UV radiation, to a metastatic thermodynamic state is the result of the coexistence of forms of molecular water and dissociated water.

In general, under the action of UV rays, titanium dioxide is a semiconductor with a band gap of about 3.0 eV in the presence of UV light with a wavelength between 100 and 400 nm. By energy absorption, TiO₂ generates electrons (e[−]) and holes (h⁺) (Equation (1)). Electrons tend to reduce the Ti(IV) cation to the Ti(III) ion (Equation (2)) and the voids oxidize the O₂[−] anions (Equation (3)). This process will release oxygen by creating gaps on the surface of titanium dioxide; that latter gives the possibility of binding water molecules to the release of hydroxyl radicals (OH[−]).

Since the oxidation capacity of the (h⁺) voids is higher than the reduction capacity of (e[−]) electrons, and on the surface of the photocatalyst there is a single layer of adsorbed H₂O molecules, hydroxyl radicals (OH[−]) are formed. Being highly oxidizing, these hydroxyl groups react with organic molecules producing peroxy free radicals, which will react with molecular oxygen in a chain of reactions up to the CO₂ and H₂O end products. On the other hand, (e[−]) electrons reduce oxygen to the free O₂[−] radical, which will react with the resulting peroxy molecules during the reaction between hydroxyl groups and organic molecules, ultimately leading to a chain of reactions up to the final CO₂ and H₂O products [5,39]. As a general reaction, this process can be explained according to Equation (4).

In the case of cementitious composite surfaces with TiO₂ content, the literature also indicates [5,40] that photogenerated holes (h⁺) increase the length of ties within the TiO₂ network (Figure 2, left), bringing the surface to a metastable state that allows adsorption of molecular water simultaneously with the formation of new hydroxyl groups and the release of a proton. The research considers that these hydroxyl groups are less thermodynamically stable, therefore the surface will allow the water droplet to be flattened to cover a larger area in order to stabilize [5,40–42]. Consequently, at the level of the cementitious surface, oxido-reduction reactions occur. This mechanism is shown in Figure 2, top right.

Regarding the hydrophilicity, the literature [5] also specifies the influence that surface roughness and homogeneity have on the contact angle between the water drop and the surface and indicates the ways by which Young's Equation (5) is modified by the introduction of the roughness correction factor by Wentzel (Equation (6)) and Cassie's correction for the situation of a heterogeneous two-phase surface (Phase 1 and Phase 2) (Equation (7)) for ensuring homogeneity.

$$\gamma_{SV} = \gamma_{SL} + \gamma_{LV}\cos\theta \quad (5)$$

$$\cos\theta' = v\cos\theta \quad (6)$$

$$\cos\theta = f_1\cos\theta_1 + f_2\cos\theta_2 \quad (7)$$

where: γ_{SV} , γ_{SL} , γ_{LV} are the free energies at the interface per unit area, at solid-gas, solid-liquid and liquid-gas contact, respectively; θ is the contact angle; v represents the roughness as ratio between the real surface and the apparent surface; f_1 and f_2 are the Phase 1 and Phase 2 fractions in the heterogeneous surface; and θ_1 and θ_2 are the contact angles that would be recorded for homogeneous surfaces in Phase 1 and Phase 2, respectively.

Figure 2. Schematic representation of the surface photoactivation with the change in the number of hydroxyl groups under the action of UV rays and, consequently, the induction of the two mechanisms that contribute to the self-cleaning capacity.

A hydrophilic surface that is more water-friendly has the following characteristics:

- Water droplets spread over the surface and so, instead of being water-free zones and areas in contact with water, a continuous film of water is formed. In normal conditions under the action of gravity, some drops fall, roll or drip onto the surface, removing dirt particles; other drops, smaller and lighter, do not end up falling, remaining on the surface and eventually evaporating. A continuous film of water is heavier, so it will certainly slide on the surface under the action of gravitational force, taking over all the dirt particles encountered. Therefore, it is advantageous to determine the formation of a continuous film of water on the surface, the case of hydrophilic surfaces, because there is an obvious increase in the ability to clean them.
- In contact with a hydrophilic surface, the water drop flattens (decreases the contact angle), thus the water–surface interface area is larger. By default, the potential for water absorption in the pores of the surface is higher; therefore, the absorption of water to the surface is an indirect indicator of the hydrophilicity degree.
- On the other hand, and depending on the roughness of the surface, the formation of the water film differs greatly. On one surface there may be simultaneously several phenomena occurring: filling the open pores with water, increasing the thickness of the water film, and water film sliding under the influence of the resultant gravitational forces and friction. Although initially a matching among different other materials (brick, ceramic, natural stone, marble) was intended [42,43], the literature shows that these situations are not comparable [1] because it is virtually impossible to compare the phenomena occurring on the surfaces of different materials.

Consequently, cementitious composite material surfaces with TiO_2 nanoparticles were proven to have the ability to reduce the angle of contact from the usual $20\text{--}30^\circ$ to almost 0° , which is considered as representative of an ideal, superhydrophilic surface [38].

According to the literature [38,44], an angle of contact that tends to 0° indicates a superhydrophilic surface.

Whether it is the assessment of the hydrophilicity or hydrophobicity (as an opposite phenomenon) of the surfaces, the point-shift of the literature indicates that, in principle, the two methods of measurement are: the direct method of measuring the contact angle of the droplet of liquid to the surface [1,43,45], and the indirect method for the determination of water absorption on the surface [1,37,43]. The specific cause of the high wettability (photochemical induced) will lead to a high potential of water adsorption on the surface. Some references [46] also indicate a method that describes the dynamic wettability of surfaces by analyzing and graphically representing the variation of the contact angle of the comparatively analyzed control sample. Regarding the assessment of the hydrophilicity of the surface of cementitious composite materials with TiO₂ nanoparticles addition, conclusive results have been reported in the literature. Thus, Husken et al. [47] recommended the introduction of the TiO₂ nanoparticles into the cementitious matrix by mixing them in the preparation water. Irie and Hashimoto [5] show that the contact angle of water with the surface is influenced by the ambient temperature, increasing function of the rising temperature; this influence was also confirmed by Chenxi et al. [48]. On the other hand, the contact angle is higher under vacuum conditions (10–6 Torr) when compared to atmospheric pressure conditions; by the activation of the surface by UV rays exposure, the contact angle of water with the surface increases with increasing UV exposure time, respectively, and decreases with a lack of UV ray action until a similar effect is achieved. Until recently it has been considered that these specific types of cementitious composites are intended exclusively for outdoor use due to the need for solar radiation proving the UV content to activate TiO₂ nanoparticles. Currently, research has shown that even in indoor conditions, a minimum radiation of 1 $\mu\text{W}/\text{cm}^2$ provided by a fluorescent tube is sufficient to achieve the photocatalytic effect [5].

Given the fact that the change in hydrophilicity represents a part of the mechanism generating the surface self-cleaning of the cementitious materials with TiO₂, the specific literature [1,5,38,42,49–52] did not identify outcomes relating the phenomenon to the change of the angle of contact with water. The results on the ability of self-cleaning are presented on the basis of the tests carried out, mainly by the method of staining with Rhodamine B, methylene blue, metanil yellow, etc. The aim of this research was to analyze the influence of the TiO₂ nanoparticles addition on the hydrophilicity of the cementitious composite matrix surface and to draw specific guiding lines for an optimal mix-design performant material with high self-cleaning capacity.

2.2. Experimental Research

The principle of the method consisted in determining the surface water absorption of the specimens as an indirect indicator of the evaluated surface hydrophilicity.

Cementitious composite material prismatic specimens, with a 0.085 m² exposed area were prepared in order to evaluate this indicator. The preparation of the cementitious composites was performed by using white Portland cement as the binder and the nanoparticles were introduced as an addition in different mass percentages, relative to cement quantity: 1% (P2), 2% (P3), 3% (P4), 3.6% (P5), 4% (P6), 5% (P7), 6% (P8) and 10% (P9). The control sample, P1, was prepared without the TiO₂ nanoparticles addition. For all mixtures, the mass ratio of water / dry powdery material of 0.5 was maintained constant, where the dry powdery material represented the mass sum of the quantities of cement and TiO₂ nanoparticles. After casting, the test specimens were stored for 24 h in molds in a wet box (RH 90%, 20 $\pm$ 1 °C). After demolding, the samples were immersed in water at 20 $\pm$ 1 °C until the age of testing of 28 days.

The test surface of the specimens (at 28 days age), conditioned by exposure to 105° until dried constant mass was reached, was exposed to testing with a tilt of 10° with respect to the vertical. From a distance of 30 cm, 5 mL of distilled water was sprayed every 2 min until a cumulative volume of 50 mL of water was used for each surface specimen. After each step (spray of 5 mL distilled water), the test specimens were weighed and water absorption was determined on the surface. All tests were carried out under laboratory conditions (20 $\pm$ 2 °C, RH 50%).

After testing, the specimens were dried until constant mass and exposed for 1 h to the action of UVA (Ultraviolet A: 400 – 315 nm) wave-length UV rays with light intensity of 405 lux, then the test was repeated. Subsequently, the specimens were dried until constant mass again, exposed another for 24 h to UV and the water absorption on the surface was measured.

Prior to the surface water absorption test, porosity was evaluated by calculation for all cementitious composites. This method was used by assimilation with standardized methods for characterizing the porosity of concrete, which allow the determination of this parameter based on the apparent and absolute density of the material.

Surface water absorption (Q) was calculated as an indirect indicator of the degree of hydrophilicity of the surface of the cementitious composite material (Equation (8)):

$$Q_t = \frac{m_t - m_0}{A} \left(\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^2} \right) \quad (8)$$

where, m_t is the sample mass at time t (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 min) from the start of spraying, and corresponds to the specific volumes of sprayed distilled water (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50 mL); m_0 is the initial mass of the dry specimen at constant mass; and A is the exposed surface of the specimen.

For the evaluation of the kinetic phenomenon, the rate of the surface water absorption was determined in terms of the water amount coming in contact with the surface at a given time. This was expressed as the slope of the graphical representation, namely, the tangent of the angle formed with the x-axis.

The rate of evolution of the water absorption process, v , is defined as the ratio between the amount of water absorbed on the surface of the sample and the amount of sprayed water that reached contact with it (Equation (9)). The amount of water sprayed on the surface was calculated knowing that, throughout the procedure, a constant amount of 5 mL of water was sprayed at a constant interval of 2 min until the total volume of 50 mL of water was cumulated. Given that the exposed specimens were initially dried until constant mass was reached, the amount of water initially absorbed was considered to be 0 kg/m².

$$v = \frac{Q_t^x}{t * 5} \left(\frac{\text{kg}}{\text{m}^2 * \text{ml}} \right) \quad (9)$$

where Q_t^x is the surface water absorption (kg/m²) at step p (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10) which corresponds to the time t (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 min) recorded from the start of the test, and corresponding to a certain volume of sprayed water on the surface (5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, 35, 40, 45, 50 mL).

The parameter thus determined, namely the evolution rate of the water absorption process, v , characterizes the process of water absorption on the surface, and indirectly the surface hydrophilicity evaluation from a kinetic point of view.

For each case of activation, with or without UV exposure, indicators for the efficiency of the addition of TiO₂ in the cementitious matrix on the surface water absorption have been properly defined:

- The Evolution Index (EI) of surface hydrophilicity represents the percentage variation (increase) of water absorption of the surface at time t , in relation to water absorption of the specimen previously determined (Equation (10)):

$$EI = \frac{Q_t^{xUV} - Q_{t-2}^{xUV}}{Q_{t-2}^{xUV}} * 100 \quad (\%) \quad (10)$$

where, Q_t^{xUV} is the water absorption to the surface of the specimen at time t (4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20 min) from the start of the test; Q_{t-2}^{xUV} is the surface water absorption of the specimen measured at the previous stage ($t = 2$ min); and x is the number of hours of UV exposure (0 h, 1 h or 1 + 24 h).

A faster increase in the Evolution Index is an indicator of the effectiveness of the addition of nanoparticles on surface hydrophilicity.

- The Efficiency Index of Nanoparticles (EIN) represents the percentage variation (increase) of surface total water absorption (time 20 min, volume of water 50 mL), of the specimen of the cementitious composite with TiO₂ nanoparticles addition, in relation to surface total water absorption, (time 20 min, volume of water 50 mL) of the control cementitious composite samples (without TiO₂ nanoparticles) (Equation (11)):

$$EIN = \frac{Q_{20}^{xUV} - Q_{20}^{control\ sample\ xUV}}{Q_{20}^{control\ sample\ xUV}} * 100\ (\%) \quad (11)$$

where, Q_{20}^{xUV} is the surface water absorption of the cementitious composite specimen with TiO₂ nanoparticles addition at $t = 20$ min after the start of the test (50 mL water); $Q_{20}^{control\ sample\ xUV}$ is the surface water absorption of the control specimen (without TiO₂ nanoparticles addition) at $t = 20$ min after the start of test (50 mL water); and x is the number of UV exposure hours (0 h, 1 h or 1 + 24 h).

The higher the Efficiency Index of Nanoparticles, the higher the surface hydrophilicity is; furthermore, the cementitious mixture with the corresponding TiO₂ nanoparticles addition is considered to be more efficient in assessing the self-cleaning performance.

3. Results and Discussions

The experimental results, presented as mean values from five repeated tests for each proposed mixture, provided relevant information regarding the evolution of water absorption at the surface of the tested cementitious composite materials in relation to the content of nanoparticles introduced in their composition as an indirect indicator of hydrophilicity and on the kinetics of the process.

As an influencing factor of the absorption mechanisms, the porosity of the developed cementitious composites with TiO₂ addition is currently not considered relevant; specific tests revealed scarce variation among the evaluated compositions, namely a 2.6 mean square deviation, which allows the assumption of a rather constant value of porosity with inconsistent effect upon the recorded absorption results as shown in Table 1. There is an almost constant porosity in the case of the samples containing 1%, 2%, 3% and 5% nano-TiO₂. However, for samples with 3.6% and 4% nano-TiO₂, a minimum level of material porosity was observed. In accordance with the literature [18,20–22], although slightly influenced by the addition of nano-TiO₂, this parameter does not directly proportionally vary with the amount of nanoparticles introduced in the cementitious matrix, with a porosity reduction compared with the control sample being between 0.7%–5.2%, except for the two extreme samples.

Table 1. Porosity of the cementitious composite materials.

% TiO ₂	0	1	2	3	3.6	4	5	6	10	Average	STDEV
Porosity (%)	15.1	14.9	14.7	14.2	7.5	10.7	14.7	15.0	14.5	13.5	2.6

Thus, the surface water absorption of the samples is presented in Figure 3, depending on the type of mixture tested, the volume of sprayed water and the type of exposure (with or without UV exposure). As shown in Figure 3, the surface water absorption increases continuously and constantly with the increase in the amount of sprayed water for all the analyzed cases. This behavior of the phenomenon shows that an evaluation of the kinetic process by the rate of evolution of the water absorption process (indicator v) is suitable and can be used to determine this parameter.

Figure 3. Surface water absorption: (a) Non-UV exposed test specimens; (b) Specimens exposed 1 h to UV; (c) Specimens exposed 1 + 24 h to UV.

It is noted that the maximum water absorption was achieved by mixture P8, containing 6% TiO₂, for all situations of the amount of sprayed water, therefore it is appreciated that this composite matrix shows the highest hydrophilicity, both in non-exposure to UV conditions and also to 1 h exposure to the action of UV rays. Regarding the samples exposed to 1 + 24 h for UV action, the maximum water absorption was initially recorded for the mixture P5 (3.6% TiO₂), closely followed by mixture P8 (6% TiO₂); when the amount of sprayed water was increased as the test continued, an increase of water absorption was observed for all specimens ranging from P2-P8 (1% to 6% TiO₂) intervals. Increasing the amount of TiO₂ in the mixtures over 6% (mixtures with 10% TiO₂) determines the reduction of water absorption at the surface, which indicates the reduction of hydrophilicity. P2 (1% TiO₂) and P3 (2% TiO₂) specimens, unexposed to UV action and with 1 h UV exposure, indicate quite small changes in hydrophilicity when compared to the control samples; this proves that an addition of 1%-2% TiO₂ in the cementitious matrix is insufficient. The slight flattening of the curve with the increase of the amount of sprayed water can be considered the tendency of phenomenon stabilization when reaching a peak of water absorbed on the surface, after which the excess water has a chance to slip on the existing film.

It is noted that although porosity is minimal for P5 (3.6% TiO₂) and P6 (4% TiO₂), they do not correlate with extreme values in terms of the amount of water absorbed on the surface; the corresponding water absorption curves are not positioned at the extremes of the graph. Moreover, the surface water

absorption varies independent of the porosity variation when comparing close porosity values of the samples (P2, P3, P4, P7 and P9). Therefore, it can be assessed that for the analyzed cases under conditions of variation of porosity in the range of an average square deviation of 2.6, its influence on surface water absorption can be neglected.

Figure 4 shows the rate of evolution of the surface water absorption process depending on the type of samples tested, the volume of sprayed water and the type of exposure.

Figure 4. Rate of evolution of the surface water absorption process: (a) Non-UV exposed specimens, (b) 1 h UV exposed specimens, (c) 1 + 24 h UV exposed specimens.

As seen in Figure 4, the rate of water absorption on the surface decreases continuously and constantly for all the analyzed samples when the amount of sprayed water is increased. This trend could probably indicate that the surface initially accumulates amounts of water and subsequently, once a film of water is formed, the excess slides more easily under the influence of gravitational efforts. This conclusion can also be supported by the slight flattening of the surface water absorption curves shown in Figure 3.

The P8 with the 6% TiO_2 sample unexposed to UV rays shows the most intense kinetics of the surface water absorption process, therefore this process is the most favorable in terms of obtaining the optimized self-cleaning effect (as shown in the previous chapter, the property of self-cleaning is the result of a cumulation of properties between which the hydrophilicity of the surface has a particularly important role). P9 with the 10% TiO_2 sample shows a lower rate of the surface water absorption process which indicates that the kinetics of the phenomenon are not directly influenced by the quantity of TiO_2 addition in the cementitious matrix. This statement is also supported by the surface water absorption rate recorded by the P2 (1% TiO_2) sample, which initially is high but then decreases quickly as the process progresses. P3 (2% TiO_2) and P4 (3% TiO_2) samples show similar behavior as the phenomenon progresses. The variations between the momentary rates for each of these two cases are rather low, which would suggest a saturation phenomenon after spraying 20–25 ml of water.

P8 (6% TiO_2) test specimens show the most intense kinetics of the process, with good results after exposure of 1 h UV action. The same intense kinetics are also seen for P5 (3.6% TiO_2) samples. Sample P9 (10% TiO_2) shows, again, a lower rate of the surface water absorption process, which supports the assertion that the kinetics of the phenomenon are not directly and proportionally influenced by the content of TiO_2 in the cementitious matrix; consequently, it can be concluded that an optimal range of TiO_2 nanoparticles addition or a certain dosage needs to be established. Although not showing an equally spectacular evolution regarding the rate of the phenomenon, P6 (4% TiO_2) and P7 (5% TiO_2) samples eventually reach the similar rate of P4 (3% TiO_2) and P5 (3.6% TiO_2) samples at the end of

the test (50 mL water). This evolution cannot be interpreted independently, but only in the context of maintaining a balance as favorable as possible in terms of benefits accumulation.

After exposure to 1+24 h UV action, P5 (3.6% TiO_2) and P8 (6% TiO_2) samples exhibit the most intense kinetics of the surface water absorption process. Kinetic favorable developments have also been seen for samples P4 (3% TiO_2), P6 (4% TiO_2) and P7 (5% TiO_2). Different behavior was observed on samples P2 (1% TiO_2) and P3 (2% TiO_2) when small amounts water were sprayed (5 mL, 10 mL). The process rate increased, reaching a maximum after spraying a total amount of 15 mL of water. Later, the rate of the process decreases as the amount of sprayed water increases. This behavior was also noticed for P9 (10% TiO_2). This kinetic behavior can be assimilated with a possible lower surface quality (in terms of self-cleaning capacity), respectively, as there is a tendency to form a water film, but the surface does not have the ability to maintain the continuity of this film.

In all cases, as expected, the control sample (P1) shows a much reduced evolution of the water absorption process on the surface compared to the composite samples containing nanoparticles, a sign that this surface is less hydrophilic with most of the water remaining on the surface as droplets fail to form a film and easily fall.

Generally, it can be stated that at the beginning of the process (when small amounts of water are sprayed), the evolution of surface water absorption is more strongly influenced by the amount of TiO_2 as the steps of the test increase. As the amount of sprayed water increases, the surface absorption process stabilizes, while the percentage of water added by each spray generally decreases.

Figure 5 analyzes the efficiency of the presence of TiO_2 nanoparticles on the surface water absorption on the hydrophilicity of the surfaces of the cementitious matrix, expressed in terms of efficiency index of nanoparticles, depending on the type of samples at the total volume of sprayed water (50 mL) and the type of exposure.

Figure 5. Efficiency Index of Nanoparticles: (a) Non-UV test specimens, (b) 1 h UV test specimens, (c) 1 + 24 h UV test specimens.

As emphasized by Figure 5 in the case of the test specimens without UV exposure, respectively, out of those tested with 1 h UV exposure, P8 (6% TiO_2) samples show the highest efficiency in terms of the influence of addition of TiO_2 on surface hydrophilicity compared to the control sample. Sample P9 (10% TiO_2) indicates a decrease in the efficiency of addition of nanoparticles on surface hydrophilicity. Regarding the P6 (4% TiO_2) sample, followed closely by the P5 (3.6% TiO_2) sample, the test results after 1 + 24 h UV exposure show the highest efficiency in terms of the influence of TiO_2 addition on surface hydrophilicity when compared to the control sample.

The results presented in Figure 6 show that, regardless of the TiO_2 nanoparticles content for all samples, the surface water absorption increases when subjected to UV exposure compared to the lack

of UV exposure. The increase of exposure time has also the effect of increasing surface water absorption. The satisfactory behavior of the P8 (6% TiO₂) test specimens, as well as the unsatisfactory behavior of the P9 (10% TiO₂) test specimens and the highly dependent role of the UV exposure conditions of the other test specimens, can easily be noticed in the figure above.

Figure 6. Surface water absorption: unexposed UV test specimens (0 UV); 1 H UV exposed test specimens (1 UV) and 1 + 24 h UV exposed test specimens (24 UV).

4. Conclusions

The aim of this experimental research was to analyze the effect of the TiO₂ nanoparticles content in white Portland cement-based cementitious matrix regarding the surface hydrophilicity by the means of surface water absorption as a relevant parameter for the hydrophilicity characteristics of materials under several exposure conditions (water amount reaching the considered surface, exposure to UV rays).

Experimental results show that the hydrophilicity of the cementitious composite surface is not directly influenced by the content of nanoparticles and there is a concentration range in which they develop maximum efficiency. In this case it was proved that an addition of TiO₂ nanoparticles (relative to the cement quantity) in the range of 3%–6% is the optimum in terms of kinetics and phenomenon efficiency. Based on the above obtained results, current conclusions are consistent with the existing literature in the field in terms of mechanisms that affect the general self-cleaning capacity of the cementitious composites with nano-TiO₂ in terms of surface hydrophilicity. An increased addition of TiO₂ nanoparticles (see sample P9 with 10% TiO₂ nanoparticles) not only does not improve surface performance in terms of hydrophilicity, but on the contrary, it reduces it.

By analyzing the overall evolution of the surface water absorption results of the cementitious composite samples, it can be said that the P8 (6% TiO₂) sample is the most balanced and has the most successful outcome regarding the evolution of the phenomenon, the kinetics of the process of water absorption, and the effectiveness of the presence of the nanoparticles in comparison to the control sample.

After analyzing the literature, it can be said that the current experimental research originally contributes and adds value to the current level of knowledge. This is done by the identification of suitable functions for the modeling of the phenomena and the kinetics of the latter by introducing and demonstrating proper use of relevant indicators for the efficiency of the process. The results of the current experimental study and their analysis facilitate the proper comparison and interpretation of a large volume of experimental data collected considering different, relevant exposure situations.

Last but not least, based on the specific results obtained, on a case-by-case basis it is necessary to analyze the optimal amount of nanoparticles used as an addition in the cementitious matrix that can optimize the quality of the self-cleaning process, depending on the use, climate, degree and duration of sunlight.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.H. and E.G.; methodology, A.H., E.G., H.S., A.-V.L.; formal analysis, A.H., E.G., H.S., C.R.; investigation, A.H., E.G., H.S., A.-V.L.; writing—original draft preparation, A.H., E.G., A.V.S., C.R.; writing—review and editing, A.H., E.G., H.S., A.V.S., A.-V.L., C.R.; visualization, A.H., E.G., H.S., A.-V.L.; supervision, A.V.S., H.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: Authors would like to thank Evonik Industries AG Hanau, Germany, for providing the necessary materials used in the current study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Graziani, L.; Quagliarini, E.; Bondioli, F.; D’Orazio, M. Durability of self-cleaning TiO₂ coatings on fired clay brick façades: Effects of UV exposure and wet & dry cycles. *Build. Environ.* **2014**, *71*, 193–203.
- Cucek, L.; Klemes, J.J.; Kravanja, Z. A review of footprint analysis tools for monitoring impacts on sustainability. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2012**, *34*, 9–20. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Chiarini, A. Designing an environmental sustainable supply chain through ISO 14001 standard. *Manag. Env. Qual. Intern. J.* **2012**, *24*, 16–33. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Chiarini, A. Strategies for developing an environmentally sustainable supply chain: Differences between manufacturing and service sectors. *Bus. Strat. Env.* **2014**, *23*, 493–504. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Irie, H.; Hashimoto, K. Photocatalytic active surfaces and photo-induced high hydrophilicity/high hydrophobicity. *Handb. Environ. Chem.* **2005**, *2*, 425–450.
- Chen, J.; Poon, C.-S. Photocatalytic construction and building materials: From fundamentals to applications. *Build. Environ.* **2009**, *44*, 1899–1906. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Fujishima, A.; Rao, T.N.; Tryk, D.A. Titanium dioxide photocatalysis. *J. Photoch. Photobiol. C* **2000**, *1*, 1–21. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Fujishima, A.; Zhang, X. Titanium dioxide photocatalysis: Present situation and future approaches. *CR Chim.* **2006**, *9*, 750–760. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Guan, K. Relationship between photocatalytic activity, hydrophilicity and selfcleaning effect of TiO₂/SiO₂ films. *Surf. Coat. Tech.* **2005**, *191*, 155–160. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Lee, Y.C.; Hong, Y.P.; Lee, H.Y.; Kim, H.; Jung, Y.J.; Ko, K.H. Photocatalysis and hydro-philicity of doped TiO₂ thin films. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2003**, *267*, 127–131. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Graziani, L.; Quagliarini, E.; Osimani, A.; Aquilanti, L.; Clementi, F.; Yéprémian, C. Evaluation of inhibitory effect of TiO₂ nanocoatings against microalgal growth on clay brick façades under weak UV exposure conditions. *Build. Environ.* **2013**, *64*, 38–45. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Li, C.; Chang, S.J.; Tai, M.Y. Surface chemistry and dispersion property of TiO₂ nanoparticles. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **2010**, *93*, 4008–4010. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Yu, J.; Low, J.; Wei, X. Enhanced photocatalytic CO₂-reduction activity of anatase TiO₂ by Co-exposed {001} and {101} facets. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 8839–8842. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Nergis, D.D.B.; Abdullah, M.M.A.; Sandu, A.V.; Vizureanu, P. XRD and TG-DTA study of new alkali activated materials based on fly ash with sand and glass powder. *Materials* **2020**, *13*, 343. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
- Bouaissi, A.; Li, L.Y.; Moga, L.M.; Sandu, I.G.; Abdullah, M.M.A.; Sandu, A.V. A review on fly ash as a raw cementitious material for geopolymer concrete. *Rev. Chim.* **2018**, *69*, 1661–1667. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Jun, N.H.; Minciuna, M.G.; Abdullah, M.M.A.; Jin, T.S.; Sandu, A.V.; Ming, L.Y. Mechanism of cement paste with different particle sizes of bottom ash as partial replacement in portland cement. *Rev. Chim.* **2017**, *68*, 2367–2372. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Masae, M.; Sikong, L.; Kongsong, P.; Pholthawon, C.; Pawanwatcharakorn, N.; Abdullah, M.M.A.; Sandu, A.V. Super hydrophilicity and photocatalytic activity of potassium doped TiO₂ nanoparticulate films. *Rev. Chim.* **2016**, *67*, 1884–1890.
- Bautista-Gutierrez, K.P.; Herrera-May, A.L.; Santamaria-Lopez, J.M.; Honorato-Moreno, A.; Zamora, S. Recent progress in nanomaterials for modern concrete infrastructure: Advantages and challenges. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 3548. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Chunping, G.; Qiannan, W.; Jintao, L.; Wei, S. The effect of nano TiO₂ on the durability of ultra-high-performance concrete with and without a flexural load. *Ceram-Silikáty* **2018**, *62*, 374–381.

20. Rashad, A.M. A synopsis about the effect of nano-titanium dioxide on some properties of cementitious materials—A short guide for civil engineer. *Rev. Adv. Mater. Sci.* **2015**, *40*, 72–88.
21. Chen, J.; Kou, S.-C.; Poon, C.-S. Nanoscience of cement and concrete. *Cem. Conc. Comp.* **2012**, *34*, 642. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Mostafa, J. Durability enhancement of concrete by incorporating titanium dioxide nanopowder into binder. *J. Am. Sci.* **2012**, *8*, 289–294.
23. Singh, L.P.; Karade, S.R.; Bhattacharyya, S.K.; Yousuf, M.M.; Ahalawat, S. Beneficial role of nanosilica in cement based materials—A review. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2013**, *47*, 1069–1077. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Jimenez-Relinque, E.; Castellote, M. Quantification of hydroxyl radicals on cementitious materials by fluorescence spectrophotometry as a method to assess the photocatalytic activity. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2015**, *74*, 108–115. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Lucas, S.; Ferreira, V.; De Aguiar, J. Incorporation of titanium dioxide nanoparticles in mortars—Influence of microstructure in the hardened state properties and photocatalytic activity. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2013**, *43*, 112–120. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Sugrañez, R.; Álvarez, J.I.; Cruz-Yusta, M.; Mármol, I.; Morales, J.; Vila, J.; Sánchez, L. Enhanced photocatalytic degradation of NO_x gases by regulating the microstructure of mortar cement modified with titanium dioxide. *Build. Environ.* **2013**, *69*, 55–63. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Strini, A.; Cassese, S.; Schiavi, L. Measurement of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and o-xylene gas phase photodegradation by titaniumdioxide dispersed in cementitious materials using a mixed flow reactor. *Appl. Catal. B Environ.* **2005**, *61*, 90–97. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Hamidi, F.; Aslani, F. TiO₂-based photocatalytic cementitious composites: Materials, properties, influential parameters and assessment techniques. *Nanomaterials* **2019**, *9*, 1444. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Zhang, R.; Cheng, X.; Hou, P.; Ye, Z. Influences of nano-TiO₂ on the properties of cement-based materials: Hydration and drying shrinkage. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2015**, *81*, 35–41. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Rahim, A.; Nair, S.R. Influence of nano-materials in high strength concrete. *J. Chem. Pharm. Sci.* **2016**, *974*, 15–22.
31. Yang, L.; Jia, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Dai, J. Effects of nano-TiO₂ on strength, shrinkage and microstructure of alkali activated slag pastes. *Cem. Concr. Compos.* **2015**, *57*, 1–7. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Ma, B.; Li, H.; Mei, J.; Li, X.; Chen, F. Effects of nano-TiO₂ on the toughness and durability of cement-based material. *Adv. Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2015**, *2015*, 1–10. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Fawzy, Y.A. Effect of nano-titanium on properties of concrete made with various cement types. *J. Am. Sci.* **2016**, *12*, 116–126.
34. Li, Z.; Han, B.; Yu, X.; Dong, S.; Zhang, L.; Dong, X.; Ou, J. Effect of nano-titanium dioxide on mechanical and electrical properties and microstructure of reactive powder concrete. *Mater. Res. Express* **2017**, *4*, 095008. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Salman, M.M.; Eweed, K.M.; Hameed, A.M. Influence of partial replacement TiO₂ nanoparticles on the compressive and flexural strength of ordinary cement mortar. *Al-Nahrain J. Eng. Sci.* **2016**, *19*, 265–270.
36. Shen, W.; Zhang, C.; Li, Q.; Zhang, W.; Cao, L.; Ye, J. Preparation of titanium dioxide nano particle modified photocatalytic self-cleaning concrete. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2015**, *87*, 762–765. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Quagliarini, E.; Bondioli, F.; Goffredo, G.B.; Cordoni, C.; Munafò, P. Self-cleaning and de-polluting stone surfaces: TiO₂ nanoparticles for limestone. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2012**, *37*, 51–57. [[CrossRef](#)]
38. Nosaka, A.Y.; Kojima, E.; Fujiwara, T.; Yagi, H.; Akutsu, H.; Nosaka, Y.J. Photoinduced Changes of Adsorbed Water on a TiO₂ Photocatalytic Film As Studied by 1 H NMR Spectroscopy. *Phys. Chem. B.* **2003**, *107*, 12042. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Palmisano, L.; Augugliaro, V.; Bellardita, M.; Di Paola, A.; Garcia Lopez, E.; Loddo, V.; Marci, G.; Palmisano, G.; Yurdakal, D. Titania photocatalysts for selective oxidations in water. *ChemSusChem* **2011**, *4*, 1431–1438. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Sakai, N.; Fujishima, A.; Watanabe, T.; Hashimoto, K. Enhancement of the photoinduced hydrophilic conversion rate of TiO₂ film electrode surfaces by anodic polarization. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2001**, *105*, 3023–3026. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Bianchi, C.L.; Gatto, S.; Nucci, S.; Cerrato, G.; Capucci, V. Self-cleaning measure-ments on tiles manufactured with micro-sized photoactive TiO₂. *Adv. Mater. Res.* **2013**, *2*, 1–10. [[CrossRef](#)]

42. Banerjee, S.; Dionysiou, D.D.; Pillai, S.C. Self-cleaning applications of TiO₂ by photo-induced hydrophilicity and photocatalysis. *Appl. Catal. B.* **2015**, 176–177, 396–428. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Aslanidou, D.; Karapanagiotis, I.; Lampakis, D. Waterborne superhydrophobic and superoleophobic coatings for the protection of marble and sandstone. *Materials* **2018**, *11*, 585. [[CrossRef](#)]
44. Ohama, Y.; Van Gemert, D. *Application of Titanium Dioxide Photocatalysis to Construction Materials. State-of-the-Art Report of the RILEM Technical Committee 194-TDP*; Springer Science & Business Media: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2011.
45. Manoudis, P.N.; Tsakalof, A.; Karapanagiotis, I.; Zuburtikudis, I.; Panayiotou, C. Fabrication of super-hydrophobic surfaces for enhanced stone protection. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2009**, *203*, 1322–1328. [[CrossRef](#)]
46. Manoudis, P.N.; Karapanagiotis, I.; Tsakalof, A.; Zuburtikudis, I.; Panayiotou, C. Superhydrophobic composite films produced on various substrates. *Langmuir* **2008**, *24*, 11225–11232. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Husken, G.; Hunger, M.; Brouwers, H. Experimental study of photocatalytic concrete products for air purification. *Build. Environ.* **2009**, *44*, 2463–2474. [[CrossRef](#)]
48. Zhu, C.; Lv, J.; Chen, L.; Lin, W.; Zhang, J.; Yang, J.; Feng, J. Dark, heat-reflective, an-ti-ice rain and superhydrophobic cement concrete surfaces. *Constr. Build. Mater.* **2019**, *220*, 21–28. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Zailan, S.N.; Mahmed, N.; Al Bakri, M.M.A.; Sandu, A.V. Self-cleaning geopolymer concrete—A review. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **2016**, *133*, 012026. [[CrossRef](#)]
50. Carmona-Quiroga, P.M.; Martínez-Ramírez, S.; Viles, H.A. Efficiency and durability of a self-cleaning coating on concrete and stones under both natural and artificial ageing trials. *Appl. Surf.* **2018**, *433*, 312–320. [[CrossRef](#)]
51. Folli, A.; Jakobsen, U.H.; Guerrini, G.L.; Macphee, D.E. Rhodamine b discolouration on TiO₂ in the cement environment: A look at fundamental aspects of the self-cleaning effect in concrete. *J. Adv. Oxid. Technol.* **2009**, *12*, 126–133. [[CrossRef](#)]
52. Minella, M.; Minero, C. Quantification of the photocatalytic self-cleaning ability of non-transparent materials. *Materials* **2019**, *12*, 508. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).