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Abstract: In the Tablas de Daimiel National Park (TDNP) wetlands, a semi-arid wetland system in Spain that is of international importance, it is believed that pollutants from a variety of sources accumulate. In the study reported here, we evaluated soils from the flooded part of this wetland in an effort to establish relationships between the abundance/structure of microbial communities (mainly cyanobacteria) and certain soil properties (redox potential, dissolved oxygen, organic matter, soil reaction, electrical conductivity, calcium carbonate, total nitrogen, soluble phosphorus and total phosphorus). This objective was achieved by establishing one transect from the entrance to exit of the flood plain, including sampling from potentially polluted sites. Substantial variations between sampling sites were found in soil in terms of salinity, dissolved oxygen (DO), organic matter (OM), total phosphorus (TP) and nitrogen (TN). The presence of primary producers was more evident in contaminated samples. In addition to calcium, high levels of oxidizable organic matter, traces of dissolved oxygen, and considerable amounts of nitrate and phosphates probably stimulated the growth of cyanobacteria, these latter characteristics can be explained as being due to the influence of wastewaters from urban, industrial and agricultural activities that run off directly into this unique wetland. In the future it will be necessary to understand the synergic effects of other soil properties.
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1. Introduction

Despite the recently identified challenge of linking pedology and hydrology [1,2], wetland soils—particularly floodplain soils and their microbial diversity—have not been studied widely in comparison to terrestrial soils [3,4].

Wetlands are very important despite the fact that they cover only 6% of the Earth’s surface. These areas provide a significant number of ecosystem services; for instance, wetlands can mitigate the effects of floods, improve water quality through water purification (including denitrification and detoxification), recharge groundwater aquifers, provide habitat recreation services, sediment transfer and nutrient retention and export [5–11].

Cyanobacteria are common components within primary producers communities in most freshwater ecosystems (phytoplankton and phytobenthos) [12]. The results of recent studies on cyanobacteria have emphasized the important role that they play in ecosystems. Cyanobacteria
can grow in any location and in any environment in which moisture and sunlight are available. Light penetration reaching the bottom of shallow lakes is influencing the development of benthic mats, frequently dominated by cyanobacteria [13]. Large proliferations of cyanobacteria are often caused by high nutrient loadings and therefore their presence can be an indicator of declining water quality (which can be associated with a range of problems such as low oxygen levels and the production of algal toxins [14,15].

Land use and soil chemistry have been shown to control microbial communities in several terrestrial systems [16]. The effects of pH on bacterial community composition and diversity have been noted in aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems [17,18]. In fact, pH is a significant factor that affects the growth, establishment and diversity of cyanobacteria, which have generally been reported to prefer neutral to slightly alkaline pH for optimum growth [19]. However, the environmental and anthropogenic factors that control the distribution and abundance of bacterial groups in wetland soils remain unknown [4]. Moreover, research on benthic cyanobacteria in temperate wetlands is scarce [13].

Wetlands that are close to agricultural, urban or industrial areas receive pollutants in the incoming waters and/or sediments, including high concentrations of trace metals and/or nutrient-rich leachates [20]. Proliferations of cyanobacteria are often caused by high nutrient loadings and their presence can serve as an indicator of declining water quality and general detrimental effects on the wetland ecosystem. The diversity of populations is reduced under eutrophication stress in rivers and lakes and the lower abundance of some organisms, mainly nitrogen-fixing cyanobacteria or species from the order Oscillatoriales, can increase or they can become dominant [21]. Heterocystous cyanobacteria are seldom found in highly enriched waters, although they can dominate in naturally high P/low N waters (e.g., *Nostoc*, *Rivularia* etc.) [22].

The Tablas de Daimiel National Park (TDNP) wetland is the most important wetland on the central plain of The Iberian Peninsula (Figure 1). This area is a rare system type, both in the Iberian Peninsula and in the world in general and the extent of this zone has diminished markedly in recent years [23]. The Tablas de Daimiel is a natural park generated by the confluence of the Guadiana and Cigüela rivers, which gives rise to a unique aquatic and underwater ecosystem. Within a semiarid area, the TDNP wetland, as has a high biodiversity, and it is included as Biosphere reserve and RAMSAR conservation ecosystem [24]. Phytoplankton diversity is also high, however, this ecosystem is undergoing an inexorable eutrophication process [25]. Results obtained to date have revealed a high eutrophication problem both during drought and at times when water is abundant [26].

The delicate balance between some performances of man and some wetlands, (included PNTD), was broken one or two decades ago due to a combination of factors, including irrigation in agriculture, potential contamination by contributions from urban sewage treatment plants and the intensive addition of fertilizers [27]. A number of anthropogenic activities could contribute to this phenomenon and as reported by [28], one of the causes of the deterioration of the TDNP could be the accumulation of sludge in the effluent beds.

Proliferations of cyanobacteria are often caused by high nutrient loadings and as such, they can serve as indicators of declining water quality and of wetland ecosystems in general. The need to understand the relationship between variations in soil quality in wetlands and cyanobacterial abundance is a prerequisite to develop strategies to prevent possible degradation of the wetland and further cyanobacterial proliferation in aquatic systems. Given that contaminated soils or sediments are known to be responsible for the decrease in water quality in natural waters, particularly in shallow and enclosed water systems [29]. It seems reasonable to evaluate sites where wetland hydrology and soil chemistry have been successfully modified by human activity to ascertain how soil environmental conditions can affect microbial community structure and activity. In this context, the aim of the study described here was to investigate the diversity and the relative abundance of primary producers in relationship to soil pH, Nitrogen (N), Phosphorus (P), Organic Matter (OM), redox potential (Eh), salinity, calcium carbonate and dissolved oxygen (DO) in the inundated area of the TDNP.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Site

The Tablas de Daimiel National Park represents a characteristic fluctuating Mediterranean floodplain (Figure 1). The wetland floodplain currently covers 1735 ha in the Park area and 285 ha in the Protected area [23].

In geological terms the TDNP is formed by tertiary materials that mainly consist of lacustrine carbonates, sand, gravel and alluvial conglomerates. The average annual rainfall is 370 mm and the average annual temperature is 14.4 °C. The most superficial part of the boards contains masseuse and enea, which is perhaps the most representative of the river tables; the main area of the wetland is covered by emerging macrophytic reed beds of *Phragmites australis* (Cav) Trin. ex Steud. and saw grass *Cladium mariscus*. Fish have been artificially introduced in this area and these include carp *Cyprinus carpio* (L.), mosquitofish *Gambusia holbrooki* (Gir.) and pumpkinseed *Lepomis gibbosus* (L.). These introduced fishes have displaced the original fishes (native one) from the wetland. The flooded areas are covered by dense beds of charophytes (mainly *Chara* spp.) and these are affected due to the presence of invasive fish (*Ciprinus carpio*) and changes in water and sediment quality [30]. Soils in the flood zone are mainly Histosols [31,32] along with soils with redoximorphic features (Aquepts or Aquents by [31] or Gleysols by [32]) according to [27].

2.2. Soil Sampling

Fieldwork was carried out during November 2015 in the saturated or flood zone. Six sampling sites (numbers 8, 15, 20, 28, 29, 34) were selected in a transect oriented parallel to the shoreline, from downstream, in order to identify possible areas of the wetland affected by excessive nutrients or...
chemical elements pollutants; other two samples have been included as possible polluting sources (numbers 1 and 41), (Figure 1). Soil samples were collected using a Van Veen drag at each site at depths in the range 0 to 30 cm and the exact location was recorded by GPS (Table 1). The samples were placed in hermetically sealed closed plastic vials. The samples were kept at 4 °C and transported to the laboratory. Different aliquots were frozen and stored at −20 °C until soil analyses and samples for biological analyses were stored at 4 °C in the dark.

Table 1. List of sampling plots organize by number, name and coordinates (UTM Zone 30N).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Nº</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Coordenates</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>Y</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gigüela</td>
<td>0446572</td>
<td>4338602</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>E. Casablanca</td>
<td>0438910</td>
<td>4333770</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Entradilla</td>
<td>0439461</td>
<td>4332245</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Molemocho</td>
<td>0440304</td>
<td>4331318</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>V. de los Toros</td>
<td>0436149</td>
<td>4331316</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>S. Almochinares</td>
<td>0435363</td>
<td>4330524</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Zacatena</td>
<td>0434206</td>
<td>4329673</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>L. Navaseca</td>
<td>0446536</td>
<td>4328067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.3. Soil Analysis

Samples were dried at 40 °C for 7 days and dry soil samples were sieved through a mesh filter with a pore size of 2 mm. The redox potential (Eh), dissolved oxygen (DO), pH, electrical conductivity (EC), calcium carbonate, total nitrogen (TN), total phosphorus (TP) and available phosphorus (SP) were determined for all samples. Eh and DO were analyzed in situ (two replicates) at each sampling site using a YSI Professional Plus Environmental probe. For dry samples the pH was determined in water in a 1:2.5 soil/water suspension; the electrical conductivity was determined on the aqueous extract with a soil/water ratio of 1:5. The total CaCO₃ content was determined by the Bernard Calcimeter method using 4 M HCl. The organic matter (easily oxididable) was determined by the wet oxidation method [33]. The total nitrogen (TN) content was determined by the Kjeldahl method, which is based on the mineralization of organic nitrogen in the sample by digestion with concentrated sulfuric acid [34]. The available phosphorus was measured by the Olsen method [35], and total phosphorus was measured by FRX technique.

Standard microbiological methods were carried out on soil samples from the upper part (in contact with water). Total biomass was analyzed as the presence of organic matter and organisms by evaluating the fresh weight/dry weight ratio (FW/DW). The biomass of primary producers was estimated as the Chlorophyll a content using aliquots of fresh sample. Finally, the structure and composition of the primary producers were analyzed by microscopy in a formaline (4% final concentration) fixed sample necessary to maintain fluorescence of primary producers [36].

The biomass of primary producers in each sample was obtained estimating the concentration of Chlorophyll a by diluting 1 g of soil sample with 25 ml of distilled water. The concentration of Chlorophyll a (Chl a) was determined by acetone extraction to separate the cells and measuring absorbance of the extract at 665 and 750 nm for turbidity [37]. Data are presented as µg Chl a/g soil. Microscopic analysis of primary producers was carried out by direct observation and a semiquantitative quantification of these organisms with a focus on cyanobacteria. Optical daylight and epifluorescence microscopes (Olympus BH2) were used to identify the primary Cyanobacteria or green algae and diatoms were observed by fluorescence under green and blue filters respectively. Cells were classified using specific manuals [14,38–40]. The morphological parameters such as nature of filaments, the shape and size of vegetative cells, heterocysts and akinetes were taken into consideration during the identification of the cyanobacteria as primary producers.
A semiquantitative analysis of the abundance of each type of cyanobacteria was carried out by estimating the presence of each taxon (counting cells in a filaments or equal number of individual cells) using a Neubauer chamber according to protocols previously described by Douterelo et al. [36]. The abundance was classified as follows: scarce (<1%), rare (1–10%), moderate (10–40%), abundant (40–75%) and dominant (>75%).

3. Results

Soil physicochemical parameters are provided in Table 2. Soil colour (according to the Munsell Soil Color Chart [41]) is mainly 5Y, and in some cases 2.5Y, with values and chroma between 2–5/1–3. The soils are generally dark from olive, greyish or yellowish, which is typical of media affected by a layer of water and a relatively high proportion of organic matter. The soils are peat based and are characteristic of a submerged area in which saturation and reduction occur, thus showing redoximorphic features that are indicative of hydric soils.

The pH values range from 7.5 to 8.3 (Table 2) and this can be attributed mainly to the presence of abundant levels of calcium carbonate (7.60%–38.7%). The electrical conductivity values are in the range 0.68–3.41 dS/m. The soils are characterized by poor aeration and poor drainage and this causes large amounts of organic matter, at various stages of decomposition, being embedded in the soils. The relatively high organic matter content in the soils (in the range from 3.00% to 37.9%, Table 2) can be due to the large amount of wetland plants and slow decomposition rates in wet soils [42–44]. The accretion of nutrients and the low rates of decomposition are also modulated by the retention of floodwater, which in turn lead to the build-up of deep layers of soil [45]. The substrate, in flooded wetlands (temporarily or permanently), are sediments semi-terrestrial to sub-hydric or even water soils, in geological terms. However, in edaphic terms, the soils are formed by the accumulation and transformation of the organic residues from the marsh vegetation. Thus, thick organic horizons are generated with an organic matter content of up to 23.3%, which are considered to be Histosols [27]. If the organic matter content is low (some samples only contain 3%) then they are Gleysols. Therefore, in this case, the soils are hydromorphic with a turbid character, developed as Histosols or as Histic Gleysols. Given the abundance of poorly decomposed roots and high fiber content, we believe that these are fibrous peats. The natural formation of sulfuric acid has not been reported in these soils because they are not formed by the oxidation of sulfur compounds present in the area.

The nutrient concentrations of the soils are shown in Table 2. The nitrogen contents show a wide variation (from 0.075% to 0.604%) with a variability similar to that of organic matter or organic carbon. This trend could be due to the quality of the vegetal remains and especially to the effect of the resilience of the water layer. The C/N ratios indicate very divergent humific conditions with values varying between 20.5 and 84.3. This can be interpreted as a function of the nature of the plant material plus other environmental factors such as the depth of the water layer and the nature of the mineral media, amongst other factors. Soluble phosphorus (SP), expressed as P$_2$O$_5$, ranges from 12.1 to 54.3 mg/kg while the total P content (TP) reaches a maximum value in sample 41 (Navaseca lagoon) of 5.39 g/kg (a more contaminated sample) and a minimum of 0.26 g/kg in samples 15 and 29.

The floodplain hydrology is a crucial factor that influences the microbial community [46,47]. This influence is due to the fact that changes in the flood/dry periods cause fluctuating redox conditions [47,48]. The quantification of Eh is a particular benefit in periodically flooded soils; the Eh range varies from approximately $-300$ to $+700$ mV and these values are beyond those of either aerated (Eh > $+400$ mV) or permanently waterlogged (Eh < $+350$ mV) soils. Negative values indicate high electron activity and intense anaerobic conditions that are typical of permanently waterlogged soils.
Table 2. Physical and chemical properties of the soil samples studied in Las Tablas de Daimiel National Park. DO = dissolved oxygen (%), Eh = redox potential, OM = organic matter (%), N = total nitrogen, C/N = relation C to N, P2O5 = soluble phosphorous (mg/kg), P = total phosphorous (g/kg), EC = electrical conductivity (dS/m), CaCO3 = calcium carbonate (%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Nº</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>DO</th>
<th>Eh</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>OM</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>C/N</th>
<th>P2O5 (mg/kg)</th>
<th>P (g/kg)</th>
<th>EC (dS/m)</th>
<th>CaCO3 (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Gigüela</td>
<td>5 Y 5/1</td>
<td>5 Y 2.5/1</td>
<td>90.1</td>
<td>−171.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>0.239</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>E. Casablanca</td>
<td>2.5 Y 5/2</td>
<td>2.5 Y 3/1</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>−73.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>0.440</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Entradilla</td>
<td>2.5 Y 6/3</td>
<td>2.5 Y 4/2</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>−23.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>0.532</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Molemocho</td>
<td>2.5 Y 5/3</td>
<td>2.5 Y 3/3</td>
<td>112.1</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>V. de los Toros</td>
<td>5 Y 3/1</td>
<td>5 Y 2.5/2</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>−169.4</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>54.3</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>S. Almochinares</td>
<td>5 Y 4/2</td>
<td>5 Y 2.5/2</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>−168.3</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>84.3</td>
<td>50.7</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Zacatena</td>
<td>5 Y 5/1</td>
<td>5 Y 2.5/2</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>−163.4</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>L. Navaseca</td>
<td>5 Y 5/2</td>
<td>5 Y 3/2</td>
<td>143.3</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.090</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Eh values in the studied soils ranged between –171.9 and 25.4 mV (Table 2) which, according to Otero & Macías [49,50], are indicative of variations from reduced (Eh < 100 mV) to suboxic (Eh 100–300 mV). These results reveal substantial variations that must be especially reflected in those processes in which the redox potential intervenes. However, it must be taken into account that when these measurements were carried out during a period of low rainfall, a lower concentration of dissolved oxygen and therefore a lower Eh value can be temporarily obtained.

A review of the literature revealed that many terms such as “flooded”, “saturated”, or “waterlogged” were used to describe oxygen-deficient root media. The percentage of Dissolved Oxygen Saturation (DO) showed good values in some samples (80%–120% are considered as excellent), but low values in others. The Dissolved Oxygen ranged from 143.3% to 15.4% (Table 2) and as a consequence, there are excellent values, around 100%, in samples 1 and 20 indicating an equilibrium between photosynthesis and respiration; oversaturating values in sample 41, and in samples 28, 29 and 34, very low values, (below 50%), finally values for samples 8 and 15 are moderate (around 70%). The level of dissolved oxygen is controlled by salinity and probably by various other factors such as temperature and dissolved solids [51]. Low dissolved oxygen levels are common in aquatic systems, particularly in estuarine and marine systems, that have high nutrient loadings and are seasonally stratified into water with different densities. The influx of freshwater may be the cause of the high dissolved oxygen content while the lower level of dissolved oxygen may be due to the release of urban, agricultural or industrial effluents.

The organic matter biomass quoted as the weight ratio (FW/DW) and the biomass of primary producers as chlorophyll a content by dry weight (Chl a/DW) are represented in Figure 2. Different types of sample can be differentiated: two samples (samples 1 and 41, the most contaminated) showed the maximum biomass of primary producers due to the highest values of chlorophyll a and also high values for the FW/DW ratio. A second group of samples (samples 8 and 15) showed similar but lower values (with ratios of around 4 and 5) and it is therefore expected to find more abundant organisms. The rest of the samples had low values and the number of organisms is probably also low.

![Figure 2. Biomass of the primary producers organisms expressed as fresh weight/dry weight (FW/DW) relation and as Chlorophyll a concentration μg Chl a/g DW.](image_url)

The taxonomic composition of soil primary producer assemblages varied greatly between sampled soils. The abundance of dominant groups was unexpectedly uniform among soils. The structure and abundance of organisms found are shown in Table 3. Cyanobacteria and diatoms were present with different proportions in all samples, while other groups only appear in one sample (such as green
algae or fungi). These findings could be related to the different phosphorus concentrations reached in these reservoirs from agricultural or industrial activities, with such variations capable of modifying the abundance of cyanobacteria [52]. Generally, samples had a low biomass of organisms with the exception of samples 1, 8 and 41, in which the presence of primary producers was more evident. The structure of the cyanobacterial community is shown in Figure 2. Analysis of these data allows three groups of soil samples to be clearly differentiated and these are described below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample N°</th>
<th>Cyanobacteria</th>
<th>Diatoms</th>
<th>Green Algae</th>
<th>Fungi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++(+)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Where: - means not observed, + very little abundant <10%, ++ less abundant, 10–30%, and +++ abundant >30.

Group 1 includes samples 1, 8 and 41, and these had a high abundance of primary producer organisms (Table 3), which were mainly cyanobacteria and a wide variety of diatoms. Sample 8 is one of the richest samples in terms of variety and a greater abundance of cyanobacteria was observed, including filamentous cyanobacteria without heterocysts from the Oscillatoriales orders (Figure 3A) and some diatoms (Figure 3C), which are quite abundant in environments that have available nitrogen [53]. Unicellular cyanobacteria were also observed and these were easily visible using green epifluorescence analysis (Figure 3B, C, E). The cyanobacteria belonged to the genera *Phormidium*, *Oscillatoria*, *Pseudanabaena* and *Leptolyngbya*, all of which come from the LPP group that comprises the majority of filamentous cyanobacteria without heterocysts. However, the amount of cyanobacteria in samples 1 and 41 slightly lower levels than sample 8. Sample 1 contained abundant small-sized diatoms and some filamentous cyanobacteria of the Oscillatoriales order, *e.g.*, *Leptolyngbya* (Figure 3D) and *Phormidium*. Furthermore, the presence of fungi (in a small proportion), organisms with saprophytic capabilities, have only very rarely been observed. Sample 41 contained the most diverse organisms in terms of diatoms and cyanobacteria and was a rich sample in variety and abundance. Furthermore, some unicellular and filamentous cyanobacteria, *e.g.*, *Pseudanabaena* (Figure 3E) and *Phormidium* (Figure 3B), were also found in this sample. The presence of *Anabaena* and some other blooming cyanobacteria has been linked to low DO contents in eutrophic waterbodies [54, 55].

Group 2 is formed by samples 15 and 20 and it is characterized by the moderate abundance of diatoms and cyanobacteria. Both of these soil samples gave similar results at the biological species level. These samples had a low abundance of diatoms. The cyanobacteria observed were filamentous morphotypes without heterocysts, such as *Leptolyngbya* type (Figure 3L) and unicellular types included in the Chroococcales order (Figure 3H) in sample 15 and some *Phormidium* (Figure 3I) and *Pseudanabaena* (Figure 3J, K) were found in sample 20.

Group 3 includes samples 28, 29 and 34. These samples have low biomass and poor biodiversity, with the sparse presence of diatoms and in some cases only frustules were visible (thus indicating dead forms of diatoms, Figure 3O). Limited filamentous cyanobacteria were observed and these included, for example, *Leptolyngbya* (Figure 3M) in sample 28 and *Phormidium* (Figure 3N) in sample 34. Sample 29 also contained fungi (Figure 3P), albeit to a lesser extent than samples discussed above and this could indicate a decomposition stage of the sample in relation with the samples of groups 1 and 2.

These results show that three different types of soils can be identified: soils that are well developed with good biological activity, characterized by a high proportion and variety of primary producer organisms, i.e., samples denoted as group 1 (samples 8, 1 and 41), in which sample 8 exemplifies the
high abundance of filamentous species, probably due to the presence of a nitrogen source that favours the development of these species [56]. The other samples presented less biomass and low variability for all groups indicating that these samples have low biodiversity and low biological activity indicating that the samples are worth highlighting, particularly in group 3, where fungi and dead cells were observed. These characteristics could be influenced by some factors that affect the soil area or that were altered in the past.

![Photomicrographs (daylight and green epifluorescence) showing the cyanobacteria and other organisms found in the three groups of samples.](image)

**Figure 3.** Photomicrographs (daylight and green epifluorescence) showing the cyanobacteria and other organisms found in the three groups of samples. (A–G) Group 1; (H–L) Group 2 and (M–P) Group 3. (A) Filamentous cyanobacteria, *Phormidium* (sample M8); (B) *Phormidium* with epifluorescence from sample M41; (C) Unicellular cyanobacteria from sample M8; (D) LPP cyanobacteria from M1; (E) Filamentous cyanobacteria type *Pseudanabaena* from sample M41; (F) Filamentous cyanobacteria type LPP and diatom in the sample M8; (G) Typical diatom found in sample M8; (H) Chroococal cyanobacteria with epifluorescence found in sample M15; (I) *Phormidium* found in sample M15; (J,K) short and long filaments of *Pseudanabaena* found in sample M20 using epifluorescence; (L) Cyanobacteria LPP type and diatom found in M15; (M) *Leptolyngbya*, cyanobacteria type LPP observed at sample M28; (N) *Phormidium* under epifluorescence in sample M34; (O) Frustule empty of diatom observed at sample M28 and (P) Filament of fungi found in the sample M29. Scale bar show 20 µm.

4. Discussion

Several reactions are initiated during soil flooding which lead to reduced soil redox potential, influencing some physical, chemical and biological processes which have significant implications for the wetland. Moche et al. [57] found that the monthly changes of the soil microbial community structure were more influenced by soil and site properties (such as flood duration, drainage conditions
and the magnitude of soil carbon) than by highly dynamic properties (soil temperature, soil moisture and temporal fluctuations of extractable carbon between others).

In many parts of the world, flowing waters receive substantial nutrient inputs, including both nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P). The natural dynamics of the TDNP have been altered markedly, especially in the last few decades. The TDNP depends on the current existence of both the scarce contributions of the Guadiana and the Gigüela rivers as well as the contributions from the transfers and food derived from other sources, such as the sewage treatment plant purifiers of Villarrubia de los Ojos, Daimiel and Manzanares villages. The materials that enter into the TDNP are gradually sedimented and as such it is not surprising that there is a greater accumulation in certain zones.

High species diversity of any particular group of organisms in a natural habitat can be interpreted as being essentially the same as the system being a major biotic component. Histosols are unique wet soil habitats that are very suitable for blue-green algae to flourish according to Roger et al. [58]. In Histosol, the significant contributions to soil fertility in terms of the physico-chemical, biological and soil-water relationships are well known. Zancan et al. [59] noted that the roles of cyanobacteria in soil conditioning and as soil bio-indicators are also important. The highest levels of decomposing organic matter and nitrogen, which may be the reason for the high algal community parameters noted here, were mainly found in samples 8, 29 and 34. Cyanobacteria has clear preferences to grow in calcareous water systems [12], in general, calcareous (calcareous) soils such as those studied here are not considered to be stressed environments for cyanobacteria [60–64]. Similarly, Singh et al. [65] reported the abundant cyanobacterial populations in soils with a highly alkaline pH. However, the exact relationship between pH and the growth of specific cyanobacterial species in soils has yet to be confirmed, but our samples from group 1 had the highest pH analyzed and this would be consistent with the idea outlined above which could be also related with the photosynthesis processes. The availability of water is a primary factor in determining the abundance of algae in soils [66]. Contrary to our data, [67] considered that bacterial composition and diversity are strongly related with soil pH, land use, and restoration status, but less so to nutrient concentrations and not at all with wetland type or soil carbon.

The concentration and quality of nutrients are probably the more important factors which affect the cyanobacterial diversity [68]. The availability of phosphates and nitrates are important factors that favour the abundance of cyanobacteria in wetlands [59] and our sample with a high abundance and diversity of cyanobacteria is included in group 1 and had the highest concentration of P. The highest concentration of N appears to be related with the abundance of cyanobacteria from the Oscillatoriales order (filamentous without heterocyst). Increases of this type of cyanobacteria, filamentous without heterocysts (from Oscillatoriales order) were obtained in other aquatic systems like rivers, where diversity is clearly related to the nutrient concentration [69] indicating a clear relation of these cyanobacteria to high nitrogen concentrations.

Growth of cyanobacteria over other algae groups in this kind of aquatic system is related to high values of BOD, COD, phosphates and nitrates coupled with very low DO [70,71]. This could be the reason for the flourishing growth of cyanobacteria in some samples affected by the effluents from wastewater treatment plants. Among the soil properties, pH is certainly the most important factor that determines the abundance of algae (flora and fauna). In culture media, the optimal pH for the growth of cyanobacteria is the range 7.5–10, with a lower limit close to 6.5–7.0 [63]. All of the soil samples from the TDNP fall within this range. The abundance of cyanobacteria is attributed to the favorable content of oxidizable organic matter and the lower levels of dissolved oxygen (Table 1), a similar observation was supported by Rao [72] and Venkateswarlu [73]. Phosphorus concentrations have been considered the main drivers of cyanobacterial growth [68,74–76]. Boominathan [61] and Vijayakumar et al. [62] suggested that environments rich in calcium cyanobacteria can grow prolifically. In addition to calcium, high levels of oxidizable organic matter, traces of dissolved oxygen, and considerable amounts of nitrate and phosphates present in all the effluents investigated [20] were probably the factors that stimulated the growth of cyanobacteria, as suggested by Vijayakumar et al. [62] and as found in industrialized wetlands in India [52].
The data referred to samples 1 and 41 help to contemplate that wastewater from urban activities and from agricultural and industrial run off are the only potential sources that would explain the high levels of P and N found. The heavy reliance on chemical fertilizers, pesticides, and herbicides, is widespread in modern agriculture [77,78]. Our field observations allow us to point out that soils and solid pollutants reach the channels and water transports them, in this case to Las Tablas, where they are deposited due to the slower water circulation. The vegetation can accumulate and retains these pollutants and there is usually only flow downstream of the TDNP. The only approach to neutralize or limitate the pollution damage is biomonitoring soil bioindicators, as Guerriero et al. [79] showed.

5. Conclusions

The conclusion of the study described here is that substantial variations between sampling sites were found in soils and these were related to salinity, dissolved oxygen (DO), organic matter (OM) and total phosphorus (TP) and nitrogen (TN). In contaminated samples (with high concentrations of N or P) the presence of primary producers was more evident. The presence of some blooming cyanobacteria has been linked to low DO content and the availability of phosphates and nitrates are important factors that favour the abundance of cyanobacteria. Considerable amounts of nitrogen and phosphorus probably stimulated the growth of cyanobacteria, along with calcium, high levels of oxidizable organic matter and traces of dissolved oxygen. The concentrations of N and P could be explained as being due to the influence of wastewaters from urban, industrial and agricultural activities, since some samples so testify.
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