

Article



# Multidecadal Trend Analysis of Armenian Mountainous Grassland and Its Relationship to Climate Change Using Multi-Sensor NDVI Time-Series

Vahagn Muradyan <sup>1,\*</sup>, Shushanik Asmaryan <sup>1</sup>, Grigor Ayvazyan <sup>1</sup> and Fabio Dell'Acqua <sup>2,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Centre for Ecological-Noosphere Studies, National Academy of Sciences, Abovyan Street 68, Yerevan 0025, Armenia
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Electrical, Computer and Biomedical Engineering, University of Pavia, Via A. Ferrata 5, 27100 Pavia, Italy
- \* Correspondence: vahagn.muradyan@cens.am (V.M.); fabio.dellacqua@unipv.it (F.D.)

Abstract: This paper presents a comprehensive analysis of links between satellite-measured vegetation vigor and climate variables in Armenian mountain grassland ecosystems in the years 1984–2018. NDVI is derived from MODIS and LANDSAT data, temperature and precipitation data are from meteorological stations. Two study sites were selected, representing arid and semi-arid grassland vegetation types, respectively. Various trend estimators including Mann-Kendall (MK) and derivatives were combined for vegetation change analysis at different time scales. Results suggest that temperature and precipitation had negative and positive impacts on vegetation growth, respectively, in both areas. NDVI-to-precipitation correlation was significant but with an apparent time-lag effect that was further investigated. No significant general changes were observed in vegetation along the observed period. Further comparisons between results from corrected and uncorrected data led us to conclude that MODIS and LANDSAT data with BRDF, topographic and atmospheric corrections applied are best suited for analyzing relationships between NDVI and climatic factors for the 2000–2018 period in grassland at a very local scale; however, in the absence of correction tools and information, uncorrected data can still provide meaningful results. Future refinements will include removal of anthropogenic impact, and deeper investigation of time-lag effects of climatic factors on vegetation dynamics.

Keywords: grassland; time-lag effects; BRDF; radiometric corrections; Armenian mountainous environment

# 1. Introduction

Surface vegetation is one of the most important components of ecosystems on Earth, playing a key role in regulating carbon balance and climate stability [1–3]. Vegetation is highly sensitive to climate change, particularly in mountain regions [4]. Mountain ecosystems are considered to be among those most severely and rapidly impacted by climate change [3–6]. Thus, over the recent decades, monitoring vegetation dynamics and relationships with climate data has been recognized as a hot issue in global and regional change studies, especially since satellite data started becoming increasingly available [7–10]. In order to comprehensively understand the impact of climatic factors on vegetation dynamics, it is necessary to perform location-specific case studies on correlations between vegetation and climate factors in different geographical regions [6,11–14].

Remote sensing technologies as an alternative to time-consuming and labor-intensive field experimentation offer effective approaches that have been widely used to monitor surface vegetation dynamics in context of climate change [5,13–16]. Remote sensing products such as the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) are widely used to monitor the dynamics of vegetation in ecosystems and can be used as a proxy of vegetation response to



Citation: Muradyan, V.; Asmaryan, S.; Ayvazyan, G.; Dell'Acqua, F. Multidecadal Trend Analysis of Armenian Mountainous Grassland and Its Relationship to Climate Change Using Multi-Sensor NDVI Time-Series. *Geosciences* 2022, *12*, 412. https://doi.org/10.3390/ geosciences12110412

Academic Editors: John Toland Van Stan and Jesus Martinez-Frias

Received: 24 August 2022 Accepted: 28 October 2022 Published: 10 November 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). climate change [8,17–33]. In recent years, time-series NDVI datasets have been adopted to monitor vegetation dynamics and explore the relationship between NDVI and climate factors in different geographic regions [26,33–45] including both regional [12,46,47] and global scales [30,48–52].

The literature analysis shows that most of these studies were conducted on NDVIs derived from satellite images at coarse spatial resolutions (NOAA/AVHRR, SPOT/VGT and MODIS) [9,10,12,31,35,38,41,43,49–56], which are inappropriate for resolving local spatial variations. Satellite data at high temporal resolutions are informative, but the coarse spatial resolution can suppress important changes at a very local scale. Moreover, MODIS and SPOT/VGT data only cover a limited time period (2000–2018) and may not be able to fully capture potential climate change impacts. On the contrary, the spatial resolution of the LANDSAT sensors is significantly finer and can cover a much longer time period (1984–2018), which facilitates detection of vegetation response to climate changes at a local scale [19,27,56–59]. Most of previous studies widely used LANDSAT time series, which were focused on change detection and monitoring of vegetation [48,59–64]. However, use of the LANDSAT time series to research on the relationships between vegetation and climate factors is limited [65,66].

Analyses of previous studies demonstrated that there was a similar performance of MODIS and LANDSAT data [59,64,67,68]. Therefore, we can use the low spatial resolution MODIS data to study relationships between NDVI and climate factors [69].

Although the individual LANDSAT sensors have changed through time, the spectral characteristics from LANDSAT 4–8 are reasonably comparable and support the generation of dense time-series spectral information [27,70]. However, LANDSAT satellite data received from different sensors need to be pre-processed before drawing final conclusions about vegetation dynamics [71,72].

To obtain more accurate results, usually LANDSAT and MODIS data are pre-processed using a number of methods: cloud masking, atmospheric correction, topographic correction, and bidirectional reflectance distribution function (BRDF) correction [61,66,73,74]. BRDF correction with atmospheric correction is important for creating long-term time series of satellite data and allowing comparison between measurements of NDVI from different sensors and times [75–78], including both LANDSAT TM/ETM/OLI [59,73,74] and MODIS [79,80].

Satellite surface reflectance (SR) values are adjusted to a nadir view and local solar observation geometry to provide nadir BRDF-adjusted SR data [81]. The BRDF correction method is used to correct differences in the field of view angles among satellites and the data received from different times of the same sensor, as the satellite orbit changes over time, and it can have an impact on NDVI values [82,83]. In addition to the BRDF correction method, atmospheric and topographic corrections are also important. Atmospheric elements such as water vapor, total ozone column, and aerosol optical depth affect the accuracy of satellite-based NDVI data [84]. The differences in the NDVI values occur depending on the atmospheric correction method [85]. Topographic correction of satellite images over mountainous areas is very important [86], especially when the data are to be used for monitoring of surface vegetation [87,88]. To our knowledge, only a few studies have reported the use of the BRDF, atmospheric, and topographic-corrected NDVI data with high spatial resolution in studying the impact of climate change on vegetation cover.

Many previous studies have reported strong correlations between NDVI and main climatic factors of precipitation and temperature [89–93]. Furthermore, the relationships between climatic factors and NDVI/vegetation are different in various geographical regions and types of land cover [41,88,94]. However, as a general pattern, precipitation is the climatic parameter less correlated with the NDVI. On the contrary, the most correlated climatic parameter is temperature [95].

In recent years, several studies have verified that the response of vegetation/NDVI to climatic factors feature obvious time-lag effects [22,37,46,96–103], which indicates that vegetation growth may be primarily affected by past climate conditions. Furthermore,

time-lag duration varied among climatic zones and land-cover types, generally the lag time of vegetation in arid areas is longer than that in humid areas [25,46]. The same type of vegetation has different time-lag effects by different climatic factors, and different vegetation types respond differently to the same climatic factor [46,96]. In the scientific literature, most previous studies on time-lag responses were based on monthly or mean growing season NDVI data [6,104,105], which is not conducive to determining the lag. Therefore, in order to understand the dynamic of mountain ecosystems and their relationships with climatic factors, it is preferable to use 10-day time-lag data [106]. The information of the time-lag effects of climate change on NDVI is necessary to discover the mechanisms underlying climate vegetation relationships. Furthermore, it is necessary to take the time lag into consideration in grassland management strategies [107].

Over the last decades, a number of studies have been implemented on climate change in Armenia and the Caucasus. Most of these researches concerned the spatiotemporal trends of climate factors [21,108–110]. Other studies investigated the impact of climate change on the ecosystems of Armenia [111–113] and the Caucasus [58]. The previous study on the territory of Armenia concerned variations of NDVI and climatic factors, their relationships, and time-lag effects using SPOT/VGT data with the limited time series of 1998–2013 and a low spatial resolution. However, the time-lag effects are still poorly understood due to the focus on simultaneous climate conditions for the mountain ecosystem of Armenia. The studies of relationships between climatic factors and NDVI data derived from satellite images with high spatial resolution and with BRDF, atmospheric, topographic corrections in the local level in mountain regions is limited [66,88]. In order to understand the complex impact of climatic factors on surface vegetation dynamics, it is necessary to perform the study on a local scale.

Our study focuses on the grassland ecosystems, which is one of the most sensitive land cover types in mountain regions. Research on grassland carbon stocks in arid and semi-arid regions has attracted a great deal of attention in recent years [114,115]. Grasslands are one of the most prevalent and widespread land cover vegetation types, covering more than a quarter of the global land area [116]. In mountainous regions, rural natural grassland ecosystems are highly sensitive to natural changes, and for that reason it is essential to monitor their dynamics in the context of climate change [16,117,118]. Remote sensing technologies are an effective tool for monitoring grassland ecosystems from local to global scales [117,119]. Grassland ecosystem dynamics reflect the global and regional scale of natural processes and local-scale anthropogenic changes [120]. However, two grassland areas with minimal anthropogenic impact were selected [121] in order to obtain the most realistic results for the relationships between climatic factors and natural vegetation changes.

The goal of this research was to study the time-lag effects of the vegetation responses to climate variables in the mountain grassland ecosystems during 1984–2018 using MODISand LANDSAT-derived NDVI data with BRDF, with topographic and atmospheric corrections applied, and temperature and precipitation data from meteorological stations and the Google Earth Engine (GEE) cloud platform [122]. GEE is a cloud-based geospatial analysis platform for scientific analysis and visualization of geospatial datasets; it enables processing of satellite imagery to detect changes, which has been widely used in similar studies in recent years [34,69,123].

### 2. Materials and Methods

## 2.1. Study Area

The Sisian study site (1600–2000 m above sea level, 45°59′57.95″ E, 39°31′57.55″ N) and the Meghri study site (-600–1000 m above sea level, 46°16′23.77″ E, 38°54′44.10″ N), two typical arid and semi-arid grasslands on the Syunk administrative region near to meteorological stations, were selected as the study areas (Figure 1). Sisian and Meghri study sites are covered areas of around 200 ha, dominated by steppe grass and 400 ha, dominated by Mediterranean xerophytic grassland [124], respectively. The Syunik administrative region covers an area of some 4506 km<sup>2</sup> in the southeast of Armenia and is characterized

by specific natural and economic conditions. This region has a dry climate with an average annual temperature of 13.8 °C. The spatial distribution of annual precipitation is quite irregular, and it may be hypothesized that such irregular distribution may have a role in reducing correlation with NDVI. The growing season period lasts from April to October [125].



Figure 1. Study area.

2.2. Data Sources and Processing

# 2.2.1. Data Acquisition

In this paper, satellite and climatic data were used. Both datasets cover the period 1984–2018, whose length is defined by several factors. First, statistical analysis of trends in this context requires investigations over three decades at least [47]; second, satellite images with sufficiently high resolution must be available; and finally, discovery of climatic trends needs long time spans. It was considered that climate warming generally intensified after the 1980–1990 decade both globally [126] and nationally [108–110].

## 2.2.2. Remote Sensing Data

The satellite data LANDSAT MSS/TM/ETM+/OLI SR Tier 1 and MODIS Nadir BRDF-Adjusted Reflectance, MODIS SR were accessed and processed for the intended 35 years through the Google Earth Engine (GEE) platform [122] using a JavaScript application programming interface (API). The MCD43A4 V6 Nadir Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Function Adjusted Reflectance (NBAR) product (MODIS/006/MCD43A4) provides reflectance data at 500 m spatial resolution. Datasets are produced daily based on a 16-day retrieval period from both the Terra and Aqua spacecraft, choosing the best representative pixel from the 16-day period [122,127]. The MOD09A1 V6.1 (MODIS/061/MOD09A1) product provides an estimate of the surface spectral reflectance of Terra MODIS at 500m resolution and is corrected for atmospheric conditions. In order to cover the 1984–2018 period, four collections of LAND-SAT imagery were used: LANDSAT 5 MSS SRTier 1 (LANDSAT/LM05/C01/T1\_SR), for 1989, LANDSAT 5 TM SR Tier 1 (LANDSAT/LT05/C01/T1\_SR), for the period 1984–2011, LANDSAT 7 ETM + SR Tier 1 (LANDSAT/LE07/C01/T1\_SR), for 1999–2018, and LANDSAT 8 OLI/TIRS (LANDSAT/LC08/C01/T1\_SR), for 2013–2018 and MODIS for 2000–2018.

All these satellite data were downloaded for the vegetative period (from April to October) of each year. For the target period of 35 years, in the Sisian and Meghri study cases a total of 1035 and 663 satellite images were used, respectively.

# 2.2.3. NDVI Data Computation

The NDVI (1) values based on the red and near infrared bands were obtained from the MODIS and LANDSAT sensors for the two study areas corresponding to the vegetation period over 35 years (1984–2018) using a JavaScript API in GEE.

$$NDVI = \frac{(NIR - RED)}{(NIR + RED)}$$
(1)

Subsequently, 10-day (MODIS) and monthly (LANDSAT) NDVI images were derived by calculating the median NDVI value of all available scenes for the indicated time-period of each year. Median NDVI is less affected by outlier values [60]. Median seasons NDVI images from 1984 to 2018 were computed by averaging the monthly NDVI images of each year [16]. Then mean NDVI values from all grassland pixels within each study site were extracted for further analysis. During the analyses we considered an important fact, that LANDSAT OLI data are reported to have some differences when compared with previous LANDSAT sensors [48,128,129]. On average, the OLI TOA reflectance is greater than the ETM+ TOA reflectance for all bands, with greatest differences in the near-infrared. Therefore, it can also affect NDVI values. However, as shown in previous studies, the difference becomes smaller as NDVI increases [130]. Nevertheless, based on the transformation functions obtained from the previous studies, which were developed using ordinary least squares regression, the values of NDVI of LANDSAT 5/7 and 8 were normalized [129,131].

# 2.2.4. Climate Data

Daily meteorological data have been obtained from Armenia State Hydromet Service for two weather stations in study areas (Figure 1). In order to study the relationship between the NDVI and climatic factors 10-day, monthly, seasonal (spring or April–May, summer or June–August and autumn or September–October)), humid period (April–June), dry period (July–August) sum precipitation and average temperature were calculated for the period from 1984 to 2018.

## 2.3. Method

#### 2.3.1. Satellite Data Pre-Processing

A series of image pre-processing steps, such as atmospheric, BRDF and topographic corrections, cloud and shadow masking were carried out to study vegetation change analysis using LANDSAT satellite data [48].

## Atmospheric Correction and Cloud Masking

Within the Google Earth Engine environment, all satellite images were corrected to SR using the LEDAPS method for LANDSAT MSS, TM, and ETM+ and the LaSRC method for LANDSAT OLI. For applying the cloud, shadow, water, and snow masking to the LANDSAT MSS/TM–ETM/OLI image collection, the necessary CFMASK algorithm, as well as a per-pixel saturation mask [132], were created by adapting the template provided by the GEE platform.

#### Topographic Correction

Topographic correction of remote sensing data is required for mountainous terrain, because it accounts for variations in reflectance due to slope, aspect, and elevation [133–135]. The code for topographic correction in GEE was developed by [136] based on the modified

sun-canopy-sensor (SCS + C) topographic correction method (2) [137]. These methods were applied for all LANDSAT images in the GEE environment.

$$L_n = L \frac{\cos \alpha \cos \theta}{\cos i} \tag{2}$$

where  $L_n$  is the normalized reflectance, L is the uncorrected reflectance,  $\alpha$  is the terrain slope,  $\theta$  is the solar zenith angle and  $\ell$  is the angle of incident illumination.

# **BRDF Effects Correction**

The Bidirectional Reflectance Distribution Functions (BRDF) model is applied to reduce the directional effects due to the differences in solar and view angles between LANDSAT sensors [72,77,83,131,133].

As shown in some studies, LANDSAT 5 data may result in significant reflectance and NDVI differences due only to LANDSAT 5 orbit changes [138]. Moreover, Gao [139] and Roy [82] concluded that due to BRDF effects across the LANDSAT swath the red and NIR reflectance can vary by up to 0.02 and 0.06. Such angular effects can be corrected using a BRDF model. For the correction of BRDF effects from LANDSAT data, we used a semi-physical approach BRDF normalization proposed by Roy [82]. Regarding the MODIS NBAR (Nadir BRDF Adjusted Reflectance) imagery, they are provided to GEE [140]. The implementation of BRDF correction of LANDSAT images in GEE was developed by [136] based on Roy's [82] algorithms.

#### 2.3.2. Statistical Analysis

Numerous methods have been adopted to estimate spatiotemporal vegetation changes and relationships between climatic factors, such as correlation and regression analysis, Sen, and Mann–Kendall models [82,141,142]. These methods have been validated for vegetation variation [82,101,143]. A Mann–Kendall test (3) and Sen's slope (called Sen's slope because of set of pairs (i,  $x_i$ )) method (4) were used to estimate NDVI trends, and the Pearson correlation method (5) between NDVI and temperature and precipitation were computed to identify the role of climate factors in vegetation changes.

$$S = \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \sum_{j=k+1} sign(x_j - x_k)$$
  

$$sign(x_j - x_k) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } x_j - x_k > 0 \\ 0 \text{ if } x_j - x_k = 0 \\ -1 \text{ if } x_j - x_k < 0 \end{cases}$$
(3)

where:  $x_{1,}x_{2}, x_{3} \dots x_{n}$  represent *n* data points,  $x_{j}$  represents the data point at time *j* and S is the Mann–Kendall statistic.

Sen's slope = Median 
$$\left\{ \frac{x_j - x_i}{j - i} \right\}$$
 :  $i < j$  (4)

where  $x_i$  is a time series.

$$\mathbf{r} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})(y_i - \overline{y})}{\sqrt{\sum (x_i - \overline{x})^2 \sum (y_i - \overline{y})^2}}$$
(5)

$$r = correliation \ coefficient$$
$$x_i = values \ of \ the \ x - variable \ in \ a \ sample$$
$$\overline{x} = mean \ of \ the \ values \ of \ the \ x - variable$$
$$y_i = values \ of \ the \ y - variable \ in \ a \ sample$$
$$\overline{y} = mean \ of \ the \ values \ of \ the \ y - variable$$

To estimate the directional changes in the NDVI time series, trend analyses were performed using data from different sensors [16,42,141]. Trend analysis was derived using the nonparametric original Mann–Kendall [142,143] and modified Mann–Kendall trend tests [144], and Sen's slope estimator [145,146], all implemented based on the python package [147]. The advantage of Mann–Kendall is its suitability for small sample sizes and robustness against the effects of outliers [148]. However, the Mann–Kendall trend test is based on uncorrelated data, and test results tend to be affected by the persistence of the time series [149,150]. In order to reduce the impact of serial correlation on the Mann–Kendall test, modified Mann–Kendall methods such as pre-whitening; free pre-whitening; Hamed and Rao; and Yue and Wang approaches [144,148–151] were used. Modified Mann–Kendall methods were applied by many studies for NDVI long-time series trend analysis [42,60].

The Kendall tau ( $\tau$ ) obtained from Mann–Kendell statistics was also used to measure the strength of the relationships. The Mann–Kendall tau coefficient is ranged from -1 to 1,  $\tau = 1$  means a consistently increasing trend, while  $\tau = -1$  means a consistently decreasing trend, if  $\tau = 0$  means no trend exists. Significance of trend can be evaluated by using standardized z-score or *p*-value. A z-value  $\geq 1.96$  represents a statistically significant increase, while a z-value  $\leq -1.96$  indicates a significant decrease at the 95% ( $\alpha = 0.5$ ) significance level, if z-value is above or below 2.57 and -2,57, respectively, the trend is significant at the 99% level [152,153]. In this study, to test the significance of trends, as well as significance test was applied: strong significant (p < 0.01); significant (0.01 );lower significant (<math>0.05 ); insignificant level (<math>p greater than 0.1) according to the F-test [47,154].

In addition to the Mann–Kendall method, Sen's slope was also used to estimate the slope of NDVI. The Sen's slope estimation model [145] is suitable for the qualitative description of time series trends. Sen's slope is better for identifying the magnitude of the trend. If the Sen's slope result is positive, the time series has an increasing trend; when the result is negative, the time series has a decreasing trend. In the last decades, it was widely applied in the studies of vegetation dynamics [12,153].

## Correlation and Time-Lag Effect Analysis

Pearson linear correlation coefficients (r) [30] were calculated to investigate temporal relationships between NDVI and precipitation and temperature across different time bases: spring, summer, autumn, dry period, humid period, months, and 10-day periods, respectively. The statistical significance of correlations was evaluated based on the t-test (at a significance level of 0.05 and 0.01). Moreover, the Pearson correlation was applied to analyze the time-lag effects between NDVI and climatic factors [23,40,46,101,104]. Considering that the influence of climate change on vegetation dynamics is a cumulative process [155], the response of vegetation growth to regular climatic factors (precipitation/temperature) in mountain ecosystems may have a time lag of 10-day, months or seasons (2–3 months) [13,19,22,40,46,113,156].

Thus, Pearson correlation analysis between 10-day, monthly, and seasonal NDVI and average 10-day, monthly, and seasonal temperature/precipitation during 1984–2018 growing seasons for two study areas were performed. All statistical correlation analysis was done using the R programming language.

## 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Relationships between NDVI and Climate Variables

3.1.1. Correlation and Time-Lag Effects between MODIS NDVI Data Series and Climatic Factors

The correlations between the 10-day-averaged climate data and NDVI values were assessed using MODIS data with BRDF and topographic, atmospheric corrections as well as MODIS SR data with only atmospheric correction. The latter will give an opportunity to understand the usefulness of applied preprocessing methods for the study of relationships. As can be seen from the tables of the two study areas (Sisian, Meghri) provided in the Supplementary Materials, a clear difference emerges between the correlation matrices with and without corrections. The correlation of climate data with the MODIS BRDF NDVI follows a regular pattern, in contrast to the MODIS SR NDVI, where the correlations seem to behave more randomly (Figure 2; Tables S1 and S2).



**Figure 2.** Correlation between 10-day values of temperature, precipitation and BRDF NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of significance: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01.

Considering the above-mentioned factors, we decided to opt for corrected rather than uncorrected data. Thus, in case of a large number of correlations, we discuss only those correlations between MODIS BRDF NDVI and climatic factors, which are statistically significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 level.

In the case of the Meghri arid study area, the correlation between NDVI and temperature/precipitation for the same 10 days is weak (Table S1) Instead, high correlations were found between NDVIs and previous 10-day temperatures/precipitation. For instance, Table S2 shows that NDVIs in the 4th-6th and 13th-14th 10 days feature a significant negative correlation with temperature values in 3rd and 12th 10-day periods, respectively. In the case of precipitation, there is a significant time-lag effect of 2nd, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 15th, and 17th 10-day precipitation on NDVI values in 3rd–6th, 6th–8th, 7th–8th, 8th–16th, 7th–19th, 15th–19th, 19th–21st 10 days, respectively.

For the Sisian semi-arid area, an obvious time-lag effect of climatic factors on vegetation was observed, too (Figure 3, Tables S3 and S4). However, in contrast to Meghri, significant correlation was also observed for the same 10 days. For instance, NDVIs in the 4th, 5th, 7th, 12th, and 17th 10 days feature a significant positive correlation with precipitation in the same 10 days whereas NDVIs in the 12th, 13th, 16th, and 18th 10 days feature a significant negative correlation with temperature in the same 10-day periods. Precipitation in the 6th–8th, 10th, 12th–13th, and 17th 10 days have a significant time-lag effect on the 8th–11th, 8th–11th, 9th, 12th–13th, 13th–19th, 15th–19th, and 18th–21st 10-day NDVIs, respectively. Temperature also had a significant negative time-lag effect on NDVI, particularly in the case of the 2nd, 7th, 12th–13th, and 16th 10 days.

In summary, we may conclude that, in general, precipitation for the Meghri arid area had a time-lag effect, which started from the first 10 days, in contrast to the Sisian semi-arid area, where the time-lag effect was observed only from the sixth 10-days. The correlation between NDVI and temperature for the Meghri case is weak. However, the 6th, 7th, 12th, and 17th 10 days have a significant time-lag effect on vegetation for both areas.

3.1.2. Correlation and Time-Lag Effects between LANDSAT NDVI Data Series and Climatic Factors

To study relationships between climate factors and NDVI values, long time series (1984–2018) of LANDSAT data were considered. As the frequency of data acquisition for the LANDSAT sensor is lower (2–8 images per month), in this case the average monthly NDVI values were calculated. The response of NDVI to climate factors may differ according to vegetation type and growth phase [157] with obvious time-lag effects [13,22,104]. In some regions, NDVI variation is due to variation in precipitation [25,66,102,158–160]; in other regions, temperature is a major influencing factor in the variation of NDVI [34,46,92,93]. However, for the main cases of NDVI changes are dependent on both temperature and precipitation climatic factors [30,93,161,162]. Therefore, to recognize seasonal differences and lag effects of climatic factors on NDVI within the growing season, we performed correlation analyses between seasonal mean NDVI and precipitation, temperature. The correlations were assessed using LANDSAT data with BRDF and topographic, atmospheric corrections as well as LANDSAT SR data with only atmospheric correction. As a result, for the two study areas (Sisian, Meghri), there is a clear similarity between those two correlation matrices. Only a slight difference between the Meghri area NDVI and temperature correlation matrices was observed. Therefore, it seems that both the LANDSAT BRDF-Adjusted Reflectance and LANDSAT SR data can be used to study the regularities of time-lag effect of monthly and seasonal precipitation/temperature on NDVI.



**Figure 3.** Correlation between 10-day values of temperature, precipitation and BRDF NDVI for Sisian, with the levels of significance: \*-p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01.

Figure 4, Tables S5 and S6 show that for the Meghri study area, summer and autumn NDVI feature significant positive correlation with spring and summer precipitation, respectively. Dry period NDVI significantly correlates with humid period precipitation and dry period temperature. For the Meghri arid area, a strong time-lag effect of climatic factors on vegetation was observed. In particular, the NDVIs of June-September, September, and October significantly positively correlate with precipitation in May-June, August, and September. For the Sisian semi-arid study area (Figure 5, Tables S7 and S8), summer and autumn NDVIs correlated positively with summer precipitation and negatively with summer temperature. Dry period NDVI significantly correlated with both humid and dry period precipitation and temperature. As in the case of Meghri, in the Sisian study the NDVI of June-September significantly correlated with precipitation in May-June. However, correlated with precipitation in May-June.

tion between NDVI and temperature is higher than in Meghri. There exists a significant time-lag effect of April-August temperature on June, July, August, and September NDVIs. The impact of the current month temperature on the NDVI was obvious in the case of April, August, and September. In general, for arid and semi-arid study areas, NDVI correlated positively with precipitation and negatively with temperature. Meanwhile, the correlation between NDVI and precipitation was significant and showed strong lag effects.

|                |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |       |        |        |        |         |  | 1.0 |
|----------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--|-----|
| April P        | 0.02   | 0.4*   | 0.33   | 0.28   | 0.19   | 0.07   | 0.02   | 0.33  | 0.31   | 0.03   | 0.35*  | 0.26    |  |     |
| May P          | -0.02  | 0.34   | 0.69** | 0.7**  | 0.55** | 0.54** | -0.0   | 0.16  | 0.63** | 0.31   | 0.33   | 0.66**  |  |     |
| Jun P          | -0.14  | 0.02   | 0.43*  | 0.47** | 0.48** | 0.37*  | 0.34   | -0.05 | 0.39*  | 0.37*  | 0.13   | 0.43*   |  | 0.8 |
| July P         | 0.09   | -0.14  | -0.19  | -0.07  | 0.04   | 0.01   | 0.02   | 0.0   | -0.12  | 0.01   | -0.06  | -0.08   |  |     |
| August P       | 0.2    | 0.11   | 0.02   | 0.1    | 0.2    | 0.53** | 0.26   | 0.02  | -0.01  | 0.47** | -0.01  | 0.1     |  |     |
| September P    | 0.1    | -0.21  | -0.29  |        |        | -0.07  | 0.55** | 0.0   |        | 0.28   | -0.13  | -0.24   |  | 0.6 |
| October P      |        | -0.17  | -0.18  | -0.19  | -0.27  | -0.18  | -0.07  | -0.18 | -0.19  | -0.14  | -0.21  | -0.21   |  | 0.0 |
| Spring P       | -0.01  | 0.47** | 0.67** | 0.66** | 0.53** | 0.44*  | 0.01   | 0.29  | 0.62** | 0.24   | 0.44** | 0.62**  |  |     |
| Summer P       | 0.06   | -0.01  | 0.24   | 0.35   | 0.44*  | 0.52** | 0.37   | -0.02 | 0.22   | 0.49** | 0.05   | 0.31    |  | 0.7 |
| Autumn P       | -0.05  | -0.31  | -0.39* | -0.32  | -0.34  | -0.2   | 0.39*  | -0.15 | -0.32  | 0.11   | -0.28  | -0.36*  |  | 0.4 |
| Humid period P | -0.06  | 0.4*   | 0.77** | 0.74** | 0.65** | 0.55** | 0.18   | 0.22  | 0.69** | 0.37*  | 0.41*  | 0.7**   |  |     |
| Dry period P   | 0.25   | -0.05  |        | 0.0    | 0.18   | 0.45*  | 0.21   | 0.02  |        | 0.4*   | -0.06  | -0.0    |  | 0.2 |
| April T        | 0.02   | -0.28  | -0.28  | -0.32  | -0.37* | -0.31  | -0.33  | -0.1  | -0.32  | -0.34* | -0.15  | -0.29   |  | 0.2 |
| Мау Т          | 0.33   | -0.0   |        |        |        | -0.27  | 0.17   | 0.31  |        | -0.06  | 0.14   | -0.15   |  |     |
| Jun T          | 0.57** | 0.07   | -0.24  | -0.37* | -0.31  | -0.12  | -0.06  | 0.4*  | -0.31  |        | 0.19   | -0.4*   |  |     |
| July T         | 0.33   | 0.08   | -0.11  | -0.39* | -0.42* |        | -0.04  | 0.12  | -0.29  | -0.11  | 0.01   | -0.45** |  | 0.0 |
| August T       | 0.11   | 0.21   | 0.03   | -0.1   | -0.11  | -0.27  | -0.06  | 0.26  | -0.02  |        | 0.12   | -0.11   |  |     |
| September T    | 0.45*  | 0.41*  | 0.13   | -0.03  | 0.01   |        | 0.08   | 0.38* | 0.08   | -0.0   | 0.3    | -0.05   |  |     |
| October T      | -0.09  | 0.24   | 0.09   | 0.19   | 0.17   | 0.25   | 0.28   | 0.09  | 0.11   | 0.28   | 0.06   | 0.16    |  | -0  |
| Spring T       | 0.2    | -0.22  | -0.33  | -0.33  | -0.35* | -0.38* | -0.13  | 0.09  | -0.32  | -0.28  | -0.03  | -0.29   |  |     |
| Summer T       | 0.46*  | 0.17   | -0.14  | -0.38* | -0.36* | -0.25  | -0.07  | 0.36* | -0.27  |        | 0.15   | -0.42*  |  |     |
| Autumn T       | 0.18   | 0.4*   | 0.14   | 0.12   | 0.13   | 0.11   | 0.26   | 0.27  | 0.13   | 0.21   | 0.21   | 0.1     |  | -0  |
| Humid period T | 0.38   | -0.14  | -0.35  | -0.4*  | -0.39* | -0.34  | -0.12  | 0.24  | -0.36* | -0.26  | 0.06   | -0.39*  |  |     |
| Dry period T   | 0.29   | 0.2    | -0.05  | -0.31  | -0.33  | -0.29  | -0.07  | 0.26  | -0.19  |        | 0.09   | -0.36*  |  |     |
|                | DVI    | DVI   | DVI    | DVI    | DVI    | DVI     |  | 0   |
|                | ril N  | ay N   | N ur   | ily N  | st N   | er N   | er N   | N gr  | er N   | N U    | N pc   | N po    |  |     |
|                | Ap     | R      | ſ      | ٦ſ     | nôn    | gma    | ctob   | Sprir | шШ     | utun   | peric  | oeric   |  |     |
|                |        |        |        |        | A      | epte   | ŏ      | 01    | Su     | ٩٢     | id p   | Jry I   |  |     |
|                |        |        |        |        |        | S      |        |       |        |        | m      |         |  |     |

**Figure 4.** Correlation between averaged monthly and periodic values of temperature, precipitation, and BRDF NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of significance: \*-p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01.

| April P        | -0.23  | -0.1  | 0.21   | 0.18    | 0.19    | 0.18    | -0.27  | 0.04   | 0.23    | -0.0    | 0.09   | 0.17   |
|----------------|--------|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| May P          | 0.06   | 0.31  | 0.61** | 0.43*   | 0.28    | 0.24    | 0.09   | -0.02  | 0.49**  | 0.18    | 0.04   | 0.41*  |
| Jun P          | -0.47* | 0.17  | 0.52** | 0.67**  | 0.55**  | 0.44*   | 0.14   | -0.26  | 0.66**  | 0.27    | -0.12  | 0.65** |
| July P         | 0.21   | 0.12  | 0.18   | 0.27    | 0.35*   | 0.31    | 0.04   | 0.19   | 0.31    | 0.17    | 0.21   | 0.34*  |
| August P       | -0.42* |       | 0.03   | 0.07    | 0.33    | 0.42*   | 0.33   | -0.42* | 0.11    | 0.39*   | -0.39* | 0.19   |
| September P    | 0.01   | 0.07  | -0.02  | -0.04   | -0.01   | 0.25    | 0.83** | -0.1   | -0.01   | 0.62**  | -0.08  | 0.0    |
| October P      | -0.11  | 0.1   | -0.09  | -0.15   | -0.08   | -0.01   | 0.06   | -0.21  | -0.13   | 0.05    | -0.23  | -0.11  |
| SpringP        | -0.07  | 0.2   | 0.64** | 0.47**  | 0.35*   | 0.31    | -0.05  | 0.01   | 0.55**  | 0.15    | 0.09   | 0.45** |
| Summer P       | -0.38  | 0.13  | 0.48** | 0.66**  | 0.7**   | 0.62**  | 0.22   | -0.21  | 0.68**  | 0.42*   | -0.09  | 0.72** |
| Autumn P       | -0.08  | 0.13  | -0.08  | -0.14   | -0.06   | 0.21    | 0.76** |        | -0.1    | 0.58**  | -0.23  | -0.07  |
| Humid period P | -0.33  | 0.24  | 0.74** | 0.7**   | 0.55**  | 0.46**  | 0.05   | -0.14  | 0.75**  | 0.26    | -0.01  | 0.67** |
| Dry period P   | -0.04  | 0.01  | 0.18   | 0.3     | 0.51**  | 0.51**  | 0.2    | -0.05  | 0.35*   | 0.36*   | -0.01  | 0.42*  |
| April T        | 0.46*  | 0.09  | -0.21  |         | -0.44** | -0.49** |        | 0.35*  | -0.32   | -0.36*  | 0.27   | -0.34* |
| May T          | 0.39   | 0.1   | 0.03   | -0.37*  |         |         | -0.07  | 0.3    | -0.19   | -0.17   | 0.25   | -0.28  |
| Jun T          | 0.46*  | 0.12  | -0.31  | -0.48** | -0.38*  | -0.37*  | -0.33  | 0.44** | -0.42*  | -0.39*  | 0.34*  | -0.48* |
| July T         | 0.45*  | 0.19  | -0.12  |         | -0.42*  | -0.35*  | -0.13  | 0.27   |         | -0.24   | 0.24   | -0.36* |
| August T       | 0.43*  | 0.23  | -0.32  | -0.62** | -0.64** | -0.51** | -0.07  | 0.34*  | -0.54** |         | 0.21   | -0.61* |
| September T    | 0.41*  | -0.09 | -0.14  | -0.41*  | -0.45** | -0.55** | -0.27  | 0.26   | -0.33   | -0.38*  | 0.23   | -0.46* |
| October T      | -0.18  | -0.04 | 0.04   | -0.0    | 0.01    | 0.13    | 0.06   | -0.15  | -0.01   | 0.14    | -0.2   | -0.0   |
| Spring T       | 0.57** | 0.12  | -0.16  | -0.44*  | -0.44** | -0.51** | -0.2   | 0.42*  | -0.35*  | -0.37*  | 0.34*  | -0.4*  |
| Summer T       | 0.57** | 0.25  | -0.35* | -0.64** | -0.66** | -0.56** |        | 0.48** | -0.55** | -0.42*  | 0.37*  | -0.67* |
| Autumn T       | 0.08   | -0.07 | -0.04  | -0.21   | -0.22   | -0.19   | -0.1   | 0.02   | -0.18   | -0.09   | -0.03  | -0.24  |
| Humid period T | 0.61** | 0.14  | -0.25  | -0.52** | -0.48** | -0.54** | -0.29  | 0.49** | -0.43** | -0.44** | 0.39*  | -0.5** |
| Dry period T   | 0.53** | 0.27  | -0.3   | -0.6**  | -0.7**  | -0.57** | -0.13  | 0.39*  | -0.5**  | -0.34*  | 0.3    | -0.64* |
|                | DVI    | DVI   | DVI    | DVI     | DVI     | DVI     | DVI    | DVI    | DVI     | DVI     | DVI    | DVI    |
|                | ril N  | N V   | N ur   | ly N    | st N    | er N    | er N   | N br   | er N    | N U     | N po   | N po   |
|                | Ap     | M     | ٦٢     | η       | nôn     | smbe    | tob    | Sprin  | mm      | ltum    | perio  | peric  |
|                |        |       |        |         | A       | epte    | ŏ      | 0)     | Su      | ٩٢      | id p   | Jry F  |
|                |        |       |        |         |         | S       |        |        |         |         | Hum    |        |

**Figure 5.** Correlation between averaged monthly and periodic values of temperature, precipitation, and BRDF NDVI for Sisian, with the levels of significance: \*-p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01.

3.1.3. Comparison of LANDSAT- and MODIS-Based Products

LANDSAT and MODIS satellite images are used in various studies to analyze the relationship between vegetation of grasslands and climatic factors, as well as the time-lag effect. Therefore, it is necessary to compare the results obtained through those two sensors. For this purpose, the average monthly and seasonal LANDSAT/MODIS NDVI data (with

| April P        | 0.01  | 0.57*  | 0.23   | -0.0   | 0.04  | 0.05   | -0.01  | 0.39   | 0.13   | 0.02  | 0.36   | 0.02   |  |
|----------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--|
| May P          | -0.16 | 0.23   | 0.76** | 0.68** | 0.55* | 0.4    | 0.09   | 0.04   | 0.72** | 0.25  | 0.34   | 0.63** |  |
| Jun P          |       | -0.14  | 0.34   | 0.5*   | 0.39  | 0.37   | 0.44   | -0.23  | 0.41   | 0.44  | -0.03  | 0.46*  |  |
| July P         | -0.17 | -0.53* | -0.51* | -0.32  | -0.23 | -0.29  | -0.16  | -0.43  | -0.41  | -0.24 | -0.53* | -0.29  |  |
| August P       | 0.6** | 0.66** | 0.36   | 0.39   | 0.49* | 0.75** | 0.4    | 0.69** | 0.41   | 0.6** | 0.72** | 0.44   |  |
| September P    | -0.26 | -0.38  | -0.29  | -0.25  | -0.19 | 0.02   | 0.51*  | -0.39  | -0.27  | 0.32  | -0.41  | -0.23  |  |
| October P      | 0.06  | 0.29   | 0.14   | 0.2    | 0.19  | 0.16   | 0.28   | 0.2    | 0.17   | 0.25  | 0.21   | 0.2    |  |
| Spring P       | -0.13 | 0.52*  | 0.75** | 0.54*  | 0.47* | 0.36   | 0.07   | 0.27   | 0.65** | 0.21  | 0.49*  | 0.52*  |  |
| Summer P       | 0.03  | -0.06  | 0.17   | 0.4    | 0.42  | 0.49*  | 0.44   | -0.06  | 0.3    | 0.5*  | 0.05   | 0.41   |  |
| Autumn P       | -0.18 | -0.08  | -0.14  | -0.05  | -0.01 | 0.16   | 0.69** | -0.17  | -0.09  | 0.49* | -0.18  | -0.03  |  |
| Humid period P | -0.21 | 0.39   | 0.82** | 0.71** | 0.6** | 0.49*  | 0.27   | 0.12   | 0.77** | 0.4   | 0.42   | 0.67** |  |
| Dry period P   | 0.36  | 0.08   | -0.14  | 0.04   | 0.2   | 0.36   | 0.19   | 0.19   | -0.01  | 0.29  | 0.13   | 0.11   |  |
| April T        |       | -0.6** | -0.45  |        | -0.38 | -0.41  |        | -0.48* | -0.42  | -0.41 | -0.55* | -0.36  |  |
| Мау Т          | 0.31  | -0.16  | -0.21  | 0.09   | 0.09  | 0.08   | 0.19   | -0.02  | -0.06  | 0.15  | -0.05  | 0.09   |  |
| Jun T          | 0.4   | 0.36   | -0.21  | -0.11  | -0.01 | 0.12   | 0.07   | 0.41   | -0.14  | 0.1   | 0.26   | -0.07  |  |
| July T         | 0.21  | 0.18   | 0.08   | -0.11  | -0.21 | -0.05  | -0.03  | 0.24   | -0.04  | -0.04 | 0.21   | -0.16  |  |
| August T       | 0.02  | 0.05   | -0.08  | -0.04  | -0.01 | -0.07  | -0.03  | 0.01   | -0.06  | -0.05 | -0.01  | -0.03  |  |
| September T    | 0.49* | 0.31   | -0.1   | -0.09  | -0.08 | -0.17  | -0.33  | 0.48*  | -0.1   |       | 0.32   | -0.09  |  |
| October T      | -0.0  | 0.22   | 0.23   | 0.19   | 0.21  | 0.31   | 0.25   | 0.16   | 0.22   | 0.3   | 0.2    | 0.2    |  |
| Spring T       | -0.04 | -0.54* | -0.45  | -0.23  | -0.26 | -0.28  | -0.19  | -0.39  | -0.36  | -0.25 | -0.45  | -0.24  |  |
| Summer T       | 0.33  | 0.31   | -0.11  | -0.14  | -0.12 | 0.0    | 0.01   | 0.35   | -0.13  | 0.0   | 0.25   | -0.13  |  |
| Autumn T       | 0.28  | 0.35   | 0.13   | 0.1    | 0.13  | 0.16   | 0.02   | 0.4    | 0.12   | 0.09  | 0.34   | 0.11   |  |
| Humid periodT  | 0.14  | -0.3   | -0.46  | -0.23  | -0.22 | -0.19  | -0.13  | -0.15  | -0.35  | -0.17 | -0.27  | -0.23  |  |
| Dry period T   | 0.19  | 0.19   | 0.0    | -0.13  | -0.18 | -0.09  | -0.04  | 0.21   | -0.08  | -0.07 | 0.17   | -0.15  |  |
|                | N     | N      | N      | IVC    | N     | N      | N      | N      | N      | N     | N      | N      |  |
|                | il NC | y NC   | n NI   | y NI   | it NC | er ND  | er NC  | g NC   | er NC  | n NE  | d NC   | d NE   |  |
|                | Apr   | Ма     | Ъ      | luĺ    | snbr  | mbe    | tobe   | prin   | nme    | tum   | erio   | erio   |  |
|                |       |        |        |        | AI    | epte   | 00     | S      | Sur    | Au    | d p    | ry p   |  |
|                |       |        |        |        |       | Se     |        |        |        |       | nmi    | Δ      |  |

BRDF and topographic, atmospheric correction) were calculated for the 2000–2018 period (Figures 6–9). The period 2000–2018's monthly data were selected to provide comparability of MODIS and LANDSAT data.

**Figure 6.** Correlation between temperature, precipitation, and the average monthly, seasonal MODIS NDVI data (with BRDF and topographic, atmospheric correction) for Meghri for the 2000–2018 period, with the levels of significance: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01.



**Figure 7.** Correlation between temperature, precipitation, and the average monthly, seasonal LANDSAT NDVI data (with BRDF and topographic, atmospheric correction) for Meghri for the 2000–2018 period, with the levels of significance: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01.

|                |       |       |        |         |         |         |        |       |         |        |       |         | _ | 1.0 |
|----------------|-------|-------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------|---------|---|-----|
| April P        | -0.55 | -0.32 | -0.08  | -0.07   | 0.11    | 0.16    | -0.08  | -0.06 | -0.03   | 0.02   | -0.06 | -0.0    |   |     |
| May P          | -0.19 | 0.42  | 0.53*  | 0.42    | 0.16    | 0.16    | 0.25   | 0.15  | 0.43    | 0.22   | 0.37  | 0.32    |   |     |
| Jun P          | 0.12  | 0.3   | 0.58** | 0.65**  | 0.5*    | 0.39    | 0.27   | 0.06  | 0.63**  | 0.34   | 0.37  | 0.6**   |   |     |
| July P         | -0.2  | 0.14  | 0.11   | 0.5*    | 0.61**  | 0.39    | 0.12   | -0.04 | 0.42    | 0.25   | 0.03  | 0.56*   |   | 0.7 |
| August P       | -0.04 | -0.36 | 0.26   | 0.22    | 0.32    | 0.57*   | 0.54*  | -0.15 | 0.28    | 0.59** | 0.09  | 0.26    |   |     |
| September P    | 0.34  | -0.1  | 0.16   | 0.24    | 0.27    | 0.52*   | 0.71** | -0.07 | 0.24    | 0.67** | 0.06  | 0.26    |   |     |
| October P      | 0.46  | 0.01  | -0.0   | -0.22   | -0.34   | -0.14   | 0.22   | 0.07  | -0.18   | 0.08   | 0.07  | -0.27   |   | 0.5 |
| Spring P       | -0.38 | 0.2   | 0.48*  | 0.37    | 0.24    | 0.28    | 0.2    | 0.11  | 0.41    | 0.25   | 0.34  | 0.33    |   |     |
| Summer P       | -0.12 | 0.13  | 0.49*  | 0.77**  | 0.8**   | 0.68**  | 0.42   | -0.05 | 0.73**  | 0.56*  | 0.26  | 0.81**  |   |     |
| Autumn P       | 0.51  | -0.07 | 0.13   | 0.02    | -0.05   | 0.3     | 0.73** | 0.0   | 0.04    | 0.58** | 0.1   | -0.01   |   | 0.2 |
| Humid period P | -0.23 | 0.35  | 0.75** | 0.71**  | 0.51*   | 0.47*   | 0.33   | 0.13  | 0.73**  | 0.41   | 0.5*  | 0.64**  |   |     |
| Dry period P   | -0.24 | -0.05 | 0.25   | 0.62**  | 0.78**  | 0.69**  | 0.41   | -0.12 | 0.57*   | 0.56*  | 0.08  | 0.7**   |   |     |
| April T        | 0.41  | 0.3   | -0.32  | -0.37   | -0.5*   | -0.55*  | -0.22  | 0.2   | -0.42   | -0.38  | -0.08 | -0.44   |   |     |
| Мау Т          | 0.57  | 0.23  | 0.09   | 0.06    | 0.13    | 0.02    | -0.1   | 0.18  | 0.1     | -0.05  | 0.19  | 0.09    |   | 0.0 |
| Jun T          | 0.52  | 0.31  | -0.22  | -0.4    |         |         | -0.39  | 0.43  | -0.34   |        | 0.16  | -0.38   |   |     |
| July T         | 0.58  | 0.54* | 0.24   | -0.12   | -0.26   | -0.25   | -0.21  | 0.6** | -0.02   | -0.24  | 0.52* | -0.18   |   |     |
| August T       | 0.32  | 0.16  | -0.5*  | -0.62** | -0.72** | -0.69** | -0.36  | 0.16  | -0.65** | -0.53* | -0.21 | -0.68** |   | (   |
| September T    | 0.43  | -0.02 | -0.26  | -0.6**  | -0.61** | -0.54*  | -0.37  | 0.06  | -0.51*  | -0.47* | -0.08 | -0.62** |   |     |
| October T      | -0.05 | -0.17 | 0.0    | -0.05   | -0.0    | 0.13    | 0.15   | -0.06 | -0.02   | 0.15   | -0.05 | -0.03   |   |     |
| Spring T       | 0.53  | 0.35  | -0.24  | -0.3    |         | -0.47*  | -0.23  | 0.24  |         |        | 0.01  | -0.34   |   |     |
| Summer T       | 0.68* | 0.48* | -0.23  | -0.54*  | -0.62** | -0.61** | -0.46  | 0.56* | -0.48*  | -0.55* | 0.22  | -0.59** |   | (   |
| Autum T        | 0.2   | -0.15 | -0.13  |         | -0.31   | -0.17   | -0.07  | -0.02 | -0.28   | -0.12  | -0.08 | -0.34   |   |     |
| Humid period T | 0.63* | 0.4   | -0.29  |         | -0.44   | -0.52*  | -0.33  | 0.36  |         | -0.44  | 0.07  | -0.42   |   |     |
| Dry period T   | 0.72* | 0.49* | -0.19  | -0.52*  | -0.69** | -0.67** | -0.4   | 0.53* | -0.48*  | -0.54* | 0.22  | -0.61** |   | 0   |
|                | DVI   | DVI   | DVI    | DVI     | DVI     | DVI     | DVI    | DVI   | DVI     | DVI    | DVI   | DVI     |   |     |
|                | IN    | 2N    | 3N     | 4N      | 5N      | 6N      | JN     | N gr  | er N    | N U    | N pc  | N pc    |   |     |
|                |       |       |        |         |         |         |        | Sprir | mm      | utun   | peric | peric   |   |     |
|                |       |       |        |         |         |         |        |       | Su      | ٩١     | l pic | Dry     |   |     |
|                |       |       |        |         |         |         |        |       |         |        | Hun   |         |   |     |
|                |       |       |        |         |         |         |        |       |         |        |       |         |   |     |

**Figure 8.** Correlation between temperature, precipitation, and the average monthly, seasonal MODIS NDVI data (with BRDF and topographic, atmospheric correction) for Sisian for the 2000–2018 period, with the levels of significance: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01.

| April P          | -0.21  | -0.41 | -0.02  | 0.01   | 0 1 7   | 0 19    | -0.13   | -0.02  | 0.07   | 0.09    | 0.03   | 0.08    |  | 1.00 |
|------------------|--------|-------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|--------|---------|--------|---------|--|------|
| April P<br>May P | 0.08   | 0.38  | 0.55*  | 0.4    | 0.15    | 0.16    | 0.3     | -0.05  | 0.38   | 0.24    | -0.03  | 0.32    |  |      |
| lun P            | -0.27  | 0.26  | 0.48*  | 0.56*  | 0.41    | 0.23    | 0.29    | -0.18  | 0.49*  | 0.27    | -0.11  | 0.53*   |  |      |
| July P           | 0.26   | 0.24  | 0.32   | 0.57*  | 0.51*   | 0.37    | 0.03    | 0.35   | 0.54*  | 0.18    | 0.35   | 0.58**  |  | 0.75 |
| August P         | -0.57* | -0.37 | 0.12   | 0.12   | 0.4     | 0.57*   | 0.76**  | -0.51* | 0.12   | 0.68**  | -0.44  | 0.25    |  |      |
| September P      | -0.01  | -0.01 | 0.09   | 0.16   | 0.14    | 0.47*   | 0.78**  | -0.12  | 0.12   | 0.65**  | -0.1   | 0.17    |  |      |
| October P        | -0.22  | 0.06  | -0.23  | -0.37  | -0.2    | -0.18   | 0.17    | -0.29  | -0.35  | 0.02    |        | -0.32   |  | 0.50 |
| SpringP          | -0.05  | 0.08  | 0.53*  | 0.42   | 0.28    | 0.31    | 0.26    | -0.07  | 0.44   | 0.31    | -0.0   | 0.39    |  |      |
| Summer P         | -0.2   | 0.18  | 0.51*  | 0.74** | 0.71**  | 0.58**  | 0.4     | -0.04  | 0.68** | 0.51*   | 0.02   | 0.77**  |  |      |
| Autumn P         | -0.19  | 0.03  | -0.1   | -0.15  | -0.05   | 0.23    | 0.71**  | -0.32  | -0.17  | 0.52*   | -0.32  | -0.12   |  |      |
| Humid period P   | -0.23  | 0.23  | 0.73** | 0.69** | 0.48*   | 0.38    | 0.38    | -0.17  | 0.66** | 0.41    | -0.08  | 0.64**  |  | 0.25 |
| Dry period P     | -0.06  | 0.06  | 0.37   | 0.64** | 0.72**  | 0.68**  | 0.37    | 0.09   | 0.6**  | 0.54*   | 0.12   | 0.72**  |  |      |
| April T          | 0.47   | 0.31  | -0.26  | -0.32  | -0.55*  | -0.59** | -0.4    | 0.4    | -0.33  | -0.51*  | 0.31   | -0.44   |  |      |
| Мау Т            | 0.13   | 0.28  | 0.11   | 0.04   | 0.04    | -0.01   | -0.2    | 0.31   | 0.14   | -0.12   | 0.32   | 0.05    |  | 0.00 |
| Jun T            | 0.56*  | 0.41  | -0.1   | -0.23  | -0.31   | -0.28   | -0.55*  | 0.64** | -0.1   | -0.46*  | 0.58** | -0.28   |  |      |
| July T           | 0.47   | 0.34  | 0.26   | -0.05  |         | -0.23   | -0.2    | 0.38   | 0.06   | -0.23   | 0.38   | -0.17   |  |      |
| August T         | 0.22   | 0.24  | -0.45  | -0.57* | -0.66** | -0.67** | -0.51*  | 0.23   | -0.56* | -0.63** | 0.13   | -0.65** |  | -0.2 |
| September T      | 0.42   | -0.25 | -0.31  | -0.55* | -0.62** | -0.55*  | -0.39   | 0.26   | -0.44  | -0.45   | 0.25   | -0.62** |  |      |
| October T        | -0.32  | -0.06 | 0.01   | -0.14  | 0.14    | 0.23    | 0.11    | -0.28  | -0.09  | 0.19    | -0.33  | -0.03   |  |      |
| Spring T         | 0.49   | 0.38  | -0.19  | -0.26  | -0.46*  | -0.51*  | -0.41   | 0.46*  | -0.23  | -0.48*  | 0.39   | -0.36   |  | -0.5 |
| Summer T         | 0.58*  | 0.49* | -0.15  |        | -0.61** | -0.56*  | -0.61** | 0.6**  | -0.28  | -0.63** | 0.52*  | -0.52*  |  |      |
| Autumn T         | -0.02  | -0.16 | -0.14  |        | -0.21   | -0.1    | -0.12   | -0.09  | -0.3   | -0.08   | -0.13  | -0.33   |  |      |
| Humid period T   | 0.64** | 0.49* | -0.21  | -0.3   | -0.5*   | -0.52*  | -0.55*  | 0.62** | -0.23  | -0.57*  | 0.54*  | -0.41   |  |      |
| Dry period T     | 0.47   | 0.42  | -0.15  | -0.44  | -0.69** | -0.64** | -0.53*  | 0.43   | -0.36  | -0.61** | 0.36   | -0.58** |  | -0.7 |
|                  | NDVI   | NDVI  | NDV    | NDV    | NDVI    | NDVI    | NDVI    | NDVI   | NDVI   | NDV     | NDVI   | NDVI    |  |      |
|                  | pril   | lay l | Jun    | July   | ust     | ber     | ber     | ing    | ner    | nm      | 'iod   | 'iod    |  |      |
|                  | A      | 2     |        |        | Aug     | tem     | Octo    | Spr    | umr    | Autu    | per    | / per   |  |      |
|                  |        |       |        |        |         | Sep     | J       |        | 0)     |         | ımid   | Dry     |  |      |
|                  |        |       |        |        |         |         |         |        |        |         | Ηſ     |         |  |      |
|                  |        |       |        |        |         |         |         |        |        |         |        |         |  |      |

**Figure 9.** Correlation between temperature, precipitation, and the average monthly, seasonal LANDSAT NDVI data (with BRDF and topographic, atmospheric correction) for Sisian for the 2000–2018 period, with the levels of significance: \*-p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01.

As seen from the results, correlation matrices are similar in the two study areas. However, there are also some nuances; for instance, in the case of Meghri, strong correlation between LANDSAT NDVI (July, August) and June precipitation was observed, but MODIS NDVI significantly correlated with June precipitation only in July. For the Meghri arid area, correlation between both MODIS, LANDSAT NDVI and temperature is weak. For semiarid grassland, the correlation matrices in case of precipitation are identical. A significant difference is observed only in the case of spring and summer temperature.

Summarizing the above-mentioned results, we can conclude that during study of relationships between NDVI and climatic factors for the 2000–2018 period in small grassland areas, both MODIS and LANDSAT BRDF-Adjusted satellite products were suitable.

#### 3.2. Spatiotemporal Analyses of Trend Using LANDSAT NDVI Time Series

To analyze long-time spatiotemporal changes in vegetation of mountain grassland, LAND-SAT NDVI were used, which provide a long-time data series (1984–2018). Mann–Kendall (MK) and four modified Mann–Kendall methods (MMK) were applied to examine the trends. Previous studies showed better performance of the MMK method with the monthly data [163]. The results of the MK and MMK tests applied to the NDVI data series at a confidence interval of 90% are shown in Table S9. In an experiment reported in the scientific literature, the trend is considered significant only if at least three of the five tests suggest a significant trend [164]. At the same time, Sen's slope method was applied to discover trends in time series. The results were divided into a stable or no-trend region (-0.0005 < and  $\le 0.0005$ ), an increasing region ( $\le 0.0005$ ) [153].

Statistical results are shown in Table S9. Trend analysis indicated both significant increase and no significant changes in monthly NDVI. In general, the results of the test indicated a strong significant increasing trend in April and May NDVIs at a confidence interval of 99% for the Meghri arid area (0.003, 0.002 year<sup>-1</sup>; respectively) and a no-trend or insignificant increasing/decreasing in all time periods NDVI at the Sisian semi-arid area. The MMK Yue and Wang test result at the Sisian area indicated a decreasing NDVI trend in September at a confidence interval of 95% (-0.0005 year<sup>-1</sup>), while the other Mann–Kendall tests did not indicate any significant trend. Also, a significant increase in the mean spring, humid period NDVI during 1984–2018 was observed for the Meghri arid area (Sen's slope = 0.002, 0.001; respectively). Trend analysis of monthly precipitation indicated no trend or insignificant changes at both the Sisian and Meghri study areas. In contrast, the significant increase of temperature during 1984–2018 was detected. It is interesting to note that the modified Mann–Kendall methods suggested by Yue and Wang [148] showed a significant trend in most of the cases as compared with other methods. The same situation was observed also in other investigations [164].

During the growing season, the correlation between NDVI and temperature, precipitation was negative and positive, respectively, indicating the positive precipitation effects on vegetation growth, and negative temperature effect, despite some shifts that were mainly insignificant. However, as shown in Figures 2, 4, 6 and 8, correlation between temperature and NDVI is lower than correlation between precipitation and NDVI, particularly in the Meghri arid study area, which was justified by some studies [58,102,162,165]. It suggests that increasing temperature would not promote a decrease in vegetation. Considering the fact that precipitation has no trend, it can be justified that the NDVI of the two study areas was not changed in all months during 1984–2018, except for the spring months in the Meghri arid area, where a significant increasing trend was recorded. The latter cannot be explained precisely by the available data; therefore, it is necessary to carry out additional analyses that include climatic factors of the previous months (February-March). Nevertheless, NDVI increased during spring and was stable during autumn, suggesting that the growing season may be starting earlier [12]. However, in general, no significant change in grassland vegetation has been recorded in the study area over the last three decades. In contrast, long-term positive changes in grassland vegetation (32.7% of grasslands) for the same period were found in the Caucasus region [58].

# 4. Conclusions

This research analyzed temporal trends of MODIS and LANDSAT NDVI climatic factors, and studied the correlations and time-lag effects between grassland vegetation and climatic factors during the growing seasons (April–October) of the period 1984–2018 in Syunik region. For this purpose, 10-day, monthly, and seasonal NDVI and precipitation, temperature data for two different study areas were used.

The results show that the correlation matrix of climate data with the MODIS BRDF NDVI follows a regular pattern, in contrast to the MODIS SR NDVI, where the correlations seem to behave more randomly. The results also confirm that for the Sisian and Meghri study areas, there is a clear similarity between two correlation matrices: climatic data with LANDSAT BRDF; TC; AC NDVI, and climatic data with LANDSAT SR NDVI. It seems that both the LANDSAT BRDF:TC; AC; and LANDSAT SR data can be used to study the regularities of time-lag effect of monthly and seasonal precipitation/temperature on NDVI.

The correlation between NDVI and climatic factors show that temperature had a negative impact and precipitation had a positive impact on vegetation growth in both arid and semi-arid areas. Meanwhile, the correlation between NDVI and precipitation was significant and has an apparent time-lag effect, yet a suitable time frame has to be selected for the phenomenon to become visible. In mountain arid and semi-arid grasslands, 10-day data are more suitable for understanding the impact and time-lag effect of climatic factors on vegetation growth. For instance, the precipitation for the Meghri arid area had a time-lag effect, which started from the first 10 days, in contrast to the Sisian semi-arid area, where the time-lag effect was observed only from the sixth 10 days. The correlation between NDVI and temperature for the Meghri case is weak. However, the 6th, 7th, 12th, and 17th 10 days have a significant time-lag effect on vegetation for both areas.

Finally, we can conclude that for studying relationships between NDVI and climatic factors for the 2000–2018 period in grassland at a very local scale, both MODIS and LAND-SAT BRDF-adjusted satellite products are suitable.

In general, the analysis of changes of vegetation data over 45 years (1984–2018) of mountain grasslands showed no significant change in NDVI. However, other, more specific patterns have also been observed in the data. For example, a strong significant increasing trend in the April and May NDVIs at a confidence interval of 99% in the Meghri arid area (0.003, 0.002 year<sup>-1</sup>; respectively) and an insignificant increasing/decreasing in all time periods' NDVIs in the Sisian semi-arid area. The MMK Yue and Wang test result in the Sisian area indicated a decreasing NDVI trend in September at a confidence interval of 95% (-0.0005 year<sup>-1</sup>), while the other Mann–Kendall tests did not indicate any significant trend.

There are however other factors to consider, which will be incorporated in future phases of our research. Last but not least, the effect of human activity on grasslands was not considered, although it surely has an impact on the evaluated variables. To refine the study, we will have to determine how to effectively remove anthropogenic impact, in order to establish a "cleaner" quantitative correlation between climatic factors and vegetation.

These results can assist to better understand the relations between NDVI and climatic factors and may provide guidance for the sustainable management of the mountain's grasslands by decision makers.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information is provided as a .docx file (tables.docx), which contains nine tables as follows and can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/geosciences12110412/s1, Table S1: The correlation between 10-day values of precipitation and SR NDVI for Meghri (significance level: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01); Table S2: The correlation between 10-day values of temperature and SR NDVI for Meghri (significance level: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01); Table S3: The correlation between 10-day values of precipitation and SR NDVI for Sisian (significance level: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01); Table S3: The correlation between 10-day values of precipitation and SR NDVI for Sisian (significance level: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01); Table S3: Correlation between averaged monthly and periodic values of precipitation and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of significance: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01; Table S6: Correlation between averaged monthly and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of significance: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01; Table S6: Correlation between averaged monthly and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of significance: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01; Table S6: Correlation between averaged monthly and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of significance: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01; Table S6: Correlation between averaged monthly and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of temperature and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of temperature and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of temperature and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of temperature and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of temperature and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of temperature and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of temperature and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of temperature and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of temperature and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of temperature and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of temperature and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the level

significance: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01; Table S7: Correlation between averaged monthly and periodic values of precipitation and SR NDVI for Sisian, with the levels of significance: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01; Table S8: Correlation between averaged monthly and periodic values of temperature and SR NDVI for Meghri, with the levels of significance: \*—p < 0.05, \*\* p < 0.01; Table S9: Trend analysis based on LANDSAT NDVI time series.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, V.M., S.A. and F.D. Methodology, V.M. and F.D. Data analysis and validation, V.M. and F.D. Writing—original draft preparation, V.M., G.A. and F.D. Writing—review and editing, V.M., S.A., G.A. and F.D. Visualization, V.M., G.A. and F.D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by the Science Committee of the Republic of Armenia, in the framework of research project ML4GEO (funding number: No. 20TTCG-1E009). The project timeframe is 2020–2023.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to thank the University of Pavia for awarding a visiting grant to Vahagn Muradyan in the framework of the CICOPS initiative. His visiting professor time in Pavia was extremely useful in advancing the work described in this paper.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

#### References

- Lucht, W.; Prentice, I.C.; Myneni, R.B.; Sitch, S.; Friedlingstein, P.; Cramer, W.; Bousquet, P.; Buermann, W.; Smith, B. Climatic Control of the High-Latitude Vegetation Greening Trend and Pinatubo Effect. *Science* 2002, 296, 1687–1689. [CrossRef]
- Duveiller, G.; Hooker, J.; Cescatti, A. The Mark of Vegetation Change on Earth's Surface Energy Balance. Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 679. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shen, X.; Liu, B.; Zhou, D.; Lu, X. Effect of Grassland Vegetation on Diurnal Temperature Range in China's Temperate Grassland Region. Ecol. Eng. 2016, 97, 292–296. [CrossRef]
- 4. Wang, M.; Xiong, Z.; Yan, X. Modeling the Climatic Effects of the Land Use/Cover Change in Eastern China. *Phys. Chem. Earth Parts A/B/C* 2015, 87–88, 97–107. [CrossRef]
- 5. Fort, M. Impact of Climate Change on Mountain Environment Dynamics. J. Alp. Res. Rev. Géogr. Alp. 2015. [CrossRef]
- Hou, G.; Zhang, H.; Wang, Y. Vegetation Dynamics and Its Relationship with Climatic Factors in the Changbai Mountain Natural Reserve. J. Mt. Sci. 2011, 8, 865–875. [CrossRef]
- Piao, S.; Cui, M.; Chen, A.; Wang, X.; Ciais, P.; Liu, J.; Tang, Y. Altitude and Temperature Dependence of Change in the Spring Vegetation Green-up Date from 1982 to 2006 in the Qinghai-Xizang Plateau. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 2011, 151, 1599–1608. [CrossRef]
- Pan, N.; Feng, X.; Fu, B.; Wang, S.; Ji, F.; Pan, S. Increasing Global Vegetation Browning Hidden in Overall Vegetation Greening: Insights from Time-Varying Trends. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2018, 214, 59–72. [CrossRef]
- Chen, A.; He, B.; Wang, H.; Huang, L.; Zhu, Y.; Lv, A. Notable Shifting in the Responses of Vegetation Activity to Climate Change in China. *Phys. Chem. Earth Parts A/B/C* 2015, 87–88, 60–66. [CrossRef]
- Guo, X.; Coops, N.C.; Tompalski, P.; Nielsen, S.E.; Bater, C.W.; John Stadt, J. Regional Mapping of Vegetation Structure for Biodiversity Monitoring Using Airborne Lidar Data. *Ecol. Inform.* 2017, 38, 50–61. [CrossRef]
- Guo, B.; Zhou, Y.; Wang, S.; Tao, H. The Relationship between Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) and Climate Factors in the Semiarid Region: A Case Study in Yalu Tsangpo River Basin of Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. J. Mt. Sci. 2014, 11, 926–940. [CrossRef]
- 12. Li, C.; Qi, J.; Yang, L.; Wang, S.; Yang, W.; Zhu, G.; Zou, S.; Zhang, F. Regional Vegetation Dynamics and Its Response to Climate Change—A Case Study in the Tao River Basin in Northwestern China. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **2014**, *9*, 125003. [CrossRef]
- 13. Muradyan, V.; Tepanosyan, G.; Asmaryan, S.; Saghatelyan, A.; Dell'Acqua, F. Relationships between NDVI and Climatic Factors in Mountain Ecosystems: A Case Study of Armenia. *Remote Sens. Appl. Soc. Environ.* **2019**, *14*, 158–169. [CrossRef]
- 14. Tang, H.; Li, Z.; Zhu, Z.; Chen, B.; Zhang, B.; Xin, X. Variability and Climate Change Trend in Vegetation Phenology of Recent Decades in the Greater Khingan Mountain Area, Northeastern China. *Remote Sens.* **2015**, *7*, 11914–11932. [CrossRef]
- 15. Pouliot, D.; Latifovic, R.; Fernandes, R.; Olthof, I. Evaluation of Annual Forest Disturbance Monitoring Using a Static Decision Tree Approach and 250 m MODIS Data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2009**, *113*, 1749–1759. [CrossRef]
- Li, Z.; Huffman, T.; McConkey, B.; Townley-Smith, L. Monitoring and Modeling Spatial and Temporal Patterns of Grassland Dynamics Using Time-Series MODIS NDVI with Climate and Stocking Data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2013, 138, 232–244. [CrossRef]

- Fang, W.; Huang, S.; Huang, Q.; Huang, G.; Wang, H.; Leng, G.; Wang, L.; Guo, Y. Probabilistic Assessment of Remote Sensing-Based Terrestrial Vegetation Vulnerability to Drought Stress of the Loess Plateau in China. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2019, 232, 111290. [CrossRef]
- Areola, M.; Fasona, M. Sensitivity of Vegetation to Annual Rainfall Variations over Nigeria. *Remote Sens. Appl. Soc. Environ.* 2018, 10, 153–162. [CrossRef]
- 19. Jing, X.; Yao, W.-Q.; Wang, J.-H.; Song, X.-Y. A Study on the Relationship between Dynamic Change of Vegetation Coverage and Precipitation in Beijing's Mountainous Areas during the Last 20 Years. *Math. Comput. Model.* **2011**, *54*, 1079–1085. [CrossRef]
- Muradyan, V.; Asmaryan, S.; Saghatelyan, A. Assessment of Space and Time Changes of NDVI (Biomass) in Armenia's Mountain Ecosystems Using Remote Sensing Data. Sovrem. Probl. Distantsionnogo Zondirovaniya Zemli Kosm. 2016, 13, 49–60. [CrossRef]
- Muradyan, V.; Tepanosyan, G.; Asmaryan, S.; Sagharelyan, A. Studying the Dynamics of Mountain Ecosystems in the Context of Climate Change Employing Remotely Sensed Data. In Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Remote Sensing and Geoinformation of the Environment (RSCy2017), Paphos, Cyprus, 20–23 March 2017; SPIE: Bellingham, WA, USA, 2017.
- 22. Qi, J.; Niu, S.; Zhao, Y.; Liang, M.; Ma, L.; Ding, Y. Responses of Vegetation Growth to Climatic Factors in Shule River Basin in Northwest China: A Panel Analysis. *Sustainability* **2017**, *9*, 368. [CrossRef]
- Weishou, S.; Di, J.; Hui, Z.; Shouguang, Y.; Haidong, L.; Naifeng, L. The Response Relation between Climate Change and NDVI over the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. Int. J. Environ. Ecol. Eng. 2011, 5, 761–767.
- 24. Yagoub, Y.E.; Li, Z.; Musa, O.S.; Anjum, M.N.; Wang, F.; Bi, Y.; Zhang, B. Correlation between Climate Factors and Vegetation Cover in Qinghai Province, China. J. Geogr. Inf. Syst. 2017, 9, 403–419. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, W.; Zhao, X.; Zhou, T.; Wu, D.; Tang, B.; Wei, H. Climatic Factors Driving Vegetation Declines in the 2005 and 2010 Amazon Droughts. *PLoS ONE* 2017, 12, e0175379. [CrossRef]
- Na-U-Dom, T.; Mo, X.; García, M. Assessing the Climatic Effects on Vegetation Dynamics in the Mekong River Basin. *Environments* 2017, 4, 17. [CrossRef]
- James, H.; LeMay, V.; Coops, N.; Verchot, L.; Marshall, P.; Lochhead, K. Canopy Cover Estimation in Miombo Woodlands of Zambia: Comparison of Landsat 8 OLI versus RapidEye Imagery Using Parametric, Nonparametric, and Semiparametric Methods. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2016, 179, 170–182. [CrossRef]
- Wang, L.; Zhou, X.; Zhu, X.; Dong, Z.; Guo, W. Estimation of Biomass in Wheat Using Random Forest Regression Algorithm and Remote Sensing Data. Crop J. 2016, 4, 212–219. [CrossRef]
- Wen, Z.; Wu, S.; Chen, J.; Lü, M. NDVI Indicated Long-Term Interannual Changes in Vegetation Activities and Their Responses to Climatic and Anthropogenic Factors in the Three Gorges Reservoir Region, China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2017, 574, 947–959. [CrossRef]
- Linscheid, N.; Estupinan-Suarez, L.M.; Brenning, A.; Carvalhais, N.; Cremer, F.; Gans, F.; Rammig, A.; Reichstein, M.; Sierra, C.A.; Mahecha, M.D. Towards a Global Understanding of Vegetation–Climate Dynamics at Multiple Timescales. *Biogeosciences* 2020, 17, 945–962. [CrossRef]
- 31. Zeng, C.; Shen, H.; Zhang, L. Recovering Missing Pixels for Landsat ETM+ SLC-off Imagery Using Multi-Temporal Regression Analysis and a Regularization Method. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2013**, *131*, 182–194. [CrossRef]
- Hawinkel, P.; Swinnen, E.; Lhermitte, S.; Verbist, B.; van Orshoven, J.; Muys, B. A Time Series Processing Tool to Extract Climate-Driven Interannual Vegetation Dynamics Using Ensemble Empirical Mode Decomposition (EEMD). *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2015, 169, 375–389. [CrossRef]
- Pang, G.; Chen, D.; Wang, X.; Lai, H.-W. Spatiotemporal Variations of Land Surface Albedo and Associated Influencing Factors on the Tibetan Plateau. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2022, 804, 150100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Liu, C.; Li, W.; Wang, W.; Zhou, H.; Liang, T.; Hou, F.; Xu, J.; Xue, P. Quantitative Spatial Analysis of Vegetation Dynamics and Potential Driving Factors in a Typical Alpine Region on the Northeastern Tibetan Plateau Using the Google Earth Engine. *CATENA* 2021, 206, 105500. [CrossRef]
- Chu, H.; Venevsky, S.; Wu, C.; Wang, M. NDVI-Based Vegetation Dynamics and Its Response to Climate Changes at Amur-Heilongjiang River Basin from 1982 to 2015. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2019, 650, 2051–2062. [CrossRef]
- Ols, C.; Kålås, I.H.; Drobyshev, I.; Söderström, L.; Hofgaard, A. Spatiotemporal Variation in the Relationship between Boreal Forest Productivity Proxies and Climate Data. *Dendrochronologia* 2019, 58, 125648. [CrossRef]
- Zhao, L.; Li, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, H.; Du, X. Normalized NDVI Valley Area Index (NNVAI)-Based Framework for Quantitative and Timely Monitoring of Winter Wheat Frost Damage on the Huang-Huai-Hai Plain, China. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 2020, 292, 106793. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, L.; Liu, Y.; Wu, S.; Yang, C. Analyzing Ecological Environment Change and Associated Driving Factors in China Based on NDVI Time Series Data. *Ecol. Indic.* 2021, 129, 107933. [CrossRef]
- Li, P.; Wang, J.; Liu, M.; Xue, Z.; Bagherzadeh, A.; Liu, M. Spatio-Temporal Variation Characteristics of NDVI and Its Response to Climate on the Loess Plateau from 1985 to 2015. CATENA 2021, 203, 105331. [CrossRef]
- Zhe, M.; Zhang, X. Time-Lag Effects of NDVI Responses to Climate Change in the Yamzhog Yumco Basin, South Tibet. *Ecol. Indic.* 2021, 124, 107431. [CrossRef]
- 41. Meng, M.; Huang, N.; Wu, M.; Pei, J.; Wang, J.; Niu, Z. Vegetation Change in Response to Climate Factors and Human Activities on the Mongolian Plateau. *PeerJ.* 2019, 7, e7735. [CrossRef]

- 42. Li, Y.; Xie, Z.; Qin, Y.; Zheng, Z. Estimating Relations of Vegetation, Climate Change, and Human Activity: A Case Study in the 400 Mm Annual Precipitation Fluctuation Zone, China. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 1159. [CrossRef]
- 43. Baniya, B.; Tang, Q.; Huang, Z.; Sun, S.; Techato, K. Spatial and Temporal Variation of NDVI in Response to Climate Change and the Implication for Carbon Dynamics in Nepal. *Forests* **2018**, *9*, 329. [CrossRef]
- 44. Wang, X.; Gao, Q.; Wang, C.; Yu, M. Spatiotemporal Patterns of Vegetation Phenology Change and Relationships with Climate in the Two Transects of East China. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* **2017**, *10*, 206–219. [CrossRef]
- Qiu, R.; Li, X.; Han, G.; Xiao, J.; Ma, X.; Gong, W. Monitoring Drought Impacts on Crop Productivity of the U.S. Midwest with Solar-Induced Fluorescence: GOSIF Outperforms GOME-2 SIF and MODIS NDVI, EVI, and NIRv. Agric. For. Meteorol. 2022, 323, 109038. [CrossRef]
- Wu, D.; Zhao, X.; Liang, S.; Zhou, T.; Huang, K.; Tang, B.; Zhao, W. Time-Lag Effects of Global Vegetation Responses to Climate Change. *Glob. Change Biol.* 2015, 21, 3520–3531. [CrossRef]
- Prăvălie, R.; Sîrodoev, I.; Nita, I.-A.; Patriche, C.; Dumitraşcu, M.; Roşca, B.; Tişcovschi, A.; Bandoc, G.; Săvulescu, I.; Mănoiu, V.; et al. NDVI-Based Ecological Dynamics of Forest Vegetation and Its Relationship to Climate Change in Romania during 1987–2018. *Ecol. Indic.* 2022, *136*, 108629. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, Z. Change Detection Using Landsat Time Series: A Review of Frequencies, Preprocessing, Algorithms, and Applications. ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens. 2017, 130, 370–384. [CrossRef]
- Julien, Y.; Sobrino, J.A.; Jiménez-Muñoz, J.-C. Land Use Classification from Multitemporal Landsat Imagery Using the Yearly Land Cover Dynamics (YLCD) Method. Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf. 2011, 13, 711–720. [CrossRef]
- Liu, C.; Dong, X.; Liu, Y. Changes of NPP and Their Relationship to Climate Factors Based on the Transformation of Different Scales in Gansu, China. CATENA 2015, 125, 190–199. [CrossRef]
- 51. Tian, F.; Fensholt, R.; Verbesselt, J.; Grogan, K.; Horion, S.; Wang, Y. Evaluating Temporal Consistency of Long-Term Global NDVI Datasets for Trend Analysis. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2015**, *163*, 326–340. [CrossRef]
- 52. Yang, Z.; Li, W.; Li, X.; He, J. Quantitative Analysis of the Relationship between Vegetation and Groundwater Buried Depth: A Case Study of a Coal Mine District in Western China. *Ecol. Indic.* **2019**, *102*, 770–782. [CrossRef]
- Fensholt, R.; Sandholt, I. Evaluation of MODIS and NOAA AVHRR Vegetation Indices with In Situ Measurements in a Semi-arid Environment. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 2005, 26, 2561–2594. [CrossRef]
- Fensholt, R.; Sandholt, I.; Rasmussen, M.S.; Stisen, S.; Diouf, A. Evaluation of Satellite Based Primary Production Modelling in the Semi-Arid Sahel. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2006, 105, 173–188. [CrossRef]
- Liu, L.; Liang, L.; Schwartz, M.D.; Donnelly, A.; Wang, Z.; Schaaf, C.B.; Liu, L. Evaluating the Potential of MODIS Satellite Data to Track Temporal Dynamics of Autumn Phenology in a Temperate Mixed Forest. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2015, 160, 156–165. [CrossRef]
- 56. Wang, C.; Wang, A.; Guo, D.; Li, H.; Zang, S. Off-Peak NDVI Correction to Reconstruct Landsat Time Series for Post-Fire Recovery in High-Latitude Forests. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* **2022**, *107*, 102704. [CrossRef]
- 57. Griffiths, P.; Nendel, C.; Pickert, J.; Hostert, P. Towards National-Scale Characterization of Grassland Use Intensity from Integrated Sentinel-2 and Landsat Time Series. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2020**, *238*, 111124. [CrossRef]
- Lewińska, K.E.; Buchner, J.; Bleyhl, B.; Hostert, P.; Yin, H.; Kuemmerle, T.; Radeloff, V.C. Changes in the Grasslands of the Caucasus Based on Cumulative Endmember Fractions from the Full 1987–2019 Landsat Record. *Sci. Remote Sens.* 2021, *4*, 100035. [CrossRef]
- 59. Wulder, M.A.; Roy, D.P.; Radeloff, V.C.; Loveland, T.R.; Anderson, M.C.; Johnson, D.M.; Healey, S.; Zhu, Z.; Scambos, T.A.; Pahlevan, N.; et al. Fifty Years of Landsat Science and Impacts. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2022**, *280*, 113195. [CrossRef]
- Fassnacht, F.E.; Schiller, C.; Kattenborn, T.; Zhao, X.; Qu, J. A Landsat-Based Vegetation Trend Product of the Tibetan Plateau for the Time-Period 1990–2018. *Sci. Data* 2019, *6*, 78. [CrossRef]
- Qiu, S.; Lin, Y.; Shang, R.; Zhang, J.; Ma, L.; Zhu, Z. Making Landsat Time Series Consistent: Evaluating and Improving Landsat Analysis Ready Data. *Remote Sens.* 2019, 11, 51. [CrossRef]
- 62. Vogelmann, J.E.; Xian, G.; Homer, C.; Tolk, B. Monitoring Gradual Ecosystem Change Using Landsat Time Series Analyses: Case Studies in Selected Forest and Rangeland Ecosystems. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2012**, *122*, 92–105. [CrossRef]
- Hansen, M.C.; Egorov, A.; Potapov, P.V.; Stehman, S.V.; Tyukavina, A.; Turubanova, S.A.; Roy, D.P.; Goetz, S.J.; Loveland, T.R.; Ju, J.; et al. Monitoring Conterminous United States (CONUS) Land Cover Change with Web-Enabled Landsat Data (WELD). *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2014, 140, 466–484. [CrossRef]
- Guerschman, J.P.; McVicar, T.R.; Vleeshower, J.; van Niel, T.G.; Peña-Arancibia, J.L.; Chen, Y. Estimating Actual Evapotranspiration at Field-to-Continent Scales by Calibrating the CMRSET Algorithm with MODIS, VIIRS, Landsat and Sentinel-2 Data. J. Hydrol. 2022, 605, 127318. [CrossRef]
- Zheng, K.; Ye, J.-S.; Jin, B.-C.; Zhang, F.; Wei, J.-Z.; Li, F.-M. Effects of Agriculture, Climate, and Policy on NDVI Change in a Semi-Arid River Basin of the Chinese Loess Plateau. *Arid Land Res. Manag.* 2019, 33, 321–338. [CrossRef]
- 66. Xie, Q.; Cleverly, J.; Moore, C.E.; Ding, Y.; Hall, C.C.; Ma, X.; Brown, L.A.; Wang, C.; Beringer, J.; Prober, S.M.; et al. Land Surface Phenology Retrievals for Arid and Semi-Arid Ecosystems. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* **2022**, *185*, 129–145. [CrossRef]
- 67. Albarakat, R.; Lakshmi, V. Comparison of Normalized Difference Vegetation Index Derived from Landsat, MODIS, and AVHRR for the Mesopotamian Marshes Between 2002 and 2018. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 1245. [CrossRef]

- Wang, G.; Wang, J.; Zou, X.; Chai, G.; Wu, M.; Wang, Z. Estimating the Fractional Cover of Photosynthetic Vegetation, Non-Photosynthetic Vegetation and Bare Soil from MODIS Data: Assessing the Applicability of the NDVI-DFI Model in the Typical Xilingol Grasslands. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 2019, *76*, 154–166. [CrossRef]
- 69. Benedict; Jaelani, L.M. A Long-Term Spatial and Temporal Analysis of NDVI Changes in Java Island Using Google Earth Engine. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2021, 936, 012038. [CrossRef]
- Markham, B.L.; Helder, D.L. Forty-Year Calibrated Record of Earth-Reflected Radiance from Landsat: A Review. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2012, 122, 30–40. [CrossRef]
- Arjasakusuma, S.; Yamaguchi, Y.; Nakaji, T.; Kosugi, Y.; Shamsuddin, S.-A.; Lion, M. Assessment of Values and Trends in Coarse Spatial Resolution NDVI Datasets in Southeast Asia Landscapes. *Eur. J. Remote Sens.* 2018, *51*, 863–877. [CrossRef]
- 72. Yin, H.; Tan, B.; Frantz, D.; Radeloff, V.C. Integrated Topographic Corrections Improve Forest Mapping Using Landsat Imagery. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* 2022, 108, 102716. [CrossRef]
- 73. Barnetson, J.; Phinn, S.; Scarth, P.; Denham, R. Assessing Landsat Fractional Ground-Cover Time Series Across Australia's Arid Rangelands: Separating Grazing Impacts from Climate Variability. In Proceedings of the International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, Tshwane, South Africa, 8–12 May 2017; Copernicus GmbH: Göttingen, Germany, 2017; Volume XLII-3-W2, pp. 15–26.
- Li, F.; Jupp, D.L.B.; Paget, M.; Briggs, P.R.; Thankappan, M.; Lewis, A.; Held, A. Improving BRDF Normalisation for Landsat Data Using Statistical Relationships between MODIS BRDF Shape and Vegetation Structure in the Australian Continent. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2017, 195, 275–296. [CrossRef]
- 75. Seong, N.-H.; Jung, D.; Kim, J.; Han, K.-S. Evaluation of NDVI Estimation Considering Atmospheric and BRDF Correction through Himawari-8/AHI. *Asia-Pac. J. Atmos. Sci.* **2020**, *56*, 265–274. [CrossRef]
- León-Tavares, J.; Roujean, J.-L.; Smets, B.; Wolters, E.; Toté, C.; Swinnen, E. Correction of Directional Effects in VEGETATION NDVI Time-Series. *Remote Sens.* 2021, 13, 1130. [CrossRef]
- 77. Buchhorn, M.; Raynolds, M.K.; Walker, D.A. Influence of BRDF on NDVI and Biomass Estimations of Alaska Arctic Tundra. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **2016**, *11*, 125002. [CrossRef]
- Franch, B.; Vermote, E.; Skakun, S.; Roger, J.-C.; Masek, J.; Ju, J.; Villaescusa-Nadal, J.L.; Santamaria-Artigas, A. A Method for Landsat and Sentinel 2 (HLS) BRDF Normalization. *Remote Sens.* 2019, 11, 632. [CrossRef]
- 79. Petri, C.A.; Galvão, L.S. Sensitivity of Seven MODIS Vegetation Indices to BRDF Effects during the Amazonian Dry Season. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 1650. [CrossRef]
- Wenxia, G.; Huanfeng, S.; Liangpei, Z.; Wei, G. Normalization of NDVI from Different Sensor System Using MODIS Products as Reference. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.* 2014, 17, 012225. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, H.K.; Roy, D.P.; Yan, L.; Li, Z.; Huang, H.; Vermote, E.; Skakun, S.; Roger, J.-C. Characterization of Sentinel-2A and Landsat-8 Top of Atmosphere, Surface, and Nadir BRDF Adjusted Reflectance and NDVI Differences. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2018, 215, 482–494. [CrossRef]
- Roy, D.P.; Zhang, H.K.; Ju, J.; Gomez-Dans, J.L.; Lewis, P.E.; Schaaf, C.B.; Sun, Q.; Li, J.; Huang, H.; Kovalskyy, V. A General Method to Normalize Landsat Reflectance Data to Nadir BRDF Adjusted Reflectance. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2016, 176, 255–271. [CrossRef]
- 83. Franch, B.; Vermote, E.; Skakun, S.; Roger, J.-C.; Santamaria-Artigas, A.; Villaescusa-Nadal, J.L.; Masek, J. Toward Landsat and Sentinel-2 BRDF Normalization and Albedo Estimation: A Case Study in the Peruvian Amazon Forest. *Front. Earth Sci.* **2018**, *6*, 185. [CrossRef]
- Nagol, J.R.; Vermote, E.F.; Prince, S.D. Effects of Atmospheric Variation on AVHRR NDVI Data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2009, 113, 392–397.
   [CrossRef]
- 85. Ke, Y.; Im, J.; Lee, J.; Gong, H.; Ryu, Y. Characteristics of Landsat 8 OLI-Derived NDVI by Comparison with Multiple Satellite Sensors and in-Situ Observations. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2015**, *164*, 298–313. [CrossRef]
- Liang, S. Topographic Correction Methods. In *Quantitative Remote Sensing of Land Surfaces*; John Wiley & Sons: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2003; pp. 231–245. ISBN 978-0-471-72372-1.
- Buchner, J.; Yin, H.; Frantz, D.; Kuemmerle, T.; Askerov, E.; Bakuradze, T.; Bleyhl, B.; Elizbarashvili, N.; Komarova, A.; Lewińska, K.E.; et al. Land-Cover Change in the Caucasus Mountains since 1987 Based on the Topographic Correction of Multi-Temporal Landsat Composites. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2020, 248, 111967. [CrossRef]
- Li, F.; Jupp, D.L.B.; Thankappan, M.; Lymburner, L.; Mueller, N.; Lewis, A.; Held, A. A Physics-Based Atmospheric and BRDF Correction for Landsat Data over Mountainous Terrain. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2012, 124, 756–770. [CrossRef]
- Wu, X.; Lv, M.; Jin, Z.; Michishita, R.; Chen, J.; Tian, H.; Tu, X.; Zhao, H.; Niu, Z.; Chen, X.; et al. Normalized Difference Vegetation Index Dynamic and Spatiotemporal Distribution of Migratory Birds in the Poyang Lake Wetland, China. *Ecol. Indic.* 2014, 47, 219–230. [CrossRef]
- 90. Fensholt, R.; Proud, S.R. Evaluation of Earth Observation Based Global Long Term Vegetation Trends—Comparing GIMMS and MODIS Global NDVI Time Series. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2012**, *119*, 131–147. [CrossRef]
- Wang, T. Vegetation NDVI Change and Its Relationship with Climate Change and Human Activities in Yulin, Shaanxi Province of China. J. Geosci. Environ. Prot. 2016, 4, 28–40. [CrossRef]
- 92. Patasaraiya, M.K.; Sinha, B.; Bisaria, J.; Saran, S.; Jaiswal, R.K. Assessing impacts of climate change on teak and sal landscape using modis time series data. In Proceedings of the International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, Dehradun, India, 20–23 November 2018; Copernicus GmbH: Göttingen, Germany, 2018; Volume XLII–5, pp. 305–313.

- 93. Liu, X.; Tian, Z.; Zhang, A.; Zhao, A.; Liu, H. Impacts of Climate on Spatiotemporal Variations in Vegetation NDVI from 1982–2015 in Inner Mongolia, China. *Sustainability* **2019**, *11*, 768. [CrossRef]
- 94. Zhong, L.; Ma, Y.; Salama, M.S.; Su, Z. Assessment of Vegetation Dynamics and Their Response to Variations in Precipitation and Temperature in the Tibetan Plateau. *Clim. Change* **2010**, *103*, 519–535. [CrossRef]
- Sağır, Ç.; Coz, M.; Kurtulus, B.; Razack, M. Determining Climate Change Effects on Vegetation in Various Land Covers Using NDVI in the Poitou Region, France. In Proceedings of the 7th International Groundwater Conference, New Delhi, India, 11–13 December 2017.
- 96. Wen, Y.; Liu, X.; Pei, F.; Li, X.; Du, G. Non-Uniform Time-Lag Effects of Terrestrial Vegetation Responses to Asymmetric Warming. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **2018**, 252, 130–143. [CrossRef]
- Wen, Y.; Liu, X.; Yang, J.; Lin, K.; Du, G. NDVI Indicated Inter-Seasonal Non-Uniform Time-Lag Responses of Terrestrial Vegetation Growth to Daily Maximum and Minimum Temperature. *Glob. Planet. Change* 2019, 177, 27–38. [CrossRef]
- Ding, Y.; Li, Z.; Peng, S. Global Analysis of Time-Lag and -Accumulation Effects of Climate on Vegetation Growth. Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf. 2020, 92, 102179. [CrossRef]
- 99. Kong, D.; Miao, C.; Wu, J.; Zheng, H.; Wu, S. Time Lag of Vegetation Growth on the Loess Plateau in Response to Climate Factors: Estimation, Distribution, and Influence. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, 744, 140726. [CrossRef]
- Song, Y.; Ma, M. A Statistical Analysis of the Relationship between Climatic Factors and the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index in China. Int. J. Remote Sens. 2011, 32, 3947–3965. [CrossRef]
- Gu, Z.; Duan, X.; Shi, Y.; Li, Y.; Pan, X. Spatiotemporal Variation in Vegetation Coverage and Its Response to Climatic Factors in the Red River Basin, China. *Ecol. Indic.* 2018, 93, 54–64. [CrossRef]
- 102. Sun, J.; Qin, X. Precipitation and Temperature Regulate the Seasonal Changes of NDVI across the Tibetan Plateau. *Environ. Earth Sci.* **2016**, *75*, 291. [CrossRef]
- 103. Shen, H.; Huang, L.; Zhang, L.; Wu, P.; Zeng, C. Long-Term and Fine-Scale Satellite Monitoring of the Urban Heat Island Effect by the Fusion of Multi-Temporal and Multi-Sensor Remote Sensed Data: A 26-Year Case Study of the City of Wuhan in China. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2016, 172, 109–125. [CrossRef]
- 104. Chuai, X.W.; Huang, X.J.; Wang, W.J.; Bao, G. NDVI, Temperature and Precipitation Changes and Their Relationships with Different Vegetation Types during 1998–2007 in Inner Mongolia, China. *Int. J. Climatol.* **2013**, *33*, 1696–1706. [CrossRef]
- Li, B.; Tao, S.; Dawson, R.W. Relations between AVHRR NDVI and Ecoclimatic Parameters in China. Int. J. Remote Sens. 2002, 23, 989–999.
   [CrossRef]
- 106. Cui, L.; Shi, J. Temporal and Spatial Response of Vegetation NDVI to Temperature and Precipitation in Eastern China. *J. Geogr. Sci.* **2010**, *20*, 163–176. [CrossRef]
- 107. Yunfei, F.; Xianzhou, Z.; Peili, S.; Gang, F.; Yangjian, Z.; Guangshuai, Z.; Chaoxu, Z.; Jing, Z. Livestock Dynamic Responses to Climate Change in Alpine Grasslands on the Northern Tibetan Plateau: Forage Consumption and Time-Lag Effects. *J. Resour. Ecol.* 2017, *8*, 88–96. [CrossRef]
- Chartchyan, A.S. The Analyze of Meteorological Data and Possible Scenarios of Climate Change in Armenia. Armen. Clim. Change Probl. Collect. Artic. Yerevan 1999, 33–49.
- Galstyan, H.S. Trend Detection in Annual Temperature Using the Mann-Kendall Test (Case Study: Meghri Meteorological Station of Republic of Armenia). *Hydrol. Meteorol. Climatol. Issues Armen. Yerevan* 2014, 28–30.
- 110. Ministry of Nature Protection. *Third National Communication on Climate Change: Under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change;* Ministry of Nature Protection: Yerevan, Armenia, 2015.
- 111. Aleksanyan, A.; Aleksanyan, T.; Fayvush, G. Modeling of Rare Ecosystems under Climate Change: As a Tool for Biodiversity Conservation. *Biol. J. Armen.* 2016, 13–17. Available online: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/308918339\_Modeling\_ of\_rare\_ecosystems\_under\_climate\_change\_as\_a\_tool\_for\_biodiversity\_conservation/citations (accessed on 1 August 2022).
- 112. Bellamy, J.J. Final Evaluation of the UNDP-Supported, GEF-Financed Project "Adaptation to Climate Change Impacts in Mountain Forest Ecosystems of Armenia"; United Nations Development Programme: New York, NY, USA, 2013.
- 113. Ziroyan, A. Ecological-Energetic Assessment of Vegetation of Armenia. Lusabac, Yerevan. Available online: https://scholar.google.com/scholar\_lookup?title=Ecological-energetic%20Assessment%20of%20Vegetation%20of%20Armenia& author=A.%20Ziroyan&publication\_year=2008 (accessed on 8 July 2022).
- 114. Basnou, C.; Pino, J.; Petr, S. Effect of Grazing on Grasslands in The Western Romanian Carpathians Depends on the Bedrock Type. *Preslia* **2009**, *81*, 91–104.
- 115. Shisanya, C.A.; Recha, C.; Anyamba, A. Rainfall Variability and Its Impact on Normalized Difference Vegetation Index in Arid and Semi-Arid Lands of Kenya. *Int. J. Geosci.* 2011, 2, 36–47. [CrossRef]
- 116. Suttie, J.M.; Reynolds, S.G.; Batello, C. Plant Production and Protection Division. In *Grassland of the World*; FAO Plant Production and Protection Series; FAO: Rome, Italy, 2005; ISBN 978-92-5-105337-9.
- 117. Ali, I.; Cawkwell, F.; Dwyer, E.; Barrett, B.; Green, S. Satellite Remote Sensing of Grasslands: From Observation to Management. *J. Plant Ecol.* **2016**, *9*, 649–671. [CrossRef]
- Morán-Ordóñez, A.; Suárez-Seoane, S.; Calvo, L.; de Luis, E. Using Predictive Models as a Spatially Explicit Support Tool for Managing Cultural Landscapes. Appl. Geogr. 2011, 31, 839–848. [CrossRef]
- 119. Zhou, W.; Yang, H.; Huang, L.; Chen, C.; Lin, X.; Hu, Z.; Li, J. Grassland Degradation Remote Sensing Monitoring and Driving Factors Quantitative Assessment in China from 1982 to 2010. *Ecol. Indic.* **2017**, *83*, 303–313. [CrossRef]

- 120. Scholes, R.J.; Montanarella, L.; Brainich, E.; Brainich, E.; Barger, N.; ten Brink, B.; Cantele, M.; Erasmus, B.; Fisher, J.; Gardner, T.; et al. *IPBES (2018): Summary for Policymakers of the Assessment Report on Land Degradation and Restoration of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services*; Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services: Bonn, Germany, 2018; ISBN 978-3-947851-04-1.
- 121. Lewińska, K.E.; Hostert, P.; Buchner, J.; Bleyhl, B.; Radeloff, V.C. Short-Term Vegetation Loss versus Decadal Degradation of Grasslands in the Caucasus Based on Cumulative Endmember Fractions. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2020**, *248*, 111969. [CrossRef]
- 122. Gorelick, N.; Hancher, M.; Dixon, M.; Ilyushchenko, S.; Thau, D.; Moore, R. Google Earth Engine: Planetary-Scale Geospatial Analysis for Everyone. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2017**, 202, 18–27. [CrossRef]
- 123. Schmid, J.N. Using Google Earth Engine for Landsat NDVI Time Series Analysis to Indicate the Present Status of Forest Stands; Georg-August-Universität Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 2017. [CrossRef]
- 124. Baghdasaryan, A.B. *Physical Geography of the Armenian SSR*; Institute of Geological Sciences, Department of Geography, ASSR AS, Ed.; ASSR, AS: Yerevan, Armenia, 1971.
- 125. Muradyan, V.S.; Asmaryan, S.G. Applying Landscape-Ecological Concept and GIS Modelling for Assessing and Mapping of Ecological Situation of Mountainous Landscapes (on the Case of Syunik Marz, Armenia). *Geocarto Int.* 2015, 30, 1077–1091. [CrossRef]
- 126. Wu, C.; Cao, G.; Chen, K.; Chong, Y.; Mao, Y.; Zhao, S.; Wang, Q.; Su, X.; Wei, Y. Remotely Sensed Estimation and Mapping of Soil Moisture by Eliminating the Effect of Vegetation Cover. J. Integr. Agric. 2019, 18, 316–327. [CrossRef]
- 127. Wang, Z.; Schaaf, C.B.; Sun, Q.; Shuai, Y.; Román, M.O. Capturing Rapid Land Surface Dynamics with Collection V006 MODIS BRDF/NBAR/Albedo (MCD43) Products. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2018, 207, 50–64. [CrossRef]
- 128. Holden, C.E.; Woodcock, C.E. An Analysis of Landsat 7 and Landsat 8 Underflight Data and the Implications for Time Series Investigations. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2016**, *185*, 16–36. [CrossRef]
- 129. Roy, D.P.; Kovalskyy, V.; Zhang, H.K.; Vermote, E.F.; Yan, L.; Kumar, S.S.; Egorov, A. Characterization of Landsat-7 to Landsat-8 Reflective Wavelength and Normalized Difference Vegetation Index Continuity. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2016**, *185*, 57–70. [CrossRef]
- Xu, D.; Guo, X. Compare NDVI Extracted from Landsat 8 Imagery with That from Landsat 7 Imagery. Am. J. Remote Sens. 2014, 2, 10.
   [CrossRef]
- 131. Li, P.; Jiang, L.; Feng, Z. Cross-Comparison of Vegetation Indices Derived from Landsat-7 Enhanced Thematic Mapper Plus (ETM+) and Landsat-8 Operational Land Imager (OLI) Sensors. *Remote Sens.* **2014**, *6*, 310–329. [CrossRef]
- Foga, S.; Scaramuzza, P.L.; Guo, S.; Zhu, Z.; Dilley, R.D.; Beckmann, T.; Schmidt, G.L.; Dwyer, J.L.; Joseph Hughes, M.; Laue, B. Cloud Detection Algorithm Comparison and Validation for Operational Landsat Data Products. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2017, 194, 379–390. [CrossRef]
- 133. Colby, J. Topographic Normalization in Rugged Terrain. Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens. 1991, 57, 531–537.
- Vanonckelen, S.; Lhermitte, S.; van Rompaey, A. The Effect of Atmospheric and Topographic Correction Methods on Land Cover Classification Accuracy. Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf. 2013, 24, 9–21. [CrossRef]
- Nguyen, M.D.; Baez-Villanueva, O.M.; Bui, D.D.; Nguyen, P.T.; Ribbe, L. Harmonization of Landsat and Sentinel 2 for Crop Monitoring in Drought Prone Areas: Case Studies of Ninh Thuan (Vietnam) and Bekaa (Lebanon). *Remote Sens.* 2020, 12, 281. [CrossRef]
- 136. Poortinga, A.; Tenneson, K.; Shapiro, A.; Nquyen, Q.; San Aung, K.; Chishtie, F.; Saah, D. Mapping Plantations in Myanmar by Fusing Landsat-8, Sentinel-2 and Sentinel-1 Data along with Systematic Error Quantification. *Remote Sens.* 2019, 11, 831. [CrossRef]
- Soenen, S.A.; Peddle, D.R.; Coburn, C.A. SCS+C: A Modified Sun-Canopy-Sensor Topographic Correction in Forested Terrain. IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens. 2005, 43, 2148–2159. [CrossRef]
- 138. Roy, D.P.; Li, Z.; Zhang, H.K.; Huang, H. A Conterminous United States Analysis of the Impact of Landsat 5 Orbit Drift on the Temporal Consistency of Landsat 5 Thematic Mapper Data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2020**, 240, 111701. [CrossRef]
- 139. Gao, F.; He, T.; Masek, J.G.; Shuai, Y.; Schaaf, C.B.; Wang, Z. Angular Effects and Correction for Medium Resolution Sensors to Support Crop Monitoring. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens.* **2014**, *7*, 4480–4489. [CrossRef]
- Vermote, E.F.; El Saleous, N.; Justice, C.O.; Kaufman, Y.J.; Privette, J.L.; Remer, L.; Roger, J.C.; Tanré, D. Atmospheric Correction of Visible to Middle-Infrared EOS-MODIS Data over Land Surfaces: Background, Operational Algorithm and Validation. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.* 1997, 102, 17131–17141. [CrossRef]
- 141. Gao, J.; Williams, M.W.; Fu, X.; Wang, G.; Gong, T. Spatiotemporal Distribution of Snow in Eastern Tibet and the Response to Climate Change. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2012**, *121*, 1–9. [CrossRef]
- 142. Mann, H.B. Nonparametric Tests Against Trend. *Econometrica* **1945**, *13*, 245–259. [CrossRef]
- 143. Kendall, M.G. Rank Correlation Methods; Griffin: London, UK, 1975; ISBN 978-0-85264-199-6.
- 144. Hamed, K.H.; Ramachandra Rao, A. A Modified Mann-Kendall Trend Test for Autocorrelated Data. J. Hydrol. 1998, 204, 182–196. [CrossRef]
- 145. Sen, P.K. Estimates of the Regression Coefficient Based on Kendall's Tau. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 1968, 63, 1379–1389. [CrossRef]
- 146. Gilbert, O. Statistical Methods for Environmental Pollution Monitoring. Wiley. Available online: https://www.wiley.com/en-us/ Statistical+Methods+for+Environmental+Pollution+Monitoring-p-9780471288787 (accessed on 11 July 2022).
- 147. Hussain, M.M.; Mahmud, I. PyMannKendall: A Python Package for Non Parametric Mann Kendall Family of Trend Tests. *J. Open Source Softw.* **2019**, *4*, 1556. [CrossRef]

- 148. Yue, S.; Wang, C. The Mann-Kendall Test Modified by Effective Sample Size to Detect Trend in Serially Correlated Hydrological Series. *Water Resour. Manag.* 2004, *18*, 201–218. [CrossRef]
- 149. Yue, S.; Pilon, P.; Phinney, B.; Cavadias, G. The Influence of Autocorrelation on the Ability to Detect Trend in Hydrological Series. *Hydrol. Processes* **2002**, *16*, 1807–1829. [CrossRef]
- 150. Serinaldi, F.; Kilsby, C.G. The Importance of Prewhitening in Change Point Analysis under Persistence. *Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk* Assess. Res. J. 2016, 30, 763–777. [CrossRef]
- 151. Storch, H.V. Misuses of Statistical Analysis in Climate Research. In *Analysis of Climate Variability*; Storch, H.V., Navarra, A., Eds.; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1995; pp. 11–26. ISBN 978-3-662-03169-8.
- 152. Kaspersen, P.S.; Fensholt, R.; Huber, S. A Spatiotemporal Analysis of Climatic Drivers for Observed Changes in Sahelian Vegetation Productivity (1982–2007). *Int. J. Geophys.* 2011, 2011, e715321. [CrossRef]
- 153. Liu, Z.; Wang, H.; Li, N.; Zhu, J.; Pan, Z.; Qin, F. Spatial and Temporal Characteristics and Driving Forces of Vegetation Changes in the Huaihe River Basin from 2003 to 2018. *Sustainability* **2020**, *12*, 2198. [CrossRef]
- 154. Prăvălie, R.; Bandoc, G.; Patriche, C.; Sternberg, T. Recent Changes in Global Drylands: Evidences from Two Major Aridity Databases. *CATENA* **2019**, *178*, 209–231. [CrossRef]
- 155. Zhang, P.; Anderson, B.; Tan, B.; Huang, D.; Myneni, R. Potential Monitoring of Crop Production Using a Satellite-Based Climate-Variability Impact Index. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **2005**, *132*, 344–358. [CrossRef]
- 156. Chen, B.; Xu, G.; Coops, N.C.; Ciais, P.; Innes, J.L.; Wang, G.; Myneni, R.B.; Wang, T.; Krzyzanowski, J.; Li, Q.; et al. Changes in Vegetation Photosynthetic Activity Trends across the Asia–Pacific Region over the Last Three Decades. *Remote Sens. Environ.* 2014, 144, 28–41. [CrossRef]
- 157. Piao, S.; Mohammat, A.; Fang, J.; Cai, Q.; Feng, J. NDVI-Based Increase in Growth of Temperate Grasslands and Its Responses to Climate Changes in China. *Glob. Environ. Change* **2006**, *16*, 340–348. [CrossRef]
- 158. Suepa, T.; Qi, J.; Lawawirojwong, S.; Messina, J.P. Understanding Spatio-Temporal Variation of Vegetation Phenology and Rainfall Seasonality in the Monsoon Southeast Asia. *Environ. Res.* **2016**, *147*, 621–629. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 159. Workie, T.G.; Debella, H.J. Climate Change and Its Effects on Vegetation Phenology across Ecoregions of Ethiopia. *Glob. Ecol. Conserv.* **2018**, *13*, e00366. [CrossRef]
- 160. Wang, J.; Rich, P.; Price, K. Temporal Responses of NDVI to Precipitation and Temperature in the Central Great Plains, USA. International Journal of Remote Sensing. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* **2003**, *24*, 2345–2364. [CrossRef]
- 161. Mao, D.; Wang, Z.; Luo, L.; Ren, C. Integrating AVHRR and MODIS Data to Monitor NDVI Changes and Their Relationships with Climatic Parameters in Northeast China. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* **2012**, *18*, 528–536. [CrossRef]
- Xin, Z.; Xu, J.; Zheng, W. Spatiotemporal Variations of Vegetation Cover on the Chinese Loess Plateau (1981–2006): Impacts of Climate Changes and Human Activities. *Sci. China Ser. D Earth Sci.* 2008, 51, 67–78. [CrossRef]
- Ragatoa, D.S.; Ogunjobi, K.O.; Okhimamhe, A.A.; Francis, S.D.; Adet, L. A Trend Analysis of Temperature in Selected Stations in Nigeria Using Three Different Approaches. *Open Access Libr. J.* 2018, 5, 1–17. [CrossRef]
- 164. Patakamuri, S.K.; Muthiah, K.; Sridhar, V. Long-Term Homogeneity, Trend, and Change-Point Analysis of Rainfall in the Arid District of Ananthapuramu, Andhra Pradesh State, India. *Water* **2020**, *12*, 211. [CrossRef]
- Shen, M.; Zhang, G.; Cong, N.; Wang, S.; Kong, W.; Piao, S. Increasing Altitudinal Gradient of Spring Vegetation Phenology during the Last Decade on the Qinghai–Tibetan Plateau. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 2014, 189–190, 71–80. [CrossRef]