



Article

Virulence and Antimicrobial Resistance Profiles of *Salmonella enterica* Serovars Isolated from Chicken at Wet Markets in Dhaka, Bangladesh

Nure Alam Siddiky ¹, Md Samun Sarker ¹, Md. Shahidur Rahman Khan ², Ruhena Begum ¹, Md. Ehsanul Kabir ¹, Md. Rezaul Karim ^{1,3}, Md. Tanvir Rahman ², Asheak Mahmud ¹ and Mohammed A. Samad ^{1,*}

¹ Antimicrobial Resistance Action Center, Bangladesh Livestock Research Institute, Savar, Dhaka 1341, Bangladesh; nasiddiky.saarc@gmail.com (N.A.S.); samuncvasu@gmail.com (M.S.S.); dr.ruhenabegum@gmail.com (R.B.); tanjib_bau@yahoo.com (M.E.K.); rezavetmicro@yahoo.com (M.R.K.); jnumicroasheak@gmail.com (A.M.)

² Department of Microbiology and Hygiene, Bangladesh Agricultural University, Mymensingh 2202, Bangladesh; msrkhan001@yahoo.com (M.S.R.K.); tanvirahman@bau.edu.bd (M.T.R.)

³ Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang 43400, Selangor, Malaysia

* Correspondence: msamad@blri.gov.bd; Tel.: +88-0171-7047-877



Citation: Siddiky, N.A.; Sarker, M.S.; Khan, M.S.R.; Begum, R.; Kabir, M.E.; Karim, M.R.; Rahman, M.T.; Mahmud, A.; Samad, M.A. Virulence and Antimicrobial Resistance Profiles of *Salmonella enterica* Serovars Isolated from Chicken at Wet Markets in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Microorganisms* **2021**, *9*, 952. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9050952>

Academic Editors: Gemma C. Langridge and Sangryeol Ryu

Received: 4 March 2021

Accepted: 18 April 2021

Published: 28 April 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: Virulent and multi drug resistant (MDR) *Salmonella enterica* is a foremost cause of foodborne diseases and had serious public health concern globally. The present study was undertaken to identify the pathogenicity and antimicrobial resistance (AMR) profiles of *Salmonella enterica* serovars recovered from chicken at wet markets in Dhaka, Bangladesh. A total of 870 cecal contents of broiler, sonali, and native chickens were collected from 29 wet markets. The overall prevalence of *S. Typhimurium*, *S. Enteritidis*, and untyped *Salmonella* spp., were found to be 3.67%, 0.57%, and 1.95% respectively. All isolates were screened by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for eight virulence genes, namely *invA*, *agfA*, *IpfA*, *hilA*, *sviH*, *sefA*, *sopE*, and *spvC*. *S. Enteritidis* isolates carried all virulence genes whilst *S. Typhimurium* isolates carried six virulence genes except *sefA* and *spvC*. A diverse phenotypic and genotypic AMR pattern was found. Harmonic descending trends of resistance patterns were observed among the broiler, sonali, and native chickens. Interestingly, virulent and MDR *Salmonella enterica* serovars were found in native chicken, although antimicrobials were not used in their production cycle. The research findings anticipate that virulent and MDR *Salmonella enterica* are roaming in the wet markets which can easily anchor to the vendor, consumers, and in the food chain.

Keywords: *Salmonella enterica* serovars; cecal contents; virulence; AMR; wet markets

1. Introduction

Salmonella is a vital food-borne infection in humans across the world and had significant morbidity, mortality, and economic loss [1,2]. *Salmonella* is a prominent driver of foodborne illness worldwide with an anticipated annual economic burden 3.7 billion dollars [3]. *Salmonella* is one of the most common foodborne pathogens, causing outbreaks of foodborne disease globally [4]. Poultry in particular have been regarded as the single prime cause of human salmonellosis although the pathogen have been associated with a diverse variety of food sources. Avian salmonellosis is not only affects the poultry industry but also can infect humans and caused by consumption of contaminated poultry meat and eggs [5]. Livestock products and by-products especially eggs and poultry meats are the common carriers of *Salmonella* infections [6]. Foodborne *Salmonella* infection may cause typhoid and enteritis and may have more severe in the immune-depressed people [7]. A diverse prevalence of *Salmonella* has been reported from poultry worldwide. The global trend of *Salmonella* infection has been intensified due to increasing consumption of livestock and poultry products [7,8]. Several researchers reported variable prevalence rates of

Salmonella infection in animal food sources in Bangladesh [9,10]. *Salmonella enterica* serovars Typhimurium (*S. Typhimurium*) and Enteritidis (*S. Enteritidis*) are the most common serovars linked with human foodborne illness among the more than 2500 serovars [11,12]. Human *S. Enteritidis* cases are mostly associated with the consumption of contaminated eggs and poultry meat, while *S. Typhimurium* cases with the consumption of contaminated pork, poultry, and beef [13,14]. A diverse prevalence of *Salmonella enterica* serovars has been reported in many countries across the globe among the animal products and by products [12,15,16]. It is universal fact that human *Salmonella* illness are related with numerous diverse kinds of food, including animal origin food and food products [2,17]. In Bangladesh, limited information has been published on *Salmonella enterica* serovars isolated in chicken meat or from chicken cecal contents at wet markets.

Generally the virulence factors trigger the pathogenicity of *Salmonella* infection. The virulence of *Salmonella* is interlined with combination of chromosomal and plasmid factors. Diverse genes, such as *invA*, *agfA*, *lpfA*, *hilA*, *sivH*, *sefA*, *sopE*, and *spvC*, have been recognized as major virulence genes liable for salmonellosis. The infectivity of *Salmonella* strains is associated with various virulence genes existent in the chromosomal *Salmonella* pathogenicity islands (SPIs) [18]. The invasion genes *invA*, *hilA*, and *sivH* code with a protein in the inner chromosomal membrane of *Salmonella* that is necessary for the invasion to epithelial cells [19]. Moreover, *Salmonella* effector protein adhered by *sopE* gene which have relevance to *Salmonella* virulence [20]. The plasmid mediated *spvC* gene is responsible for vertical transmission [21]. The long polar fimbria (*lpf* operon) make the attraction of the microbes for Peyer's patches and adhesion to intestinal M cells [22]. The aggregative fimbria (*agf* operon) promote the primary interaction of the *Salmonella* with the intestine of the host and stimulate microbial self-aggregation for higher rates of survival [23]. The *Salmonella*-encoded fimbria (*sef* operon) endorses interaction between the microbes and the macrophages [23]. There is a very inadequate information exist in the determination of virulence gene from *Salmonella enterica* serovars in Bangladesh.

Antibiotics are one of the most powerful tools for fighting life-threatening infections. Their discovery has transformed human and animal health. Unfortunately, we now live in an era when people around the world are dying from untreatable infections because of the emergence and spread of AMR. More than 2.8 million antibiotic-resistant infections occur in the United States each year, and more than 35,000 people die as a result [24]. AMR poses a formidable challenge to achieving sustainable development goals, including in health, food security, clean water and sanitation, responsible consumption and production, and poverty and inequality. Misuse and overuse of existing antimicrobials in humans, animals and plants are accelerating the development and spread of AMR [25]. The trends of MDR *Salmonella* strains has been increasing on a worldwide scale, especially in the food animals [26]. In Bangladesh, the antimicrobials are used as the therapeutic, preventive and growth prompters in the animal production system [27]. It is evident that antimicrobials are used as growth promoters in poultry [28]. The burden of AMR *Salmonella* has become a worldwide concern in recent decade [29,30]. MDR *Salmonella* of animal origin have been increasing in Bangladesh. The prevalence and resistance of *Salmonella* in either animal [31–34], or chicken farms or in retail poultry meats of wet market [34], or in slaughtering processes [35,36] have been detected. Moreover, several studies have been carried out at the molecular level to monitor the distribution of resistance genes in *Salmonella* serovars isolated from broiler chickens and chicken meat [37–39]. The dissemination of phenotypic and genotypic resistance gene in the food production chain and slaughtering process has been identified by different researchers [40–42].

Wet markets are very common in most South Asian countries, including Bangladesh. The vendors in wet markets are usually dress the chicken himself and carcass wastages are not managed well. Due to poor hygienic and sanitary practices there is a chance of spread and contamination of foodborne pathogen especially *Salmonella*. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first study ever to monitor the virulence gene along with phenotypic and genotypic AMR profiles of *Salmonella enterica* serovars at wet markets in

Bangladesh. In our study, we have focused the AMR profiles of *Salmonella enterica* serovars, i.e., *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* due to their public health importance. Moreover, this study is fully aligned with national AMR surveillance strategy of the country. Even most of the national AMR surveillance strategy across the globe suggested to collect cecal samples from healthy chicken at wet markets for AMR surveillance. Therefore, the present study was conducted to identify the prevalence of *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Enteritidis* along with their virulence gene and phenotypic and genotypic AMR properties in chicken at wet markets in Dhaka, Bangladesh.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Design and Sample Size

A cross sectional study was conducted at the chicken wet markets in Dhaka city, the capital of Bangladesh from February to December 2019. Dhaka city is considered the biggest chicken selling hub where the chicken was supplied from different destinations of the country. We have selected city corporation authorized 29 out of 165 wet markets having at least 10 chicken vendors in Dhaka city based on random selection technique. It was assumed that daily sells more than 0.5 million chickens at wet markets in Dhaka city. The sample size was calculated based on the prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. found by different previous studies in Bangladesh. Sample size was calculated by using “Sample size calculator for prevalence studies, version 1.0.01” [43,44]. The expected prevalence of *Salmonella* was considered 25% with 95% confidence interval and 5% accepted error precision and poultry population considered 0.5 million during sample size calculation. The sample size calculation showed that the individual type of sample number should not less than 289. Therefore, individual cecal contents of broiler (commercial chicken), sonali (cross breed), and native chickens were collected 290.

This study received ethical approval from the Ethical Committee of the Animal Health Research Division at the Bangladesh Livestock Research Institute, Dhaka, Bangladesh (ARAC: 15/10/2019:04).

2.2. Isolation and Identification of *Salmonella* Spp

Isolation and identification of *Salmonella* were done according to the guidelines of ISO [45] as following; pre-enrichment of the cecal contents in buffered peptone water (BPW; Oxoid, UK) at 1:10 dilution followed by aerobic incubation at 37 °C for 18–24 h. Later, 0.1 mL of the pre-enriched sample was placed separately in three different places on Modified Semisolid Rappaport Vassiliadis (MSRV; Oxoid, UK) agar and incubated at 41.5 °C for 20–24 h. One loop from MSRV was streaked on Xylose Lysine Deoxycholate (XLD; Oxoid, UK) and another loop to MacConkey agar plates and incubated at 37 °C for overnight [46]. Characteristic black centred with reddish zone colony on XLD and colorless colony on MacConkey were picked up and subsequently sub cultured on nutrient agar and subjected to biochemical tests: triple sugar iron (TSI), motility indole urea (MIU), catalase and oxidase. Final confirmation was done by the Vitek-2 compact analyser (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France) followed by PCR.

2.3. DNA Extraction

DNA from pure culture was extracted using conventional boiling method [47]. Briefly, each isolate cultured on nutrient agar medium and incubated overnight at 37 °C. Few fresh colonies were harvested from overnight culture and suspended in nuclease free water. Then bacterial suspension was boiled at 99 °C for 15 min followed by chilled on ice. Finally, the debris were separated by centrifugation and supernatant was taken as the DNA template for PCR.

2.4. PCR for the Detection of *Salmonella* Spp and *Salmonella enterica* Serovars

Salmonella was confirmed with the detection of virulence gene *invA* by an uniplex PCR ((u-PCR-1) with initial denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min; 38 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 64 °C

for 30 s and 72 °C for 30 s and final elongation at 72 °C for 4 min [48,49]. Multiplex PCR (m-PCR I) was done to detect *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Enteritidis* with initial denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min; 30 cycles of 95 °C for 1 min, 57 °C for 1 min, 72 °C for 2 min, and final elongation at 72 °C for 5 min [50–52]. PCR assays were adjusted in 25 µL reaction mixture containing 2 µL of DNA template, 12.5 µL of 2x master mix (Go Taq Green Master Mix, Promega, Dane County, WI, USA), 0.5 µL each of forward and reverse primers (10 pmol/µL) and 9.5 µL nuclease free water. The PCR products were run through 1.5% (*w/v*) agarose gel electrophoresis. A 100 bp DNA ladder (Thermo Scientific, USA) was used as a size marker. The primers used in this study for PCR are presented in Supplementary Table S1. *S. Typhimurium* ATCC 14028 and *S. Enteritidis* ATCC 13076 were used as positive control in the PCR assay. Consequently, PCR positive *Salmonella* serovars was further reconfirmed by the Vitek-2 compact analyser (bioMérieux, France).

2.5. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) was made by the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method in accordance with the guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [53]. Briefly, two-three fresh colonies were suspended in 3 mL normal saline and the turbidity of the suspension was standardized to match with 0.5 McFarland standard (approximately 1.5×10^6 CFU/mL). This bacterial inoculum was wiped over the surface of Mueller Hinton agar (MHA; Oxoid, UK) plate, onto which the antimicrobial disks were placed by using disk dispenser within 15 min. Plates were incubated for 16–24 h at 35–37 °C prior to determination of results. The diameter of the zone of inhibition surrounding the disks was measured by automated zone of inhibition reader (Scan[®] 4000, Interscience, Paris, France) and compared to the break points of CLSI [53]. The disk diffusion was done against 16 antimicrobials under 10 groups including aminoglycosides: amikacin (AK, 30 µg), gentamicin (CN, 10 µg), streptomycin (S, 10 µg); carbapenem: meropenem (MEM, 10 µg); cephalosporin/beta-lactam antibiotics: ceftriaxone (CRO, 30 µg), cefotaxime (CT, 10 µg), ceftazidime (CAZ, 30 µg), aztreonam (ATM, 30 µg); beta-lactamase inhibitors: amoxicillin-clavulanate (AMC, 30 µg); penicillins: ampicillin (AMP, 10 µg); macrolides: azithromycin (AZM, 15 µg); quinolones/fluoroquinolones: ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 µg), nalidixic acid (NA, 30 µg); folate pathway inhibitors: sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (SXT, 25 µg); tetracycline: tetracycline (TE, 10 µg); phenicols: chloramphenicol (C, 30 µg). *Salmonella* isolates resistant to three or more antimicrobials were defined as MDR isolates [54]. Intermediate was regarded as resistant isolates since the acquisition and transition from susceptible to resistance had already begun [55]. The *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25922 strain was used as known positive control. The multiple antibiotic resistance (MAR) index was calculated and interpreted using the proven method [56,57].

2.6. PCR for the Detection of Antimicrobial Resistance Genes

Salmonella enterica serovars Typhimurium and Enteritidis were screened by PCR for the detection of β-lactamase genes (*bla*TEM, *bla*SHV, *bla*OXA, *bla*CTX-M-1, *bla*CTX-M-2, *bla*CTX-M-9 and *bla*CTX-Mg8/25), tetracycline resistant genes (*tetA*, *tetB* and *tetC*), sulfonamide resistant genes (*sul1*, *sul2*, and *sul3*) and streptomycin resistant gene (*strA/B*). All PCR assays were adjusted in 25 µL reaction mixture containing 2 µL of DNA template, 12.5 µL of 2x master mix (Go Taq Green Master Mix, Promega, Dane County, WI, USA), 0.5 µL each of forward and reverse primers (10 pmol/µL), and 9.5 µL nuclease free water. For β-lactam gene, multiplex PCR (m-PCR II and m-PCR III) amplification was carried out with initial denaturation at 94 °C for 10 min; 30 cycles of 94 °C for 40 s, 60 °C for 40 s and 72 °C for 1 min; and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 7 min. Multiplex PCR (m-PCR IV) was conducted to detect sulfonamide resistant gene with initial denaturation at 95 °C for 15 min; 30 cycles of 95 °C for 1 min, 1 min of annealing at 66 °C and 72 °C for 1 min; and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 10 min. Similarly, multiplex PCR (m-PCR V) was done to detect tetracycline and streptomycin resistance gene with initial denaturation at 94 °C for 15 min; 30 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, 1 min of annealing at 63 °C and 72 °C for 1 min;

and a final elongation step at 72 °C for 10 min. The primers used in this study for PCR are presented in Supplementary Table S1 [50,51,58,59]. Amplicons were visualized after running at 100 V with 500 mA for 30 min in 1.5% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide. A 100-bp DNA ladder (Thermo Scientific, USA) was used as a size marker.

2.7. PCR for the Detection of Virulence Genes

All *Salmonella enterica* isolates were screened by PCR to discover virulence genes. The PCRs were executed in single reactions using primers for detection of eight virulence genes *invA*, *agfA*, *ipfA*, *hilA*, *sivH*, *sefA*, *sopE*, and *spvC* are presented in Table S2 [49,60–66]. All PCR assays were optimized in 25 µL reaction mixture containing 2 µL of DNA template, 12.5 µL of 2x master mix (Go Taq Green Master Mix, Promega, Dane County, WI, USA), 1 µL each of forward and reverse primers (20 pmol/µL) and 8.5 µL nuclease free water. The reference positive control (*S. Typhimurium* ATCC 14028 and *S. Enteritidis* ATCC 13076) and negative control (*E. coli* ATCC 25922) were used for validation. Amplicons were visualized after running at 100 V with 500 mA for half an hour in 1.2% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide. A 100bp DNA ladder (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was used as a size marker.

2.8. Statistical Analysis

All data were incorporated into Excel sheets (MS-2016) and analyzed by SPSS software (SPSS-20.0). The prevalence was calculated using descriptive analysis and Chi-square test was done to determine the level of significance. Statistical significance was measured by *p*-values less than 0.05 ($p < 0.05$).

3. Results

3.1. Prevalence of *Salmonella enterica* Serovars

The isolated *Salmonella* spp., *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Enteritidis* produced PCR products 284 bp, 401 bp, and 293 bp in size, respectively in gel documentation system. The overall prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. was found 8.62% (25 in 290) in broiler, 6.89% (20 in 290) in sonali (cross breed) and 3.1% (nine in 290) in native chicken. Meanwhile, the MDR *Salmonella* spp. was found 84% (21 in 25), 75% (15 in 20), and 44.4% (four in nine) in broiler, sonali, and native chickens, respectively. The prevalence of MDR *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Enteritidis* were found 78.1% and 80%, respectively. Among the 25 *Salmonella* isolates of broiler chicken, the prevalence of *S. Typhimurium*, *S. Enteritidis* and untyped *Salmonella* spp., were found 60% (15 in 25), 20% (five in 25) and 20% (five in 25), respectively. Likewise, among the 20 *Salmonella* isolates of sonali chicken, the prevalence of *S. Typhimurium* and untyped *Salmonella* spp. were found 55% (11 in 20) and 45% (nine in 20), respectively. Similarly, the prevalence of *S. Typhimurium* and untyped *Salmonella* spp. were found 66.7% (six in nine) and 33.3% (three in nine) among the nine *Salmonella* isolates of native chicken. No *S. Enteritidis* was isolated from sonali and native chickens. The prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in broiler (8.62%) was significantly higher than sonali (6.89%) and native (3.1%) chicken ($p < 0.05$). Similarly, the prevalence of *Salmonella enterica* serovars in broiler chicken (80%) was significantly higher than sonali (55%) and native (66.66%) chicken ($p < 0.05$).

3.2. Phenotypic Resistance Pattern of *Salmonella enterica* Serovars

AST results revealed that in case of *S. Typhimurium* highest resistance (100%) was recorded to ciprofloxacin and streptomycin followed by 86.66% to tetracycline, nalidixic acid and gentamicin, 66.66% to ampicillin and 40% to amoxicillin–clavulanate in broiler chicken. Whilst in *S. Enteritidis*, the highest resistance was recorded to streptomycin (100%) followed by 80% to ciprofloxacin, tetracycline, and gentamicin, 20% to amikacin, amoxicillin–clavulanate, azithromycin, and sulphamethazaxole-trimethoprim were recorded in broiler chicken. In sonali chicken, *S. Typhimurium* was found resistant 81.81% to streptomycin and tetracycline followed by 72.72% to ciprofloxacin and gentamicin. In native

chicken, *S. Typhimurium* was found resistant to tetracycline (100%), ciprofloxacin (66.7%) and 50% to ampicillin and gentamicin (Table 1). The third generation antibiotics (aztreonam, ceftriaxone, cefotaxime and ceftazidime) were found almost sensitive to all isolates. As shown in Table 2, the highest MAR index value of 0.62 was found in one *S. Typhimurium* isolate. The more prevalent MAR index value of 0.43 was recorded in 14 *S. Typhimurium* and two *S. Enteritidis* isolates. In the present study, 78.1% (25 in 32) isolates of *S. Typhimurium* and 80% (four in five) isolates of *S. Enteritidis* were found MDR. All MDR isolates were resistant to at least four of the antimicrobials. A single *S. Typhimurium* isolate was found sensitive to all antimicrobials. The phenotypic AMR pattern was significantly higher in broiler compared to sonali and native chicken ($p < 0.05$). The AMR profile of *Salmonella enterica* serovars are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The results anticipate that antimicrobials are widely used in broiler production followed by sonali chicken production. The detail AST result is represented in Supplementary File S1.

Table 1. AMR patterns of *Salmonella enterica* serovars in broiler, sonali and native chicken.

Antimicrobials	Antibiogram				Level of Significance
	Broiler		Sonali	Native	
	<i>S. Typhimurium</i> % (n/N)	<i>S. Enteritidis</i> % (n/N)	<i>S. Typhimurium</i> % (n/N)	<i>S. Typhimurium</i> % (n/N)	
Ciprofloxacin	100 (15/15)	80 (4/5)	72.7 (8/11)	66.7 (4/6)	**
Streptomycin	100 (15/15)	100 (5/5)	72.7 (8/11)	33.3 (2/6)	**
Ampicillin	66.7 (10/15)	60 (3/5)	72.7 (8/11)	50 (3/6)	**
Tetracycline	86.7 (13/15)	80 (4/5)	72.7 (8/11)	100 (6/6)	**
Nalidixic acid	86.7 (13/15)	60 (3/5)	45.5 (5/11)	33.3 (2/6)	**
Gentamicin	86.7 (13/15)	80 (4/5)	72.7 (8/11)	50 (3/6)	**
Azithromycin	13.3 (2/15)	20 (1/5)	9.1 (1/11)	0	ns
amoxicillin–clavulanate	40 (6/15)	20 (1/5)	27.3 (3/11)	0	ns
Chloramphenicol	6.7 (1/15)	0	0	16.7 (1/6)	ns
Sulphamethazaxole-Trimethoprim	0	20 (1/5)	0	16.7 (1/6)	ns
Amikacin	13.3 (2/15)	20 (1/5)	9.1 (1/11)	0	ns
Meropenem	0	0	9.1 (1/11)	16.7 (1/6)	ns
ceftazidime	13.3 (2/15)	20 (1/5)	9.1 (1/11)	0	ns
Ceftriaxone	13.3 (2/15)	20 (1/5)	0	0	ns
Cefotaxime	13.3 (2/15)	0	0	0	ns
Aztreonam	6.7 (1/15)	0	0	0	ns

** = significant ($p < 0.05$), ns = non-significant, n = Number of resistant isolate, N = Number of *Salmonella* isolates.

Table 2. AMR patterns and MAR index of *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Enteritidis* isolated from chicken at wet markets.

Isolate No.	Sources	Serovars	Resistance Profile	¹ MAR Index
ARAC-CD-CH-1510	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AZM	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-1687	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AMC	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-1861	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S	0.12
ARAC-CD-CH-1929	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-CAZ	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-2441	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AMC	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-2503	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AMC	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-2564	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AMC	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-2628	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-CRO	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-2688	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	S-AZM	0.12
ARAC-CD-CH-2750	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN	0.37
ARAC-CD-CH-2801	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AMC	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-2803	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AMC	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-2806	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN	0.38
ARAC-CD-CH-2987	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-TE-NA-AMC-C-AK-CT-CRO ATM	0.62

Table 2. Cont.

Isolate No.	Sources	Serovars	Resistance Profile	¹ MAR Index
ARAC-CD-CH-3044	BC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-TE-NA-CN	0.31
ARAC-CD-CH-1519	SC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-AMP-TE-NA-MEM	0.31
ARAC-CD-CH-1576	SC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AMC	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-1991	SC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AK	0.37
ARAC-CD-CH-2573	SC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AMC-AK	0.5
ARAC-CD-CH-2511	SC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	0	0
ARAC-CD-CH-2573	SC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AMC	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-2633	SC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	S-AZM	0.12
ARAC-CD-CH-2756	SC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-CN	0.31
ARAC-CD-CH-2813	SC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AMC-CT	0.5
ARAC-CD-CH-3115	SC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-TE-CN	0.25
ARAC-CD-CH-3173	SC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-CT	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-1523	NC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-AMP-TE-NA-MEM	0.31
ARAC-CD-CH-2881	NC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-CN-SXT-C	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-3004	NC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-TE	0.12
ARAC-CD-CH-3130	NC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	S-TE	0.12
ARAC-CD-CH-3185	NC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	TE-CN	0.12
ARAC-CD-CH-3186	NC	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AMC	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-1929	BC	<i>S. Enteritidis</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-CAZ	0.43
ARAC-CD-CH-1988	BC	<i>S. Enteritidis</i>	CIP-S-TE-CN-AMC-SXT	0.37
ARAC-CD-CH-2684	BC	<i>S. Enteritidis</i>	S	0.06
ARAC-CD-CH-2686	BC	<i>S. Enteritidis</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AK-CRO	0.5
ARAC-CD-CH-2747	BC	<i>S. Enteritidis</i>	CIP-S-AMP-TE-NA-CN-AZM	0.43

BC = Broiler ceca, SC = Sonali ceca, NC = Native ceca, ¹ MAR index = number of resistance antibiotics/total number of antibiotics tested. AK-amikacin, gentamicin (CN), streptomycin (S), meropenem (MEM); ceftriaxone (CRO), cefotaxime (CT), ceftazidime (CAZ), aztreonam (ATM); amoxicillin-clavulanate (AMC), ampicillin (AMP), azithromycin (AZM), ciprofloxacin (CIP), nalidixic acid (NA), sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (SXT), tetracycline: tetracycline (TE), chloramphenicol (C).

3.3. Genotypic Resistance Pattern

All isolates were screened for seven ESBL producing genes (*bla*TEM, *bla*SHV, *bla*OXA, *bla*CTX-M-1, *bla*CTX-M-2, *bla*CTX-M-9 and *bla*CTX-Mg8/25). Only one ESBL gene, *bla*TEM has been detected and the prevalence among the *S. Typhimurium* isolates were found 73.3%, 63.6% and 50% in broiler, sonali and native chicken, respectively. Moreover, all isolates were examined for three tetracycline resistance genes (*tetA*, *tetB*, and *tetC*) but only one *tetA* gene was detected. The prevalence of *tetA* gene among the *S. Typhimurium* isolates were found 80%, 90.9%, and 100% in broiler, sonali, and native chicken, respectively. Similarly, only *sul1* gene was encountered out of three resistance genes (*sul1*, *sul2* and *sul3*) with prevalence rate 80%, 36.4%, and 66.7% among the *S. Typhimurium* isolates of broiler, sonali and native chicken, respectively. In addition, streptomycin resistance gene *strA/B* was detected in few isolates of *S. Typhimurium* with prevalence rate 33.3%, 27.3%, and 16.7% in broiler, sonali and native chicken, respectively. Moreover, the prevalence of *bla*TEM, *tetA*, *sul1* and *strA/B* were found 40%, 100%, 20%, and 20%, respectively among the *S. Enteritidis* isolates in broiler chicken. The *tetA* gene was found more prevalent compared to other genes (*bla*TEM, *sul1* and *strA/B*) in broiler, sonali and native chicken. The genotypic resistance patterns are presented in Table 3 as well as in Supplementary File S2.

3.4. Virulence Characterization

All *Salmonella enterica* serovars were screened by PCR to monitor eight common virulence genes namely *invA*, *agfA*, *lpfA*, *hilA*, *sivH*, *sefA*, *sopE*, and *spvC*. All five isolates of *S. Enteritidis* were found to be positive for altogether eight virulence genes whilst *S. Typhimurium* isolates were found positive for six virulence genes except *sefA* and *spvC*. There was a statistically significant correlation exist among the virulence genes in broiler, sonali, and native chicken ($p < 0.05$). The prevalence of virulence genes are presented in Table 4.

Table 3. Prevalence of AMR gene in *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Enteritidis* in different chicken.

Samples	Serovars	<i>bla</i> TEM %	<i>TetA</i> %	<i>Sul1</i> %	<i>StrA/B</i> %
Broiler ceca	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	73.3 (11/15)	80 (12/15)	80 (12/15)	33.3 (5/15)
	<i>S. Enteritidis</i>	40 (2/5)	100 (5/5)	20 (1/5)	20 (1/5)
Sonali ceca	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	63.6 (7/11)	90.9 (10/11)	36.4 (4/11)	27.3 (3/11)
Native ceca	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	50 (3/6)	100 (6/6)	66.7 (4/6)	16.7 (1/6)

Table 4. Prevalence of virulence genes of *Salmonella enterica* serovar.

Samples	Serovars	<i>InvA</i> %	<i>AgfA</i> %	<i>IpfA</i> %	<i>HilA</i> %	<i>SivH</i> %	<i>SefA</i> %	<i>SopE</i> %	<i>SpvC</i> %
Broiler ceca	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	100 (15/15)	100 (15/15)	100 (15/15)	100 (15/15)	100 (15/15)	0	100 (15/15)	0
	<i>S. Enteritidis</i>	100 (5/5)	100 (5/5)	100 (5/5)	100 (5/5)	100 (5/5)	100 (5/5)	100 (5/5)	100 (5/5)
Sonali ceca	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	100 (11/11)	100 (11/11)	100 (11/11)	100 (11/11)	100 (11/11)	0	100 (11/11)	0
Native ceca	<i>S. Typhimurium</i>	100 (6/6)	100 (6/6)	100 (6/6)	100 (6/6)	100 (6/6)	0	100 (6/6)	0
Level of significance		**	**	**	**	**	ns	**	ns

** significant ($p < 0.05$), ns-non significant.

4. Discussion

Pathogenic MDR *Salmonella enterica* serovars are a leading cause of foodborne diseases and serious public health concern worldwide. *Salmonella* induced foodborne illness has got more priority than have other foodborne pathogens worldwide. *Salmonella enterica* is highly diverse, having over 2500 different serovars distributed across the globe. In the present study, the overall prevalence rate of *Salmonella* spp., was recorded 6.2% in chicken cecal contents which is very alike with the findings of Mir et al. [67] and Mir et al. [68] who reported an overall prevalence of 6.88% in Kashmir Valley and 6.31% in Rajasthan, India. However, the prevalence rate was lower compared to other studies conducted in Bangladesh, India, Ethiopia and Malaysia [9,69,70]. The low prevalence could be due to the collection of cecal content from healthy chickens as well as precautions were taken to avoid cross contamination during collection of samples at wet markets. The isolation of *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Enteritidis* among the *Salmonella* isolates recovered from different chicken cecal contents are in agreement with other findings across the globe. In Bangladesh, *S. Typhimurium* were isolated from commercial broiler and breeder farms [9,10,71]. In Malaysia, *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Enteritidis* were isolated from raw chicken meat at retail markets [70]. In Turkey, the prevalent serotype was identified as *S. Enteritidis* (21.9%) and *S. Typhimurium* (9.4%) from chicken [72]. In India, *Salmonella enterica* serovars were isolated from backyard poultry flocks [73]. *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* recovered from chicken meat in Egypt [74]. Similar observations had been reported by Suresh et al. [11,75] who recovered *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Enteritidis* in high proportion compared to other serovars from various poultry products in India. *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* were the most prevalent serotypes, consistent with earlier reports from China and some European countries [76,77]. The serovars identified in this study indicate the diversity of *Salmonella* spp. in commercial as well as native poultry flocks in Bangladesh.

S. Typhimurium and *S. Enteritidis* have been emerged as major cause of foodborne salmonellosis over the last few decades worldwide [78,79]. *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* are the most predominant isolates in most *Salmonella* cases associated with the consumption of contaminated poultry, pork, and beef products [80,81]. Contamination of *Salmonella* serovars in poultry products can occur at multiple steps along the food chain, including production, processing, distribution, retail marketing, handling, and preparation [82]. *S. Typhimurium*, with its broader range of host tropism, is one of the top two serovars responsible for causing infections in human and animal worldwide [83,84].

The high resistance of *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* to ciprofloxacin, streptomycin, gentamicin, ampicillin, tetracycline, and nalidixic acid in our study predict that these antibiotics are widely used in the poultry farming system in Bangladesh. These findings are in agreement with Alam et al. [9] who reported a range of 77.1% to 97.1% resistance

of *Salmonella* isolates to tetracycline, ampicillin, streptomycin, and chloramphenicol. Consequently, Bupasha et al. [85] reported *Salmonella* recovered from pigeons were resistance at the rate of 93.1%, 81.8%, and 86.2% to amoxicillin, erythromycin, and tetracycline, respectively. Suresh et al. [11] found 52.3% and 38.1% resistance to ampicillin and tetracycline in the *Salmonella* isolates of animal origin. The level of resistance against nalidixic acid is very much in agreement with the findings of Halimi et al. [86] who found 53% resistance to nalidixic acid. The resistance patterns are also incompatible and supported with other preceding findings of home and abroad [87]. *Salmonella* isolated from fecal samples of domestic animals (chickens, ducks, geese and pigs) were resistant to nalidixic acid (48.8%), tetracycline (46.9%), sulfafurazole (45.7%), ampicillin (43.2%), streptomycin (38.3%) and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (33.3%) [32,75,88,89]. In our study, MDR *Salmonella* embedded with mostly ciprofloxacin, ampicillin, tetracycline, streptomycin and gentamicin as these drugs are commonly used in poultry production cycle in Bangladesh [90,91]. It is a matter of fact that following the guideline of CLSI [53], the first and second generations of cephalosporins and aminoglycosides may appear active in vitro, but are not effective clinically and must not be reported as susceptible, i.e., *Salmonella* becomes natural resistant to first- and second-generation cephalosporin and aminoglycosides. It is alarming that watch group antibiotic ciprofloxacin had become highly resistant and azithromycin is becoming resistant, though the WHO noted that the watch group have higher resistance potential and are recommended as essential first or second choices in empiric treatment options for a limited number of specific infectious syndromes [92]. This evidence reflects the insight of indiscriminate use of antimicrobial in farming system.

Beta lactum resistant *bla*TEM gene were found 73.3%, 63.6%, and 50% in *S. Typhimurium* isolates of broiler, sonali and native chicken, respectively. The prevalence of *tetA* gene among the *S. Typhimurium* isolates were found 80%, 90.9%, and 100% in broiler, sonali and native chicken, respectively. Similarly, only *sul1* gene was encountered out of three resistance genes (*sul1*, *sul2*, and *sul3*) with prevalence rate 80%, 36.4%, and 66.7% in *S. Typhimurium* isolates of broiler, sonali and native chicken, respectively. The streptomycin resistance gene, *strA/B* was found 33.3%, 27.3%, and 16.7% in broiler, sonali and native chicken among the *S. Typhimurium* isolates. In our study, there was a harmonic correlation between genotypic and phenotypic resistance decoration. These findings are in agreement and in accordance with the findings of former study conducted at home and abroad [9,54,93]. However, some disagreement was observed between phenotypic and genotypic resistance pattern of sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim. The causes of disagreement may be due to misalignment of disk (sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim) and primers (sulfonamide). Moreover, the disagreement between phenotypic and genotypic results may happen due to sensitivity and specificity of disk, primers, concentration of inoculum, laboratory capacity and individual skill. Rather, some research findings supported the misalignment between genotypic and phenotypic resistance pattern [94,95]. Ahmed et al. [96] reported higher prevalence of *bla*TEM gene mediated ESBL production among *Salmonellae* isolated from humans in Bangladesh. Yang et al. [97] detected *bla*TEM gene, a gene encoded for beta-lactamases resistance, in 51.6% resistant *Salmonella* isolates. Aslam et al. [98] reported that the percentage of *bla*TEM gene in *Salmonella* isolated from retail meats in Canada was 17% and this gene was the most common resistance genes detected. Lu et al. [99] observed that 81.2% *bla*TEM gene, while *bla*CTX-M could not be detected in any of the examined isolates. Similarly, Van et al. [94] found only *bla*TEM gene in *E. coli* recovered from raw meat and shellfish in Vietnam. The emergence of *bla*TEM mediated ESBL producing *Salmonella enterica* serovars indicates the use of beta-lactam antibiotics in poultry farming practices. Moreover, ESBL are usually encoded by large plasmids that are transferable from strain to strain and between bacterial species [96,100]. Arkali and Çetinkaya [72] detected *sul1* gene with 58% among the *Salmonella* isolates from chickens in eastern Turkey. Consequently, Jahantigh et al. [101] isolated the most prevalent *tetA* from broiler chicken in Iran. Accordingly, Vuthy et al. [89] detected *bla*TEM, *tetA*, *strA/B* gene from chicken food chain while Sin et al. [102] isolated *tetA* and *sul1* gene from chicken

meat in Korea. Our molecular detection of resistant gene is consistent with many findings across the globe including Bangladesh. In contrast, other ESBL genes (*bla*SHV, *bla*OXA, *bla*CTX-M), tetracycline genes (*tet*B, *tet*C), and sulfonamide genes (*sul*2, *sul*3) were not detected in any isolates in this study.

The presence of virulence genes and AMR pattern can accelerate the pathogenicity of the microbes [20]. The emergence of AMR of *Salmonella enterica* solely depends on genetic and pathogenicity mechanisms that may enhance the survivability by preserving their drug resistance genes [98]. The virulence gene was more prevalent in *S. Enteritidis* compared to *S. Typhimurium* isolates. The virulence genes *invA*, *agfA*, *lpfA*, *hilA*, *sivH*, and *spvC* were detected in all isolates of *Salmonella enterica* which is consistent with earlier findings across the world [103–106]. Furthermore, the virulence gene *sefA* and *spvC* were detected only in *S. Enteritidis* isolates and on the contrary no *sefA* and *spvC* genes were detected in any *S. Typhimurium* isolates. Similar observations have been recorded previously by researchers [104]. The high prevalence of *sefA* in *S. Enteritidis* is interlinked with prior findings [103,106], and *sefA* is recognized a target gene to detect *S. Enteritidis* serovars in molecular method [103]. The *invA* is the most virulent and common gene present in *Salmonella* which is target gene to identify *Salmonella* spp. [18,81,107]. The *hilA* gene play a key role in *Salmonella* virulence through stimulate the expression of invasion [108,109]. The virulence gene *invA* and *hilA* can be considered target genes to rapid detection of *Salmonella* spp. through PCR method. The higher incidence of *lpfA* and *agfA* were comparable to previous research findings on different serovars [23,110]. The frequency of *sopE* gene (100%) were alike with earlier studies on *S. Enteritidis* [111]. Further, *agfA* gene responsible with biofilm formation as well as adhesion during infection process [112]. In our result, the virulence plasmid gene (*spvC*) was only detected in *S. Enteritidis* which has similarities with earlier observations [103,113,114]. It has been observed previously that 92% of *S. Enteritidis* strains had the *spvC* gene, whereas only 28% to *S. Typhimurium* and no gene found in *S. Hadar* [115]. It was found that *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* exposed to wider range of pathogenicity compared to other serovars. The presence of important virulence gene reflects the pathobiology as well as public health significance of the serovars. Thus, all the *Salmonella enterica* isolates were found highly invasive and enterotoxigenic which had great public health impact. This is the first attempt to encounter a wider range of virulence gene of *Salmonella enterica* serovars in Bangladesh.

Our findings demonstrate that MDR strains of *S. Enteritidis* and *S. Typhimurium* are prevalent in the cecal contents of broiler, sonali and native chicken. In reality, MDR *Salmonella* serovars are denoted more virulent than non-MDR *Salmonella* [18]. This might lead to human infections with foodborne AMR *Salmonella*, and probably create an enormous challenge to treatment of *Salmonella* infection in humans and animals in Bangladesh. Various reports on the risk factor associated with the occurrence of MDR *Salmonella* isolates have been published. Ziech et al. [34] reported that the appearance of MDR *Salmonella* isolates correlates positively with the indiscriminate use of antibiotics at recommended doses or at sub-therapeutic doses as feed additives in poultry farm. In addition, genetic and biochemical mechanisms may make a significant contribution to the emergence of MDR strains of *Salmonella*, and thus preserve their drug resistance genes and enhance their survivability.

Our results also indicate that wet markets where chicken are processed act as reservoirs in harboring *Salmonella enterica* serovars. In wet markets, cross-contamination might occur during the dressing and processing of chicken due to poor sanitary and hygienic measures. The chicken carcass may be contaminated with MDR *Salmonella* serovars due to cross contamination during slaughter and facilitate the dissemination of the resistance genes to consumers along the production chain, which suggests importance of controlling *Salmonella* during slaughter. Moreover, the recovered MDR *Salmonella enterica* serovars constitute a possible risk to human. Therefore, it is important to manage the use of antimicrobial agents in poultry farming system to prevent the acquisition and increased resistance to recent molecules in order to fight against the vertical and horizontal transfer of MDR strains.

Alternatively, it is necessary to develop more effective intervention strategies, such as sanitation, drainage, waste management, awareness, and training, in order to reduce the risk of foodborne diseases at wet markets. The study has raised a serious public health concern and thus demands strict monitoring and surveillance at wet markets.

5. Conclusions

The detection of pathogenic MDR *Salmonella enterica* serovars Typhimurium and Enteritidis from cecal contents of healthy chickens at retail wet markets remains extremely alarming and has led to great public health concern. Commercial and native both chickens are carriers of pathogenic MDR *Salmonella enterica* serovars. The result depicted that *Salmonella enterica* serovars harbor at wet markets where chicken carcass is processed. The wet market could be considered the hot spot of spread and contamination of MDR *Salmonella enterica* serovars which can easily anchor to vendor, consumers and in food chain due to poor sanitary and unhygienic measures. Moreover, the emergence of resistance in healthy chickens may be generated due to the irrational use of antimicrobials in the production cycle or spill over from the environment. Results of this study would help to effective designing and implementation of national AMR surveillance strategy for ensuring food safety and market management to further minimize the spread at wet markets. Further resistance patterns would impart a message to physicians, researchers, and policy makers to formulate standard treatment guidelines and support the adoption of good agricultural practices for the prudent and judicious use of antimicrobials in the poultry production system.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/microorganisms9050952/s1>, Table S1: Primers used in the study to detect *Salmonella enterica* serovars and resistance gene; Table S2: Primers used in the study to detect virulence gene of *Salmonella enterica* serovars; File S1: AST result; File S2: Genotypic resistance result.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, N.A.S., M.A.S., M.S.R.K., and M.T.R.; methodology, N.A.S., M.S.S., R.B., and A.M.; software, M.R.K., and M.E.K.; validation: N.A.S. and M.S.S.; formal analysis, N.A.S. and M.R.K.; data curation, M.S.S., R.B., M.E.K., A.M., and M.R.K.; writing—original draft preparation, N.A.S. and M.S.S.; writing—review & editing, M.A.S., M.S.R.K., M.T.R., and M.S.S.; visualization, M.E.K. and A.M.; supervision, M.S.R.K. and M.A.S.; project administration, M.A.S.; funding acquisition: M.A.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by the project on “combating the threats of antimicrobial resistance and zoonotic diseases to achieve the GHSA in Bangladesh” (Grant Number: 5 NU2GGH002077).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable (As the sample were collected from chicken slaughtered houses not directly from human or live animal but we have approval from ethical committee of the Institute with number ARAC: 15/10/2019:04 though it is mentioned in material and method section).

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available either in the article or supplementary material here.

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge the consistent support of vendors those who extended their cooperation during sample collection at wet markets. We would acknowledge the support of the authority of Bangladesh Livestock Research Institute to accomplish the work successfully.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Lin, D.; Yan, M.; Lin, S.; Chen, S. Increasing prevalence of hydrogen sulfide negative *Salmonella* in retail meats. *Food Microbiol.* **2014**, *43*, 1–4. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
2. Sallam, K.I.; Mohammed, M.A.; Hassan, M.A.; Tamura, T. Prevalence, molecular identification and antimicrobial resistance profile of *Salmonella* serovars isolated from retail beef products in Mansoura, Egypt. *Food Contr.* **2014**, *38*, 209–214. [[CrossRef](#)]

3. Hoffmann, S.; Macculloch, B.; Batz, M. Economic burden of major foodborne illnesses acquired in the United States. *Econ. Cost Foodborne Illn. United States* **2015**, *140*, 1–74.
4. Newell, D.G.; Koopmans, M.; Verhoef, L.; Duizer, E.; Aidara-Kane, A.; Sprong, H.; Opsteegh, M.; Langelaar, M.; Threlfall, J.; Scheutz, F.; et al. Food-borne diseases—The challenges of 20 years ago still persist while new ones continue to emerge. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2010**, *139*, S3–S15. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Behravesh, C.B.; Brinson, D.; Hopkins, B.A.; Gomez, T.M. Backyard poultry flocks and salmonellosis: A recurring, yet preventable public health challenge. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2014**, *58*, 1432–1438. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Greig, J.D.; Ravel, A. Analysis of foodborne outbreak data reported internationally for source attribution. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2009**, *130*, 77–87. [[CrossRef](#)]
7. Carlson, B.A.; Ruby, J.; Smith, G.C.; Sofos, J.N.; Bellinger, G.R.; Warren-Serna, W.; Centrella, B.; Bowling, R.A.; Belk, K.E. Comparison of antimicrobial efficacy of multiple beef hide decontamination strategies to reduce levels of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella*. *J. Food Prot.* **2008**, *71*, 2223–2227. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Henchion, M.; De Backer, C.J.S.; Hudders, L. Ethical and sustainable aspects of meat production; consumer perceptions and system credibility. In *New Aspects of Meat Quality*; Elsevier Ltd.: Oxford, UK, 2017.
9. Alam, S.B.; Mahmud, M.; Akter, R.; Hasan, M.; Sobur, A.; Nazir, N.H.; Noreddin, A.; Rahman, T.; El Zowalaty, M.E.; Rahman, M. Molecular detection of multidrug resistant *Salmonella* species isolated from broiler farm in Bangladesh. *Pathogens* **2020**, *9*, 201. [[CrossRef](#)]
10. Mridha, D.; Uddin, M.N.; Alam, B.; Akhter, A.H.M.T.; Islam, S.K.S.; Islam, M.S.; Khan, M.S.R.; Kabir, S.M.L. Identification and characterization of *Salmonella* spp. from samples of broiler farms in selected districts of Bangladesh. *Vet. World* **2020**, *13*, 275–283. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Suresh, T.; Hatha, A.A.M.; Sreenivasan, D.; Sangeetha, N.; Lashmanaperumalsamy, P. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* Enteritidis and other *Salmonellas* in the eggs and egg-storing trays from retail markets of Coimbatore, South India. *Food Microbiol.* **2006**, *23*, 294–299. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Rodpai, E.; Moongkarndi, P.; Tungrugkasut, W.; Phisannoradej, R.; Kanarat, S. Comparison of multiplex polymerase chain reaction and immunoassay to detect *Salmonella* spp., *S. Typhimurium*, and *S. Enteritidis* in Thai chicken meat. *Sci. Asia* **2013**, *39*, 150–159. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Park, H.C.; Baig, I.A.; Lee, S.C.; Moon, J.Y.; Yoon, M.Y. Development of ssDNA aptamers for the sensitive detection of *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Salmonella* Enteritidis. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *174*, 793–802. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Spector, M.P.; Kenyon, W.J. Resistance and survival strategies of *Salmonella Enterica* to environmental stresses. *Food Res. Int.* **2012**, *45*, 455–481. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. De Freitas, C.G.; Santana, Â.P.; da Silva, P.H.C.; Gonçalves, V.S.P.; Barros, M.d.A.F.; Torres, F.A.G.; Murata, L.S.; Perecmanis, S. PCR multiplex for detection of *Salmonella* Enteritidis, Typhi and Typhimurium and occurrence in poultry meat. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2010**, *139*, 15–22. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Shah, A.H.; Korejo, N.A. Antimicrobial resistance profile of *Salmonella* serovars isolated from chicken meat. *J. Vet. Anim. Sci.* **2012**, *2*, 40–46.
17. Brichta-Harhay, D.M.; Guerini, M.N.; Arthur, T.M.; Bosilevac, J.M.; Kalchayanand, N.; Shackelford, S.D.; Wheeler, T.L.; Koohmaraie, M. *Salmonella* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 contamination on hides and carcasses of cull cattle presented for slaughter in the United States: An evaluation of prevalence and bacterial loads by immunomagnetic separation and direct plating methods. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2008**, *74*, 6289–6297. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Nayak, R.; Stewart, T.; Wang, R.F.; Lin, J.; Cerniglia, C.E.; Kenney, P.B. Genetic diversity and virulence gene determinants of antibiotic-resistant *Salmonella* isolated from preharvest turkey production sources. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2004**, *91*, 51–62. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Darwin, K.H.; Miller, V.L. InvF is required for expression of genes encoding proteins secreted by the SPII type III secretion apparatus in *Salmonella* Typhimurium. *J. Bacteriol.* **1999**, *181*, 4949–4954. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Huehn, S.; La Ragione, R.M.; Anjum, M.; Saunders, M.; Woodward, M.J.; Bunge, C.; Helmuth, R.; Hauser, E.; Guerra, B.; Beutlich, J.; et al. Virulotyping and antimicrobial resistance typing of *Salmonella enterica* serovars relevant to human health in Europe. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* **2010**, *7*, 523–535. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Silva, C.; Puente, J.L.; Calva, E. *Salmonella* virulence plasmid: Pathogenesis and ecology. *Pathog. Dis.* **2017**, *75*, 2012–2016. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Bäumler, A.J.; Tsois, R.M.; Heffron, F. The *lpf* fimbrial operon mediates adhesion of *Salmonella* Typhimurium to murine Peyer’s patches. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1996**, *93*, 279–283. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. Collinson, S.K.; Doig, P.C.; Doran, J.L.; Clouthier, S.; Trust, T.J.; Kay, W.W. Thin, aggregative fimbriae mediate binding of *Salmonella* enteritidis to fibronectin. *J. Bacteriol.* **1993**, *175*, 12–18. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
24. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). *Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States*; Department of Health and Human Services, CDC: Atlanta, GA, USA, 2019.
25. Interagency Coordination Group (IACG). *No Time to Wait: Securing the Future from Drug-Resistant Infections, Report to the Secretary-General of the United Nations*; Interagency Coordination Group: New York, NY, USA, 2019.
26. Hur, J.; Jawale, C.; Lee, J.H. Antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* isolated from food animals: A review. *Food Res. Int.* **2012**, *45*, 819–830. [[CrossRef](#)]

27. Abdelgawad, M.A.; Mohamed, A.M.; Musa, A.; Mostafa, E.M.; Awad, H.M. Synthesis, chromatographic separation and antimicrobial evolution of new azoquinoline-8-ol. *J. Pharm. Sci. Res.* **2018**, *10*, 1314–1318.
28. Aarestrup, F.M.; Kruse, H.; Tast, E.; Hammerum, A.M.; Jensen, L.B. Associations between the use of antimicrobial agents for growth promotion and the occurrence of resistance among *Enterococcus faecium* from broilers and pigs in Denmark, Finland, and Norway. *Microb. Drug Resist.* **2000**, *6*, 63–70. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. O'Bryan, C.A.; Crandall, P.G.; Ricke, S.C. Antimicrobial resistance in foodborne pathogens. *Food Feed Saf. Syst. Anal.* **2018**, *4*, 99–115.
30. Sultana, M.; Bilkis, R.; Diba, F.; Hossain, M.A. Predominance of multidrug resistant zoonotic *Salmonella* Enteritidis genotypes in poultry of Bangladesh. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* **2014**, *51*, 424–434. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Ahmed, A.M.; Shimamoto, T. Genetic analysis of multiple antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* isolated from diseased broilers in Egypt. *Microbiol. Immunol.* **2012**, *56*, 254–261. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Long, M.; Lai, H.; Deng, W.; Zhou, K.; Li, B.; Liu, S.; Fan, L.; Wang, H.; Zou, L. Disinfectant susceptibility of different *Salmonella* serotypes isolated from chicken and egg production chains. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **2016**, *121*, 672–681. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Mainali, C.; McFall, M.; King, R.; Irwin, R. Evaluation of antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Salmonella* isolates from broiler chickens at slaughter in Alberta, Canada. *J. Food Prot.* **2014**, *77*, 485–492. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Pan, Z.M.; Geng, Y.Q.; Liu, Z.Y.; Fang, Q.; Liu, B.B.; Jiao, X.A. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* sp. isolated from domestic animals in eastern China. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.* **2010**, *9*, 2290–2294. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Rivera-Pérez, W.; Barquero-Calvo, E.; Zamora-Sanabria, R. *Salmonella* contamination risk points in broiler carcasses during slaughter line processing. *J. Food Prot.* **2014**, *77*, 2031–2034. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Ziech, R.E.; Lampugnani, C.; Perin, A.P.; Sereno, M.J.; Sfaciotte, R.A.P.; Viana, C.; Soares, V.M.; Pinto, J.P.D.A.N.; Bersot, L.D.S. Multidrug resistance and ESBL-producing *Salmonella* spp. isolated from broiler processing plants. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* **2016**, *47*, 191–195. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Asai, T.; Kojima, A.; Harada, K.; Ishihara, K.; Takahashi, T.; Tamura, Y. Correlation between the usage volume of veterinary therapeutic antimicrobials and resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolated from the feces of food-producing animals in Japan. *Jpn. J. Infect. Dis.* **2005**, *58*, 369–372.
38. Song, Y.; Li, W.; Duan, Y.; Li, Z.; Deng, L. Nicking enzyme-assisted biosensor for *Salmonella* Enteritidis detection based on fluorescence resonance energy transfer. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* **2014**, *55*, 400–404. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Zhuang, L.; Gong, J.; Li, Q.; Zhu, C.; Yu, Y.; Dou, X.; Liu, X.; Xu, B.; Wang, C. Detection of *Salmonella* spp. by a loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) method targeting bcfD gene. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* **2014**, *59*, 658–664. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Le Hello, S.; Bekhit, A.; Granier, S.A.; Barua, H.; Beutlich, J.; Zając, M.; Münch, S.; Sintchenko, V.; Bouchrif, B.; Fashae, K.; et al. The global establishment of a highly-fluoroquinolone resistant *Salmonella enterica* serotype Kentucky ST198 strain. *Front. Microbiol.* **2013**, *4*, 395. [[CrossRef](#)]
41. Li, R.; Lai, J.; Wang, Y.; Liu, S.; Li, Y.; Liu, K.; Shen, J.; Wu, C. Prevalence and characterization of *Salmonella* species isolated from pigs, ducks and chickens in Sichuan Province, China. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2013**, *163*, 14–18. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
42. Zhao, X.; Ye, C.; Chang, W.; Sun, S. Serotype distribution, antimicrobial resistance, and class 1 integrons profiles of *Salmonella* from animals in slaughterhouses in Shandong Province, China. *Front. Microbiol.* **2017**, *8*, 1049. [[CrossRef](#)]
43. Naing, L.; Winn, T.; Rusli, B.N. Sample Size Calculator for Prevalence Studies, Version 1.0.01. 2006. Available online: http://www.kck.usm.my/ppsg/stats_resources.htm (accessed on 21 April 2021).
44. Daniel, W.W. *Biostatistics: A Foundation for Analysis in the Health Sciences*, 7th ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, NY, USA, 1999.
45. ISO, 6579: 2002. *Microbiology of Food and Animal Feeding Stuffs. Horizontal Method for the Detection of Salmonella spp.*; British Standard Institute: London, UK, 2002.
46. Foley, S.L.; Lynne, A.M.; Nayak, R. *Salmonella* challenges: Prevalence in swine and poultry and potential pathogenicity of such isolates. *J. Anim. Sci.* **2008**, *86*, 149–162. [[CrossRef](#)]
47. Sarker, M.S.; Ahad, A.; Ghosh, S.K.; Mannan, M.S.; Sen, A.; Islam, S.; Bayzid, M.; Bupasha, Z.B. Antibiotic-resistant *Escherichia coli* in deer and nearby water sources at safari parks in Bangladesh. *Vet. World* **2019**, *12*, 1578–1583. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. Malorny, B.; Hoorfar, J.; Hugas, M.; Heuvelink, A.; Fach, P.; Ellerbroek, L.; Bunge, C.; Dorn, C.; Helmuth, R. Interlaboratory diagnostic accuracy of a *Salmonella* specific PCR-based method. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2003**, *89*, 241–249. [[CrossRef](#)]
49. Zahraei-Salehi, T.; Mahzoniae, M.R.; Ashrafi, A. Amplification of *invA* gene of *Salmonella* by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) as a specific method for detection of *Salmonellae*. *Iran J. Vet. Med.* **2006**, *61*, 195–199.
50. Agron, P.G.; Walker, R.L.; Kinde, H.; Sawyer, S.J.; Hayes, D.C.; Wollard, J.; Andersen, G.L. Identification by subtractive hybridization of sequences specific for *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2001**, *67*, 4984–4991. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
51. Alvarez, J.; Sota, M.; Vivanco, A.B.; Perales, I.; Cisterna, R.; Rementeria, A.; Garaizar, J. Development of a multiplex PCR technique for detection and epidemiological typing of *Salmonella* in human clinical samples. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2004**, *42*, 1734–1738. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Herrera-León, S.; McQuiston, J.R.; Usera, M.A.; Fields, P.I.; Garaizar, J.; Echeita, M.A. Multiplex PCR for distinguishing the most common phase-1 flagellar antigens of *Salmonella* spp. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2004**, *42*, 2581–2586. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
53. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). *Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Approved Standard*, 28th ed.; Document M100, 2019; Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute: Wayne, PA, USA, 2019.

54. Zhu, Y.; Lai, H.; Zou, L.; Yin, S.; Wang, C.; Han, X.; Xia, X.; Hu, K.; He, L.; Zhou, K.; et al. Antimicrobial resistance and resistance genes in *Salmonella* strains isolated from broiler chickens along the slaughtering process in China. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2017**, *259*, 43–51. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
55. Jaja, I.F.; Bhembe, N.L.; Green, E.; Oguttu, J.; Muchenje, V. Molecular characterisation of antibiotic-resistant *Salmonella enterica* isolates recovered from meat in South Africa. *Acta Trop.* **2019**, *190*, 129–136. [[CrossRef](#)]
56. Adzitey, F.; Rusul, G.; Huda, N. Prevalence and antibiotic resistance of *Salmonella* serovars in ducks, duck rearing and processing environments in Penang, Malaysia. *Food Res. Int.* **2012**, *45*, 947–952. [[CrossRef](#)]
57. Titilawo, Y.; Sibanda, T.; Obi, L.; Okoh, A. Multiple antibiotic resistance indexing of *Escherichia coli* to identify high-risk sources of faecal contamination of water. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2015**, *22*, 10969–10980. [[CrossRef](#)]
58. Dallenne, C.; da Costa, A.; Decré, D.; Favier, C.; Arlet, G. Development of a set of multiplex PCR assays for the detection of genes encoding important β -lactamases in Enterobacteriaceae. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **2010**, *65*, 490–495. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
59. Kozak, G.K.; Boerlin, P.; Janecko, N.; Reid-Smith, R.J.; Jardine, C. Antimicrobial resistance in *Escherichia coli* isolates from swine and wild small mammals in the proximity of swine farms and in natural environments in Ontario, Canada. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2009**, *75*, 559–566. [[CrossRef](#)]
60. Cesco, M.A.O.; Zimmermann, F.C.; Giotto, D.B.; Guayba, J.; Borsoi, A.; Rocha, S.L.S.; Camilotti, E.; DalMolin, J.; Moraes, H.L.S.; Nascimento, V.P. *Pesquisa de Genes de Virulência em Salmonella Hadar em Amostras Provenientes de Material Avícola*; R0701-0; Anais 35 Congresso Brasileiro de Medicina Veterinária: Porto Alegre, Brazil, 2008.
61. Bäumler, A.J.; Heffron, F. Identification and sequence analysis of IpfABCDE, a putative fimbrial operon of *Salmonella* Typhimurium. *J. Bacteriol.* **1995**, *177*, 2087–2097. [[CrossRef](#)]
62. Guo, X.; Chen, J.; Beuchat, L.R.; Brackett, R.E. PCR detection of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Montevideo in and on raw tomatoes using primers derived from hilA. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2000**, *66*, 5248–5252. [[CrossRef](#)]
63. Kingsley, R.A.; Humphries, A.D.; Weening, E.H.; De Zoete, M.R.; Winter, S.; Papaconstantinopoulou, A.; Dougan, G.; Baumler, A.J. Molecular and phenotypic analysis of the CS54 island of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Typhimurium: Identification of intestinal colonization and persistence determinants. *Infect. Immun.* **2003**, *71*, 629–640. [[CrossRef](#)]
64. Oliveira, S.D.; Santos, L.R.; Schuch, D.M.T.; Silva, A.B.; Salle, C.T.P.; Canal, C.W. Detection and identification of *Salmonella* from poultry-related samples by PCR. *Vet. Microbiol.* **2002**, *87*, 25–35. [[CrossRef](#)]
65. Prager, R.; Rabsch, W.; Streckel, W.; Voigt, W.; Tietze, E.; Tschäpe, H. Molecular properties of *Salmonella enterica* serotype Paratyphi B distinguish between its systemic and its enteric pathovars. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2003**, *41*, 4270–4278. [[CrossRef](#)]
66. Swamy, S.C.; Barnhart, H.M.; Lee, M.D.; Dreesen, D.W. Virulence determinants invA and spvC in *Salmonellae* isolated from poultry products, wastewater, and human sources. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1996**, *62*, 3768–3771. [[CrossRef](#)]
67. Mir, I.A.; Kashyap, S.K.; Maherchandani, S. Isolation, serotype diversity and antibiogram of *Salmonella enterica* isolated from different species of poultry in India. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed.* **2015**, *5*, 561–567. [[CrossRef](#)]
68. Mir, I.A.; Wani, S.A.; Hussain, I.; Qureshi, S.D.; Bhat, M.A.; Nishikawa, Y. Molecular epidemiology and in vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of *Salmonella* isolated from poultry in Kashmir. *Rev. Off. Int. Epizoot.* **2010**, *29*, 677–686. [[CrossRef](#)]
69. Kaushik, P.; Anjay, K.S.; Bharti, S.K.; Dayal, S. Isolation and prevalence of *Salmonella* from chicken meat and cattle milk collected from local markets of Patna, India. *Vet. World.* **2014**, *7*, 62–65. [[CrossRef](#)]
70. Thung, T.Y.; Radu, S.; Mahyudin, N.A.; Rukayadi, Y.; Zakaria, Z.; Mazlan, N.; Tan, B.H.; Lee, E.; Yeoh, S.L.; Chin, Y.Z.; et al. Prevalence, virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance profiles of *Salmonella* serovars from retail beef in Selangor, Malaysia. *Front. Microbiol.* **2018**, *8*, 2697. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
71. Barua, H.; Biswas, P.K.; Olsen, K.E.; Shil, S.K.; Christensen, J.P. Molecular characterization of motile serovars of *Salmonella enterica* from breeder and commercial broiler poultry farms in Bangladesh. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e57811. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
72. Arkali, A.; Çetinkaya, B. Molecular identification and antibiotic resistance profiling of *Salmonella* species isolated from chickens in eastern Turkey. *BMC Vet. Res.* **2020**, *16*, 1–8. [[CrossRef](#)]
73. Samanta, I.; Joardar, S.N.; Das, P.K.; Das, P.; Sar, T.K.; Dutta, T.K.; Bandyopadhyay, S.; Batabyal, S.; Isore, D.P. Virulence repertoire, characterization, and antibiotic resistance pattern analysis of *Escherichia coli* isolated from backyard layers and their environment in India. *Avian Dis.* **2014**, *58*, 39–45. [[CrossRef](#)]
74. Tarabees, R.; Elsayed, M.S.A.; Shawish, R.; Basiouni, S.; Shehata, A.A. Isolation and characterization of *Salmonella* Enteritidis and *Salmonella* Typhimurium from chicken meat in Egypt. *J. Infect. Dev. Ctries.* **2017**, *11*, 314–319. [[CrossRef](#)]
75. Gottapu, G.C.; Suresh, B. Multidrug resistance. ESBL profile of *Salmonella* serovars isolated from poultry birds and foods of animal origin. *Pharma Innov. J.* **2019**, *8*, 277–282.
76. Osimani, A.; Aquilanti, L.; Clementi, F. *Salmonellosis* associated with mass catering: A survey of European Union cases over a 15-year period. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **2016**, *144*, 3000–3012. [[CrossRef](#)]
77. Zeng, Y.B.; Xiong, L.G.; Tan, M.F.; Li, H.Q.; Yan, H.; Zhang, L.; Yin, D.-F.; Kang, Z.-F.; Wei, Q.-P.; Luo, L.-G. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* in pork, chicken, and duck from retail markets of China. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* **2019**, *16*, 339–345. [[CrossRef](#)]
78. Gantois, I.; Ducatelle, R.; Pasmans, F.; Haesebrouck, F.; Gast, R.; Humphrey, T.J.; Van Immerseel, F. Mechanisms of egg contamination by *Salmonella* Enteritidis: Review article. *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.* **2009**, *33*, 718–738. [[CrossRef](#)]

79. Rodrigues, A.C.P.; Mardi, R.M.; Bambirra, E.A.; Vieira, E.G.; Nicoli, U.R. Effect of *Saccharomyces boulardii* against experimental oral infection with *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Shigella flexneri* conventional and gnotobiotic mice. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **1996**, *81*, 251–256.
80. Vose, D.; Koupeev, T.; Mintiens, K. *A Quantitative Microbiological Risk Assessment of Salmonella spp. in Broiler*; Technical Report Submitted to EFSA. This Contract Was Awarded by EFSA to Contractor: Vose Consulting (US) LLC; EFSA: Parma, Italy, 2011; pp. 1–81.
81. Elkenany, R.; Elsayed, M.M.; Zakaria, A.I.; El-Sayed, S.A.E.S.; Rizk, M.A. Antimicrobial resistance profiles and virulence genotyping of *Salmonella enterica* serovars recovered from broiler chickens and chicken carcasses in Egypt. *BMC Vet. Res.* **2019**, *15*, 1–9. [[CrossRef](#)]
82. Dookeran, M. Assessing thermal inactivation of *Salmonella* on cooked broiler chicken carcasses in Trinidad and Tobago. *Open Conf. Proc. J.* **2012**, *3*, 12–19. [[CrossRef](#)]
83. Hendriksen, R.S.; Vieira, A.R.; Karlslose, S.; Lo Fo Wong, D.M.A.; Jensen, A.B.; Wegener, H.C.; Aarestrup, F.M. Global monitoring of *Salmonella* serovar distribution from the world health organization global foodborne infections network country data bank: Results of quality assured laboratories from 2001 to 2007. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* **2011**, *8*, 887–900. [[CrossRef](#)]
84. Majowicz, S.E.; Musto, J.; Scallan, E.; Angulo, F.J.; Kirk, M.; O'Brien, S.J.; Jones, T.F.; Fazil, A.; Hoekstra, R.M. The global burden of nontyphoidal *Salmonella* gastroenteritis. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **2010**, *50*, 882–889. [[CrossRef](#)]
85. Bupasha, Z.B.; Begum, R.; Karmakar, S.; Akter, R.; Ahad, A. Multidrug-resistant *Salmonella* spp. isolated from apparently healthy pigeons in a live bird market in Chattogram, Bangladesh. *World's Vet. J.* **2020**, *10*, 508–513.
86. Halimi, H.A.; Seifi, H.A.; Rad, M. Bovine *Salmonellosis* in Northeast of Iran: Frequency, genetic fingerprinting and antimicrobial resistance patterns of *Salmonella* spp. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed.* **2014**, *4*, 1–7. [[CrossRef](#)]
87. Rahman, M.A.; Rahman, A.K.M.A.; Islam, M.A.; Alam, M.M. Detection of multi-drug resistant *Salmonella* from milk and meat in Bangladesh. *J. Adv. Vet. Anim. Res.* **2018**, *16*, 115–120. [[CrossRef](#)]
88. Im, M.C.; Jeong, S.J.; Kwon, Y.K.; Jeong, O.M.; Kang, M.S.; Lee, Y.J. Prevalence and characteristics of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from commercial layer farms in Korea. *Poult. Sci.* **2015**, *94*, 1691–1698. [[CrossRef](#)]
89. Vuthy, Y.; Lay, K.S.; Seiha, H.; Kerleguer, A.; Aidara-Kane, A. Antibiotic susceptibility and molecular characterization of resistance genes among *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* subsp. in chicken food chains. *Asian Pac. J. Trop. Biomed.* **2017**, *7*, 670–674. [[CrossRef](#)]
90. Al Masud, A.; Rousham, E.K.; Islam, M.A.; Alam, M.U.; Rahman, M.; Al Mamun, A.; Sarker, S.; Asaduzzaman, M.; Unicomb, L. Drivers of antibiotic use in poultry production in Bangladesh: Dependencies and dynamics of a patron-client relationship. *Front. Vet. Sci.* **2020**, *7*, 7. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
91. Parvej, M.S.; Nazir, K.N.; Rahman, M.B.; Jahan, M.; Khan, M.F.; Rahman, M. Prevalence and characterization of multi-drug resistant *Salmonella* Enterica serovar Gallinarum biovar Pullorum and Gallinarum from chicken. *Vet. World* **2016**, *9*, 65–70. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
92. World Health Organization (WHO). *WHO Releases the 2019 AWaRe Classification Antibiotics*; World Health Organization: New York, NY, USA, 2019.
93. Sarker, M.S.; Mannan, M.S.; Ali, M.Y.; Bayzid, M.; Ahad, A.; Bupasha, Z.B. Antibiotic resistance of *Escherichia coli* isolated from broilers sold at live bird markets in Chattogram, Bangladesh. *J. Adv. Vet. Anim. Res.* **2019**, *6*, 272–277. [[CrossRef](#)]
94. Van, T.T.; Chin, J.; Chapman, T.; Tran, L.T.; Coloe, P.J. Safety of raw meat and shellfish in Vietnam: An analysis of *Escherichia coli* isolations for antibiotic resistance and virulence genes. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2008**, *124*, 217–223. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
95. Paterson, D.L.; Bonomo, R.A. Extended-spectrum β -lactamases: A clinical update. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **2005**, *4*, 657–686. [[CrossRef](#)]
96. Ahmed, D.; Ud-Din, A.I.; Wahid, S.U.; Mazumder, R.; Nahar, K.; Hossain, A. Emergence of blaTEM type extended-spectrum β -lactamase producing *Salmonella* spp. in the urban area of Bangladesh. *Int. Sch. Res. Notices* **2014**. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
97. Yang, B.; Qu, D.; Zhang, X.; Shen, J.; Cui, S.; Shi, Y.; Xi, M.; Sheng, M.; Zhi, S.; Meng, J. Prevalence and characterization of *Salmonella* serovars in retail meats of marketplace in Shaanxi, China. *Int. J. Food Microbiol.* **2010**, *141*, 63–72. [[CrossRef](#)]
98. Aslam, M.; Checkley, S.; Avery, B.; Chalmers, G.; Bohaychuk, V.; Gensler, G.; Reid-Smith, R.; Boerlin, P. Phenotypic and genetic characterization of antimicrobial resistance in *Salmonella* serovars isolated from retail meats in Alberta, Canada. *Food Microbiol.* **2012**, *32*, 110–117. [[CrossRef](#)]
99. Lu, Y.; Wu, C.M.; Wu, G.J.; Zhao, H.Y.; He, T.; Cao, X.Y.; Dai, L.; Xia, L.-N.; Qin, S.-S.; Shen, J.-Z. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance among *salmonella* isolates from chicken in China. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* **2011**, *8*, 45–53. [[CrossRef](#)]
100. González, F.; Araque, M. Association of transferable quinolone resistance determinant qnrB19 with extended-spectrum β -lactamases in *Salmonella* GIVE and *Salmonella* Heidelberg in Venezuela. *Int. J. Microbiol.* **2013**. [[CrossRef](#)]
101. Jahantigh, M.; Samadi, K.; Dizaji, R.E.; Salari, S. Antimicrobial resistance and prevalence of tetracycline resistance genes in *Escherichia coli* isolated from lesions of colibacillosis in broiler chickens in Sistan, Iran. *BMC Vet. Res.* **2020**, *16*, 1–6. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
102. Sin, M.; Yoon, S.; Kim, Y.B.; Noh, E.B.; Seo, K.W.; Lee, Y.J. Molecular characteristics of antimicrobial resistance determinants and integrons in *Salmonella* isolated from chicken meat in Korea. *J. Appl. Poult. Res.* **2020**, *29*, 502–514. [[CrossRef](#)]
103. Amini, K.; Salehi, T.Z.; Nikbakht, G.; Ranjbar, R.; Amini, J. Molecular detection of invA and spv virulence genes in *Salmonella enteritidis* isolated from human and animals in Iran. *Afr. J. Microbiol. Res.* **2010**, *4*, 2202–2210.

104. Borges, K.A.; Furian, T.Q.; Borsoi, A.; Moraes, H.L.S.; Salle, C.T.P.; Nascimento, V.P. Detection of virulence-associated genes in *Salmonella* Enteritidis isolates from chicken in South of Brazil. *Pesqui. Vet. Bras.* **2013**, *33*, 1416–1422. [[CrossRef](#)]
105. Campioni, F.; Bergamini, A.M.M.; Falcão, J.P. Genetic diversity, virulence genes and antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* Enteritidis isolated from food and humans over a 24-year period in Brazil. *Food Microbiol.* **2012**, *32*, 254–264. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
106. Crâciunaş, C.; Keul, A.L.; Flonta, M.; Cristea, M. DNA-based diagnostic tests for *Salmonella* strains targeting hila, agfA, spvC and sef genes. *J. Environ. Manag.* **2012**, *95*, 2010–2013. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
107. Lan, R.; Reeves, P.R.; Octavia, S. Population structure, origins and evolution of major *Salmonella* enterica clones. *Infect. Genet. Evol.* **2009**, *9*, 996–1005. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
108. Ramatla, T.; Ngoma, L.; Adetunji, M.; Mwanza, M. Evaluation of Antibiotic Residues in Raw Meat Using Different Analytical Methods. *Antibiotics* **2017**, *6*, 34. [[CrossRef](#)]
109. Cardona-Castro, N.; Restrepo-Pineda, E.; Correa-Ochoa, M. Detection of *hila* gene sequences in serovars of *Salmonella enterica* subspecies enterica. *Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz* **2002**, *97*, 1153–1156. [[CrossRef](#)]
110. Borsoi, A.; Santin, E.; Santos, L.R.; Salle, C.T.P.; Moraes, H.L.S.; Nascimento, V.P. Inoculation of newly hatched broiler chicks with two Brazilian isolates of *Salmonella* Heidelberg strains with different virulence gene profiles, antimicrobial resistance, and pulsed field gel electrophoresis patterns to intestinal changes evaluation. *Poult. Sci.* **2009**, *88*, 750–758. [[CrossRef](#)]
111. Batchelor, M.; Hopkins, K.; Threlfall, E.J.; Clifton-Hadley, F.A.; Stallwood, A.D.; Davies, R.H.; Liebana, E. blaCTX-M genes in clinical *Salmonella* isolates recovered from humans in England and Wales from 1992 to 2003. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2005**, *49*, 1319–1322. [[CrossRef](#)]
112. Yoo, A.Y.; Yu, J.E.; Yoo, H.; Lee, T.H.; Lee, W.H.; Oh, J.-I.; Kang, H.Y. Role of sigma factor E in regulation of *Salmonella* Agf expression. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2013**, *430*, 131–136. [[CrossRef](#)]
113. Castilla, K.S.; Ferreira, C.S.A.; Moreno, A.M.; Nunes, I.A.; Ferreira, A.J.P. Distribution of virulence genes sefC, pefA and spvC in *Salmonella* enteritidis phage type 4 strains isolated in Brazil. *Braz. J. Microbiol.* **2016**, *37*, 135–139. [[CrossRef](#)]
114. Motta, R.N.; Oliveira, M.M.; Magalhães, P.S.; Dias, A.M.; Aragão, L.P.; Forti, A.C.; Carvalho, C. Plasmid-mediated extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing strains of Enterobacteriaceae isolated from diabetes foot infections in a Brazilian diabetic center. *Braz. J. Infect. Dis.* **2003**, *7*, 129–134. [[CrossRef](#)]
115. Nasnas, R.; Matta, M.Y.; El Helou, G.; Rizk, N.; Sarkis, D.K.; Moussa, R. Brain abscesses in HIV-positive patients due to *Pneumocystis jirovecii*. *J. Int. Assoc. Physicians AIDS Care* **2012**, *11*, 169–171. [[CrossRef](#)]