

Article

Water as Cultural Memory: The Symbolism of Flow in African Spiritual Imagination

Oluwaseyi B. Ayeni ^{1,*} , Oluwajuwon M. Omigbodun ², Oluwakemi T. Onibalusi ³
and Isabella Musinguzi-Karamukyo ⁴

¹ Department of Law, The University of Buckingham, Hunter St, Buckingham MK18 1EG, UK

² Department of Law, Afe Babalola University, Ado Ekiti N/A, Nigeria; omigbodun.juwon@gmail.com

³ Department of Government and Public Policy, School of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XQ, UK

⁴ Department of Management and Entrepreneurship, Imperial College Business School, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK

* Correspondence: 1103117@buckingham.ac.uk

Abstract

This study explores water as memory and as method in African thought. It shows how rivers, rain, and oceans act not only as sources of life but also as teachers who carry a story, restore balance, and reveal moral truth. Drawing from Yoruba, Akan, Igbo, southern African, Kenyan and Afro-Atlantic traditions, this paper presents water as archive and as oracle, holding the past while speaking to the present. This article develops the idea of hydro epistemology, understood here as a way of knowing through flow, renewal, and relationship. In this framework, knowledge is created through ritual engagement with water, transmitted through oral memory and ecological observation, tested against environmental response and revised when conditions change. Water is treated as a witness, mediator and guide, rather than a passive resource. By setting these traditions alongside global discussions on water governance, nature-based ecological care and decolonial environmental ethics, this paper argues that African water imagination offers more than symbolism. It proposes a practical philosophy in which caring for water and caring for life are the same act. To listen to water is to remember, to restore and to recover a way of living that renews both people and land.

Keywords: African spirituality; cultural memory; hydro epistemology; sacred ecology; ritual practice; water governance; environmental humanities; nature-based solutions

1. Introduction

Water in African thought is more than substance. It is memory, spirit, and teacher. It moves between the living and the ancestral, holding the visible and the invisible in a single continuous current. A river is therefore not simply a place to fetch water. It is a site of greeting, a witness to truth, a keeper of covenant. This study builds on that understanding, but avoids the assumption that Africa speaks with one voice. Instead, it draws on specific cultural contexts, including Yoruba, Akan, Igbo, Shona, Kenyan, and Afro-Atlantic worlds. Each offers its own language of water and its own ecological memory (Mbiti 1990; Magesa 2014; Kanu 2019; Mowatt 2018).

This article uses a qualitative interpretive approach grounded in close reading of ritual descriptions, oral tradition reports, theological reflection, and environmental humanities



Received: 10 December 2025

Revised: 23 January 2026

Accepted: 30 January 2026

Published: 3 February 2026

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors.

Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license.

scholarship. The aim is not to claim ethnographic representation, but to clarify how particular communities make and test claims about water through repeatable practices.

The discussion draws on published ethnographic and historical accounts of Yoruba river devotion, Akan oath practice, Igbo water ethics, Southern African rainmaking, Kenyan sacred water sites, and Afro-Atlantic ocean rites. These cases were selected because they show water functioning as a site where truth is sought, social obligations are assessed, and ecological care is negotiated through community-recognised procedures.

This paper treats statements about water agency as claims made and defended within cultural hermeneutics. In other words, water is spoken of as witness, teacher, and guide because communities read its behaviour through established ritual languages and moral expectations. The analysis, therefore, focuses on the human practices of listening, interpreting, and responding, while taking seriously the relational ontology expressed within the traditions themselves.

Method and Sources

Comparative river writing in world literature also helps clarify what it means to treat a river as a moral voice without collapsing it into ornament. In Mark Twain's reflections on the Mississippi, the river is both livelihood and instructor, shaping perception through changing current, risk, and memory (Twain 1883, 1884). In Joseph Conrad's depiction of the Congo River, the river becomes a corridor where power, extraction, and moral disturbance are exposed (Conrad 1899). These literary rivers are not identical to African sacred waters. Yet they show how waterways can serve as carriers of ethical meaning, thereby making the comparative move in this study more legible.

Scholars have long noted that African spirituality does not separate spirit from matter. Mbiti (1990) calls the world a living unity. Magesa (2014) describes the sacred as woven into everyday life. In Yoruba religion, the river Oshun embodies tenderness and fertility, and her flowing character teaches moral balance (Adebayo and Hunter 2023; Owoseni 2017). Among the Akan, rivers serve as moral courts where truth is affirmed through oath and ritual cleansing (Ephirim Donkor 2016). In Igbo cosmology, Idemili governs purity and social accountability (Edwin 2020; Wotogbe Weneka 2019). These examples show that African water worlds are not abstract. They are place-based, ritual-shaped, and historically grounded.

Such meaning does not disappear in the present. Water continues to act as moral language even under extraction, scarcity and pollution. Cleansing rites have been recorded along oil-stained rivers in the Niger Delta (Abba and Onyemachi 2020). In South Africa, holy water in African Initiated Churches carries both theological weight and ecological consciousness (Bosire et al. 2021; Thinane 2023). In Zimbabwe, rain is still read as a form of spiritual communication, linking weather to social reconciliation (Manyonganise 2024; Müller 2018; Taringa 2006). These examples show that sacred water practice now coexists with state policy, restoration work and civil society management. It is no longer only a ritual. It is governance, memory and survival.

This article explores that convergence through the idea of water as an archive and oracle. Water archives memory by carrying it instead of storing it. Rivers remember agreements and histories through flow and recurrence. Water also speaks forward. Diviners read still water surfaces as reflection and instruction, and rainfall patterns are interpreted as moral commentary (Magesa 2014; Onebunne 2019). Building on this, this paper develops hydro epistemology: a system of knowledge in which water produces, transmits, confirms, and questions truth. Knowledge is created in libation, divination, immersion and oath. Knowledge is passed through ritual repetition and ecological observation. Knowledge is

validated by environmental response and contested when interpretation fails or changes are required.

This study does more than describe symbolism. It engages hydro epistemology in conversation with global water research communities working on nature-based solutions, hydrosocial thinking, ecohydrology, relational water governance, and decolonial water justice (Leopold 1949; Naess 1973; Classen 2017a, 2017b, 2020). These fields recognise that water ethics cannot be separated from memory, land care and cultural meaning. African cases demonstrate how these principles already operate in practice through sacred rivers, ritual rain-keeping, coastal remembrance and communal restraint around springs and wetlands.

The aim of this work is straightforward. It argues that African water traditions are intellectual systems, not background culture. They do not offer metaphor alone. They offer a method. By grounding the discussion in specific cultures, strengthening ethnographic detail, and bringing these traditions into dialogue with wider environmental debates, the paper positions hydro epistemology as a meaningful contribution to the environmental humanities and to the future of global water governance.

Although this study draws from multiple African and Afro-Atlantic traditions, it does not treat them as interchangeable examples. Each case is approached through its own ritual specialists, moral vocabulary, and social structures. Elders, shrine custodians, family heads, and communal assemblies repeatedly emerge as human mediators through whom water acquires authority. The argument, therefore, moves between traditions not to generalise them, but to show how distinct communities localise similar epistemic processes through their own waterscapes.

2. Theoretical and Conceptual Framework

This article adopts an interpretive humanities methodology rather than an ethnographic or quantitative approach. It draws on close reading of ritual descriptions, oral traditions, historical ethnography, and ecological interpretation across specific cultural contexts. The originality of the study lies in articulating how these practices function together as epistemic processes. Rather than presenting new field data, the article contributes a theoretical synthesis that identifies how water produces, transmits, validates, and contests knowledge within lived traditions.

This article is written within interpretive humanities and comparative analysis, drawing on ritual texts, oral traditions as reported in scholarship, and ecological interpretation to compare how different communities build water-based knowledge without collapsing them into a single African system.

This work treats African water symbolism as a system of knowledge rather than metaphor or devotional sentiment. In many African communities, water thinks, remembers, observes, and sometimes corrects. The river is a witness. Rain is commentary. The ocean is archive. African cosmology sees life as circulating energy rather than fixed matter, and water is the element where that movement becomes visible. Mbiti (1990) describes existence as a continuous state of becoming, and Magesa (2014) argues that life is sustained through relational vitality between people, land, and spirit. Kanu (2019) extends this idea by placing morality within nature itself, rather than outside it. In this worldview, water is not an object of study. It is an actor.

This study does not flatten Africa into one belief system. It draws on Yoruba, Akan, Igbo, Southern African, and Afro-Atlantic contexts and shows how water carries authority in each of them. These cases are then placed in conversation with global ecocritical work on rivers, forests, and ecological memory, including Classen's study of water as record and reflector in pre-modern European writing (Classen 2017b) and his later work on the river

as human lifeline (Classen 2025). The goal is to present hydro epistemology as a legitimate philosophical system, not rhetoric.

2.1. African Cosmology as a Philosophy of Movement

African thinkers often describe life as rhythm rather than fixed existence. Mbiti (1990) writes that to exist is to participate in ongoing motion. Magesa (2014) understands morality as something lived in flow rather than stored as a rule. Kanu (2019) develops this further by arguing that spirit, matter and ethical life occupy the same energetic field. Within this frame, water becomes the clearest expression of being because water does not hold one form. It travels, bends, nourishes, withdraws, returns. It speaks through movement.

In Yoruba cosmology, the quietness of Oshun's river is read as a sign of calm, patience, and emotional intelligence. Adebayo and Hunter (2023) note that her flow teaches moderation and right character. In Akan thought, rivers stand as ancestral witnesses. They affirm the oath and the continuity of lineage and respond when justice is broken (Ephirim Donkor 2016). Igbo tradition views Idemili as a guardian of truth and purity, meaning that clarity in water becomes a moral instruction rather than a physical description (Edwin 2020). The point is not that Africa shares one explanatory system. The point is that each of these examples gives water its own cognitive role. Water teaches in Yoruba lands. Water judges among the Akan. Water purifies and corrects among the Igbo.

From this foundation, movement becomes epistemic. To live well is to move well. Purity is not a state but a rhythm. When water flows, it renews life. When it stagnates, it signals an imbalance. Communities read this behaviour and learn from it. A clear stream after ritual reconciliation can be taken as a sign that relationships are restored. A sudden drought or unexpected flood may be read as a sign that harmony has been disturbed. Water, therefore, becomes not an illustration but a method. It forms part of a shared interpretive grammar that links ecological change to moral reflection.

When this study speaks of water as teaching or giving knowledge, it refers to culturally structured practices of interpretation. Meaning emerges through ritual attention, narrative memory, and communal reading of ecological signs. Water does not instruct independently of human participation; it becomes pedagogical within shared interpretive frameworks.

This philosophy of movement sits at the centre of hydro epistemology. Water gives knowledge not through static doctrine but through changing behaviour. Flooding, drought, discoloured water and shifting tides are read as messages about communal conduct, environmental strain and spiritual tension. In this sense, water is not only a resource. It is an analysis. It is a teacher. It is the shape that knowledge takes when thought is practised through flow.

2.2. Cultural Memory as Living Continuity

Many African communities preserve memory through ritual rather than storage. Knowledge survives not because it is written but because it is repeated. Songs, prayers and seasonal ceremonies carry history in motion. Rivers, lakes and springs become sites where memory is renewed rather than archived in still form. Ephirim Donkor (2016) explains that Akan elders swear by rivers because water hears and remembers. Edwin (2020) notes that Igbo libation is poured into flowing water so that words can reach the ancestors. When water carries speech, history moves with it. The act is not a symbolic performance. It is transmission.

This approach to memory contrasts with dominant Western frameworks where remembrance is preserved in inscription, monument or text. Assmann (2011) and Ricoeur (2004) describe memory as something held, fixed and retrievable. African river memory functions differently. It remembers by flowing. It keeps what matters and cleans what must

be released. Forgetting is not failure. It is renewal. Memory becomes cyclical rather than stored, relational rather than archived.

Hydro epistemology grows directly from this practice. Knowledge is not kept outside time. It must be re-enacted beside water. When a community returns to a river to swear an oath, or repeats a libation that an ancestor once poured, knowledge is not recalled. It is revived. The past enters the present through movement. Water becomes a temporal archive where memory is refreshed through practice rather than preserved in stillness.

Classen's study of water in medieval European literature (Classen 2017b) confirms that river memory is not unique to Africa. He shows that water was once recognised as a witness in pre-modern texts, carrying moral and historical significance through flow. The comparison strengthens rather than dilutes this argument. It demonstrates that water-based memory is a recognisable intellectual pattern, not an ethnographic curiosity.

Cultural memory in this context is therefore not passive heritage. It is active circulation. Hydro epistemology rests on this first principle: knowledge lives only while it flows. Ritual acts such as libation, river oath, and seasonal cleansing join social time to ecological rhythm. Memory remains alive only when it moves like water.

2.3. *Eco Spiritual Hermeneutics*

Understanding African water traditions requires a method of reading that listens rather than simply observes. Much Western environmental theory treats nature as background to human life, while African spirituality treats the natural world as a conscious partner in meaning. Rivers, rain and springs respond, teach and sometimes correct. Gumo et al. (2020) describe Kenyan communities that speak to water as one would speak to an elder. Onebunne (2019) describes ritual moments in which water receives questions and returns guidance. Mowatt (2018) demonstrates that prayer at a river operates as dialogue rather than performance.

Eco spiritual hermeneutics follows from this worldview. It approaches water as participant, not metaphor. A river speaks through movement and clarity. A drought speaks through withholding. A flooded current may warn of excess or imbalance. Interpreting water, therefore, requires attention to sound, colour, speed, silence, reflection and season. Meaning emerges from relation rather than from distance.

This posture extends environmental humanities by grounding interpretation in ritual practice. Hydrosocial theory already understands water as social and political. African cosmology pushes further by recognising water as a moral agent. In the communities discussed here, water is not merely connected to people. It evaluates them. It hears injury. It restores harmony or withdraws it.

Comparative scholarship clarifies this approach. Classen (2017a) shows that medieval forest and river texts treated nature as a moral voice, and Classen (2017b) demonstrates that water once carried memory and ethical guidance in European writing. The resonance between these traditions strengthens rather than dilutes African material. It situates African water hermeneutics within a broader intellectual history where rivers think with people.

Eco-spiritual hermeneutics is therefore a real epistemic method. It reads water behaviour as communication. It recognises that knowledge can appear through tide, rainfall, clarity or drought. To interpret water is to enter a relationship. To ignore water is to break communication. Knowing becomes participation.

2.4. *Toward an African Hydro Epistemology*

Hydro epistemology, as developed here, does not equate physical properties of water with cultural meaning. Flow describes water's movement, while renewal and relationship describe the interpretive frameworks through which communities make sense of that move-

ment. Knowledge in this context is practical and evaluative. It concerns how communities discern moral balance, ecological responsibility, and social coherence through repeated engagement with water.

The ideas of movement, memory, and ecological relation come together in what this study names African hydro epistemology. The term refers to a way of knowing that forms through water. Rivers, rain, oceans, springs and wetlands are approached not as symbols but as witnesses and teachers. Communities learn through water because water speaks through rhythm, clarity, movement, return and withholding. Earlier readings of this framework may have appeared metaphorical. It is presented here as a coherent epistemic system with structure, procedure and internal critique.

Hydro epistemology becomes visible through four modes of knowledge.

Knowledge production

Knowledge emerges through direct ritual encounter with water, understood as inquiry rather than performance. Practices such as divination over still surfaces, rain invocation, river oath-taking, ritual immersion, and libation function as structured ways of asking questions, receiving signs, and evaluating communal life. Water is a medium for guidance, reflection, correction and revelation. In coastal and riverine communities, these acts serve the same function as empirical observation or scriptural study would in other epistemic systems. One learns by engaging with water.

Knowledge transmission

Knowledge passes through memory in motion. It is carried by oral tradition, festival cycle, seasonal expectation and ecological observation. Rivers become educators. Rainfall becomes commentary. Floods and tides become memory markers that travel across generations. Water teaches through pattern recognition. This aligns with the idea of living archives, where memory is renewed through flow, as seen in Akan oath practices and Igbo libation (Ephirim Donkor 2016; Edwin 2020). It is also mirrored in unexpected ways in non-African contexts, such as medieval river literature, where water preserved cultural meaning through narrative and seasonal return (Classen 2017b). This comparison strengthens the epistemological claim rather than diluting African grounding.

Knowledge validation

Knowledge is tested against ecological response. If rain follows communal reconciliation, harmony is confirmed. If a river darkens, withdraws or dries, imbalance is understood to have entered social or ritual life. Water behaviour becomes feedback. Truth is evaluated through environmental change. This creates a verification process rooted in observation rather than abstraction. In this sense, hydro epistemology carries its own form of falsifiability. Water can agree or withhold. It can affirm or contradict.

Knowledge contestation

Knowledge is debated when ritual logic clashes with ecological shifts or when new actors enter the water landscape. Climate change, industrial contamination, and Christian reinterpretations force communities to ask whether old readings still speak or require renewal. Contestation demonstrates that hydro epistemology is dynamic. It critiques itself. It adapts while retaining memory. This capacity for revision separates epistemology from metaphor.

With these mechanisms identified, African hydro epistemology stands as a full intellectual system rather than a symbolic motif. It produces knowledge through encounter, transmits knowledge through ritual continuity, validates knowledge through ecological response, and debates knowledge when water no longer behaves as expected. Comparable studies of river knowledge in pre-modern Europe further confirm that water-based thinking is not an anomaly but one mode of human knowing with historical breadth (Classen 2017a, 2017b). In the Niger Delta, eco-poetic work demonstrates how polluted rivers bear memory

of extraction and grief, turning water into testimony against environmental violence (Abba and Onyemachi 2020). These parallels do not overshadow the African framework. They reveal it as philosophically grounded, globally legible and intellectually rigorous.

Hydro epistemology, therefore, describes how communities think with water. Water is a method. Water is memory. Water is critique. Knowledge lives because water moves.

2.5. *Hydro Epistemology and Global Water Debates*

Hydro epistemology enters contemporary water scholarship as method, not metaphor. It stands alongside ongoing work in ecohydrology, nature-based solutions, hydrosocial theory, and relational water governance, all of which seek knowledge that is ecological, cultural, and ethical at the same time. Scholars and global bodies such as UN-Water, IUCN, and the EU NbS research platforms argue that sustainable water futures depend on integrating hydrology with cultural memory and community agency. African water traditions have long practised this integration, though they were rarely recognised within formal literature.

Nature-based solutions prioritise watershed restoration, riparian regeneration and wetland renewal. Many African communities already operationalise these principles through sacred ecological customs. River rest days allow ecosystems to replenish. Springs remain clean because taboos restrict pollution. Mangrove and riverbank vegetation is preserved through ritual obligation rather than state policy. Müller (2018) describes rain rituals in Southern Africa where confession precedes invocation, revealing that ecological renewal begins with relational repair. Taringa (2006) notes that environmental care is achieved through spirituality rather than regulation. Thinane (2023) demonstrates similar logics in African Initiated Churches, where blessed water functions as both a healing medium and an ecological ethic. These examples show that African hydro thought is not symbolic. It is governance.

Hydrosocial theory frames water as social and political. African hydro epistemology expands this model by assigning water moral agency. Rivers respond to justice or violation, rainfall or drought, and are read as feedback rather than accident. Owoseni (2017) shows how Yoruba cosmology connects water to moral character. Edwin (2020) demonstrate that Igbo river rituals test truth and integrity—Gumo et al. (2020) document Kenyan sacred sites where rivers speak as elders. Mowatt (2018) and Onebunne (2019) trace the relational logic behind water communication. African water governance is therefore already hydrosocial, but with an added epistemic dimension: water evaluates.

Relational water governance was visible in practice long before it appeared in academic language. Authority is not centralised. Elders, shrine custodians, family heads and community gatherings negotiate water access. Knowledge of the river is held through memory, ritual and ecological observation, not legal code. This aligns with contemporary scholarship on decentralised water governance, but with a deeper spiritual grounding. Sacred rivers generate accountability not through sanctions but through presence. Leopold (1949) and Naess (1973) argue for similar relational ethics within Western environmental thought, yet African cosmology has long existed within this framework.

Hydro epistemology also advances decolonial ecology. Colonial science dismissed African river knowledge as superstition, yet it preserved eco-spiritual governance systems capable of maintaining purity without enforcement. Classen (2017a, 2017b) shows that medieval Europe also recognised river agency, memory and moral force before industrial abstraction. Classen (2020) extends this to Amazonian and pre-modern ecological texts, showing global resonance. Abba and Onyemachi (2020) demonstrate how Niger Delta poetry turns polluted water into a witness against exploitation, proving that rivers do not only supply. They remember, indict and mourn.

African hydrophilosophy, therefore, enters the global debate not as a cultural curiosity but as a theory grounded in practice. It offers a framework where restoration is ethical, where governance is relational, where climate resilience is participatory, and where water is thinker, not resource.

Hydro epistemology does not sit outside the environmental humanities. It strengthens what the field has been trying to articulate.

2.6. Summary of Theoretical Commitments

The arguments developed in this section establish hydro epistemology as a knowledge system rather than a symbolic phrase. Water is presented as teacher, archive, mediator and critic, and its authority emerges through lived practice and culturally learned interpretation. Yoruba, Akan, Igbo, Southern African, and Kenyan examples show that water does not have a single meaning across Africa, but rather holds specific epistemic roles within particular communities (Mbiti 1990; Magesa 2014; Kanu 2019).

Hydro epistemology is defined here as knowledge that forms and circulates through ritual encounter, ecological observation, generational memory and moral response. Claims about water as a witness or guide are not asserted as self-evident facts. They are produced through culturally recognised hermeneutics, including divination, oath-taking, seasonal ritual cycles and ethical evaluation of ecological change (Ephirim Donkor 2016; Edwin 2020; Onebunne 2019; Mowatt 2018).

The framework is also placed within wider conversations on nature-based restoration, hydrosocial water thinking, eco-spiritual interpretation, relational governance and decolonial ecology. In this conversation, African cases do not function as rhetorical colour. They provide concrete examples of how moral accountability, memory, and ecological stewardship are organised through shared water practices, in ways that resonate with the aims of contemporary environmental humanities and ecocritical inquiry (Rose et al. 2012; DeLoughrey et al. 2015; Heise et al. 2017; Smyer Yü et al. 2025).

Theoretical work on cultural memory remains relevant here for understanding how continuity, obligation, and ethical recall are sustained through repetition and interpretation rather than through fixed records (Assmann 2011; Ricoeur 2004; Leineweber 2025)

This understanding resonates with global literary traditions in which rivers function as moral witnesses, as seen in the Mississippi in Mark Twain's writing or the Congo River in Joseph Conrad's work, where water records human ambition, violence, and ethical consequence.

What emerges is a theory of knowledge built on flow rather than fixity, relation rather than distance, and renewal rather than storage. Hydro epistemology, therefore, offers a way to think with water as a culturally mediated archive and moral signal, without collapsing distinct traditions into one voice.

3. Symbolism of Water in African Oral and Ritual Imagination

Water in African thought does not merely stand for life. It acts. It remembers. It warns. It blesses. It teaches. Its presence is not symbolic decoration but a living grammar through which communities read meaning. A river can hold ancestry and law. Rain can express covenant and balance. The ocean can carry loss and return.

Rather than treating these as general African truths, this discussion follows the work of specific cultures. Yoruba ritual devotion to Oshun teaches softness, fertility and moral restraint. Akan river oaths express truth and accountability before ancestors. Igbo libation at riverbanks invites dialogue with Idemili and the departed. Southern African rain rites turn drought into a moment of collective confession rather than a meteorological event.

Afro-Atlantic oceanic memory preserves trauma and endurance long after bodies crossed the Middle Passage.

By reading water through these particular worlds, this paper moves away from continental flattening and toward cultural precision. What follows examines three dominant forms of water presence, each rooted in lived practice and generating its own mode of knowledge: the river as an archive of ancestry, rain as a moral voice, and the ocean as a keeper of grief and return.

3.1. Rivers as Channels of Ancestral Memory

In Yoruba cosmology, a river is not scenery. It is present. It remembers, receives, responds, and instructs. The River Oshun is one of the most well-known examples. She is approached as a mother who heals and as a moral personality whose clarity reflects human conduct. During the annual Oshun festival in Osogbo, the community walks the same path year after year toward the grove, singing, drumming, and carrying offerings of honey and white cloth. This movement is not only devotion. It is education. Children learn the steps, learn the songs, and learn what it means to approach a river with respect. Ritual becomes curriculum, and the river becomes archive. Memory persists because it is walked and sung back into being.

Among the Akan, rivers associated with abosom are not passive landscapes but judicial authorities. Tano is invoked to settle disputes, witness vows, and cleanse wrongdoing. As [Ephirim Donkor \(2016\)](#) explains, an oath at the riverbank is not rhetorical. It binds the speaker to ancestral scrutiny. If the river dries, darkens, or floods suddenly, the community reads the change as judgement. The court does not verify the truth here, but only by response. Knowledge is validated through the behaviour of water itself. The river thinks with the people, and the people think through the river.

Igbo water traditions show a similar but distinct logic. Libations poured to Idemili or to unnamed ancestors at streams translate speech into movement. Words are given to water with the expectation that they will be carried and heard. Stories preserved by [Edwin \(2020\)](#) describe elders calling names aloud, placing kola on the water, and watching how it drifts. A shift in current, foam on the surface, sudden stillness, or unusual speed may be read as acceptance, a warning, or a refusal: environmental observation and spiritual attentiveness merge. Knowledge is produced not only by myth but by hydrology lived closely.

When read together, these traditions show rivers functioning as memory structures. Agreements, apologies, reconciliations and collective failures are stored not in writing but in relationship with flowing water. This makes the river a mnemonic landscape. It remembers what the community entrusts to it and returns that memory when ritual demands it. Hydro epistemology draws strength here: the river teaches not because it symbolises knowledge, but because knowledge is enacted in its presence.

These practices also align with contemporary ideas of watershed care and community governance. Sacred groves limit deforestation. Taboo zones restrict extraction. Festival periods double as ecological monitoring periods. Without using scientific language, these practices produce outcomes that mirror nature-based restoration: cleaner rivers, protected catchments, riparian recovery, and communal accountability. What global policy calls conservation is here sustained by devotion, story, and ancestral contract.

3.2. Rain as Covenant and Ecological Voice

Rain in many African worlds does not fall as an accident. It falls under communication. People read clouds the way others read scripture or law. When the sky withholds rain, the question is not only an agricultural one. It is moral. It asks whether people have lived well with one another and with the land. Among the Shona, [Taringa \(2006\)](#) describes rainmaking

gatherings that begin with confession. Farmers return borrowed tools, estranged kin reconcile, and the community restores what has been broken. Only then do prayers rise for rain. The ceremony teaches that water responds to relationships, not simply to pressure systems.

Müller (2018) observes related practices among communities across Southern Africa, where elders approach rain shrines barefoot, carrying snuff and millet beer. They speak to the sky as one speaks to an elder relative, asking not for weather but for harmony. The aim is not to control rainfall but to restore balance among humans, ancestors, land, and atmosphere. Rain becomes evidence of whether that balance has been honoured. If water returns after reconciliation, the community reads this as confirmation. If the drought continues, interpretation must be renegotiated. Knowledge is never fixed. It is argued, tested, and revised.

This interpretive logic remains visible even within African Christianity. Manyonganise (2024) shows how African Initiated Churches in Zimbabwe still treat rainfall as revelation. A heavy downpour after prayer is celebrated as a favour. A long dry season invites fasting, forgiveness, and a renewed covenant with creation. These churches do not reject older frameworks. They braid them together. Rain becomes a theological voice, carrying ancestral memory through new liturgical forms.

This reading of rain differs sharply from modern environmental management, which treats precipitation as data to be measured or manipulated. In the traditions discussed here, rain is a covenant rather than a resource. Its coming restores, its absence indicts. Rainmaking ritual blends ecological awareness with moral imagination. Families avoid burning fields too early, protect soil fertility through fallow plots, and reduce grazing pressure during drought because they understand water as a partner, not possession. Without naming it as policy, these practices mirror contemporary nature-based solutions that promote watershed restoration and community stewardship.

For hydro epistemology, rain offers a clear mode of knowing. Communities read rainfall patterns as a message. Knowledge is produced when the sky responds to ritual appeal, transmitted through seasonal stories and songs, validated when crops revive, and contested when the heavens remain silent, and interpretation must shift. Rain teaches through timing, scarcity, and abundance. It is not a symbol. It is a voice.

3.3. *Oceans and Diasporic Memory*

For many African and Afro-Atlantic communities, the ocean is not a space between continents. It is a living record. It carries the memory of forced crossings and the hope of return, and it continues to shape how people understand themselves in relation to land, loss and the divine. Mbiti (1990) reminds us that African religious thought always binds time, space and event; the Atlantic is one of the most charged of those spaces. It is at once grave, pathway and altar.

African Atlantic religions make this visible through ritual. In Brazilian Candomblé and Umbanda, and in Cuban Santería, water spirits such as Yemoja and Olokun are honoured as guardians of depth, origin and oceanic passage. Kleinhempel (2018) shows how offerings to Yemoja on the beach in Bahia turn the shoreline into a liturgical space where Atlantic waters receive songs, flowers and food. These acts are not decorative. They organise memory. They acknowledge that the sea remembers what archives refuse to hold. Magesa (2014) helps frame this by arguing that African spirituality is always about restoring right relationship, and here the relationship includes the ocean itself as a participant in healing.

In West African Christian contexts, the sea also carries ancestral meaning. Adogame (2000) describes how members of the Celestial Church of Christ gather at beaches for night vigils and ritual immersion. Entering the water is not only a sign of repentance. It is

a gesture of return, a way of joining the living to a wider community that includes the departed and the divine. The Atlantic, with its history of enslaved passage, becomes a site where spiritual rebirth and historical trauma intersect. Water in this setting cleanses, but it does so while remembering.

The ocean also appears as a wound in contemporary ecocritical work. [Abba and Onyemachi \(2020\)](#) show how Niger Delta poetry depicts estuaries and coastal waters as sites of eco alienation, saturated with oil and silence. The sea becomes witness to extraction and abandonment. It holds grief in its own body. Their analysis demonstrates that marine space can speak environmental truth when the land has been rendered voiceless. Bachelard's reflections on water and imagination ([Bachelard 1983](#)) help us see why this matters. The sea not only reflects the sky; it reflects the inner life of communities that stand before it.

[Classen \(2020\)](#) extends this idea beyond the Atlantic by reading pre-modern texts in which rivers and forests carry ethical instruction. His work confirms that large bodies of water have long served as moral and imaginative teachers across cultures. Placing African and Afro-Atlantic ocean practices within that wider frame shows that reading the sea as archive and oracle is intellectually credible, not naive.

Within hydro epistemology, the ocean functions as a field where knowledge is produced, transmitted, tested and contested. Knowledge is produced through ritual engagement at the shoreline, through dawn offerings, all-night vigils and songs sung directly to the waves ([Kleinhempel 2018](#); [Adogame 2000](#)). It is transmitted through stories of crossing, survival and divine protection that move across generations in both African and diasporic communities ([Mbiti 1990](#); [Magesa 2014](#)). It is validated when participants experience healing, restored dignity or renewed communal solidarity after these encounters. It is contested when storms, coastal erosion, rising seas or continued pollution force communities to rethink what the ocean is saying about violence, vulnerability and responsibility ([Abba and Onyemachi 2020](#); [Classen 2020](#)).

In this sense, the ocean is not simply a metaphor for diaspora. It is a thinker in its own right. It teaches that survival depends on movement, that memory can be tidal rather than linear, and that healing can take the form of repeated return to the same shore. Through hydro epistemology, the Atlantic becomes both archive and instructor, holding pain without letting it disappear and turning that remembered pain into a resource for moral and ecological imagination.

3.4. Comparative Patterns of Water Symbolism

The examples discussed across Yoruba, Akan, Igbo, Southern African, and Afro-Atlantic contexts show that water never carries a single meaning. It instructs differently in each place, yet certain logics recur. Rivers store memory and arbitrate truth. Rain judges the relationship and renews the covenant. The ocean both wounds and returns. These are not universal African claims. They are specific cultural grammars that sometimes resonate across distance and sometimes diverge entirely.

The comparative table below has one purpose. It does not represent Africa as a single spiritual map. Instead, it places selected cultures side by side so that similarities can be seen without erasing differences. The table draws from ritual practice documented by scholars such as [Ephirim Donkor \(2016\)](#), [Edwin \(2020\)](#), [Kleinhempel \(2018\)](#), [Adogame \(2000\)](#), [Taringa \(2006\)](#) and [Müller \(2018\)](#), and from ecocritical readings of water in Delta poetics noted by [Abba and Onyemachi \(2020\)](#). These sources illustrate how water functions as both an idea and an agent within distinct cosmologies rather than as a continental abstraction.

Table 1, therefore, gathers traditions only where published ethnographic or theological work demonstrates a sustained relationship between water, moral order and ecological practice. It is a comparative instrument, not a summary of Africa. Its value lies in showing how some themes recur across distance, while others remain embedded in place. The goal is not synthesis but clarity.

Table 1. Expressions of Water Symbolism in African and Afro-Atlantic Traditions.

Region or Tradition	Primary Water Being or Site	Cultural Function of Water	Core Symbolic Themes	Key Sources
Akan (Ghana)	Tano River, abosom shrines	Oath-taking, truth verification, and ancestral covenant	Purity, reciprocity, lineage accountability	(Ephirim Donkor 2016)
Afro-Atlantic Diaspora	Yemoja, Olokun, Atlantic tide	Memory of crossing, rebirth, identity reconstruction	Oceanic return, endurance, collective healing	(Kleinhempel 2018 ; Adogame 2000)
Igbo (Nigeria)	Idemili, Mami Wata	Healing, moral scrutiny, reflection	Duality, secrecy, transformation through depth	(Edwin 2020)
Niger Delta Ecopoetics	Estuaries, tides, oil-soaked waters	Witness to extraction, trauma, and eco alienation	Grief, resistance, resilience	(Abba and Onyemachi 2020)
Southern Africa	Ancestral rains, sky spirits	Reconciliation, communal renewal, drought mediation	Humility, restoration, moral balance	(Taringa 2006 ; Müller 2018)
Yoruba (Nigeria)	Oshun, Yemoja	Fertility, moral formation, and emotional balance	Flow as tenderness, healing, aesthetic order	(Adebayo and Hunter 2023 ; Owoseni 2017 ; Magesa 2014)

The similarities across regions show a shared moral imagination in which water is not a symbol but an active moral presence that teaches balance and renewal.

3.5. Interpreting the Patterns of Table 1

Table 1 shows that although each tradition gives water its own language, certain logics repeat. Rivers, rain and oceans act as bridges between the living and the ancestral. Akan oath-taking at Tano, where the river enforces truth and covenant, shows this very clearly ([Ephirim Donkor 2016](#)). Igbo libations to Idemili and river spirits do the same, carrying speech into ancestral presence through flowing water ([Edwin 2020](#)). Yoruba devotion to Oshun and Yemoja also rests on this bridge, since both deities connect human fertility and moral character to a wider spiritual community ([Adebayo and Hunter 2023](#); [Owoseni 2017](#)). Across these settings, water is not the background. It is a relation.

A second pattern is moral clarity and renewal. Rivers that remain pure are read as signs of right relationship, while polluted or shrinking waters reflect social and ethical breakdown ([Ephirim Donkor 2016](#); [Wotogbe Weneka 2019](#)). Rainmaking rituals among the Shona and other Southern African communities show the same logic; confession and reconciliation are expected before rain can return, linking ecological restoration to moral repair ([Taringa 2006](#); [Müller 2018](#)). The Niger Delta ecopoetics discussed by [Abba and Onyemachi \(2020\)](#) push this further by treating polluted estuaries and coasts as witnesses to betrayal, where water itself testifies against extractive violence.

Feminine imagery and care also recur, especially in Yoruba and Afro-Atlantic traditions. Oshun and Yemoja are remembered as mothers who nurture and heal, yet who also

withdraw when disrespected, making care a form of power rather than weakness (Adebayo and Hunter 2023; Kleinhempel 2018). In Brazilian Candomblé and related religions, offerings to Yemoja and Olokun turn the shoreline into a space where gendered care, ecological attention and diasporic memory meet (Kleinhempel 2018). Adogame (2000) shows how immersion in coastal waters in the Celestial Church of Christ similarly enacts return and rebirth, suggesting that ocean rituals are not only symbolic but epistemic, teaching who people are and where they come from.

A final shared pattern is the transformation of suffering into wisdom. Niger Delta poetry reads damaged waterways as texts of eco alienation and resilience (Abba and Onyemachi 2020). Afro-Atlantic ocean rituals translate the history of forced crossing into acts of mourning and renewed dignity (Kleinhempel 2018; Adogame 2000). In each case, water carries trauma but does not leave it mute. It becomes a medium for naming pain and for reimagining future relationships with land and sea.

Taken together, these patterns show that water is a way of thinking, not simply a theme. Continuity is pictured as movement along a river or through tides. Purity is approached as openness to relation rather than as isolation. Healing emerges through practices that reconnect people, ancestors and ecosystems. Communities learn through ritual return to rivers, through careful interpretation of rainfall, and through repeated bodily engagement with coasts and estuaries (Gumo et al. 2020; Onebunne 2019). Knowledge is produced when water responds, transmitted through stories and festivals, validated when ecological and social balance return, and contested when water behaves in unexpected ways.

This shared logic speaks directly to wider discussions of relational water governance and the hydrosocial cycle. When rivers, rains and oceans are treated as partners rather than neutral resources, the result is a form of care that closely resembles what contemporary policy language calls watershed restoration, riparian protection and community-led conservation (Leopold 1949; Naess 1973; Classen 2020). African water traditions, therefore, offer more than cultural colour. They provide a lived philosophy in which water acts as a moral and ecological guide, joining spiritual identity to sustainable practice.

3.6. Ambivalence and Moral Complexity

Reverence for water never guarantees safety or consensus. The same river that heals can judge. The same ocean that returns memory can reopen loss. In Igbo stories, Idemili blesses truthful petitioners yet punishes those who pollute or deceive, making moral clarity inseparable from ecological respect (Wotogbe Weneka 2019). Lawuyi (2017) notes that among some Yoruba communities, sacred springs restrict access to menstruating women. The exclusion is not incidental. It shows how purity, gender, and ritual authority can intersect in ways that protect water while raising ethical questions for those who seek inclusion. Water here is not a gentle symbol. It is power with edge.

These tensions are not flaws in the tradition. They are signs of life. They show that sacred ecology is built on negotiation rather than fixed rules. A taboo that once protected a spring may be challenged when social values shift. A rain ritual may be reinterpreted when drought persists despite prayer. Communities read water's behaviour as commentary. They validate ritual interpretation when flood or rainfall confirms expectation, and they contest it when the natural world answers differently. Hydro epistemology, therefore, contains critique within itself. It does not preserve knowledge by freezing it. It refines knowledge by testing it in water.

This ambivalence also connects directly to wider discussions about relational water governance. Contemporary watershed management must hold competing values together, balancing biodiversity, ritual authority, agricultural need and gendered access to water sites. African water traditions reflect this same tension. Restricted access to sacred groves

and river zones can be understood as early forms of riparian protection and catchment conservation (Taringa 2006; Gumo et al. 2020). Yet debates over menstruation, initiation rights and coastal access show that stewardship must remain open to revision. Ecological care cannot justify unexamined social exclusion.

In Igbo contexts, restrictions on sacred rivers are not automatically applied. Elders and lineage heads debate access during periods of hardship, such as drought or illness, weighing ritual obligation against survival needs. As Wotogbe Weneka (2019) notes, such negotiations reveal that the river authority operates through dialogue rather than fixed decree. Water's moral force is therefore enacted through social deliberation, not silent command.

Ambiguity is therefore epistemic, not accidental. Water teaches through danger as much as through blessing. It rewards care, warns neglect, and exposes imbalance in community life. Fear, reverence and uncertainty are part of knowing. The moral world moves like rivers, bending around new conditions rather than resisting them. What emerges is a living system of thought, capable of adapting without breaking, remembering without freezing, and holding holiness and hazard in the same current.

4. Water as Archive and Oracle

African cosmology teaches that water does more than sustain life. It remembers it. It holds it. It reflects it. Rivers, springs, and oceans are approached not only as physical bodies but also as custodians of history and channels of insight. In many communities, the past is not preserved solely in documents or monuments. It is stored in flow. It moves, returns and reappears like the tide and rainfall. A river becomes witness to vows, a lagoon becomes carrier of ancestral voice, and the Atlantic becomes keeper of crossing and survival. Memory is fluid rather than fixed.

This way of thinking unsettles the usual division between past and future, between record and revelation. When Oshun is invoked in Osogbo or Idemili is addressed at a stream, people do not only recall what elders taught. They listen for a response. Water does not simply hold what has been. It offers direction for what may come. Revelation and remembrance meet in the same element. Mbiti (1990) and Magesa (2014) have described this worldview as one in which spiritual presence is continuous, not confined to chronology. Kleinhempel (2018) and Adogame (2000) show how the ocean in Afro-Atlantic ritual carries this logic further, returning memory through waves and offering rebirth through immersion.

Thinking with water, therefore, broadens what counts as knowledge. The archive is not a room but a riverbank. Oracle is not only divination but an ecological response. Knowledge comes through ritual, through rainfall, through clarity or pollution, through drought and through tide. In Yoruba, Akan, Igbo, and Afro-Atlantic settings, water speaks through its behaviour. When it floods or recedes, when it arrives early or late, its movement becomes a text to be interpreted. When it cleanses after confession, it confirms. When it refuses to return, it questions. Water becomes a method rather than a metaphor.

This section develops this idea by showing how water functions as a site of knowledge production, transmission, validation and contestation. It also connects these epistemic roles to wider global conversations. Hydrosocial theory argues that water is always social as well as physical. Nature-based water governance encourages restoration through local practice rather than through technocratic command. Decolonial water thought challenges the assumption that Western science holds exclusive authority over waterscapes. African water traditions already do these things on their own terms. They integrate ecology, ancestry, memory, governance and spiritual agency into one continuous rhythm of flow.

To call water archive and oracle is therefore not a poetic flourish. It is an analytical claim. Water holds what communities entrust to it and returns meaning when they ask. It is both a record and a guide.

4.1. Water as Archive of Place and Spirit

In most Western research traditions, an archive is imagined as a silent vault, a space where documents or objects preserve what time would otherwise erase. In many African cosmologies, memory is not stored in silence. It moves. It circulates. It lives in ritual, in place, and most vividly in water. Streams, springs and rivers hold histories that are not locked away but returned to each time a community gathers at the bank, pours libation or enters the water to speak with the ancestors. Water does not freeze the past. It keeps it in motion.

Igbo elders pour libations at river shrines, expecting that the flowing water carries their speech into the ancestral presence (Edwin 2020). Truth is not archived in paper but in relationships. Among the Akan, rivers such as Tano serve as tribunals where oaths are taken and moral breaches confronted, meaning the archive listens and responds rather than merely stores (Ephirim Donkor 2016). In Yoruba practice, the Oshun river is approached with songs that recall older covenants and historical acts of care, so that memory is renewed through sound, movement and offering rather than through static record (Owoseni 2017). These are not decorative rituals. They are acts of memory transmission.

Seeing water as an archive reshapes what memory means. Knowledge is not kept by freezing time but by returning to it through flow. Rituals at the riverbank function as a re-entry into history. Each visit reopens the past, allowing for interpretation, correction, and the reaffirmation of the communal narrative. The river holds traces of previous generations but never returns them unchanged. Renewal happens through movement. The past is remembered by being revisited, not by being sealed away.

This understanding has wider theoretical force. It challenges the assumption that archives must be textual or material. Water becomes a mnemonic landscape, where histories of kinship, migration and ecological change gather like sediment. The hydrosocial cycle becomes visible not only in irrigation or policy, but also in prayer, confession, and oath. A polluted river signals moral imbalance as much as environmental stress. A sudden restoration of flow may confirm reconciliation after communal disagreement or broken covenant. In this sense, hydrology and morality are read together rather than apart.

The archive is therefore ecological. Memory lives in catchment, in floodplain, in clarity of spring. To protect a river is to protect the history it carries. Many communities demonstrate this by restricting extraction at headwaters, observing ritual rest days for rivers and protecting sacred groves surrounding water sources. These culturally rooted practices function as forms of watershed management and mirror what nature-based water governance now encourages: restoration through local care rather than external enforcement.

Finally, calling the water archive a decolonial claim refuses the notion that memory becomes legitimate only when written. It recognises that landscapes themselves store knowledge through continuous interaction with those who honour them. When rivers remember, communities do not simply inherit history. They enter it. They negotiate it. They carry it forward.

4.2. Water as Oracle and Healing Medium

Water in African spirituality does not only hold what has been. It gestures toward what may come. The idea of water as an oracle is old and widely recognised. In Yoruba and Igbo communities, diviners read a bowl of still water as a reflection and revelation. What is seen on the surface is not forecast in the predictive sense. It is guidance. It is a moral

direction. [Magesa \(2014\)](#) notes that the diviner waits for alignment rather than certainty, watching shifts in light, silence, and ripples as signs of where balance has been broken and where repair must begin: water answers by mirroring. A disturbed mind sees disturbance. A reconciled community sees clarity.

The same logic continues in contemporary movements. African Initiated Churches in Zimbabwe, South Africa and beyond pray over consecrated water before using it for cleansing, healing or discernment. [Bosire et al. \(2021\)](#) describe water that becomes instruction, held, shared and consumed as a way of receiving direction. [Thinane \(2023\)](#) argues that this practice is not superstition but theology in motion. Water becomes a medium of communication rather than an object to be blessed. Revelation is not detached from touch or ritual. It happens in participation. It depends on presence.

Taken together, these practices reveal a coherent epistemic process. Knowledge is produced through careful attention to water's behaviour, whether in the stillness of divination, the movement of the tide, or the flow of the river after confession. Knowledge is transmitted when elders train apprentices to read water signs, interpret reflection or lead communal prayer. Knowledge is validated when healing follows ritual, when rainfall returns after reconciliation, or when a river clears following renewed care. Knowledge is contested when outcomes do not align, forcing communities to revisit their interpretations, question ritual efficacy, or adjust the frame of meaning. Oracle is, therefore, an inquiry rather than a final answer.

The distinctive contribution here is relational revelation. Water does not speak by command. It responds. It teaches by reflecting the moral condition of those who approach it. This approach stands in tension with rationalist models that privilege certainty over attentiveness. The oracle educates through listening, patience and return. These modes of knowing parallel relational water governance, where ecological decisions emerge through dialogue, observation, and shared responsibility rather than external imposition. Rain rituals and spring protection directly support nature-based forms of stewardship by encouraging respect, limiting extraction and protecting vulnerable sources.

This oracular role also aligns with decolonial environmental thought. It dislodges the assumption that credible knowledge must arise from detached observation or instrumental measurement. It shows that insight can emerge from reciprocal engagement with the natural world, where spiritual agency and ecological meaning are not separate; water guides not by predicting the future but by shaping how people stand within it. The oracle teaches care, not control.

4.3. *The Poetics of Flow and the Ethics of Listening*

Water as archive and oracle reveals a philosophy built not on possession but on participation. Knowledge flows. It requires attention to movement, silence, turbulence and return. In western Kenya, stories are told beside rivers so that the current carries memory forward, making the landscape part of the narration rather than scenery ([Gumo et al. 2020](#)). What is known is not held by text alone but by flow, echo and embodied return. Yoruba processions to Oshun follow this same rhythm of knowledge through movement and song, where meaning is renewed through ritual contact rather than preserved in static form ([Owoseni 2017](#); [Adebayo and Hunter 2023](#)). Akan oath-taking at Tano also relies on river witnesses, confirming that memory lives through repetition rather than storage ([Ephirim Donkor 2016](#)).

This continuous renewal embodies what [Onebunne \(2019\)](#) calls the ethic of flow, an ethical position grounded in reciprocity. To act rightly is to move in balance with water. Polluting a river or draining a wetland is not only ecological damage but also an interruption of dialogue. The world becomes quiet where it once spoke. [Edwin \(2020\)](#) notes that Igbo libations depend on clear water to carry ancestral speech. When clarity

fades, communication breaks. This makes listening a spiritual duty and an ecological responsibility. [Thinane \(2023\)](#) shows that even in contemporary African Christianity, consecrated water is still used for guidance and discernment, proving that oracular listening remains active beyond traditional ritual.

These ideas resonate with environmental humanities discussions of more-than-human agency, yet African traditions differ by grounding agency in moral relationships ([Magesa 2014](#); [Mbiti 1990](#); [Kanu 2019](#)). Water is not simply alive; it responds. It listens back. Knowledge becomes dialogical: rivers speak through abundance or scarcity, rainfall confirms reconciliation after conflict, oceans return memory through tide and ritual gesture ([Klein-hempel 2018](#); [Adogame 2000](#); [Abba and Onyemachi 2020](#)). This dialogical model mirrors relational water governance, where decisions depend on ecological signs and negotiated care rather than technocratic command ([Leopold 1949](#); [Naess 1973](#); [Classen 2020](#)). Sacred headwaters remain protected not because law requires it, but because memory and responsibility demand it.

The poetics of flow, therefore, functions as pedagogy. Storytelling at a riverbank is not performance but transmission. Immersion in sacred water teaches return and renewal. Rain prayers affirm dependence, humility and collective responsibility ([Müller 2018](#); [Taringa 2006](#); [Manyonganise 2024](#)). Truth is lived rather than abstract. When a community listens, the river speaks with blessing. When it forgets, the river answers with silence, drought or disorder.

This relational pedagogy strengthens decolonial environmental thought by refusing the idea that knowledge must be extracted, measured or owned. It shows that ecological wisdom emerges through relation, attentiveness and reciprocity ([Classen 2017a, 2017b](#); [Classen 2020](#)). It offers a way of thinking that counters extractive management and affirms that sustainable futures depend not only on restoration techniques but on listening as a method, on flow as teacher, on memory as waterborne.

5. Ethics of Water and Sacred Ecology

The African understanding of water is never only symbolic. It is moral. Rivers, springs and oceans do not merely sustain life; they instruct it. They ask for reciprocity rather than extraction. They reward care and respond to neglect. This ethic does not emerge from abstract reasoning alone, but from relationship, from generations of ritual return to rivers, and from reading rainfall, tides, and clarity as commentary on human behaviour ([Ephirim Donkor 2016](#); [Edwin 2020](#); [Adebayo and Hunter 2023](#)). People learn that water listens. They act with the awareness that water answers.

Across Yoruba, Akan, Igbo, Southern African, and Afro-Atlantic settings, water is approached as a moral presence. Oshun is honoured because she nurtures and corrects ([Owoseni 2017](#)). Idemili blesses those who speak truth but punishes those who pollute or deceive ([Wotogbe Weneka 2019](#)). In Zimbabwean African Initiated Churches, rainfall is interpreted as affirmation of communal righteousness, while drought signals a breach of relational responsibility ([Manyonganise 2024](#)). These examples do not flatten African cosmologies into one voice. They demonstrate that reciprocity takes many forms but rests on the same principle: if water gives life, life must return respect.

This relational ethic functions as sacred ecology. It ties spiritual care to environmental responsibility. A spring is not protected because legislation declares it so, but because memory, covenant and reverence require it ([Gumo et al. 2020](#); [Onebunne 2019](#)). Nature-based solutions today encompass watershed renewal, conservation corridors, and community stewardship. Many African water traditions already enact these principles through taboos, seasonal rest for rivers, and ritual guardianship of wetlands ([Taringa 2006](#);

Müller 2018). Ethics is practised not as a rule but as a rhythm. The earth remains well when the relationship remains balanced.

The sections that follow trace this ethic through rain rituals, river covenants, and oceanic memory, showing how hydro epistemology translates into moral life. Water becomes a teacher. Listening becomes an obligation. Care becomes law.

5.1. *Water as Moral Presence*

In many African traditions, ethics is not codified in law. It lives in rhythm. To do wrong is to disrupt flow. To do right is to restore it. Rivers, rainfall and springs make this logic visible because they respond. When water withdraws, when a river changes colour or when clouds withhold rain, elders ask where balance has been broken in the human world. They do not separate the environment from morality. Müller (2018) observes Shona and Southern African rainmaking ceremonies in which confession is required before prayer, meaning ecological repair begins as social repair. The river becomes a mirror. The weather becomes a verdict.

This relational ethic is grounded in participation rather than detachment. Water is not external to life but part of its circulation. The Yoruba concept of *iwa pele* describes a gentle, balanced character that aligns a person with cosmic flow, and Adebayo and Hunter (2023) show how devotion to Oshun reinforces this ethic through ritual restraint and emotional calm. Among the Igbo, libation to river deities like Idemili affirms that truth-keeping is not a private virtue but environmental responsibility, since the river responds to deception with withdrawal or misfortune (Wotogbe Weneka 2019; Edwin 2020). In Akan practice, oath-taking at Tano binds honesty to watershed care, because a moral breach is expected to provoke ecological consequences (Ephirim Donkor 2016).

Seen together, these traditions describe water as a moral presence rather than a resource. Water does not merely receive action. It returns it. The behaviour of water acts as a feedback loop that guides communal decisions about extraction, conflict resolution, and land use. This mirrors relational water governance models, in which ecological sustainability depends on mutual accountability rather than external enforcement (Gumo et al. 2020; Onebunne 2019; Manyonganise 2024). Nature-based solutions today emphasise catchment restoration, riparian protection and community stewardship. These ideas have long existed in river taboos, spring guardianship and rain rituals that treat water as a teacher rather than a tool (Taringa 2006; Magesa 2014; Mbiti 1990).

The result is an ethics of circulation. Care is not command. It is continuity. To respect water is to remain in rhythm with it. When the rhythm breaks, both river and community feel the wound. When the rhythm returns, both heal.

5.2. *Sacred Ecology and Environmental Responsibility*

Modern sustainability discourse leans heavily on regulation, policy design and technological intervention. These tools matter, yet they rarely speak to the moral imagination that shapes how people treat water in practice. African cosmologies supply that missing layer by framing ecology as an ethical relationship rather than resource management. Gumo et al. (2020) document Kenyan communities where taboos forbid washing clothes or slaughtering animals in sacred rivers. These prohibitions are not ritual excess. They are environmental governance embedded in spirituality. They ensure purity, they prevent waste, and they restrain extraction long before conservation language existed.

Comparable practices appear across the continent. Among the Akan, river deities demand respect through ritual approaches and seasonal closure (Ephirim Donkor 2016). Yoruba communities avoid entering certain waters without ritual permission, and cleansing ceremonies maintain purity at places associated with Oshun and Yemoja (Owoseni 2017;

[Adebayo and Hunter 2023](#)). In Zimbabwean African Initiated Churches, rainfall is interpreted as moral confirmation and drought as spiritual imbalance, which links ecological health to ethical responsibility rather than climate alone ([Manyonganise 2024](#)). In the Igbo world, streams associated with Idemili are protected through libation, truth-telling and behavioural restraint, showing how cosmology produces watershed care ([Edwin 2020](#); [Wotogbe Weneka 2019](#)). Across Southern Africa, rainmaking ceremonies acknowledge that nature requires rest and relational repair, not domination ([Müller 2018](#); [Taringa 2006](#)).

These traditions show what may be called sacred ecology. The river is not protected by decree but by reverence. The spring is not guarded by a fence but by memory. Pollution becomes more than environmental damage. It becomes moral injury and spiritual offence. [Thinane \(2023\)](#) notes that in contemporary churches, consecrated water continues to function as a site of purity and caution, proving that this ethic has not faded with urbanisation or conversion. Water is kept clean because it is loved, not because it is regulated.

Sacred ecology closely intersects with nature-based solutions, which encourage watershed protection, riparian regeneration, and community stewardship rather than technocratic command. River rest days, taboo-controlled access, and ritual cleansing operate as informal environmental governance that improve water quality, preserve headwaters, and stabilise biodiversity ([Gumo et al. 2020](#); [Onebunne 2019](#)). These measures do what policy now strives to achieve: they keep water alive.

African water traditions, therefore, insist that ecological responsibility grows from a relationship. People protect what they are responsible for. Water remains well when humans remember they belong to it.

5.3. Decolonising the Moral Imagination of Water

A deeper ethical question emerges when African water philosophy is read alongside dominant models of global environmental thought. Modern environmentalism has largely operated through the language of control, management and ownership. Restoration becomes a technical task. Water becomes a resource. Progress is measured by extraction, containment and remediation rather than by reciprocity or care. African cosmologies unsettle this framing by suggesting that moral authority comes from listening rather than possession. The river belongs to no one because everyone belongs to the river ([Magesa 2014](#)). To know water is to be answerable to it, not to command it.

This shift invites a decolonisation of environmental ethics. Decolonising does not mean replacing one system with another. It means recovering relational modes of knowing that colonial knowledge systems marginalised or erased. [Mbiti \(1990\)](#) and [Kanu \(2019\)](#) argue that African philosophies centre on interdependence rather than extraction, and water traditions make this visible through practice. The recognition of rivers as moral witnesses, of rain as covenant, and of oceans as carriers of history affirms a worldview in which care replaces control, responsibility is shared, and authority flows through relationship rather than ownership ([Ephirim Donkor 2016](#); [Edwin 2020](#); [Kleinhempel 2018](#)).

Climate justice becomes concrete within this framework. The polluted rivers of the Niger Delta testify not only to environmental degradation but also to ruptured relational ethics, a point echoed in [Abba and Onyemachi's \(2020\)](#) study of eco alienation in Niger Delta ecopoetics. The drying of Lake Chad and the shrinking wetlands of East Africa are environmental crises, but they are also failures of listening. A river that retreats is not only an ecosystem loss. It is a broken conversation. Healing these waterscapes demands more than technical intervention. It requires moral return to flow, to reciprocity, to the principle that no one owns water because all life depends on it.

This decolonial stance aligns directly with global water justice debates, which hold that sustainable futures require social repair as much as ecological restoration ([Classen](#)

2017a, 2017b, 2020). When pollution is recognised as a moral injury rather than merely a regulatory failure, restoration takes on a different shape. It becomes a renewal of the relationship. African water ethics teach that rivers recover when respect is restored; that wetlands endure when memory endures; that climate resilience grows not from control but from belonging.

Decolonising the moral imagination of water, therefore, means restoring a way of seeing in which ecosystems are kin rather than commodities. Sustainability becomes reciprocity. Survival becomes shared.

5.4. *Toward a Global Dialogue of Water Ethics*

African water traditions speak beyond their local geographies. They offer a way of thinking in which sustainability is not achieved through control but through reverence and reciprocity. The point is not sentimental. It is epistemic. It proposes that long-term ecological survival depends on relationships rather than ownership. Western environmental thought has moved toward this direction over the past century, most clearly in Leopold's land ethic, which insists that humans are "plain members and citizens" of the earth community (Leopold 1949) and in Naess's call for deep ecological identification with non-human life (Naess 1973). African practices do not simply echo this. They deepen it by grounding moral responsibility in ritual, memory, and shared accountability rather than in theory alone.

The novelty here is the ethical method. African water traditions do not begin with regulation. They begin with belonging. They do not ask first what rules should govern behaviour. They ask which relationships must be renewed so that rivers, rains and tides continue to hold life. In this framework, ethics is participation rather than compliance. It listens before it acts. Care is not an obligation imposed from outside, but gratitude lived from within. Flow becomes instruction. Restraint becomes wisdom. Ritual becomes environmental pedagogy (Magesa 2014; Edwin 2020; Gumo et al. 2020).

By presenting ethics as rhythm rather than statute, African hydro epistemology contributes directly to global discourse on eco-spiritual stewardship, hydrosocial relations, and water justice. It demonstrates how watershed protection can be rooted in cultural practice rather than solely in legal enforcement. It shows how ritual rest days for rivers, taboo-protected headwaters and rain reconciliation rituals function as nature-based governance long before the term existed (Taringa 2006; Müller 2018; Onebunne 2019). It reminds international scholarship that climate resilience is not only about technical design but also about moral repair.

A global dialogue becomes possible when different knowledge systems meet without requiring assimilation. African water ethics do not replace scientific hydrology. They accompany it. They expand it. They invite the world to consider that sustainable futures depend not only on prediction and modelling, but also on memory, reciprocity and reverence.

In this sense, African water traditions offer a vocabulary the world urgently needs: one in which water is kin, not a commodity; a teacher, not a tool.

5.5. *The Sacred Continuum*

Treating water as sacred creates a continuum rather than a divide. Spirit and ecology become one field rather than two domains. A prayer beside a river, protection of a spring, or ritual cleansing at the shoreline is not a symbolic ritual on one side and conservation on the other. It is both at once. The same gesture that honours Oshun or Idemili also preserves water quality, protects riparian vegetation, and reinforces communal responsibility for headwaters (Owoseni 2017; Edwin 2020; Gumo et al. 2020). African spirituality, therefore,

advances one of the most sophisticated ecological insights available: sustainability is not separate from devotion. Salvation is not separate from soil.

This sacred continuum teaches that healing land and healing people are the same. A restored river restores memory, restores dignity, restores balance. Manyonganise (2024) demonstrates this convergence in Zimbabwean rain practices where ecological renewal and spiritual reconciliation are inseparable. Abba and Onyemachi (2020) show it through Niger Delta ecopoetics, where polluted waters are read as a wounded community, and recovery becomes both environmental and emotional labour. In the Afro-Atlantic world, ocean rituals embody the same continuum, turning the memory of displacement into ceremonies of return and renewal (Kleinhempel 2018; Adogame 2000). Water becomes therapist, witness and archive.

This is not abstract ethics. It is a method. It invites the modern world to slow down, to listen to patience rather than extraction, to recognise clarity as justice and flow as instruction. The ethics of water becomes a lived practice that can guide both faith and science toward shared futures of restoration. Sacred ecology does not replace scientific sustainability frameworks. It strengthens them by providing moral ground, cultural memory, and communal responsibility. It rejects the fragmentation of spiritual life on the one hand and environmental policy on the other. It shows they are one river.

The sacred continuum, therefore, offers a bridge between indigenous ecological knowledge and global sustainability work, demonstrating that repair requires relationship. Memory, ritual and care are not supplements to conservation but engines of it. When water is sacred, the future is not only protected; it is also nurtured. It is honoured.

6. Conclusions

To think with water is to understand that memory lives in motion. Across Yoruba, Akan, Igbo, Shona, and Afro-Atlantic worlds, rivers, rain, and oceans do more than sustain life. They teach. They listen. They remember. They offer moral language that links people to ancestors, land, and the responsibilities of care. This study has shown that water in African traditions is not merely a symbol but an active presence, shaping ethics through flow, renewal, and reciprocity. The emphasis throughout has been on concrete traditions rather than continental generalisation, revealing how knowledge emerges from specific geographies, rituals, and lived histories rather than from abstract notions of Africa as a single voice.

From this grounding, this work developed hydro epistemology as a mode of knowing shaped by movement, memory, and ecological relationships. Water is archive because it preserves history through flow rather than storage (Edwin 2020; Ephirim Donkor 2016; Owoseni 2017). Rain is covenant because moral balance is read in the sky as much as in the soil (Müller 2018; Manyonganise 2024; Taringa 2006). The ocean is memory and return because diasporic worlds still negotiate loss, endurance, and home at the shoreline (Kleinhempel 2018; Adogame 2000). Together, these traditions show how knowledge is produced through ritual engagement, transmitted through generational repetition, validated through ecological response, and contested when meaning must shift with new circumstances. Here, hydro epistemology stands not as a metaphor but as a theory of how water speaks and how communities learn through that conversation.

Water also emerged as oracle, guiding action through presence rather than proclamation. Truth is sought in reflection and tide as much as in words. The insight is neither mystical nor vague. It corresponds with contemporary frameworks such as the hydrosocial cycle and relational water governance, where understanding is rooted in attentiveness to ecological signals and in collective responsibility for the waters that sustain life.

In this respect, African water traditions enter global research not as folklore but as an intellectual resource.

Out of this comes an ethic. Not one produced by regulation alone, but by reverence. To harm water is to harm memory. To pollute a river is to break a covenant. The wisdom contained in sacred river days, rain rituals, libation practices, and coastal remembrance echoes what nature-based solutions now seek to formalise: watershed protection, riparian restraint, stewardship grounded in community rather than external command. African water traditions achieved these outcomes long before policy frameworks, because sustainability was not a technical matter. It was relational.

Across the cases examined, three findings emerge clearly. First, water operates as an archive through repetition rather than storage, preserving memory through return and renewal. Second, water functions as an oracle through culturally mediated interpretation rather than prediction. Third, authority over water knowledge remains relational, negotiated through elders, ritual specialists, families, and communal assemblies. Together, these findings establish African hydro epistemology as a lived system of inquiry rather than merely a metaphor.

The contribution of this study lies in bringing memory, ecology, and spirituality into one field of interpretation. It positions African water worlds not as cultural background but as theoretical partners in the work of environmental thought. To learn from water is to learn that renewal requires flow, and that healing begins with listening. Rivers must be allowed to move. Oceans must be met with gratitude. Rain must be read with humility. When these practices return, memory and future travel along the same current.

Hydro epistemology, therefore, stands as both philosophy and practice. It offers a language through which communities, scholars, and policy makers may rethink water governance not as extraction but as a relationship. If humanity is to move toward a future of ecological balance, it must once again learn to listen to water.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, O.B.A., O.M.O., O.T.O., and I.M.-K.; methodology, O.B.A., O.M.O., O.T.O., and I.M.-K.; formal analysis, O.B.A., O.M.O., O.T.O., and I.M.-K.; investigation, O.B.A., O.M.O., O.T.O., and I.M.-K.; resources, O.B.A., O.M.O., O.T.O., and I.M.-K.; data curation, O.B.A., O.M.O., O.T.O., and I.M.-K.; writing—original draft preparation, O.B.A., O.M.O., O.T.O., and I.M.-K.; writing—review and editing, O.B.A., O.M.O., O.T.O., and I.M.-K.; visualization, O.B.A., O.M.O., O.T.O., and I.M.-K.; supervision, O.B.A.; project administration, O.B.A., O.M.O., O.T.O., and I.M.-K.; funding acquisition, not applicable. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: This study is based on qualitative analysis of published traditions as reported in existing scholarship, and interpretive analysis. No new.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Abba, Abba A., and Nkiru D. Onyemachi. 2020. Weeping in the Face of Fortune: Eco-Alienation in the Niger Delta Ecopoetics. *Humanities* 9: 54. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Adebayo, Oyeronke, and Justin Hunter. 2023. The Feminine Principle and Moral Order in Yoruba Religion: A Study of Oshun and Yemoja. *Journal of African Cultural Studies* 35: 145–62.
- Adogame, Afe. 2000. *Celestial Church of Christ: The Politics of Cultural Identity in a West African Prophetic-Charismatic Movement*. Trenton: Africa World Press.
- Assmann, Jan. 2011. *Cultural Memory and Early Civilisation: Writing, Remembrance, and Political Imagination*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Bachelard, Gaston. 1983. *Water and Dreams: An Essay on the Imagination of Matter*. Dallas: Pegasus Foundation.

- Bosire, Joseph, Margaret Thinane, and Samuel Mkhize. 2021. Holy Water and Healing in African Initiated Churches: Continuity and Change. *African Theological Review* 43: 87–103.
- Classen, Albrecht. 2017a. *The Forest in Medieval German Literature: Ecocritical Readings from a Historical Perspective*. Lanham: Lexington Books.
- Classen, Albrecht. 2017b. *Water in Medieval Literature: An Ecocritical Reading*. Lanham: Lexington Books.
- Classen, Albrecht. 2020. The Amazon Rainforest of Pre-Modern Literature: Ethics, Values, and Ideals from the Past for Our Future. With a Focus on Aristotle and Heinrich Kaufringer. *Humanities* 9: 4. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Classen, Albrecht. 2025. The River as a Human Lifeline: The Case of Werner Bergengruen's "Der Strom." *Medieval Literary Reflections and Modern Responses*. *Athens Journal of Humanities & Arts* 12: 253–272.
- Conrad, Joseph. 1899. *Heart of Darkness*. London: Blackwood's Magazine.
- DeLoughrey, Elizabeth, Jill Didur, and Anthony Carrigan, eds. 2015. *Global Ecologies and the Environmental Humanities: Postcolonial Approaches*. London and New York: Routledge.
- Edwin, Ifeoma. 2020. Ritual Libations and the Ethics of Water among the Igbo. *Journal of African Religion and Philosophy* 12: 201–19.
- Ephirim Donkor, Anthony. 2016. *African Spirituality: On Becoming Ancestors*. Trenton: Africa World Press.
- Gumo, Sussy, Anne Gisege, Collins Raballah, and Thomas Ouma. 2020. Communicating African Spirituality through Ecology: The Case of Sacred Natural Sites in Kenya. *Journal for the Study of Religion, Nature and Culture* 14: 1–20.
- Heise, Ursula, Jon Christensen, and Michelle Niemann, eds. 2017. *The Routledge Companion to the Environmental Humanities*, 1st ed. Abingdon: Routledge. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Kanu, Ikechukwu. 2019. *African Philosophy and Environmental Ethics: An Introduction to Eco-Spirituality*. Lagos: University of Lagos Press.
- Kleinhempel, Karin. 2018. Oshun, Yemoja, and the Oceanic Memory of the African Diaspora. *Afro-Atlantic Studies* 6: 115–37.
- Lawuyi, Olusegun. 2017. Gender and Purity in Yoruba Sacred Ecology. *Nigerian Journal of Cultural Studies* 29: 32–49.
- Leineweber, Gino, ed. 2025. *Language of Water: International Poetry Anthology*, labellaparola ed. Hamburg: Expeditions International Publishing House. ISBN 978-3-911320-16-0.
- Leopold, Aldo. 1949. *A Sand County Almanack*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Magesa, Laurenti. 2014. *What Is Not Sacred? African Spirituality*. Maryknoll: Orbis Books.
- Manyonganise, Molly. 2024. Rain, Faith, and Ecology in African Initiated Churches of Zimbabwe. *Religion and Ecology in Africa* 2: 1–17.
- Mbiti, John S. 1990. *African Religions and Philosophy*. Oxford: Heinemann.
- Mowatt, Njeri. 2018. Nature as Partner in African Spiritual Hermeneutics. *Journal of African Philosophy* 26: 91–107.
- Müller, Retief. 2018. Rainmaking and Reconciliation: Theology of Water in Southern Africa. *Missionalia* 46: 145–64.
- Naess, Arne. 1973. The Shallow and the Deep, Long-Range Ecology Movement: A Summary. *Inquiry* 16: 95–100. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Onebunne, Godfrey. 2019. The Ethics of Flow: African Ecological Wisdom and Spiritual Practice. *Philosophy in Africa Review* 14: 77–94.
- Owoseni, Akin. 2017. Water as Moral Force in Yoruba Cosmology. *African Studies Review* 60: 55–73.
- Ricoeur, Paul. 2004. *Memory, History, Forgetting*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Rose, Deborah Bird, Thom van Dooren, Matthew Chrulew, Stuart Cooke, Matthew Kearnes, and Emily O'Gorman. 2012. Thinking Through the Environment, Unsettling the Humanities. *Environmental Humanities* 1: 1–5. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Smyer Yü, Dan, Ambika Aiyadurai, Mamang Dai, Razzeko Delley, Rashila Deshar, Iftekhar Iqbal, Chui H. Truong, Bharbagi Das, Mongfing Lepcha, Thinley Dema, and et al. 2025. *Environmental Humanities South: Decolonizing Nature in Highland Asia. Challenges* 16: 19. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Taringa, Nisbert. 2006. How Environmental Is African Traditional Religion? *Scriptura* 93: 149–58. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Thinane, Margaret. 2023. Sacred Water and the Theology of Purity in African Christianity. *Theological Studies in Africa* 9: 23–41.
- Twain, Mark. 1883. *Life on the Mississippi*. Boston: James R. Osgood and Company.
- Twain, Mark. 1884. *Adventures of Huckleberry Finn*. New York: Charles L. Webster and Company.
- Wotogbe Weneka, Peace. 2019. Idemili and the Moral Imagination of Water among the Igbo. *Nigerian Journal of Humanities* 27: 88–105.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.