

Article

Optimization of Induction Quenching Processes for HSS Roll Based on MMPT Model

Ligang Liu^{1,*}, Hui Yu¹, Zhiqiang Yang¹, Chunmei Zhao¹ and Tongguang Zhai^{2,*}

- ¹ College of Mechanical Engineering, Yanshan University, Qinhuangdao 066004, China
- ² Department of Chemical and Materials Engineering, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506, USA
- * Correspondence: lgliu@ysu.edu.cn (L.L.); tzhai@engr.uky.edu (T.Z.); Tel.: +86-0335-804-7771 (L.L.); +1-859-2574-958 (T.Z.)

Received: 10 May 2019; Accepted: 4 June 2019; Published: 6 June 2019



MDP

Abstract: To improve the comprehensive performance of high speed steel (HSS) cold rolls, the induction hardening processes were analyzed by numerical simulation and experimental research. Firstly, a modified martensitic phase transformation (MMPT) model of the tested steel under stress constraints was established. Then, the MMPT model was fed into DEFORM to simulate the induction quenching processes of working rolls based on an orthogonal test design and the optimal dual frequency of the induction quenching process was obtained. The results indicate that the depth of the roll's hardened layer increases by 32.5% and the axial residual tensile stress also becomes acceptable under the optimized process. This study provides guidance for studying phase transformation laws under stress constraints and the optimization of complex processes in an efficient manner.

Keywords: high speed steel; induction hardening; martensitic transformation; process optimization

1. Introduction

Work rolls of cold rolling, requiring high surface hardness, good thermal shock resistance, anti-stripping ability, and wear resistance, are usually produced using high carbon and high-alloy forged steel, such as Cr8 [1], D2 cold work tool steel [2], semi-highspeed steel (SHSS) [3], high speed steel (HSS) [4,5], etc. High speed steel has the best service performance among these materials.

To meet the above-mentioned performance requirements, work rolls of cold rolling are usually produced by fast heating via dual-frequency induction and subsequent rapid cooling. Thermal stresses and martensitic transformation stresses caused by volume expansion during the cooling process of rolls lead to large tensile stress locally and even quenching cracking [6–9]. Montalvo-Urquizo et al. investigated the mathematical model for the induction hardening process and summarized the simulation results. The change of the austenite-martensite phase was described using Schröder's approach [10]. Tong et al. studied the induction heat treatment process using electromagnetic-thermal-transformation-mechanical coupled numerical simulations. The diffusional austenite decomposition (pearlite/bainite transformations) was neglected and the martensitic transformation was described using the K–M equation [11]. Fisk et al. demonstrated how to simulate the process of induction hardening using the commercial finite element software MSC Marc, together with experiment results. The transformation from austenite to martensite is also given by the K–M equation [12].

For small workpieces, the impact of stress on martensite phase transformation can be neglected; hence, the above models and methods of austenite-martensite phase transformation are applicable. However, for large workpieces, such as large rollers, martensite transformation occurs first on the surface and then gradually proceeds to the interior as the temperature decreases. The volume expansion caused by martensitic transformation leads to compressive stress in the phase change layer and tensile stress inside the workpiece. Therefore, the subsequent continuous martensitic transformation occurs

under stress constraint. Basak and Levitas presented a finite element procedure for a new phase field approach to multivariant martensitic transformations at large strains and with interfacial stresses induced by temperature and stress [13]. Yen et al. explained the impact of stress-assisted martensite on the occurrence of transformation-induced plasticity, and proved that α' -martensite nucleation by a stress-assisted process accounted for the transformation-induced plasticity in ultrafine-grained austenite. [14]. Liu et al. systematically studied the impact of uniaxial compressive stress on the kinetics of the austenite–martensite transformation and presented a modular phase-transformation model [15].

There are many factors affecting induction hardening of large rolls, such as preheating temperature, current frequency, workpiece moving speed, and so on [10–12]. To reduce the cost and the number of experiments, numerical simulations and experiments are usually used to study the complex experimental process with the aid of an orthogonal experimental design [16,17], random design, uniform design [18,19], and so on. In this work, a modified martensitic phase transformation model of the tested HSS was obtained using a physical simulation on aGleeble-3500 thermal–mechanical simulator and using microstructure analysis. Then, the dual frequency induction hardening processes were systematically studied using numerical simulations and experiments, based on an orthogonal experimental design. Finally, the parameters of the induction hardening process were optimized.

2. Experimental Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials and Cooling Tests with Loads

The experimental material was a new forged high speed steel for cold rolling work rolls. The chemical composition (wt%) was 1.15-1.30 C, 0.10-0.40 Si, 0.10-0.40 Mn, 9.50-15.40 Cr, 1.50-2.50 Mo, 1.60-4.30 V, and 1.30-1.60 W. The A_{c1} and A_{cm} temperatures were 876 and 951 °C, respectively, while the M_s temperature was 160 °C. The experimental specimens were annealed at 750 °C before the induction hardening test to reduce the residual stress.

To build the martensitic transformation model in the induction heating process of the roller, several martensitic transformation processes under stress constraint were simulated on a thermal–mechanical simulator Gleeble-3500 (DSI, Poestenkill, NY, USA). The specimens, with sizes of 10 mm × 15 mm, were rapidly heated to a quenching temperature of 1000 °C and held for 5 min to ensure the uniformity. Then, the specimens were cooled to room temperature with a cooling rate of 10 °C/s, which were compressed with stresses of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4 $R_{\rm m}$ (the peak value of the compression strength) at temperatures of 160, 180, and 200 °C, respectively. The peak values of the compression strengths of the tested steel at 160, 180, and 200 °C were 1740, 1700, and 1665 MPa, respectively.

2.2. Quantitative Phase Analysis

The Value *K* method of X-ray diffraction was used to analyze the phase content of the specimens in cooling tests with loads, as well as the trial roll produced using the optimized dual frequency induction quenching process. The cooled specimens were sliced along the longitudinal direction. The specimens were prepared by hand grinding and the mesh numbers of the grinding papers are 120, 280, 500, 800, and 1200. The samples were held tightly by hand and pressed on the grinding face of the paper, evenly and lightly, and then polished in a single direction. The grinding paper was replaced when the front track abrasion marks disappeared completely. After replacing the grinding paper, the sample was rotated 90° to continue grinding. After hand grinding, the specimens were mechanically polished on a polishing machine and the polishing compound was a diamond polishing paste with a size of $1.5-1.0 \mu$ m. Then, the polished specimens were measured on an X-ray diffractometer D/max-2500/PC (Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) with Cu radiation. Two different cross sections of each kind of material analyzed were measured. The corresponding measured value was the average value of the two cross sections. The scanning speed was 4° /min. The light tube voltage and filament current

were 40 kV and 250 mA, respectively. Jade-6 software (Hong Kong, China) was used to analyze the experimental data and determine the phase type, structure, and content of each phase.

2.3. Determinations of Thermophysical Parameters

For the tested forged high speed steel, the tensile strength, R_m and yield strength, R_{el} were measure by compression test on a thermal-mechanical simulator Gleeble-3500. The dimensions of specimens were $\Phi 8 \text{ mm} \times 15 \text{ mm}$. The heating and strain rates were 5 °C/s and 0.001 s⁻¹. The elastic modulus, *E* and Poisson ratio, *v* was measured on a universal testing machine according to the GB/T 22315-2008 standard. The linear expansion coefficients, α was measured on a dilatometer Formastor-F and the dimensions of specimens were $\Phi 8 \text{ mm} \times 15 \text{ mm}$. The specific heat was measured by using a NETZSCH differential scanning calorimeter (NETZSCH, Selb, Germany) and the dimensions of the specimens were $\Phi 3 \text{ mm} \times 1.5 \text{ mm}$. The heating rate was 5 °C/min. The thermal conductivity was measured on a TC-7000 laser flash thermal constant measuring apparatus and the dimensions of the specimens were $\Phi 10 \text{ mm} \times 2 \text{ mm}$.

3. Experimental and Modelling Results

3.1. Results of X-Ray Diffraction

The X-ray diffraction spectra are shown in Figure 1. The Value K method was adopted to calculate the content of martensite and retained austenite in the specimens. The diffraction spectra (a, b, c, and d) in Figure 1 correspond to 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4 R_m , at each loading temperature. It can be known from Figure 1 that the content of martensite increases with the increasing loads and decreasing loading temperatures.



Figure 1. Cont.



Figure 1. Spectra under different stresses at different temperatures; (a) 160 °C; (b) 180 °C; (c) 200 °C.

3.2. Establishment of the Martensitic Transformation Model

The martensitic transformation belongs to the non-diffusive phase transformation. The volume fraction of the phase change mainly depends on the temperatures, the applied loads and the content of carbon. According to the modified Magee equation, the formula for the calculation model of the martensite volume fraction is expressed as follows,

$$\xi_{\rm M} = 1 - \exp(\psi_1 T + \psi_0 (C - C_0) + \psi_2 \sigma_{\rm m} + \psi_3 \overline{\sigma} + \psi_4) \tag{1}$$

where ξ_M , *T*, σ_m , $\overline{\sigma}$, *C*, and *C*₀ are the martensite fraction, temperature, equivalent stress, mean stress, diffused carbon content, and the design content of carbon, respectively. ψ_1 , ψ_0 , ψ_2 , ψ_3 , and ψ_4 are constant parameters. The units of the stress and temperature are Pa and K, respectively. The unit of ψ_1 is K⁻¹. The units of ψ_2 and ψ_3 are Pa⁻¹, while the parameters ψ_0 and ψ_4 are unit-less. In this work, no carbon diffusion occurred, so $C = C_0$. Then,

$$\xi_{\rm M} = 1 - \exp(\psi_1 T + \psi_2 \sigma_{\rm m} + \psi_3 \overline{\sigma} + \psi_4) \tag{2}$$

ABAQUS finite element software (ABAQUS 6.10, Dassault, Paris, France), was used to obtain the equivalent stress and the mean stress of the specimens during cooling transformation processes with applied loads. The element type was C3D8. The simulated equivalent stress and average stress are shown in Table 1. After simplification, polynomial regression analysis was carried out by using Origin

9.0 software (OriginLab, Northampton, MA, USA) and four parameters were obtained, and the fitted Magee equation is shown as follows,

$$\xi_{\rm M} = 1 - \exp(0.03114T + 393230\sigma_{\rm m} - 1179690\overline{\sigma} - 7.91516) \tag{3}$$

No.	Measured $\xi_{M}(\%)$	$T(^{\circ}C)$ $\sigma_{m}(Pa)$		- σ (Pa)	Calculated ξ _M (%)	Error (%)	
160 °C, 01 <i>R</i> _m	95.46	160	1.735E8	5.78333E7	94.66	0.83	
160 °C, 02 R _m	96.65	160	3.47E8	1.15667E8	94.73	1.98	
160 °C, 03 R _m	96.60	160	5.205E8	1.735E8	95.05	1.60	
160 °C, 04 R _m	96.89	160	6.94E8	2.31333E8	95.86	1.06	
180 °C, 01 R _m	90.60	180	1.702E8	5.67333E7	89.71	0.98	
180 °C, 02 R _m	93.44	180	3.404E8	1.13467E8	90.03	3.65	
180 °C, 03 R _m	95.07	180	5.106E8	1.702E8	90.19	5.13	
180 °C, 04 R _m	96.14	180	6.808E8	2.26933E8	93.26	3.02	
200 °C, 01 R _m	86.37	200	1.663E8	5.54333E7	81.52	5.61	
200 °C, 02 R _m	86.90	200	3.326E8	1.10867E8	82.34	5.20	
200 °C, 03 R _m	87.52	200	4.989E8	1.663E8	82.67	5.55	
200 °C, 04 R _m	88.63	200	6.652E8	2.21733E8	83.63	5.65	

Table 1. Parameters used for building martensite phase transformation model.

The calculated value and relative error of the martensite transformation content are shown in Table 1. The fitting values are larger than the measured ones. When the temperature is fixed, the relative error increases gradually with the increasing loads, but the relative errors are all below 5.65%, with the average at 3.36%, which indicates that the modified martensitic transformation model in this work is reasonable.

4. Simulation and Optimization Design of Induction Hardening Processes

For the dual frequency induction hardening processes of large work rolls in cold rolling, the main factors affecting the mechanical properties and service performance after quenching include the preheating temperature, frequency of dual frequency coils, cooling intensity of the quenching medium, moving speed of the working roll during induction hardening, environment temperature, and so on. Combined with the influence of the above factors on induction hardening processes, $L16(4^5)$ orthogonal tables were adopted in the orthogonal tests of this work, as shown in Table 2. The length and diameter of the simulated roll are 4370 and 470 mm, respectively. The simulation model and experiment equipment are shown in Figure 2. It was assumed that the preheating temperature of quenching test was uniform. Based on the martensite phase model obtained in this work, the dual frequency induction hardening processes listed in Table 2 were simulated using DEFORM-3D software, which allowed the parameters in Equation (1) to be input and modified. The stable current densities of the induction coil I and IIare 2650 and 3286 A·m⁻², respectively. The thermophysical parameters of the tested steel are listed in Table 3.

Table 2. Factors and levels of orthogonal experiment design.

_						
_		A	В	С	D	Ε
	Levels	Preheating Temperatures (°C)	Speeds (mm·s ^{−1})	Cooling Intensity (W⋅m ^{-2.°} C ⁻¹)	Frequency of Coil I(Hz)	Frequency of Coil II(Hz)
	1	300	0.6	4000	60	100
	2	400	0.7	6000	65	150
	3	500	0.8	8000	70	200
	4	600	1	10,000	75	250



(b)

Figure 2. (a) Finite element model of the dual frequency induction process and (b) experimental set-up.

Table 3. Thermo physical parameters.

<i>T</i> (°C)	20	100	300	500	700	900	1100
c (J/kg·K)	501	515	562	660	827	695	528
$\lambda(W/m \cdot K)$	36.58	35.77	34.16	31.09	26.95	26.1	26.1
$a (10^{-6}/\text{K})$	10.13	11.80	13.38	14.01	14.12	16.37	20.24
R _m (MPa)	2062	1827	1510	963	671	207	124
$R_{\rm el}$ (MPa)	1740	1552	1283	818	570	162	98
ν	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.304	0.31	0.33	0.33
<i>E</i> (10 ³ MPa)	205	196	180	159	97	48	26

5. Simulated Results and Analyses of Orthogonal Tests

The depth of the hardened layer and the maximum axial stress of each factor combination are obtained by using FEM simulation, as shown in Table 4. Based on the simulated results of the depth of the hardened layer and maximum axial tensile stresses, sums of level values T_{ij} , the average of level values \overline{K}_{ij} , and max–min difference values *R* are listed in Table 5.

For work rolls of cold rolling, adequate depth of the hardened layer is very important. It is known from Table 5 that T_{A1} is the smallest one between the four level values of preheating temperatures. The depth of the hardened layer and the axial tensile stress increases by increasing the preheating temperatures. In addition, the biggest of the four level values of moving speeds of induction coils was T_{B1} . The depth of the hardened layer decreased with increasing moving speeds of induction coils and increased with increasing cooling intensity in general. When the cooling intensity is above $6000 \text{ W} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot ^{\circ}\text{C}^{-1}$, the depth of the hardened layer changed a little by increasing the cooling intensity. The reason may be that the internal temperature drops of the roll mainly depend on its heat conduction, but subject to a slight impact from the surface temperature. For factor *D* and *E*, the depth of the hardened layer increases with the increasing frequencies of dual frequency coils. Combining the max-min difference values *R* of the five factors, the order of factors is A > B > D > E > C.

			level	l		Simulated results					
No.	A	В	С	D	Ε	Depth of Hardened Layer (mm)	Maximum Axial Tensile Stress (MPa)				
1	1	1	1	1	1	42.05	635				
2	1	2	2	2	2	48.63	727				
3	1	3	3	3	3	63.94	825				
4	1	4	4	4	4	63.77	840				
5	2	1	2	3	4	101.77	1395				
6	2	2	1	4	3	86.57	1245				
7	2	3	4	1	2	49.40	676				
8	2	4	3	2	1	34.08	633				
9	3	1	3	4	2	105.73	1515				
10	3	2	1	3	1	69.09	1200				
11	3	3	4	2	4	78.49	1110				
12	3	4	2	1	3	50.71	765				
13	4	1	4	2	3	106.08	1635				
14	4	2	3	1	4	86.04	1298				
15	4	3	2	4	1	92.63	1433				
16	4	4	1	3	2	71.53	1118				

Table 4. L16 (4⁵) orthogonal level list and simulated indexes.

Table 5.	Analysis	of ranges	of orthogonal	l results.
----------	----------	-----------	---------------	------------

Level Analyses	I	Depth of H	lardened	Layer(mm	Maximum Axial Tensile Stress (MPa)					
	A	В	С	D	Ε	A	В	С	D	Ε
T _{i1}	218.39	355.63	269.24	228.20	237.85	3027	5180	4198	3374	3901
T _{i2}	271.82	290.33	293.74	267.28	275.29	3949	4469	4319	4105	4035
T_{i3}	304.02	284.46	289.79	306.33	307.30	4590	4043	4271	4538	4470
T_{i4}	356.28	220.09	297.74	348.70	330.07	5483	3353	4261	5033	4643
\overline{K}_{i1}	54.60	88.91	67.31	57.05	59.46	757	1295	1049	844	975
\overline{K}_{i2}	67.96	72.58	73.44	66.82	68.82	987	1118	1080	1026	1009
\overline{K}_{i3}	76.00	71.12	72.45	76.58	76.83	1148	1011	1067	1135	1118
\overline{K}_{i4}	89.07	55.02	74.44	87.18	82.52	1371	839	1065	1157	1161
R	34.47	33.89	7.13	30.13	23.06	614	457	76	313	186

The influence of these five factors on the maximum axial tensile stress has the same rule with that on the depth of hardened layer. By increasing the depth of hardened layer, the expansive volume of the martensite transformation layer increased and bigger compressive stresses occurred on the martensitic transformation layer. Martensitic transformation did not occur in the center of the roll. To achieve stress balance, a bigger axial tensile stress appeared in the inner part of the roll.

When the depth of the hardened layer is used as the index, the best induction process is $A_4B_1C_4D_4E_4$. When the axial stress is taken as the index, the best induction process is $A_1B_4C_1D_1E_1$. For work rolls of cold rolling, to ensure that the tensile stress of the quenching process does not cause cracking in the roll, it is necessary to control the depth of the hardened layer. For large work rolls of cold rolling, the hardened layer is usually required no less than 70 mm.

In order to analyze the influence of different factors on indexes in general, the main effect diagrams of the hardened layer depth and the axial tensile stress are shown in Figure 3.In Figure 3a,b, A_1 is the average value of the depth of hardened layer or maximum axial tensile stress of the orthogonal tests No.1–4 in Table 4, which has the same level 1 (300 °C) for factor A (preheating temperatures). The same is true for other factors *B*–*E* in Figure 3. The hardened layer depth and maximum axial tensile stress of the roll increase with increasing the preheating temperature rises and the frequency of the two coils. The above two indexes are inversely proportional to the moving speed of coils, which are not affected prominently by cooling intensity. To obtain enough hardened layer depths, small axial tensile stresses, and higher heating efficiency, the optimized roll induction hardening process is $A_3B_2C_4D_4E_4$, that

is, the preheating temperature is 500 °C, the roll moving speed is 0.7 mm/s, the cooling intensity is 10,000 W·m⁻².°C⁻¹, and the frequencies of the two coils are 75 and 250 Hz, respectively.



Figure 3. Main effect diagram of (a)the depth of hardened layer and (b) maximum axial tensile stress.

6. Optimization Results Analysis

The conventional dual frequency induction quenching processes of the tested cold work roll shave the preheating temperatures of 350 °C, moving speeds of coils of 0.7 mm/s, cooling intensity of 6000 W·m^{-2.°}C⁻¹, and the frequencies of the two coils of 75 and 250 Hz, respectively. The conventional and optimized dual frequency induction quenching processes are simulated by using DEFORM-3D v10.2 software. The simulated residual axial stress and the volume fraction of martensitic hardened layer are shown in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. The measured martensite contents of the actual work rolls of cold rolling produced by the conventional and optimized dual frequency induction quenching and optimized dual frequency induction processes are shown in Figure 6.

As shown in Figure 4, the simulated residual axial stress distributions of the work rolls of cold rolling produced by the above conventional and optimized dual frequency induction quenching processes are similar. Compressive stresses are present in the surface hardened layer, while tensile stresses in the inner part of the roll. The maximum axial residual tensile stress under the optimized process is about 1100 MPa, while the one under the conventional process is about 900 MPa.







Figure 5. Simulated martensitic hardened layer under (a) the conventional and (b) optimized processes.



Figure 6. Measured martensite distribution curves.

From Figures 5 and 6, the hardened layer (with martensite content greater than 90%) and the transition layer under the optimized process are thicker and more uniform than those under the conventional process. The depth of the hardener layer increases from 60 mm to 79.5 mm by optimizing the parameters of the dual frequency induction quenching process parameters. The reason is that the preheating temperature of the working roll and the cooling intensity has been raised under the optimized process. Then, the depth of complete austenite layer increases, which lead to the increase in the depth of the quenched layer after the dual frequency induction quenching.

The maximum residual tensile stress obtained under the optimized induction hardening process is bigger than that under the conventional process but smaller than the tensile strength of the tested steel. Then, no cracks appear in the inner part. The residual compressive stress in the surface hardened layer of the work roll in cold rolling under the optimized induction quenching process is also bigger than that under the conventional process because of more martensitic transformation accumulation as shown in Figures 5 and 6. The residual stresses in the cold rolling work roll produced by dual frequency induction quenching is usually improved by the tempering process, which make the surface compressive stresses and the inner tensile stresses smaller. In addition, the presence of appropriate residual compressive stresses on the surface layer is beneficial to improve the service performance of the roll.

7. Conclusions

- (1) The thermal stress and phase transformation stress can promote the martensite transformation of the tested high carbon roll steel. The modified Magee equation of the tested steel is $\xi_M = 1 \exp(0.03114T + 393230\sigma_m 1179690\overline{\sigma} 7.91516).$
- (2) The orthogonal test was designed with the help of five factors four levels orthogonal table to optimize the hardened layer depth and the axial tensile stress in dual frequency induction quenching processes. The optimal combination was found out by the orthogonal analysis. The results show that the effect of preheating temperatures on hardened layer depth and the axial tensile stress is the largest, while the effect of cooling intensity is the least.
- (3) The simulation and industrial test studies of the optimized and conventional dual frequency induction quenching processes show that the hardened layer depth is increased by 32.5% and the maximum residual axial tensile stress reaches about 1100MPa in the optimized process, which is acceptable. The simulated and measured depths of the hardened layer coincide with each other, further which indicates the correctness of the phase transition model obtained in this study furtherly.

Author Contributions: L.L. wrote the paper; H.Y. analyzed the experiment data; Z.Y. performed the cooling experiments under stress constraints; C.Z. validated the results of experiments; T.Z. conceived and designed the experiments.

Acknowledgments: This work was supported by Natural Science Foundation of Hebei Province of China No. E2016203217 and National Natural Science Foundation of China No.51205342.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Chi, H.X.; Ma, D.S.; Yong, Q.L.; Wu, L.Z.; Zhang, Z.P.; Wang, Y.W. Effect of cryogenic treatment on properties of Cr8-type cold work die steel. *J. Iron Steel Res. Int.* **2010**, *17*, 43–46. [CrossRef]
- 2. Kang, J.Y.; Kim, H.; Son, D.; Kim, C.; Park, S.K.; Lee, T.H. Hot-worked microstructure and hot workability of cold-work tool steels. *Mater. Charact.* **2018**, *135*, 8–17. [CrossRef]
- 3. Wang, M.J.; Wang, Y.; Sun, F.F. Tempering behavior of a semi-high speed steel containing nitrogen. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* **2006**, *438*–440, 1139–1142. [CrossRef]
- 4. Guo, J.; Liu, L.G.; Li, Q.; Sun, Y.L.; Gao, Y.K.; Ren, X.J.; Yang, Q.X. Characterization on carbide of a novel steel for cold work roll during solidification process. *Mater. Charact.* **2013**, *79*, 100–109. [CrossRef]
- 5. Guo, J.; Liao, B.; Liu, L.G.; Li, Q.; Ren, X.J.; Yang, Q.X. Forging limit of a novel high-speed-steel cold work roll based on ductile fracture criteria by finite element model. *Mater. Des.* **2013**, *52*, 1027–1034. [CrossRef]
- 6. Šolić, S.; Podgornik, B.; Leskovšek, V. The occurrence of quenching cracks in high-carbon tool steel depending on the austenitizing temperature. *Eng. Fail. Anal.* **2018**, *92*, 140–148. [CrossRef]
- 7. Wu, H.; Udagawa, Y.; Narukawa, T.; Amaya, M. Crack formation in cladding under LOCA quench conditions. *Nucl. Eng. Des.* **2016**, *303*, 25–30. [CrossRef]
- 8. Xiong, Z.P.; Jacques, P.J.; Perlade, A.; Pardoen, T. Ductile and intergranular brittle fracture in a two-step quenching and partitioning steel. *Scr. Mater.* **2018**, *157*, 6–9. [CrossRef]
- 9. Ju, Y.; Koyama, M.; Sawaguchi, T.; Tsuzaki, K.; Noguchi, H. Effects of *ε*-martensitic transformation on crack tip deformation, plastic damage accumulation, and slip plane cracking associated with low-cycle fatigue crack growth. *Int. J. Fatigue* **2017**, *103*, 533–545. [CrossRef]

- 10. Montalvo-Urquizo, J.; Liu, Q.; Schmidt, A. Simulation of quenching involved in induction hardening including mechanical effects. *Comp. Mater. Sci.* 2013, *79*, 639–649. [CrossRef]
- 11. Tong, D.M.; Gu, J.F.; Yang, F. Numerical simulation on induction heat treatment process of a shaft part: Involving induction hardening and tempering. *J. Mater. Process. Technol.* **2018**, 262, 277–289. [CrossRef]
- 12. Fisk, M.; Lindgren, L.E.; Datchary, W.; Deshmukh, V. Modelling of induction hardening in low alloy steels. *Finite Elem. Anal. Des.* **2018**, *144*, 61–75. [CrossRef]
- 13. Basak, A.; Levitas, V.I. Finite element procedure and simulations for a multiphase phase field approach to martensitic phase transformations at large strains and with interfacial stresses. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **2019**, *343*, 368–406. [CrossRef]
- Yen, H.W.; Ooi, S.W.; Eizadjou, M.; Breen, A.; Huang, C.Y.; Bhadeshia, H.K.D.H.; Ringer, S.P. Role of stress-assisted martensite in the design of strong ultrafine-grained duplex steels. *Acta Mater.* 2015, *82*, 100–114. [CrossRef]
- 15. Liu, Y.C.; Liu, C.X.; Sommer, F.; Mittemeijer, E.J. Martensite formation kinetics of substitutional Fe-0.7 at.% Al alloy under uniaxial compressive stress. *Acta Mater.* **2015**, *98*, 164–174. [CrossRef]
- Jiang, B.; Wu, M.; Zhang, M.; Zhao, F.; Zhao, Z.G.; Liu, Y.Z. Microstructural characterization, strengthening and toughening mechanisms of a quenched and tempered steel: Effect of heat treatment parameters. *Mater. Sci. Eng. A* 2017, 707, 306–314. [CrossRef]
- 17. Liu, Q.B.; Liu, H. Experimental study of the laser quenching of 40CrNiMoA steel. *J. Mater. Process. Technol.* **1999**, *88*, 77–82.
- 18. Gu, S.; Xu, G.Q.; Quan, Y.K.; Yuan, Q.C.; Davies, P.A. Uniform design for the optimization of Al₂O₃ nanofilms produced by electrophoretic deposition. *Surf. Coat. Technol.* **2016**, *286*, 268–278.
- 19. Yang, J.; Li, L.; Yang, L.Y.; Li, J.Q. Uniform design for the parameters optimization of pin-fins channel heat sink. *Appl. Therm. Eng.* **2017**, *120*, 289–297. [CrossRef]



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).