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Figure S1. Experimental layout of plants within each site. Points represent a single potted plant (either Rudbeckia triloba or Verbena stricta). Plants at each station were separated by $>1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ from each other; stations were separated by $>20 \mathrm{~m}$. "Open" are plants with unbagged flowers (exposed to pollination, $n=30$ individuals); "Closed" are plants with bagged flowers (preventing pollination, $n=18$ individuals). Total number of plants per site $=48$ individuals.

Table S1. ANOVA tables for floral resource density and site, effects on total number of visits (square-root transformed), visits per flower, total visitation time (log-transformed), number of seeds produced (square-root transformed), total seeds removed (square-root transformed), proportion of seeds removed (log-transformed), and final number of seeds remaining at the end of the experiment (square-root transformed). Table S1Afor Verbena stricta and Table S1B for Rudbeckia triloba.

| (A) Verbena Stricta | Predictor Variables |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Floral Density |  | Site |  | Floral Density $\times$ Site |  |
| Response Variables | $F_{2,24}$ | $p$ | $F_{1,24}$ | $p$ | $F_{2,24}$ | $p$ |
| Total visits | 2.67 | 0.08 | 7.77 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.94 |
| Visits per flower | 5.42 | 0.01 | 8.02 | <0.01 | 1.08 | 0.35 |
| Total visitation time | 0.66 | 0.52 | 2.61 | 0.11 | 1.45 | 0.25 |
| Seeds produced | 18.00 | <0.01 | 13.65 | <0.01 | 0.36 | 0.69 |
| Total seeds removed | 5.69 | <0.01 | 1.03 | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.76 |
| Proportion of seeds removed | 0.05 | 0.94 | 5.78 | 0.02 | 0.49 | 0.61 |
| Final seeds number | 10.29 | <0.01 | 14.85 | <0.01 | 0.47 | 0.62 |
|  | Predictor Variables |  |  |  |  |  |
| (B) Rudbeckia Triloba | Floral | ensity |  |  | Floral | y $\times$ Site |
| Response Variables | $F_{2,24}$ | $p$ | $F_{1,24}$ | $p$ | $F_{2,24}$ | $p$ |
| Total visits | 3.64 | 0.04 | $<0.01$ | 0.97 | 3.65 | 0.04 |
| Visits per flower | 0.21 | 0.80 | 0.01 | 0.91 | 6.67 | <0.01 |
| Total visitation time | 0.16 | 0.84 | 4.84 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.93 |
| Seeds produced | 28.15 | <0.01 | 0.39 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.53 |
| Total seeds removed | 12.74 | <0.01 | $<0.01$ | 0.92 | 1.23 | 0.31 |
| Proportion of seeds removed | 4.66 | 0.01 | 1.85 | 0.18 | 0.74 | 0.48 |
| Final seeds number | 5.25 | 0.01 | 0.26 | 0.60 | 0.92 | 0.40 |
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