

Supplementary Material

Evaluating the impact of post-emergence weed control in honeybee colonies located in different agricultural surroundings

Ivana N. Macri^{1,2,3}, Diego E. Vázquez^{1,2}, Eduardo A. Pagano^{4,5}, Jorge A. Zavala^{4,5} and Walter M. Farina^{1,2*}

¹ Laboratorio de Insectos Sociales, Departamento de Biodiversidad y Biología Experimental, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

² Instituto de Fisiología, Biología Molecular y Neurociencias (IFIBYNE), CONICET-Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

³ Instituto de Ingeniería Rural, Centro de Investigación de Agroindustria (CIA), Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA), Castelar, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

⁴ Cátedra de Bioquímica, Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

⁵ Instituto de Investigaciones en Biociencias Agrícolas y Ambientales, (INBA), CONICET-Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina.

* Correspondence: walter@fbmc.fcen.uba.ar

Table S1. Primer validation. Efficiency, slope and R² values for housekeeping and target genes obtained in validation relative standard curve. Models were made up using Primer 3 and BLAST software

	Hive bees		
	Gene	Efficiency (%)	R ²
TARGETS	<i>ABAECIN</i>	100.412	0.987
	<i>CYP6BD1</i>	109.38	0.959
	<i>CYP6AS2</i>	107.558	0.836
	<i>CYP6AS3</i>	98.541	0.923
	<i>CYP6AS4</i>	106.517	0.836
	<i>CYP9Q3</i>	102.235	0.966
HOUSEKEEPING	<i>RPL8</i>	104.382	0.754
	Larvae		
	Gene	Efficiency	R ²
TARGETS	<i>ABAECIN</i>	104.319	0.987
	<i>CYP6BD1</i>	106.031	0.981
	<i>CYP6AS2</i>	109.929	0.876
	<i>CYP6AS3</i>	100.650	0.975
	<i>CYP6AS4</i>	109.188	0.929
	<i>CYP9Q3</i>	99.05	0.876
HOUSEKEEPING	<i>RPL8</i>	92.918	0.929

Table S2. Colony activity. Statistics of Wilcoxon paired test for comparisons between applications moments. Statistics of Friedman rank sum test for comparisons between plots. Significant differences in bold.

Total incoming rate				
Comparisons	Test	Statistic	95 percent confidence interval	P value
Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=289, estimate=40.898	28 - 52.5	<0.001
Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=0.143$, df=2		0.931
Pollen foragers' incoming rate				
Comparisons	Test	Statistic		P value
Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=253, estimate=11.999	9 - 15	<0.001
Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=8.044$, df=2		0.018
Plot A - Plot B	Conover post hoc			<0.001
Plot A - Plot C	Conover post hoc			0.24
Plot B - Plot C	Conover post hoc			<0.001
Ratio Pollen/Total incoming rate				
Comparisons	Test	Statistic		P value
Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=280, estimate=0.133	0.087 - 0.181	<0.001
Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=9.805$, df=2		0.007
Plot A - Plot B	Conover post hoc			<0.001
Plot A - Plot C	Conover post hoc			0.31
Plot B - Plot C	Conover post hoc			<0.001

Table S3. Relative gene expression. Statistics of Wilcoxon paired test for comparisons between herbicides applications moments. Statistics of Friedman rank sum test for comparisons between plots. Significant differences in bold.

Hive bees					
Gene	Comparisons	Test	Statistic	95 percent confidence interval	p value
ABAECIN	Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=36, estimate= -0.105	-2.537 - 1.550	0.814
	Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=0$, df=2		1
CYP6BD1	Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=24, estimate= -0.194	-2.681 - 0.447	0.424
	Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=4.75$, df=2		0.093
CYP6AS2	Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=56, estimate=0.862	-0.835 - 3.286	0.204
	Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=1.75$, df=2		0.417
CYP6AS3	Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=37, estimate= -0.194	-1.971 - 9.829	0.875
	Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=1$, df=2		0.606
CYP6AS4	Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=29, estimate= -0.559	-6.344 - 2.000	0.470
	Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=9.25$, df=2		0.01
	Plot A - Plot B	Conover post hoc			<0.001
	Plot A - Plot C	Conover post hoc			<0.001
	Plot B - Plot C	Conover post hoc			0.618
CYP9Q3	Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=20, estimate= -0.033	-1.522 - 3.083	0.248
	Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=9.75$, df=2		0.008
	Plot A - Plot B	Conover post hoc			<0.001
	Plot A - Plot C	Conover post hoc			0.140
	Plot B - Plot C	Conover post hoc			<0.001
Larvae					
Gene	Comparisons	Test	Statistic	95 percent confidence interval	p value
ABAECIN	Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=39, estimate= -0.075	-2.267 - 1.731	1
	Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=0.25$, df=2		0.882
CYP6BD1	Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=66, estimate=1.451	0.017 - 5.939	0.034
	Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=0.25$, df=2		0.882

CYP6AS2	Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=38, estimate= -0.107	-2.674 - 2.051	0.970
	Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=0.25$, df=2		0.883
CYP6AS3	Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=63, estimate=1.856	-0.212 - 5.964	0.064
	Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=0.25$, df=2		0.882
CYP6AS4	Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=70, estimate=0.979	0.177 - 2.920	0.012
	Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=3.161$, df=2		0.206
CYP9Q3	Between pre and post herbicide application	Wilcoxon	V=61, estimate=1.019	-0.366 - 2.380	0.092
	Between plots	Friedman	$\chi^2=1$, df=2		0.606

Table S4. Correlation between relative gene expressions of hive bees after herbicide application. Tau, z values and p values obtained from Kendall's rank correlation test. Significant differences in bold.

Correlated genes	tau	z value	p value
ABAECIN-CYP6BD1	0.292	1.309	0.191
ABAECIN-CYP6AS2	0.321	1.443	0.149
ABAECIN-CYP6AS3	-0.076	-0.344	0.731
ABAECIN-CYP6AS4	0.015	0.069	0.945
ABAECIN-CYP9Q3	0.107	0.481	0.630
CYP6BD1 - CYP6AS2	0.779	3.506	<0.001
CYP6BD1 - CYP6AS3	0.259	1.169	0.2426
CYP6BD1 - CYP6AS4	0.657	2.956	0.003
CYP6BD1 - CYP9Q3	0.748	3.368	<0.001
CYP6AS2- CYP6AS3	0.303	1.433	0.197
CYP6AS2- CYP6AS4	0.515	2.501	0.021
CYP6AS2- CYP9Q3	0.667	3.155	0.002
CYP6AS3- CYP6AS4	0	0.033	1
CYP6AS3-CYP9Q3	0.152	0.538	0.5452
CYP6AS4 - CYP9Q3	0.848	4.132	<0.001

Table S5. Correlation between relative gene expressions of larvae after herbicide application. Tau, z values and p values obtained from Kendall's rank correlation test. Significant differences in bold.

Correlated genes	tau	z value	p value
<i>ABAECIN-CYP6BD1</i>	0.242	1.041	0.311
<i>ABAECIN-CYP6AS2</i>	-0.168	-0.756	0.450
<i>ABAECIN-CYP6AS3</i>	0.273	1.240	0.250
<i>ABAECIN-CYP6AS4</i>	0.164	0.714	0.475
<i>ABAECIN-CYP9Q3</i>	0.382	1.718	0.086
<i>CYP6BD1 - CYP6AS2</i>	0.076	0.344	0.731
<i>CYP6BD1 - CYP6AS3</i>	0.424	1.847	0.063
<i>CYP6BD1 - CYP6AS4</i>	0.428	1.857	0.063
<i>CYP6BD1 - CYP9Q3</i>	0.290	1.306	0.191
<i>CYP6AS2- CYP6AS3</i>	0.351	1.581	0.113
<i>CYP6AS2- CYP6AS4</i>	0.249	1.074	0.283
<i>CYP6AS2- CYP9Q3</i>	-0.246	-1.102	0.270
<i>CYP6AS3- CYP6AS4</i>	0.461	2	0.046
<i>CYP6AS3-CYP9Q3</i>	0.015	0.069	0.945
<i>CYP6AS4 - CYP9Q3</i>	0.348	1.503	0.133

Table S6. Correlation between relative expressions of the same biomarker gene in hive bees (HB) and larvae (L), after herbicide application. Tau, z values and p values obtained from Kendall's rank correlation test. Significant differences in bold.

Correlated genes	tau	z value	p value
<i>ABAECIN HB – ABAECIN L</i>	0.198	0.894	0.371
<i>CYP6BD1 HB – CYP6BD1 L</i>	0.046	0.206	0.837
<i>CYP6AS2 HB – CYP6AS2 L</i>	-0.321	-1.443	0.149
<i>CYP6AS3 HB – CYP6AS3 L</i>	-0.091	0.430	0.737
<i>CYP6AS4 HB – CYP6AS4 L</i>	0.132	0.571	0.568
<i>CYP9Q3 HB – CYP9Q3 L</i>	0.504	2.268	0.023

Table S7. Correlation between colony activity rates and hive bees 'relative gene expressions. Tau, z values and p values obtained from Kendall's rank correlation. Significant differences in bold.

Correlated variables	tau	z value	p value
Total incoming rate- <i>ABAECIN</i>	0.099	0.671	0.502
Total incoming rate- <i>CYP9Q3</i>	-0.153	-1.024	0.306
Total incoming rate- <i>CYP6BD1</i>	-0.073	0.497	0.619
Total incoming rate- <i>CYP6AS2</i>	0.080	0.546	0.585
Total incoming rate- <i>CYP6AS3</i>	0.077	0.522	0.602
Total incoming rate- <i>CYP6AS4</i>	0.077	0.522	0.602
Pollen foragers' incoming rate- <i>ABAECIN</i>	0.273	1.759	0.078
Pollen foragers' incoming rate- <i>CYP9Q3</i>	0.037	0.234	0.815
Pollen foragers' incoming rate- <i>CYP6BD1</i>	-0.004	-0.026	0.979
Pollen foragers' incoming rate- <i>CYP6AS2</i>	0.328	2.121	0.034
Pollen foragers' incoming rate- <i>CYP6AS3</i>	0.124	0.802	0.422
Pollen foragers' incoming rate- <i>CYP6AS4</i>	0.349	2.251	0.024
Ratio Pollen/Total incoming rate- <i>ABAECIN</i>	0.369	2.402	0.016
Ratio Pollen/Total incoming rate- <i>CYP9Q3</i>	0.057	0.363	0.716
Ratio Pollen/Total incoming rate- <i>CYP6BD1</i>	-0.008	0.052	0.959
Ratio Pollen/Total incoming rate- <i>CYP6AS2</i>	0.242	1.575	0.115
Ratio Pollen/Total incoming rate- <i>CYP6AS3</i>	0.079	0.516	0.605
Ratio Pollen/Total incoming rate- <i>CYP6AS4</i>	0.206	1.342	0.179

Table S8. Importance of components for principal component analysis (PCA). Standard deviation, proportion of variance and cumulative proportion for each principal component.

Hive bees						
	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6
Standard deviation	1.681	1.093	1.055	0.894	0.245	0.089
Proportion of Variance	0.471	0.199	0.185	0.133	0.010	0.001
Cumulative Proportion	0.471	0.670	0.856	0.989	0.999	1.000
Larvae						
	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6
Standard deviation	1.516	1.356	0.998	0.808	0.415	0.204
Proportion of Variance	0.383	0.306	0.166	0.109	0.029	0.007
Cumulative Proportion	0.383	0.689	0.855	0.964	0.993	1.000

Table S9. Contribution of relative gene expressions after herbicide application for the variability in each principal component, for hive bees and larvae.

Hive bees						
	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6
<i>ABAECIN</i>	0.096	-0.077	0.760	-0.636	0.034	0.020
<i>CYP6BD1</i>	-0.559	-0.221	-0.091	-0.128	0.777	-0.103
<i>CYP6AS2</i>	-0.179	-0.795	-0.271	-0.278	-0.430	0.025
<i>CYP6AS3</i>	0.138	0.394	-0.571	-0.706	0.032	0.022
<i>CYP6AS4</i>	-0.567	0.255	0.075	-0.016	-0.230	0.745
<i>CYP9Q3</i>	-0.553	0.305	0.092	-0.051	-0.396	-0.658
Larvae						
	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5	PC6
<i>ABAECIN</i>	0.069	-0.102	0.982	-0.116	-0.070	0.054
<i>CYP6BD1</i>	0.148	0.688	0.031	0.027	-0.664	-0.249
<i>CYP6AS2</i>	-0.536	0.044	-0.080	-0.690	-0.257	0.403
<i>CYP6AS3</i>	-0.521	0.136	0.102	0.701	-0.108	0.443
<i>CYP6AS4</i>	-0.624	0.172	0.117	-0.033	0.302	-0.689
<i>CYP9Q3</i>	0.157	0.683	0.071	-0.132	0.620	0.319