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Abstract: High-quality X-ray observations of planetary nebulae (PNe) have demonstrated that the X-ray-emitting gas in their hot bubbles have temperatures in the small range $T_X = (1 - 3) \times 10^6$ K. However, according to theoretical expectations, adiabatically-shocked wind-blown bubbles should have temperatures up to two orders of magnitude higher. Numerical simulations show that instabilities at the interface between the hot bubble and the nebular material form clumps and filaments that generate an intermediate-temperature turbulent mixing layer. We describe the X-ray properties resulting from simulations of PNe in our Galaxy and the Magellanic Clouds.
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1. Introduction

One of the major probes of the interacting stellar winds model of planetary nebulae (PNe) formation scenario is the detection of hot bubbles. XMM-Newton and Chandra X-ray satellites have unveiled in great detail the distribution of the X-ray-emitting gas and its physical properties in PNe (e.g., [1–3]). The accumulation of the early X-ray work led to the design of the Chandra Planetary Nebulae Survey (CHANPLANS). This consists of a series of Chandra Large Programs and archival data to study the volume-limited sample of PNe within 1.5 kpc of the Sun [4]. The diffuse X-ray emission is mainly detected in the 0.3–2.0 keV energy range and is mostly associated with compact ($\lesssim 0.15$ pc) and young ($\lesssim 5000$ year) PNe.

Similar to wind-blown bubbles around massive stars, the X-ray temperatures obtained by means of spectral fitting from hot bubbles in PNe are in the $T_X = (1 - 3) \times 10^6$ K range [5,6], which is not in accordance to what is expected from analytical predictions. The temperature of an adiabatically-shocked wind-blown bubble is a function of the terminal wind velocity ($V_{\infty}$) from the central star and can be estimated to be

$$T = 2.3 \times 10^7 \mu \left( \frac{V_{\infty}}{1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}} \right)^2 \text{[K]}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mu$ is the mean molecular weight. The winds from central stars of PNe can reach $V_{\infty} \gtrsim 500 – 4000$ km s$^{-1}$ [7]. That is, the hot bubble’s temperature should be at least an order of magnitude higher than values obtained from observations. To resolve this discrepancy, several mechanisms have been proposed, with thermal conduction being the leading idea. Thermal conduction diffuses heat into the surrounding photoionised ($T \approx 10^4$) nebular material [8]. The inner surface of the nebular material evaporates into the hot bubble reducing the temperature and increasing its density (see [9] and references therein). A strong argument against the idea of thermal conductivity is that the presence of even a very small magnetic field would inhibit this mechanism.
As a result of the interaction of the current fast wind with material previously ejected from the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) phase, the interface becomes unstable [10]. Hydrodynamical ablation and photoevaporation of the dense clumps and filaments leads to turbulent mixing of cooler material into the hot gas producing favorable conditions for soft X-ray emission. Here, we discuss our most recent results on the X-ray emission from PNe in our Galaxy and in the Magellanic Clouds [11–13].

2. Methods

We performed high-resolution 2D axisymmetric radiation–hydrodynamic simulations of the formation of hot bubbles in PNe for different initial stellar masses (1, 1.5, 2, and 2.5 $M_\odot$) with and without thermal conduction. We used stellar evolution models from the AGB [14] to the post-AGB phase [15]. The stellar wind parameters for the post-AGB phase were computed using the WM-Basic code [16].

The first step for studying the X-ray properties from our models is to calculate the differential emission measure (DEM). This has been defined as $\text{DEM}(T_b) = \sum_k n_e^2 \Delta V_k$, where $n_e$ is the electron number density in cell $k$, $\Delta V_k$ is the cell volume, and the sum is performed over cells with gas temperature $T_b$. Examples of DEMs obtained from our simulations are presented in Figure 1.

Using the extensively tested CHIANTI database version 7.1.3 [17], we calculated the emission coefficient $\epsilon(T)$ corresponding to the soft X-ray energy band (0.3–2.0 keV). Figure 2 (left) shows the emission coefficient for PNe chemical abundances in our Galaxy and in the Large and Small Magellanic Clouds (LMC and SMC, respectively) (see Appendix in [13] for details). Note that the different $\epsilon(T)$ curves show two peaks: the main one peaks around $\log_{10}(T) = 6.1 – 6.3$ depending on the abundance set. The secondary peak at $\log_{10}(T) \sim 7.5$ is only present for the hydrogen rich cases.

We used the emission coefficient in combination with the DEM computed for each simulation to estimate emission-coefficient-weighted averaged temperatures as

$$T_A = \frac{\int \epsilon(T) \text{DEM}(T) T dT}{\int \epsilon(T) \text{DEM}(T) dT}.$$  (2)
Figure 2 (middle) presents the results of applying this equation in combination with the emission coefficient shown in Figure 2 (left) to the results obtained from the numerical simulations with initial mass of 1.5 $M_\odot$.

Finally, Chianti was also used to calculate the detailed synthetic spectrum of each simulation at different times for all the abundance sets used here. Each spectrum was integrated in the soft X-ray band (0.3–2.0 keV) to calculate its corresponding X-ray luminosity ($L_X$). Figure 2 (right) presents the evolution with time of $L_X$ for all abundance sets corresponding to simulations with initial stellar mass of 1.5 $M_\odot$.

3. Results

All of our models present a clumpy interface between the hot bubble and the outer nebular material formed as a result of a diversity of hydrodynamical and cooling instabilities (see Figures 1 and 2 in [12]). Hubble Space Telescope observations of inner bubbles in X-ray-emitting PNe reveal clumps and filaments as part of the interface layers between the hot bubbles and the outer nebular material (e.g., [5,18]). This supports the idea that the presence of clumps and filaments is related to the production of X-ray emission in these objects.

Whether thermal conduction is included in our simulations or not, the mean temperature obtained from our simulations is similar to the values obtained to single-temperature fits to the observations. Whilst models with thermal conduction converge to a constant temperature value, models without conduction reach an early peak in their mean temperature due to the increasing stellar wind velocity. When the turbulent mixing layer becomes important, the mean temperature tends to a lower constant value. Our results show that even in the presence of a magnetic field, soft X-ray emission can be produced as a result of mixing by instabilities.

It is important to note that the emission coefficient used to compute the mean temperature of the hot bubble acts as a efficient filter and, depending on the abundances for which it is computed, it selects the contribution from gas at particular temperatures. The position of the narrow peak in the $\epsilon(T)$ curves (Figure 2, left) and the relative heights of the peak and the broad bump change with metallicity. These differences are reflected in the mean temperature shown in Figure 2 (middle). For example, we note that the $\epsilon(T)$ computed for the carbon rich hot gas in the PN BD+30°3639 exhibits a single dominant temperature peak at $\log_{10}(T) \sim 6.1$. This is the reason its predicted mean temperature for models with and without thermal conduction are the lowest.

We expect PNe in the lower metallicity environments to have higher X-ray-emitting temperatures but lower X-ray luminosities. However, there is still no evidence of diffuse X-ray emission from PNe in external galaxies.
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