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Abstract: Combined cosmological, astrophysical and numerical tests may shed some light on the viability of theories of gravity beyond Einsteinian relativity. In this letter, we present two different techniques providing complementary ways of testing new physics beyond the $\Lambda$CDM cosmological paradigm. First, we shall present some of the latest progress and shortcomings in the cosmographic model-independent approach for several modified gravity theories using supernovae catalogues, baryonic acoustic oscillation data and $H(z)$ differential age compilations. Second, we shall show how once the Einsteinian paradigm is abandoned, the phenomenology of neutron stars changes dramatically since neutron-star masses can be much larger than their General Relativity counterparts. Consequently, the total energy available for radiating gravitational waves could be of the order of several solar masses, and thus a merger of these stars constitutes a privileged wave source. Unfortunately at the present time our persisting lack of understanding in the strong interaction sector does not allow to distinguish the alternative theories from the usual General Relativity predictions.
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1. Introduction

The discovery of the accelerated expansion of the universe [1–3], the more recent detection of gravitational waves as emitted from black-hole and neutron-star mergers [4–10] and the increased precision in relativistic effects in the growth of large-scale structures [11–14] have propelled the intensive study of extended (also dubbed modified) theories of gravity. Roughly speaking, the whole pleyade of such theories generally aims to overcome the limitations that the cosmological Concordance $\Lambda$CDM model suffers when applied to several astrophysical and cosmological tests in a broad range of energies, from the Planck scale—and the lack of a consistent quantum field theory of gravity—to the late-time cosmological scales—and the lack of explanation for observed acceleration. Many of these proposals [15–19] aim to avoid the need of the usually invoked dark components, either through a mere cosmological constant, as in the $\Lambda$CDM model, where dark energy is nothing but a cosmological constant, or through artificial scalar fields which are added to the usual General Relativity (GR) term, as in phantom/quintessence-like models.

Since the number of viable modified gravities at hand remain sufficiently large, complementary techniques in both astrophysical and cosmological scales as well as in strong-gravity regimes, where GR has never been directly tested, are the ideal tools to compare theoretical predictions with catalogues of dataset, extracted, for instance, from both the cosmic microwave background, cosmological expansion, large-scale structures, astrophysical configurations and gravitational-wave signals. Usually, such comparisons suffer from the so-called degeneracy problem [20], meaning that several competitive gravitational theories are capable of explaining the same phenomena with roughly speaking the same
statistical significance. The breaking of such a problem with complementary tests is precisely the leit-motiv of this communication.

Among competitive extended theories of gravity, some of the most successful ones include the so-called scalar-tensor \( f(R) \) theories, where the gravitational Lagrangian includes powers of the Ricci scalar \( R \) encompassed in an arbitrary function of \( R \) (c.f. [21–25] for extensive reviews on the subject); or extended theories of teleparallel gravity \( F(T) \) (c.f. [26–38] for results in the subject) where the covariant action is written in terms of an arbitrary function of the torsion scalar \( T \), which indeed extends the usual teleparallel gravity approach.

The paper is organised as follows, in Section 2 we present the rudiments and main results when model-independent (cosmographic) techniques are used to reconstruct \( f(R) \) and \( F(T) \) Lagrangians capable of causing the observed cosmological evolution once the Copernican principle is assumed. Then, in Section 3 we shall present the main features of neutron stars for paradigmatic quadratic \( f(R) \) theories with no intermediate approximation in the system of equations. Analysis will show that distant observers would observe a gravitational mass greater than the GR counterpart for the same particle-physics equation of state, the exterior solution asymptotically—although not exactly—being Schwarzschild-like. Finally, we conclude the paper by presenting our main conclusions in Section 4 as well as some prospects to improve the aforementioned analyses.

2. Cosmography

A possible way of alleviating the aforementioned degeneracy consists of combining different cosmological measurements in order to reduce the phase space of free parameters. Nevertheless, the caveat of any measurement is the implicit a priori assumption about the statistical validity of a given cosmological model. For that reason, amongst several statistical treatments, model-independent techniques aim to guarantee that the statistical outcomes do not depend upon the choice of the model itself. **Cosmography** of the Universe indeed belongs to this class of techniques [39]. Cosmographic studies in extended theories have been limited to recent research [40,41] proving how much disfavoured such a technique is in comparison with Gaussian processes of reconstruction [42].

Nonetheless, there were indeed seminal references for cosmographic techniques in the context of \( f(R) \) theories included [40,41,43,44], whereas for \( F(T) \) they were restricted to [45]. In our recent investigations [46] we expanded each class of extended theory under consideration in powers of redshift \( z \) around the present time, making no additional assumptions on the values of the theory’s parameters at the present epoch. In [46] we indeed combined data from the Union 2.1 SNIa catalogue, BAO data and \( H(z) \) differential age compilations with the support of the most recent Planck data, so the cosmological expansion can be tested on different epochs of the cosmological evolution. There, we performed a Monte Carlo analysis using a Metropolis-Hastings algorithm with a Gelman-Rubin convergence criterion and flat priors\(^1\), reporting 1–\( \sigma \) and 2–\( \sigma \) confidence levels. Our results showed how previous analyses were unable to capture essential trends in the considered theories and led to underestimation of the cosmographic bounds. In order to show the dependence of the results with the considered redshift interval, we first used data in the very small redshift regime \( z < 1 \). We then performed the same analyses but with all data points for every dataset, i.e., without limiting them to \( z < 1 \). For \( f(R) \) theories, we found that all model parameters were compatible with zero at about the 1–\( \sigma \) level, albeit the shapes of contour plots were different from pure ellipses, a fact related to the higher-order character of these theories. In addition, such shapes change as one shifts from the \( z < 1 \) analysis to the all–\( z \) analysis. Main results are shown in Figure 1. For \( F(T) \) theories we again found that all model parameters are compatible with zero at about the 1–\( \sigma \) level, while the 2–\( \sigma \) level
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\(^1\) with the exception of \( r_s(z_{\text{drag}}) \), in which a Gaussian prior was used and set at Planck’s best values [46].

\(^2\) This does not apply to BAO measurements, since all data points are confined inside \( z < 1 \). Hence, the same data were used for both fits [46].
enables larger parameter ranges, especially for higher derivatives. In fact, dimensionless parameters proportional to the third and fourth derivatives of \( f(T) \) turned out to be only constrained at the level of \( \mathcal{O}(10^2) \) and \( \mathcal{O}(10^3) \) for \( z<1 \) data, and \( \mathcal{O}(1) \) and \( \mathcal{O}(10) \) for the full dataset [46]. The best-fit points, still relatively close to model parameters, were compatible with zero, although the posterior probabilities are far from being Gaussian. Main results are shown in Figure 2. In both Figures 1 and 2, \( h_0 \equiv H(z_{\text{today}}) / (100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}) \) and \( \Delta M \) holds for the luminosity distance nuisance parameter defined as \( \mu_{\text{fit}}(z_i) = \mu_{\text{Union2.1}}(z_i) + \Delta M \) which depends on \( H_0 \) and \( M \).

Finally, for those two theories, we also compared our statistical results to standard criteria for statistical significance, namely the AIC and BIC criteria. This discussion enabled us to state that when expanding the standard \( \Lambda \)CDM cosmological model to the third order, the corresponding third orders for both \( f(R) \) and \( F(T) \) theories turn out to be statistically favoured by using the AIC criteria, however disfavoured in the case of BIC. For further details refer to Section 5.6 in [46] in Table 8 therein.

Figure 1. Results for \( f(R) \) theories for \( z<1 \) and all–z as depicted in [46]. Errors on this table and on Figure 2 correspond to 95% confidence levels and best fit values are indicated in brackets. The model parameters lie centered around zero, although order one departures are spotted. \( f(R) \) parameters contours shapes show how the likelihood surface differs from a multi-variate Gaussian even in the vicinity of the maximum likelihood point. The following variables have been used: \( \alpha = \frac{\partial f}{\partial R} \big|_{z=0} \), \( \tilde{\Omega}_m = \tilde{\Omega}_m \), \( \tilde{f}_0 = \frac{1}{\tilde{\Omega}_m} f \big|_{z=0} - 1 + \frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_m}{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_m} \big|_{z=0} = \frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_m}{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_m} \big|_{z=0} \), \( \tilde{\Omega}_m = \frac{1}{\tilde{\Omega}_m} \big|_{z=0} - \frac{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_m}{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_m} \big|_{z=0} - 3 \tilde{\Omega}_m \) and \( \beta^2 = \frac{6 \tilde{\Omega}_m}{\partial \tilde{\Omega}_m} \big|_{z=0} \). Figure 2. Results for \( F(T) \) theories for \( z<1 \) and all–z as depicted in [46]. As can be seen, all model parameters are highly compatible with zero (i.e., with the \( \Lambda \)CDM limit), but \( \tilde{F}_4 \) and especially \( \tilde{F}_4 \) can deviate rather substantially from zero, particularly for the \( z<1 \) analysis. Note that

\[
\tilde{F}_j = F_j / F_1 \quad (j = 2, 3, 4), \quad \text{with} \quad F_i = T^{i-1} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \big|_{z=0}.
\]
3. Spherical Configurations: Neutron Stars

As stated in the introduction, a window of particular interest in shedding light on the nature of the gravitational interaction lies in high-energy configurations such as black holes as well as relativistic (neutron and quark) stars. In such strong gravitational field regimes, predictions of extended theories may complement the gravitational picture as provided by the low-curvature cosmological evolution at late times. Herein, we shall illustrate how for viable classes of $f(R)$ Lagrangians, the relations between masses and radii parameters of relativistic stars differ from their Einsteinian counterparts dramatically.

As a straightforward consequence of the Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff (TOV) [47] analysis, once GR is assumed as the correct theory of gravity, there are certainly upper limits for realistic neutron star masses, being the theoretical mass limit itself increased along the years, from $O(0.6M_\odot)$ for a free neutron gas equation of state (EoS), to $O(2.2M_\odot)$ limits imposed by stiffer chiral interactions [48].

Thanks to the discovery of a neutron star with mass $1.974M_\odot$ [49]—confirmed later on by other measurements [50–53], the precise sighting [54] through binary system measurements, double neutron stars features and pulsar systems [55], the validity of GR standard predictions have been indeed put into question. Thus the interest for such configurations in extended theories of gravity, mainly in scalar-tensor theories [56–71], has aimed to reconcile predictions with astrophysical results.

The approach we followed in both [68,72] was novel since for static and spherically symmetric configurations (a) instead of assuming any perturbative analysis, the exact higher-order system of differential equations was solved; and (b) the Schwarzschild solution was not assumed to be exactly—but asymptotically—the exterior solution. Consequently, the star outer spacetime may also contribute to the total gravitational mass. Thus, the emergence of the so-called gravitational sphere around the star provides an extra contribution to the gravitational mass as measured by distant observers. Moreover, the obtained exterior solution crucially depends upon the chosen nuclear matter EoS because the latter determines the value of the Ricci scalar at the star surface [68] and therefore the amplitude of its damped oscillations.

In order to illustrate the power of this analysis, we present herein the main features of neutron stars in the context of the paradigmatic $f(R) = R + \alpha R^2$ gravity and the well-known AP4 equation of state [75] which hosts a three-nucleon potential and Argonne 18 potential with UIX potential. Indeed, for $\alpha > 0$, the chosen initial condition for $R(r = 0)$ must guarantee that the Schwarzschild solution is asymptotically approached at spatial infinity. The performed analysis proved that for a given density at the centre of the star, the stellar mass is indeed smaller than the GR counterpart. As a result, the gravitational mass overcomes the GR counterpart for central density values higher than a given critical central density. This effect was noticed for several EoS, including the case of quark stars as can be found in [72]. Results are presented in Figures 3 and 4 where both GR and $f(R)$ quadratic predictions are depicted.

---

3 c.f. [25] for a review about the existence and main features of black holes in theories beyond GR.

4 In the context of $f(R)$ theories, it is a well-known fact that the matching conditions at the edge of the star do not impose the Ricci curvature to vanish [73]. This fact constitutes a straightforward violation of the Jepsen-Birkhoff theorem in $f(R)$ theories [73,74].

5 c.f. [68] for a thorough analysis regarding the so-called $f(R)$ Hu-Sawicki model.

6 For $\alpha < 0$, results in [72] concluded that, although outside the star the Ricci scalar shows damped oscillations, the total gravitational mass would increase with the radial distance, showing the lack of realism of such an $\alpha$ parameter space.
Figure 3. Upper panel: relation between the gravitational mass ($M$) and radius of the star for AP4 EoS in $R^2$-gravity when compared with the General Relativity predictions (left); relation between the gravitational mass and the central density of the star (right). The symbol $\alpha_{10}$ refers to $\alpha \times 10^{10}$ cm$^2$. Lower panel: the dependence of the contribution of gravitational sphere into gravitational mass $\Delta M = M - M_s$ with stellar mass $M_s \equiv m(r_{\text{surface}})$ (left); surface gravitational redshift $z_s$ defined as $z_s = (1 - 2M_s/r_s)^{-1/2} - 1$, where $M_s$ holds for the stellar mass, as a function of gravitational mass (right).

Figure 4. Left panel: Dependence of the scalar curvature outside the star for stellar configurations with maximal mass for AP4 EoS. Scalar curvature is given in units of $r_s^{-2} \equiv c^2/G^2 M_s^2$. Right panel: the stellar mass profile $m(r)$ for stellar configurations with maximal gravitational mass.
4. Conclusions

In this communication we have presented a brief overview of two powerful techniques which are called to shed light on the underlying nature of the gravitational interaction, namely model-independent cosmographic methods, targeting the framework of two extensions of General Relativity, and the main features of compact neutron stars with a paradigmatic realistic equation of state in scalar-tensor theories.

First, our cosmographic analysis was only based on the most general assumptions. Our results noticed discrepancies with previous literature for several classes of reconstructed extended dark-energy theories. In particular, according to the statistical results presented above for the cosmographic analysis, the reconstructed gravitational Lagrangians do not need to exactly mimic the standard linear dependence with the Ricci scalar at present times. Obviously, further work is needed so this technique becomes more competitive. For instance, future analyses must take higher-redshift catalogues into account, desirably with different redshift windows of data points. Moreover, there are still several challenges faced by this method to be addressed. In particular: (i) the need for a clear definition of the ideal auxiliary variable, their range of applicability and a thorough testing against mock data; (ii) the definition of a robust statistical method—if any—in order to establish a trade-off between number of data points, number of cosmographic parameters and the required statistical evidence to safely rule out models; and (iii) motivated statistical priors over the extra parameters in higher-order theories in order to get competitive constraints for these theories.

Complementary, once theory-dependent extensions of General Relativity are considered, the study of compact stars remains as a mandatory task in order to extract novel theoretical and observational conclusions raised by extended theories in such strong gravity regimes. In fact, the resolution of the generalised system of Tolman-Oppenheimer-Volkoff equations for paradigmatic scalar-tensor theories has indeed proved first of all, the existence of solutions for a wide range of the model parameters, and second, the possibility to overcome General Relativity upper bounds for the allowed mass. More to the point, in [72] we proved how for the viable parameters space of $\alpha R^2$ models the $f(R)$ predictions for the neutron stars maximal mass ranged from 2–2.6 $M_\odot$ and therefore was in agreement with observed stars. Analogous results were found for the popular Hu-Sawicki $f(R)$ model in [68].

In addition, we have confirmed how a careful matching of the interior and exterior spacetime regions implies that (i) in the context of $f(R)$ theories, we have shown the existence of exterior static and spherically symmetric physical solutions differing from the usual Schwarzschild solution; (ii) the asymptotic gravitational mass to be unbounded for sufficiently large values of $\alpha$; and (iii) the Ricci scalar is not identically zero at the surface of the star although (for $\alpha > 0$) it is rapidly suppressed by damped oscillations and asymptotic flatness is eventually recovered. Qualitatively, our results showed how the extra scalar mode present in $f(R)$ theories can be thought of as an additional energetic content around the star. More precisely, the existence of this mode seems to prevent the gravitational collapse and increases the asymptotically observed gravitational mass as shown in the Figure 3. Consequently, neutron star mergers in the context of scalar-tensor theories, would host a higher available energy than that in their Einsteinian counterparts. This may lead to a more efficient and detectable emission of gravitational waves [68,76–81]. Obviously, in the recently opened era of gravitational-wave physics, there is still some room [82,83] for such phenomena to help unveil the real nature of the gravitational interaction. Nevertheless, at the present time our lack of understanding of the strong interaction sector remains larger than the corrections arising from the modifications of gravity. Therefore, current observational data cannot provide much information on the underlying theory of gravity unless complementary tests both in the strong gravitation regime and particle physics are performed.
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