OPEN ACCESS Journal of Personalized Medicine ISSN 2075-4426 www.mdpi.com/journal/jpm/

Review

A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Mobile Devices and Weight Loss *with an Intervention Content Analysis*

Lynnette Nathalie Lyzwinski

MPhil Public Health, BA Health Science, Department of Public Health, Cambridge University, Cambridge CB3 OBN, UK; E-Mails: lnl25@cam.ac.uk or Lynnette.lyzwinski@cantab.net

Received: 17 February 2014; in revised form: 28 May 2014 / Accepted: 28 May 2014 / Published: 30 June 2014

Abstract: Introduction: Overweight and obesity constitute leading global public health challenges. Tackling overweight and obesity by influencing human behaviour is a complex task, requiring novel emerging health psychology interventions. The aims of this review will be to determine whether mobile devices induce weight loss and improvements in diet and physical activity levels when compared with standard controls without a weight loss intervention or controls allocated to non-mobile device weight loss interventions. Methods: A systematic review on mobile devices and weight loss was conducted. The inclusion criteria were all randomized controlled trials with baseline and post-intervention weight measures in adult subjects >18 years of age without pre-specified co-morbidities. Mobile device specifications included modern, portable devices in the form of smartphones, PDAs, iPods, and Mp3 players. Cohen's d for standardized differences in mean weight loss was calculated. A random effects meta-analysis was generated using Comprehensive meta-analysis software. Theories and intervention content were coded and analysed. Results: A total of 17 studies were identified, of which 12 were primary trials and 5 were secondary analyses. The meta-analysis generated a medium significant effect size of 0.430 (95% CI 0.252–0.609) (*p*-value \leq 0.01), favouring mobile interventions. Throughout the systematic review, mobile devices were found to induce weight loss relative to baseline weight. When comparing them with standard no intervention controls as well as controls receiving non-mobile weight loss interventions, results favoured mobile devices for weight loss. Reductions in Body mass index, waist circumference, and percentage body fat were also found in the review. Improvements in the determinants of weight loss in the form of improved dietary intake and physical activity levels were also found. Theory appears to

largely inform intervention design, with the most common theories being Social Cognitive Theory, Elaboration Likelihood Theory, Control Theory, and Goal Theory. The use of behavioural change techniques was widespread across the studies, with a minimum of five per intervention. Conclusion: Mobile devices appear to induce positive changes in the behavioural determinants of weight and subsequently are associated with weight loss. Mobile device interventions are heavily informed by theory and behaviour change techniques. The use of theory appears to effectively enhance levels of constructs targeted by interventions.

Keywords: mobile health; mobile devices; obesity; weight loss; RCTs

Table of Content

Table of Content	
1. Introduction	
1.1. Background and Epidemiology	
1.2. Morbidity and Mortality	
1.3. Economic Costs	
1.4. Global Strategies	
1.5. Diet and Physical Activity Targets	
1.6. Health Psychology	
Health Psychology Theories	
1.7. Behaviour Change Techniques	
1.8. Mobile Technology Definition	
1.9. Mobile Technology Usage and Public Health Applications	
1.10. Research on Mobile Devices and Weight Loss	
1.11. Aims and Research Questions	
2. Methods	
2.1. Overview	
2.2. Databases Searched	
2.3. Search Limiters	
2.4. Search Terminology	
2.5. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria	
2.6. Data Extraction	
2.7. Study Quality Assessment	
2.8. Data Coding	
2.9. Data Synthesis	
2.10. Data Analysis	
3. Results Part A: Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis	
3.1. General Search Results	
3.2. General Descriptive	
3.3. Mobile Device Intervention Media	

3.4. Target Behaviour and Weight Loss	324
3.5. Dietary Measures	343
3.6. Dietary Changes Overview	343
3.6.1. Dietary Changes in Fruit and Vegetable Intake	343
3.6.2. Dietary Changes in Sugar and Fat Intake	344
3.6.3. Dietary Changes in Daily Caloric Intake	344
3.6.4. Changes in EBI and ED Scores	344
3.7. Physical Activity Measures	345
3.8. Physical Activity Overview	345
3.8.1. Perceived Physical Activity Goal Adherence	345
3.8.2. Changes in Physical Activity Levels	345
3.9. Weight Measures	346
3.10. Weight Loss Overview	346
3.10.1. Changes in Weight Mobile Phones	346
3.10.2. Changes in Weight Other Mobile Devices	347
3.10.3. Weight Loss and Adherence	347
3.11. Changes in BMI	347
3.12. Changes in Waist Circumference	347
3.13. Changes in Body Fat Percentage	348
3.14. Study Quality	348
3.15. Risk of Bias Grading	349
3.16. Meta-Analysis Weight Loss (kg)	353
3.16.1. Overview	353
3.16.2. Results	353
3.16.3. Heterogeneity	353
3.16.4. Publication Bias	354
3.16.5. Sensitivity Analysis	354
4. Results Part B: Intervention Content Analysis; Use of Theory and Behavior Change Techniques	355
4.1. Theoretical Base	355
4.2. Predictors/Constructs	356
4.2.1. Intentions and Sense of Control	356
4.2.2. Positive Affect	356
4.2.3. Self-Efficacy	356
4.2.4. Elaboration and Reduced Cognitive Load	356
4.3. Intervention Components	357
4.3.1. Text Message and App Component	357
4.3.2. Health Education Component	357
4.3.3. Professional Support Component	357
4.3.4. Web Component	362
4.3.5. Technological Components	362
4.3.6. Comparator	362
4.4. Behaviour Change Techniques	362

4.4.1. Goal Setting, Self-Monitoring and Feedback	
4.4.2. Social Support	
4.4.3. Prompt Practice	
4.4.4. Stress Management and Relapse Prevention	
4.4.5. Graded Tasks	
4.4.6. Modelling/Demonstrating behaviour	
4.4.7. Social Comparison	
4.4.8. Barrier Identification	
4.4.9. Provision of Encouragement	
4.4.10. Contingent Awards	
4.4.11. Prompt Intention Formation	
4.4.12. Follow-Up Prompts	
4.4.13. Provide Instructions	
4.4.14. Prompt Practice	
5. Discussion Part A: Implications of Mobile Device Interventions for Weight Loss	
5.1. Changes in Weight	
5.2. Changes in BMI, Body Fat Percentage, and Waist Circumference	
5.3. Changes in Diet and Physical Activity Levels	
5.4. Intervention Feature Complexity	
5.5. Clinical Significance	
5.6. Implications of Negative Findings	
5.7. Importance of Comparator	
6. Discussion Part B: The Implications of Theory and Behaviour Change Techniques	
6.1. Theory	
6.2. Predictors	
6.3. Interaction with Predictors	
6.4. Research on Physiological Pathways	
6.5. Applied Theories Informing Intervention Design	
6.5.1. Common Theories	
6.5.2. Less Frequent Theories	
6.5.3. Implicit Theory	
6.6. Behaviour Change Techniques	
6.6.1. Key Adopted Behaviour Change Techniques	
6.6.2. Diverse Media of BCT Delivery	
6.7. Connection of Behavioural Change Techniques with Theory	
7. Summary of Discussions Part A and B	
7.1. Synopsis	
7.2. Strengths and Limitations	
7.2.1. Strengths	
7.2.2. Limitations	
7.3. Future Directions	
8. Conclusions	

J. Pers. Med. 2014, 4

8.1. Primary Central Research Objective	378
8.2. Secondary Research Objective	379
Acknowledgements	380
Conflicts of Interest	380
References	381
Appendix	

Tables and Figures

Figure 1. Flow Chart of Search	325
Table 1. Study Characteristics of Mobile Phone Interventions	
Table 2. Critical Appraisal Trial Quality Rating	350
Table 3. Risk of Bias Grading, Adapted from the Cochrane Hanbook Higgins et al	352
Figure 2. Mobile Devices and Weight Loss Meta-analysis	353
Figure 3. Funnel Plot for Publication Bias	354
Figure 4. Sensitivity Analysis	355
Table 4. Intervention Components	358
Table 5. Theory adapted from Michie and Prestwich Theory Coding and Michie and Abraham	
Illustrative Theory Techniques	368
Table 6. Application of Abraham and Michie et al. (2007) 26 Item Coding Manual for Behaviour	
Change Techniques	
Figure 5. BCT and Theory Connection in Reviewed Trials	376
Table A1. Summary of CINAHL Search via EbscoHost	384

1. Introduction

1.1. Background and Epidemiology

Obesity and overweight constitute leading global public health challenges of the 21st Century. They have transcended national boundaries to a scale requiring cross-national collaboration for the promulgation of effective global public health policy and population wide interventions. Obesity refers to a Body Mass Index over 30 kg/m² and overweight a BMI over 25 kg/m², measured as a ratio of weight in kg over height in meters squared [1]. According to the WHO [1], obesity has nearly doubled over the past three decades, with over 11% of the world's population being obese in 2008 [1]. A total of 200 million men and 300 million women age 20 and over were obese by the year 2008, with global estimates by the WHO of the overweight pandemic reaching 1.4 billion [1]. Mathematical modelling projections estimate that under current trends, there will be a total of 2.16 billion overweight and 1.12 billion obese individuals across the globe by the year 2030 [2].

1.2. Morbidity and Mortality

Overweight and obesity increase the risk of premature morbidity and mortality. The WHO estimates that approximately 3 million annual deaths are attributed to overweight and obesity [1]. Additionally, they increase the risk of leading chronic diseases including cancer, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease according to the WHO [1]. The attributable risk due to overweight and obesity is 7%–10% for cancer, 44% for diabetes, and 7% for CVD according to WHO estimates [1]. Findings in the Global Burden of Disease Report indicate that a high BMI has increased as a leading risk factor between 1990 and 2010 from the 11th position to being the 6th global risk factor for men and women [3]. The leading causes of obesity and overweight, an unhealthy diet and physical inactivity [1], have also increased as leading global risk factors between 1990 to 2010 [3]. Approximately 2.8% of all deaths worldwide are attributed to low fruit and vegetable intake [4]. A total of 6% of global deaths are attributed to physical inactivity [5].

1.3. Economic Costs

In addition to the significant impact on morbidity and mortality, obesity and overweight pose significant economic burdens on nations. Global estimates of the costs of obesity in proportion to total healthcare expenditures are 0.7%–2.8%, with medical costs among obese being 30% higher than in the non-obese population [6]. Under present trends, obesity is estimated to cost the National Health Service in England 6.7 billion by the year 2050 [7].

1.4. Global Strategies

Given the significant public health and economic burdens associated with obesity and overweight, it has been placed on the forefront of the health policy agenda. The Political Declaration of the High Level Meeting of the United Nations General Assembly on the Prevention and Control of Non-Communicable Diseases on September 2011 established a precedent for a global political commitment to enforce the determinants of health and to capitalize upon the 2004 WHO Global Strategy on Diet, Physical Activity, and Health [1].

1.5. Diet and Physical Activity Targets

Present global obesity strategies aim to target lifestyle choices in the form of healthy eating and physical activity at the population level [8]. The WHO global physical activity guidelines recommend that adults engage in at least 150 min of moderate to vigorous intensity activity per week [9]. There should be bouts of aerobic activity, which increases the heart and breathing rate for a minimum of 10 min, which may be replaced by 75 min of vigorous intensity aerobic activity per week [9]. The WHO Global Obesity Strategy for Diet aims to encourage populations to reduce their intake of saturated fats and trans-fatty acids, sugar, sodium, and increase the consumption of fruit and vegetables [4]. The target intake levels are 400 grams of fruit and vegetables a day [4]. According to the UK Food Standard Agency, trans fatty acids intake should not exceed 2% of total daily food energy, mono saturated fat intake should not exceed 13% of total daily food energy, total fat intake

should not exceed 35% of daily food energy, and sugar intake should not exceed 11% of total daily food energy [10]. Adult daily sodium intake should not exceed 6 grams/day [10].

1.6. Health Psychology

The fields of behavioural science and health psychology have been actively researching ways to tackle the behavioural determinants of obesity and overweight. Tackling obesity and overweight by changing population health behaviour towards increasing physical activity levels and improving dietary habits is a difficult task requiring novel interventions that target underlying psychological beliefs and processes. According to Webb, behaviours are classified as addictive if they contain a reward-seeking element to them which prevents a given subject's self-regulatory inhibitory mechanisms from refraining from the behaviour and if the behaviour leads to negative repercussions for the individual [11]. Research suggests that that compulsive unhealthy eating is addictive and is associated with dopamine release and that obese individuals may benefit from similar psychological behavioural treatments as individuals suffering from substance addiction [12]. Given that an unhealthy diet may be classified as addictive makes changing population health behaviour challenging. Research by Tones and Green (1994) suggests that while communication of simple health information to the public is a relatively easy task, changing human behaviour by seeking to alter deeply ingrained attitudes leading to health behaviour change is increasingly difficult [13].

Health Psychology Theories

Given the inherent complexity of health behaviours, numerous health psychology theories have been developed to understand ways to change human health behaviour. Well known theories include the Theory of Planned Behaviour, which aims to identify proximal determinants of behaviour change such as intentions towards behavioural change, which may be targeted by interventions [11]. The Transtheoretical Model of Behaviour Change is founded on the premise that individuals undergo 5 stages of change through pre-contemplation, contemplation, preparation, action, and maintenance and medical practitioners may tailor interventions in accordance with patient progress through these stages [14]. Zimmerman *et al.* argue that changing health behaviour including behaviours related to obesity is not a result of a singular decision leading to change in a linear pathway, rather patients often cycle through phases of relapse [14]. Webb argues that health psychology theories geared to change behaviour are complex and variable as they seek to target diverse dimensions of behaviour change including intentions, actions, and relapse prevention [11].

1.7. Behaviour Change Techniques

In recognition of the need to develop psychological techniques that target theoretical constructs for behaviour change, Michie and Abraham developed a behaviour change theory coding scheme with a total of 26 possible behaviour change techniques [15,16]. The most actively researched behaviour change technique targeting the behavioural determinants of obesity has been self-monitoring [17]. Furthermore, research by Michie *et al.* [18] has demonstrated that the behaviour change technique of self-monitoring, when combined with at least another behaviour change technique such as goal setting

or feedback for instance, increased the effectiveness of interventions aiming to improve physical activity levels and healthy eating. Although self-monitoring with feedback appears to be feasible for weight loss, research suggests that the media through which these techniques for weight loss are delivered may influence the success of the weight loss interventions [19]. That is, traditional interventions have focused on paper media for self-monitoring and Coons *et al.* [19] postulate that mobile methods of self-monitoring may be more effective due to their portability, reach, accessibility, and convenience.

1.8. Mobile Technology Definition

Mobile technology refers to portable electronic technology which serves as a medium for communication through transmission and reception of information. It includes different versions of mobile phones and handheld tablets such as personal digital assistants and the new generation tablets such as the iPad [20].

1.9. Mobile Technology Usage and Public Health Applications

Mobile technology has increasingly been recognized as a platform for behaviour change interventions. An inherent benefit of mobile devices for health behaviour change interventions is that mobile devices are widely used across the globe, enabling accessibility and scalability of behaviour change interventions at the population level [20]. Global statistics on ownership and usage of mobile devices indicate that there were 5.3 billion cellular phone owners in the year 2010 and that between the years 2007–2010 approximately 200,000 text messages were sent every second [21]. Lefebre [20] ascertains that mobile devices are the future of public health promotion interventions by alluding to the social marketing mix of the price, product, and place convenience of these technologies which enable professional medical support at any time and place, health education, and behavioural self-monitoring [20].

1.10. Research on Mobile Devices and Weight Loss

Research on mobile devices has largely focused on their application for smoking cessation. A recent Cochrane meta-analysis found that mobile phone interventions improve smoking quit rates [22]. To date, there has not been any updated systematic review on the latest mobile devices for weight loss with a meta-analysis. There has only been one early systematic review on mobile devices and weight loss and it had positive findings [23]. Since this review, several research papers on emerging modern mobile technology and applications have been published over the three year period. In addition to this, there has been one systematic review on text messaging for weight loss [24]. The only updated systematic review examining all modern mobile devices with a meta-analysis focused on physical activity [25]. The researchers found that mobile device interventions are associated with improvements in physical activity levels [25]. There has not been an in depth review of the most modern mobile devices for weight loss. This review will add to the growing literature on mobile devices and weight loss by reviewing in detail the latest mobile technology for weight loss including mobile apps, text messages, newer PDAs, tablet devices, and MP3 devices.

Second, there has not been any systematic review on the key behavioural change techniques and health psychology theories associated with weight loss by mobile devices. Recently, there has been a new publication on behavioural change techniques for physical activity, but not weight loss [26].

Gaining an updated understanding of the potential of new portable devices to induce weight loss by conducting an updated systematic review and meta-analysis is informative to public health promotion research.

Third, understanding not only whether mobile devices work to reduce weight but also what aspects of these interventions and behaviour change techniques inform successful interventions is important and directly informative to health promotion and health psychology research. According to Michie *et al.* recent CONSORT guidelines for trials require clear descriptions of intervention content in behavioural change research [15]. The Researchers Michie *et al.* ascertain that is crucial to be cognizant of intervention components in order to understand which constituents influence efficacy and to maximize reproducibility in trials [15]. Thus, gaining a greater understanding of the behaviour change techniques as well as components utilized in mobile device weight loss interventions is directly informative to health promotion intervention research in this field.

Furthermore, in recognition of the need to understand the extent to which theory informs health promotion intervention design, Michie and Prestwich (2010) have developed a health psychology theory coding scheme [27]. The researchers argue that often systematic reviews conclude that interventions are theory based, without examining the extent to which health psychology theory truly informs interventions. They also argue that often theory is mentioned in a study, but there is insufficient information to understand how theory informed the intervention and whether the mediators along the causal pathway targeted by the intervention were improved post-intervention. Improvements in cognitive mediators along the causal pathway indicate that the theory was successful in informing the intervention design and targets [27].

Thus, gaining a greater understanding of theory in the field of obesity is needed in order to understand the extent to which theory informs mobile device weight loss intervention design, to understand the predictors the intervention targets and whether they improve post-intervention, and to examine whether certain techniques associated with a given theory are more informative for behaviour change leading to weight loss by mobile device.

1.11. Aims and Research Questions

The primary aim of this research will be to provide an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and emerging mobile devices for weight loss. This research will seek to determine whether interventions by mobile devices are effective remedies for obesity and overweight by examining whether they induce weight loss and reductions in body mass index, waist circumference, and body fat percentage. Unlike the early review [23], this research will focus exclusively on modern relatively lightweight portable devices in the form of smartphones such as Blackberries, iPhones, mobile weight loss apps, iPods, MP3 players, and new handheld tablets such as iPads. This research will also examine changes in dietary and physical activity behavioural determinants of weight loss associated with mobile device interventions.

The secondary aim will be to gain a greater understanding of the key underlying health psychology intervention techniques and health psychology theories which target cognitive mediators along the

causal pathway to weight loss. This work will involve an adoption of a strong health psychology perspective and theoretical analysis of techniques used, their relationships, and constructs targeted.

The Central Primary Research Question: Do mobile devices induce weight loss and favourable changes in diet and physical activity when compared to baseline weight and scores? Do they induce weight loss when compared with standard controls receiving no intervention and or when compared with controls receiving non-mobile weight loss interventions?

The Secondary Research Question: What health psychology theories and psychological behaviour change techniques inform mobile device weight loss intervention design and are theoretical predictors along the causal pathway leading to weight loss improved post-intervention?

2. Methods

2.1. Overview

A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials for weight loss and mobile devices was conducted.

2.2. Databases Searched

Databases were searched for all RCT's on weight loss and mobile devices published until May 2013. Databases searched included PubMed (Medline), Google Scholar, CINAHAL, and the Cochrane library. The databases specified on CINAHL Plus included Psych Info, Psyc Articles, and Information Library Science and Technology.

2.3. Search Limiters

Limiters were set on CINAHAL for language, peer reviewed journal type, >18 years of age, full references, and text availability in order to specify the search. Limits on the Cochrane database were placed on trials to specify the search.

2.4. Search Terminology

Search strings were categorized according to mobile device type and outcome of weight loss. The following search terms were entered into the search engines for mobile devices: (1) ((Text message) or (short message service) or (Multi Media Message Service) or SMS and (Smartphone) or (mobile phone) or (cellular phone)) and mobile device. The search string for outcome was: (2) ((weight loss) or (weight control) and (overweight) or (obesity)). The strings were combined into one large search string. Devices other than mobile phones were also searched separately and as part of the large search string. The Boolean search string for 'other mobile devices' was ((PDA) or (personal digital assistant)) or palmtop and (weight loss). The string was combined with the large string specified above and searched on CINHAL plus, Google Scholar, and the Cochrane library (for search details, refer to the appendix). The addition of the full string to the PubMed advanced search engine did not make the search significant, and the two strings were entered separately.

2.5. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Systematic Review Inclusion Criteria:

- (1) Randomized controlled trials on weight loss and mobile devices in overweight and obese adults without specified co-morbidities
- (2) Weight as a primary or secondary outcome. Studies examining changes in diet and physical activity were included if weight was measured as a secondary outcome
- (3) Studies published until May 2013
- (4) New generation use mobile devices that are commercially available, including:
- Mobile phones and smartphones (iPhones, Android phones, and Blackberries)
- Modern commercially available portable devices such as iPads, iPods, and MP3 players
- Personal Digital assistants (PDA's). PDA's were included if they were of newer generation with updated feedback thermometers installed to ensure relevance and modernity en par with newer generation devices.
- (5) Studies measuring weight using validated weighing scales
- (6) Studies published in the English language
- (7) Open access peer reviewed journals
- (8) Studies with pre and post intervention weight measures
- (9) Clear description of intervention content including:
- Content of messages
- Techniques used

Systematic Review Exclusion Criteria:

- (1) Case studies and quasi experimental studies
- (2) Studies on diet or physical activity without weight either as a primary or secondary outcome measure
- (3) Studies focusing on specific groups with pre-existing diseases and comorbidities in addition to overweight and obese patient status
- (4) Studies in subjects <18 years of age
- (5) Studies using Tele-monitoring devices alone such as weighing scales and accelerometers without additional mobile components such as a phone or PDA
- (6) PDA's without updated feedback thermometers installed
- (7) Older generation handheld tablets not specified as PDA's or any of the above listed devices, and not commercially available for wide population use
- (8) Studies examining weight change by using the phone for phone calling purposes without employing mobile smartphone features
- (9) Purely web-based interventions without a mobile device component
- (10) Stationary electronic devices such as computers
- (11) Laptops
- (12) Studies published in languages other than English
- (13) Studies employing subject self-report of weight change without objective validated measures
- (14) Studies without a clear description of intervention content and techniques used

2.6. Data Extraction

Data were extracted for descriptive purposes. These included data on mean changes in weight and data on physical activity and dietary intake. Extracted data also included study characteristics such as study size, study design, methodology, participant demographics, theory, and intervention content and techniques. They were summarized in tabular format.

2.7. Study Quality Assessment

Trials were appraised using the Cochrane handbook for trial appraisal risk of bias, chapter 8 of the Cochrane handbook [28]. Trials with attrition of 47% and over, with significant differences in baseline characteristics were not included in the final analysis.

2.8. Data Coding

Extracted data on behavioural change techniques were coded according to the Michie and Abraham BCT coding criteria [15,16]. Extracted theoretical data were partially coded according to the Michie and Prestwich [27] theory coding criteria for items 1–5, item 15, and item 18 of the coding scheme. Items 7–11 were merged into one conceptual category.

2.9. Data Synthesis

Data extracted on mean weight loss measured in kg were pooled using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Software version 2.0. Interventions reporting mean weight loss in LBS. were converted to kilograms. Percentage weight loss was converted to mean weight loss in kg by multiplying weight loss percentage by baseline weight and dividing this value by 100. The software calculated Cohen's d for standardized differences in means. A random effects model was selected. The Cochrane handbook [29] recommends a random effects model when interventions are heterogeneous. Standard deviations for mean change in weight from baseline to follow-up were utilized in accordance with the handbook. Authors of studies not reporting differences in standard deviations for change were contacted. Standard deviations for weight change which were not directly reported were approximated from studies reporting indirect measures from which standard deviations could be calculated. Standard deviation was calculated from Cohen's d by subtracting mean differences in weight loss between intervention and control groups and dividing this figure by the standardized difference in means. Standard Error for mean change was also approximated from studies reporting confidence intervals for mean weight loss by dividing the confidence interval (maximum-minimum) by the relevant t-distribution for sample size (N-1 degrees of freedom), multiplied by two. Standard deviation was obtained by multiplying the standard error by the square root of the sample size. Interventions with multiple control groups versus one intervention group were combined using guidelines in the handbook [29] by calculating pooled means, standard deviation, and overall N for both control groups in Microsoft excel.

2.10. Data Analysis

Tests for heterogeneity were undertaken using the Comprehensive Meta-Analysis software. Heterogeneity was assessed in accordance with the Cochrane handbook criteria for heterogeneity. The handbook categorizes moderate heterogeneity in accordance with I2 values between 30-60, high heterogeneity in accordance with I2 values > 60, and very high heterogeneity in accordance with I2 values between 75-100 [29]. Heterogeneity was assessed in accordance with these cut off criteria by the handbook in tandem with an evaluation of statistical significance, and the strength and direction of the overall effect as advised by the Cochrane handbook. Sensitivity analyses were also run to determine whether a single study provided a disproportionate contribution to the overall effect. Publication bias was assessed by generating a funnel plot to evaluate symmetry in the dispersion of effect estimates.

3. Results Part A: Systematic Review with Meta-Analysis

3.1. General Search Results

The search generated 2396 studies. After title screening for relevance to the research question, 145 abstracts were read. Of these, 70 were duplicates and were removed. Another 23 were removed as they did not meet inclusion criteria. The reasons for not meeting inclusion criteria are detailed in the flow chart (Figure 1) and included: protocol studies without published results, electronic full text unavailable, not meeting age restriction of subjects, lack of weight loss measures, and methodology. A total of 45 articles were read in full. Of these, a total of 24 met inclusion criteria and were selected for review. The other 21 studies were excluded because they were repeats of secondary analyses with similar information, did not provide pre and post weight loss measures, or did not meet mobile device requirements (*i.e.*, tele-monitoring scales, purely web-based, or utilized phones for calling purposes). Studies which did not provide sufficient information on mobile intervention content and techniques utilized were not included. Additionally, studies which had consecutive findings from lengthy trials at several early intervals were excluded since the final published results were selected. A total of 17 studies were selected for review. A summary of the CINAHAL search is found in the Appendix Table A1.

3.2. General Descriptive

A total of 17 randomized controlled mobile device weight loss trials were included in the analysis, summarized in Table 1. Of these, 12 RCTs were primary studies [30–41]. The remaining five studies were secondary analyses of the primary studies [42–46]. Eight studies were two group RCTs including Haapala [30], Hurling [31], Patrick [32], Shapiro [33], Turner-Mcgrievy [34,35], Brindall [36], and Spring [37]. Studies [30–38] were two group RCTs. The remaining four studies were all three arm parallel RCT's including studies by Carter [38], Prestwich [39], Napolitano [40] and Burke [41]. The most common form of subject recruitment included newspaper ads or newsletters [30,32,34–36,38,40], emails [34,35,38,40], and online advertising [32–35,38,40]. One study used a commercial recruitment agency [31] and another study utilized Facebook [40] as a means of subject recruitment. Sample size

varied from 52 subjects [40] to 210 subjects [41]. Mean subject age ranged from 20.4 years [33] to 57 years of age [30]. Trial length ranged from 4 weeks [32] to 2 years [30]. Mean BMI ranged from 26 kg/m² [30,31] to 34 kg/m² [36,38]. Two studies did not report mean subject BMI. Study locations included the UK, USA, Finland, and Australia. A total of seven studies were undertaken in the USA [37,41], three studies in the UK [31,38,39], one study in Finland [30], and one study was undertaken in Australia [36]. Most of the studies consisted of primarily female subjects ranging from 64% [39] to 100% [36] of the sample. Only one study had a predominance of male subjects, with 84% of the sample being male [37]. In addition to this, one study had a roughly equal representation of male and female subjects [33].

3.3. Mobile Device Intervention Media

A total of 8 out of the 12 interventions had a mobile phone as an intervention medium [30–33,36,38–40]. Three studies did not utilize a mobile phone component [34,35,41]. Two of these studies utilized a podcasting component employing an Mp3 player or an iPod as an intervention medium [34,35]. The remaining two studies used a PDA for weight loss [37,41], of which one study combined a PDA with a mobile phone for calling purposes only [37].

3.4. Target Behaviour and Weight Loss

Nine studies targeted both diet and physical activity to induce weight loss. The remaining three studies concentrated primarily on physical activity to induce weight loss [31,33,39]. Four studies had pre-determined behavioural goals set for all subjects [30,32,39,40], while subjects determined their own goals in the remaining studies. Goals ranged from specific caloric reduction goals [30,32,40] to physical activity goals [39,40]. The Patrick *et al.* study had a 500 daily caloric reduction goal set for all participants [32]. The Prestwich *et al.* study had physical activity goals of 30 min of walking for 5 days a week, with a minimum of 10 min bouts of vigorous physical activity [39]. Additionally, the Napolitano *et al.* study had a physical activity goals of 250 min per week for all participants [40]. Two studies set caloric reduction goals or modified goals in accordance with a given subject's weight [37,40]. In most studies, subjects set their own weight loss goals. Three studies had pre-set weight loss goals for study participants. The Haapala *et al.* study [30] had a pre-set weight loss goal for participants at 2 kg/month. The study by Napolitano *et al.* [40] had a weight loss goal of 5%–10% in accordance with individual body weight.



Figure 1. Flow Chart of Search.

Study	Location	Sample	Trial Length	Design/ Recruitment	Target Behaviour	Experimental Group	Control Group	Measures	Results
Haapala et al.	Finland	N = 125	12 months	Two Group RCT	Diet,	Mobile phone programme	No	Self- administered	Weight Loss
2009 [30]		F = 78%		Newspaper,	РА	Weight Balance	Intervention	questionnaires on Diet + PA +	EG = 4.5 kg over 12 months
		M = 21%		Phone screening		Calculates PA/Energy		Monthly weight recorded by	<i>p</i> -value <i>vs</i> .
		Mean BMI =				Expenditure +		Nurse	CG = 1.1 kg over 12 months
		26.3 kg/m ²				Tailored Daily Target Goal		Waist circumference	(<i>p</i> -value for group
		Range =				Text Messages, Time left		measures in cm via tape	differences $= 0.006)$
		19-30 kg/m ²				for target reach			Weight% lost
		Mean Age = 38				Weight loss targeted at			EG = 5.4% vs.
		Range = 25–44				2 kg/month			CG = 1.3% (<i>p</i> -value < 0.006)
		women = 80%							Waist Circumference
		White = 75%							EG = 0.6 reduction from baseline
									(SD = 1.7)
									CG = 0.4 reduction
									(SD = 6.6)
									Secondary Outcomes
									Self-Efficacy Score
									EG = 0.6 increase from baseline
									CG = 0.4 increase from baseline
									ED score
									EG = 0.4 (SD = 0.06) reduction
									from baseline
									CG = 0.1(0.7)

Table 1. Study Characteristics of Mobile Phone Interventions.

Study	Location	Sample	Trial	Design/	Target	Experimental	Control	Measures	Results
~~y		~p	Length	Recruitment/	behaviour	Group	Group		
Hurling et al.	Bedfordshire	N = 77	9 Weeks	Two Group RCT	PA	Mobile phone and internet	No	Wrist Accelerometer	Primary Outcomes-
2007 [31]	UK	EG = 47		Randomization =		Weekly PA sessions with	Intervention	Bluetooth Actiwatch +	EG = increase over baseline
		CG = 30		Random		reminders		Self-Report of PA	perceived control (p-value < 0.001)
		Mean Age = 40.4		Stratification		Via phone /email		Weight-	+ Intent to exercise (<i>p</i> -value < 0.001
		Range = 30–55		Market Research		Automated Feedback on		Bio-Electrical	PA levels
		Mean BMI =		Agency		PA levels		Impedance	EG = increase in moderate PA
		26 kg/m ²		Recruitment +		+ tailored solutions texts		Scales	(p-value = 0.03)
		Range =		Phone Screening		for barriers			Mean increase PA relative to
		19-30 kg/m ²							control = 2 h 18 min per week
									Secondary Outcome-
									Weight Loss
									EG = 2.18% body fat(SD = 0.59)
									CG = 0.17% body fat loss
									(SD = 0.81) (p-value = 0.04)
Patrick et al.	San Diego	N = 93	Four	Two Group RCT	PA, Diet	Printed Monthly Materials	Printed	Weight measured via	Primary Outcome-Weight EG four
2009 [32]	California	EG = 65	Months	Simple		Weight Control	Monthly	calibrated weight scales in	month weight loss versus control
	USA	CG = 33		Randomization		Brief Monthly Phone Calls	materials on	study offices +	group difference = $(lost) - 1.97$ kg
		Mean Age = 45		Recruitment via		from Counsellor 5-15 min	weight	Self-report of weight	(95% CI = -0.34 to -3.60 kg)
		Range = 25–55		Flyers,		Tailored and Interactive	control	1×/week via mobile phone	(p-value = 0.02)
		Mean BMI =		newspapers, adds,		SMS + MMS			Adjusted for Age + Sex EG versus
		32.2 kg/m ²		announcement		Frequency = $2-5/day$			CG weigh loss = 2.88 kg, total
		Range =		on Craigslist		1/2 messages requested reply			3.16% difference in weight loss
		25-39.9 kg/m ²				Users select frequency of			Secondary Outcome-Satisfaction
		F = 80%				texts/time of day			-92% would recommend
		M = 20%				Text Topics- Goal setting,			intervention
						volumetric, meal planning,			
						eating out, Healthy food			
						environment			
						Goals-500 Calorie			
						Reduction/Daily			

Study	Location	Sample	Trial length	Design /Recruitment	Target Behaviour	Experimental Group	Control Group	Measures	Results
Norman et al.	San Diego	N = 93	Four	Secondary	Nutrition,	Printed Monthly Materials	Printed	Nutrition intake via multiple	EG baseline
2013 [43]	California	EG = 65	Months	Analysis of above	Fruit +	Weight Control	Monthly	24 h food recall	EBI score = 70.88(SD = 6.2)
	USA	CG = 33		study; Patrick et al.	Vegetable		materials on	Eating Behaviour Inventory	4 month after = 79.62 (8.11)
		Mean Age = 45		2009 [32]	Intake, Eating	Brief Monthly Phone Calls	weight	Score Changes (EBI)	Total Change = 8.73 (SD = 6.23)
		Range = 25–55		Two Group RCT	Behaviour	from Counsellor 5-15 min	control		$(p-value \le 0.001)$
		Mean BMI =		Simple	Change				EG Fruit + Veg intake Baseline
		32.2 kg/m ²		Randomization		Tailored and Interactive			score = 4.60 (SD = 3.01)
		Range =		Recruitment via		SMS + MMS			4 months after = 5.08 (SD = 3.48)
		25-39.9 kg/m ²		Flyers,					Total Change =
		F = 80%		newspapers, adds,					0.49 (2.33) (<i>p</i> -value = 0.297)
		M = 20%		announcement					CG baseline EBI score =
				on Craigslist					72.19 (SD = 7.57)
									4 months after = 74.23 (SD = 6.58)
									Total Change = 2.04 (SD = 0.02)
									(<i>p</i> -value = 0.140)
									Baseline Fruit Veg intake = 5.84
									(SD = 3.04)
									4 months after = 4.33 (SD = 2.69)
									Total Change = -1.52 reduction
									(SD = 4.22)
									(<i>p</i> -value = 0.079)

Study	Location	Sample	Trial length	Design /Recruitment	Target Behaviour	Experimental Group	Control Group	Measures	Results
Carter et al.	Leeds UK	N = 128	6 months	3 Arm Parallel	PA + Diet	Smartphone App My Meal	Either	Portable Weight Scales	Primary Outcome Adherence
2012 [38]		Age-18-65		RCT		Mate Diary	Website or	Weight Watchers 89584	EG = increased adherence relative
		Mean age = 41		Random process		App stores food photos	Diary	Model	to control 92 days (SD = 67) vs.
		F = 68.8%		of Minimization		incorporates Goal Setting,	(without		control 35 days (SD44)
		Mean BMI =				Self-Monitoring, and	App and		Secondary Outcome Weight
		$34 \text{ kg/m}^2 (\text{SD} = 5)$		Recruitment-		feedback	mobile		BMI kg/m ² smartphone EG group
		F = 77%		Email Newsletter,		Via weekly mobile text	components)		reduction = -1.6 kg/m^2 reduction
		M = 23%		Internet, Posters,		messages			(95% CI -2.2-1.1)
				from Large Local					CG Web group =
				Employer					BMI reduction -0.5 kg/m ²
									(95% CI = -0.9-0.0)
									CG Diary Group =
									BMI reduction -1.0 kg/m ²
									(95% C = -1.6 - 0.4)
									Body fat EG Smartphone %
									reduction = -1.3% reduction
									(95% CI = -1.7-0.8)
									CG Diary Group =
									% body fat reduction -0.09%
									(95% CI =-1.5-0.4)
									CG Website group =
									-0.5% reduction Body fat
									(95% CI =-0.90-0)
									Mean Weight Change (from baseline)
									EG smartphone reduction = -4.6 kg
									(95% CI=-6.2-3.0)
									CG Diary Group =
									Mean weight change reduction =
									-2.9 kg (95% CI -4.7-1.1)
									CG Website Group =
									Mean Weight reduction =
									-1.3(-2.7-0.1)

 Table 1. Cont.

Study	Location	Sample	Trial Length	Recruitment	Target Behaviour	Intervention	Controls	Measures	Outcome
Shapiro et al.	San Diego	N = 170	12 months	2 Group RCT	Primarily PA,	Mobile phone SMS + MMS	Monthly	Yamax Digi-Walker CW	No group differences in weight
2012 [33]	California	F = 67			diet secondary	personalized + interactive	newsletters	Series 600 Pedometer	loss at 6 months
		M = 64		Recruitment-		Frequency 4× day/12			CG weight reduction = 1.53 lb.
		Mean Age =		Magazine, online		months with feedback		Weight measures-	EG weight reduction 3.72 lb.
		41.9		advertising		(graphical step feedback)		Digital Weight Scale	12 months CG weight
		Range = 25–69				Messages tailored based on			reduction 2.27 lb vs. 3.64 lb.
		Mean BMI =				online baseline survey			EG Adherence = 60%–69%
		32.2 kg/m ²				Message content—			Increased adherence = greater
		Range =				self-monitoring PA, diet,			weight loss at 6 months
		25-39.9 kg/m ²				sugar sweetened beverages,			(p-value- = 0.039 and 12 months
						Knowledge questions, tips,			(<i>p</i> -value = 0.023)
						educational facts, portion			EG group step counts increased
						control			to 3000 step/day (<i>p</i> -value < 0.05)
									Increased step count = increased
									weight loss (<i>p</i> -value < 0.05)

 Table 1. Cont.

Study	Location	Sample	Trial Duration	Recruitment	Target Behaviour	Intervention	Control	Measures	Outcome
Turner-	Raleigh	N = 96	6 months	2 Group RCT	PA + diet	Podcast on Diet + PA	Podcast only on	Body Weight scale	Weight Loss did not differ by
Mcgrievy et al.	Durham,	EG = 47		Computerized		information, goal setting, soap	diet + PA designed	Fat Secret Calorie	groups (p -value = 0.98)
2011 [34]	North	CG = 49		random number		opera, audio blog of a	on earlier study	Counter Scale	Mean weight loss %
	Carolina	Age Range =		generator		man/woman losing weight	using social		EG = -2.7% (SD = 5.6)
	USA	18–60		randomization		founded on earlier study using	cognitive theory		CG = -2.7% (SD = 5.1)
		Mean Age = 38				social cognitive theory +	Received		EG 3× more likely than CG to use
		Mean BMI =		Recruitment- T.V		Mobile component with PA	handbook on		app to self-monitor diet
		32.6 kg/m ²		advertisement,		App (iPhone, iPod,	calorie content of		(<i>p</i> -value = 0.01)
		Range 25–45 kg/m ²		email		Blackberry), interacting on	food		
		73% = female				Twitter with study			Number of podcasts download
		78% = White				participants and counsellors			correlated with weight loss
							Podcast frequency		Pearson's $r = -0.46$
						Frequency = 2 podcasts per	2 podcasts per		(<i>p</i> -value = 0.001)
						week for three months 15 min	week for three		
						each + 2 mini podcasts per	months 15 min		EG has increased sense of self
						week for 3-6 months 5 min	each with 2 mini		control at 3 months vs. controls
						each	podcasts per week		(p-value = 0.02), but not at
							for 3–6 months		6 months (p -value = 0.06)
						Study coordinator sent 2	5 min each		
						messages a day to study group			CG relied more on friends for
									support (<i>p</i> -value = 0.045) 28% vs.
									EG relied on social groups online
									for support (<i>p</i> -value = 0.001) 25%
									EG vs. 0% CG

 Table 1. Cont.

Study	Location	Sample	Trial Duration	Recruitment	Targets Behaviour	Intervention	Control	Measures	Outcome
*Turner-	Raleigh	N = 96	6 months	Post-Hoc	PA	PA App	No App	Body Weight scale	EG self-monitored more
Mcgrievy	Durham area	$BMI = 25-45 \text{ kg/m}^2$		Secondary		With podcasts	Only podcast	Fat Secret Calorie	frequently relative to $CG = 2.6$
2013 [44]	North	Age 18–60		Analysis of RCT			Paper Journal	Counter Scale	(SD 0.5) days/week vs.1.2 (0.5)
Secondary	Carolina	Mean = 38		(Mcgrievy et al.			and Website		days/week CG (<i>p</i> -value < 0.001)
analysis of	USA			2011 [34])			Controls		
Turner-Mcgrievy				Recruited via					EG had increased intentional PA
2011 study[34]				email, televisions					relative to $CG = 196.4$
				adds, and					(SD = 45.9) kcal/day vs. 100.9
				newspapers					(SD = 45.1) (<i>p</i> -value = 0.02)
									BMI EG lower at 6 months
									relative to controls $= 31.5$
									$(SD = 0.5) \text{ kg/m}^2$, CG = 32.5
									$(0.5) \text{ kg/m}^2 (p\text{-value} = 0.02)$
									No group difference in frequency
									of self-monitoring
									(<i>p</i> -value = 0.63)
									EG consumed less energy
									relative to controls 1437
									(SD = 188) kcal/day vs. CG paper
									journal 2049 (SD = 175) kcal/day
									(p-value = 0.01)

Study	Location	Sample	Trial Duration	Recruitment	Target Behaviour	Intervention	Control	Measures	Outcome
Turner-	Raleigh	N = 78	12 weeks	2 Group RCT	PA, Diet	24 enhanced podcast episodes	24 standard podcast	Body weight scale	Enhanced podcast
Mcgrievy	Durham	$BMI = 25-45 \text{ kg/m}^2$		Recruitment via		designed on social cognitive	episodes based on	measured in study	Group Weight loss –2.9 kg
2009 [35]	Area	Mean age EG = 37.7		newspapers +		theory	commercial weight	office baseline +	(SD = 3.5) vs. Control standard
	North	Mean Age CG = 39.6		University			loss program	follow-up	podcast = -0.3 (SD = 2.1)
	Carolina	Female = 80%		email		Frequency 2 podcasts per		Self-report PA	
		White = 71%				week	Frequency 2	Nutritional intake	BMI change
							podcasts per week	assessed using Prime	Enhanced Podcast Group = -1.0 kg/m^2
						Mean Length 15 min 42 s		Screen Questionnaire,	(SD = 1.2); Control standard podcast
							Mean Length	averaging intake fruit,	group = -0.1 (0.7) kg/m ² Between
						Delivery via MP3 player	18 min 34 s	vegetables, and fat	group difference <i>p</i> -value ≤ 0.001
						Content targeted 5 areas of		Likert scale used to	
						social cognitive theory -	Delivery via mp3	assess level of control	Enhanced podcast group Fruit and
						expectancies, expectation,	player	+ elaboration	vegetable intake increase =
						self-efficacy behavioural	Content used		0.4 (SD = 0.7) fruit
						capability	cognitive		0.2 (SD = 0.9) vegetable intake
						Using health education on	restructuring to		
						nutrients/PA + soap opera	avoid over-eating		Control standard podcast fruit+ veg
						podcast, information of	focused on how to		intake increase = 0.01 (SD = 0.4) fruit
						benefits of weight loss,	lose weight		Decrease vegetable intake of $= -0.2$
						podcast discussing	conducted by 2 hosts		(SD = 0.7) p-value between group
						expectations during weight	Including stimulus		differences ≤ 0.005
						loss+ strategies and end of	control to avoid		
						podcast goal setting with self-	snacking and		Increase in reported vigorous activity
						monitoring	positive psychology		enhanced podcast group = 0.8 (SD = 0.9)
							to improve body		days per week vs. control decrease of
						Groups given book on calorie	image		vigorous activity = -0.4 (SD = 1.4)
						content of food items	Groups given book		<i>p</i> -value between groups ≤ 0.01
							on calorie content of		
							food items		No difference in high fat food intake
									between groups
									Increase in knowledge scores for
									enhanced podcast group

Study	Location	Sample	Trial Length	Design/ Recruitment	Target Behaviour	Intervention	Control	Measures	Outcome
Prestwich et al.	United	N = 149	4 weeks	3 Group RCT	PA	Group 1 = Implementation and	Control Group 3 did	Self-Reported PA	Primary outcome- physical activity
2010 [39]	Kingdom	Mean age = 24.44		Recruitment via		intentions + SMS plan	not receive any text	levels	42% in the Intentions + goal reminder
		F = 64%		email		Tailored text messages	messages + no	Physiological	group increased brisk walking for
		M = 36%		Allocation		reminding to initiate plan	requirement to form	measures taken BMI at	2 more days a week
		BMI N/A		sequence based		according to participant	implementation	study site using digital	45% in the Intentions+ plan group
				on computer		determined scheduling	intentions	scale at baseline +	increased brisk walking for 2 more
				generation		Required to plan 30 min 5 days		follow-up	days/week relative to 22% of controls
				randomization		a week of walking(at least bouts	Goal recall task end		$p \text{ values} \le 0.01$
				used (no		of 10 min brisk walking) in	of study		
				stratification or		specific achievable			Secondary outcomes
				block methods)		environments/situations			Weight loss:
						Frequency = 1 text per plan,			Implementation Intention+ goal
						scheduled at same time of			reminder lost 0.53 kg
						plan behaviour			Vs. Implementation Intention+ plan
						Group 2 = Implementation +			group = 0.10 kg (<i>p</i> -value group
						SMS goal			difference = 0.03 95% CI = 0.04–0.91),
						Same requirements as group 1			when comparing intention+ goal
						But did not receive plan			group with intention+ plan and
						reminder text, instead goal			control effect remains significant =
						reminder of brisk walking			<i>p</i> -value 0.046 (95% 0.03–0.72)
						time of texts individually			Control group = 0.14 kg
						tailored by determining			
						timing			Impact on other PA
						All groups			Intention +plan group increased
						Provided with Government			other types of activity vs. control
						recommended guidelines of			(p-value < 0.03) but not relative to the
						30 min moderate to vigorous			other group (<i>p</i> -value 0.12)
						physical activity and			Plan recall higher in intention +plan
						information on brisk walking			group relative to intention +goal
						for 30/min day on 5 or more			group (<i>p</i> -value < 0.01)
						days/week			
						End of task given plan recall			
						+ goal recall task			

Study	Location	Sample	Trial Length	Recruitment/ Randomization	Target Behaviour	Intervention	Control	Measures	Outcome
Brindall et al.	Australia	N = 53	8 weeks	2 group RCT	Diet+ PA	iPhone required	iPhone required	Self-report of weight	Mean difference in weight loss
2013 [36]		$BMI = 26 - 34 \text{ kg/m}^2$		randomization			L.	In person weight	between EG + CG was not significant
		Mean BMI = 34 kg/m^2		using a computer		commercially available	commercially	recording at study	EG mean weight loss difference =
		100% female		generated		partial meal replacement	available partial meal	office with body	3.2% (SD = 0.38)
		Age 19–63		sequence		programme	replacement	weight scale +	CG mean weight loss difference =
		Mean age = 42		Recruitment =			programme	stadiometer for height	2.2% (SD = 0.37)
				Newspaper add		Intervention group given			(<i>p</i> -value = 0.08)
				and established		Meal replacement App	Control given Static		Week 8 = 23% CG and 21% EG lost
				volunteer			App based on info in		5% body weight
				database		Instructed on MRPP celebrity	the MRP App		95% support app found it helpful with
						slim app			maintaining scheduled goals vs. 9% in
							Instructed on Meal		standard app group
						App replaces meals 2× a day	replacement (MRP)		Mean increase in positive affect in
						with shakes (does not count	app celebrity slim		group with support app = 0.48
						calories, only restricts energy			increase (SD = 0.14) vs. decrease in
						intake)	App replaces meals		the standard app $CG = -0.01$
							2× a day with		(SD = 0.13)
						Intervention support app with	formulated shakes		
						the following over control	does not count		
						app: Rewards positive	calories, only		
						behavioural change	restricts energy		
							intake		
						prompts self-monitoring with			
						reminders + tailored feedback			

64 1	T (*		Trial	Recruitment /	Target	T / ···		N.	
Study	Location	Sample	Length	Randomization	Behaviour	Intervention	Control	Measures	Outcome
						Contains trophy room for			
						rewards, goals/tasks to finish,			
						Meal calendar, weight			
						recorder + health			
						information			
						Prompting generated using			
						Apple Push Notification			
						Service frequency 3 × day			
						during meal times and leisure			
						PA times			
						Individually tailored to			
						schedule			
						Meal Calendar on iPhone			
						used for self-monitoring and			
						caloric/energy expenditure			
						feedback			
						Message board on iPhone			
						provides motivational			
						messages			

Study	Location	Sample	Trial Length	Recruitment	Target Behaviour	Intervention	Control	Measures	Results
Napolitano	Eastern	N = 52 students	8 weeks	3 arm RCT	Diet +PA	Group 1 = Facebook only	Control group	Body weight	8 weeks weight loss
et al. 2013	United States	Age = 18–29		(pilot)		Weekly hand outs and	wailing list	measured using	Facebook Plus texting group =
[40]	(large urban	Mean age = 20.47		Recruitment =		podcasts /videos on health		calibrated weight	-2.5 kg (SD = 2.4)
	University	BMI =		Emails, listervs,		education topics(planning		scale at 4 and 8	Facebook group =
		25-50 kg/m ²		online		+nutrition, PA, hunger		weeks	-0.63 (SD = 2.4)
		Mean BMI =		newspapers,		triggers, social support,		+ height measured	Waiting list Control =
		31.36 kg/m ²		flyers,		dinning out, relapse		via stadiometer	-0.24 (SD = 2.6)
		Female = 86.5%		Facebook,		prevention)		Calorie Counter,	Changes stat sig between groups
				university				Pedometer, Digital	(<i>p</i> -value = 0.05)
				student		Physical activity and eating		scale for in person	Program helpfulness = 97% agree
				organizations		healthy event invitations		recording	100% recommend programme
									81.3% found videos + hand outs
						Targets gradually increase PA			helpful
						to 250 min/week			
						Caloric intake 1200-1800			
						kcal/week according to weight			
						Group 2 = Facebook + mobile			
						phone text messaging			
						Received same components			
						as group 1 except belonged to			
						a different Facebook group			
						and text messages on goal			
						setting, self-monitoring +			
						social support, positive			
						reinforcement + brief			
						feedback			

Study	Location	Sample	Trial Length	Recruitment	Target Behaviour	Experimental Group	Control Group	Measures	Results
						Messages topics differed each			
						day			
						3 types—self monitoring,			
						prompting to self-monitor,			
						and texts tailored according to			
						individual barriers			
						Personalized feedback			
						provided via summary reports			
						Contained Buddy component			
						for peer support			
						Given a pedometer, calorie			
						counter book, and digital			
						scale			
						Weight goals = max 2 pounds			
						loss/month set by staff			

Study	Location	Sample	Trial Length	Design	Target Behaviour	Experimental Group	Control Group	Measures	Results
Spring et al.	Midwestern VA	N = 69	12 months	2 group RCT	Diet + PA	EG received PDA to	Bi-weekly weight loss	Calibrated weight	EG 3/9 kg (3.1%) more weight loss
2013 [37]	Hospital	Mean Age = 57		Recruitment		monitor diet+ PA	groups (Move sessions)	scale used to measure	relative to control (95% CI 2.2-5.5)
	USA	85% Male		by contacting		with thermometer	in person VA	weight at study sites	No evidence treatment varied across
				all outpatients		providing	outpatient clinic		time (p -value = 0.44) OR 5% weight
						automated feedback	Duration = 1.5 h		loss EG to CG = 6.46 (95% CI 2.5–18.6)
						With mobile phone	led by psychologists,		With no variation across time
						coaching calls for	nutritionists, and		(p -value = 0.13)
						6 months	dieticians		
						10-15 min advice,			
						providing tailored			
						timely feedback			
						Attended same			
						Move sessions as			
						controls			
						Calorie goals			
						tailored to baseline			
						weight +activity			
						goals			
						5%-10% weight			
						loss goal			

Study	Location	Sample	Trial Length	Design	Target Behaviour	Experimental Group	Control Group	Data Collection	Results
Burke et al. [41,42]	Pittsburgh	N = 210	24 months	SMART	Diet+	Group 1 = PDA+	Group 3 control = differed	Digital scale to	Waist circumference decreased more
2011; 2012	Pennsylvania	Mean age = 46.8		Trial	PA	Feedback	in self-monitoring	measure weight by	in PDA groups relative to paper group
(repeat analyses of	USA	Mean BMI =		3 group		Group 2 = PDA only	method-paper diary	study staff	(p-value = 0.02) Energy + saturated fat
SMAR TRIAL)		27 kg/m ²		RCT			All groups had weekly	Self-reported PA	intake decreased in PDA groups
		84% female					groups sessions	2 unannounced 24 h	relative to paper group (p -value = 0.05)
							1-4 months, bi-weekly	dietary recalls	Only PDA +FB lost significant weight
						All groups had weekly	months 5-12		= -2.32(95% CI = -4.29-0.35)
						groups sessions			(p-value = 0.02) Paper Group = -1.94
						1-4 months, bi-weekly	components goal setting		(95% CI = -3.88-0.01) PDA Group =
						months 5-	self-monitoring dietary		-1.38 (95% CI = -3.38-0.62
						components goal setting	intake + nutritional goals,		Increased weight loss for more
						self-monitoring dietary	weekly exercise goals		adherent >60% vs. less
						intake + nutritional goals,	dietary goals 1200-1800		adherent <30 (<i>p</i> -value < 0.001)
						weekly exercise goals	Calories per day, with no		
						dietary goals 1200-1800	more than 25% calories		
						Calories per day, with no	from fat		
						more than 25% calories	Increase PA to 180 min		
						from fat	over 6 months, with		
						Increase PA to 180 min	30 min increases in		
						over 6 months, with	concurrent months		
						30 min increases in			
						concurrent months			

Study	Location	Sample	Trial Length	Design	Targets	Experimental Group	Control Group	Measures	Outcome
Archaya et al.	Pittsburgh	N = 210	24 months	SMART	Diet+	Group 1 = PDA+	Group 3 control = differed	Digital scale to	PDA groups increased fruit
2011 [45]	Pennsylvania	Mean age $= 46.8$		Trial	PA	Feedback	in self-monitoring method-	measure weight by	consumption relative to controls
Secondary	USA	Mean BMI = 27.4 kg/m^2		3 group		Group $2 = PDA$ only	paper diary	study staff	(p-value = 0.02) and vegetable
Analysis of Burke		84% female		RCT			All groups had weekly	Self-reported PA	consumption relative to controls
<i>et al.</i> [41,42]				Secondary		All groups had weekly	groups sessions 1–4 months,	2 unannounced 24 h	(<i>p</i> -value < 0.01)
				analysis		groups sessions	bi-weekly months 5–12	dietary recalls	Frequent self-monitoring associated
						1–4 months, bi-weekly			with total sugar
						months 5-	components goal setting		(p-value = 0.02) in both groups
						components goal setting	self-monitoring dietary		Interaction between self-monitoring
						self-monitoring dietary	intake + nutritional goals,		in both PDA groups and changes in
						intake + nutritional	weekly exercise goals		fat intake
						goals, weekly exercise	dietary goals 1200–1800		(p-value = 0.02), trans-fatty acids
						goals	Calories per day, with no		(p-value = 0.04), mono saturated fats
						dietary goals 1200-1800	more than 25% calories		(p-value = 0.002)
						Calories per day, with	from fat		Q
						no more than 25%	Increase PA to 180 min		
						calories from fat	over 6 months, with		
						Increase PA to 180 min	30 min increases in		
						over 6 months, with	concurrent months		
						30 min increases in			
						concurrent months			

Table 1. Cont.

Study	Location	Sample	Trial Duration	Design	Target	Experimental Group	Control Group	Measures	Outcome
Conroy et al.	Pittsburgh	N = 210	24 months	SMART	Diet+	Group 1 =	Group 3 control = differed	Digital scale to	PDA_+FB mean number of
2011 [46]	Pennsylvania	Mean age = 46.8		Trial	PA	PDA+ Feedback	in self-monitoring method-	measure weight by	self-monitoring entries greater than
Secondary	USA	Mean BMI = 27.4 kg/m^2		3 group		Group 2 =	paper diary	study staff	PR arm
analysis of Burke		84% female		RCT		PDA only	All groups had weekly	Self-reported PA	(3.4 vs. 2.4) (p-value = 0.003)
et al. 2011 [41,42]							groups sessions	2 unannounced 24 h	More likely to maintain high
						All groups had weekly	1-4 months, bi-weekly	dietary recalls	adherence to PA goals overtime than
						groups sessions	months 5-12		PDA (p -value = 0.02) or PR arm
						1-4 months, bi-weekly			(p-value = 0.0003)
						months 5-	components goal setting		
						components goal	self-monitoring dietary		
						setting self-monitoring	intake + nutritional goals,		
						dietary intake +	weekly exercise goals		
						nutritional goals,	dietary goals		
						weekly exercise goals	1200-1800 Calories per		
						dietary goals	day, with no more than		
						1200-1800 Calories	25% calories from fat		
						per day, with no	Increase PA to 180 min		
						more than 25%	over 6 months, with 30 min		
						calories from fat	increases in concurrent		
						Increase PA to	months		
						180 min over			
						6 months, with 30 min			
						increases in			
						concurrent months			

EG = Experimental Group; CG = Control Group; PA = Physical Activity; 95% CI = 95% Confidence Interval; OR = Odds Ratio; PDA = Personal Digital Assistant; SMS = Short Message Service FB = feedback.

3.5. Dietary Measures

Diet was most often measured using subject self-report of dietary intake compared to baseline reports. The most common dietary variables measured were changes in fruit and vegetable intake compared to baseline intake levels in the intervention and control groups. Fruit and vegetable intake calculations were different between the studies. Study [35] used the Prime Screen Questionnaire to assess fruit and vegetable intake, with scores of 0 indicating consumption frequency of less than once a week, scores of 1 indicating a consumption frequency of once a week, scores of 2 indicating consumption frequency of 2-4 times per week, scores of 3 indicating daily consumption, and scores of 4 indicating daily consumption at a frequency of twice per day. Study [43] calculated fruit and vegetable intake per 1000 kcals as a mean value of two 24 h food frequency recalls as well as study [45]. Other dietary variables of interest across the studies included changes in sugar intake and total fat, including, mono saturated, and trans-fatty acid intake. Dietary changes were also assessed in accordance with daily caloric intake relative to baseline caloric intake measured in kcal/day of energy consumption. Changes in dietary behaviour were measured using different questionnaire scores ranging from the eating density score utilized in one study [30] to the eating behaviour inventory score utilized in another [43]. Decreases in the ED score indicated favourable outcomes, demonstrating reduced energy dense caloric intake [30]. Increases in the EBI score which is measured on a 5 point scale with scores from 26-30 indicated positive changes, demonstrating behavioural change favouring healthy food intake [43]. None of the studies utilized objective markers of dietary intake by measuring nutrition biomarkers in serum samples of subjects.

3.6. Dietary Changes Overview

Most of interventions measuring changes in dietary intake and dietary behaviour found that subjects in the intervention groups had improvements in several indicators associated with improved dietary intake.

3.6.1. Dietary Changes in Fruit and Vegetable Intake

Three studies measured changes in fruit and vegetable intake [35,42,43]. Changes in fruit and vegetable intake were favourable across the studies which measured changes in diet as a primary or secondary outcome. Study [35] found that intervention subjects in the enhanced podcast group had increased their levels of daily fruit intake compared to baseline levels by 0.4 points (SD = 0.7) and vegetable intake by 0.2 points (SD = 0.9) on the Prime Screen Questionnaire. By contrast, controls in the standard podcast group increased their fruit intake by a smaller amount, with score increases of 0.01 points (SD = 0.4). Controls also decreased their vegetable intake from baseline by 0.2 points (SD = 0.7). The differences between the intervention and control groups were significant (*p*-value < 0.005). In a secondary analysis of the Patrick *et al.* study, Norman *et al.* [43] found that the intervention arm receiving tailored daily SMS and MMS had increased their fruit and vegetable intake relative to baseline levels by 0.49 points (SD = 3.48). However, this was not significant (*p*-value = 0.297). They also had higher levels of fruit and vegetable intake compared to controls receiving monthly health newsletters who decreased their total fruit and vegetable intake compared to baseline measures by -1.52 points (SD = 4.22), but this was weakly not significant (*p*-value = 0.079) [46]. The secondary

analysis of the SMART trial [42] found that the PDA intervention arms increased their fruit consumption compared to the control group utilizing paper methods for self-monitoring dietary intake (*p*-value = 0.02). They also increased their vegetable consumption relative to controls (*p*-value < 0.01).

3.6.2. Dietary Changes in Sugar and Fat Intake

The SMART Trial specifically measured changes in sugar and fat intake [42,45]. The trial found that the experimental arms had decreased their saturated fat intake relative to the control group assigned to a paper self-monitoring method of dietary intake. Differences in saturated fat intake were marginally significant between the two experimental PDA arms relative to the control arm (*p*-value = 0.05). However, one study did not find an association between changes in total fat intake between groups receiving a standard podcast compared to an enhanced podcast [35]. The secondary analysis of the Burke *et al.* study [45] found that there was an interaction between self-monitoring and changes in total fat, mono saturated fat intake, and trans fatty acid intake in both of the PDA groups. Higher self-monitoring adherence resulted in reduced intake of these fats (*p*-value = 0.02). The same interaction relationship between the variable sugar intake and self-monitoring was observed in the experimental PDA arms (*p*-value = 0.002) [45].

3.6.3. Dietary Changes in Daily Caloric Intake

Two studies measured changes in daily caloric intake. The Turner-Mcgrievy *et al.* (2013) [44] post hoc analysis of the 2009 study found that the experimental arm consumed less calories/day at 1437 kcal/day (SD = 188) relative to controls consuming 2049 kcal/day (SD = 175). The differences between groups were significant (*p*-value = 0.01). However, the Haapala *et al.* study [30] did not find significant differences in energy intake changes measured in kJ/day in the experimental group receiving a mobile phone intervention relative to the control group.

3.6.4. Changes in EBI and ED Scores

Two studies measured changes in energy density and eating behaviour inventory scores. Both studies had results favouring the mobile device intervention groups [30,43]. The Haapala *et al.* [30] study found that subjects in the mobile phone intervention had reduced their energy dense (ED) score from baseline scores by 0.4 points (SD = 0.06), indicating positive changes in daily energy dense food consumption (*p*-value < 0.001) at all-time points of the 12 month intervention. The control group had a smaller reduction in their eating density score by 0.1 points (SD = 0.7) which was non-significant (*p*-value > 0.05). The differences between the intervention and control groups were significant at 12 months (*p*-value = 0.003). The secondary analysis of the Patrick *et al.* study [43] found that the experimental group receiving SMS and MMS had positive changes in their eating behaviour inventory score, suggesting favourable changes in dietary intake with an improvement in the score by 8.73 points from baseline (SD = 6.23) (*p*-value \leq 0.001) after the four month intervention. The control group by contrast, had smaller positive changes in their dietary intake, with a total increase of 2.04 points (SD = 6.58) over their score at baseline (*p*-value = 0.140) at the end of the four month study [43].

3.7. Physical Activity Measures

Physical activity levels were measured using different methods ranging from self-report of physical activity to objective accelerometer or pedometer physical activity data with graphical MMS feedback charts. Physical activity was expressed as increases in hours or days of physical activity per week, changes in energy expenditure measured in kcal/day over baseline levels, and changes in steps per day [31,33–35,39].

3.8. Physical Activity Overview

The interventions measuring physical activity levels as a primary or secondary outcome mostly found that physical activity levels increased in the mobile device intervention groups relative to the control groups [31,33–35,39]. Indirect measures of physical activity were also reported across the studies, with increased adherence to physical activity goals [31,39].

3.8.1. Perceived Physical Activity Goal Adherence

In a secondary analysis of the SMART study, study [46] found that the intervention group assigned to the PDA plus feedback group had higher levels of adherence to physical activity goals relative to the PDA only group (p-value = 0.02) and the paper self-monitoring group (p-value = 0.0003).

3.8.2. Changes in Physical Activity Levels

A total of five studies which measured changes in physical activity levels had results favouring the mobile device the intervention groups [31,33-35,39]. The Hurling et al. study [31] found that the experimental group had an increase in moderate physical activity levels over baseline relative to controls (p-value = 0.03), with average increases of physical activity by 2 h and 18 min per week. The three arm intervention in the Prestwich et al. study [39] found that 42% of the intention and goal group receiving text messages had increased their physical activity time by 2 h per week relative to baseline. Similarly, 45% of the intention and plan text messaging group had increased their physical activity levels by 2 h per week over baseline levels. By contrast, only 22% of controls increased their physical activity when compared with baseline. Differences between group arms were statistically significant (p-values < 0.01). Increases in intentional physical activity levels were also found in the study by Turner-Mcgrievy et al. [35] where the experimental group receiving a podcast with an additional mobile device had physical activity levels of 196.4 kcal/day (SD = 45.9) relative to the control group receiving a podcast who engaged in physical activity levels of 100.9 kcal/day (SD = 45.1) (*p*-value = 0.02). The study by Shapiro et al. [33] measured physical activity by step counts and found that the experimental group receiving daily interactive SMS and MMS had increased their steps to 3000 steps per day (p-value < 0.05) relative to controls receiving monthly newsletters. They also found that there was a direct relationship between increased step counts and increased weight loss (p-value < 0.05). In a study comparing an enhanced podcast designed on social cognitive theory with a standard podcast without a theoretical basis, the experimental enhanced podcast group increased their reported physical activity levels by 0.8 days per week (SD = 0.9) relative to baseline, while the control group decreased their physical activity levels by 0.4 days per week (SD = 1.4) relative to baseline [35]. The differences

between groups in reported physical activity levels were significant, favouring the experimental group (p-value < 0.01) [35].

3.9. Weight Measures

Weight loss was usually measured as changes in weight in kilograms or lbs. Some interventions provided pre and post changes in BMI measured in kg/m² by measuring height via a stadiometer and weight by a weighing scale. The studies which reported change in body fat percentile were less common. The method of measuring weight across studies was valid, with weight being measured by objective digital weight scales. Body fat was measured using electrical impedance scales. Some studies examined changes in weight circumference measured in cm, utilizing a tape. Weight loss was measured by study staff, and frequency was often twice at baseline and post-intervention. However, some studies employed subject self-report of weight change, but this was not used alone without more objective measures by study staff. In addition to weight loss, some studies also measured cognitive process changes underlying weight loss and behaviours such as changes in self-efficacy to lose weight.

3.10. Weight Loss Overview

Positive changes in weight loss were observed across most studies in the intervention groups with mobile devices compared to baseline weight [30–33,35,37–41]. However, a few studies did not find significant between group differences in weight loss [33,34,36,42].

3.10.1. Changes in Weight Mobile Phones

A total of 6 out of the 8 (75%) mobile phones interventions found significant changes in weight favouring the mobile phone intervention groups over the controls. Two studies did not have significant findings [33,36]. The study by Haapala et al. [30] found that subjects in the intervention group receiving a mobile text message intervention lost 4.5 kg over the 12 month study period from baseline weight (p-value < 0.01). The control group without an intervention also lost weight, but this was not as marked, with a mean weight loss of 1.1 kg. The differences in weight loss between the two groups were significant (*p*-value < 0.006). After adjusting for the variables age and sex, the Patrick *et al.* [32] study found that subjects in the intervention group receiving daily mobile phone SMS and MMS messages had lost 4.62 kg over the study period from weight at baseline. The control group receiving monthly health newsletters lost 0.17 kg over the study period compared to weight at baseline. After adjusting for the variables age and sex, the differences in weight loss between the experimental and control groups were 2.88 kg (p-value = 0.02), a 3.16% difference in weight loss between groups [32]. The three arm intervention by Carter et al. [38] found that the mobile phone group using an app to self-monitor weight lost the most weight from baseline of 4.6 kg (95% CI = -6.2-3.0). The diary group lost 2.9 kg (95% CI = -4.7-1.1) and the website group lost 1.3 kg (95% CI = -2.7-0.1). The Prestwich et al. study [39] found that subjects in the implementation intentions goal reminder group lost the most weight (0.53 kg) relative to the implementation intentions plan reminder group which gained 0.10 kg and the control group which lost 0.14 kg. The differences between the groups favouring the implementation intentions goal reminder group were significant (p-value = 0.046) (95% CI = 0.03–
0.72). The study by Napolitano [40] *et al.* found that subjects in the text message and Facebook intervention lost 2.5 kg (SD = 0.4) from baseline. The Facebook only group lost 0.63 kg (SD = 2.4) from baseline. The differences between the two groups were marginally significant (*p*-value = 0.05).

3.10.2. Changes in Weight Other Mobile Devices

A total of three out of four of the interventions employing mobile devices other than mobile phones had significant findings, favouring the intervention [35,37,41]. The study by Spring *et al.* [37] found that subjects utilizing PDA's for self-monitoring of weight lost 6.3 lbs. (95% CI = -1.0-13.6) and the control group without a PDA lost 0.05 lbs. (95% CI = -4.7-4.6) at 12 months. The study by Burke *et al.* [41] found that subjects in the PDA with feedback intervention arm lost 2.32 kg over baseline (95% CI = -4.29-0.35) and this change was significant (*p*-value = 0.02). The PDA only group lost 1.38 kg (95% CI = -3.88-0.62) and the paper self-monitoring group lost -1.94 kg (95% CI 3.88-0.62), but these changes were not significant. The intervention did not find significant between group differences at 24 months [41]. The study by Turner-Mcgrievy *et al.* [34] found that subjects exposed to an enhanced podcast designed on social cognitive theory through either an intervention medium of an Mp3 player or iPod lost 2.9 kg from baseline weight (SD = 3.5). By contrast, the control group receiving a standard podcast without a theoretical foundation lost 0.3 kg from baseline (SD = 2.1). However, the addition of an extra second mobile device app for self-monitoring to the podcasting mobile component in the 2011 follow-up study [35] did not result in significant differences in weight loss between the groups (*p*-value > 0.98).

3.10.3. Weight Loss and Adherence

Two studies examined the relationship between adherence to the weight loss intervention and subsequent weight loss [33,41]. Higher levels of adherence were associated with increased weight loss in study [33] but not in study [41].

3.11. Changes in BMI

The three studies which reported pre and post intervention changes in BMI all had results favouring the mobile device intervention groups. Study [38] found that the intervention group assigned to a Smartphone reduced their BMI by 1.6 kg/m² (95% CI = -2.2-1.1). The web only group reduced their BMI by 0.5 kg/m² (95% CI = -0.9-0.0) and the diary group by 1.0 kg/m² (95% CI = -1.6-0.4). Study [34] found that subjects in the intervention groups reduced their BMI by 1.0 kg/m² (SD = 1.2) and the controls by 0.1 kg/m² (SD = 0.7), with significant between group differences (*p*-value < 0.001). Similarly, study [35] found that BMI reductions in the intervention group were greater than in the control group and that this difference was significant (*p*-value < 0.02).

3.12. Changes in Waist Circumference

The two studies measuring changes in waist circumference found positive reductions favouring the mobile device intervention groups [30,41]. The Haapala et alStudy [30] found intervention subjects reduced their waist circumference by 0.6 cm (SD = 1.7) and the control group by 0.4 cm (SD = 6.6). The Burke *et al* Study [41] found that the PDA with feedback group had reduced their

waist circumference percentage by the most, 6.4% (95% CI = -11.5-1.8), and the PDA only and control groups reduced their waist circumference by 5.0% (95% CI = -8.5-1.7) and 4.0% (95% CI = -8.4-0.0), respectively.

3.13. Changes in Body Fat Percentage

The two studies measuring changes in percentage body fat both had positive statistically significant findings favouring the mobile device intervention groups [31,38]. The Hurling *et al.* study [31] found that the experimental group lost an average of 2.18% (SD = 0.59) body fat relative to the control group which lost 0.17% (SD = 0.81) body fat and that group differences were significant (*p*-value = 0.04). The Carter *et al.* study [38] found that the experimental group receiving the smartphone intervention lost (-) 1.3% body fat (95% CI = -1.70-0.8), while the diary control group lost 0.09% (95% CI = -1.5-0.4). The web group lost a total 0.5% body fat (95% = -0.90-0.0).

3.14. Study Quality

Study quality is summarized in Table 2. A total of 8 out of the 12 interventions had an adequate form of randomization [31,32,34,36–39,41]. The remaining four studies did not explain the form of randomization used. The forms of randomization used were often simple and stratified randomization. Block randomization and randomization by the process of minimization were also used, often employing a computer generated algorithm. Seven out of the 12 studies explicitly stated that allocation was concealed [32-36,38,39]. The remaining interventions did not provide information on allocation concealment. There were no significant baseline differences in characteristics of the intervention and control subjects across the studies. Study [32] is an exception, with differences in the age of participants. A total of 7 out of the 12 studies had a power and sample size calculation. Seven studies calculated sample size in accordance with a power of 80% to detect a notable difference between groups, often accounting for up to 30% attrition [30,33,34,36,37,39,41]. According to the Cochrane handbook [29], studies with retention over 80% are classified as having low attrition and studies with retention between 60%-79% are classified as having moderate attrition. Most studies had <30% attrition. The lowest reported attrition was 4% [40] and the highest overall was 38.8% [32]. Additionally, study [32] had unequal attrition between groups. All of the studies had analysed the groups by intention to treat analyses in accordance with original assignment, with some interventions conducting both ITT and completers analyses. Due to the nature of mobile devices, subject blinding was often not possible across the interventions. Subjects were blinded in one study by not knowing which podcast they were assigned to until the end of the intervention [35]. Assessors were blinded in three studies [30,38,39] and caregivers in one study [30]. Caregivers and assessors in the remaining studies were either not blinded or information was not explicitly provided on blinding status.

Intervention adherences across the studies were variable, with some studies not reporting adherence or direct measures of adherence in percentage of adherent participants. Adherence was measured in terms of compliance with self-monitoring or weight reporting [30,36,38,41]. Adherence was also measured according to frequency of group session attendance [37]. Study [37] did not find differences in group session attendance between intervention and control subjects. However, higher adherence was associated with increased weight loss [37]. Study [33] had an overall adherence of 69%, with no group differences in adherence. Study [38] found differences in adherence between groups. Additionally, study [36] found low levels of adherence to the intervention, with 54% of prompts receiving a

response. There was a general trend of high adherence at the beginning of the interventions, followed by an interaction with the variable time, whereby adherence would decrease as a function of increased length of trial duration. This was observed across five studies [30,36,38,41]. In addition to this, the intervention groups were often reported to be more adherent than the controls groups [36,38,41]. Subjects in the smartphone intervention group were adherent for 92 days relative to 35 days for website controls in study [38]. Study [34] did not find differences in reported adherence to dietary and physical activity self-monitoring between groups, but did find differences in method of self-monitoring, with the intervention group being three fold more likely to utilize an app for self-monitoring [34].

3.15. Risk of Bias Grading

Risk of bias grading is summarized in Table 3. Based on the quality assessment table, risk of bias was graded according to the Cochrane recommended bias grading as low, high, or unknown [28]. A total of 8 out of 12 (67%) of studies had an adequate sequence generation [31,32,34,36,39,41] and 7 out of 12 (58%) of studies reported allocation concealment [32–35,38,39]. They were graded as having a low risk of selection bias. Four out of the 12 interventions were classified as having a low risk of detection bias by explicitly describing the blinding of outcome assessors [30,35,36,38], with the remaining being classified as having a high risk of bias by either not reporting this or leaving the answer unclear. Only one study was classified as having a low risk of performance bias as subjects were blinded. All studies were graded as having a low risk of attrition bias as they had acceptable levels of attrition (low to moderate) described earlier and were mostly intention to treat analyses. Due to the nature of mobile devices, blinding subjects may not always be possible and the handbook advises to assess the relative importance of a given domain in accordance with the intervention under investigation. Overall, half of the studies were graded as having a low risk of bias by meeting at the least 3 of the 5 domains.

Table 2. Critical Appraisal Trial Quality Rating [28,29].

Study	Randomization	Allocation	No Significant	Assessors /	Methods of data	Minimal attrition,?	Sample	Subjects blind to	Intention to treat
	Method Clear +	Concealment?	Baseline Difference in	Caregivers Blind	collection Valid?	Differences between	Size/Power	intervention?	analysis?
	Appropriate?		Characteristics?	to intervention?		groups?	Calculation		
Shapiro <i>et al.</i>	N/A	~	\checkmark	- No	✓		V	- No	\checkmark
2012 [33]					Objective weight	Attrition = 24%	N increased to		
					scales and PA		1 /0 to allow		
					(CW aprice		101 2370		
					(C w series		nower		
Carter <i>et al</i>	✓	√	\checkmark	✓	v v	\checkmark	- No	- No	1
2013 [38]	Process of			Fieldworkers		Attrition = 38.3%	110	110	
2015 [50]	minimization			undertaking	Objective portable	overall	Not a phase		
				measurement	weight scales		three trial		
				blinded	U	No- there were			
						Significant group			
						differences			
						(<i>p</i> -value = 0.01)			
Haapala <i>et al</i> .	N/A	N/A	\checkmark	✓	No-	\checkmark		- No	\checkmark
2009 [30]				Nurse (caregiver)	Self-report PA	27%	80% power N		
				/weight outcome	levels + diet		increased to		
				assessor blinded		-No	157 for 30%		
					But weight	Group differences in	attrition		
					measured	attrition			
					objectively in				
Patrick <i>et al</i>	1	1	No	No	v chines (3×)	1	-N/A	- No	1
2009 [32]	Simple Randomization	Allocation	Differences in mean	110	Objective		1.171	110	
2009 [02]	ompre randomization	concealment at	age		calibrated weight	EG = 18%			
		baseline			scales measures in	CG = 15.5%			
		measures but			study office				
		not after							
Hurling et al.	\checkmark	N/A	✓	-No	~	✓	-N/A	-No	\checkmark
2007 [31]	Random stratification				Accelerometer,	100% assigned to EG			
					electrical	and CG complete			
					impedance scales	study			
					and weight scales				

351

Table 2. Cont.

Study	Randomization Method Appropriate + Clear?	Allocation Concealment?	No Significant Differences in Baseline Characteristics?	Assessors/ Caregivers Blind to Intervention?	Methods of Data Collection Valid?	Minimal Attrition? Differences between groups?	Sample Size/ Power Calculation?	Subjects Blind to Intervention?	Analysis by Assignment?
Turner- Mcgrievy <i>et al.</i> 2011 [34]	Computerized random number generation	~	~	-No	✓ Objective weighing scales in study sites	89.6% completed study 11% attrition EG 7% CG	80% power, 86 total, accounting for attrition = N = 95-100	-No	~
Turner- Mcgrievy <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2009 [35]	N/A	~	~	N/A	✓ Objective Digital weight scale But self-reported PA levels	EG = 15% attrition CG = 20%	N/A	~	~
Prestwich <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> 2010 [39]	✓ Computer randomization generation	~	✓ 		✓ Objective weight measures with digital scale baseline+ follow-up - But PA subjectively reported	✓ 6% attrition	80% power allowing for 5%-10% attrition N = 149	No	Analysis by assignment excluding implementati on intention recall analysis *
Brindall <i>et al.</i> 2013 [36]	Computer generated randomization	~	~	N/A	✓ Weighed with objective digital scale in study office	Attrition = 24%	Power 80% recruit N = 30 accounting for 30% attrition	No	~
Napolitano <i>et al.</i> 2013 [40]	N/A	N/A	✓	No	✓ Objective weighing scales study offices	✓ 100% completed 4 week assessment; attrition at 8 weeks = 4%	N/A	No	~
Spring <i>et al.</i> 2013 [37]	✓ Random permuted blocks stratified by age	N/A	~	No	✓ Objective Calibrated weight scales	✓ 26% attrition	✓ N = 150 for 80% power	No	✓
Burke <i>et al.</i> 2011,2012 [41,42]	Computer implemented minimization algorithm stratified by age	N/A	✓	No	✓ Objective Digital weight scale - but self-report PA, 24 h 2 food recall	✓ 14% attrition	N = 210 for 80% power	No	~

Adapted from [28,29] quality assessment trial rating as Weak, Moderate, and Strong; $\sqrt{=}$ moderate-strong ratings No = weak rating; Attrition Weak rating = attrition >40% Moderate = retention 60%-79% and attrition <40% Strong = retention 80%-100% and attrition <20%; NA = Not Available.

Author	Patrick	Haapala	Hurling	Brindal	Turner-Mcgrievy [1]	Turner- Mcgrievy [2]	Prestwich	Spring	Burke	Shapiro	Carter
	[32]	[30]	[31]	[36]			[39]	[37]	[42]	[33]	
	✓	?	✓	✓	\checkmark	?	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
Random Sequence Generation											
Allocation Concealment	\checkmark	?	?	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	?	?	\checkmark	\checkmark
Participant Blinding	-	-	-	-	-	✓	-	-	-	-	-
Blinding of outcome assessment	-	✓	?	\checkmark	-	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	\checkmark
Incomplete Outcome Data	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark	✓

Table 3. Risk of bias grading adapted from The Cochrane handbook Trial Appraisal Higgins et al. [28].

 $\sqrt{1}$ = low risk of Bias; Minus symbol - = high risk of bias; question mark symbol? = unknown/unclear risk of bias.

Figure 2. Mobile Devices and Weight loss Meta-analysis.

Meta-analysis: Effect sizes (standardized mean differences) for individual studies and pooled effect size, for difference in weight loss between intervention group (B) and combined control groups (A)



	-
Heterogeneity Q = 18.1 df = 10 p -value = 0.054	
I-squared = 44.6	
-	

3.16. Meta-Analysis Weight Loss (kg)

3.16.1. Overview

A total of 11 out of the 12 interventions were included in the meta-analysis. Study [41] was excluded as only post intervention changes in BMI were reported and the authors could not be contacted to determine weight change in kg.

3.16.2. Results

According to the Cochrane handbook, medium effect sizes are values over 0.40 [29]. The results of the meta-analysis in Figure 2 indicate an overall medium effect size of 0.43 (95% CI = 0.252-0.609), favouring the intervention. The effect size was significant (*p*-value < 0.01).

3.16.3. Heterogeneity

The results of the meta-analysis indicate moderate heterogeneity. I2 values of 30–60 indicate moderate heterogeneity, and >60 indicate high heterogeneity according to the Cochrane handbook. The Q statistic was 18.5 and the I2 45, indicating moderate heterogeneity. Moderate heterogeneity indicates that the results may slightly deviate or be inconsistent more from each other than they would by chance [29]. However, it was marginally non-significant (*p*-value = 0.054).

3.16.4. Publication Bias

Assessment of publication bias is shown in the funnel plot in Figure 3. The funnel plot indicates some possibility of publication bias in both of the analyses due to the asymmetrical dispersion of effect points [29]. The funnel plot with imputation values in red demonstrates that small studies demarcated by large standard errors with positive effect sizes favouring the interventions were more likely to be published than studies with negative and less significant findings. However, the red imputation values for the overall effect size when taking into account symmetrical dispersion of effect points, indicates that the direction and size of the effect size would still be positive and significant (away from the null value of 0 for differences in means). Thus, in the absence of publication bias, the effect size would likely be smaller but still meaningful.



Figure 3. Funnel Plot for Publication Bias.

3.16.5. Sensitivity Analysis

The sensitivity analysis is demonstrated in Figure 4. The results of the sensitivity analysis indicate that the overall effect size does not very much when removing studies consecutively. The sensitivity analysis results indicate an overall similar effect size of 0.430 (95% CI 0.252–0.609) (*p*-value = 0.000) compared to the original effect size. This indicates that no intervention has a disproportionate effect on the results.

Figure 4. Sensitivity analysis.

Study			Sta	tistics	with st	udy rema	oved	Std diff in means (9	5%.
	S	Standar	d	Lower	Upper			CI) with study remov	ved
	Point	error	Variance) limit	limit	Z-Value	p-Value		
Shapiro	0.469	0.096	0.009	0.281	0.657	4.893	0.000		
Napolitano	0.406	0.093	0.009	0.224	0.589	4.365	0.000		
Carter	0.422	0.099	0.010	0.228	0.617	4.259	0.000		
Prestwich	0.444	0.103	0.011	0.242	0.647	4.305	0.000		
Burke	0.476	0.091	0.008	0.297	0.655	5.208	0.000		
Haapala	0.412	0.097	0.009	0.221	0.602	4.237	0.000		
Brindal	0.426	0.097	0.009	0.235	0.616	4.373	0.000		
Spring	0.415	0.097	0.009	0.225	0.606	4.270	0.000		
Turner-Mcgrie∨y 1	1 0.376	0.085	0.007	0.208	0.543	4.398	0.000		
Turner-Mcgrie∨y 2	2 0.469	0.092	0.008	0.290	0.649	5.121	0.000		
Patrick	0.419	0.097	0.009	0.229	0.609	4.326	0.000		
	0.430	0.091	0.008	0.252	0.609	4.725	0.000		
							-2.0	00 -1.00 0.00 1.00 2.0	00

Sensitivity analysis

Favours A Favours B

4. Results Part B: Intervention Content Analysis; Use of Theory and Behavior Change Techniques

4.1. Theoretical Base

Seven randomized controlled trials had an explicit theoretical base informing intervention design [31,33–36,39,41]. Some studies utilized more than one theory to inform the intervention [31,33–35]. The health psychology theories underpinning intervention design ranged from Implementation Intentions [39], Kanfer's Self-regulation Model [41], aspects of Social Cognitive Theory [33–35], Elaboration Likelihood Model, Bagozzi's Goals Theory, Decisional Balance [31], and Self-Monitoring (part of Control Theory) [32]. Social Cognitive Theory was one of the most common theories informing intervention design, with three studies explicitly employing it [33–35]. The interventions of three studies were also informed by the Elaboration Likelihood Model [31,34,35]. One study utilized Self-Efficacy Theory with Contingency Theory [30]. The Self-Monitoring Theory was explicitly used in one study [32]. Another study used the Health Action Model to inform the intervention [36].Whilst the remaining 3 studies did not have an explicit description of the theory used to inform intervention design, they nonetheless had utilized intervention techniques which were directly or partly linked with a theory. The studies [37,38,40] all involved aspects of self-monitoring, feedback, and goal setting which are techniques associated with Control Theory [11]. Lastly, the intervention by study [30] sought to prime self-efficacy which is associated with Social Cognitive Theory [11].

4.2. Predictors/Constructs

Ten interventions explicitly described a construct or predictor of behaviour change associated with a selected theory and intervention. Only one study provided a detailed diagram of how the intervention influences the target construct [34]. Four out of twelve studies measured a predictor of physical activity or dietary behaviour at baseline and post intervention follow-up. A fifth study measured predictors at week 4 and post-intervention at week 8 [36]. Positive findings in several mediators along the behaviour change to weight loss pathway were found in all seven studies [31–34,36,39,41]. The most common predictors described and measured were self-efficacy [33,34,40], user control [31,33], intentions [31,39], positive affect [30,36], and elaboration [34,35].

4.2.1. Intentions and Sense of Control

The study by Hurling *et al.* [31] found that the experimental group receiving a mobile phone and web intervention had increased levels of perceived control to exercise over their baseline levels by 0.57 points and had increased intentions to exercise over baseline levels by 0.45 points relative to controls without an intervention [31]. Differences between the intervention and control groups were significant (*p*-value < 0.001) [31]. The intervention by Turner-Mcgrievy *et al.* [35] found a higher user control score the end of the 3 month intervention in the enhanced podcast group relative to the standard podcast controls by 0.13 points, and that differences between groups were significant (*p*-value = 0.001). However, a follow-up study comparing two enhanced podcasts, with the addition of a second mobile device to the intervention group, found that the user control score only increased during the first 3 months of the study, with group differences being non-significant at 6 months (*p*-value = 0.08).

4.2.2. Positive Affect

Study [36] found that positive affect increased more in the intervention group by 0.10 points relative to controls with negative positive affect scores of -0.01 (SD = 0.13), and differences between groups were significant (*p*-value = 0.012).

4.2.3. Self-Efficacy

One study did not find improvements in self-efficacy to lose weight and exercise [40]. Study [30] found that users had increased self-efficacy only if the 5% weight loss threshold had been reached, but this was not significant (*p*-value = 0.46), with the remaining subjects experiencing reductions in self-efficacy (*p*-value = 0.008) [30]. Study [34] found an interaction between time and user control, with initial increases in sense of control during the first 3 months, but this was not significant at 6 months (*p*-value = 0.08).

4.2.4. Elaboration and Reduced Cognitive Load

The two podcasting studies [34,35] measuring changes in elaboration and cognitive load scores, both found favourable changes in elaboration and cognitive scores, and that differences between the intervention and control groups were significant (*p*-values < 0.05). Although elaboration scores were

two fold higher at 3 months (41 SD = 12 vs. 24 SD = 15) in the intervention versus control groups, differences between groups were marginally non-significant at 6 months in the follow-up study (p-value = 0.06).

4.3. Intervention Components

4.3.1. Text Message and App Component

The intervention components are summarized in Table 4. Seven out of the twelve mobile device trials had a text messaging component [30–33,38–40]. Text messages were frequently personally relevant according to individual progress and barriers [30–32,38,40]. They were also often tailored by enabling subjects to adjust message delivery in accordance with their personal schedule and time of the day [32,39]. Most text messages were interactive, requiring a user response [30,32,33], with one study requiring users to respond to 50% of the text messages [32]. User responses often involved progress content, but one study additionally employed knowledge based questions which required responses [33]. Text message frequency varied from 2–5 day [32,33], to once a month [31]. A mobile app component was used in four studies [30,34,36,38]. Text message functional purpose varied across studies and included the provision of health education, self-monitoring, transmitting information on diet and PA to study staff, reception of feedback on performance, and reception of motivational messages [30–33,38–40]. Mobile apps were used for self-monitoring of diet and physical activity [30,34,36,38] and feedback was provided via prompts on the smartphone dashboard [36]. One app was used for meal replacement purposes rather than caloric reduction monitoring [36].

4.3.2. Health Education Component

Most of the interventions had a health education component. Health education included the provision of health newsletters, links to health education sites, and integration of health education into the intervention medium. The studies which provided details on content included the provision of tips on healthy eating [33], portion control [32], strategies for eating out [32], healthy food and physical activity environments [32], information on muscle strength training [32], recipe tips with meal suggestions [32], and information on government recommended levels of physical activity [39]. Mass media health education was used in the study by Turner-Mcgrievy [34], with soap opera podcasts serving as a medium for health knowledge and behaviour change. Frequency and variation of health education topics [32].

4.3.3. Professional Support Component

Support from a health professional was utilized in three interventions. Mode of professional support delivery included in person support [37], over the telephone [32,37], and online support via Twitter [34]. Duration of professional contact ranged from 5–15 min [32] to in person professional sessions lasting 1.5 h [37]. Frequency of professional contact ranged from bi-monthly [37] to once a month [32]. Types of health professionals involved in the interventions included nutritionists, psychologists, dieticians [37], and counsellors [32].

Table 4. Intervention Components.

Study	Text Message Component?	App Component?	Health Education Diet/PA Component?	Self- Monitoring with Feedback?	Prompting/ Priming motivation, positive behavioural beliefs, or self- efficacy?	Professional Support Component?	Web-Component?	Comparator group Without Intervention?	Use of single Intervention/ technology?
Carter et al. 2013 [38]	✓ Personal Relevance Text message according to personal progress	✓ My Meal Mate	No	App enables goal setting +self-monitoring via texts Feedback on energy +caloric expenditure	✓ Text messages reinforce positive behavioural beliefs, confidence + motivation	No	✓	No Controls with diary or website intervention But no mobile phone technology	✓ Specific to mobile phones
Shapiro <i>et al.</i> 2012 [33]	Interactive with required text answers to knowledge based questions	No	Access to educational e- newsletters on Diet/PA Website health tips, nutrition recipes Knowledge- based texts	Self-monitoring with pedometer, feedback with step graphical MMS charts	✓ Text messages with personal motivating messages	No	~	✓ Except health information	No, besides mobile phone intervention—access to e- newsletters
Turner- Mcgrievy <i>et al.</i> 2011 [34]	No text message component	✓ Fat Secret Calorie Counter App	Educational Podcasts on diet/PA	Goal setting activity podcast Self-monitoring app Feedback via Twitter	But no motivational mobile phone messaging podcast designed to enhance self-efficacy without positive reinforcing text messaging	✓ Professional online support via Twitter	✓ 	No, given podcast	No, podcast and mobile intervention for experimental group

Table 4. Cont.

Study	Text message Component?	App Component?	Health Education Component?	Self-Monitoring with Feedback combined?	Positive Message Prompts or Motivational Component	Professional Support Component?	Web Component?	Comparator without Intervention?	Single technological component?
Patrick <i>et al.</i> 2009 [32]	Individually tailored messages tailored to schedule and preference time + Interactive, with 50% of texts requiring a reply + Personally relevant messages according to dietary behaviour and change	No	Education on Portion Control, Eating Out, meal planning, calorie education, strength training, exercise environments + Printed health education materials on diet + PA	~	Positive reinforcing text messages	✓ Monthly phone calls from professional 5–15 min	No	No, Control group had printed monthly health education but not technology	No, experimental group had professional consultation phone calls and printed health education in additional to mobile phone intervention
Haapala <i>et al.</i> 2009 [30]	Personally relevant text messages according to % dieters reach daily's target, personal calorie aims relative to current + Interactive text messages requiring replies	✓	✓ Offered web links to reliable diet + PA information	Goal setting Feedback via texts extent target met	No	No	✓ Web dietary record keeping	~	Mobile phone exclusive intervention in experimental group

Study	Text message Component?	App Component?	Health Education Component?	Self-Monitoring with Feedback combined?	Positive Message Prompts or Motivational Component	Professional Support Component?	Web Component?	Comparator without Intervention?	Single technological component?
Hurling et al.	\checkmark	No	No	~	\checkmark	No	✓	~	No
2009 [31]	tailored texts based on perceived barriers			Scheduled weekly exercise goals Feedback via internet	3 Motivational benefits, motivating tips matched to each participant's PA levels Email prompts				Mobile phone with internet as one intervention but social support online may be classified as adjunct intervention
Turner- Mcgrievy	No Text Messaging	No	✓	No Only end of	\checkmark	No	No	No controls given standard podcast	\checkmark
et al. 2009 [35]			Health information diet+ PA via podcast + soap opera on podcast	podcast goal setting without feedback	Podcast designed to prime self efficacy + behavioural capability but No direct personal motivating /positive reinforcing messages				Specific to podcasts
Prestwich <i>et al.</i> 2010 [39]	✓ tailored by	No	✓ Health	No Goal setting with self-monitoring	No Only reminders to record goals/plan	No	No	~	✓ Specific to SMS
	timing and delivery of message		government recommended PA levels, benefits +tips	but No feedback except goal and plan reminders	No personal motivating messages				
	-Not personally relevant								

 Table 4. Cont.

Study	Text Message Component?	App Component?	Health Education Component?	Self-Monitoring with Feedback?	Motivational Component?	Professional Support Component?	Web Component?	Control without intervention?	Single Technological Component?
Brindall <i>et al.</i> 2013 [36]	No text messaging But app messages tailored to schedule	✓ MRP app	✓ Health information in app	✓ App prompts self- monitoring with feedback on dashboard	✓ Message board on iPhone provides motivational messages + trophy room	No	No	No given standard APP	✓ Specific to iPhone App
Napolitan o <i>et al.</i> 2013 [40]	Tailored according to barriers	No app	✓ 5 health education information topics weekly	✓ text message topics focus on goal setting with brief feedback	Positive reinforcing text messages	No Buddy social support	✓ Facebook group	1	No included Facebook intervention combined with text messaging
Spring et al. 2013 [37]	No	No	✓	V	No technology based motivational messages; over phone coach counselling	Yes in person dieticians, psychologists and phone counselling	No	No controls had Move sessions	No, phone counselling and group sessions
Burke et al. 2011, 2012 [41,42]	No	No	~	V	No motivational messages	No	No	No controls had either paper diary or PDA without feedback (group 2)	No group sessions with self- monitoring method

4.3.4. Web Component

Half of the interventions had a web component [30,31,33,34,38,40]. Interventions which included internet supported participant login were not classified as web-based. Web-based interventions included ones which utilized the internet for self-monitoring, social support, and professional support.

4.3.5. Technological Components

Less than half of the studies (total 5) exclusively employed a single technological mobile device intervention in isolation from other technological mediums and without combination with different types of interventions such as professional support [30,35,36,38,39].

4.3.6. Comparator

A total of five studies utilized a control group without the provision of an intervention for this group [30–32,39,40]. Study [33] provided the control with simple health information. The remaining seven studies provided the comparator group with some form of intervention [33,34–38,41,42].

4.4. Behaviour Change Techniques

A total of 22 out of the 26 Behaviour Change Techniques were adopted across the various interventions, meeting the coding criteria for the 26 BCT's designed by Michie and Abraham et al. 2009 [15,16]. These included the use of self-monitoring, feedback, setting goals, revision of goals, provision of general health information, prompting intention formation, setting graded tasks, prompting barrier identification, provision of instruction how to perform the target behaviour, provision of encouragement, modelling/demonstrating behaviour, provision of rewards, teaching to use prompts, prompting practice, usage of follow-up prompts, social comparison, planning social support, prompting self-talk, relapse prevention, and stress management. The BCT's not employed in the interventions were provision of information on the consequences of behaviour, general information about others' approval, time management planning, participant identification as a role model and advocate, and the use of motivational interviewing. The most common BCT's across the range of interventions were self-monitoring, goal setting, feedback, provision of general health information, encouragement, prompting practice and social support. The number of behavioural change techniques adopted per individual intervention was variable. However, all interventions had a minimum of 5 behavioural change techniques [30,41]. The maximum number of behaviour change techniques used in an individual intervention was between 10–12 [36,40] out of the possible maximum of 26 techniques.

4.4.1. Goal Setting, Self-Monitoring and Feedback

All studies included goal setting, self-monitoring, and feedback. The exception is lack of feedback in studies [34,35]. Feedback was provided through different sources of media such as web groups, social networking sites, app feedback on the smartphone dashboard, and phone call feedback. Self-monitoring of diet and physical activity was also employed using various mediums including the use of mobile apps, PDA's, the web, sending text messages, and using pedometers and accelerometers.

4.4.2. Social Support

Planning social support involves the use of a human social supportive element; stimulating positive behavioural change [15,16]. The Behaviour change technique of social support was used in less than half of the studies. Social support mediums included online support through online forums [38] and social networking such as Twitter [34], buddy assignment [40], and group sessions [37,40,41]. However, study [41] did not provide enough information to determine if the social meetings had supportive elements to them.

4.4.3. Prompt Practice

Additionally, all of the mobile phone studies used the behavioural change technique of prompting practice of diet and physical activity through either text message prompts or prompts on the iPhone push board [30–33,38–40].

4.4.4. Stress Management and Relapse Prevention

Only one study employed the BCT stress management and relapse prevention [40].

4.4.5. Graded Tasks

The use of graded tasks involves making tasks increasingly difficult [15,16]. This was adopted in four studies [33,37,40,41]. Graded tasks were set for levels of difficulty associated with physical activity levels and gradual reduction of caloric intake.

4.4.6. Modelling/Demonstrating behaviour

Modelling behaviour was used in two studies via podcasting with soap opera accounts of behaviour change and providing information on behaviour change [34,35].

4.4.7. Social Comparison

The BCT social comparison refers to the use of a human social element which enables an individual engaging in behavioural change to modify his/her behaviour through the process of comparison and modelling of behaviour [15,16]. This may be employed through videos, buddy groups, and group class for instance [15,16]. This technique was adopted in a few studies employing mediums such as podcasting and soap opera demonstrations [34,35], group classes [37,41], and buddy support systems [40]. However, it is unclear whether the two studies [37,41] had opportunities for social comparison in group sessions. It may be inferred from study [37] that Move fitness sessions and practicing self-monitoring techniques in study [40] provided some opportunity for social comparison.

4.4.8. Barrier Identification

The BCT prompting barrier identification involves identifying and planning for potential obstacles which may impede behavioural change progress [15,16]. This technique was used in four interventions [32,33,36,40].

4.4.9. Provision of Encouragement

According Abraham and Michie (2008) [15,16], the BCT of providing encouragement includes motivating or praising an individual for their performance and may also include techniques to enhance self-efficacy in the form of verbal persuasion. The BCT of provision of encouragement was used in half of the interventions [31–33,36,38,40]. Encouragement was prompted using text messages, emails, and iPhone message boards [31,36,38–40].

4.4.10. Contingent Awards

One study utilized the behaviour change technique provision of contingent rewards by adopting a trophy room on the iPhone app [36].

4.4.11. Prompt Intention Formation

One intervention focused on the exclusive us of the BCT of prompting intention formation in subjects who actively planned their physical activity [39]. Three studies were partly informed by the BCT intention formation by having pre-set time bound PA or calorie goals for participants on a weekly or monthly basis [30,32,40].

4.4.12. Follow-Up Prompts

Only two studies employed the BCT of follow-up prompts through monthly coaching or counsellor calls [32,37].

4.4.13. Provide Instructions

Four studies provided instruction in the form of tips for engaging in the target behaviour and weight loss [32,34,36,41]. This was often employed by text messages, notably in study [32] where participants were given instructions and tips tailored to their barriers that would assist with engaging in the target behaviour. The PDA study [41] provided subjects with training and instructions on how to self-monitor. The podcasting [34] study provided strategies for weight loss at the end of the podcast.

4.4.14. Prompt Practice

The BCT prompting practice refers to building habits through practicing the desired behaviour [15,16]. This technique was used across all mobile phone studies through text message prompts and prompts on the iPhone push board which stimulated practice of engaging in the target behaviour.

5. Discussion Part A: Implications of Mobile Device Interventions for Weight Loss

5.1. Changes in Weight

First, the results of this review demonstrate that mobile devices are potential media for weight loss among overweight and obese individuals. The systematic review has shown that mobile devices induce weight loss relative to baseline levels. Weight loss between intervention and control groups also favoured mobile device interventions. The overall pooled effect size for the meta-analysis indicated a medium significant effect size of 0.43 (95% CI = 0.252–0.609) (*p*-value \leq 0.01), favouring the intervention. Another way of interpreting this result involves a conversion from Cohen's d to percentage of overlap between groups [47]. Using the tabular conversion in [47], an effect size of 0.40 indicates that 66% of the control group would have a mean weight loss value below the average weight loss in the intervention group. The results are also similar to the results found in the meta-analysis on mobile devices for physical activity by Fanning *et al.* [24]. They found an overall moderate significant effect of 0.54 (95% CI exclude 0 and *p*-vale < 0.05). However, the results of this review do need to be interpreted with caution as the funnel plot indicates some possibility of publication bias. Nonetheless, the imputed effect size in the absence of publication bias indicates that the overall effect size, while smaller, would likely still be away from the null, favouring mobile interventions for weight loss.

Due to the fact that only four interventions utilized standard controls with no intervention [30,31,39,40] a separate comparing mobile device interventions with standard only controls was not possible. The remaining studies had control groups utilizing diverse non-mobile interventions, including only web-based interventions such as Facebook and web-based diaries, paper based self-monitoring methods for weight loss, and in person group session weight loss controls [31,37–41]. Therefore, it was not possible to conduct analyses comparing mobile devices with a specific control group receiving a specific non-mobile intervention such as web-based interventions. Thus, the pooled interventions had diverse comparator groups, ranging from standard controls, to varying non-mobile device controls. Inferences that may be drawn from the meta-analysis are that overall, the pooled significant medium effect size favours mobile device intervention groups when compared with varying controls including standard no treatment as well as non-mobile device controls. It should be noted, however, that three interventions allocated mobile devices for weight loss to both the intervention and control groups, but two had a standard control third arm. In these studies, results favoured theory informed mobile devices with out feedback and standard controls [36,39,41].

5.2. Changes in BMI, Body Fat Percentage, and Waist Circumference

Second, mobile devices have been found to directly influence several indicators of weight loss including reductions in body fat percentage, BMI, and waist circumference in addition to weight loss in kg found in the meta-analysis [30,38,41]. These indicators were reduced when compared with baseline levels and were also more reduced when compared with controls.

J. Pers. Med. 2014, 4

5.3. Changes in Diet and Physical Activity Levels

Third, mobile devices have also been found to induce weight loss indirectly by improving the behavioural determinants of weight loss including diet and physical activity levels [31,34,39,43,45,46]. Increases in moderate to vigorous physical activity levels both in duration and frequency were found across the studies. Fruit and vegetable intake levels increased in most studies measuring them, excluding one [43]. Reductions in fat intake were not found in all of the interventions measuring changes in fat intake. One study which measured sugar intake changes found reductions in sugar intake levels [42]. Improvements were also found in overall healthy eating patterns and energy dense food consumption [30,43].

5.4. Intervention Feature Complexity

The results of this review also highlight the complexity inherent in mobile device interventions for weight loss. Many of the studies utilized more than one intervention alongside a mobile device. Positive changes in weight favouring the intervention groups with meaningful differences between the intervention and control groups were observed in studies utilizing a mobile intervention medium alone as well as complex interventions that had used a mobile intervention alongside another intervention such as a traditional weight loss class or professional support. This indicates that mobile devices may be used as complementary adjuncts, enhancing the potential effects of traditional weight loss strategies as well as be used as primary singular interventions for weight loss. In addition to this, mobile phone interventions restrained to only the phone had varying levels of complexity with respect to utilization of the smartphone features in the intervention. Most of the mobile phone intervention studies utilized SMS combined with mobile app programs, making it difficult to determine if specific features of the mobile phone were more effective for weight loss. However, the Prestwich *et al.* study [39] had an SMS exclusive weight loss intervention and Brindall *et al.* [36] had an app only intervention, without mobile SMS. Both studies had positive findings, indicating that mobile phone weight loss interventions

5.5. Clinical Significance

Although most studies found weight loss favouring the intervention groups, weight loss of 5% which is defined as the clinically significant weight loss threshold [23], was not observed across all studies. However, most studies were short in duration. The longest study was the SMART trial undertaken for 24 months [41]. The trial found meaningful differences in weight loss at the 5% level favouring the PDA with feedback group at 6 months. However, this was not sustained at 24 months [41]. By contrast, the 12 month intervention in the Spring *et al.* study [37] found that the odds of 5% weight loss were 6 fold higher in the PDA intervention receiving monthly coaching calls than in the control group. They did not find any interaction with the variable time. It would be of research interest to further determine if the clinically significant weight loss threshold of 5% would be reached in other studies of longer duration and if the addition of monthly counselling phone calls enhances weight loss.

5.6. Implications of Negative Findings

It should be noted that whilst most studies found significant differences in weight loss from baseline weight relative to follow-up, a few studies did not find significant between group differences in weight loss in the intervention *versus* control groups. In most of these studies, the control groups had some form of a technological intervention such as a standard podcast standard mobile app, and standard PDA [34,36,42]. This indicates that technologies such as enhanced apps and podcasts in the intervention arms did not increase weight loss over and above the effect of standard devices in the control arms [34,36]. Interestingly, while study [42] did not find significant between group differences in weight loss at 24 months, reductions in waist circumference were found, favouring the PDA with feedback intervention arm. It would be of research interest to determine if mobile device type influences form of weight change, with certain devices being more useful for different aspects of weight change such as waist circumference reduction or overall weight loss.

5.7. Importance of Comparator

When interpreting and evaluating the results of behaviour change interventions, Michie *et al.* [48] ascertain that there is a need to be cognisant of the conditions of the control group. They posit that results favouring the intervention group may be two fold greater when the control group is not given any form of intervention. Many of the reviewed studies which had positive findings provided controls with some form of intervention, and Michie *et al.* argue that under such circumstances, the results may be underestimated [48]. Similarly, the implications of the negative findings in studies [34,36] should involve a consideration of context. Interestingly, the only study with negative results whose control group did not receive an intervention was study [33]. The researchers argue that the design of the study was similar to study [32], which had positive findings. They postulate that the main difference in the latter intervention was that it targeted physical activity rather than diet with physical activity [43]. It would be of research interest to determine whether interventions by mobile device are more effective if they target both diet and physical activity. However, it should be noted that study [39] focused only on physical activity and had positive findings.

6. Discussion Part B: The Implications of Theory and Behaviour Change Techniques

6.1. Theory

The use of theory in the interventions is summarized in Table 5. The wide use and success with weight loss associated with interventions founded on the theory, emphasizes its importance and potential role for weight loss through mobile device mediums. Most studies were explicitly informed by theory. Moreover, several theories were often integrated to inform interventions.

The results of the review are in congruence with a systematic review on web-based interventions for behaviour change which found that wide use of theory was associated with improved outcomes [49]. Whilst the use of theory appears to be important for weight loss, the employment of several theories in a given intervention did not always improve weight loss outcomes across the studies [31,36].

Study	Explicit Theory informing intervention?	Explicit Single Theory?	Theory predictors used to select recipients?	Target/Construct of Theory Mentioned?	Adequate Description of how construct predicts behaviour?	Health behaviour predictor measured baseline and follow-up	Change in construct predicting health behaviour in support of Theory?	Techniques adopted specific to target construct?
Prestwich [39]	Yes	Yes Implementation Intentions No	No	Yes Intentions Goal and Plan Recall	Yes	Yes	Yes Increased Recall in SMS groups	Yes
Hurling [31]	Yes	Multiple theories Social Comparison, Decisional Balance, Elaboration Likelihood Model, and Goal Theory	No	Yes Intentions, Expectation, and Perceived Control	No	Yes	Yes Increased perceived control and intentions	Yes
Turner- Mcgrievy 2011 [34]	Yes	No Multiple Theories Central theory Social cognitive theory (with elements of Contingency,Elaborati on likelihood and Expectancy theory)	No	Yes User control, Cognitive load Elaboration, Expectancies, self- efficacy, expectation	Yes (detailed in 2009 study) [35]	Yes	Yes Increased user control toward elaboration at 6 months Increased self-control at 3 months, but not at 6 months	Yes
Turner- Mcgrievy 2009 [35]	Yes	Yes Social Cognitive theory	No	Yes User control Elaboration	Yes	Yes	Yes User control increased at 3 months and elaboration	Yes
Haapala [30]	Yes	No Dual theory Self-efficacy + Contingency theory	No	Yes Self-efficacy Attitudes towards medium	yes	Yes	I cs Increased self-efficacy in those achieving 5% weight loss + positive attitudes	Yes

Table 5 . Theory Coding adapted from	Michie and Prestwich Theory	Coding and Michie and	Abraham Illustrative The	ory Techniques [27]
Tuble 5. Theory Coung dupted non	i milenie una i resemien i neor	County and Mitchie and I	ioranani inastrative ine	

 Table 5. Cont.

Study	Explicit Theory informing intervention?	Explicit Single Theory?	Theory predictors used to select recipients?	Target/Construct of Theory Mentioned?	Adequate Description of how construct predicts behaviour?	Health behaviour predictor measured baseline and follow-up	Change in construct predicting health behaviour in support of Theory?	Techniques adopted specific to target construct?
Patrick <i>et al</i> [32]	Yes	Yes Self-Monitoring theory (implicit control theory	No	Yes Self-efficacy Cognisance of food choices	Yes	N/A (only measures of weight and PA + diet)	N/A	Yes
Shapiro <i>et al</i> [33]	Yes	Yes Social Cognitive Theory	No	N/A	N/A (Description of evidence based technique s but no description of construct link)	N/A (Only weight and PA measures)	N/A (no measures on self-efficacy change)	Yes
Burke <i>et al</i> [42]	Yes	Yes Self-regulation Model	No	Yes Self-Monitoring	Somewhat (description of self-monitoring)	N/A (only weight loss, adherence, diet +PA)	Yes Self-monitoring increase associated with increased weight loss	yes
Brindal <i>et al</i> [36]	Yes	Yes Health Action Model Theory	No	Mood (positive affect) Motivation	Yes	Yes	Yes improvement in positive affect, but not motivation	Yes
Spring et al [37]	Implicit Control Theory							
Carter et al [38]	No (implicit theory)							
Napolitano <i>et al</i> [40]	No (implicit social comparison?)							

N/A = not available.

6.2. Predictors

In addition to this, there were positive changes in cognitive predictors of weight loss along the causal pathways targeted by the intervention which was informed by a theoretical base. These included improvements in intentions, user control, user elaboration, and positive affect [30,31,34–36,39]. This suggests that mobile devices may induce weight loss by priming these predictors by applying theory to interventions, which leads to behavioural change in diet and exercise with subsequent weight loss.

6.3. Interaction with Predictors

However, one study found an interaction between the variable time and self-efficacy to lose weight [35]. This indicates that during short term interventions, self-efficacy to lose weight increases in the intervention groups, but in longer duration interventions, it decreases. Some interventions utilized alternating intervention components and topics by week to ensure continual subject stimulation and interest. Thus, possible explanations for the observed reduced sense of self-efficacy over time could include a saturation of intervention efficacy and loss of subject interest over time. Consideration of similar strategies over longer duration interventions is of research interest.

6.4. Research on Physiological Pathways

Although study [35] found that self-efficacy only increased in the short-term in the enhanced podcast group informed by social cognitive theory relative to the standard podcast group, a recent follow-up study on podcasts *versus* a web intervention with similar content found increased levels of sense of control to lose weight and perception of intervention novelty in the podcasting group relative to web controls [50]. Interestingly, they found that the theory driven mobile intervention which increased levels of the cognitive predictor also had direct measurable physiological effects on users. Sensory neuronal stimulation was found in the enhanced podcasting group in the form of increased sweating measured through electrical skin conductance tests [50]. No other studies have objectively measured biological changes in user response to mobile mediums. Understanding the biological mechanisms through which mobile devices and use of theory enhance weight loss and cognitive pathways influencing their determinants is of research interest.

6.5. Applied Theories Informing Intervention Design

6.5.1. Common Theories

The most common theory was Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory [33–35]. The primary focus of this theory is priming self-efficacy to engage in the target behaviour [11]. Three of the four pathways through which social cognitive theory primes self-efficacy according to Webb [11], were found in this review including personal behaviour change attempts, simulation of behavioural change and experiences of another, and the use of verbal persuasion. Personal behaviour change attempts through practice and experience were used in all studies which focused on social cognitive theory. For instance, the podcasting intervention by Turner-Mcgrievy *et al.* [35] tapped on priming of self-efficacy through podcasts including soap opera podcasts which provided participants with first hand experiences of

other subjects engaging in weight loss. The intervention also provided a source of verbal persuasion, with modelling and demonstration of behaviour via podcasts.

In addition to this, Petty's Elaboration Likelihood Model was also a leading theory informing intervention design. Webb argues that the two pathways or routes which result in behavioural change are a central route, whose impact potential is subjected to an individual's motivational disposition towards behaviour change, and a peripheral route, whose impact is subjected to a given individual's reception to heuristic cues [11]. According to Webb, these include whether the intervening source is received favourably by the target audience [11]. Webb [11] postulates that the ability of interventions employing this theory to successfully stimulate behavioural change is determined by a subject's a priori motivational stance. Adoption of this theory was found in study [35] as both the central conscious route in tandem with the peripheral unconscious route to behaviour change were targeted through podcasting.

6.5.2. Less Frequent Theories

Implementation Intentions Theory was less frequently found in this review. It contains both an aspect of goal setting in tandem with active planning concerning how the health behaviour will be initiated, the timing of behavioural change, and where the health behaviour will take place [11]. According to Webb [11] implementation intentions are often underutilized in interventions targeting addictive behaviours.

Interestingly, Implementations Intentions Theory was adopted in study [39], finding that subjects who formed implementation intentions using the behavioural change technique of prompting intention formation with goal reminders lost the most weight. This indicates that Implementation Intentions Theory may hold potential for physical activity related to weight loss interventions through mobile devices.

Another less frequently encountered theory in this review was Kanfer's Self-Regulation Theory (model of self-control). This theory, like the one described above, has not been utilized frequently in addictive behaviour interventions according to Webb [11]. Its central tenet is that concentration on one task such as a given behaviour in need of change may lead to a process known as 'ego-depletion' whereby a given subject's inhibitory regulatory mechanisms are in a state of inertia, unable to control other aspects of behaviour [11]. The hallmark of this theory is to focus on preventing this depletion from occurring [11]. It is unclear how study [41] by Burke et al sought to prevent this from occurring in the intervention groups.

6.5.3. Implicit Theory

Several of the studies which did not explicitly discuss the use of theory, had adopted techniques associated with Goal as well as Control Theories by implementing goal setting, self-monitoring, and feedback [11]. The former theory is founded on the belief that specific measurable goals are more effective than general goals and that increasing goal difficulty is associated with improved outcomes and performance [11]. Setting specific measurable and time goals was integral to all of the interventions. In some studies, there were pre-set goals, while in others, subjects determined their goals as well as their levels of difficulty. The latter theory is founded on the premise that once a given goal is

set, a self-regulatory mechanism is activated whereby a given subject compares their behaviour or goal with a reference value and concurrently seeks to adjust his/her behaviour in accordance with the goal [11]. The latter theory has been described by Webb [1] as not often being overtly presented in intervention studies [11]and the findings of this review highlight this [37,38,40].

6.6. Behaviour Change Techniques

6.6.1. Key Adopted Behaviour Change Techniques

The behaviour change techniques in the interventions are summarized in Table 6. The findings of this review suggest that behavioural change techniques coded according to the Michie and Abraham criteria [15,16] were widely adopted across the reviewed studies. Findings from a recent systematic review on web-based interventions by Michie and Abraham [50] found that interventions were more effective if they adopted several behavioural change techniques relative to studies utilizing less techniques (*p*-value < 0.01). Although widespread use of BCTs appears to be positively associated with weight loss by mobile devices, this relationship does not appear to be linear across the studies. The study by Brindall *et al.* [36] adopted the most behavioural change techniques out of the studies (N = 12), and did not find significant differences in weight between the control and intervention groups.

The most commonly adopted and universally observed techniques were goal setting with self-monitoring and subsequent feedback, highlighting their potential importance for mobile device behavioural weight loss interventions. All of the studies also provided some form of basic health information to study participants. However, the depth of health education was variable suggesting the need for more research examining the level of health education required to achieve knowledge translation and subsequent behavioural change promoting weight loss. The techniques setting graded tasks and prompting barrier identification were also used in many studies. It appears that step wise behavioural weight loss change and individually tailored messages in accordance with barriers may be effective techniques as positive findings were found throughout the studies which adopted them. Prompting practice and provision of encouragement were also very common behavioural change techniques, most often employed through the use of mobile phone text messaging to stimulate behavioural change in dietary and physical activity behaviours. It is difficult to discern which techniques had the most significant effect on weight as several control groups also utilized different combinations of these techniques. Nonetheless, the results of this review are in agreement with a recent systematic review on BCTs for physical activity, which found that self-monitoring and prompting practice were widely used and found that these techniques may be implemented into the successful design of physical activity interventions [26]. In addition to this, supplementary information obtained from the authors of study [37] indicates that the BCT social support was integral to the intervention, less adherent subjects to the Move sessions where social support was provided lost less weight.

Behaviour Change Technique	Haapala <i>et al.</i> [30]	Prestwich <i>et al.</i> [39]	Patrick <i>et al.</i> [32]	Turner- Mcgrievy <i>et al.</i> 2009 [35]	Turner Mcgrievy <i>et al.</i> 2011 [34]	Napolitano <i>et al.</i> 2013 [40]	Brindal <i>et al.</i> [36]	Shapiro <i>et al.</i> [33]	Carter <i>et al</i> .[39]	Hurling <i>et al.</i> [31]	Burke <i>et al</i> [42]	Spring <i>et al.</i> [37]	Author
Provide General													
Information on													
Behaviour Health	✓	✓	✓	✓		\checkmark	~	✓		✓			
Link or Health													
Education													
Provide Information		+											
on Consequences													
Provide Information													
about other's													
'Approval													
Prompt Intention		1	1			1	<u>`</u>			1			
Formation			•				-			-			
Prompt Barrier			1			1	×	1					
Identification													
Provide General			1			1	×	1	1	1			
Encouragement								-					
Provide Instruction			1		1		~				~		
or tips													
Graded tasks						✓		✓			✓	✓	✓
Model/ Demonstrate				✓	✓								
the Behaviour				-									
Prompt Specific	1	1	1	1	1	1	<u>`</u>	1	1	1	1	1	1
Goal Setting	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·		•
Prompt Review of							<u> </u>						
Behavioural Goals							-						
Techniques	Haapala	Prestwich	Patrick	Turner- Mcgrievy1	Turner- Mcgrievy-2	Napolitano	Brindal	Shapiro	Carter	Hurling	Burke		Spring
Prompt Self-													
Monitoring of	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	~	✓	✓	\checkmark
Behaviour													

Table 6. Application of Abraham and Michie et al. (2007) 26 Item Coding Manual for Behaviour Change Techniques [15,16].

Behaviour Change Technique	Haapala <i>et al</i> . [30]	Prestwich <i>et al.</i> [39]	Patrick <i>et al.</i> [32]	Turner- Mcgrievy <i>et al.</i> 2009 [35]	Turner Mcgrievy <i>et al.</i> 2011 [34]	Napolitano <i>et al.</i> 2013 [40]	Brindal <i>et al.</i> [36]	Shapiro <i>et al.</i> [33]	Carter <i>et al.</i> [39]	Hurling <i>et al.</i> [31]	Burke <i>et al</i> [42]	Spring <i>et al.</i> [37]	Author
Provide Feedback on Performance	~	~	~			\checkmark	~	\checkmark	\checkmark	~	√		\checkmark
Provide Contingent Rewards							√						
Teach to use Prompt Cues													
Agree Behavioural Contract		~											
Prompt Practice	✓	√	~			✓	✓	~	✓	✓			
Use of Follow-up Prompts			~										
Provide Opportunity for Social Comparison				~	~	✓							
Plan Social Support/Social Change					✓	\checkmark			✓	~			
Prompt Identification as a Role Model/Position													
Prompt Self Talk							✓						
Relapse Prevention						✓							
Stress Management						~							
Time Management													

Whilst all studies provided general health information, none of the studies provided information on the consequences of behaviour and it may be of research interest to determine if this additional technique may be useful. Furthermore, none of the studies adopted techniques to manage time and this may be a useful technique to consider for future interventions. Research suggests that often time management is a key barrier towards eating healthy and engaging in physical activity [51]. In addition to this, stress management and relapse prevention were only employed in a single study. It may be of research interest to determine whether these techniques may be useful for behavioural weight loss interventions.

6.6.2. Diverse Media of BCT Delivery

The results of this review also suggest that the media through which BCTs were delivered varied significantly, suggesting that diverse media may be utilized to successfully deliver BCTs. These included various mobile device media as well as diverse electronic input and output functions associated with these media. They also included combinations of human contact with electronic media such as human BCT delivery through indirect as well as direct face-to-face sessions. For example, the use of social support through diverse electronic media such as Facebook, online groups, and in person group sessions suggests that this technique may be delivered by multiple mobile electronic modalities. Another example would be the use of modelling behaviour both through opera podcasts using mass media health promotion and through opportunities for behaviour modelling in group sessions.

6.7. Connection of Behavioural Change Techniques with Theory

Although all of the techniques underpin the health psychology theories described earlier, some studies utilized combinations of BCTs associated with a mix of various theories according to criteria in Michie and Abraham [52]. Often techniques were adopted that were associated with theory which implicitly informed the intervention such as elements of control theory [11].

This review also found that while intervention techniques illustrative of a theory were adopted, not all possible techniques associated with a given theory were utilized according to the Michie and Abraham list of possible techniques per theory [52].

Figure 5 adapted from information on theory coding and BCT linkage in Michie and Abraham [52] and applied to these findings, summarizes patterns of theory and BCT connections in this review. A given theory may have several behavioural change techniques as represented by the alpha numerical characters representing techniques A, B, and C. Not all interventions have adopted all techniques associated with a given theory as found in this review. Several theories can be applied to an intervention as found in this review, represented by A, B, and C. The use of theory found in this review may also be implicit or explicit. Several theories with select techniques may be adopted by an intervention, represented by the input function. The techniques then target the given behaviours such as physical activity and diet, with the output function of weight loss. For instance, social cognitive theory has elements of provision of instruction, general encouragement, barrier identification, and modelling of behaviour [52]. For example, an intervention may utilize only prompting encouragement from social cognitive theory, without other BCTs such as modelling of behaviour, and combine it with goal setting, self-monitoring, and feedback from control theory, which may or may not be explicitly mentioned.



Figure 5. BCT and Theory Connection in Reviewed Trials.

7. Summary of Discussions Part A and B

7.1. Synopsis

As a review of the two discussion sections, a synopsis of this work will be recapitulated. The findings of this thesis are that mobile devices induce both direct and indirect positive changes in weight. They induce direct weight loss by reducing weight measured in kg, body mass index, waist circumference, and body fat percentage compared to baseline levels. They also induce more weight loss when compared with control groups. The pooled effect size in the meta-analysis indicates an overall medium significant effect of mobile devices on mean weight loss.

Throughout this systematic review, weight loss favouring mobile devices was found in most studies comparing subjects utilizing mobile devices for weight loss with standard controls. Weight loss favouring mobile devices interventions was also found in most studies comparing mobile device intervention groups with controls receiving diverse non-mobile weight loss interventions including in person face to face sessions, web-based interventions, and paper based self-monitoring interventions.

Mobile devices also influence weight indirectly by improving the behavioural determinants of obesity and overweight. Improvements in indirect indicators of weight loss were represented by increased levels of moderate to vigorous physical activity and improvements in dietary intake when compared with baseline scores and when compared with control groups.

Theory appears to play an important role in mobile device interventions as most interventions were either directly or indirectly informed by implicit elements of theory. Behaviour change techniques appear to play an important role in mobile device interventions as all interventions had a minimum of five techniques, but not all techniques illustrative of a theory were utilized. The most common techniques found were prompting encouragement, providing social support, prompting practice, and providing health information. Improvements in hypothesized predictors along the causal pathway were found for positive affect, elaboration, intentions, and self-control to lose weight post-intervention. This indicates that interventions informed by a theoretical base successfully targeted the theoretical construct hypothesized to stimulate changes in the behavioural determinants of obesity, leading to weight loss.

7.2. Strengths and Limitations

7.2.1. Strengths

This review has a number of strengths. It provides updated data on weight loss to the early mobile device systematic review [24] by incorporating findings from the most modern devices such as smartphones and iPods. It further provides information on changes in the behavioural determinants of weight loss including diet and physical activity. Second, this is the first meta-analysis on mobile devices and weight loss. Third, this is the first review to utilize BCT coding and theory analysis for weight loss by mobile device.

7.2.2. Limitations

There are several limitations to this review. Although the meta-analysis found a moderate effect size that was statistically significant, the results should be interpreted with caution due to the small number of studies and potential of publication bias. Furthermore, one intervention could not be added to the analysis. However, it is unlikely that it would have changed the direction of the effect size as it had positive findings. Additionally, many of the studies were of short duration, making it unclear if weight loss is sustained in the long-term. Whilst the clinically significant threshold for weight loss was not always met across the studies, there is a possibility that studies of longer duration may find clinically significant weight loss. More research is needed to determine this.

Many of the studies were of moderate quality. Concerns throughout this review were raised of potential biases notably detection and performance biases. With respect to the latter, the nature of mobile devices makes subject blinding difficult. Additionally, a few studies did not report whether allocation was concealed, giving rise to concerns of possible selection bias. Furthermore, not all studies reported intervention adherence. A few of the studies were pilot studies, without sample size and power calculations to detect meaningful differences when accounting for attrition. The limitations found in this review are common to the limitations found in similar systematic reviews on mobile device types and weight loss [23–25].

Another potential limitation of this review is that data were extracted by one reviewer and optimally, there should be more than a single reviewer. It is unlikely that BCT coding would have been affected by one coder as research suggests that the level of agreement between reviewers is high, with an average agreement of 93% [15]. The only exception pertains to the BCT prompting encouragement, which was found to have a kappa of 0.66, indicating 66% agreement between coders [15].

7.3. Future Directions

There is a need for interventions of longer duration to determine if weight loss is sustained in the long-term and to determine if more interventions meet the clinically significant 5% weight loss threshold. There is also a need for more interventions with a low risk of bias by meeting several key domains in the Cochrane handbook, notably outcome assessor blinding, clearly described methods of randomization, and reported allocation concealment. It is anticipated that with several protocols underway and emerging research in this area, future interventions will improve in these areas, increasing the robustness of the evidence base.

Several research questions have also been raised throughout this review described earlier and may be considered as follow-up research topics.

8. Conclusions

In summary, this review had two objectives, with the main primary central aim to determine whether mobile weight loss interventions induce weight loss and stimulate positive changes in weight related behaviours including diet and physical activity. The primary research question was:

Do mobile devices induce weight loss and favourable changes in diet and physical activity when compared to baseline weight and scores? Do they induce weight loss when compared with standard controls receiving no intervention and or when compared with controls receiving non-mobile weight loss interventions?

8.1. Primary Central Research Objective

In order to answer this question, a systematic review and meta-analysis were undertaken. First, this review found that weight loss interventions by a mobile device medium induce weight loss. The meta-analysis results favoured mobile device interventions for weight loss. The meta-analysis found an overall medium effect size of 0.430 (95% CI = 0.252–0.609) (*p*-value \leq 0.01). Using the tabular conversion to percentage of overlap in [47], an effect size of 0.40 indicates that 66% of the control group would have a mean weight loss value below the average weight loss in the intervention group. Inferences that may be drawn from the meta-analysis are that overall, the pooled significant medium effect size favours mobile device intervention groups. Throughout this review, weight loss favouring mobile devices was found when comparing baseline weight with post-intervention weight as well as when comparing the amount of weight loss between intervention and control groups. The intervention groups were compared with varying controls including standard no treatment controls as well as controls receiving diverse non-mobile device weight loss interventions, indicating that mobile devices may be induce more favourable weight loss when compared with these groups. However, the interpretation of the overall weight loss has been warranted to be interpreted with caution due to the possibility of some publication bias. Nonetheless, the imputed effect size in the absence of publication bias indicates that the overall effect size, while smaller, would likely still be away from the null, favouring mobile interventions for weight loss.

Second, this review also found that diverse mobile devices induce positive reductions in waist circumference, BMI, and body fat percentage relative to baseline measures. Reductions in these

indicators were also found when comparing mobile device intervention groups with both standard controls not receiving any treatment as well as diverse controls receiving different non-mobile weight loss interventions.

Third, this review found that mobile devices induce positive changes in weight related behaviours. These included increases in moderate to vigorous physical activity and improvements in dietary intake. Dietary intake improved when compared with baseline intake scores and when compared with controls. Physical activity levels also improved when compared with baseline physical activity levels and when compared with controls. Improvements were found for dietary intake of fruit and vegetables with the exception of study [43]. Improvements were also found in sugar intake levels and energy dense food consumptions scores.

The secondary aim of this thesis was to gain a greater understanding of the key theories and behavioural change techniques involved in informing mobile weight loss interventions. The secondary research question was:

What health psychology theories and psychological behaviour change techniques inform mobile device weight loss intervention design and are theoretical constructs along the causal pathway leading to weight loss improved post-intervention?

8.2. Secondary Research Objective

In order to answer this question, a systematic review with a theoretical analysis of coded data was undertaken.

First, this review found that the use of health psychology theory is widely adopted across the studies and may have an important role in the success of weight loss interventions. Most interventions were informed directly by an explicit theory or indirectly by implicit elements of a theory. The most common theories adopted across the studies included Social Cognitive Theory, Implementation Intentions Theory, Elaboration Likelihood Theory, Goal Theory, and Control Theory.

Second, this review also found that several behaviour change techniques derived from one theory or mixes of several theories were used. However, not all techniques illustrative of a theory were used. The behavioural change techniques adopted across the studies in descending order from the most common included goal setting, self-monitoring, feedback, prompting practice, providing general encouragement, providing social support, prompting barrier identification, providing instruction, providing opportunities for social comparison, relapse prevention, and stress management. The wide use of BCT's indicates that they may play an important role in mobile device weight loss interventions.

Third, improvements in constructs targeted by the interventions informed by a theoretical base were found. These included improvements in user control, positive affect, elaboration, and intentions when comparing baseline to post-intervention measures, suggesting that the interventions successfully targeted the predictor of primary interest.

Both the primary and secondary research questions were answered throughout this work. The combined results of both research questions have led to two central arguments and subcategory arguments of this work.

1 . . . 1, 1

(1) This work has argued throughout that mobile devices induce positive changes in weight loss both directly and indirectly. They induce weight loss directly through reductions in weight in kg, body mass index, body fat percentage, and waist circumference. This was found in interventions comparing mobile devices with standard controls and controls receiving diverse non-mobile weight loss interventions. They also induce weight loss indirectly by improving the behavioural determinants associated with obesity including diet and physical activity; (2) Theory and behavioural change techniques appear to play an important role in mobile weight loss interventions, but not all possible techniques illustrative of a theory were utilized throughout the interventions. Theory informed interventions successfully led to improvements in most cognitive predictors along the causal pathway which are hypothesized to lead to improvements in the behavioural determinants associated with weight loss.

Drawing on a larger public health perspective, tackling the obesity and overweight pandemic requires efforts on the part of multiple sectors of society including the social, economic, political, and environmental dimensions [53]. The Dahlgreen and Whitehead (1991) [54] health promotion sphere may be applied to obesity and overweight. Whilst internal layers of the sphere such as age, sex, and genetics are non-modifiable, tackling external layers of the sphere in the form of lifestyle, policy including food taxation [55], equity in income distribution [54], green environmental space [56], and a sustainable agricultural environment [54] are all integral to reducing overweight and obesity. Weight loss by mobile devices rests within the lifestyle sphere of this model which may further be categorized into reflective process, requiring individual conscious choice and in tandem with automatic processes, which are non-conscious and require altering choice architecture [57]. Mobile weight loss interventions tap on reflective processes by stimulating behaviour change through informed choices and self-monitoring. They hold some potential as mediums for behaviour change both through their widespread population use and complexity of features that allow for the integration of numerous theoretical constructs and behaviour change techniques, particularly self-monitoring with timely feedback as demonstrated in this review. Under the conditional that emerging improved interventions with longer duration and improved methodology will demonstrate meaningful and sustained weight loss, then these interventions may be considered as part of the public health efforts in the health promotion sphere. They may hold promise as singular interventions for weight loss as well as integrated interventions as part of the broader varying efforts and strategies on the continuum described above which target the obesity and overweight conundrum.

Acknowledgements

I'd like to thank the study authors for providing supplementary information on the studies.

Conflicts of Interest

The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. The World Health Organization. Global Health Observatory. Obesity situation and trends. Available online: http://www.who.int/gho/ncd/risk_factors/obesity_text/en/ (accessed on 20 June 2013).
- 2. Kelly, T.; Yang, W.; Chen, C.S.; Reynolds, K.; He, J. Global burden of obesity in 2005 and projections to 2030. *Int. J. Obes.* **2008**, *32*, 1431–1437.
- 3. The Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* **2012**, *380*, 9589. Available online : http://www.thelancet.com/themed/global-burden-of-disease (accessed on 10 January 2013)
- The World Health Organization. Promoting fruit and vegetable consumption around the world. 2013. Available online: http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/diet/en/index.html (accessed on 20 June 2013).
- 5. The World Health Organization. Physical activity. 2013. Available online: http://www.who.int/ dietphysicalactivity/pa/en/index.html (accessed on 20 June 2012).
- 6. Withrow, D.; Alter, D.A. The economic burden of obesity worldwide: A systematic review of the direct costs of obesity. *Obes. Rev.* **2011**, *12*, 131–141.
- Vadon, R. Cost of obesity overestimated. BBC news. 2007. Available online: http://news.bbc.co.uk/ 1/hi/health/7106219.stm (accessed on 25 June 2013).
- 8. The World Health Organization. Global strategy on diet, physical activity, and health. 2004. Available online: http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/en/ (accessed on 20 June 2013).
- The World Health Organization. Global recommendations on physical activity for health. 2004. Available online: http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/publications/9789241599979/en/index.html (accessed on 20 June 2013).
- 10. Food Standards Agency. FSA nutrient and food based guidelines for UK institutions. Available online: http://www.food.gov.uk/ (accessed 20 June 2013).
- 11. Webb, T.L.; Sniehotta, F.F.; Michie, S. Using theories of behaviour change to inform Interventions for addictive behaviours. *Addiction* **2010**, *105*, 1879–1892.
- Volkow, N.D.; Wise, R.A. How can drug addiction help us understand obesity? *Nat. Neurosci.* 2005, *8*, 555–560.
- 13. Tones, K.; Green, J. Mass Communication and Community Action. In *Health Promotion: Planning and Strategies*, 1st ed.; SAGE Publications Ltd: London, UK, 2004; pp. 240–268.
- 14. Zimmerman, G.L.; Olsen, C.G.; Bosworth, M.S. Stages of change approach to helping patients change behaviour. *Am. Fam. Physician* **2000**, *61*, 1409–1416.
- Michie, S.; Richardson, M.; Johnston, M.; Abraham, C.; Francis, J.; Hardeman, W. The behaviour change technique taxonomy (v1) of 93 hierarchically clustered techniques: Building on international consensus for the reporting of behaviour change interventions. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 2013, 46, 81–95.
- 16. Abraham, C.; Michie, S. A taxonomy of behaviour change techniques used in interventions. *Health Psychol.* **2007**, *27*, 379–387.
- 17. Burke, L.; Wang, J.; Sevick, M.A. Self-monitoring in weight loss: A systematic review of the literature. *J. Am. Diet Assoc.* **2011**, *111*, 92–102.
- 18. Michie, S.; Abraham, C.; Whittington, C.; Mcateer, J. Effective techniques in healthy eating and physical activity interventions: A meta-regression. *Health Psychol.* **2009**, *28*, 690–701.

- 19. Coons, M.; Roehrig, M.; Spring, B. The potential of virtual reality technologies to improve adherence to weight loss behaviours. J. Diabetes Sci. Technol. 2002, 5, 340–344.
- 20. Lefebre, C. Integrating cell phone and mobile technologies into public health practice a social marketing perspective. *Health Promot. Pract.* **2009**, *10*, 490–494.
- 21. ITU World Telecommunications. The world in 2010. The rise of 3G. 2010. Available online: http://www.itu.int/ITU-D/ict/material/FactsFigures2010.pdf (accessed on 2 June 2013).
- 22. Whittaker, R.; Mcrobie, H.; Bullen, C.; Borland, R.; Rodgers, A.; Gu, Y. Mobile-based interventions for smoking cessation. *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.* **2012**, doi:10.1002/14651858.CD006611.pub3.
- 23. Shaw, R.; Bosworth, H. Short message service (SMS) text messaging as an intervention medium for weight loss: A literature review. *Health Inform. J.* **2012**, *18*, 235–250.
- 24. Fanning, J.; Mullen, S.P.; McAuley, F. Increasing physical activity with mobile devices: A meta-analysis. *J. Med. Internet Res.* **2012**, *14*, e161.
- Bacigalupo, R.; Cudd, P.; Littlewood, P.C.; Bissell, M.S.; Hawley, S.; Buckley Woods, H. Interventions employing mobile technology for overweight and obesity: An early systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Obes. Rev.* 2012, *14*, 279–291.
- Bird, E.L.; Olgivie, D.; Powell, J.; Baker, G.; Mutrie, N.; Sahlqvist, S. Behaviour change techniques used to promote walking and cycling: A systematic review. *Health Psychol.* 2013, *32*, 829–838.
- 27. Michie, S.; Prestwich, A. Are interventions theory-based? Development of a theory coding scheme. *Health Psychol.* **2010**, *29*, 1–8.
- 28. Higgins, R.; Altman, D.G.; Gotzsche, P.C.; Juni, P.; Moher, D. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomized trials. *Br. Med. J.* **2011**, *343*, d5928.
- Higgins, J.P.T., Green, S., Eds.; Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2011. Available online: http://www.cochranehandbook.org (accessed on 10 May 2013).
- Haapala, I.; Barengo, N.; Biggs, S.; Surakka, L.; Manninen, P. Weight loss by mobile phone: A 1-year effectiveness study. *Public Health Nutr.* 2009, *12*, 2382–2391.
- Hurling, R.; Catt, M.; de Boni, M.; Fairley, B.W.; Hurst, T.; Murray, P.; Richardson, A.; Sodhi, J.S. Using internet and mobile phone technology to deliver an automated physical activity program: Randomized controlled trial. *J. Med. Res.* 2007, *9*, e7.
- Patrick, K.; Raab, F.; Adams, M.A.; Dillon, A.; Zabinski, M.; Rock, C.L.; Griswold, W.G.; Norman, G. A text-based intervention for weight loss. A randomized controlled trial. *J. Med. Internet Res.* 2009, 11, e1.
- Shapiro, J.R.; Koro, T.; Doran, N.; Thompson, S.; Sallis, F.J.; Calfas, K.; Patrick, K. Text4diet: A randomized controlled study using text messaging for weight loss behaviours. *Preventive Med.* 2012, 55, 412–417.
- Turner-McGrievy, G.; Tate, D. Tweets apps and pods: Results of the 6-month mobile pounds off digitally (mobile pod) randomized weight-loss intervention among adults. *J. Med. Internet Res.* 2011, 13, e120.
- 35. Turner-McGrievy, G.; Campbell, M.K.; Crosby, L. Pounds off Digitally: A randomized Podcasting weight loss intervention. *Am. J. Preventive Med.* **2009**, *37*, 283–289.
- 36. Brindall, E.; Hendrie, G.; Freyne, J.; Coombe, M.; Berkovsky, S.; Noakes, M. Design and pilot results of a mobile phone weight-loss application for women starting a meal replacement programme. *J. Telemed. Telecare* **2013**, *19*, 166–174.
- Spring, B.; Duncan, J.; Janke, A.; Kozak, A.T.; Mcfadden, G.; DeMott, A.; Pictor, A.; Epstein, L.; Siddique, J.; Pellegrini, C.; *et al.* Integrating technology into standard weight loss treatment: A randomized controlled trial. *JAMA Internal Med.* **2013**, *173*, 105–111.
- Carter, M.C.; Burley, V.J.; Nykjaer, C.; Cade, J.E. Adherence to a smartphone application for weight loss compared to website and paper diary: Pilot randomized controlled trial. *Med. Internet Res.* 2013, 15, e32.
- Prestwich, A.; Perugini, M.; Hurling, R. Can implementation intentions and text messages promote brisk walking? A randomized trial. *Health Psychol.* 2010, 29, 40–49.
- 40. Napolitano, M.A.; Hayes, S.; Bennette, G.G.; Ives, A.K.; Foster, G.D. Using Facebook and text messaging to deliver a weight loss program to college students. *Obesity* **2013**, *21*, 25–31.
- 41. Burke, L.; Styn, M.A.; Sereika, S.M.; Conroy, M.B.; Ye, L.; Glanz, K.; Sevick, M.A.; Ewing, L.J. Using mHealth technology to enhance self-monitoring for weight loss a randomized Controlled trial. *Am. J. Preventive Med.* **2012**, *43*, 20–26.
- Burke, L.E.; Conroy, M.B.; Sereika, S.; Elci, O.U.; Styn, M.A.; Archaya, S.D.; Sevick, M.A.; Ewing, L.J.; Glanz, K. The effects of electronic self-monitoring on weight loss and dietary intake: A randomized behavioural weight loss trial. *Obesity* 2011, *19*, 338–344.
- 43. Norman, G.J.; Kolodziejczyk, J.K.; Adams, M.A.; Patrick, K.; Marshall, S.J. Fruit and vegetable intake and eating behaviours mediate the effect of a randomized text-message based weight loss program. *Preventive Med.* **2013**, *56*, 3–7.
- Turner-McGrievy, G.; Beets, M.W.; Moore, J.B.; Kaczynski, A.T.; Barr-Anderson, D.J.; Tate, D.F. Comparison of traditional *versus* mobile app self-monitoring of dietary intake and physical activity among overweight and obese adults participating in the mhealth weight loss program. *Am. J. Med. Inform. Assoc.* 2013, 20, 513–518.
- 45. Archaya, S.D.; Elci, O.U.; Sereika, S.M.; Styn, M.A.; Burke, L.E. Using a personal digital assistant for self-monitoring influences diet quality in comparison to a standard paper record among overweight and obese adults. *J. Am. Diet Assoc.* **2011**, *11*, 583–588.
- Conroy, M.B.; Yang, K.; Elci, O.U.; Gabrielle, K.P.; Styn, M.A.; Wang, J.; Kriska, A.M.; Sereika, S.M.; Burke LE. Physical activity self-monitoring and weight loss: 6 month results of the SMART trial. *Med. Sci. Exerc.* 2011, 43, 1568–1574.
- 47. McGough, J.M.; Faraone, S. Estimating the size of treatment effects. Moving beyond *p* values. *Psychiatry* **2009**, *6*, 21–29.
- 48. Michie, S.; Prestwich, A.; de Bruin, M. Importance of the nature of the comparison conditions for testing theory-based interventions: Reply. *Health Psychol.* **2013**, *29*, 468–470.
- 49. Turner-McGrievy, G.; Kalyanaram, S.; Campbell, K. Delivering health information via podcast or web: Media effects on psychosocial and physiological respnses. *Health Commun.* **2013**, *28*, 101–109.
- 50. Webb, T.L.; Joseph, J.; Yardley, L.; Michie, S. Using the internet to promote health behaviour change: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of theoretical basis, use of behaviour change techniques, and mode of delivery on efficacy. *J. Med. Internet Res.* **2010**, *17*, e4.

- 51. Emedicine. Barriers to Healthy Eating. 2013. Available online: http://www.emedicinehealth.com/ healthy_eating-health/page5_em.htm (accessed on 1 July 2013).
- 52. Abraham, C.; Michie, S.; Whittington, C.; McAteer, J. Specifying self-regulation intervention techniques in the context of healthy eating. *Int. J. Psychol.* **2008**, *43*, S575.
- 53. Lyzwinski, L.N. An examination of obesity and eating disorder prevention programmes in schools. *Educ. Health* **2013**, *31*, 12–16.
- 54. Dalhgren, M.; Whitehead, M. developing the policy response to inequities in health. A global perspective. In *Challenging Inequities in Healthcare: From Ethics to Action*; Oxford University Press: New York, NY, USA, 2001; pp. 302–309.
- 55. Adams, S. Tax unhealthy foods or else half will be obese by 2030. Available online: http://www.telegraph.co.uk/health/8722709/Tax-unhealthy-foods-or-half-will-be-obese-by-2030.html/ (accessed on 21 June 2013).
- 56. Public Health England. Parks and green spaces. 2013. Available online: http://www.noo.org.uk/ LA/tackling/greenspace (accessed on 6 July 2013).
- 57. Marteau, T.; Olgivie, D.; Roland, M.; Suhrcke, M.; Kelly, M.P. Judging nudging: Can nudging improve population health? *Br. Med. J.* **2011**, *342*, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d228.

Appendix

Table A1. Summary of CINAHL Search via EbscoHost.

PICO Definition
Population–Humans > 18 years of age overweight or obese
Intervention–Mobile Devices
Control-standard treatment or no intervention
Outcome–Weight Loss (Kg) or (lbs.)
Study Design–Randomized Controlled Trial
Search Options:
Limiters-Linked Full Text; References Available; Scholarly (Peer Reviewed) Journals;
Population Group: Human; Publication Type: Peer Reviewed Journal; English; Language:
English; Population Group: Human; Document Type: Journal Article; Publication Type:
Academic Journal; Document Type: Article; Language: English
Narrow by Subject Age : adulthood (18 years and older)
Search modes:
Boolean phrase
Device:
1. Mobile Phone OR smartphone OR cellular phone AND Mobile device (N = 1851) without limiters
With age limit 13–17 and 18+ and full text with reference limiters above in search options ($N = 141$)
2. Text message* OR short message service OR SMS (N = 1131)
With limiters $N = (107)$
3. PDA OR Personal Digital Assistant OR palmtop (N = 947)
With limiters specified above $(N = 81)$
Outcome/Targets:
4. Weight loss OR weight control or weight reduction ($N = 8474$)

```
With limiters (N = 814)
   5. Obesity OR overweight (N = 17,554)
       With limiters (N = 1256)
   6. 1 and 5 (N = 6300)
       With limiters (N = 703)
   7. 1 and 4 and 5 (N = 9657)
       With limiters (N = 753)
       All terms with limiters
   8. 2 and 4 (N = 641)
   9. 2 and 5 (N = 584)
   10. 2 and 4 and 4 (N = 724)
   11. 1 and 2 and 5 (N = 1145)
   12. 1 and 2 and 4 and 5 (N = 731)
   13. 3 and 4 (N = 635)
   14. 3 and 4 and 5 (N = 1002)
   15. 1 and 2 and 3 and 4 (N = 683)
Search Terminology:
Full Large Search String (1 and 2 and 3 and 4 and 5)
mobile phone OR smartphone OR cellular phone AND text message* OR short message service
OR SMS OR mobile device AND PDA OR personal digital assistant OR palmtop AND Weight
loss OR weight control OR weight reduction AND obesity OR overweight
Search Options:
Limiters-Linked Full Text; References Available; Scholarly (Peer Reviewed) Journals;
Population Group: Human; Publication Type: Peer Reviewed Journal; English; Language:
English; Population Group: Human; Document Type: Journal Article; Publication Type:
Academic Journal; Document Type: Article; Language: English
Narrow by Subject Age 0: adulthood (18 years and older)
Search modes :
Boolean/Phrase
Results (N = 1162)
Databases searched:
PsychInfo (N = 1126)
PyschArticles (N = 456)
Library Information Science and Technology Abstracts (N = 57)
```

© 2014 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).