diagnostics

MDPI

Article

Association of Thigh Muscle Strength with Texture Features Based on Proton Density Fat Fraction Maps Derived from Chemical Shift Encoding-Based Water–Fat MRI

Michael Dieckmeyer [1,*], Stephanie Inhuber [2], Sarah Schläger [1], Dominik Weidlich [3], Muthu R. K. Mookiah [4], Karupppasamy Subburaj [5], Egon Burian [1,3], Nico Sollmann [1,6,7], Jan S. Kirschke [1,6], Dimitrios C. Karampinos [3] and Thomas Baum [1]

[1] Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Neuroradiology, School of Medicine, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technical University of Munich, Ismaninger Str. 22, 81675 Munich, Germany; sarah.schlaeger@tum.de (S.S.); egon.burian@tum.de (E.B.); nico.sollmann@tum.de (N.S.); jan.kirschke@tum.de (J.S.K.); thomas.baum@tum.de (T.B.)

[2] Department of Sport and Health Sciences, Technical University of Munich, Georg-Brauchle-Ring 60, 80992 Munich, Germany; stephanie.inhuber@tum.de

[3] Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, School of Medicine, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technical University of Munich, Ismaninger Str. 22, 81675 Munich, Germany; dominik.weidlich@tum.de (D.W.); dimitrios.karampinos@tum.de (D.C.K.)

[4] VAMPIRE Project, Computing (SSEN), University of Dundee, Nethergate, Dundee DD1 4HN, UK; mrk2k2@gmail.com

[5] Pillar of Engineering Product Development, Singapore University of Technology and Design, 8 Somapah Road, 487372 Singapore; subburaj@sutd.edu.sg

[6] TUM-Neuroimaging Center, Klinikum rechts der Isar, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Ismaninger Str. 22, 81675 Munich, Germany

[7] Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, University Hospital Ulm, Albert-Einstein-Allee 23, 89081 Ulm, Germany

* Correspondence: michael.dieckmeyer@tum.de; Tel.: +49-89-4140-4561; Fax: +49-89-4140-4563

Citation: Dieckmeyer, M.; Inhuber, S.; Schläeger, S.; Weidlich, D.; Mookiah, M.R.K.; Subburaj, K.; Burian, E.; Sollmann, N.; Kirschke, J.S.; Karampinos, D.C.; et al. Association of Thigh Muscle Strength with Texture Features Based on Proton Density Fat Fraction Maps Derived from Chemical Shift Encoding-Based Water–Fat MRI. *Diagnostics* **2021**, *11*, 302. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics11020302

Academic Editor: Sven Nebelung

Received: 22 January 2021
Accepted: 11 February 2021
Published: 13 February 2021

Table S1. Mean and standard deviation (SD) of proton density fat fraction (PDFF), analyzed texture features, and measured MVIC, separately for knee extensors (quadriceps) (EXT) and knee flexors (ischiocrural muscles) (FLEX) and grouped by sex (male, n = 15; female, n = 15). Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) between males and females are marked in bold.

Parameter	Male		Female		*p*
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Age [years]	30.5	4.9	29.9	7.0	0.789
BMI [kg/m²]	27.9	3.1	26.4	1.8	0.113
PDFF$_{EXT, left}$	3.46	1.51	3.15	1.28	0.084
PDFF$_{EXT, right}$	2.48	1.68	2.31	1.17	0.057
PDFF$_{FLEX, left}$	3.44	1.64	4.53	1.71	0.544
PDFF$_{FLEX, right}$	3.16	1.78	4.71	2.43	0.750
Variance(global)$_{EXT, left}$	336.34	45.26	284.61	36.74	0.002
Variance(global)$_{EXT, right}$	339.57	44.02	289.64	31.94	0.001
Skewness(global)$_{EXT, left}$	-0.77472	0.79763	-0.60771	0.74569	0.558
Skewness(global)$_{EXT, right}$	-0.77117	0.78302	-0.61101	0.73123	0.567
Kurtosis(global)$_{EXT, left}$	6.1126	1.6234	6.0131	1.2137	0.851
Kurtosis(global)$_{EXT, right}$	5.7449	1.5898	5.6851	1.0074	0.903
Energy$_{EXT, left}$	0.00233	0.00164	0.00216	0.00062	0.707
Energy$_{EXT, right}$	0.00180	0.00151	0.00152	0.00037	0.491
Contrast$_{EXT, left}$	139.39	43.17	132.65	25.07	0.605
Contrast$_{EXT, right}$	142.54	38.61	148.33	23.87	0.625
Entropy$_{EXT, left}$	10.197	0.530	10.185	0.337	0.941
Entropy$_{EXT, right}$	10.436	0.598	10.524	0.269	0.610
Homogeneity$_{EXT, left}$	0.32457	0.03967	0.31982	0.02384	0.694
Homogeneity$_{EXT, right}$	0.29668	0.04234	0.29194	0.01801	0.693
Correlation$_{EXT, left}$	0.66785	0.03279	0.66556	0.03663	0.858
Correlation$_{EXT, right}$	0.67012	0.03517	0.67093	0.03399	0.950
SumAverage$_{EXT, left}$	0.00237	0.00030	0.00223	0.00028	0.194
SumAverage$_{EXT, right}$	0.00237	0.00036	0.00227	0.00021	0.376
Variance$_{EXT, left}$	0.00520	0.00137	0.00498	0.00085	0.607
Variance$_{EXT, right}$	0.00536	0.00130	0.00565	0.00081	0.462
Dissimilarity$_{EXT, left}$	6.3276	0.9477	6.3293	0.6011	0.996
Dissimilarity$_{EXT, right}$	6.7383	1.0788	6.9069	0.5666	0.596
Variance(global)$_{FLEX, left}$	151.20	25.97	131.30	23.86	0.037
Variance(global)$_{FLEX, right}$	152.61	24.38	131.73	23.91	0.025
Skewness(global)$_{FLEX, left}$	-0.72612	0.79944	-0.04485	0.72210	0.021
Skewness(global)$_{FLEX, right}$	-0.53888	0.68579	0.05623	0.89315	0.049
Kurtosis(global)$_{FLEX, left}$	4.7946	2.3472	3.9210	1.2507	0.214
Kurtosis(global)$_{FLEX, right}$	4.3439	1.9087	3.9012	1.4120	0.476
Energy$_{FLEX, left}$	0.00111	0.00037	0.00109	0.00040	0.837
Energy$_{FLEX, right}$	0.00120	0.00046	0.00107	0.00021	0.340
Contrast$_{FLEX, left}$	213.62	42.24	194.74	30.04	0.169
Contrast$_{FLEX, right}$	201.45	29.13	184.90	26.03	0.112
Entropy$_{FLEX, left}$	11.000	0.384	11.073	0.351	0.591
Entropy$_{FLEX, right}$	10.963	0.458	11.055	0.264	0.505
Homogeneity$_{FLEX, left}$	0.26793	0.02528	0.26039	0.02216	0.393
Homogeneity$_{FLEX, right}$	0.27107	0.02961	0.26380	0.01326	0.393
Correlation$_{FLEX, left}$	0.67865	0.04887	0.69873	0.03854	0.222
Correlation$_{FLEX, right}$	0.68513	0.04441	0.71334	0.05416	0.130
SumAverage$_{FLEX, left}$	0.00252	0.00028	0.00219	0.00028	0.004

SumAverage$_{FLEX,\ right}$	0.00239	0.00023	0.00220	0.00027	0.049
Variance$_{FLEX,\ left}$	0.00839	0.00160	0.00817	0.00137	0.686
Variance$_{FLEX,\ right}$	0.00814	0.00164	0.00829	0.00163	0.810
Dissimilarity$_{FLEX,\ left}$	8.2982	0.8591	8.3651	0.7246	0.819
Dissimilarity$_{FLEX,\ right}$	8.1726	0.9328	8.1498	0.4629	0.933
MVIC$_{EXT,\ left}$ [Nm]	236.92	50.95	146.57	24.35	0.000
MVIC$_{EXT,\ right}$ [Nm]	245.36	36.75	157.65	27.73	0.000
MVIC$_{FLEX,\ left}$ [Nm]	111.51	19.15	74.65	12.28	0.000
MVIC$_{FLEX,\ right}$ [Nm]	113.71	19.01	69.54	13.44	0.000