life



Can Isotopologues Be Used as Biosignature Gases in Exoplanet Atmospheres?

Ana Glidden ^{1,2,*}, Sara Seager ^{1,2,3}, Janusz J. Petkowski ^{1,4,5} and Shuhei Ono ¹

- ¹ Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- ² Kavli Institute for Astrophysics and Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- ³ Department of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA
- ⁴ JJ Scientific, Mazowieckie, 02-792 Warsaw, Poland
- ⁵ Faculty of Environmental Engineering, Wroclaw University of Science and Technology, 50-370 Wroclaw, Poland
- * Correspondence: aglidden@mit.edu

Abstract: Isotopologue ratios are anticipated to be one of the most promising signs of life that can be observed remotely. On Earth, carbon isotopes have been used for decades as evidence of modern and early metabolic processes. In fact, carbon isotopes may be the oldest evidence for life on Earth, though there are alternative geological processes that can lead to the same magnitude of fractionation. However, using isotopologues as biosignature gases in exoplanet atmospheres presents several challenges. Most significantly, we will only have limited knowledge of the underlying abiotic carbon reservoir of an exoplanet. Atmospheric carbon isotope ratios will thus have to be compared against the local interstellar medium or, better yet, their host star. A further substantial complication is the limited precision of remote atmospheric measurements using spectroscopy. The various metabolic processes that cause isotope fractionation cause less fractionation than anticipated measurement precision (biological fractionation is typically 2 to 7%). While this level of precision is easily reachable in the laboratory or with special in situ instruments, it is out of reach of current telescope technology to measure isotope ratios for terrestrial exoplanet atmospheres. Thus, gas isotopologues are poor biosignatures for exoplanets given our current and foreseeable technological limitations.

Keywords: exoplanet atmospheres; biosignature gases; isotopologue

1. Introduction

As a human species, we have long gazed at the heavens and wondered if we are alone. Despite the thousands of exoplanets discovered over the last decade, we still have not found any definitive signs of life on other planets. However, the technology gap limiting detections of exoplanet atmospheric biosignature gases may be closing with the recent launch of JWST [1]. For the first time, we can now measure the atmospheric constituents and properties of potentially habitable, rocky worlds. In anticipation of our upcoming observations, we must sort out the pros and cons of possible biosignature gases.

Carbon isotope data are potentially the oldest geochemical evidence for ancient life on Earth [2,3]. Biotic deposits of carbon have a higher ratio of ¹²C-to-¹³C than abiotic deposits [4]. Differences in carbon isotope ratios between inorganic and organic carbon sources as far back as 3.5 Ga could be evidence of a metabolic processes like photosynthesis, though this is not conclusive [5] and references therein. Bell et al. [6] measured isotopically light graphite preserved in a 4.1 Ga zircon $\delta^{13}C_{PDB} = -24 \pm 5\%$ where PDB refers to the Pee Dee Belemnite standard terrestrial reference carbon isotope ratio. The measurement could be indicative of early enzymatic carbon fixation, though there are plausible abiotic



Citation: Glidden, A.; Seager, S.; Petkowski, J.J.; Ono, S. Can Isotopologues Be Used as Biosignature Gases in Exoplanet Atmospheres? *Life* **2023**, *13*, 2325. https://doi.org/10.3390/ life13122325

Academic Editors: John M. Eiler and Amy E. Hofmann

Received: 15 June 2023 Revised: 25 October 2023 Accepted: 15 November 2023 Published: 11 December 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). false positives such as post-depositional processes like metamorphism [3,6]. Intriguingly, over this vast timescale, the difference between the abiotic and biotic reservoirs has remained largely constant, despite the evolution of life on Earth, variations in rainfall, and changes in the partial pressure of atmospheric carbon dioxide [5,7]. Today, we can measure small seasonal changes in the isotopic composition of key photosynthetic gases [8]. Given our success using isotopic compositions as evidence of past and current life on Earth, isotopic data are also thought to be among the strongest signs of life that can be remotely detected on other planets [9].

Before we can consider using isotopes as a sign of life on other planets, we must review if it will be possible to detect isotopologues at all—whether their fractionation is a byproduct of biological or planetary processes. As such, we will focus this review mainly on the detectability of isotopic spectral signatures that can be created through biological processes rather than discussing those processes in detail. Isotopologues are molecules that contain one or more isotope substitutions. While the number of protons determines which element is which, the same element can have different numbers of neutrons. Elements with different numbers of neutrons are refereed to as isotopes. Molecules made of the same elements, but with different isotopes of those elements, are called isotopologues. For example, ${}^{1}\mathrm{H}_{2}^{16}\mathrm{O}$ and ¹H²H¹⁶O (also known as HDO) are both isotopologues of water. The successful detection of an isotopologue gas in an exoplanet atmosphere requires a large aperture, high spectral resolution telescope capable of observing the spectral separation between isotopologues. In the last few years, simulations have assessed the possibility of detecting isotope fractionation caused by planetary processes using near-future instruments on JWST, Very Large Telescope (VLT), and Extremely Large Telescope (ELT) [10–12]. Simulations showed the deuterium-to-hydrogen ratio was detectable for cool brown dwarfs with JWST with CH₃D, but not with HDO [10]. Furthermore, simulations showed that ${}^{18}O/{}^{16}O$ could be measured in the atmospheres of the terrestrial planets TRAPPIST-1 b and d with upcoming JWST transmission observations and could be used as evidence of ocean loss [11]. Molliere and Snellen [12] found that ¹³CO was detectable in the atmospheres of hot Jupiters from the ground with the CRIRES+ instrument on the VLT, while HDO and CH₃D were accessible with METIS on the ELT for self-luminous planets. In 2021, the pioneering work of Zhang et al. [13] successfully detected the isotopologue ¹³CO in the atmosphere of a young super-Jupiter using ESO's Very Large Telescope. Soon after, Line et al. [14] detected ¹³CO in the atmosphere of WASP-77Ab using the Gemini South Observatory. While ¹³CO has been successfully detected in two exoplanet atmospheres using ground-based instruments, ¹³CO₂ is an objectively better target for space-based observations with JWST and will play an important roll in observational programs of future high resolution giant ground-based telescopes.

The ratio ${}^{13}\text{CO}_2/{}^{12}\text{CO}_2$ presents the best opportunity to detect evidence for carbon isotope fractionation in an exoplanet atmosphere, including biological fractionation, with JWST. The strong CO_2 feature around 4.3 µm has only recently become accessible due to JWST [15]. In anticipation of JWST observations, we evaluated the detectability of ¹³CO₂ in the atmospheres of temperate sub-Neptunes as evidence of an aerial biosphere [16]. We were motivated to explore measuring ${}^{13}CO_2$ as a biosignature gas, as CO_2 is an incredibly strong absorber, making it easier to detect its presence than most other molecules. Additionally, no other metabolically generated gas has as large of a spectral separation between the maximum intensity of its first and second most abundant isotopologue as CO_2 with a maximum separation of 0.121 μ m compared with 0.105 μ m for CO and 0.010 μ m for CH₄ within 1 to 5 μ m. Furthermore, for temperate planets, CO₂ will be more abundant than CO and CH₄ if the planet's atmosphere is in chemical equilibrium. Thus, our best chance at detecting metabolically driven isotope fractionation is via ¹³CO₂. Unfortunately, we found that ¹³CO₂ could only be distinguished from ¹²CO₂ using JWST for the most idealized case of a sub-Neptune or larger sized planet with a large scale height atmosphere around a small, bright star [16].

Here, we further evaluate the usefulness of isotopologues—in particular $^{13}CO_2$ —as biosignature gases in exoplanetary atmospheres in general. In Section 2, we consider how isotopes may provide evidence of metabolic processes in the solar system. Next, in Section 3, we discuss the current status of detecting isotopologues in exoplanet atmospheres. Then, in Section 4, we evaluate the difficulties associated with using isotopologues as biosignature gases. In particular, we discuss the importance of establishing a baseline value for carbon isotope ratios; abiotic and biotic isotope fractionation processes and how they can be distinguished; and the limited number of suitable targets. In Section 5, we outline possible solutions for using isotopologues as supportive evidence for life in exoplanet atmospheres. Finally, in Section 6, we summarize our findings.

2. Isotopes as Biosignatures in the Solar System: Possible Evidence of Metabolic Processes on Nearby Worlds from Surface and Atmospheric Measurements

Isotopologues have long been considered possible bioindicators on solar system bodies. Over three decades ago, Rothschild and Desmarais [4] investigated using carbon isotope ratios as evidence of life on Mars. Understanding how isotopologues have been used as bioindicators in our solar system provides the foundation for their potential future use as biosignature gases in exoplanet atmospheres. However, most simulations and measurements of isotopes on Mars have focused on abiotic processes.

Carbon isotope ratios for Mars have been measured many times using observations from Earth, gas trapped in Martian meteorites, and in situ by Martian rovers, e.g., [17–22]. Atmospheric measurements taken by Mars landers of atmospheric CO_2 have been used to interpret geological processes, e.g., [19–21]. Here, we will discuss some of the most recent measurements.

Recently, Alday et al. [23] used solar occultations to measure the Martian atmospheric isotopic composition of CO_2 to better understand the history of Mars' climate. They found largely Earth-like isotope compositions for both oxygen and carbon, and they focus their discussion on how their measurements inform on the atmospheric escape of carbon and photochemical processes rather than positing any potential metabolic explanation. Alday et al. [23] suggest that 20%–40% of Mars' atmospheric carbon has been lost to space.

Several processes are responsible for the escape of carbon to space, including photodissociation of CO, dissociative recombination of CO+, and sputtering by pick-up ions, e.g., [23–25] and references therein. Processes such as photodissociation, dissociative recombination, and sputtering also preferentially remove the lighter ¹²C, enriching Mars' atmosphere in ¹³C over time [24]. Modeling by Hu et al. [25] predicted the impact of escape processes on atmospheric isotopic compositions. Additionally, differences in the cross-sections of CO₂ isotopologues can lead to photoinduced isotope fractionation [26].

Using the Sample Analysis at Mars instrument on the Curiosity Rover, House et al. [27] measured a large range of carbon isotope ratios using methane gas released from surface samples from $\delta^{13}C_{PDB} = -137 \pm 8\%$ to $22 \pm 10\%$. Of their measurements, ten had a $\delta^{13}C$ of less than -70%. If such a depletion in ^{13}C was measured on Earth, it would be seen as evidence of a past microbial metabolic process. House et al. [27] attribute the paucity of ^{13}C in the methane samples analyzed to three possible mechanisms: (1) microbial oxidation of methane, (2) deposited interstellar dust from passing through a giant molecular cloud depleted in ^{13}C , or (3) abiotic photochemical reduction of CO₂. The surface measurements made by House et al. [27] were unable to conclusively prove nor rule out the presence of ancient life on Mars.

Recently, Yoshida et al. [28] modeled differences in ¹³C between CO and CO₂ at various heights in the Martian atmosphere. At every measured height, they found that CO₂ photolysis had caused a depletion in ¹³C in CO relative to the degree of fractionation seen in CO₂. Yoshida et al. [28] use their carbon isotope ratio measurements to inform on past atmospheric loss. Additionally, changes in the ¹³CO₂ and ¹²CO₂ were measured in the lower atmosphere of Mars over time and altitude with ExoMars Gas Trace Orbiter [29]. Their results showed that temporal changes in the two most abundant CO₂ isotopologues largely

varied together and likely correspond to changes in the amount of dust rather than seasonal variations. Likewise, the carbon isotope ratio did not show a trend with altitude. With the launch of JWST, ¹³CO₂ will be increasingly commonly measured on other terrestrial solar system bodies such as Pluto and Callisto. Atmospheric measurements of isotope ratios for exoplanets will be limited to bulk atmospheric average measurements initially.

In addition to carbon, sulfur isotopes have also been considered as evidence for life in our solar system [30]. Marine sediments are depleted in heavier sulfur isotopes as the lightest sulfur isotope (³²S) is preferentially reduced by sulfur-reducing microbes, enriching sulfates (e.g., evaporites) [31] and references therein. As such, sulfur isotopes may prove to be a valuable biosignature for solar system bodies such as Mars and Europa where in situ and sample return measurements will be possible [30]. δ^{34} S has already been measured on Mars as $-0.24 \pm 0.05\%$ [32] and sulfur has been detected on the surface of Europa [33]. Chela-Flores [34] posit that sulfur isotope fractionation of -70% or more is caused by a metabolic process and could be used as evidence for life on solar system bodies. Unfortunately, however, in situ geochemical tests on solar system ocean world surfaces in the near future will be challenging [34,35] and references therein.

Other gases are also fractionated by life, such as hydrogen and nitrogen. However, there have yet to be studies about their accessibility as biosignatures in our solar system or beyond. Furthermore, when considering isotopes as evidence of metabolic processes outside of the solar system, only metabolically fractionated *gases*—which could potentially alter the spectroscopic atmospheric signature—are relevant. Restricting our analysis to gases severely limits which isotopologues are worthy of assessment as possible bioindicators outside of the solar system. As discussed in Section 1, isotopologues of CO₂ followed by CO are our best potential isotopologue biosignature gas as (1) all known life is carbon-based and carbon remains the best candidate building block of life, no matter its chemical makeup [36], (2) CO₂ has a large absorption cross-section, making it readily observable using spectroscopy, and (3) CO₂ has the largest spectral separation between the first and second most abundant isotopologues among the most common carbon-bearing species for wavelengths relative to JWST. However, while ¹³CO₂ represents our best opportunity of using an isotopologue as a biosignature gas, there are still many challenges which we discuss in Section 4.

3. Detectability of Isotopes in Exoplanet Atmospheres

Before we can evaluate the usefulness of isotopologues as biosignature gases in exoplanetary atmospheres, we must understand the current state of the field and what observations are possible with modern instrumentation. At present, we can study exoplanet atmospheres to detect molecules such as water, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, hydrogen cyanide, and ammonia. Over the next few years, JWST will provide an unprecedented understanding of the molecular composition of a range of exoplanets. Atmospheric measurements via transmission spectroscopy will not only inform on the composition, but can also be used to detect the presence of clouds and place constraints on the atmospheric temperature-pressure profile. With this knowledge, we can assess the habitability of a planet.

3.1. Recent Measurements and Simulated Detections

Today atmospheric signals can be detected remotely through direct imaging, transit spectroscopy, and High-Resolution Cross-Correlation Spectroscopy (HRCCS). In order to detect isotopologues, instruments must be capable of resolving their features spectroscopically. Resolving isotopologues necessitates instruments with a high spectral resolution and large aperture.

As a prelude to isotopologue detection in the atmospheres of large exoplanets, Cross-field et al. [37] measured ¹³CO spectroscopically in the photospheres of two small, M dwarf stars. Crossfield et al. [37] found the binary system GJ 745AB to be considerably depleted

in ¹³C with a ¹²C/¹³C of 296 ± 45 and 224 ± 26 relative to the solar photosphere value of ~80 [38,39]. The authors the enhancement in ¹²C to the accretion of supernovae ejecta [37].

Isotopes have only recently been quantified in an exoplanet atmosphere. Using the ground-based SINFONI instrument on the Very Large Telescope, ¹²CO/¹³CO was measured in the atmosphere of super-Jupiter TYC 8998-760-1 b [13]. They found that the atmosphere was significantly enriched in the heavier isotope relative to both the local interstellar medium (ISM) (68 ± 15 [40]) and solar system (~89 [38] and references therein) with a ratio of 31^{+17}_{-10} , which is equivalent to δ^{13} C of 1900^{+1400}_{-1000} [41] and references therein. The authors ascribe the enhancement to the accretion of enriched ices during TYC 8998-760-1 b's formation past the CO snowline [13]. Ices are enriched in ¹³CO due to low-temperature isotope exchange reactions in the gas phase, which then freeze the enriched CO into ice past the snowline [42–44]. Similarly, Line et al. [14] also measured ¹²CO/¹³CO in an exoplanet atmosphere, finding a range of 10.2–42.6 at 68% confidence for hot Jupiter WASP-77Ab. The enrichment in ¹³C suggests that WASP-77Ab may have formed past the CO snowline and migrated into its current location.

The detectability of isotopologues in planets smaller than giant exoplanets has also been evaluated. Glidden et al. [16] modeled observations of ${}^{13}CO_2$ in the atmospheres of temperate sub-Neptunes using JWST. Such worlds have recently been proposed as potential hosts to aerial biospheres [45]. ¹³CO₂ could potentially be used as evidence of an aerial biosphere. As isotopologues of carbon-bearing species have been used as potential evidence for life on Earth, Glidden et al. [16] sought to evaluate this technique for exoplanet atmospheres. Given their larger size, atmospheric constituents of sub-Neptunes are more readily observable than those of terrestrial planets for two important reasons. First, their size makes the contrast between the planet and host star larger during the transit. Second, the larger size leads to more gravitational attraction between the planet and its atmosphere, allowing the planet to retain lighter gases like hydrogen and helium. Lighter gases are important as they lead to larger, puffier atmospheres, which are much easier to detect using transit spectroscopy then atmospheres dominated by heavier gasses like CO_2 and H_2O . However, existing theories of abiogenesis predict that life would not emerge in a gaseous exoplanet, as life requires a rocky surface to arise [16] and references therein. Thus, the detection of bioindicators on gaseous exoplanets would falsify existing theories of the origin of life, providing a unique opportunity to empirically test whether rocky planetary surfaces are required for abiogenesis. The key parameters that influence detectability of atmospheric spectral features are the magnitude of the host star, the stellar type (size of the star), planet size, scale height (atmospheric composition), and the transit duration. Glidden et al. [16] found that ${}^{13}CO_2$ could only be distinguished from ${}^{12}CO_2$ for the most ideal target (cooler, larger sub-Neptune, around a bright, small star with a low mean molecular weight atmosphere), which has yet to be conclusively discovered. Other carbon-bearing isotopologues, such as ¹³CH₄ and ¹³CO, will be difficult to distinguish at the resolution of JWST for small planets given the spectral resolution and unknown atmospheric constituents. Current on-going observations with JWST have so far focused on evaluating if terrestrial planets around M dwarfs even have atmospheres using the 4.3 μm feature of CO₂ and 3.3 µm CH₄ feature (JWST GO #1981, PIs: Stevenson & Lustig-Yaeger). Observations of ${}^{13}CO_2$ in potentially habitable planets using ground-based instruments will be challenging for current instruments due to the low contrast between host stars and temperate planets. The future Extremely Large Telescopes will have large apertures, high resolution, and may be able to reach the contrast necessary for temperate worlds [46–48].

3.2. Why ${}^{13}CO_2$ Is the Most Detectable Isotopologue from Space

With the successful launch of JWST, CO_2 now presents our best opportunity to distinguish multiple isotopologues of the same gas in an exoplanet atmosphere. CO_2 is expected to be present in large abundances in exoplanetary atmospheres [49,50] and references therein, and it has a strong spectral signature, accessible to JWST with several instrument modes. Biological processes also fractionate other elements, such as nitrogen, sulfur,

oxygen, hydrogen, and iron, e.g., [51–59] and references therein. On Earth, biologically mediated isotopologues are often measured in the soil or rock record. Here, we focus on volatiles as they may be detectable in an exoplanet atmosphere.

Figure 1 shows the intensity of the strongest features of key gas isotopologues of CO_2 , CH_4 , N_2O , NH_3 , and H_2O at various wavelengths covered by JWST. The line lists in the figure come from the High-Resolution Transmission Molecular Absorption (HI-TRAN) database [60] and are processed using the HITRAN Application Programming Interface (HAPI) [61]. The intensity for each molecule in HITRAN assumes a temperature of 296 K [62]. For each isotopologue, we normalize the intensity by its terrestrial abundance to account for the weighting used by HITRAN [63,64]. CO_2 is clearly the strongest absorber and has the largest spectral separation between its first and second most abundant isotopologue. Given its shape and bond strength, the CO_2 molecule is more altered by the additional neutron than CO and CH_4 . The relatively large shift between ¹³CO₂ and ¹²CO₂ makes the isotopologues most likely to be accessible to instruments such as JWST. Within the JWST wavelength coverage, the two most abundant isotopologues of CO_2 are maximally separated by 0.121 µm compared with 0.105 µm for CO and 0.010 µm for CH₄.

The bond strength of the molecule influences how much the additional neutron affects the isotopologues' spectral signature. Differences between ${}^{13}CO_2$ and ${}^{12}CO_2$ can be attributed to the addition of a single neutron to the nucleus of the carbon atom. CO_2 is a small, linear molecule. Adding an additional neutron to the carbon atom does not impact the symmetry of the molecule. Imagining the molecule as masses connected by springs, we can understand that increasing the mass of the central molecule will increase the reduced mass of the molecule, thereby decreasing the vibrational energy levels. This is well illustrated by the asymmetric stretch band in CO_2 around 4.3 µm, shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Intensity of key gas isotopologues, which could potentially be metabolically fractionated. The wavelength range shown on the x-axis includes the strongest features of each isotopologue relevant to JWST. The ¹³CO₂ and ¹²CO₂ isotopologues (in green) are both the strongest absorbers and the most well spectrally separated, making them the best isotopologue pair for spectroscopic detection with JWST.

The detectability of a given isotopologue is also dependent on its abundance within the exoplanet's atmosphere. Figure 2 shows the relative mass fraction of gases in planetary atmospheres, assuming chemical equilibrium with C/O of 0.5 and a pressure of 1 bar for a range or temperatures and metallicities, as calculated using petitRADTRANS [65]. Temperature increases down the rows, while metallicity increases across the columns. For temperate atmospheres, CO_2 dominates for low C/O ratios, while CH_4 dominates for higher C/O ratios.



Figure 2. Relative mass fraction composition of planetary atmospheres assuming chemical equilibrium. Each pie plot is colored according to molecular composition for C/O = 0.5 (solar value) and pressure of 1 bar (Earth's surface value) [66]. Each column corresponds to a metallicity ([Fe/H]), as given at the bottom of the figure. Each row corresponds to a temperature, labeled along the left side. For temperate values, CO_2 and CH_4 dominate over CO in all cases. For carbon-bearing species, CO_2 dominates for low C/O and CH_4 dominates for higher C/O.

3.3. Formation of Carbon Isotopes

For completion, we discuss where carbon isotopes in planet-forming materials originate. Nucleosynthesis in stars is responsible for the formation of carbon isotopes. In particular, ¹²C is produced through helium burning using the triple-alpha process while the carbon–nitrogen–oxygen cycle of hydrogen burning also synthesizes both ^{12}C and ^{13}C . Asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars are largely responsible for the production of carbon [67]. During the third dredge-up, deeper products of nuclear fusion are brought to the surface through convection [67]. The outer envelope of the star becomes enriched in carbon, which can be jettisoned into the surrounding ISM and eventually used to form new stars and planets. The mass of the AGB star affects if ^{12}C or ^{13}C is produced. ^{12}C is generally fused in stars of 1–4 M_{\odot} , while ^{13}C is largely created in intermediate mass stars of 4–7 M_{\odot} [67]. Carbon is also synthesized though core-collapse supernovae at a similar yield to AGB stars.

When a newborn star is formed from a collapsing molecular cloud, the carbon isotope composition of the new solar system is tied to the ratio of the star-forming region. Areas with more low-mass AGB stars have higher ¹²C/¹³C than areas with intermediate-mass AGB stars [67]. However, ¹²C/¹³C is not homogenized throughout a protoplanetary disk [38]. After formation, carbon can further fractionate through two abiotic processes: chemical exchange reactions and photodissociation [38]. The dominant isotope exchange reaction is ¹³C⁺ +¹²CO =¹³CO+¹²C⁺ + ΔE [38,68,69]. Photodissociation more strongly impacts ¹³CO as it is relatively less shielded than ¹²CO [38,70]. Thus, the ¹²C/¹³C ratio differs across the disk, largely dependent on temperature differences within the disk. Modeling suggests that carbon isotope ratios should vary radially and axially within a protoplanetary disk [71]. Recently, Yoshida et al. [72] were able to measure radial variations in ¹²C/¹³C in a protoplanetary disk for the first time. They found differences based on both molecular species and radial location within the disk.

4. Major Challenges with Using Isotopologues as Biosignature Gases

Despite the increasing ease of detecting isotopologues with new and near-future instruments, there are several major challenges with their use as biosignature gases. Here, we outline the three most significant challenges.

4.1. Establishing an Abiotic Baseline for ¹²C/¹³C in Exoplanet Atmospheres

A baseline value for ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ is essential to be able to compare against any measured values of ${}^{13}C$ or ${}^{12}C$ to determine if an enhancement in atmospheric ${}^{13}C$ can be attributed to a metabolic process, such as photosynthesis. Planetary isotope ratios should be similar to those of their host star and local ISM. Thus, the host star or the local ISM could be used to roughly benchmark the abiotic isotope ratio of the exoplanet's atmosphere [12]. Carbon isotope ratios from exocomets could also be used, but such measurements are far beyond our detection capabilities.

If we are to make inroads measuring deviations in ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ in planetary atmospheres, we must first make isotopologue measurements (using ${}^{12}CO/{}^{13}CO$) in host stars. The striking difference between ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ in M dwarfs GJ 745AB [37] (296 ± 45 and 224 ± 26) and our Sun (93.5 ± 3 [73]) underscores the importance of spectroscopically measuring host stars to determine if the enhancement seen in GJ 745AB is specific to that particular region of the Galaxy (due to enrichment from neighboring supernovae) or a more generalized characteristic of M dwarf stars. Furthermore, ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ was measured in seven young stellar objects (YSOs) to be between ~85 and 165 [74]. The YSOs were more depleted in ${}^{13}C$ compared with our solar system (~89 [38] and references therein) and local ISM (68 ± 15 [40]). Current models of galactic chemical evolution do not explain this discrepancy [74]. Stellar measurements of carbon isotope ratios are especially important for better refining our understanding of galactic evolution and isotope enrichment as well as setting a benchmark for isotopologue measurements in exoplanet atmospheres. However, as carbon isotopes have only been measured in two M dwarfs, the local ISM could also be used as a proxy until better stellar spectral measurements are obtained.

However, even if we could measure carbon isotope ratios in host stars, we would still be unable to use remote atmospheric measurements of carbon isotope ratios as strong evidence of life. Consider our own solar system where ${}^{12}C/{}^{13}C$ has been measured ex-

tensively for the Sun, Venus, Earth, the Moon, Mars, Jupiter, Saturn, Titan, Neptune, and many meteorites and comets, e.g., [38,73] and references therein. Since the beginning of remote observations, significant progress has been made in the error estimation of carbon isotope ratios, in particular those of the Sun. While subtle differences between solar system bodies have been used to suss out their formation histories, the bulk carbon isotope ratios measured across the various solar system bodies are largely homogeneous. As shown in Table 1 and Figure 3, the ¹²C/¹³C ratios are generally consistent within the solar system. For example, the mean value of Venus is 88.3 ± 4.9; the mean value for Earth is 89.8 ± 1.4; the mean value for Mars is 90.1^{+3.0}_{-2.9}, and the mean value of Jupiter is 89.9^{+6.9}_{-6.8} [38].



Figure 3. Carbon isotope ratios for the solar system (average values from Woods [38] and Lyons et al. [73]) and the two measured exoplanet atmospheres [13,14]. The object name is shown on the y-axis and the carbon isotope ratio is shown on the x-axis. There is a clear clustering of solar system carbon isotope ratios around ~89 [38] The two measured carbon isotope ratios for exoplanets both show an enrichment in ¹³C, likely attributed to ice accretion. While TYC 8998-760-1b is located far (<160 AU) from its host star, WASP-77Ab is a close-in, hot Jupiter. The enrichment in ¹³CO suggests that WASP-77Ab formed past the CO snowline and migrated to its current location.

 Table 1. Bulk carbon isotope compositions for solar system bodies.

Object	¹² C/ ¹³ C
Sun ¹	$93.5~\pm~3.0$
Venus ²	$88.3~\pm~4.9$
Earth ²	$89.8~\pm~1.4$
Moon ²	$90.7~\pm~0.6$
Mars ²	$90.1^{+3.0}_{-2.9}$
Terrestrial ²	89.7 ± 1.5
Jupiter ²	$89.9^{+6.9}_{-6.8}$
Saturn ²	$77.6^{+13.3}_{-6.8}$
Neptune ²	$79.7^{+14.5}_{-14.5}$
TYC 8998-760-1 b ⁻³	31^{+17}_{-10}
WASP-77A b ⁴	10.2–42.6 at 68% confidence
GJ 745A 5	$296~\pm~45$
GJ 745B ⁵	$224~\pm~26$

¹ Lyons et al. [73]. ² Mean values from compiled list of sources within Woods [38]. For context, we also include carbon isotope ratios for exoplanets ³ Zhang et al. [13] and ⁴ Line et al. [14] and for two M dwarf stars ⁵ Crossfield et al. [37].

Given these values, it is clear that (1) as measured in the bulk, carbon isotope ratios are largely similar across different solar system bodies, and (2) Earth does not stand out as a living world—within the solar system—despite billions of years of metabolic activity reprocessing carbon. Life only drives small (\sim 0.5 to 7%) changes in carbon isotope composition, which will be next to impossible to detect remotely with enough precision in a terrestrial exoplanet atmosphere.

4.2. Disentangling Abiotic and Biotic Isotope Fractionation

Another difficulty with using isotopologues as biosignature gases is disentangling abiotic and biotic isotope fractionation. Carbon isotope fractionation can occur through several metabolic processes. Photosynthesis is the most well known, but other metabolisms such as chemosynthesis also cause fractionation [75–77]. Photosynthesis is broadly accepted as likely to occur on other planets with life, e.g., [78,79]. Biotic carbon isotope fractionation can occur on Earth when plants, algae, and cyanobacteria photosynthesize through two main processes [80]. First, the lighter ¹²CO₂ more readily diffuses through the stomata on plant leaves [80]. Secondly, the Rubisco enzyme used in photosynthesis preferentially removes ¹²C as it binds more efficiently than ¹³C to one of the enzyme's active sites due to the kinetic isotope effect [81]. The magnitude of carbon isotope fractionation is quite small (~1–4%) and differs between different photosynthetic pathways, e.g., [82,83] and references therein.

There are many abiotic processes that fractionate carbon. Abiotic processes can lead to false positive detections or potentially erase biological fractionation. For example, carbon isotope fractionation can be caused through volcanism [84,85]. The degassing of basaltic magma enriches the melt in ¹²CO₂, while enhancing the gas in ¹³CO₂ [84]. The magnitude of this effect is only ~2‰ [84]. In addition, Ricci et al. [86] reported ¹³C and D-depleted abotic methane from high temperate volcanic gas. Volcanism is of particular interest as it may be necessary for the origin of life. Our only example of a habitable planet (Earth) is subject to volcanic activity. Thus, carbon isotope fractionation through magma outgassing will likely prove an important false positive that will need to be disentangled from biotic fractionation in exoplanet atmospheres. As volcanism may be a necessary component of the "recipe for life", e.g., [87], this may confound the use of carbon isotope composition as a bioindicator.

4.3. Limited Number of Known and Anticipated Targets

To detect isotopologues, we need not only the right instrument, but also the right targets. There are few suitable temperate (habitable zone) targets around small, bright stars. There are only approximately 20 known temperate terrestrial planets and 49 temperate sub-Neptunes. Of these potential candidates, most have a low Transit Spectroscopy Metric (TSM) [88]. The TSM is calculated using the radius of the planet and host star, the mass of the planet, the equilibrium temperature of the planet, and the magnitude of the target. The TSM approximates the expected signal of the target's spectral features, assuming the atmosphere is clear (a large caveat). To be a good candidate for atmospheric transmission spectroscopy, terrestrial planets ($R_p < 1.5 R_{\oplus}$) should have a TSM > ~10 (ln(TSM) > ~2.3), while sub-Neptune planets ($4 R_{\oplus} < R_p < 10 R_{\oplus}$) should have a TSM of at least >~90 (ln(TSM) > ~4.5) [88]. Of the temperate small planets known to date, 10 have a ln(TSM) > 2 and only 3 (K2-18 b, TOI-2257 b, and TRAPPIST-1 d) have a ln(TSM) > 3. Figure 4 shows known temperate small planets. Temperature is on the x-axis, planet name is on the y-axis, and ln(TSM) dictates the color.

The Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS) mission has finished its nearly all-sky survey, looking for transiting planets on our nearest and brightest stellar neighbors [89]. Considered the "finder scope" for JWST follow-up observations, TESS continues to look for more promising candidates during its ongoing extended mission (currently in mission year 5). To date, there are 6213 TESS Targets of Interest, 282 confirmed planets, 151 of which with a radius, $R < 4 R_{\oplus}$, where R_{\oplus} is the radius of the Earth (NASA). It is likely that some

TESS planet candidates larger than a super-Earth will be favorable for future detections of CO₂ isotopologues. The longer TESS observes the sky during its extended mission, the higher chance we have of detecting longer period, and thus cooler, planets. Additionally, the longer integration time can also boost the signal-to-noise of a planet's transit depth, potentially revealing more small planet candidates. Recent simulations predict that TESS will find 601 \pm 44 planets with R < 2 R $_\oplus$ and 3027 \pm 202 planets between 2 R $_\oplus$ < R < 4 R $_\oplus$ during its primary and first two extended missions (~7 years of observations) [90].



Figure 4. Cool (T < 389 K) terrestrial and sub-Neptune planets ($R_p < 4 R_{\oplus}$) shown along an x-axis of temperature and the y-axis by alphabetical order. Marker size is relative to planet size. Marker color is coded to the ln(TSM). There are very few small, cool planets with a high TSM. The best temperate terrestrial candidates by TSM are TRAPPIST-1 c, d, e, f, and g. The highest TSM small sub-Neptunes ($1.5 R_{\oplus} < R_p < 2.75 R_{\oplus}$) candidates are LP 791-18 c and TOI-270 d. The highest TSM of cool planets between from 2.75 $R_{\oplus} < R_p < 4 R_{\oplus}$ are Kepler-51 d and TOI-1231 b.

5. Carbon Isotope Ratios as Supportive Evidence for Life

Despite the many difficulties associated with using carbon isotope ratios as unambiguous evidence for life, there are still strategies for using measurements of carbon isotopes as supportive evidence for the presence of life. Indeed, Rothschild and Desmarais [4] concluded that carbon isotope ratios could only be used as supportive evidence for past metabolic activities even on Mars due to (1) the number of false positive scenarios and (2) the relatively large range of isotope fractionation possible due to different types of metabolic activity. Here, we outline possible solutions for exoplanets to mitigate our uncertainty that any detected fractionation could be abiotic in origin.

5.1. Seasonality of Carbon Isotope Fractionation: A Possible Solution

The Earth's atmospheric carbon isotopic composition varies seasonally [80,91]. During the warmer growing season, ¹³CO₂ increases in Earth's atmosphere relative to ¹²CO₂ as plants grow and photosynthesize more, preferentially using ¹²C to build more plant material. The reverse happens during the winter months when photosynthesis decreases. Periodic changes in the carbon isotope ratio can also be seen in the photosynthetic day–night cycle on Earth [80,91].

Thus, a possible way to rule out the abiotic fractionation of carbon on remote planets is to look for oscillations in the ${}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ ratio that corresponds to the planet's seasonal cycle. If seasonal variations can be seen, it would strongly increase our confidence that any observed carbon isotope fractionation was driven by a metabolic rather than a planetary process. However, as we are unable to even distinguish carbon dioxide isotopologues in the atmospheres of terrestrial planets with upcoming telescopes, detecting seasonal changes will remain well out of reach for decades to come. While seasonal variations in atmospheric carbon isotope ratios on Earth are just ~1‰ (according to data from NOAA's Global Monitoring Lab [92]), the magnitude of seasonality could be larger for a planet with less CO₂ and higher productivity. While we will not be able to measure seasonality for carbon isotope ratios, observing the seasonality of gases produced through photosynthesis would increase our confidence in the likelihood that a planet hosts life. Freeze–thaw cycles can also lead to seasonal changes in atmospheric gases through both abiotic and biotic processes, i.e., [93].

Olson et al. [94] evaluated how seasonality in O₂, CO₂, and other gases could be used to rule out false positive detections. As the transit method intrinsically selects for planets at shorter periods, many known transiting planets are tidally locked with their host star. Tidally locked planets lack a day–night cycle and also lack the seasonal cycle caused by a tilt in the spin axis relative to the orbital plane. Only the eccentricity (if any) of their orbits would cause seasonality. In addition, the viewing angle of a transiting planet will limit our ability to view different seasons [94,95]. Thus, seasonality will not be expected in most transiting planets. Additionally, seasonality will be best observed through direct imaging as the system can be viewed face-on. It will be difficult, if not impossible, to perform follow-up observations of transiting planets with direct imaging to look for seasonality given the edge-on transit geometry.

5.2. The Case for Observing Carbon Isotope Fractionation in Non-Terrestrial Planet Atmospheres: Isotopes as a Tool to Discern Formation Mechanisms

Giant planets offer a proving ground for adding isotopologue detections to our spectroscopic toolkit. We can use the observations of giant planets to test our theories and refine our modeling capabilities. In addition, as the detection of carbon isotope fractionation in non-terrestrial planets would not be due to life, any detection would help us to establish a baseline for false positive detection of carbon isotope fractionation as a biosignature. Furthermore, isotopes can be used to unravel exoplanet mysteries unrelated to the search for life. The isotopic composition of a planetary system is originally inherited from the molecular cloud out of which it formed [96], and references therein. As such, understanding what process produces different isotope fractionation and how it varies on a galactic scale is important for providing context for future measurements of isotopologues as biosignature gases.

Temperature often dictates which isotope fractionation reaction dominates [96]. Different reactions are possible in the gas and solid phases and within different temperature regimes within these phases. In the gas phase, isotope fractionation is caused by isotope exchange reactions and isotope selective photodissociation, e.g., [96] and references therein. At their freezing point, enriched gases can freeze onto the surface of dust grains as ice, e.g., [96–98]. Within the disk, gas pressure gradients are responsible for the redistribution and migration of enriched species [96]. Therefore, it may be possible to use the isotopic composition of a planetary body to work out where it formed in the protoplanetary disk as different regions are subject to different temperature regimes. For example, it has been hypothesized that ${}^{12}CO/{}^{13}CO$ can be used to assess if a planet formed via ice-accretion past the CO snowline or via gas-accretion interior to the CO snowline [13]. Atmospheres enriched in ${}^{13}CO$ are likely formed through ice-accretion past the snowline. If planets with high ${}^{13}CO$ are found close to their host star, it may be evidence of migration.

Recently, JWST has been used to measure ${}^{13}CO_2$ for both a protoplanetary disk [99] and molecular cloud ices [100]. The observation of ${}^{13}CO_2$ in the GW Lup disk marks the first time this isotopologue has been measured in a protoplanetary disk, the birthplace of future exoplanets. Expanding on these observations is key for using ${}^{13}CO_2$ as a tracer of planet formation, from the origin of planetary materials in a molecular cloud through the formation of a planetesimal up to the final stage of planet formation. The pioneering work of Grant et al. [99] and McClure et al. [100] lays the foundation for what we will be able to learn with JWST about exoplanet formation through the lens of isotopologue measurements.

6. Conclusions

Isotopologues are unlikely to prove fruitful biosignature gases in exoplanetary atmospheres in the foreseeable future. While metabolically mediated carbon isotope fractionation is the most readily detectable using ${}^{13}CO_2$ due to CO_2 's significant spectral separation and large absorption cross-section followed closely by CO, there are still significant challenges associated with using carbon isotope ratios as a bioindicator outside of the solar system. CO₂ isotopologues are most accessible to JWST for cool, large planets around small, bright stars. However, the number of such targets is extremely limited. For smaller, potentially habitable sub-Neptunes and terrestrial planets, isotopologues are only accessible for the most idealized targets with large-scale heights. While we have focused largely on CO_2 , the key challenges associated with using carbon isotope ratios as biosignature gases in exoplanet atmospheres are universal to other species. Carbon isotope ratios in exoplanet atmospheres will need to be compared with a known abiotic reservoir to look for changes that may be caused by life. Baseline carbon isotope ratios values in other exoplanetary systems are yet to be established. While the ISM and host star could serve as a proxy, life only causes small changes in isotope ratios and different metabolic processes cause varied amounts of fractionation. As Rothschild and Desmarais [4] found true for Mars in 1989, carbon isotope data will only ever prove itself to be supportive evidence for life on exoplanets. Thus, isotopologues as biosignature are best left as a solar system endeavor where values can be precisely measured in situ over a range of surface locations.

However, isotopologues are still worth detecting in exoplanet atmospheres as they inform on planetary formation history and evolution. Within a protoplanetary disk, isotope composition varies both radially and axially [71]. Carbon isotope ratios are believed to change corresponding to snowlines [41]. Thus, measuring carbon isotope ratios in an exoplanet atmosphere may be able to provide clues about the chemical environment in which the atmosphere formed and evolved. In particular, ice accretion may drive ¹³CO enrichment and thus, if enriched planets are found close to their host star, they likely migrated to that location rather than forming in situ. Thus, isotopologue measurements outside of the solar system should focus on sussing out formation location and evolutionary processes rather than being used as evidence of microbial activity.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.G.; methodology, A.G., S.S., J.J.P. and S.O.; software, A.G.; validation, A.G., S.S., J.J.P. and S.O.; writing—original draft preparation, A.G.; writing—review and editing, A.G., S.S., J.J.P. and S.O.; visualization, A.G.; supervision, S.S.; project administration, A.G.; funding acquisition, S.S. and A.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was partially funded by NASA grant 80NSSC20K0586. A.G. acknowledges support from the Robert R. Shrock Graduate Fellowship.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Kaitlin Rasmussen for a productive conversation about ground-based observations and Robert Hargreaves for sharing his expertise on spectral line lists. Furthermore, we would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their comments, which greatly enhanced the completeness of this work.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Gardner, J.P.; Mather, J.C.; Clampin, M.; Doyon, R.; Greenhouse, M.A.; Hammel, H.B.; Hutchings, J.B.; Jakobsen, P.; Lilly, S.J.; Long, K.S.; et al. The James Webb space telescope. *Space Sci. Rev.* **2006**, *123*, 485–606. [CrossRef]
- Mojzsis, S.J.; Arrhenius, G.; McKeegan, K.D.; Harrison, T.M.; Nutman, A.P.; Friend, C.R.L. Evidence for Life on Earth Before 3800 Million Years Ago. *Nature* 1996, 384, 55–59. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Eiler, J.M.; Mojzsis, S.J.; Arrhenius, G. Carbon Isotope Evidence for Early Life. Nature 1997, 386, 665. [CrossRef]
- 4. Rothschild, L.J.; Desmarais, D. Stable Carbon Isotope Fractionation in The Search for Life on Early Mars. *Adv. Space Ret* **1989**, *9*, 159. [CrossRef]
- 5. Garcia, A.K.; Cavanaugh, C.M.; Kacar, B. The Curious Consistency of Carbon Biosignatures Over Billions of Years of Earth-Life Coevolution. *ISME J.* **2021**, *15*, 2183–2194. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Bell, E.A.; Boehnke, P.; Harrison, T.M.; Mao, W.L. Potentially biogenic carbon preserved in a 4.1 billion-year-old zircon. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2015**, *112*, 14518–14521. [CrossRef]
- 7. Hare, V.J.; Loftus, E.; Jeffrey, A.; Ramsey, C.B. Atmospheric CO2 Effect on Stable Carbon Isotope Composition of Terrestrial Fossil Archives. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 252. [CrossRef]
- 8. Keeling, C.D. The Concentration and Isotopic Abundances of Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide in Rural Areas. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **1958**, *13*, 322–334. [CrossRef]
- 9. Neveu, M.; Hays, L.E.; Voytek, M.A.; New, M.H.; Schulte, M.D. The Ladder of Life Detection. *Astrobiology* 2018, 18, 1375–1402. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Morley, C.V.; Skemer, A.J.; Miles, B.E.; Line, M.R.; Lopez, E.D.; Brogi, M.; Freedman, R.S.; Marley, M.S. Measuring the D/H Ratios of Exoplanets and Brown Dwarfs. *Astrophys. J.* 2019, *882*, L29. [CrossRef]
- 11. Lincowski, A.P.; Lustig-Yaeger, J.; Meadows, V.S. Observing Isotopologue Bands in Terrestrial Exoplanet Atmospheres with the James Webb Space Telescope: Implications for Identifying Past Atmospheric and Ocean Loss. *Astron. J.* **2019**, *158*, 26. [CrossRef]
- 12. Molliere, P.; Snellen, I.A. Detecting Isotopologues in Exoplanet Atmospheres Using Ground-Based High-Dispersion Spectroscopy. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2019**, *622*, 139. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Y.; Snellen, I.A.; Bohn, A.J.; Mollière, P.; Ginski, C.; Hoeijmakers, H.J.; Kenworthy, M.A.; Mamajek, E.E.; Meshkat, T.; Reggiani, M.; et al. The 13CO-Rich Atmosphere of a Young Accreting Super-Jupiter. *Nature* 2021, 595, 370–372. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Line, M.R.; Brogi, M.; Bean, J.L.; Gandhi, S.; Zalesky, J.; Parmentier, V.; Smith, P.; Mace, G.N.; Mansfield, M.; Kempton, E.M.; et al. A solar C/O and sub-solar metallicity in a hot Jupiter atmosphere. *Nature* **2021**, *598*, 580–584. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Ahrer, E.M.; Alderson, L.; Batalha, N.M.; Batalha, N.E.; Bean, J.L.; Beatty, T.G.; Bell, T.J.; Benneke, B.; Berta-Thompson, Z.K.; Carter, A.L.; et al. Identification of Carbon Dioxide in an Exoplanet Atmosphere. *Nature* **2023**, *614*, 649–652. [CrossRef]
- 16. Glidden, A.; Seager, S.; Huang, J.; Petkowski, J.J.; Ranjan, S. Can Carbon Fractionation Provide Evidence for Aerial Biospheres in the Atmospheres of Temperate Sub-Neptunes? *Astrophys. J.* **2022**, *930*, 62. [CrossRef]
- 17. Owen, T. The Composition of the Martian Atmosphere. Adv. Space Res 1982, 2, 75-80. [CrossRef]
- Schrey, U.; Rothermel, H.; Kaufl, H.; Drapatz, S. Determination of the ¹²C/¹³C and 16O/18O Ratio in the Martian Atmosphere by 10 micron Heterodyne Spectroscopy. *Astron. Astrophys.* **1986**, 155, 200–204.
- 19. Niles, P.B.; Boynton, W.V.; Hoffman, J.H.; Ming, D.W.; Hamara, D. Stable Isotope Measurements of Martian Atmospheric CO₂ at the Phoenix Landing Site. *Science* **2010**, *329*, 1334–1337. [CrossRef]
- 20. Webster, C.; Mahaffy, P.R.; Webster, C.; Flesch, G.J.; Niles, P.B.; Jones, J.H.; Leshin, L.A.; Atreya, S.K.; Stern, J.C.; Christensen, L.E.; et al. Isotope Ratios of H, C, and O in CO₂ and H₂O of the Martian Atmosphere. *Science* **2013**, *341*, 260–263. [CrossRef]

- Mahaffy, P.R.; Webster, C.R.; Atreya, S.K.; Franz, H.; Wong, M.; Conrad, P.G.; Harpold, D.; Jones, J.J.; Leshin, L.A.; Manning, H.; et al. Abundance and Isotopic Composition of Gases in the Martian Atmosphere from the Curiosity Rover. *Sci. Team Source Sci.* 2013, *341*, 263–266. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Leshin, L.A.; Mahaffy, P.R.; Webster, C.R.; Cabane, M.; Coll, P.; Conrad, P.G.; Archer, P.D.; Atreya, S.K.; Brunner, A.E.; Buch, A.; et al. Volatile, Isotope, and Organic Analysis of Martian Fines with the Mars Curiosity Rover. *Science* 2013, 341, 12389. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alday, J.; Wilson, C.F.; Irwin, P.G.; Trokhimovskiy, A.; Montmessin, F.; Fedorova, A.A.; Belyaev, D.A.; Olsen, K.S.; Korablev, O.; Lefèvre, F.; et al. Isotopic Composition of CO₂ in the Atmosphere of Mars: Fractionation by Diffusive Separation Observed by the ExoMars Trace Gas Orbiter. *J. Geophys. Res. Planets* 2021, *126*, e2021JE006992. [CrossRef]
- 24. Jakosky, B.M. The CO₂ Inventory on Mars. Planet. Space Sci. 2019, 175, 52–59. [CrossRef]
- Hu, R.; Kass, D.M.; Ehlmann, B.L.; Yung, Y.L. Tracing the Fate of Carbon and the Atmospheric Evolution of Mars. *Nat. Commun.* 2015, 6, 1–9. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schmidt, J.A.; Johnson, M.S.; Schinke, R. Carbon Dioxide Photolysis from 150 to 210 nm: Singlet and Triplet Channel Dynamics, UV-spectrum, and Isotope Effects. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, 110, 17691–17696. [CrossRef]
- 27. House, C.H.; Wong, G.M.; Webster, C.R.; Flesch, G.J.; Franz, H.B.; Stern, J.C.; Pavlov, A.; Atreya, S.K.; Eigenbrode, J.L.; Gilbert, A.; et al. Depleted Carbon Isotope Compositions Observed at Gale Crater, Mars. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2022**. [CrossRef]
- Yoshida, T.; Aoki, S.; Ueno, Y.; Terada, N.; Nakamura, Y.; Shiobara, K.; Yoshida, N.; Nakagawa, H.; Sakai, S.; Koyama, S. Strong Depletion of 13C in CO Induced by Photolysis of CO2 in the Martian Atmosphere, Calculated by a Photochemical Model. *Planet. Sci. J.* 2023, *4*, 53. [CrossRef]
- 29. Liuzzi, G.; Villanueva, G.L.; Stone, S.W.; Faggi, S.; Kofman, V.; Trompet, A.C. Measuring the 13C/12C in CO2 in the Lower Atmosphere of Mars with NOMAD/TGO: Challenges and Interpretation. In Proceedings of the Seventh International Workshop on the Mars Atmosphere: Modelling and Observations, Paris, France, 14–17 June 2022; p. 3532. [CrossRef]
- 30. Moreras-Marti, A.; Fox-Powell, M.; Cousins, C.R.; Macey, M.C.; Zerkle, A.L. Sulfur Isotopes as Biosignatures for Mars and Europa Exploration. *J. Geol. Soc.* 2022, 179, jgs2021-134. [CrossRef]
- Sim, M.S.; Bosak, T.; Ono, S. Large Sulfur Isotope Fractionation Does Not Require Disproportionation. New Ser. 2011, 333, 74–77. [CrossRef]
- 32. Franz, H.B.; Wu, N.; Farquhar, J.; Irving, A.J. A new type of isotopic anomaly in shergottite sulfides. *Meteorit. Planet. Sci.* 2019, 54, 3036–3051. [CrossRef]
- 33. Becker, T.M.; Trumbo, S.K.; Molyneux, P.M.; Retherford, K.D.; Hendrix, A.R.; Roth, L.; Raut, U.; Alday, J.; McGrath, M.A. Mid-ultraviolet Hubble Observations of Europa and the Global Surface Distribution of SO₂. *Planet. Sci. J.* **2022**, *3*, 129. [CrossRef]
- Chela-Flores, J. Miniaturised Instrumentation for the Detection of Biosignatures in Ocean Worlds of the Solar System. *Front. Space Technol.* 2021, 2, 703809. [CrossRef]
- Arevalo, R.; Ni, Z.; Danell, R.M. Mass spectrometry and planetary exploration: A brief review and future projection. *J. Mass Spectrom.* 2020, 55, e4454. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Petkowski, J.J.; Bains, W.; Seager, S. On the Potential of Silicon as a Building Block for Life. Life 2020, 10, 84. [CrossRef]
- Crossfield, I.J.; Lothringer, J.D.; Flores, B.; Mills, E.A.; Freedman, R.; Valverde, J.; Miles, B.; Guo, X.; Skemer, A. Unusual Isotopic Abundances in a Fully Convective Stellar Binary. *Astrophys. J.* 2019, 871, 7. [CrossRef]
- 38. Woods, P.M. Carbon Isotope Measurements in the Solar System. Technical report. arXiv 2009, arXiv:0901.4513. [CrossRef]
- 39. Ayres, T.R.; Plymate, C.; Keller, C.U. Solar Carbon Monoxide, Thermal Profiling, and the Abundances of C, O, and Their Isotopes. *Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser.* **2006**, *165*, 618–651. [CrossRef]
- 40. Milam, S.N.; Savage, C.; Brewster, M.A.; Ziurys, L.M.; Wyckoff, S. The 12 C/13 C Isotope Gradient Derived from Millimeter Transitions of CN: The Case for Galactic Chemical Evolution. *Astrophys. J.* **2005**, *634*, 1126–1132. [CrossRef]
- Zhan, Z.; Seager, S.; Petkowski, J.J.; Sousa-Silva, C.; Ranjan, S.; Huang, J.; Bains, W. Assessment of Isoprene as a Possible Biosignature Gas in Exoplanets with Anoxic Atmospheres. *Astrobiology* 2021, 21, 765–792. [CrossRef]
- 42. Langer, W.D.; Graedel, T.E.; Laboratories, B.; Jet, M.A.F.; Armentrout, P.B. Carbon and Oxygen Isotope Fractionation in Dense Interstellar Clouds. *Astrophys. J.* **1984**, 277, 581–604. [CrossRef]
- 43. Charnley, S.B.; Ehrenfreund, P.; Millar, T.J.; Boogert, A.C.A.; Markwick, A.J.; Butner, H.M.; Ruiterkamp, R.; Rodgers, S.D. Observational Tests for Grain Chemistry: Posterior Isotopic Labelling. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc* **2004**, 347, 157–162. [CrossRef]
- Jørgensen, J.K.; Van Der Wiel, M.H.; Coutens, A.; Lykke, J.M.; Müller, H.S.; Van Dishoeck, E.F.; Calcutt, H.; Bjerkeli, P.; Bourke, T.L.; Drozdovskaya, M.N.; et al. The ALMA Protostellar Interferometric Line Survey (PILS): First Results from an Unbiased Submillimeter Wavelength Line Survey of the Class 0 Protostellar Binary IRAS 16293–2422 with ALMA. *Astron. Astrophys.* 2016, 595, A117. [CrossRef]
- 45. Seager, S.; Petkowski, J.J.; Günther, M.N.; Bains, W.; Mikal-evans, T.; Deming, D. Possibilities for an Aerial Biosphere in Temperate Sub Neptune-sized Exoplanet Atmospheres. *Universe* **2021**, *7*, 172. [CrossRef]
- Snellen, I.A.; De Kok, R.J.; Le Poole, R.; Brogi, M.; Birkby, J. Finding Extraterrestrial Life using Ground-based High-dispersion Spectroscopy. Astrophys. J. 2013, 764, 182. [CrossRef]
- Rodler, F.; López-Morales, M. Feasibility Studies for the Detection of O₂ in an Earth-like Exoplanet. *Astrophys. J.* 2014, 781, 54. [CrossRef]

- 48. Serindag, D.B.; Snellen, I.A.G. Testing the Detectability of Extraterrestrial O₂ with the Extremely Large Telescopes Using Real Data with Real Noise. *Astrophys. J.* **2019**, *871*, L7. [CrossRef]
- 49. Rustamkulov, Z.; Sing, D.K.; Mukherjee, S.; May, E.M.; Kirk, J.; Schlawin, E.; Line, M.R.; Piaulet, C.; Carter, A.L.; Batalha, N.E.; et al. Early Release Science of the exoplanet WASP-39b with JWST NIRSpec PRISM. *Nature* 2023, *614*, 659–663. [CrossRef]
- 50. Mollière, P.; van Boekel, R.; Bouwman, J.; Henning, T.; Lagage, P.O.; Min, M. Observing transiting planets with JWST-Prime targets and their synthetic spectral observations. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2017**, *600*, A10. [CrossRef]
- 51. Epstein, S.; Zeiri, L. Oxygen and Carbon Isotopic Compositions of Gases Respired by Humans. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1988**, 85, 1727–1731. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Canfield, D.E. Biogeochemistry of Sulfur Isotopes. Rev. Mineral. Geochem. 2001, 43, 607–636. [CrossRef]
- 53. Nealson, K.H.; Rye, R. Evolution of Metabolism. In *Treatise on Geochemistry*; Schlesinger, W.H., Holland, H.D., Turekian, K.K., Eds.; Pergamon: Oxford, UK, 2003; Volume 8, p. 682. [CrossRef]
- Unkovich, M. Isotope Discrimination Provides New Insight into Biological Nitrogen Fixation. New Phytol. 2013, 198, 643–646. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Craine, J.M.; Brookshire, E.N.; Cramer, M.D.; Hasselquist, N.J.; Koba, K.; Marin-Spiotta, E.; Wang, L. Ecological Interpretations of Nitrogen Isotope Ratios of Terrestrial Plants and Soils. *Plant Soil* 2015, 396, 1–26. [CrossRef]
- 56. Denk, T.R.A.; Mohn, J.; Decock, C.; Lewicka-Szczebak, D.; Harris, E.; Butterbach-Bahl, K.; Kiese, R.; Wolf, B. The Nitrogen Cycle: A Review of Isotope Effects and Isotope Modeling Approaches. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2017**, *105*, 121–137. [CrossRef]
- 57. Bogard, M.J.; Vachon, D.; St.-Gelais, N.F.; del Giorgio, P.A. Using Oxygen Stable Isotopes to Quantify Ecosystem Metabolism in Northern Lakes. *Biogeochemistry* 2017, 133, 347–364. [CrossRef]
- Mooshammer, M.; Alves, R.J.; Bayer, B.; Melcher, M.; Stieglmeier, M.; Jochum, L.; Rittmann, S.K.; Watzka, M.; Schleper, C.; Herndl, G.J.; et al. Nitrogen Isotope Fractionation During Archaeal Ammonia Oxidation: Coupled Estimates From Measurements of Residual Ammonium and Accumulated Nitrite. *Front. Microbiol.* 2020, *11*, 1710. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 59. Wieloch, T.; Grabner, M.; Augusti, A.; Serk, H.; Ehlers, I.; Yu, J.; Schleucher, J. Metabolism is a major driver of hydrogen isotope fractionation recorded in tree-ring glucose of Pinus nigra. *New Phytol.* **2022**, 234, 449–461. [CrossRef]
- 60. Gordon, I.E.; Rothman, L.S.; Hill, C.; Kochanov, R.V.; Tan, Y.; Bernath, P.F.; Birk, M.; Boudon, V.; Campargue, A.; Chance, K.V.; et al. The HITRAN2016 molecular spectroscopic database. *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* 2017, 203, 3–69. [CrossRef]
- Kochanov, R.V.; Gordon, I.E.; Rothman, L.S.; Wcislo, P.; Hill, C.; Wilzewski, J. HITRAN Aapplication Programing Interface (HAPI): Extending HITRAN Capabilities. In Proceedings of the 71st International Symposium on Molecular Spectroscopy, Champaign, IL, USA, 20–24 June 2016; Volume 168, pp. 1097–1105.
- Rothman, L.S.; Rinsland, C.P.; Goldman, A.; Massie, S.T.; Edwards, D.P.; Flaud, J.M.; Perrin, A.; Camy-Peyret, C.; Dana, V.; Mandin, J.Y.; et al. The HITRAN Molecular Spectroscopic Database and HAWKS (HITRAN ATMOSPHERIC WORKSTATION): 1996 Edition. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf. 1998, 60, 665–710. [CrossRef]
- 63. Gordon, I.E.; Rothman, L.S.; Hargreaves, R.J.; Hashemi, R.; Karlovets, E.V.; Skinner, F.M.; Conway, E.K.; Hill, C.; Kochanov, R.V.; Tan, Y.; et al. The HITRAN2020 molecular spectroscopic database. *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf.* 2022, 277, 107949. [CrossRef]
- 64. De Biévre, P.; Gallet, M.; Holden, N.E.; Barnes, I.L. Isotopic Abundances and Atomic Weights of the Elements. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1984**, *13*, 809–891. [CrossRef]
- 65. Mollière, P.; Wardenier, J.P.; Van Boekel, R.; Henning, T.; Molaverdikhani, K.; Snellen, I.A. PetitRADTRANS: A Python radiative transfer package for exoplanet characterization and retrieval. *Astron. Astrophys.* **2019**, *627*. [CrossRef]
- 66. Madhusudhan, N. C/O ratio as a dimension for characterizing exoplanetary atmospheres. Astrophys. J. 2012, 758, 36. [CrossRef]
- 67. Kobayashi, C.; Karakas, A.I.; Umeda, H. The Evolution of Isotope Ratios in the Milky Way Galaxy. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 2011, 414, 3231–3250. [CrossRef]
- 68. Watson, W.D.; Anicich, V.G.; Huntress, W.T. Measurement and Significance of the Reaction 13C+ + 12CO->12C+ + 13CO for Alteration on the 13C/12C Ratio in Interstellar Molecules. *Astrophys. J.* **1976**, 205, 165–168. [CrossRef]
- 69. Smith, D.; Adams, N.G. Laboratory Studies of Isotope Fractionation in the Reactions of C+ and HCO+ with CO: Interstellar Implications. *Astrophys. J.* **1980**, 242, 424–431. [CrossRef]
- 70. Sheffer, Y.; Federman, S.; Lambert, D.L.; Cardelli, J.A. Sheffer_1992_ApJ_397_482S. Astrophys. J. 1992, 397, 482–491. [CrossRef]
- 71. Woods, P.M.; Willacy, K. Carbon Isotope Fractionation in Protoplanetary Disks. Astrophys. J. 2009, 693, 1360–1378. [CrossRef]
- 72. Yoshida, T.C.; Nomura, H.; Furuya, K.; Tsukagoshi, T.; Lee, S. A New Method for Direct Measurement of Isotopologue Ratios in Protoplanetary Disks: A Case Study of the 12CO/13CO Ratio in the TW Hya Disk. *Astrophys. J.* **2022**, *932*, 126. [CrossRef]
- 73. Lyons, J.R.; Gharib-Nezhad, E.; Ayres, T.R. A Light Carbon Isotope Composition for the Sun. Nat. Commun. 2018, 9. [CrossRef]
- 74. Smith, R.L.; Pontoppidan, K.M.; Young, E.D.; Morris, M.R. HETEROGENEITY in 12CO/13CO ABUNDANCE RATIOS TOWARD SOLAR-TYPE YOUNG STELLAR OBJECTS. *Astrophys. J.* 2015, *813*, 120. [CrossRef]
- Hayes, J.M. Fractionation of Carbon and Hydrogen Isotopes in Biosynthetic Processes*. *Rev. Mineral. Geochem.* 2001, 43, 225–277. [CrossRef]
- Zyakun, A.M.; Lunina, O.N.; Prusakova, T.S.; Pimenov, N.V.; Ivanov, M.V. Fractionation of stable carbon isotopes by photoautotrophically growing anoxygenic purple and green sulfur bacteria. *Microbiology* 2009, 78, 757–768. [CrossRef]
- 77. Havig, J.; Raymond, J.; Meyer-Dombard, D.; Zolotova, N.; Shock, E. Merging isotopes and community genomics in a siliceous sinter-depositing hot spring. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* **2011**, *116*, G01005. [CrossRef]

- Seager, S.; Turner, E.L.; Schafer, J.; Ford, E.B. Vegetation's Red Edge: A Possible Spectroscopic Biosignature of Extraterrestrial Plants. *Astrobiology* 2005, *5*, 372–390. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kiang, N.Y.; Segura, A.; Tinetti, G.; Govindjee; Blankenship, R.E.; Cohen, M.; Siefert, J.; Crisp, D.; Meadows, V.S. Spectral Signatures of Photosynthesis. II. Coevolution with Other Stars and the Atmosphere on Extrasolar Worlds. *Astrobiology* 2007, 7, 252–274. [CrossRef]
- Still, C.; Rastogi, B. What Drives Carbon Isotope Fractionation by the Terrestrial Biosphere? J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 2017, 122, 3108–3110. [CrossRef]
- McNevin, D.B.; Badger, M.R.; Whitney, S.M.; Von Caemmerer, S.; Tcherkez, G.G.; Farquhar, G.D. Differences in Carbon Isotope Discrimination of Three Variants of D-ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate Carboxylase/Oxygenase Reflect Differences in Their Catalytic Mechanisms. J. Biol. Chem. 2007, 282, 36068–36076. [CrossRef]
- 82. O'Leary, M.H. Carbon Isotopes in Photosynthesis. *BioScience* 1988, 38, 328–336. [CrossRef]
- Kirkels, F.M.; De Boer, H.J.; Concha Hernández, P.; Martes, C.R.; Van Der Meer, M.T.; Basu, S.; Usman, M.O.; Peterse, F. Carbon Isotopic Ratios of Modern C3 and C4 Vegetation on the Indian Peninsula and Changes along the Plant-Soil-River Continuum -Implications for Vegetation Reconstructions. *Biogeosciences* 2022, 19, 4107–4127. [CrossRef]
- Mattey, D.P. Carbon Dioxide Solubility and Carbon Isotope Fractionation in Basaltic Melt. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 1991, 55, 3467–3473. [CrossRef]
- 85. Bada, J.L. Volcanic Island Lightning Prebiotic Chemistry and the Origin of Life in the Early Hadean Eon. *Nat. Commun.* **2023**, 14, 2011. [CrossRef]
- 86. Ricci, A.; Fiebig, J.; Severi, P.; Tassi, F.; Hofmann, S.; Capecchiacci, F.; Vaselli, O. Extremely deuterium depleted methane revealed in high-temperature volcanic gases. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2024, *364*, 148–165. [CrossRef]
- Leman, L.; Orgel, L.; Ghadiri, M.R. Carbonyl Sulfide: Mediated Prebiotic Formation of Peptides. *Science* 2004, 306, 283–286. [CrossRef]
- Kempton, E.M.; Bean, J.L.; Louie, D.R.; Deming, D.; Koll, D.D.; Mansfield, M.; Christiansen, J.L.; López-Morales, M.; Swain, M.R.; Zellem, R.T.; et al. A Framework for Prioritizing the TESS Planetary Candidates Most Amenable to Atmospheric Characterization. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.* 2018, 130, 1–14. [CrossRef]
- 89. Ricker, G.R.; Winn, J.N.; Vanderspek, R.; Latham, D.W.; Bakos, G.; Bean, J.L.; Berta-Thompson, Z.K.; Brown, T.M.; Buchhave, L.; Butler, N.R.; et al. Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite. *J. Astron. Telesc. Instruments Syst.* **2014**, *1*, 014003. [CrossRef]
- Kunimoto, M.; Winn, J.; Ricker, G.R.; Vanderspek, R.K. Predicting the Exoplanet Yield of the TESS Prime and Extended Missions through Years 1–7. Astron. J. 2022, 163, 290. [CrossRef]
- Keeling, C.D.; Piper, S.C. Interannual Variations of Exchanges of Atmospheric CO₂ and ¹³CO₂ with the Terrestrial Biosphere and Oceans from 1978 to 2000; Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California: San Diego, CA, USA, 2000.
- NOAA. NOAA Global Monitoring Lab: Earth Systems Research Laboratories; Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California: San Diego, CA, USA, 2020.
- Kurganova, I.N.; Lopes de Gerenyu, V.O. Contribution of Abiotic Factors to CO2 Emission from Soils in the Freeze–Thaw Cycles. *Eurasian Soil Sci.* 2015, 48, 1009–1015. [CrossRef]
- 94. Olson, S.L.; Schwieterman, E.W.; Reinhard, C.T.; Ridgwell, A.; Kane, S.R.; Meadows, V.S.; Lyons, T.W. Atmospheric Seasonality as an Exoplanet Biosignature. *Astrophys. J.* **2018**, *858*, L14. [CrossRef]
- Meadows, V.S.; Reinhard, C.T.; Arney, G.N.; Parenteau, M.N.; Schwieterman, E.W.; Domagal-Goldman, S.D.; Lincowski, A.P.; Stapelfeldt, K.R.; Rauer, H.; Dassarma, S.; et al. Exoplanet Biosignatures: Understanding Oxygen as a Biosignature in the Context of Its Environment. *Astrobiology* 2018, 18, 630–662. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 96. Nomura, H.; Furuya, K.; Cordiner, M.A.; Charnley, S.B.; Alexander, C.M.O.; Nixon, C.A.; Guzman, V.V.; Yurimoto, H.; Tsukagoshi, T.; Iino, T. The Isotopic Links from Planet Forming Regions to the Solar System. *arXiv*, **2022**, arXiv:2203.10863. [CrossRef]
- 97. Brown, P.D.; Millar, T.J. Models of the Gas-Grain Interaction—Deuterium Chemistry. MNRAS 1989, 237, 661–671. [CrossRef]
- 98. Yurimoto, H.; Kuramoto, K. Molecular Cloud Origin for the Oxygen Isotope Heterogeneity in the Solar System. *Science* 2004, 305, 1763–1766. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Grant, S.L.; van Dishoeck, E.F.; Tabone, B.; Gasman, D.; Henning, T.; Kamp, I.; Güdel, M.; Lagage, P.O.; Bettoni, G.; Perotti, G.; et al. MINDS. The Detection of 13CO2 with JWST-MIRI Indicates Abundant CO2 in a Protoplanetary Disk. *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 2023, 947, L6. [CrossRef]
- McClure, M.K.; Rocha, W.R.; Pontoppidan, K.M.; Crouzet, N.; Chu, L.E.; Dartois, E.; Lamberts, T.; Noble, J.A.; Pendleton, Y.J.; Perotti, G.; et al. An Ice Age JWST Inventory of Dense Molecular Cloud Ices. *Nat. Astron.* 2023, 7, 431–443. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.