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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we develop some implications leading to Carathéodory functions in the open disk and provide some new conditions for functions to be $p$-valent functions. This work also extends the findings of Nunokawa and others.
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## 1. Introduction and Definitions

The notion of multivalent functions is a natural extension of the injective. A holomorphic function $f$ in an arbitrary domain $\Omega$, a subset of the complex-plane $\mathbb{C}$, is $p$-valent if it assumes every value a maximum of $p$-times, which means that the number of roots of the equation similar to $f(z)=w$ never exceeds in comparison of $p$. By the geometrical point of discussion, this leads to the fact that all points in the $w$-plane $\mathbb{C}$ lie, at most, $p$-times the corresponding Riemann surface, where $w=f(z)$ maps the domain $\Omega$. If $p=1$, then $f$ is univalent in $\Omega$. The $p$-valent mappings plays a vital role in the literature of the complex multivalent functions.

Suppose that $m$ is the number of roots $f(z)=w$ in the set $\Omega$ and let $p$ be a positive number. The function $f$ is said to be $p$-valent in the mean of circles in the domain $\Omega$, if for the number $\rho>0$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} m \rho e^{i \phi} d \phi<2 \pi p \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the geometric point of view, the inequality shows that the measure of the circle on the Riemann surface where $f$ maps $\Omega$, along with projecting $|w|=\rho$, never exceeds $p$-times the measure of this circle. A function $f$ is termed $p$-valent in the mean over areas in the domain $\Omega$, if we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\rho}\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi} m \varrho e^{i \phi} d \phi\right) \varrho d \varrho<\pi p \rho^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This integral inequality implies that the area of a small segment on the Riemann surface where $f$ takes points from $\Omega$ as well as projecting them on the region defined by $|w|<R$ and this never exceeds $p$-times the area of the region $|w|<R$. Multivalent functions have been under investigation in view of their distortion, as bounds for the coefficient estimates along with various other aspects; see, for example, [1-5].

Any convergent power series is used to represent a holomorphic mapping. If $f$ is holomorphic at a point $z_{0}$, it is analytic everywhere else in some neighbourhood of $z_{0}$. Furthermore, if $f$ is entire, then this domain is the finite complex plane. It is a difficult task to deal with the complicated domains in the entire complex plane. As a result, the open unit disc is often used for simplification due to the Riemann mapping theorem. Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote the family of holomorphic functions in $\mathbb{D}:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ consist of holomorphic functions $f$ satisfying $f^{\prime}(0)=1$ and $f(0)=0$. Further, assume that $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ consist of univalent functions. The analytic description of holomorphic mappings is coupled with the functions that map $\mathbb{D}$ to the right half-plane. Let $\mathcal{P}$ represent the family of functions $q$ that is holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $q(0)=1$ and $\Re q(\mathbb{D})>0$. The function $q \in \mathcal{P}$ is called Carathéodory function. It is known that the class $\mathcal{P}$ is compact and normal. In geometric function theory, the Carathéodory function is well-studied and has a lot of applications (see, for example, [6-9]).

Some known subfamilies of $\mathcal{S}$ are the families $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ of starlike and convex mappings, respectively; for detail and further investigations, see [10-15]. These families are related to the change in argument of the radius vector and tangent vector of the image of $r e^{i \varphi}$ as non-decreasing functions of the angle $\varphi$, respectively.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{p}(n)$ denote the class of analytic functions $f$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z^{p}+\sum_{s=p+n}^{\infty} a_{s} z^{s}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\mathcal{A}_{p}(1)=\mathcal{A}_{p}, \mathcal{A}_{1}(n)=A(n)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1}(1)=\mathcal{A}$. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ is called $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$ if $f$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$, the equation $f(z)=\omega$ has, at most, $p$ roots in $\mathbb{D}$ and there exists a $\omega_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $f(z)=\omega_{0}$ has exactly $p$ roots in $\mathbb{D}$.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ is said to be $p$-valent starlike if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that the $p$-valent starlike function in $\mathcal{A}(p)$ is $p$-valent. For some investigations on properties of $p$-valent functions, we refer to [16-19].

It was proved that $[20,21]$ if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{P}$; then, the function $f$ is univalent in $\mathbb{D}$. Ozaki [22] further extended the above assertion. They conclude that if $f$ is holomorphic in a convex domain $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{i \gamma} f^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in \mathcal{P}, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some real $\gamma$, then $f$ is, at most, $p$-valent in $\Delta$. Thus, if $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{f^{(p)}(z)\right\}>0, \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we see that $f$ is, at most, $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$.
Recently, Nunokawa et al. [23-26] found some interesting sufficient conditions for $f$ to be a $p$-valent function, which improved Ozaki's condition. Motivated from these works, we aim to develop some new sufficient criteria for Carathéodory functions and obtain certain new conditions for functions to be $p$-valent.

The following lemmas will be required for our results.
Lemma 1 (See [27]). Let $q(z)$ be holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ with $q(z) \neq 0$ and $q(0)=1$. Suppose also that there is a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\arg q(z)|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha$ for $|z|<\left|z_{0}\right|$ and $\left|\arg q\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha$ for some $\alpha>0$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{q\left(z_{0}\right)}=i k \alpha \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k \geq \frac{a+a^{-1}}{2}$ when $\arg q\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha$ and $k \leq-\frac{a+a^{-1}}{2}$ when $\arg q\left(z_{0}\right)=-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}= \pm i a, \quad a>0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2 (See [28]). Let $f \in \mathcal{A}(p)$. If there exists $a(p-s+1)$-valent starlike function $g$ in the form of

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z^{p-s+1}+\sum_{m=p-s+2}^{\infty} b_{m} z^{m} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{z f^{(s)}(z)}{g(z)}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$.

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 1. Let $q$ be a holomorphic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $q(z) \neq 0$ and $q(0)=1$. Suppose also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{[q(z)]^{n}+n[q(z)]^{n-1} z q^{\prime}(z)-\beta[q(z)]^{n-1}\right\}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{1}{n} \arctan \left(n \sqrt{\frac{n+2 \beta}{n}}\right), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \beta<1$. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\arg \{q(z)\}|<\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re(q(z))>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Suppose that we have a point $z_{0}$ with $\left|z_{0}\right|<1$ in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\arg \{q(z)\}|<\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad|z|<\left|z_{0}\right| \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left\{q\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}\right|=\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Lemma 1 with $\alpha=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{q\left(z_{0}\right)}=i k . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case $\arg q\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2}, q\left(z_{0}\right)=i a$ and $a>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n}+n\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1} z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-\beta\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1}\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{n} \arg \left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n}+\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{1+\frac{n z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{q\left(z_{0}\right)}-\frac{\beta}{\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]}\right\} \\
= & \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{1+n i k-\frac{\beta}{i a}\right\} \\
= & \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{1+i\left(n k+\frac{\beta}{a}\right)\right\} \\
= & \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{1+i \frac{n}{2}\left(a+\frac{n+2 \beta}{n a}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vartheta(x)=\frac{n}{2}\left(x+\frac{n+2 \beta}{n x}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, this function $\vartheta$ assumes its minimum value for $x=\sqrt{\frac{n+2 \beta}{n}}$. Therefore, in view of the above equality, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n}+n\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1} z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-\beta\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1}\right\} \geq \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{1}{n} \arctan \left(n \sqrt{\frac{n+2 \beta}{n}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts the hypothesis (11). When $\arg q\left(z_{0}\right)=-\frac{\pi}{2}$, using the similar technique, we get that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n}+n\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1} z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)-\beta\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1}\right\} \geq-\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{1}{n} \arctan \left(n \sqrt{\frac{n+2 \beta}{n}}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

This above inequality also contradicts the hypothesis (11). The proof is thus completed.
Corollary 1. Let $p \geq 2$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}(n)$ satisfying that $f^{(p-1)} \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{D}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!}-\beta\left(\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!z}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\right\}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{1}{n} \arctan \left(n \sqrt{\frac{n+2 \beta}{n}}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \beta<1$, then $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$.
Proof. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[q(z)]^{n}=\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!z}, \quad q(0)=1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then on simplification, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{[q(z)]^{n}+n[q(z)]^{n-1} z q^{\prime}(z)-\beta\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1}\right\}\right| \\
= & \left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!}-\beta\left(\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!. z}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\right\}\right| \\
= & \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{1}{n} \arctan \left(n \sqrt{\frac{n+2 \beta}{n}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{z}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that the mapping $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$.
Taking $n=1$ and $\beta=0$, we easily get the following result obtained by Nunokawa [29].
Corollary 2. Let $p \geq 2$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left\{f^{(p)}(z)\right\}\right|<\frac{3 \pi}{4}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$.
Theorem 2. Let $q(z)$ be a holomorphic mapping in $\mathbb{D}$ with $q(0)=1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$. Further, suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{[q(z)]^{n}+n[q(z)]^{n-1} \frac{z q^{\prime}(z)}{q(z)}+\beta[q(z)]^{n-1}\right\}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{1}{n} \arctan \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{n(n+2)}}, \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \leq \beta<\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\arg q(z)|<\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We suppose that there is a point $z_{0}\left(\left|z_{0}\right|<1\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\arg \{q(z)\}|<\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad|z|<\left|z_{0}\right| \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg \left\{q\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}\right|=\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by using Lemma 1 with $\alpha=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{q\left(z_{0}\right)}=i k, \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case $\arg \left\{q\left(z_{0}\right)\right\}=\frac{\pi}{2}$ with $q\left(z_{0}\right)=i a$ and $a>0$, we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n}+n\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1} \frac{z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{q\left(z_{0}\right)}+\beta\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1}\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{n} \arg \left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n}+\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{1+\frac{n z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{q\left(z_{0}\right)} \cdot \frac{1}{q\left(z_{0}\right)}+\frac{\beta}{q\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \\
= & \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{1+n k \frac{1}{a}-i \frac{\beta}{a}\right\} \\
= & \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{1}{n} \arctan \left(\frac{-\beta}{a+n k}\right) \\
\geq & \frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{1}{n} \arctan \left(\frac{\beta}{a+\frac{n}{2}\left(a+\frac{1}{a}\right)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(x)=x+\frac{n}{2}\left(x+\frac{1}{x}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to note that $\zeta$ takes the minimum value for $x=\sqrt{\frac{n}{n+2}}$. Therefore, on some simple manipulation, the above equality leads to

$$
\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n}+n\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1} \frac{z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{q\left(z_{0}\right)}+\beta\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1}\right\} \geq \frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{1}{n} \arctan \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{n(n+2)}},
$$

which contradicts the hypothesis in (24). For the case $\arg q\left(z_{0}\right)=-\frac{\pi}{2}$, applying the same method as the above, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n}+n\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1} \frac{z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{q\left(z_{0}\right)}+\beta\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1}\right\} \\
\geq & -\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{1}{n} \arctan \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{n(n+2)}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which also contradicts the hypothesis as in (24). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. Let $q$ be a holomorphic function in $\mathbb{D}$ with $q(0)=1$ and $q(z) \neq 0$. Suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{1}{n} \sqrt{[q(z)]^{n}+n[q(z)]^{n-1} z q^{\prime}(z)}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\arg \{q(z)\}|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}$ is the positive zero or root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}+\frac{2}{n \pi} \arctan \left(n \alpha_{1}\right)=2 . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Assume that there is a point $z_{0}\left(\left|z_{0}\right|<1\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\arg q(z)|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{1}, \quad\left(|z|<\left|z_{0}\right|\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg q\left(z_{0}\right)\right|=\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{1} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by using Lemma 1 with $\alpha=\alpha_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{q\left(z_{0}\right)}=i \alpha_{1} k \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case $\arg q\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \arg \sqrt{\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n}+n\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1} z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)} \\
= & \frac{1}{2 n}\left(\arg \left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n}+\arg \left\{1+\frac{z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}{q\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2 n}\left(\frac{n \pi}{2} \alpha_{1}+\arg \left\{1+n i k \alpha_{1}\right\}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2}\left(\alpha_{1}+\frac{2}{n \pi} \arctan \left(n \alpha_{1}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{\pi}{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re \frac{1}{n}\left\{\sqrt{\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n}+n\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1} z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \leq 0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this contradicts the hypothesis as in (30). For $\arg q\left(z_{0}\right)=-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{1}$, using the similar technique yields to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{n} \arg \sqrt{\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n}+n\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1} z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)} \leq-\frac{\pi}{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{1}{n} \sqrt{\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n}+n\left[q\left(z_{0}\right)\right]^{n-1} z_{0} q^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)}\right\} \leq 0 . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

This also contradicts the hypothesis in (30) and, therefore, the assertion is concluded.
Corollary 3. Suppose that $p \geq 4$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p}(n)$ satisfying that $f^{(k)}(z) \neq 0(k=p-1, p-2$, $p-3)$ in $\mathbb{D}$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{f^{(p)}(z)\right\}\right|<\pi, \quad(z \in \mathbb{D}) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the mapping $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$.

Proof. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[q_{1}(z)\right]^{n}=\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!z}, \quad q_{1}(0)=1 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then a simple simplification leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[q_{1}(z)\right]^{n}+n\left[q_{1}(z)\right]^{n-1} z q_{1}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of Theorem 3, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{z}\right\}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left[q_{1}(z)\right]^{n}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}$ is the positive zero or root of the above equation given by (32). Next, let us put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[q_{2}(z)\right]^{n}=\frac{2 f^{(p-2)}(z)}{p!z^{2}}, \quad q_{2}(0)=1 \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then a simple calculation leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left[q_{2}(z)\right]^{n}+n\left[q_{2}(z)\right]^{n-1} z q_{2}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{2 f^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!z} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha_{2}$ be a positive zero or root of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha+\frac{2}{n \pi} \arctan \left(\frac{n \alpha}{2}\right)=\alpha_{1} . \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that there exists a point $z_{1}$ with $\left|z_{1}\right|<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\arg q_{2}(z)\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{2}, \quad|z|<\left|z_{1}\right| \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left|\arg q_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)\right|=\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{2}$, then we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z_{1} q_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}{q_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)}=i \alpha_{2} k \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the choice of $\arg q_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{2\left[q_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)\right]^{n}+n\left[q_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)\right]^{n-1} z_{1} q_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\frac{f^{(p-1)}\left(z_{1}\right)}{z_{1}}\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{n} \arg \left[q_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)\right]^{n}+\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{2+n \frac{z_{1} q_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}{q_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)}\right\} \\
= & \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{2}+\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{2+n i k \alpha_{2}\right\} \\
= & \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{2}+\frac{1}{n} \arctan \left(\frac{n \alpha_{2}}{2}\right)=\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

which contradicts the result in (42). For the assumption $\arg q_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)=-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{2}$, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{2\left[q_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)\right]^{n}+n\left[q_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)\right]^{n-1} z_{1} q_{2}^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right\} \\
= & \frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\frac{2 f^{(p-1)}\left(z_{1}\right)}{p!z_{1}}\right\}=\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\frac{f^{(p-1)}\left(z_{1}\right)}{z_{1}}\right\} \leq-\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This also contradicts (42). Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left[q_{2}\left(z_{1}\right)\right]^{n}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\frac{f^{(p-2)}(z)}{z^{2}}\right\}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{2}+\frac{2}{n \pi} \arctan \left(\frac{n \alpha_{2}}{2}\right)=\alpha_{1}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[q_{3}(z)\right]^{n}=\frac{6 f^{(p-3)}(z)}{p!z^{3}}, \quad q_{3}(0)=1 \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
3\left[q_{3}(z)\right]^{n}+n\left[q_{3}(z)\right]^{n-1} z q_{3}^{\prime}(z)=\frac{6 f^{(q-2)}(z)}{q!\cdot z^{2}} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the similar approach as adopted above, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{3\left[q_{3}(z)\right]^{n}+n\left[q_{3}(z)\right]^{n-1} z q_{3}^{\prime}(z)\right\}\right| \\
= & \left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left[q_{3}(z)\right]^{n}+\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{3+n \frac{z q_{3}^{\prime}(z)}{q_{3}(z)}\right\}\right| \\
= & \left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\frac{6 f^{(p-2)}(z)}{p!z^{2}}\right\}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\frac{f^{(p-2)}(z)}{z^{2}}\right\}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\frac{z f^{(p-3)}(z)}{z^{4}}\right\}\right|=\left|\frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{\frac{z f^{(p-3)}(z)}{z^{3}}\right\}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_{3}<\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re\left\{\frac{z f^{(p-3)}(z)}{z^{4}}\right\}>0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D} \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we note that $g(z)=z^{4}$ is a four-valent starlike function in $\mathbb{D}$. Therefore, using the result in (52) and Lemma 2, we observe that $f$ is $p$-valent in $\mathbb{D}$. This leads to the desired result in Corollary 3.

## 3. Conclusions

Analytic $p$-valent functions were intensively studied recently, as in [30-32]. In the present paper, we introduced several sufficient conditions for functions to be $p$-valent. Some simple criteria on $p$-valents are obtained. This generalizes some know results and may inspire more effective and concise univalent conditions in geometric function theory.
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