



# Article Some New Sufficient Conditions on *p*-Valency for Certain Analytic Functions

Lei Shi <sup>1</sup>, Muhammad Arif <sup>2</sup>,\*, Syed Zakar Hussain Bukhari <sup>3</sup> and Malik Ali Raza <sup>3</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> School of Mathematics and Statistics, Anyang Normal University, Anyang 455002, China
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Abdul Wali khan University Mardan, Mardan 23200, Pakistan
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Mirpur University of Science and Technology, Mirpur 10250, Pakistan
  - Correspondence: marifmaths@awkum.edu.pk

**Abstract:** In the present paper, we develop some implications leading to Carathéodory functions in the open disk and provide some new conditions for functions to be *p*-valent functions. This work also extends the findings of Nunokawa and others.

Keywords: multivalent functions; p-valent functions; Carathéodory functions

MSC: 30C45; 30C80

## 1. Introduction and Definitions

The notion of multivalent functions is a natural extension of the injective. A holomorphic function f in an arbitrary domain  $\Omega$ , a subset of the complex-plane  $\mathbb{C}$ , is p-valent if it assumes every value a maximum of p-times, which means that the number of roots of the equation similar to f(z) = w never exceeds in comparison of p. By the geometrical point of discussion, this leads to the fact that all points in the w-plane  $\mathbb{C}$  lie, at most, p-times the corresponding Riemann surface, where w = f(z) maps the domain  $\Omega$ . If p = 1, then f is univalent in  $\Omega$ . The p-valent mappings plays a vital role in the literature of the complex multivalent functions.

Suppose that *m* is the number of roots f(z) = w in the set  $\Omega$  and let *p* be a positive number. The function *f* is said to be *p*-valent in the mean of circles in the domain  $\Omega$ , if for the number  $\rho > 0$ , we can write

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} m\rho e^{i\phi} d\phi < 2\pi p. \tag{1}$$

From the geometric point of view, the inequality shows that the measure of the circle on the Riemann surface where *f* maps  $\Omega$ , along with projecting  $|w| = \rho$ , never exceeds *p*-times the measure of this circle. A function *f* is termed *p*-valent in the mean over areas in the domain  $\Omega$ , if we have

$$\int_{0}^{\rho} \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} m \varrho e^{i\phi} d\phi \right) \varrho d\varrho < \pi p \rho^{2}.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

This integral inequality implies that the area of a small segment on the Riemann surface where *f* takes points from  $\Omega$  as well as projecting them on the region defined by |w| < R and this never exceeds *p*-times the area of the region |w| < R. Multivalent functions have been under investigation in view of their distortion, as bounds for the coefficient estimates along with various other aspects; see, for example, [1–5].



Citation: Shi, L.; Arif, M.; Bukhari, S.Z.H.; Raza, M.A. Some New Sufficient Conditions on *p*-Valency for Certain Analytic Functions. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 295. https:// doi.org/10.3390/axioms12030295

Academic Editors: Miodrag Mateljevic, Roman Ger and Gradimir V. Milovanović

Received: 26 December 2022 Revised: 16 February 2023 Accepted: 9 March 2023 Published: 13 March 2023



**Copyright:** © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).

Any convergent power series is used to represent a holomorphic mapping. If f is holomorphic at a point  $z_0$ , it is analytic everywhere else in some neighbourhood of  $z_0$ . Furthermore, if f is entire, then this domain is the finite complex plane. It is a difficult task to deal with the complicated domains in the entire complex plane. As a result, the open unit disc is often used for simplification due to the Riemann mapping theorem. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  denote the family of holomorphic functions in  $\mathbb{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ consist of holomorphic functions f satisfying f'(0) = 1 and f(0) = 0. Further, assume that  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  consist of univalent functions. The analytic description of holomorphic mappings is coupled with the functions that map  $\mathbb{D}$  to the right half-plane. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  represent the family of functions *q* that is holomorphic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with q(0) = 1 and  $\Re q(\mathbb{D}) > 0$ . The function  $q \in \mathcal{P}$  is called Carathéodory function. It is known that the class  $\mathcal{P}$  is compact and normal. In geometric function theory, the Carathéodory function is well-studied and has a lot of applications (see, for example, [6–9]).

Some known subfamilies of S are the families  $S^*$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  of starlike and convex mappings, respectively; for detail and further investigations, see [10-15]. These families are related to the change in argument of the radius vector and tangent vector of the image of  $re^{i\varphi}$  as non-decreasing functions of the angle  $\varphi$ , respectively.

Let  $\mathcal{A}_p(n)$  denote the class of analytic functions *f* in the form

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{s=p+n}^{\infty} a_s z^s, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
(3)

In particular,  $A_p(1) = A_p$ ,  $A_1(n) = A(n)$  and  $A_1(1) = A$ . A function  $f \in A_p$  is called *p*-valent in  $\mathbb{D}$  if *f* for  $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ , the equation  $f(z) = \omega$  has, at most, *p* roots in  $\mathbb{D}$  and there exists a  $\omega_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f(z) = \omega_0$  has exactly p roots in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

A function  $f \in A_p$  is said to be *p*-valent starlike if

$$\Re\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
(4)

It is known that the *p*-valent starlike function in  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  is *p*-valent. For some investigations on properties of *p*-valent functions, we refer to [16–19].

It was proved that [20,21] if  $f \in A$  with  $f' \in P$ ; then, the function f is univalent in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Ozaki [22] further extended the above assertion. They conclude that if f is holomorphic in a convex domain  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$  and

$$\frac{e^{i\gamma}f^{(p)}(z)}{p!} \in \mathcal{P}, \quad z \in \Delta,$$
(5)

for some real  $\gamma$ , then f is, at most, p-valent in  $\Delta$ . Thus, if  $f \in A_p$  with the condition

$$\Re\left\{f^{(p)}(z)\right\} > 0, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \tag{6}$$

then we see that *f* is, at most, *p*-valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

Recently, Nunokawa et al. [23–26] found some interesting sufficient conditions for f to be a *p*-valent function, which improved Ozaki's condition. Motivated from these works, we aim to develop some new sufficient criteria for Carathéodory functions and obtain certain new conditions for functions to be *p*-valent.

The following lemmas will be required for our results.

**Lemma 1** (See [27]). Let q(z) be holomorphic in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $q(z) \neq 0$  and q(0) = 1. Suppose also that there is a point  $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$  such that  $|\arg q(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha$  for  $|z| < |z_0|$  and  $|\arg q(z_0)| = \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ . Then,

$$\frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} = ik\alpha,\tag{7}$$

$$[q(z_0)]^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} = \pm ia, \quad a > 0.$$
(8)

**Lemma 2** (See [28]). Let  $f \in A(p)$ . If there exists a (p - s + 1)-valent starlike function g in the form of

$$g(z) = z^{p-s+1} + \sum_{m=p-s+2}^{\infty} b_m z^m$$
(9)

such that

$$\Re\left\{\frac{zf^{(s)}(z)}{g(z)}\right\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$
(10)

*then* f *is* p*-valent in*  $\mathbb{D}$ *.* 

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 1.** Let q be a holomorphic function in  $\mathbb{D}$  with  $q(z) \neq 0$  and q(0) = 1. Suppose also that

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\arg\left\{[q(z)]^{n}+n[q(z)]^{n-1}zq'(z)-\beta[q(z)]^{n-1}\right\}\right| < \frac{\pi}{2}+\frac{1}{n}\arctan\left(n\sqrt{\frac{n+2\beta}{n}}\right), \quad (11)$$

where  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ . Then, we have

$$|\arg\{q(z)\}| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$
 (12)

or

$$\Re(q(z)) > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
 (13)

**Proof.** Suppose that we have a point  $z_0$  with  $|z_0| < 1$  in such a way that

$$|\arg\{q(z)\}| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad |z| < |z_0|,$$
 (14)

and

$$|\arg\{q(z_0)\}| = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$
 (15)

Then, by Lemma 1 with  $\alpha = 1$ , we have

$$\frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} = ik.$$
(16)

For the case  $\arg q(z_0) = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $q(z_0) = ia$  and a > 0, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{ [q(z_0)]^n + n[q(z_0)]^{n-1} z_0 q'(z_0) - \beta [q(z_0)]^{n-1} \right\}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{n} \arg[q(z_0)]^n + \frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{ 1 + \frac{n z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} - \frac{\beta}{[q(z_0)]} \right\}$   
=  $\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{ 1 + nik - \frac{\beta}{ia} \right\}$   
=  $\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{ 1 + i\left(nk + \frac{\beta}{a}\right) \right\}$   
=  $\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{ 1 + i\left(nk + \frac{\beta}{a}\right) \right\}$ .

Define

$$\vartheta(x) = \frac{n}{2} \left( x + \frac{n+2\beta}{nx} \right). \tag{17}$$

Then, this function  $\vartheta$  assumes its minimum value for  $x = \sqrt{\frac{n+2\beta}{n}}$ . Therefore, in view of the above equality, we see that

$$\frac{1}{n}\arg\left\{\left[q(z_0)\right]^n + n[q(z_0)]^{n-1}z_0q'(z_0) - \beta[q(z_0)]^{n-1}\right\} \ge \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\arctan\left(n\sqrt{\frac{n+2\beta}{n}}\right),\tag{18}$$

which contradicts the hypothesis (11). When arg  $q(z_0) = -\frac{\pi}{2}$ , using the similar technique, we get that:

$$\frac{1}{n}\arg\Big\{[q(z_0)]^n + n[q(z_0)]^{n-1}z_0q'(z_0) - \beta[q(z_0)]^{n-1}\Big\} \ge -\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{n}\arctan\left(n\sqrt{\frac{n+2\beta}{n}}\right).$$
(19)

This above inequality also contradicts the hypothesis (11). The proof is thus completed.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 1.** Let  $p \ge 2$ . If  $f \in A_p(n)$  satisfying that  $f^{(p-1)} \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{D}$  and

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\arg\left\{\frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!}-\beta\left(\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!z}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}\right\}\right| < \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{n}\arctan\left(n\sqrt{\frac{n+2\beta}{n}}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}, \quad (20)$$

where  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ , then f is p-valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Proof.** Assume that

$$[q(z)]^{n} = \frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!z}, \quad q(0) = 1.$$
(21)

Then on simplification, it follows that

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{ [q(z)]^n + n[q(z)]^{n-1} z q'(z) - \beta [q(z_0)]^{n-1} \right\} \right|$$
  
=  $\left| \frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{ \frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!} - \beta \left( \frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{p! . z} \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \right\} \right|$   
=  $\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \arctan\left( n \sqrt{\frac{n+2\beta}{n}} \right).$ 

From Theorem 1, we have

$$\Re\left\{\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{z}\right\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
(22)

This shows that the mapping *f* is *p*-valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .  $\Box$ 

Taking n = 1 and  $\beta = 0$ , we easily get the following result obtained by Nunokawa [29].

**Corollary 2.** Let  $p \ge 2$ . If  $f \in A_p$  and

$$\left|\arg\left\{f^{(p)}(z)\right\}\right| < \frac{3\pi}{4}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$
(23)

then f is p-valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let q(z) be a holomorphic mapping in  $\mathbb{D}$  with q(0) = 1 and  $q(z) \neq 0$ . Further, suppose that

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\arg\left\{[q(z)]^{n}+n[q(z)]^{n-1}\frac{zq'(z)}{q(z)}+\beta[q(z)]^{n-1}\right\}\right|<\frac{\pi}{2}-\frac{1}{n}\arctan\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{n(n+2)}},\quad(24)$$

where  $0 \leq \beta < \infty$ . Then

$$|\arg q(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
 (25)

**Proof.** We suppose that there is a point  $z_0$  ( $|z_0| < 1$ ) such that

$$|\arg\{q(z)\}| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad |z| < |z_0|,$$
 (26)

and

$$|\arg\{q(z_0)\}| = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$
 (27)

Then, by using Lemma 1 with  $\alpha = 1$ , we have

$$\frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} = ik,$$
(28)

For the case  $\arg\{q(z_0)\} = \frac{\pi}{2}$  with  $q(z_0) = ia$  and a > 0, we observe that

$$\frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{ [q(z_0)]^n + n[q(z_0)]^{n-1} \frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} + \beta[q(z_0)]^{n-1} \right\}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{n} \arg[q(z_0)]^n + \frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{ 1 + \frac{nz_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} \cdot \frac{1}{q(z_0)} + \frac{\beta}{q(z_0)} \right\}$   
=  $\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{ 1 + nk\frac{1}{a} - i\frac{\beta}{a} \right\}$   
=  $\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \arctan\left(\frac{-\beta}{a+nk}\right)$   
 $\geq \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \arctan\left(\frac{\beta}{a+\frac{n}{2}\left(a+\frac{1}{a}\right)}\right).$ 

Let

$$\zeta(x) = x + \frac{n}{2}\left(x + \frac{1}{x}\right).$$
(29)

It is easy to note that  $\zeta$  takes the minimum value for  $x = \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+2}}$ . Therefore, on some simple manipulation, the above equality leads to

$$\frac{1}{n}\arg\left\{[q(z_0)]^n + n[q(z_0)]^{n-1}\frac{z_0q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} + \beta[q(z_0)]^{n-1}\right\} \ge \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{n}\arctan\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{n(n+2)}},$$

which contradicts the hypothesis in (24). For the case arg  $q(z_0) = -\frac{\pi}{2}$ , applying the same method as the above, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{ [q(z_0)]^n + n[q(z_0)]^{n-1} \frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} + \beta [q(z_0)]^{n-1} \right\}$$
  
$$\geq -\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{1}{n} \arctan \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{n(n+2)}}\right),$$

which also contradicts the hypothesis as in (24). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.** Let q be a holomorphic function in  $\mathbb{D}$  with q(0) = 1 and  $q(z) \neq 0$ . Suppose that

$$\Re\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sqrt{\left[q(z)\right]^n + n\left[q(z)\right]^{n-1}zq'(z)}\right\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
(30)

Then we have

$$|\arg\{q(z)\}| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$
(31)

where  $\alpha_1$  is the positive zero or root of the equation

$$\alpha_1 + \frac{2}{n\pi} \arctan(n\alpha_1) = 2.$$
(32)

**Proof.** Assume that there is a point  $z_0$  ( $|z_0| < 1$ ) such that

$$|\arg q(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_1, \quad (|z| < |z_0|)$$
 (33)

and

$$\left|\arg q(z_0)\right| = \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_1. \tag{34}$$

Then, by using Lemma 1 with  $\alpha = \alpha_1$ , we have

$$\frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} = i\alpha_1 k,$$
(35)

For the case arg  $q(z_0) = \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_1$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \arg \sqrt{[q(z_0)]^n + n[q(z_0)]^{n-1} z_0 q'(z_0)}$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2n} \left( \arg[q(z_0)]^n + \arg \left\{ 1 + \frac{z_0 q'(z_0)}{q(z_0)} \right\} \right)$   
=  $\frac{1}{2n} \left( \frac{n\pi}{2} \alpha_1 + \arg\{1 + nik\alpha_1\} \right)$   
=  $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\pi}{2} \left( \alpha_1 + \frac{2}{n\pi} \arctan(n\alpha_1) \right)$   
=  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ ,

which implies that

$$\Re \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \sqrt{\left[q(z_0)\right]^n + n[q(z_0)]^{n-1} z_0 q'(z_0)} \right\} \le 0,$$
(36)

and this contradicts the hypothesis as in (30). For  $\arg q(z_0) = -\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_1$ , using the similar technique yields to

$$\frac{1}{n}\arg\sqrt{\left[q(z_0)\right]^n + n[q(z_0)]^{n-1}z_0q'(z_0)} \le -\frac{\pi}{2},\tag{37}$$

or

$$\Re\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sqrt{\left[q(z_0)\right]^n + n[q(z_0)]^{n-1}z_0q'(z_0)}\right\} \le 0.$$
(38)

This also contradicts the hypothesis in (30) and, therefore, the assertion is concluded.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 3.** Suppose that  $p \ge 4$  and  $f \in A_p(n)$  satisfying that  $f^{(k)}(z) \ne 0$  (k = p - 1, p - 2, p - 3) in  $\mathbb{D}$ . If

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\arg\left\{f^{(p)}(z)\right\}\right| < \pi, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$
(39)

then the mapping f is p-valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ .

**Proof.** Assume that

$$[q_1(z)]^n = \frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!z}, \quad q_1(0) = 1.$$
(40)

Then a simple simplification leads to

$$[q_1(z)]^n + n[q_1(z)]^{n-1}zq_1'(z) = \frac{f^{(p)}(z)}{p!}.$$
(41)

In view of Theorem 3, we obtain that

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\arg\left\{\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z)}{z}\right\}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{n}\arg[q_1(z)]^n\right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_1, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},\tag{42}$$

where  $\alpha_1$  is the positive zero or root of the above equation given by (32). Next, let us put

$$[q_2(z)]^n = \frac{2f^{(p-2)}(z)}{p!z^2}, \quad q_2(0) = 1.$$
(43)

Then a simple calculation leads to

$$2[q_2(z)]^n + n[q_2(z)]^{n-1}zq_2'(z) = \frac{2f^{(p-1)}(z)}{p!z}.$$
(44)

Let  $\alpha_2$  be a positive zero or root of the equation

$$\alpha + \frac{2}{n\pi} \arctan\left(\frac{n\alpha}{2}\right) = \alpha_1. \tag{45}$$

Suppose that there exists a point  $z_1$  with  $|z_1| < 1$  such that

$$|\arg q_2(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_2, \quad |z| < |z_1|$$
 (46)

and  $|\arg q_2(z_1)| = \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_2$ , then we write

$$\frac{z_1 q_2'(z_1)}{q_2(z_1)} = i\alpha_2 k. \tag{47}$$

For the choice of arg  $q_2(z_1) = \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_2$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{2[q_2(z_1)]^n + n[q_2(z_1)]^{n-1}z_1q_2'(z_1)\right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z_1)}{z_1}\right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \arg[q_2(z_1)]^n + \frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{2 + n\frac{z_1q_2'(z_1)}{q_2(z_1)}\right\}$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{n} \arg\{2 + nik\alpha_2\}$$
$$= \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{n} \arctan(\frac{n\alpha_2}{2}) = \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_1,$$

which contradicts the result in (42). For the assumption  $\arg q_2(z_1) = -\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_2$ , we note that

$$\frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{2[q_2(z_1)]^n + n[q_2(z_1)]^{n-1}z_1q_2'(z_1)\right\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{\frac{2f^{(p-1)}(z_1)}{p!z_1}\right\} = \frac{1}{n} \arg\left\{\frac{f^{(p-1)}(z_1)}{z_1}\right\} \le -\frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_1.$$

This also contradicts (42). Hence, we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\arg[q_2(z_1)]^n\right| = \left|\frac{1}{n}\arg\left\{\frac{f^{(p-2)}(z)}{z^2}\right\}\right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_2, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},\tag{48}$$

where  $\alpha_2 + \frac{2}{n\pi} \arctan(\frac{n\alpha_2}{2}) = \alpha_1$ . Let

$$[q_3(z)]^n = \frac{6f^{(p-3)}(z)}{p!z^3}, \quad q_3(0) = 1.$$
(49)

Then we see that

$$3[q_3(z)]^n + n[q_3(z)]^{n-1}zq'_3(z) = \frac{6f^{(q-2)}(z)}{q!z^2}.$$
(50)

Using the similar approach as adopted above, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{ 3[q_3(z)]^n + n[q_3(z)]^{n-1} z q'_3(z) \right\} \right| \\ = \left| \frac{1}{n} \arg[q_3(z)]^n + \frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{ 3 + n \frac{z q'_3(z)}{q_3(z)} \right\} \right| \\ = \left| \frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{ \frac{6f^{(p-2)}(z)}{p! z^2} \right\} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{n} \arg \left\{ \frac{f^{(p-2)}(z)}{z^2} \right\} \right| < \frac{\pi}{2} \alpha_2. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\left|\frac{1}{n}\arg\left\{\frac{zf^{(p-3)}(z)}{z^4}\right\}\right| = \left|\frac{1}{n}\arg\left\{\frac{zf^{(p-3)}(z)}{z^3}\right\}\right| < \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha_3 < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D},\tag{51}$$

or

$$\Re\left\{\frac{zf^{(p-3)}(z)}{z^4}\right\} > 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
(52)

Thus, we note that  $g(z) = z^4$  is a four-valent starlike function in  $\mathbb{D}$ . Therefore, using the result in (52) and Lemma 2, we observe that f is p-valent in  $\mathbb{D}$ . This leads to the desired result in Corollary 3.  $\Box$ 

## 3. Conclusions

Analytic *p*-valent functions were intensively studied recently, as in [30–32]. In the present paper, we introduced several sufficient conditions for functions to be *p*-valent. Some simple criteria on *p*-valents are obtained. This generalizes some know results and may inspire more effective and concise univalent conditions in geometric function theory.

**Author Contributions:** The idea of the present paper comes from M.A. and L.S.; S.Z.H.B. wrote and completed the calculations. M.A.R. checked the results. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No data were used in this study.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest. The authors declare that there are no competing interests.

## References

- 1. Hayman, W.K. Multivalent Functions; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1958.
- 2. Leach, R.J. Coefficient estimates for certain multivalent functions. Pac. J. Math. 1978, 74, 133–142.
- 3. Khan, Q.; Arif, M.; Ahmad, B.; Tang, H. On analytic multivalent functions associated with lemniscate of Bernoulli. *AIMS Math.* **2020**, *5*, 2261–2271. [CrossRef]
- 4. Alb Lupaş, A. Applications of the *q*-Sălăgean differential operator involving multivalent functions. *Axioms* **2022**, *11*, 512. [CrossRef]
- 5. Lashin, A.M.Y.; El-Emam, F.Z. On certain classes of multivalent analytic functions defined with higher-order derivatives. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 83. [CrossRef]
- 6. Mateljević, M.; Mutavdžić, N.; Örnek, B.N. Note on some classes of holomorphic functions related to Jack's and Schwarz's lemma. *Appl. Anal. Discret. Math.* **2022**, *16*, 111–131. [CrossRef]
- Nunokawa, M.; Sokół, J. On the order of strong starlikeness and the radii of starlikeness for of some close-to-convex functions. *Anal. Math. Phys.* 2019, 9 2367–2378. [CrossRef]
- 8. Shi, L.; Arif, M.; Iqbal, J.; Ullah, K.; Ghufran, S.M. Sharp bounds of Hankel determinant on logarithmic coefficients for functions starlike with exponential function. *Fractal Fract.* **2022**, *6*, 645. [CrossRef]
- 9. Shi, L.; Srivastava, H.M.; Rafiq, A.; Arif, M.; Ihsan, M. Results on Hankel determinants for the inverse of certain analytic functions subordinated to the exponential function. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 3429. [CrossRef]
- 10. Ronning, F. Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1993**, *118*, 189–196. [CrossRef]
- 11. Kanas, S.; Wiśniowska, A. Conic domains and starlike functions. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 2000, 45, 647–657.
- 12. Mateljević, M. Schwarz lemma and Kobayashi metrics for harmonic and holomorphic functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2018**, 464, 78–100. [CrossRef]
- 13. Khalfallah, A.; Mateljević, M.; Purtić, B. Schwarz–Pick Lemma for harmonic and Hyperbolic harmonic functions. *Results Math.* **2022**, 77, 167. [CrossRef]
- 14. Mateljević, M.; Mutavdžić, N. The boundary Schwarz lemma for harmonic and pluriharmonic mappings and some generalizations. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 2022, 45, 3177–3195. [CrossRef]
- 15. Nunokawa, M. On the order of strongly starlikeness of strongly convex functions. *Proc. Jp. Acad. Ser. A* **1993**, 69, 234–237. [CrossRef]
- 16. Arif, M.; Ayaz, M.; Iqbal, J.; Haq, W. Sufficient conditions for functions to be in a class of *p*-valent analytic functions. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **2013**, *16*, 159–164.
- 17. Arif, M. Sufficiency criteria for a class of *p*-valent analytic functions of complex order. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2013**, 2013, 517296. [CrossRef]
- 18. Cho, N.E.; Aouf, M.K.; Srivastava, R. The principle of differential subordination and its application to analytic and *p*-valent functions defined by a generalized fractional differintegral operator. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 1083. [CrossRef]
- 19. Hadid, S.B.; Ibrahim, R.W.; Momani, S. Multivalent functions and differential operator extended by the quantum calculus. *Fractal Fract.* **2022**, *6*, 354. [CrossRef]
- 20. Warschawski, S. On the higher derivatives at the boundary in conformal mappings. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **1935**, *38*, 310–340. [CrossRef]
- 21. Noshiro, K. On the theory of schlicht functions. J. Fac. Sci. Hokkaido Univ. 1934, 1, 129–155. [CrossRef]
- 22. Ozaki, S. On the theory of multivalent functions. *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku A* **1935**, *2*, 167–188.
- 23. Nunokawa, M.; Sokół, J. On the multivalency of certain analytic functions. J. Ineq. Appl. 2014, 2014, 357. [CrossRef]
- 24. Nunokawa, M.; Sokół, J. On some geometric properties of multivalent functions. J. Ineq. Appl. 2015, 2015, 300. [CrossRef]
- 25. Nunokawa, M.; Cho, N.E.; Kwon, O.S.; Sokół, J. An improvement of Ozaki's *q*-valent conditions. *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.* 2016, 32, 406–410. [CrossRef]
- Nunokawa, M.; Sokół, J.; Trojnar-Spelina, L. On a sufficient condition for function to be *p*-valent close-to-convex. *Ramanujan J.* 2020, 53, 483–492. [CrossRef]
- 27. Nunokawa, M. On properties of non-Carathéodory functions. Proc. Jpn. Acad. Ser. A 1992, 68, 152–153. [CrossRef]
- 28. Nunokawa, M. On the theory of multivalent functions. *Tsukuba J. Math.* **1987**, *11*, 273–286. [CrossRef]
- 29. Nunokawa, M. A note on multivalent functions. *Tsukuba J. Math.* 1989, 1, 453–455. [CrossRef]
- 30. Khan, Q.; Dziok, J.; Raza, M.; Arif, M. Sufficient conditions for *p*-valent functions. *Math. Slovaca* 2021, 71, 1089–1102. [CrossRef]
- 31. Cotîrlă, L.I.; Juma, A.R.S. Properties of differential subordination and superordination for multivalent functions associated with the convolution operators. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 169. [CrossRef]
- 32. Liu, J.L.; Srivastava, R. Hadamard products of certain classes of *p*-valent starlike functions. *Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat.* 2019, 113, 2001–2015. [CrossRef]

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.