

Article

Convergence of Inexact Iterates of Monotone Nonexpansive Mappings with Summable Errors

Simeon Reich  and Alexander J. Zaslavski

Department of Mathematics, The Technion—Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel

* Correspondence: sreich@technion.ac.il

Abstract: In our 2006 paper with D. Butnariu, it was shown that the convergence of iterates of a nonexpansive self-mapping of a complete metric space is stable in the presence of summable computational errors. In the present paper, we establish such results for monotone nonexpansive mappings.

Keywords: complete metric space; fixed point; inexact iterate; monotone nonexpansive mapping

MSC: 47H09; 47H10; 54E50

1. Introduction

For more than 60 years now, there has been considerable research activity regarding the fixed point theory of various classes of nonexpansive operators [1–15]. The starting point of these efforts is Banach’s seminal result [16] on the existence of a unique fixed point for a strict contraction. It also concerns the convergence of iterates of a nonexpansive operator to one of its fixed points. Since that classical theorem, many developments have taken place in this field. See, for example, [15,17–20].

In our 2006 paper with D. Butnariu [3], it was shown that the convergence of iterates of a nonexpansive self-mapping of a complete metric space is stable in the presence of summable computational errors. In the present paper, our goal is to establish such results for *monotone* nonexpansive mappings. Note that the study of monotone nonexpansive mapping is a well-established area of research. For pertinent examples and applications of solving matrix and ordinary differential equations, see [21,22]. The results of [3] and the present paper show that the convergence of iterates remains in force even when small computational errors are taken into account. Needless to say, such errors always occur in calculations.

Assume that (X, ρ) is a complete metric space. For every point $\xi \in X$ and each non-empty set $D \subset X$, put

$$\rho(\xi, D) := \inf\{\rho(\xi, \eta) : \eta \in D\}.$$

For every point $\xi \in X$ and every positive number Δ , put

$$B(\xi, \Delta) := \{\eta \in X : \rho(\xi, \eta) \leq \Delta\}.$$

Finally, for every operator mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$, let $T^0 \xi = \xi$ for every point $\xi \in X$.

In [3] the authors analyzed the convergence of orbits of nonexpansive operators in complete metric spaces in the presence of computational errors and obtained the following result (see also Theorem 2.72 on page 97 of [13]).

Theorem 1. Assume that a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies

$$\rho(T(x), T(y)) \leq \rho(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$



Citation: Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J. Convergence of Inexact Iterates of Monotone Nonexpansive Mappings with Summable Errors. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/axioms12010015>

Academic Editor: Chris Goodrich

Received: 29 November 2022

Revised: 16 December 2022

Accepted: 19 December 2022

Published: 23 December 2022



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

and assume that for every point $x \in X$, the sequence $\{T^n x\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in (X, ρ) .

Assume further that the sequences $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset X$ and $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset (0, \infty)$ satisfy the conditions

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n < \infty$$

and

$$\rho(x_{n+1}, T(x_n)) \leq r_n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to a fixed point of T in (X, ρ) .

Theorem 1 has found interesting applications and is an important ingredient in the study of superiorization and perturbation resilience of algorithms. See, for example, [23–27] and references mentioned therein.

2. The Main Results

Assume that (X, ρ) is a complete metric space equipped with an order $\leq$, such that $x \leq x$ for each $x \in X$, if $x, y \in X$ satisfy $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$, then $x = y$, and if $x, y, z \in X$ satisfy $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$, then $x \leq z$.

Assume that a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies

$$T(x) \leq T(y) \text{ for each } x, y \in X \text{ such that } x \leq y \quad (1)$$

and

$$\rho(T(x), T(y)) \leq \rho(x, y) \text{ for each } x, y \in X \text{ such that } x \leq y. \quad (2)$$

In this paper, we establish the following results which are proved in Section 4.

Theorem 2. Assume that for every point $x \in X$, the sequence $\{T^i(x)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ converges. Let a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ satisfy the conditions

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho(x_{i+1}, T(x_i)) < \infty \quad (3)$$

and

$$x_{i+1} \geq T(x_i) \text{ for each integer } i \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

Then the sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ converges. If, in addition, T is continuous, then its limit is a fixed point of T .

Theorem 3. Assume that F is a non-empty subset of X , for every point $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^i(x), F) = 0$$

and that a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies (3) and (4). Then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_i, F) = 0$.

Theorem 4. Assume that for every point $x \in X$, there exists a compact set $E(x) \subset X$, such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho(T^i(x), E(x)) = 0$$

and that a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies (3) and (4). Then, there exists a compact set $E \subset X$, such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_i, E) = 0$.

3. An Auxiliary Result

Lemma 1. Assume that a mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies (1) and (2) and that a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho(x_{i+1}, T(x_i)) < \infty \quad (5)$$

and

$$x_{i+1} \geq T(x_i) \text{ for each integer } i \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

that $n_0 \geq 0$ is an integer, and that

$$y_{n_0} = x_{n_0}$$

and

$$y_{i+1} = T(y_i) \text{ for every integer } i > n_0. \quad (7)$$

Then, for each integer $n > n_0$, we have

$$x_n \geq y_n \quad (8)$$

and

$$\rho(x_n, y_n) \leq \sum_{i=n_0+1}^n \rho(x_i, T(x_{i-1})). \quad (9)$$

Proof. In view of (6) and (7),

$$x_{n_0+1} \geq T(x_{n_0}) = T(y_{n_0}) = y_{n_0+1},$$

$$\rho(y_{n_0+1}, x_{n_0+1}) = \rho(T(y_{n_0}), x_{n_0+1}) = \rho(x_{n_0+1}, T(x_{n_0}))$$

and relations (8) and (9) hold with $n = n_0 + 1$.

Assume that $n > n_0$ is a natural number and that Equations (8) and (9) hold. By (7), we have

$$\rho(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \leq \rho(x_{n+1}, T(x_n)) + \rho(T(x_n), T(y_n)). \quad (10)$$

In view of (8),

$$\rho(T(x_n), T(y_n)) \leq \rho(x_n, y_n). \quad (11)$$

Relations (9) and (11) imply that

$$\rho(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \leq \rho(x_{n+1}, T(x_n)) + \rho(x_n, y_n) \leq \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{n+1} \rho(x_i, T(x_{i-1})).$$

It follows from (2) and (6)–(8) that

$$x_{n+1} \geq T(x_n) \geq T(y_n) = y_{n+1}.$$

Thus, (8) and (9) hold for $n + 1$ too. Thus, the assumption made for n holds for $n + 1$ too. This completes the proof of Lemma 1. $\square$

4. Proofs of Theorems 2–4

Proof of Theorem 2. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a natural number n_0 , such that

$$\sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} \rho(x_i, T(x_{i-1})) < \epsilon/2. \quad (12)$$

Set

$$y_{n_0} = x_{n_0}$$

and

$$y_{i+1} = T(y_i) \text{ for each integer } i \geq n_0. \quad (13)$$

Lemma 1 and relations (12) and (13) imply that for every natural number $n > n_0$, we have

$$\rho(x_n, y_n) \leq \epsilon/2. \quad (14)$$

In view of (13), there exists

$$y_* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n. \quad (15)$$

By (14) and (15), for all sufficiently large natural numbers n ,

$$\rho(x_n, y_*) \leq \rho(x_n, y_n) + \rho(y_n, y_*) \leq \epsilon.$$

Thus $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence and there exists

$$x_* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n.$$

Clearly, if T is continuous, then x_* is a fixed point of T . Theorem 2 is proved. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3. Given a positive number ϵ , there exists a natural number n_0 , such that Equation (12) holds. Define a sequence $\{y_i\}_{i=n_0}^\infty$ by (13). Lemma 1 and relations (12) and (13) imply that for every natural number $n > n_0$, we have

$$\rho(x_n, y_n) \leq \epsilon/2.$$

In view of (13) and the above inequality, for every sufficiently large natural number n , we have

$$\rho(x_n, F) \leq \rho(x_n, y_n) + \rho(y_n, F) < \epsilon.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a natural number n_0 such that Equation (12) holds. Define a sequence $\{y_i\}_{i=n_0}^\infty$ by (13). Lemma 1 and relations (12) and (13) imply that for every natural number $n > n_0$, we have

$$\rho(x_n, y_n) \leq \epsilon/2.$$

In view of (13), there exists a compact set $E_0 \subset X$, such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(y_n, E_0) = 0.$$

Clearly, for every sufficiently large natural number $n > n_0$, we have

$$\rho(x_n, E_0) \leq \rho(x_n, y_n) + \rho(y_n, E_0) < \epsilon.$$

Thus, we have shown that there exists a compact set E , such that

$$\rho(x_n, E_0) < \epsilon$$

for each sufficiently large natural number $n > n_0$. We may assume that E_0 is finite. This implies that each subsequence of $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ has a convergent subsequence. Denote by E the set of all limit points of the sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$. It is not difficult to see that E is compact and that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x_i, E) = 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4. $\square$

5. Conclusions

We have extended the convergence result of [3], which was established for inexact iterates of a nonexpansive self-mapping of a complete metric space, to monotone non-expansive mappings. Such mappings have applications to solving matrix and ordinary differential equations (see [21,22]).

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally to this work. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The first author was partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation (Grant No. 820/17), by the Fund for the Promotion of Research at the Technion and by the Technion General Research Fund.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: Both authors are grateful to four anonymous referees for their useful comments and helpful suggestions.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Alolaiyan, H.A.; Ali, B.; Abbas, M. Fixed point results of Edelstein-Suzuki type multivalued mappings on b-metric spaces with applications. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* **2017**, *10*, 1201–1214. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Betiuk-Pilarska, A.; Benavides, T.D. Fixed points for nonexpansive mappings and generalized nonexpansive mappings on Banach lattices. *Pure Appl. Func. Anal.* **2016**, *1*, 343–359.
- Butnariu, D.; Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J. Convergence to fixed points of inexact orbits of Bregman-monotone and of nonexpansive operators in Banach spaces. In *Fixed Point Theory and Its Applications*; Yokohama Publishers: Yokohama, Japan, 2006; pp. 11–32.
- de Blasi, F.S.; Myjak, J. Sur la convergence des approximations successives pour les contractions non linéaires dans un espace de Banach. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **1976**, *283*, 185–187.
- Edelstein, M. An extension of Banach’s contraction principle. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1961**, *12*, 7–10.
- Goebel, K.; Kirk, W.A. *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- Goebel, K.; Reich, S. *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Mappings*; Marcel Dekker: New York, NY, USA; Basel, Switzerland, 1984.
- Jachymski, J. Extensions of the Dugundji-Granas and Nadler’s theorems on the continuity of fixed points. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2017**, *2*, 657–666.
- Kirk, W.A. Contraction mappings and extensions. In *Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory*; Kluwer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2001; pp. 1–34.
- Kubota, R.; Takahashi, W.; Takeuchi, Y. Extensions of Browder’s demiclosedness principle and Reich’s lemma and their applications. *Pure Appl. Func. Anal.* **2016**, *1*, 63–84.
- Rakotch, E. A note on contractive mappings. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1962**, *13*, 459–465. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Reich, S. Fixed points of contractive functions. *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* **1972**, *5*, 26–42.
- Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J. Genericity in nonlinear analysis. In *Developments in Mathematics*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2014; Volume 34.
- Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J. Convergence and well-posedness properties of uniformly locally contractive mappings. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.*, accepted for publication.
- Zaslavski, A.J. Approximate solutions of common fixed point problems. In *Springer Optimization and Its Applications*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2016.
- Banach, S. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. *Fund. Math.* **1922**, *3*, 133–181. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Censor, Y.; Zaknoon, M. Algorithms and convergence results of projection methods for inconsistent feasibility problems: A review. *Pure Appl. Func. Anal.* **2018**, *3*, 565–586.
- Gibali, A. A new split inverse problem and an application to least intensity feasible solutions. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2017**, *2*, 243–258.
- Takahashi, W. The split common fixed point problem and the shrinking projection method for new nonlinear mappings in two Banach spaces. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2017**, *2*, 685–699.
- Takahashi, W. A general iterative method for split common fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces and applications. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2018**, *3*, 349–369.
- Nieto, J.J.; Rodríguez-López, R. Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations. *Order* **2006**, *22*, 223–239. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Ran, A.C.; Reurings, M.C.B. A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **2004**, *132*, 1435–1443. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Bauschke, H.H.; Koch, V.R. Projection methods: Swiss army knives for solving feasibility and best approximation problems with half-spaces. *Contemp. Math.* **2015**, *636*, 1–40.
- Butnariu, D.; Davidi, R.; Herman, G.T.; Kazantsev, I.G. Stable convergence behavior under summable perturbations of a class of projection methods for convex feasibility and optimization problems. *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Signal Process.* **2007**, *1*, 540–547. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Censor, Y.; Davidi, R.; Herman, G.T. Perturbation resilience and superiorization of iterative algorithms. *Inverse Probl.* **2010**, *26*, 12. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

26. Censor, Y.; Davidi, R.; Herman, G.T.; Schulte, R.W.; Tetrushvili, L. Projected subgradient minimization versus superiorization. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **2014**, *160*, 730–747. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Nikazad, T.; Davidi, R.; Herman, G.T. Accelerated perturbation-resilient block-iterative projection methods with application to image reconstruction. *Inverse Probl.* **2012**, *28*, 19. [[CrossRef](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.