In our paper, the thermometer and Oxygen fugacity are estimated using the trace element concentrations in zircon and melt composition (represented by whole-rock). The detail methods are descripted as following.

Blundy and Wood [1] showed a model to predict the mineral melt partition coefficient for a cation (i) by the lattice strain energy, which created by substituting a cation whose ionic radius (*r*i) differs from the optimal value for that site (*r*0) according the equation:

$$\ln D_{\rm i} = \ln D_0 - \frac{4\pi E N_{\rm A}}{RT} \left(\frac{r_{\rm i}}{3} + \frac{r_0}{6}\right) (r_{\rm i} - r_0)^2 \tag{1}$$

Where *D*i is partition coefficient of element i, D_0 is the strain compensated partition coefficient, N_A is Avogdro's number, *R* is the gas constant, and *T* is the temperature (K). When *D*0, N_A , *R* and *T* are treated as constants, the ln*D*i versus (ri/3 + r0/6)(ri - r0)2 yields a linear relation.

Based on the lattice strain model, the zircon Ce^{4+}/Ce^{3+} ratios could use following formula [2].

$$\left(\frac{Ce^{4+}}{Ce^{3+}}\right)_{zircon} = \frac{Ce_{melt} - \frac{Ce_{zircon}}{D_{Ce^{3+}}^{zircon/melt}}}{\frac{Ce_{zircon}}{D_{Ce^{4+}}^{zircon/melt}} - Ce_{melt}}$$
(2)

Where Ce melt and Ce zircon are the concentrations of Ce in melt and zircon, respectively. *D*Ce³⁺ and *D*Ce⁴⁺ are partial coefficients calculated from the linear fit of trivalent cations (REE³⁺), and tetravalent cations (such as, Th⁴⁺, U⁴⁺and Hf⁴⁺), respectively (Figure S1).



Figure S1. Diagrammatic representation of zircon/rock distribution coefficients by a latticestrain parameter for trivalent and tetravalent cations[2]. The r_i represent ionic radius of a cation i, and r_0 is ionic radius of the optimal cation.

Partition coefficients for $D_{Ce^{3+}}$ and $D_{Ce^{4+}}$ can be determined by interpolation. Due to La and Pr are present very low concentration levels in natural zircons, and Eu, U are multivalent elements, these elements should be excluded in the calculation procedure [3]. Values of r_0 used for 3+ and 4+ cations were 0.93 Å (determined by regression) and 0.84 Å (8-fold coordinated Zr⁴⁺), and ionic radii for r_i were from Shannon [4]. Smythe and Brenan [3] descripted a following equality for estimating Ce⁴⁺/Ce³⁺ in melt:

$$\left(\frac{Ce^{4+}}{Ce^{3+}}\right)_{melt} = 1.04877 * \left[\frac{Ce_{zircon} - \left(Ce_{melt} * D_{Ce^{3+}}^{zircon/melt}\right)}{\left(Ce_{melt} * D_{Ce^{4+}}^{zircon/melt}\right) - Ce_{zircon}}\right]$$
(3)

Where $D_{Ce^{3+}}$ and $D_{Ce^{4+}}$ can be obtained from Equation (2). The proportions of Ce³⁺ and Ce⁴⁺ are related to fO_2 of melt which can be obtain through the following equation by Smythe and Brenan [3]:

$$\ln\left(\frac{Ce^{4+}}{Ce^{3+}}\right)_{\text{melt}} = \frac{1}{4}\ln fO_2 + \frac{13136(\pm 591)}{T} - 2.064(\pm 0.011)\frac{\text{NBO}}{T} - 8.878(\pm 0.112) *$$

$$\text{xH}_2O - 8.955(\pm 0.091)$$
(4)

Where NBO/T is the proportion of non-bridging oxygens to tetrahedrally coordinated cations calculated on an anhydrous basis that can be calculated by the bulk element composition [5], and xH₂O is the mole fraction of water dissolved in the melt. Ce⁴⁺/Ce³⁺ in melt can be obtained from Equation (2) and T is temperature degrees in K determined using the Ti-in-zircon thermometer as described below.

The Ti-in-zircon thermometer has been widely used to identify magma forming or metamorphism temperatures. The experimentally calibrated thermometer is provided using the expression [6]:

$$\log(Ti_{\rm zircon}) = (6.01 \pm 0.03) - \frac{5080 \pm 30}{T(K)}$$
(5)

Where *Ti*_{zircon} denote the concentration of Ti in zircon in ppm, and T is temperature degrees in K.

The concentration of trace elements for melts are represented by average values of two samples from Guojialiang monzonites in Huyanshan complex by Ying et al. [7] presented in Table S1.

Trace Element (ppm)	La	Ce	Pr	Nd	Sm	Eu	Gd	Tb	Dy	Ho	
XML08-2	36.5	64.1	7.74	28.6	4.98	1.66	4.45	0.67	3.95	0.8	
XM108-3	22.1	52.8	6.84	25.2	4.69	1.32	4	0.6	3.57	0.69	
Average	29.30	58.45	7.29	26.90	4.84	1.49	4.23	0.64	3.76	0.75	
Trace element (ppm)	Er	Tm	Yb	Lu	Hf	Th	U	Та	Zr	Y	
XML08-2	2.27	0.35	2.28	0.35	4.3	4.2	0.9	0.45	156	23	
XM108-3	1.95	0.3	1.92	0.29	4.2	3.2	0.6	0.43	149	19	
Average	2.11	0.33	2.10	0.32	4.25	3.70	0.75	0.44	152.50	21.00	
Major element (wt. %)	SiO ₂	TiO ₂	Al ₂ O ₃	TFe ₂ O ₃	MnO	MgO	CaO	Na ₂ O	K ₂ O	P_2O_5	LOI
XML08-2	62	0.29	19	3.29	0.1	0.69	1.86	5.22	5.32	0.11	2.07
XM108-3	61.5	0.26	18.4	3.13	0.13	0.31	2.65	6.74	4.76	0.11	1.98
Average	61.75	0.28	18.70	3.21	0.12	0.50	2.26	5.98	5.04	0.11	2.03

Table S1. Major and trace element data for the Guojialiang monzonites from Huyanshan complex.

References

- Blundy, J.; Wood, B. Prediction of crystal-melt partition coefficients from elastic-moduli. *Nature* 1994, 372, 452–454.
- Ballard, J.R.; Palin, M.J.; Campbell, I.H. Relative oxidation states of magmas inferred from Ce(IV)/Ce(III) in zircon: application to porphyry copper deposits of northern Chile. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* 2002, 144, 347–364.
- 3. Smythe, D.J.; Brenan, J.M. Magmatic oxygen fugacity estimated using zircon-melt partitioning of cerium. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **2016**, 453, 260–266.
- 4. Shannon, R.D. Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides and Chaleogenides. *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* **1976**, *32*, 751–767.
- 5. Smythe, D.J.; Brenan, J.M. Cerium oxidation state in silicate melts: Combined *f*O2, temperature and compositional effects. *Geochim. Et Cosmochim. Acta* **2015**, *170*, 173–187.

- 6. Watson, E.B.; Wark, D.A.; Thomas, J.B. Crystallization thermometers for zircon and rutile. *Contrib. Mineral. Petrol.* **2006**, *151*, 413–433.
- 7. Ying, J.F.; Zhang, H.F.; Tang, Y.J. Crust-mantle interaction in the central North China Craton during the Mesozoic: Evidence from zircon U–Pb chronology, Hf isotope and geochemistry of syenitic-monzonitic intrusions from Shanxi province. *Lithos* **2011**, *125*, 449–462.