# Accelerated Subgradient Extragradient Algorithm for Solving Bilevel System of Equilibrium Problems 

Somyot Plubtieng ${ }^{1}$ and Tadchai Yuying ${ }^{2, * *}$<br>1 Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Naresuan University, Phitsanulok 65000, Thailand; somyotp@nu.ac.th<br>2 Department of Mathematics, Uttaradit Rajabhat University, Uttaradit 53000, Thailand<br>* Correspondence: tadchai.yuy@uru.ac.th

Citation: Plubtieng, S.; Yuying, T. Accelerated Subgradient Extragradient Algorithm for Solving Bilevel System of Equilibrium Problems. Symmetry 2023, 15, 1681. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/sym15091681

Academic Editors: Hasanen A. Hammad and Luis Manuel
Sánchez Ruiz
Received: 28 July 2023
Revised: 23 August 2023
Accepted: 24 August 2023
Published: 31 August 2023


Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/).


#### Abstract

In this research paper, we propose a novel approach termed the inertial subgradient extragradient algorithm to solve bilevel system equilibrium problems within the realm of real Hilbert spaces. Our algorithm is capable of circumventing the necessity for prior knowledge about the Lipschitz constant of the involving bifunction and only computes the minimization of strong bifunctions onto the feasible set that is required. Under appropriate conditions, we establish strong convergence theorems for our proposed algorithms. To validate our algorithms, we illustrate a series of numerical examples. Through these examples, we demonstrate the performance of the algorithms we have put forth in this paper.
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## 1. Introduction

Throughout this article, let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of $H . I=1,2, \ldots, N$ is set a finite index. This work studies the bilevel system of equilibrium problems (shortly, $\operatorname{BSEP}\left(g_{i}, f, C\right)$ ) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Find } x^{*} \in \Omega=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} S E P\left(g_{i}, C\right) \text { such that } f\left(x^{*}, y\right) \geq 0 \text { for every } y \in \Omega \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ and $\left\{g_{i}\right\}_{i \in I}$ are finite family of bifunctions from $H \times H$ to $\mathbb{R}$, such that $f(x, x)=0$ and $g_{i}(x, x)=0$ for every $x \in H ; S E P\left(g_{i}, C\right)$ is the nonempty solution set of the equilibrium problem defined as follows:

$$
g_{i}\left(x^{*}, y\right) \geq 0 \text { for all } y \in C
$$

The solution set of (1) is denoted as $\Omega^{*}$.
In the case of $N=1$, we see that the $\operatorname{BSEP}\left(g_{i}, f, C\right)$ can be considered on bilevel equilibrium problems, introduced in 2000 by Chadli et al. [1] and developed by Moudafi [2] (see also [3-9]), such that the bilevel equilibrium problem is defined by the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Find } x^{*} \in S E P(g, C) \text { such that } f\left(x^{*}, y\right) \geq 0 \text { for every } y \in S E P(g, C) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ and $g$ are bifunctions from $H \times H$ to $\mathbb{R}$. $\operatorname{SEP}(g, C)$ is the nonempty solution set of the equilibrium problem defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(x^{*}, y\right) \geq 0 \text { for every } y \in C . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The authors of [10] show that the function $f$ is strong monotonicity and of Lipschitztype continuity. Then, the Equation (2) has a unique solution. Equation (3), referred
to as the Ky Fan inequality, is an homage to the contributions of this field [11], and Equation (3) can be transformed into many special cases, for instance, fixed point problems, variational inequality problems, optimization problems, saddle point problems, and the Nash equilibrium problem in noncooperative game; see details in [12-16].

The proximal-like method was presented as the first methods to solve the Equation (3). This methodology, rooted in the auxiliary problem principle, was presented in [17]. Under different assumptions, the bifunction is pseudomonotone and Lipschitz-type continuous; it obtains the convergence result see more in [18]. More precisely, the method in [18] is generated by sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ as follows:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in C \\
y_{n}=\operatorname{argmin}\left\{\lambda f\left(x_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|y-x_{n}\right\|^{2}: y \in C\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=\operatorname{argmin}\left\{\lambda f\left(y_{n}, z\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|z-x_{n}\right\|^{2}: z \in C\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\lambda>0$ is a suitable parameter. In recent years, many authors paid attention to the integration of inertial techniques into traditional algorithms that aimed to modify algorithms to solve Equation (3) (see [19,20]). It is underscored that most algorithms must use the knowledge of Lipschitz-type constants of the bifunction in order to choose suitable stepsize $\lambda$. These constants are often limitations or not practical for actual use in practice. Nevertheless, two optimization sub-problems on the feasible set $C$ need to be solved during each iteration, which is high overhead and affects the performance of the algorithm. To circumvent this problem, many authors introduced a self-adaptive stepsize procedure so that the knowledge of Lipschitz-type constants of the bifunction is not necessary (see [21,22]).

For the bilevel equilibrium Equation (2), there are many methods to solve Equation (2). The authors of [2] introduced a simple proximal method and obtained a weak convergence to solve Equation (2). By using the proximal method and Halpern method to solve the bilevel monotone equilibrium and fixed point problem [6]. For more bilevel equilibrium problem details and recent works on the methods to solve equilibrium problems, we refer the reader to [3-5,23,24].

Recently, Anh et al. [25] proposed a new explicit extragradient algorithm for solving a class of bilevel equilibriums, which is generated by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in C \\
y_{n}=\operatorname{argmin}\left\{\lambda_{n}\left(g\left(x_{n}, y\right)+\Phi(y)\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|y-x_{n}\right\|^{2}: y \in C\right\} \\
z_{n}=\operatorname{argmin}\left\{\lambda_{n}\left(g\left(y_{n}, z\right)+\Phi(z)\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|z-x_{n}\right\|^{2}: z \in C\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=\operatorname{argmin}\left\{\beta_{n} f\left(z_{n}, t\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|y-z_{n}\right\|^{2}: t \in C\right\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

under the bifunctions $f$ and $g$, which are Lipschitz continuous and monotone on $C$. The convergence of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is obtained. Moreover, the strong convergence is obtained under the main assumptions that the Lipschitz-type constant of the bifunction is known.

Motivated and inspired by all of the above contributions, in this work, we will propose iterative algorithms for finding the solution of the bilevel system of equilibrium problems. The strong convergence of the sequence generated by the proposed method is obtained under the main assumptions that the Lipschitz-type constant of the bifunction is unknow. Finally, we present a numerical result of our algorithm, which show that our algorithm has efficiency.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this part, we present some definitions and lemmas in the following for proving convergent theorem. For each $x, y \in H$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x+y\|^{2} \leq\|x\|^{2}+2\langle y, x+y\rangle . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
(i) $f$ is $\beta$-strongly monotone on $C$ if

$$
f(x, y)+f(y, x) \leq-\beta\|x-y\|^{2} \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

(ii) $f$ is monotone on $C$ if

$$
f(x, y)+f(y, x) \leq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

(iii) $f$ is pseudomonotone on $C$ if

$$
f(x, y) \geq 0 \Rightarrow f(y, x) \leq 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

For each $x \in H$, let $f(x, \cdot)$ be convex, and the subdifferential of $f(x,$.$) at x$, denoted by $\partial_{2} f(x, x)$, is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{2} f(x, x) & =\{w \in H: f(x, y)-f(x, x) \geq\langle w, y-x\rangle \forall y \in H\} \\
& =\{w \in H: f(x, y) \geq\langle w, y-x\rangle \forall y \in H\} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

studied in [26].
Lemma 1 ([15]). Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space and $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset in $H$. Let $g: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex, lower semicontinuous, and subdifferentialble function on $C$. Then, we have $x^{*}$ is a solution to the convex optimization problem

$$
\min \{g(x): x \in C\}
$$

if and only if $0 \in \partial g\left(x^{*}\right)+N_{C}\left(x^{*}\right)$, where $\partial g(\cdot)$ denote the subdifferential of $g$ and $N_{C}\left(x^{*}\right)$ is the normal cone of $C$ at $x^{*}$.

Lemma 2 ([27]). Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of non-negative real numbers, $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers in $(0,1)$ with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$, and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Assume that

$$
x_{n+1} \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) x_{n}+\alpha_{n} y_{n}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\limsup \operatorname{sum}_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{n_{k}} \leq 0$ for every subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ satisfying $\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(x_{n_{k}+1}-x_{n_{k}}\right) \geq 0$, then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=0$.

Lemma 3 ([23]). Let $f: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be $\beta$-strongly monotone, and $x \mapsto \partial_{2} f(x, x)$ is L-Lipschitz continuous on every bounded subset of $C$. Let $0<\alpha<1,0 \leq \eta \leq 1-\alpha$ and $0<\mu<\frac{2 \beta}{L^{2}}$. For each $x, y \in C, w \in \partial_{2} f(x, x)$ and $v \in \partial_{2} f(y, y)$, we have

$$
\|(1-\eta) x-\alpha \mu w-[(1-\eta) y-\alpha \mu v]\| \leq(1-\eta-\alpha \tau)\|x-y\|
$$

where $\tau=1-\sqrt{1-\mu\left(2 \beta-\mu L^{2}\right)} \in(0,1]$.
In order to solve a solution of $\operatorname{BSEP}\left(g_{i}, f, C\right)$, we must use the following assumptions:

## Conditions I

(1) $f(x, \cdot)$ is convex, weakly lower semicontinuous, and subdifferentiable on $H$ for every fixed $x \in C$;
(2) $f(\cdot, y)$ is weakly upper semicontinuous on $H$ for every fixed $y \in C$;
(3) $f: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $\beta$-strongly monotone on $H$.
(4) The mapping $x \rightarrow \partial_{2} f(x, x)$ is bounded and L-Lipschitz continuous on every bounded subset of $C$.

## Conditions II

(1) $g(x,$.$) is convex, weakly lower semicontinuous, and subdifferentiable on H$, for every fixed $x \in C$.
(2) $g(\cdot, y)$ is weakly upper semicontinuous on $H$ for every fixed $y \in C$;
(3) $g$ is pseudomonotone on $C$ with respect to $\operatorname{SEP}(g, C)$, i.e.,

$$
g\left(x, x^{*}\right) \leq 0, \forall x \in C, x^{*} \in \operatorname{SEP}(g, C) ;
$$

(4) $g$ is Lipschitz-type continuous, i.e, there is two positive constants $L_{1}, L_{2}$ such that

$$
g(x, y)+g(y, z) \geq g(x, z)-L_{1}\|x-y\|^{2}-L_{2}\|y-z\|^{2}, \forall x, y, z \in H
$$

(5) $g$ is jointly weakly continuous on $H \times H$ in the sense that, if $x, y \in C$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{y_{n}\right\} \in C$ converge weakly to $x$ and $y$, respectively, then $g\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow g(x, y)$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$;
(6) Let $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ be a positive sequence such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{\alpha_{n}}=0$, where $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\} \subset(0,1)$ satisfies the following conditions : $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=\infty$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0$. Moreover, the sequence $\left\{\eta_{n}^{i}\right\} \subseteq[\eta, \bar{\eta}) \subseteq(0,1]$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}=1$.

## 3. Main Results

In this part, we introduce a inertial subgradient extragradient algorithm to solve the bilevel system of equilibrium problems. The strong convergence is obtained under the Lipschitz-type constant of the bifunction, which is unknown.

The modified inertial subgradient extragradient algorithm (shortly, MISE Algorithm)
(Initialization :) $\operatorname{Set} \theta>0, \lambda_{1}^{i}>0, \mu \in(0,1), 0<\gamma<\frac{2 \beta}{L^{2}}, 0<\bar{\alpha} \leq \underline{\alpha} \leq 1, \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}=1$ and choose $x_{0}, x_{1} \in H$

Step 1: Given the iterates $x_{n-1}$ and $x_{n}(n \geq 1)$, set

$$
w_{n}=x_{n}+\theta_{n}\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)
$$

where

$$
\theta_{n}= \begin{cases}\min \left\{\frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|}, \theta\right\}, & \text { if } x_{n} \neq x_{n-1}  \tag{6}\\ \theta, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Step 2: Compute

$$
y_{n}^{i}=\arg \min _{y \in C}\left\{g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{n}^{i}}\left\|y-w_{n}\right\|\right\}
$$

Step 3: Select $u_{n}^{i} \in \partial_{2} g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y_{n}^{i}\right)$ and compute

$$
z_{n}^{i}=\arg \min _{y \in H_{n}^{i}}\left\{g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{n}^{i}}\left\|y-w_{n}\right\|\right\}
$$

where $H_{n}^{i}=\left\{x \in H:\left\langle w_{n}-\lambda_{n}^{i} u_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}, x-y_{n}^{i}\right\rangle \leq 0\right\}$
Step 4: Compute $z_{n}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i} z_{n}^{i}$. Select $v_{n} \in \partial_{2} f\left(z_{n}, z_{n}\right)$ and compute

$$
x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\alpha_{n} \gamma v_{n} .
$$

Step 5: Set $\lambda^{i}=g_{i}\left(w_{n}, z_{n}^{i}\right)-g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}\right)-g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y_{n}^{i}\right)$

$$
\lambda_{n+1}^{i}= \begin{cases}\min \left\{\frac{\mu}{2 \lambda^{i}}\left(\left\|w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}\right), \lambda_{n}^{i}\right\}, & \text { if } \lambda^{i}>0  \tag{7}\\ \lambda_{n}^{i}, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Remark 1. We obtain that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_{n}}{\alpha_{n}}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|=0
$$

Indeed, let $x_{n} \neq x_{n-1}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \frac{\theta_{n}}{\alpha_{n}}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{\alpha_{n}} \frac{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|}{\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (8), we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_{n}}{\alpha_{n}}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|=0
$$

Lemma 4. Let the bifunctions $g_{i}$ satify Condition II. It follows that the sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}^{i}\right\}$ generated by (7) is a nonincreasing sequence and

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}^{i}=\varphi=\min \left\{\frac{\mu}{2 \max \left\{L_{1}^{i}, L_{2}^{i}\right\}}, \lambda_{0}^{i}\right\} \quad \text { for all } i=1,2, \ldots, N .
$$

Proof. Let $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. It obvious that

$$
\lambda_{n+1}^{i} \leq \lambda_{n}^{i}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $\left\{\lambda_{n}^{i}\right\}$ is a non-increasing sequence. Since $g_{i}$ is Lipschitz-type continuous on $C$, there is $L_{1}^{i}, L_{2}^{i}>0$ such that

$$
g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y_{n}^{i}\right)+g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}\right) \geq g_{i}\left(w_{n}, z_{n}^{i}\right)-L_{1}^{i}\left\|w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}-L_{2}^{i}\left\|y_{n}^{i}-z_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2} .
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{i}=g_{i}\left(w_{n}, z_{n}^{i}\right)-g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y_{n}^{i}\right)-g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}\right) & \leq L_{1}^{i}\left\|w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}+L_{2}^{i}\left\|y_{n}^{i}-z_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq \max \left\{L_{1}^{i}, L_{2}^{i}\right\}\left(\left\|w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{n}^{i}-z_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
\lambda^{i} \leq \max \left\{L_{1}^{i}, L_{2}^{i}\right\}\left(\left\|w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{n}^{i}-z_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}\right)
$$

So, for each $\lambda^{i}>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mu}{2 \lambda^{i}}\left(\left\|w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}\right) & \geq \frac{\mu\left(\left\|w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}\right)}{2 \max \left\{L_{1}^{i}, L_{2}^{i}\right\}\left(\left\|w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{n}^{i}-z_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}\right)} \\
& =\frac{\mu}{2 \max \left\{L_{1}^{i}, L_{2}^{i}\right\}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\lambda_{n}^{i} \geq \min \left\{\frac{\mu}{2 \max \left\{L_{1}^{i}, L_{2}^{i}\right\}}, \lambda_{0}^{i}\right\}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we conclude that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}^{i}$ exists such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n}^{i}=\varphi \geq \min \left\{\frac{\mu}{2 \max \left\{L_{1}^{i}, L_{2}^{i}\right\}}, \lambda_{0}^{i}\right\}
$$

Lemma 5. Let the bifunctions $g_{i}$ satify Condition $I I$, and $\left\{z_{n}^{i}\right\}$ be sequences generated by (7). Then, for all $p \in \Omega=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \operatorname{SEP}\left(g_{i}, C\right)$, we have

$$
\left\|z_{n}^{i}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|y_{n}^{i}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2},
$$

for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$.
Proof. Let $i=1,2, \ldots, N$ via the definition of the equation:

$$
z_{n}^{i}=\arg \min _{y \in H_{n}^{i}}\left\{g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{n}^{i}}\left\|y-w_{n}\right\|\right\}
$$

Thus,

$$
\lambda_{n}^{i}\left(g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, y\right)-g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}\right)\right) \geq\left\langle w_{n}-z_{n}^{i}, y-z_{n}^{i}\right\rangle, \quad \text { for all } y \in H_{n}^{i} .
$$

Since $p \in \operatorname{SEP}\left(g_{i}, C\right) \subseteq H_{n}^{i}$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}^{i}\left(g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, p\right)-g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}\right)\right) \geq\left\langle w_{n}-z_{n}^{i}, p-z_{n}^{i}\right\rangle \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $p \in \operatorname{SEP}\left(g_{i}, C\right)$ and $y_{n}^{i} \in C$, we have $g_{i}\left(p, y_{n}^{i}\right) \geq 0$. Using the pseudo monotoxicity of $g_{i}$, we have $g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, p\right) \leq 0$, which we obtain from (9) that

$$
\begin{align*}
-\lambda_{n}^{i} g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}\right) & \geq\left\langle w_{n}-z_{n}^{i}, p-z_{n}^{i}\right\rangle-\lambda_{n}^{i} g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, p\right) \\
& \geq\left\langle w_{n}-z_{n}^{i}, p-z_{n}^{i}\right\rangle . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $u_{n}^{i} \in \partial_{2} g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y_{n}^{i}\right)$, we have

$$
g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y\right)-g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y_{n}^{i}\right) \geq\left\langle u_{n}^{i}, y-y_{n}^{i}\right\rangle, \text { for all } y \in H
$$

Therefore,

$$
g_{i}\left(w_{n}, z_{n}^{i}\right)-g\left(w_{n}, y_{n}^{i}\right) \geq\left\langle u_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\rangle .
$$

So,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \lambda_{n}^{i}\left(g\left(w_{n}, z_{n}^{i}\right)-g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y_{n}^{i}\right)\right) \geq 2 \lambda_{n}^{i}\left\langle u_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\rangle . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z_{n}^{i} \in H_{n}^{i}$, we have

$$
\left\langle w_{n}-\lambda_{n}^{i} u_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\rangle \leq 0 .
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \lambda_{n}^{i}\left\langle u_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\rangle \geq 2\left\langle w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\rangle . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (10)-(12), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \lambda_{n}^{i}\left(g_{i}\left(w_{n}, z_{n}^{i}\right)\right. & \left.-g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y_{n}^{i}\right)-g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}\right)\right) \\
& \geq 2\left(\left\langle w_{n}-z_{n}^{i}, p-z_{n}^{i}\right\rangle+\left\langle w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\rangle\right)  \tag{13}\\
& =\left\|z_{n}^{i}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\left\|w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}+\left\|y_{n}^{i}-z_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\|z_{n}^{i}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}+2 \lambda_{n}^{i}\left(g\left(w_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}\right)-g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y_{n}^{i}\right)-g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}\right)\right) .
$$

Using the definition of $\lambda_{n}^{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n}^{i}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& +2 \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}} \lambda_{n+1}^{i}\left(g_{i}\left(w_{n}, z_{n}^{i}\right)\right)-g_{i}\left(y_{n}^{i}, z_{n}^{i}\right)-g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y_{n}^{i}\right) \\
\leq & \left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}} \mu\left(\left\|w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}-\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
= & \left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}-\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1. Let bifunctions $f$ satisfy Condition I, and $g_{i}$ satisfy Condition II. Suppose that $\Omega=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} S E P\left(g_{i}, C\right)$ is a nonempty set. Then, we have the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by the MISE Algorithm, which converges to the unique solution of (BSEP).

Proof. Under the assumptions of the bifunctions $g_{i}$ and $f$, we obtain the unique solution of the bilevel system equilibrium Equation (1), denoted as $p$. It implies that $f(p, y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in \Omega$. Thus, $p$ is a minimum of the convex function $f(p, \cdot)$ over $\Omega$. Using the optimality condition, we obtain

$$
0 \in \partial_{2} f(p, p)+N_{\Omega}(p)
$$

Then, there exists $v^{*} \in \partial_{2} f(p, p)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle v^{*}, z-p\right\rangle \geq 0 \text { for all } z \in \Omega \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we prove that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by the MISE Algorithm converges to $p$. We divide the proof into four steps.
Step 1: We show that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)=1-\mu>0
$$

For each $i=1,2, \ldots, N$, there is $n_{0}^{i} \in N$ such that

$$
1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}>0, \quad \forall n \geq n_{0}^{i}
$$

Choose $n_{0}=\max \left\{n_{0}^{i}: i=1,2, \ldots, N\right\}$. For each $n \geq n_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}>0, \forall i=1,2, \ldots, N . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i} z_{n}^{i}-p\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}\left(z_{n}^{i}-p\right)\right\|^{2}  \tag{16}\\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}\left\|z_{n}^{i}-p\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i} \eta_{n}^{t}\left\|z_{n}^{i}-z_{n}^{t}\right\|^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining Lemma 5 and (16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|y_{n}^{i}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It implies from (15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|w_{n}-p\right\|, \forall n \geq n_{0} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|w_{n}-p\right\| & \leq\left\|x_{n}+\theta_{n}\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)-p\right\| \\
& \leq\left\|\theta_{n}\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)\right\|+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|  \tag{19}\\
& =\alpha_{n} \frac{\theta_{n}}{\alpha_{n}}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| .
\end{align*}
$$

According to Remark 1, we have $\frac{\theta_{n}}{\alpha_{n}}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\| \rightarrow 0$. There exists a constant $M_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\theta_{n}}{\alpha_{n}}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\| \leq M_{1}, \forall n \geq 1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (18)-(20), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z_{n}-p\right\| \leq\left\|w_{n}-p\right\| & \leq \alpha_{n} \frac{\theta_{n}}{\alpha_{n}}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|  \tag{21}\\
& \leq \alpha_{n} M_{1}+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|, \forall n \geq n_{0} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using Lemma 3 and (7), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\| & =\left\|z_{n}-\alpha_{n} \gamma v_{n}-p+\alpha_{n} \gamma v^{*}-\alpha_{n} \gamma v^{*}\right\| \\
& =\left\|\left(z_{n}-\alpha_{n} \gamma v_{n}\right)-\left(p-\alpha_{n} \gamma v^{*}\right)-\alpha_{n} \gamma v^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \gamma\left\|v^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)\left(\alpha_{n} M_{1}+\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right)+\alpha_{n} \gamma\left\|v^{*}\right\| \\
& =\alpha_{n} M_{1}-\alpha_{n}^{2} \tau M_{1}+\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \gamma\left\|v^{*}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \tau \frac{M_{1}}{\tau}+\alpha_{n} \tau \frac{\gamma}{\tau}\left\|v^{*}\right\| \\
& =\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n} \tau\left(\frac{M_{1}}{\tau}+\frac{\gamma}{\tau}\left\|v^{*}\right\|\right) \\
& \leq \max \left\{\frac{M_{1}+\gamma\left\|v^{*}\right\|}{\tau},\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$, where $\tau=1-\sqrt{1-\gamma\left(2 \beta-\gamma L^{2}\right)}$. Through induction, we obtain

$$
\left\|x_{n}-p\right\| \leq \max \left\{\frac{M_{1}+\gamma\left\|v^{*}\right\|}{\tau},\left\|x_{n_{0}}-x^{*}\right\|\right\}
$$

Hence, the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.
Step 2: Show that there is $M_{4} \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{t=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|y_{n}^{i}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{t=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n} M_{4}
\end{array}
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$. One has

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|z_{n}-\alpha_{n} \gamma v_{n}+\alpha_{n} \gamma v^{*}-\alpha_{n} \gamma v^{*}-p\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|\left(z_{n}-\alpha_{n} \gamma v_{n}\right)-\left[p-\alpha_{n} \gamma v^{*}\right]-\alpha_{n} \gamma v^{*}\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|\left(z_{n}-\alpha_{n} \gamma v_{n}\right)-\left[p-\alpha_{n} \gamma v^{*}\right]\right\|^{2} \\
& -2\left\langle\alpha_{n} \gamma v^{*}, z_{n}-\alpha_{n} \gamma v_{n}-p+\alpha_{n} \gamma v^{*}-\alpha_{n} \gamma v^{*}\right\rangle  \tag{22}\\
& =\left\|\left(z_{n}-\alpha_{n} \gamma v_{n}\right)-\left(p-\alpha_{n} \gamma v^{*}\right)\right\|^{2}-2 \alpha_{n} \gamma\left\langle v^{*}, p-x_{n+1}\right\rangle \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)^{2}\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n} M_{2} \\
\leq & \left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n} M_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $M_{2}>0$. Using (17), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\sum_{t=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|y_{n}^{i}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}-\sum_{t=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n} M_{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (21) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq\left(\left\|w_{n}-p\right\| \alpha_{n} M_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n} M_{1}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\alpha_{n}^{2} M_{1}^{2}  \tag{24}\\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n} M_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

for some $M_{3}>0$. Combining (23) and (24), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n} M_{3}-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|y_{n}^{i}-w_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n} M_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|y_{n}^{i}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2} \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n}\left(M_{3}+M_{2}\right) \\
&=\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha M_{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M_{4}=M_{2}+M_{3}$.

## Step 3: Show that

$$
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n} \tau\left[\frac{2 \gamma}{\tau}\left\langle v^{*}, p-x_{n+1}\right\rangle+3 \frac{M \theta_{n}}{\alpha_{n} \tau}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|\right]
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Indeed, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2} & =\left\|x_{n}+\theta_{n}\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right)-p\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\left(x_{n}-p\right)+\theta_{n}\left(x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \theta_{n}\left\langle x_{n}-p, x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\rangle+\theta_{n}^{2}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}  \tag{25}\\
& \leq\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \theta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|+\theta_{n}^{2}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (18) and (22), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} & \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)\left\|z_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n} \gamma\left\langle v^{*}, p-x_{n+1}\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)\left\|w_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n} \gamma\left\langle v^{*}, p-x_{n+1}\right\rangle . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$. Substituting (25) into (26), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{n+1}-p\right\|^{2} \leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+2 \alpha_{n} \gamma\left\langle v^{*}, p-x_{n+1}\right\rangle \\
& +\theta_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|\left(2\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|+\theta\left\|x_{n}-x_{n+1}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \left(1-\alpha_{n} \tau\right)\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|^{2}+\alpha_{n} \tau\left(\frac{2 \gamma}{\tau}\left\langle v^{*}, p-x_{n+1}\right\rangle+3 \frac{M \theta_{n}}{\alpha_{n} \tau}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \geq n_{0}$ where $M=\sup \left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|, \theta\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|\right\}>0$.
Step 4: $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}^{2}$ converges to zero. Indeed, using Lemma 2 , it suffices to show that

$$
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle v^{*}, p-x_{n_{k}+1}\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

for every subsequence $\left\{\left\|x_{n_{k}}-p\right\|\right\}$ of $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}$ satisfying $\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|x_{n_{k}+1}-p\right\|-\right.$ $\left.\left\|x_{n_{k}}-p\right\|\right) \geq 0$. Assume that $\left\{\left\|x_{n_{k}}-p\right\|\right.$ is a subsequence of $\left\{\left\|x_{n}-p\right\|\right\}$ such that

$$
\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\left\|x_{n_{k}}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n_{k}+1}-p\right\|^{2}\right) \geq 0
$$

In Step 2, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}\right. & \left.\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|y_{n}^{i}-w_{n}\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n}^{i}\left(1-\mu \frac{\lambda_{n}^{i}}{\lambda_{n+1}^{i}}\right)\left\|z_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[\alpha_{n_{k}} M_{4}+\left\|x_{n_{k}}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n_{k}+1}-p\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq \limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n_{k}} M_{4}+\underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left[\left\|x_{n_{k}}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n_{k}+1}-p\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& =-\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left[\left\|x_{n_{k}+1}-p\right\|^{2}-\left\|x_{n_{k}}-p\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. This implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n_{k}}^{i}-w_{n_{k}}\right\|=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n_{k}}^{i}-y_{n_{k}}^{i}\right\|=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n_{k}}^{i}-w_{n_{k}}\right\|=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. We know that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|z_{n_{k}}-w_{n_{k}}\right\|^{2} & =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n_{k}}^{i} z_{n_{k}}^{i}-w_{n_{k}}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n_{k}}^{i}\left(z_{n_{k}}^{i}-w_{n_{k}}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{n_{k}}^{i}\left\|z_{n_{k}}^{i}-w_{n_{k}}\right\|^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{t=1}^{N} \eta_{n_{k}}^{i} \eta_{n_{k}}^{t}\left\|z_{n_{k}}^{i}-z_{n_{k}}^{t}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking $k \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n_{k}}-w_{n_{k}}\right\|=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}+1}-z_{n_{k}}\right\|=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n_{k}} \gamma\left\|v_{n_{k}}\right\|=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-w_{n_{k}}\right\|=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \theta_{n_{k}}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-x_{n_{k}-1}\right\|=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n_{k}} \frac{\theta_{n_{k}}}{n_{n_{k}}}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-x_{n_{k}-1}\right\|=0 . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{n_{k+1}}-x_{n_{k}}\right\| \leq\left\|x_{n_{k}+1}-z_{n_{k}}\right\|+\left\|z_{n_{k}}-w_{n_{k}}\right\|+\left\|w_{n_{k}}-x_{n_{k}}\right\| . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (32) and using (29)-(31), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n_{k}+1}-x_{n_{k}}\right\|=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the sequence $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\left\{x_{n_{k_{j}}}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n_{k}}\right\}$, which converges weakly to some $z \in H$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle v^{*}, p-x_{n_{k}}\right\rangle=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle v^{*}, p-x_{n_{j}}\right\rangle=\left\langle v^{*}, p-z\right\rangle . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (31) and (27) that $\left\{w_{n_{k}}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n_{k}}^{i}\right\}$ converge weakly to some $z \in H$. Since $C$ is closed and convex, it is also weakly closed, and thus, $z \in C$. Next, we show that $z \in \Omega=\bigcap_{i=1}^{N} S E P\left(g_{i}, C\right)$. It follows from Lemma 1 and the definition of $\left\{y_{n}^{i}\right\}$ that

$$
0 \in \partial_{2}\left\{g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{n}^{i}}\left\|y-w_{n}\right\|^{2}\right\}\left(y_{n}^{i}\right)+N_{C}\left(y_{n}^{i}\right)
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}\left\{g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y\right)-g_{i}\left(w_{n}, y_{n}^{i}\right)\right\} \geq\left\langle w_{n}-y_{n}^{i}, y-y_{n}^{i}\right\rangle \text { for all } y \in C . \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n=n_{k}$ in (35) and taking $k \rightarrow \infty$, using the assumption of the sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ and Condition II (5), we obtain $g_{i}(\bar{x}, y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$ and for all $i=1,2, \ldots, N$. This implies that $z \in \Omega$. By using (14), we obtain $\left\langle v^{*}, p-z\right\rangle \leq 0$. It follows from (34) and the above inequality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle v^{*}, p-x_{n_{k}+1}\right\rangle \leq 0 . \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\theta_{n}}{\alpha_{n}}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|=0$ and (36), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup }\left[\frac{2 \gamma}{\tau}\left\langle v^{*}, p-x_{n_{k}+1}\right\rangle+\frac{3 M \theta_{n_{k}}}{\alpha_{n_{k}}}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-x_{n_{k}+1}\right\|\right] \leq 0 . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining Step 3 and (37) with Lemma 2, we can conclude that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ converges strongly to $p$. This completes the proof.

## 4. Numerical Example

In this section, we present a numerical example for testing the modified inertial subgradient extragradient algorithm (shortly, MISE Algorithm) to solve the bilevel system of equilibrium problems. We consider the following problem. Let $H=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $C=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:-20 \leq x_{j} \leq 20, \forall j \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}\right\}$. Let the bifunction $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g_{i}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for all $i=1,2, \cdots, N$ be defined via

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x, y) & =\langle P x+Q y, y-x\rangle, & \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \\
g_{i}(x, y) & =\left\langle A^{i} x, y-x\right\rangle & \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \forall i=1, \cdots, N, \tag{38}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P$ and $Q$ are randomly symmetric positive definite matrices defined via

$$
Q=W^{\top} W+n I_{n}, P=Q+V^{\top} V+n I_{n}
$$

where $W$ and $V$ are random $n \times n$ matrices, and $I_{n}$ is the identity $n \times n$ matrix. $A^{i}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are linear operators given via $A^{i}=\left(a_{l s}^{i}\right)_{n \times n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, which are randomly symmetric positive definite matrices for all $i=1,2, \cdots, N$.

Note that the bifunction $f(x, y)$ is $n$-strongly monotone on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and for fixed $x \in H$, we have $f(x, \cdot)$, which is convex on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Moreover, we obtain that the subdifferential $\partial_{2} f(x, x)=\{(P+Q) x\}$. We also obtain that the function $x \mapsto \partial_{2} f(x, x)$ is bounded, and $g_{i}$ are pseudomonotone on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and Lipschitz-type continuous with $L_{1}^{i}=L_{2}^{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left\|A^{i}\right\|$ for all $i=1,2, \cdots, N$.

We have tested our algorithm for this example in which the dimension is expressed as follows:

$$
n=10,50,100,500,1000
$$

the number of system $N=10,50,100,500$. The matrices $P$ and $Q$ are matrices of $W$ and $V$, respectively, being randomly generated in the interval $[-5,5]$. The linear operators $A^{i}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are defined via $A^{i}=\left(a_{l s}^{i}\right)_{n \times n}$, where $a_{l s}^{i}$ are randomly generated in $C$ for all $i=1,2, \cdots, N$. We choose the starting point of the MISE Algorithm $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ to be vectors with coordinates that are one and parameters that are as follows: $\bar{L}=\frac{1}{2}\|P+Q\| ; L_{1}^{i}=L_{2}^{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left\|A^{i}\right\|, \forall i=1, \cdots, N ; L=\max \left\{\bar{L}, L_{1}^{i}, L_{2}^{i}: i=1, \cdots, N\right\}$; $\theta=\frac{1}{4 L} ; \lambda_{1}^{i}=\frac{1}{4 L}, \quad \forall i=1, \cdots, N ; \mu=\frac{1}{4 L} ; \gamma=\frac{2}{\|P+Q\|^{2}} ; \eta_{n}^{i}=\frac{1}{N} ; \alpha_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}$ and $\epsilon_{n}=\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}$.

Note that at each iteration in the MISE Algorithm, we obtain $y_{n}^{i}$ and $z_{n}^{i}$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}^{i}=P_{C}\left(w_{n}-\lambda_{n}^{i} A^{i} w_{n}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}^{i}=P_{H_{n}^{i}}\left(y_{n}^{i}-\lambda_{n}^{i} A^{i} y_{n}^{i}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $C=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:-20 \leq x_{j} \leq 20, \forall j \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}\right\}$ is box and $H_{n}^{i}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\right.$ $\left.\left\langle w_{n}-\lambda_{n}^{i} u_{n}^{i}-y_{n}^{i}, x-y_{n}^{i}\right\rangle\right\}$ is a half space, $y_{n}^{i}$ and $z_{n}^{i}$ can be computed explicitly. For more details, see [21].

The experiment is performed under MATLAB R2018a running on a laptop with 2.59 GHz Intel Core i7 and 4 GB RAM. We terminate Algorithm via the stopping criterions

$$
\frac{\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|+1} \leq \varepsilon
$$

where $\varepsilon=10^{-6}$ to obtain the number of iteration and CPU times, and the CPU times are considered in the second unit. The results are presented in Table 1, where the following are noted:

- The number of the tested problems denoted as N.P;
- The average number of iterations denoted as Average iteration;
- The average CPU computation times denoted as Average times.

We see the computed results reported in Table 1. The sequence generated by our proposed MISE Algorithm is convergent and effective for finding the solution of bilevel system of equilibrium problems.

Table 1. The result of the modified inertial subgradient extragradient algorithm.

| $\boldsymbol{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{N}$ | $\mathbf{N} . \mathbf{P}$ | Average Iteration | Average Times |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 300 | 0.0703 |
|  | 50 | 10 | 254 | 0.1813 |
|  | 100 | 10 | 238 | 0.4266 |
| 50 | 500 | 10 | 253 | 1.9734 |
|  | 10 | 10 | 204 | 0.1797 |
|  | 50 | 10 | 207 | 0.2828 |
|  | 100 | 10 | 196 | 0.6547 |
| 100 | 500 | 10 | 197 | 2.7172 |
|  | 10 | 10 | 90 | 0.2469 |
|  | 50 | 10 | 89 | 1.2828 |
|  | 100 | 10 | 90 | 2.2328 |
| 500 | 500 | 10 | 17 | 12.1719 |
|  | 10 | 10 | 18 | 8.7171 |
|  | 50 | 10 | 19 | 15.781 |
| 1000 | 100 | 10 | 17 | 80.5547 |
|  | 500 | 10 | 8 | 7.9687 |
|  | 10 | 10 | 8 | 33.2968 |
|  | 50 | 10 | 9 | 73.9375 |
|  | 100 | 10 |  | 362.4844 |

Next, we present the comparison of the proposed MISE Algorithm and the extragradient subgradient Halpern method (shortly, ESH Algorithm) [23]. We consider Problem (38) in the case of the number of systems, $N=1$. We tested the example with the dimension $n=50,100$, and the matrices $P$ and $Q$ are the matrices of $W$ and $V$, respectively, being randomly generated in the interval $[-5,5]$. The matrix $A=\left(a_{l s}\right)$, where $a_{l s}$ are randomly generated in C. The parameters are defined as follows:

- MISE Algorithm: the starting point of $x_{0}=x_{1}=(1, \cdots, 1)^{\top} ; \bar{L}=\frac{1}{2}\|P+Q\| ; L_{1}^{i}=$ $L_{2}^{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left\|A^{i}\right\|, \forall i=1, \cdots, N ; L=\max \left\{\bar{L}, L_{1}^{i}, L_{2}^{i}: i=1, \cdots, N\right\} ; \theta=\mu=\lambda_{1}^{i}=$ $\frac{1}{4 L} \quad \forall i=1, \cdots, N ; \gamma=\frac{2}{\|P+Q\|^{2}} ; \eta_{n}^{i}=\frac{1}{N} ; \alpha_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}$ and $\epsilon_{n}=\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}$.
- ESH Algorithm: $x_{0}=(1, \cdots, 1)^{\top} ; \lambda_{n}=\frac{1}{4\|A\|} ; \mu=\frac{2}{\|P+Q\|^{2}} ; \alpha_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}$ and $\eta_{n}=\frac{n+1}{2(n+1)}$.

We terminate the algorithms by stopping the criterion $\frac{\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|}{\left\|x_{n}\right\|+1} \leq 10^{-6}$. The results are presented in Figures 1 and 2.


Figure 1. The number of iterations of MISE Algorithm and ESH Algorithm, where dimension is $n=50$.


Figure 2. The number of iterations of MISE Algorithm and ESH Algorithm, where dimension is $n=100$.

From the result reported in Figures 1 and 2, we obtain that the sequence generated by the MISE Algorithm is significantly better than the ESH Algorithm.

## 5. Conclusions

We have proposed the inertial subgradient extragradient algorithms to solve the bilevel system equilibrium problems in real Hilbert spaces. Our algorithm obtained without the prior knowledge of the Lipschitz constant of the involving bifunction. Under oppropriate conditions, we obtain strong convergence theorems of our algorithms. Finally, we have presented some numerical examples and shown that our algorithms are efficient.
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