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#### Abstract

We introduce and examine two new subclass of bi-univalent function $\Sigma$, defined in the open unit disk, based on Sălăgean-type $q$-difference operators which are subordinate to the involution numbers. We find initial estimates of the Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for functions in the new subclass introduced here. We also obtain a Fekete-Szegö inequality for the new function class. Several new consequences of our results are pointed out, which are new and not yet discussed in association with involution numbers.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $\mathcal{H}$ represent the class of holomorphic functions expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} \varepsilon^{n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

normalized as $\zeta(0)=0=\zeta^{\prime}(0)-1$ defined in the open unit disk

$$
\triangle=\{\varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}:|\varepsilon|<1\} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{H}$ consist of functions given in (1) and which are also univalent in $\triangle$. Let the class of starlike and convex functions of order $\alpha,(0 \leq \alpha<1)$, be given by the following:

$$
\mathcal{S T}(\alpha)=\left\{\zeta \in \mathcal{H}: \Re\left(\frac{\varepsilon \zeta^{\prime}(\varepsilon)}{\zeta(\varepsilon)}\right)>\alpha\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{C} \mathcal{V}(\alpha)=\left\{\zeta \in \mathcal{H}: \Re\left(\frac{\varepsilon\left(\zeta^{\prime}(\varepsilon)\right)^{\prime}}{\zeta^{\prime}(\varepsilon)}\right)>\alpha\right\}
$$

respectively.
A function $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ is called a strongly starlike function $\mathcal{S S T}(\alpha)$ of order $\alpha(0<\alpha \leq 1)$ if

$$
\left|\arg \left(\frac{\varepsilon \zeta^{\prime}(\varepsilon)}{\zeta(\varepsilon)}\right)\right|<\frac{\alpha \pi}{2}, \quad \varepsilon \in \Delta
$$

holds. Analytic functions $\zeta, \xi \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\zeta$ are subordinate to $\xi$, written $\zeta(\varepsilon) \prec \xi(\varepsilon)$, provided there exist $\omega \in \mathcal{H}$ defined on $\triangle$ with $\omega(0)=0$ and $|\omega(\zeta)|<1$ satisfying $\zeta(\varepsilon)=\xi(\omega(\varepsilon))$. In [1], Ma and Minda assumed more general superordinate functions expressed as

$$
\phi(\varepsilon)=1+B_{1} \varepsilon+B_{2} \varepsilon^{2}+B_{3} \varepsilon^{3}+\cdots, \quad\left(B_{1}>0\right)
$$

with positive real parts in $\triangle$ with $\phi(0)=1, \phi^{\prime}(0)>0$ and $\phi$ maps $\triangle$ onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. Further, they unified various subclasses of starlike and convex functions for which either of the quantities

$$
\frac{\varepsilon \zeta^{\prime}(\varepsilon)}{\zeta(\varepsilon)} \text { or } 1+\frac{\varepsilon \zeta^{\prime \prime}(\varepsilon)}{\zeta^{\prime}(\varepsilon)}
$$

is subordinate to a more general superordinate function given in (1).

### 1.1. Quantum Calculus

The application of $q$-calculus was initiated by Jackson in the paper [2]. A comprehensive study on applications of $q$-calculus in operator theory may be found in the paper [3]. Research work in connection with function theory and $q$-theory together was first introduced by Ismail et al. [4].

We recall some basic definitions and concept details of $q$-calculus (see [5] and references cited therein) which are used in this paper.

For $0<q<1$ the Jackson's $q$-derivative [2] of a function $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ is given by the following definition:

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{q} \zeta(\varepsilon)= \begin{cases}\frac{\zeta(\varepsilon)-\zeta(q \varepsilon)}{1-q) \varepsilon} & \text { for } \quad \varepsilon \neq 0  \tag{2}\\ \zeta^{\prime}(0) & \text { for } \quad \varepsilon=0\end{cases}
$$

and $\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{2} \zeta(\varepsilon)=\mathcal{Q}_{q}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{q} \zeta(\varepsilon)\right)$. From (2), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{q} \zeta(\varepsilon)=1+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n}[n]_{q} \varepsilon^{n-1} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
[n]_{q}=\frac{1-q^{n}}{1-q} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is sometimes called the basic number $n$. If $q \rightarrow 1^{-},[n]_{q} \rightarrow n$. For a function $h(\varepsilon)=$ $\varepsilon^{n}$, we obtain $\mathcal{Q}_{q} \varepsilon^{n}=\mathcal{Q}_{q} h(\varepsilon)==[n]_{q} \varepsilon^{n-1}=\frac{1-q^{n}}{1-q}=\varepsilon^{n-1}$ and $\lim _{q \rightarrow 1^{-}} \mathcal{Q}_{q} h(\varepsilon)=$ $\lim _{q \rightarrow 1^{-}}\left([n]_{q} \varepsilon^{n-1}\right)=n z^{n-1}=h^{\prime}(\varepsilon)$, where $h^{\prime}$ is the ordinary derivative. For $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$, the Sălăgean $q$-differential operator is defined and discussed by Govindaraj and Sivasubramanian [6] as given below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{0} \zeta(\varepsilon)=\zeta(\varepsilon) \\
& \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{1} \zeta(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon \mathcal{Q}_{q} \zeta(\varepsilon) \\
& \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \zeta(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon \mathcal{Q}_{q}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa-1} \zeta(\varepsilon)\right) \\
& \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \zeta(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[n]_{q}^{\kappa} a_{n} \varepsilon^{n} \quad\left(\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_{0, \varepsilon} \in \Delta\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

We note that $\lim _{q} \rightarrow 1^{-}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}^{\kappa} \zeta(\varepsilon)=\varepsilon+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n^{\kappa} a_{n} \varepsilon^{n} \quad\left(\kappa \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, \varepsilon \in \Delta\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the familiar Sălăgean derivative [7].

### 1.2. Generalized Telephone Numbers (GTNs)

The classical telephone numbers (TN), prominent as involution numbers, are specified by the recurrence relation

$$
Y(n)=Y(n-1)+(n-1) Y(n-2) \text { for } n \geq 2
$$

with

$$
\mathrm{Y}(0)=\mathrm{Y}(1)=1
$$

Associates of these numbers with symmetric groups were perceived for the first time in 1800 by Heinrich August Rothe, who pointed out that $Y(n)$ is the number of involutions (selfinverse permutations) in the symmetric group (see, for example [8,9]). Since involutions resemble the standard Young tableaux, it is noticeable that the $n$th involution number is consistently the number of Young tableaux on the set $1,2, \ldots, n$ (for details, see [10]). It's worth citing that, according to John Riordan [11], recurrence relation, in fact, yields the number of construction patterns in a telephone system with $n$ subscribers. In 2017, Wlochand Wolowiec-Musial [12] identified GTNs with the following recursion:

$$
\mathrm{Y}(x, n)=\tau \mathrm{Y}(\tau, n-1)+(n-1) \mathrm{Y}(\tau, n-2) \quad n \geq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \tau \geq 1
$$

with

$$
\mathrm{Y}(\tau, 0)=1, \mathrm{Y}(\tau, 1)=\tau
$$

and studied some properties. In 2019, Bednarz and Wolowiec-Musial [13] presented a new generalization of TN by

$$
\mathrm{Y}_{\tau}(n)=\mathrm{Y}_{\tau}(n-1)+\tau(n-1) \mathrm{Y}_{\tau}(n-2), \quad n \geq 2 \quad \text { and } \quad \tau \geq 1
$$

with

$$
Y_{\tau}(0)=Y_{\tau}(1)=1
$$

Recently, they found the exponential generating function and the summation formula GTNs represented by $Y_{\tau}(n)$, given by:

$$
e^{x+\tau \frac{x^{2}}{2}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{Y}_{\tau}(n) \frac{x^{n}}{n!} \quad(\tau \geq 1)
$$

As we can observe, if $\tau=1$, then we obtain classical telephone numbers $\mathrm{Y}(n)$. Clearly, $\mathrm{Y}_{\tau}(n)$ is for some values of $n$ given as

1. $Y_{\tau}(0)=Y_{\tau}=1$,
2. $Y_{\tau}(2)=1+\tau$,
3. $Y_{\tau}(3)=1+3 \tau$
4. $Y_{\tau}(4)=1+6 \tau+3 \tau^{2}$
5. $\quad Y_{\tau}(5)=1+10 \tau+15 \tau^{2}$
6. $Y_{\tau}(6)=1+15 \tau+45 \tau^{2}+15 \tau^{3}$.

We now consider the function
$\digamma(\varepsilon):=e^{\left(\varepsilon+\tau \frac{\varepsilon^{2}}{2}\right)}=1+\varepsilon+\frac{1+\tau}{2} \varepsilon^{2}+\frac{1+3 \tau}{6} \varepsilon^{3}+\frac{3 \tau^{2}+6 \tau+1}{24} \varepsilon^{4}+\frac{1+10 \tau+15 \tau^{2}}{120} \varepsilon^{5}+\cdots$.
for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{D}$ and study $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ (see $[14,15]$ ).

### 1.3. Bi-Univalent Functions

The Koebe One-quarter Theorem [16] ensures that the image of $\triangle$ under every univalent function $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ contains a disk of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. Thus every univalent function $\zeta$ has an inverse $\zeta^{-1}$ satisfying $\zeta^{-1}(\zeta(\varepsilon))=\varepsilon,(\varepsilon \in \triangle)$ and $\zeta\left(\varepsilon^{-1}(\zeta)\right)=\varsigma\left(|\zeta|<r_{0}(\zeta), r_{0}(\zeta) \geq \frac{1}{4}\right)$.

A function $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}$ is said to be bi-univalent in $\triangle$ if both $\zeta$ and $\zeta^{-1}$ are univalent in $\triangle$. Let $\Sigma$ denote the class of bi-univalent functions defined in the unit disk $\triangle$. The functions $\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \quad-\log (1-\varepsilon), \quad \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)$ are in the class $\Sigma$ so it is not empty(see details in [17]). Since $\zeta \in \Sigma$ has the Maclaurin series given by (1), a computation shows that its inverse $\xi=\zeta^{-1}$ has the expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(\varsigma)=\zeta^{-1}(\varsigma)=\varsigma-a_{2} \varsigma^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right) \varsigma^{3}+\cdots \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Various classes of bi-univalent functions were introduced and studied in recent times. The study of bi-univalent functions gained momentum mainly due to the work of Srivastava et al. [17]. Motivated by this, many researchers [18-33] (also the references cited therein) recently investigated several interesting subclasses of the class $\Sigma$ and found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients. Motivated by recent study on telephone numbers [34] and using the Sălăgean $q$-differential operator defined by (5), for functions $\xi$ of the form (7) as given in [33], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \xi(\varsigma)=\varsigma-a_{2}[2]_{q}^{\kappa} \varsigma^{2}+\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)[3]_{q}^{\kappa} \zeta^{3}+\cdots \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

using that in this article first time we introduce a new subclass $\mathcal{P} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\vartheta, \digamma)$ of $\Sigma$ in association with involution numbers and find estimates on the coefficients $\left|a_{2}\right|$ and $\left|a_{3}\right|$ for $\zeta \in \mathcal{P} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\lambda, \digamma)$ by Ma-Minda subordination. We also obtain the Fekete-Szegö problem by using the initial coefficient values of $a_{2}$ and $a_{3}$.

Definition 1. Let $0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1$. We say that $\zeta \in \Sigma$ belongs to the class $\mathcal{P} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\vartheta, \digamma)$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(1-\vartheta) \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{k+1} \zeta(\varepsilon)+\vartheta \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{k+2} \zeta(\varepsilon)}{(1-\vartheta) \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{k} \zeta(\varepsilon)+\vartheta \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{k+1} \zeta(\varepsilon)} \prec \digamma(\varepsilon) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(1-\vartheta) \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \xi(\varsigma)+\vartheta \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{k+2} \xi(\varsigma)}{(1-\vartheta) \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \xi(\varsigma)+\vartheta \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{k+1} \xi(\varsigma)} \prec \digamma(\varsigma), \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \mathcal{\xi}$ is given by (8).
Example 1. Taking $\vartheta=0$ we have $\mathcal{P} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(0, \digamma) \equiv \mathcal{S} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\digamma)$ and $\zeta \in \Sigma$ is in $\zeta \in \mathcal{S} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\digamma)$ if the following subordination holds:

$$
\frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \zeta(\varepsilon)}{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \zeta(\varepsilon)} \prec \digamma(\zeta) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \xi(\varsigma)}{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \xi(\zeta)} \prec \digamma(\varsigma) \text {, }
$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \xi$ is given by (8).
Example 2. Taking $\vartheta=1$ we have $\mathcal{P} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(1, \digamma) \equiv \mathcal{K} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\digamma)$ and $\zeta \in \Sigma$ is in $\zeta \in \mathcal{K} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\digamma)$ if the following subordination holds:

$$
\frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+2} \zeta(\varepsilon)}{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \zeta(\varepsilon)} \prec \digamma(\varepsilon) \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+2} \xi(\varsigma)}{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \xi(\zeta)} \prec \digamma(\varsigma)
$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \xi$ is given by (8).
We need the following lemmas for our investigation.

Lemma 1. (see [16], p. 41) Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the class of all analytic functions $p(\varepsilon)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\varepsilon)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n} \varepsilon^{n} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying $\Re(p(\varepsilon))>0(\varepsilon \in \Delta)$ and $p(0)=1$. Then

$$
\left|p_{n}\right| \leq 2(n=1,2,3, \ldots) .
$$

This inequality is sharp for each $n$. In particular, equality holds for all $n$ for the function

$$
p(\varepsilon)=\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2 \varepsilon^{n} .
$$

## 2. Coefficient Bounds for $\zeta \in \mathcal{P} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\vartheta, \digamma)$

Theorem 1. Let $\zeta$ given by (1) be in the class $\mathcal{P} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\vartheta, \digamma)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\left|2\left[q\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{k}-q(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 k}\right]+q^{2}(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 k}(1-\tau)\right|}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{q}\left(\frac{1}{q(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 k}}+\frac{1}{\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{\pi}}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We can write $s(\varepsilon)$ and $t(\varepsilon)$ as

$$
s(\varepsilon):=\frac{1+u(\varepsilon)}{1-u(\varepsilon)}=1+s_{1} \varepsilon+s_{2} \varepsilon^{2}+\cdots
$$

and

$$
t(\varepsilon):=\frac{1+v(\varepsilon)}{1-v(\varepsilon)}=1+t_{1} \varepsilon+t_{2} \varepsilon^{2}+\cdots
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\varepsilon):=\frac{s(\varepsilon)-1}{s(\varepsilon)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[s_{1} \varepsilon+\left(s_{2}-\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \varepsilon^{2}+\cdots\right] \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(\varepsilon):=\frac{t(\varepsilon)-1}{t(\varepsilon)+1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[t_{1} \varepsilon+\left(t_{2}-\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2}\right) \varepsilon^{2}+\cdots\right] . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $s(\varepsilon)$ and $t(\varepsilon)$ are analytic in $\triangle$ where $s(0)=1=t(0)$. Since

$$
u, v: \triangle \rightarrow \triangle,
$$

we say that $s(\varepsilon)$ and $t(\varepsilon)$ have a positive real part in $\triangle$, and

$$
\left|s_{i}\right| \leq 2 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|t_{i}\right| \leq 2, \quad(i=1,2,3, \ldots)
$$

Further we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\digamma(u(\varepsilon))=e^{\left.u(\varepsilon)+\tau \frac{[u(\varepsilon)]^{2}}{2}\right)}= & e^{\left(\frac{s(\varepsilon)-1}{s(\varepsilon)+1}+\tau \frac{\left[\frac{s(\varepsilon)-1}{s(\varepsilon)+1}\right]^{2}}{2}\right)} \\
= & 1+\frac{s_{1}}{2} \varepsilon+\left(\frac{s_{2}}{2}+\frac{(\tau-1) s_{1}^{2}}{8}\right) \varepsilon^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{s_{3}}{2}+(\tau-1) \frac{s_{1} s_{2}}{4}+\frac{(1-3 \tau)}{48} s_{1}^{3}\right) \varepsilon^{3}+\cdots . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\digamma(v(\varsigma))=e^{\left.v(\varsigma)+\tau \frac{[(\tau))^{2}}{2}\right)}= & e^{\left(\frac{t(\zeta)-1}{t(s)+1}+\tau \frac{\mid t(s)-1}{t(s)+1}\right]^{2}} \\
= & 1+\frac{t_{1}}{2} \varsigma+\left(\frac{t_{2}}{2}+\frac{(\tau-1) t_{1}^{2}}{8}\right) \varsigma^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{t_{3}}{2}+(\tau-1) \frac{t_{1} t_{2}}{4}+\frac{(1-3 \tau)}{48} t_{1}^{3}\right) s^{3}+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (14) and (15) in (9) and (10) respectively, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(1-\vartheta) \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \zeta(\varepsilon)+\vartheta \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+2} \zeta(\varepsilon)}{(1-\vartheta) \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \zeta(\varepsilon)+\vartheta \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \zeta(\varepsilon)}=\digamma(u(\varepsilon))=1+\frac{s_{1}}{2} \varepsilon+\left(\frac{s_{2}}{2}+\frac{(\tau-1) s_{1}^{2}}{8}\right) \varepsilon^{2}+\cdots \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(1-\vartheta) \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \xi(\varsigma)+\vartheta \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+2} \xi(\varsigma)}{(1-\vartheta) \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \xi(\varsigma)+\vartheta \mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \xi(\varsigma)}=\digamma(v(\varsigma))=1+\frac{t_{1}}{2} \varsigma+\left(\frac{t_{2}}{2}+\frac{(\tau-1) t_{1}^{2}}{8}\right) \varsigma^{2}+ \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain the following relations

$$
\begin{align*}
q(1+\vartheta q)[2]_{q}^{\kappa} a_{2} & =\frac{1}{2} s_{1},  \tag{19}\\
q\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{\kappa} a_{3}-q(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa} a_{2}^{2} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{2}-\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1+\tau}{8} s_{1}^{2},  \tag{20}\\
-q(1+\vartheta q)[2]_{q}^{\kappa} a_{2} & =\frac{1}{2} t_{1} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)-q(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa} a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{2}-\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1+\tau}{8} t_{1}^{2} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (19) and (21) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=-t_{1} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
8 q^{2}(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa} a_{2}^{2}=\left(s_{1}^{2}+t_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (20), (22) and (24), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{\left(s_{2}+t_{2}\right)}{2\left\{2\left[q\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}-q(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa}\right]+q^{2}(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa}(1-\tau)\right\}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 1 for the coefficients $s_{2}$ and $t_{2}$, we immediately obtain the desired estimate on $\left|a_{2}\right|$ as asserted in (12).

By subtracting (22) from (20) and using (23) and, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=a_{2}^{2}+\frac{s_{2}-t_{2}}{4 q\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}} . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we use (24) in the relation (26), we will obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{s_{1}^{2}+t_{1}^{2}}{8 q^{2}(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa}}+\frac{s_{2}-t_{2}}{4 q\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we apply Lemma 1 once again for $s_{1}, s_{2}, t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, we obtain the desired estimate on $\left|a_{3}\right|$ as asserted in (13).

By taking $\vartheta=1$ and $\vartheta=0$ in Theorem 1 we can state the estimates for $f$, in the function classes $\mathcal{S} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\digamma)$ and $\mathcal{K} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\digamma)$ respectively given in Example 1 and 2 which are new and not yet discussed in association with involution numbers.

## 3. The Fekete-Szegö Problem for $\zeta \in \mathcal{P} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\vartheta, \digamma)$

The Fekete-Szegö inequality is one of the well-known problems with the coefficients of univalent analytic functions. It was first given by [35], as

$$
\left|a_{3}-v a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}3-4 v, & \text { if } v \leq 0, \\ 1+2 e^{\frac{-v}{1-v}}, & \text { if } 0 \leq v \leq 1, \\ 4 v-3, & \text { if } v \geq 1 .\end{cases}
$$

Lemma 2 ([36]). Let $k, l \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$. If $\left|\varepsilon_{1}\right|<R$ and $\left|\varepsilon_{2}\right|<R$, then

$$
\left|(k+l) \varepsilon_{1}+(k-l) \varepsilon_{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}2|k| R, & |k| \geq|l| \\ 2|l| R, & |k| \leq|l|\end{cases}
$$

Now, $\zeta \in \mathcal{P} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\vartheta, \digamma)$ we obtain the Fekete-Szegö inequality $\left|a_{3}-\aleph a_{2}^{2}\right|$.
Theorem 2. Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{P} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\vartheta, \digamma)$ be given by (1). Then for $\aleph \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\left|a_{3}-\aleph a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{q\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}},  \tag{28}\\
\text { for }|\aleph-1| \leq\left|1-\frac{(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 k-1}}{\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}}+\frac{q(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa-1}}{\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}} \frac{(1-\tau)}{2}\right| \\
\frac{2|\aleph-1|}{\left.\mid 2\left[q\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}-2 q(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa}\right] B_{1}^{2}+q^{2}(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa}(1-\tau)\right]^{2}}, \\
\quad \text { for }|\aleph-1| \geq\left|1-\frac{(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa-1}}{\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}}+\frac{q(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa-1}}{\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}} \frac{(1-\tau)}{2}\right|
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Proof. From (25) and(26) it follows that

$$
a_{3}-\aleph a_{2}^{2}=\left(\varphi(\aleph)+\frac{1}{4 q\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}}\right) s_{2}+\left(\varphi(\aleph)-\frac{1}{4 q\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}}\right) t_{2}
$$

where

$$
\varphi(\aleph)=\frac{(1-\aleph)}{2\left\{2\left[q\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}-q(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa}\right]+q^{2}(1+\vartheta q)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa}(1-\tau)\right\}} .
$$

Then, applying the above Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we get

$$
\left|a_{3}-\aleph a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{q\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}}, & \text { for } 0 \leq|\varphi(\aleph)| \leq \frac{1}{4\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{K}} \\ 4|\varphi(\aleph)|, & \text { for }|\varphi(\aleph)| \geq \frac{1}{4\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{K}}\end{cases}
$$

which yields the desired inequality.
Specifically by fixing $\aleph=1$ we obtain

$$
\left|a_{3}-\aleph a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{1}{q\left\{1+\vartheta\left(q+q^{2}\right)\right\}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}} .
$$

Further by fixing $\vartheta=0$ and $\vartheta=1$ in the Theorem 3, respectively we arrive at the Fekete-Szegö inequality for $\zeta \in \mathcal{S} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\digamma)$ and $\zeta \in \mathcal{K} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\digamma)$.

## 4. Bi-Univalent Function Class $\mathcal{F} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\wp, \beta)$

In the section, motivated by Frasin et al. [20], we will give the following new subclass involving the Sălăgean type $q$-difference operator linked with GTNs and also its related classes its worthy to note that these classes have not been discussed so far.

Definition 2. A function $\zeta \in \Sigma$ given by (1) is said to be in the class

$$
\mathcal{F} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\wp, \digamma) \quad(0 \leq \wp \leq 1, \varepsilon, \zeta \in \Delta)
$$

if the following conditions hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((1-\wp) \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \zeta(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}+\wp \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \zeta(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\right) \prec \digamma(\varepsilon) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left((1-\wp) \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \xi(\varsigma)}{\varsigma}+\wp \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \xi(\varsigma)}{\varsigma}\right) \prec \digamma(\varepsilon) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3. A function $\zeta \in \Sigma$, members of which are given by (1) and

1. for $\wp=0$, let $\mathcal{F} \Sigma_{q}^{K}(0, \digamma)=: \mathcal{R} \Sigma_{q}^{K}(\digamma)$, denotes the subclass of $\Sigma$, and the conditions

$$
\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \zeta(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\right) \prec \digamma(\varepsilon) \quad \text { and } \quad \Re\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \xi(\varsigma)}{\varsigma}\right) \prec \digamma(\varsigma)
$$

hold.
2. For $\wp=1$, let $\mathcal{F} \Sigma_{q}^{K}(1, \digamma)=: \mathcal{H} \Sigma_{q}^{K}(\digamma)$ denote the subclass of $\Sigma$ and satisfy the conditions

$$
\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \zeta(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}\right) \prec \digamma(\varepsilon) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \xi(\varsigma)}{\varsigma}\right) \prec \digamma(\varsigma) .
$$

Theorem 3. Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{F} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\wp, \digamma)$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{\left|2\left[1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right][3]_{q}^{K}+q^{2}(1+q \wp)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa}(1-\tau)\right|}}  \tag{31}\\
\left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{1}{(1+q \wp)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa}}+\frac{1}{\left(1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right)[3]_{q}^{\kappa}} . \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-\hbar a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4\left(1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right)[3]_{q}^{K}}, & \text { for } 0 \leq|\psi(\hbar)| \leq \frac{1}{4\left(1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right)[3]_{q}^{K}} \\ 4|\psi(\hbar)|, & \text { for }|\psi(\hbar)| \geq \frac{1}{4\left(1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right)[3]_{q}^{K}}\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\psi(\hbar)=\frac{1-\hbar}{2\left\{2\left[1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right][3]_{q}^{\kappa}+(1+q \wp)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa}(1-\tau)\right\}} .
$$

Proof. Suppose that $\zeta \in \mathcal{F} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\wp, \digamma$,$) satisfies the conditions given in Definition 2$ and, following the steps as in Theorem 1,

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\wp) \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \zeta(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}+\wp \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \zeta(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}=1+\frac{s_{1}}{2} \varepsilon+\left(\frac{s_{2}}{2}+\frac{(\tau-1) s_{1}^{2}}{8}\right) \varepsilon^{2}+  \tag{33}\\
& (1-\wp) \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa} \xi(\varsigma)}{\varsigma}+\wp \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{q}^{\kappa+1} \zeta(\varsigma)}{\varsigma}=1+\frac{t_{1}}{2} \varsigma+\left(\frac{t_{2}}{2}+\frac{(\tau-1) t_{1}^{2}}{8}\right) \varsigma^{2}+ \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, by comparing the corresponding coefficients in (33) and (34), we obtain,

$$
\begin{gather*}
(1+q \wp)[2]_{q}^{\kappa} a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} s_{1},  \tag{35}\\
\left(1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right)[3]_{q}^{\kappa} a_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(s_{2}-\frac{s_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1+\tau}{8} s_{1}^{2},  \tag{36}\\
-(1+q \wp)[2]_{q}^{\kappa} a_{2}=\frac{1}{2} t_{1},  \tag{37}\\
\left(1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right)[3]_{q}^{\kappa}\left(2 a_{2}^{2}-a_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{2}-\frac{t_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)+\frac{1+\tau}{8} t_{1}^{2}, \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (35) and (37), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=\frac{1}{2(1+q \wp)[2]_{q}^{k}} s_{1}=-\frac{1}{2(1+q \wp)[2]_{q}^{k}} t_{1}, \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{1}=-t_{1} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
8(1+q \wp)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa} a_{2}^{2}=s_{1}^{2}+t_{1}^{2} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adding (36) and (38), then using (41), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}^{2}=\frac{s_{2}+t_{2}}{2\left\{2\left[1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right][3]_{q}^{\kappa}+(1+q \wp)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa}(1-\tau)\right\}} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 1 for the coefficients $s_{2}$ and $t_{2}$, we immediately have the desired estimate on $\left|a_{2}\right|$ as asserted in (31). By subtracting (38) from (36) and using (40) and, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=a_{2}^{2}+\frac{s_{2}-t_{2}}{4\left\{1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right\}[3]_{q}^{\kappa}} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next using (41) in (43), we finally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}=\frac{s_{1}^{2}+t_{1}^{2}}{8(1+q \wp)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa}}+\frac{s_{2}-t_{2}}{4\left(1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right)[3]_{q}^{\kappa}} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Lemma 1 once again for the coefficients $s_{1}, s_{2}, t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, we obtain the desired estimate on $\left|a_{3}\right|$ as asserted in (32). From (43) and (42) it follows that

$$
a_{3}-\hbar a_{2}^{2}=\left(\psi(\hbar)+\frac{1}{4\left(1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right)[3]_{q}^{\kappa}}\right) s_{2}+\left(\psi(\hbar)-\frac{1}{4\left(1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right)[3]_{q}^{\kappa}}\right) t_{2}
$$

where

$$
\psi(\hbar)=\frac{1-\hbar}{2\left\{2\left[1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right][3]_{q}^{\kappa}+(1+q \wp)^{2}[2]_{q}^{2 \kappa}(1-\tau)\right\}} .
$$

Then, applying Lemma 1, we have

$$
\left|a_{3}-\hbar a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}\frac{1}{4\left(1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right)[3]_{q}^{K}}, & \text { for } 0 \leq|\psi(\hbar)| \leq \frac{1}{4\left(1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right)[3]_{q}^{K}} \\ 4|\psi(\hbar)|, & \text { for }|\psi(\hbar)| \geq \frac{1}{4\left(1+\left(q+q^{2}\right) \wp\right)[3]_{q}^{K}}\end{cases}
$$

which yields the desired inequality.
By allowing fixing $\wp=0$ and $\wp=1$ in Theorem 3 we can state the estimates for $f$, in the function classes $\mathcal{R} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\digamma)$ and $\mathcal{H} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\digamma)$ respectively given in Example 3, further by taking $q \rightarrow 1^{-}$we state various subclasses of $\Sigma$ and above results, which are new and not yet discussed in association with involution numbers.

## 5. Conclusions

The results presented in this paper followed by the work of Srivastava et al. [17] related with Generalized telephone phone number (GTN). This work presented the initial Taylor coefficient and the Fekete-Szegö problem results for this newly defined function class $\mathcal{P} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\vartheta, \digamma)$ and $\mathcal{F} \Sigma_{q}^{\kappa}(\wp, \digamma)$. By specializing the parameters in Theorem 1 and 3 , given in Examples 1-3, we can investigate problems not yet examined for GTN. Also by taking $q \rightarrow 1^{-}$we state various subclasses of $\Sigma$ and state results analogues to Theorem 1 and 3 . This paper can motivate many researchers to extend this idea to another classes of biunivalent functions [37], Sakaguchi-type functions [38] (other classes of functions cited in this article) and further second Hankel determinant results for function class $\Sigma$, as discussed in [39].
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