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#### Abstract

The creation of two-dimensional copulas is crucial for the proposal of novel families of two-dimensional distributions and the analysis of original dependence structures between two quantitative variables. Such copulas can be developed in a variety of ways. In this article, we provide theoretical contributions to this subject; we emphasize a new parametric ratio scheme to create copulas of the following form: $C(x, y)=(b+1) x y /[b+\phi(x, y)]$, where $b$ is a constant and $\phi(x, y)$ is a two-dimensional function. As a notable feature, this form can operate an original tradeoff between the product copula and more versatile copulas (not symmetric, with tail dependence, etc.). Instead of a global study, we examine seven concrete examples of such copulas, which have never been considered before. Most of them are extended versions of existing non-ratio copulas, such as the Celebioglu-Cuadras, Ali-Mikhail-Haq, and Gumbel-Barnett copulas. We discuss their attractive properties, including their symmetry, dominance, dependence, and correlation features. Some graphics and tables are given as complementary works. Our findings expand the horizons of new two-dimensional distributional or dependence modeling.
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## 1. Introduction

In [1,2], Sklar introduced the concept of copula and established the main theorem that now bears his name. In the two-dimensional (2D) case, a copula is the 2D function that connects a 2D cumulative distribution function (CDF) to its marginal CDFs. In more detail, if $(X, Y)$ is a random vector with CDF $H(x, y)$, and with marginal CDFs $F(x)$ and $G(y)$, respectively, then there exists a 2D copula $C(x, y)$ such that $H(x, y)=C[F(x), G(y)]$, $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Thus, $C(x, y)$ measures the connection that exists between $F(x)$ and $G(y)$, as well as the (stochastic) dependence between $X$ and $Y$; the independence case corresponds to the product copula $C(x, y)=x y=\Pi(x, y)$. The following definition puts the mathematical basis of a 2D copula in an absolutely continuous setting.

Definition 1. In the setting of the absolutely continuous case, a $2 D$ copula is a differentiable $2 D$ function $C(x, y),(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$ satisfying the following properties:

Boundary (B) properties: For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have $C(x, 0)=C(0, y)=0, C(x, 1)=x$ and $C(1, y)=y$,
Positive mixed partial derivative (PMPD) property: For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y) \geq 0
$$

where the mixed derivative is supposed to exist almost everywhere.

Examples include the Ali-Mikhail-Haq (AMH), Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern (FGM), Galambos, Gumbel-Barnett (GB), Marshall-Olkin, Plackett, Cuadras-Augé, Raftery, Brownian motion, Bertino, Celebioglu-Cuadras (CC) and Andronov copulas. We refer to [3,4] for a complete and solid overview of the topic foundation.

The research on copula is currently ongoing, and what follows is only a short overview of recent works. On the basis of copulas, the authors in [5] suggested a 2D inverse Weibull distribution and its use in a special risk model. The authors in [6] described a method for a 2D hydrologic correlation analysis using a Bayesian model-averaging copula. The authors in [7] introduced a new class of 2D FGM copulas. The authors of [8] created a novel family of 2D copulas using a unit Weibull distribution distortion. Several families of copulas are related to one another, as established in [9]. Two generalized 2D FGM copulas were elaborated in [10]. The authors in [11] highlighted a class of bivariate 2D copula transformations. An extension of the GB copula was developed in [12]. The authors in [13] elaborated on a new 2D copula via the Rüschendorf method. The authors in [14] developed and presented applications for 2D copulas using the counter-monotonic shock method. Based on the FGM copula, the authors in [15] proposed a 2D generalized half-logistic distribution and its application to household financial affordability in KSA. The author of [16] proposed a new collection of new trigonometric and hyperbolic FGM-type copulas. On the other hand, copulas have been shown to be effective in a variety of important fields, including economics, medicine, civil engineering, social science, and environmental science (see [17-19]).

A branch of copula theory involves developing new and original 2D copulas to provide new 2D models for dealing with the diverse dependence structures emerging from contemporary data. Beyond the norm, interesting copula functionalities have emerged, opening up new avenues for research. Among them, we may mention the trigonometric copulas that are able to model circular-type dependencies (see [20-22]), and the ratio-type copulas that can be considered understudied beyond the classical schemes (see [23-27], among others). The findings of this article contribute to this last aspect; we offer original copulas of the following parameter-ratio form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x, y)=\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+\phi(x, y)}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b$ denotes a certain constant and $\phi(x, y)$ represents a certain function satisfying $\phi(1, y)=\phi(x, 1)=1$, among other properties to be specified. This function may also depend on some parameters. The form in Equation (1) is derived from the AMH copula, a paragon of the Archimedean family. We recall that it is defined with $b=0$ and $\phi(x, y)=1+a(1-x)(1-y)$, with $a \in[-1,1]$, or equivalently, with $\phi(x, y)=1-a(1-$ $x)(1-y)$, with $a \in[-1,1]$. The interests of the form in Equation (1) are: (i) when $b \rightarrow+\infty$, we have $C(x, y)=x y$; we thus rediscover the benchmark product copula (the same remark holds if we may have $\phi(x, y)=1$ ), and (ii) if we choose $\phi(x, y)=x y / C_{*}(x, y)$, where $C_{*}(x, y)$ denotes a certain copula, then, by taking $b=0$, the copula $C(x, y)$ in Equation (1) corresponds to $C_{*}(x, y)$. As a result, the parameter $b$ operates an original trade-off between the product copula and $C_{*}(x, y)$. In this sense, the copula $C(x, y)$ can be viewed as a one-parameter ratio-generalization of $C_{*}(x, y)$ and, thus, as a flexibly modified version. Another viewpoint is that $C(x, y)$ can be written as $C(x, y)=P(x, y) \Pi(x, y)$ or $C(x, y)=\Pi(x, y)+Q(x, y)$, where $P(x, y)=(b+1) /[b+\phi(x, y)]$ and $Q(x, y)=$ $x y[P(x, y)-1]=x y[1-\phi(x, y)] /[b+\phi(x, y)]$. These secondary functions thus perturb the product copula. The idea of perturbing the functionalities of the product copula is not new (see [28,29], and the references therein), but the considered weighted ratio form for $P(x, y)$ remains the original angle of the article.

Due to the mathematical complexity of the form in Equation (1), a general study is nearly impossible. For this reason, we exhibit a collection of seven copulas of this form with various functions $\phi(x, y)$, mainly of polynomial, exponential, and logarithmic types. The behavior of $\phi(x, y)$ may be affected by additional parameters. For each of
them, admissible ranges of values are determined. All the copulas presented are new in the literature, and most of them are well-referenced extended ones. The proofs are detailed and self-contained. In them, the main tedious point remains the PMPD property, which necessitates technical differentiation developments and prudent factorization. For each proposed copula, we examine their properties, including shapes, varied symmetry, dependence, and correlations. In particular, their shapes are shown graphically, and tables of values are given for some correlation measures. Among the main findings, we elaborate on a three-parameter extended version of the AMH copula, making it more flexible and adaptable in a statistical sense. In view of the existing theoretical and applied works on the AMH copula (see [30-32], among others), the potential of interest of this extension is certain.

The rest of the article comprises the two following sections: Section 2 provides the list of valid copulas in the suggested ratio form, as well as detailed proofs and discussions. Section 3 contains a summary of the findings, along with a complementary discussion and perspectives.

## 2. Original Ratio-Type Copulas

This section presents seven original ratio-type copulas of the form in Equation (1), each defined with a specific function $\phi(x, y)$.

### 2.1. First Copula

The first copula considers the form in Equation (1) with the 2D one-parameter logarithmic function $\phi(x, y)=1+a \log (x) \log (y)$.

Proposition 1. Let $C(x, y)$ be the following $2 D$ function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x, y)=\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+1+a \log (x) \log (y)}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $a \in[0,1]$ and $b \geq a-1, C(x, y)$ is a $2 D$ copula.
Proof. First, $C(x, y)$ can be written as

$$
C(x, y)=\frac{x y}{1+c \log (x) \log (y)}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}
$$

where $c=a /(b+1)$. Thus, the conditions $a \in[0,1]$ and $b \geq a-1$ imply that $c \in[0,1]$. Let us prove that, under this modified form, $C(x, y)$ is a 2D copula based on Definition 1.

On the B property: For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have

$$
C(x, 0)=\lim _{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{x y}{1+c \log (x) \log (y)}=0
$$

and, in a similar way, $C(0, y)=0$. Since $\log (1)=0$, it is immediate that $C(x, 1)=x$ and $C(1, y)=y$. The B property is proved.
On the PMPD property: Applying several differentiation rules and factorizing in a way to be able to conclude, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y)=\frac{1}{[1+c \log (x) \log (y)]^{3}} \times \\
& \{c \log (x) \log (y)[c \log (x) \log (y)+c+2]-c \log (x y)[c \log (x) \log (y)+1]+1-c\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have $\log (x) \log (y) \geq 0,-\log (x y) \geq 0$ and since $c \in[0,1]$, we have $1-c \geq 0$, and all of the main terms in the above equations are non-negative. Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y) \geq 0
$$

and the PMPD property is established.
This ends the proof.
Remark 1. In Proposition 1, the value $a=0$ implies no role for $b$; we have $C(x, y)=x y$. The interrogative case $a=0$ and $b=-1$ is excluded.

The copula defined in Equation (2) is called the original ratio-type 1 (OR1) copula. As far as we know, it is a new addition to the literature and is one of the few copulas that involves a product of logarithmic terms in the denominator. Let us now connect it with some existing copulas. To begin, as mentioned in Remark 1, it corresponds to the product copula when $a=0$, i.e., $C(x, y)=\Pi(x, y)$. Furthermore, based on the inequality $\log (u) \leq u-1$ for any $u>0$, we have $\log (x) \log (y) \geq(1-x)(1-y) \geq 0$ for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, and the following copula dominances hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x, y) \leq C_{A M H}(x, y) \leq \Pi(x, y) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{A M H}(x, y)$ is the AMH copula defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{A M H}(x, y)=\frac{x y}{1+c(1-x)(1-y)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c=a /(b+1) \in[0,1]$.
Clearly, for $b=0, C(x, y)$ has the same form as the AMH copula, except that the separable polynomial function $\psi(x, y)=(1-x)(1-y)$ is replaced by the separable twologarithmic function $\varphi(x, y)=\log (x) \log (y)$. In this sense, the OR1 copula can be viewed as a natural two-logarithmic extension of the AMH copula. On the other hand, copula dominance results, as in Equation (3), are important to have information on the quadrant dependence and to compare the correlation properties of the involved copulas. This is especially true for the Spearman correlation measure (to be presented later). For instance, based on Equation (3), we can say that the OR1 copula is negatively quadrant dependent and has negative Spearman correlation measure values that are lower than those associated with the AMH copula.

Concerning its main properties, the OR1 copula is diagonally symmetric and, for $a \neq 0$, it is not radially symmetric, is not Archimedean, and has no tail dependence. It has the following medial correlation measure (also called Blomqvist beta):

$$
\beta=4 C\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)-1=-\frac{a \log ^{2}(2)}{b+1+a \log ^{2}(2)} .
$$

It is always negative; the OR1 copula is designed to model negative correlations. The Spearman correlation measure is given by the following integral expression:

$$
\rho=12 \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}[C(x, y)-x y] d x d y=-12 a \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{x y \log (x) \log (y)}{b+1+a \log (x) \log (y)} d x d y
$$

It does not have a closed-form expression. As a numerical study, Table 1 presents some of its values for several admissible values of $a$ and $b$.

Table 1. Numerical analysis of the Spearman correlation measure for the OR1 copula and selected parameter values.

| $a \rightarrow$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b=0$ | -0.0688 | -0.1282 | -0.181 | -0.2288 | -0.2725 | -0.313 | -0.3506 | -0.3858 | -0.4189 | -0.4502 |
| $b=1$ | -0.0358 | -0.0688 | -0.0994 | -0.1282 | -0.1553 | -0.181 | -0.2054 | -0.2288 | -0.2511 | -0.2725 |
| $b=2$ | -0.0242 | -0.0471 | -0.0688 | -0.0894 | -0.1092 | -0.1282 | -0.1464 | -0.164 | -0.181 | -0.1974 |

This table confirms that the OR1 copula is adapted to model the negative dependence, and, for the considered parameter values, we have $\rho \in[-0.46,0]$.

For illustrative purposes and visual validation, with the use of the free software R (see [33]), Figure 1 shows two complementary plots of the OR1 copula for $a=1 / 2$ and $b=-1 / 2$.


Figure 1. Plots of the OR1 copula for $a=1 / 2$ and $b=-1 / 2$ : standard (left) and intensity contour (right).

We observe the typical shapes of a copula, with the clear fact that the OR1 copula is valid. As a last result, the OR1 copula satisfies the Fréchet-Hoeffding inequalities: for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have $\max (x+y-1,0) \leq C(x, y) \leq \min (x, y)$, which can also be expressed as

$$
\max (x+y-1,0) \leq \frac{(b+1) x y}{b+1+a \log (x) \log (y)} \leq \min (x, y)
$$

(It is understood, for $a \in[0,1]$ and $b \geq a-1$ ). It is not claimed that these inequalities are sharp, but 2D inequalities remain relatively rare and important, so that they can find interest in diverse multivariate analysis settings.

### 2.2. Second Copula

The second copula considers the form in Equation (1) with the 2D one-parameter polynomial-logarithmic function $\phi(x, y)=1-a(1-x) \log (y)$; in comparison to the previously considered $\phi(x, y)$, the term $-(1-x)$ has replaced $\log (x)$.

Proposition 2. Let $C(x, y)$ be the following 2D function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x, y)=\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+1-a(1-x) \log (y)}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $a \in[0,1]$ and $b \geq a-1, C(x, y)$ is a $2 D$ copula.

Proof. First of all, $C(x, y)$ can be written as

$$
C(x, y)=\frac{x y}{1-c(1-x) \log (y)}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}
$$

where $c=a /(b+1)$. Hence, the conditions $a \in[0,1]$ and $b \geq a-1$ imply that $c \in[0,1]$. Let us prove that, under this simplified form, $C(x, y)$ is a 2D copula based on Definition 1.

On the B property: For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have

$$
C(x, 0)=\lim _{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{x y}{1-c(1-x) \log (y)}=0
$$

and

$$
C(0, y)=\frac{0 \times y}{1-c(1-0) \log (y)}=0
$$

Since $\log (1)=0$, it is immediate that $C(x, 1)=x$ and, similarly, since $1-x=0$ for $x=1$, we have $C(1, y)=y$. This proves the B property.
On the PMPD property: Combining various differentiation rules and factorizing in a way that allows us to draw conclusions, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y)=\frac{1}{[1-c(1-x) \log (y)]^{3}} \times \\
& \left\{c^{2}(1-x) \log ^{2}(y)-c \log (y)[c(1-x)+2-x]-2 c x+c+1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have $-\log (y) \geq 0$ and, since $c \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
-2 c x+c+1 \geq 2 c(1-x) \geq 0
$$

Hence, all of the main terms in the above equations are non-negative. Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y) \geq 0
$$

The PMPD property holds.
The proof is complete.
Remark 2. In Proposition 2, the value $a=0$ implies no role for $b$; we have $C(x, y)=x y$. The interrogative case $a=0$ and $b=-1$ is excluded.

The copula defined in Equation (5) is named the original ratio-type 2 (OR2) copula. As far as we are aware, it has never been brought up in the literature. Now, let us link it to some existing copulas. To begin, referring to Remark 2 , it corresponds to the product copula when $a=0$, i.e., $C(x, y)=\Pi(x, y)$. Furthermore, for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, since $\log (x) \log (y) \geq$ $-(1-x) \log (y) \geq(1-x)(1-y) \geq 0$, the following copula dominances hold:

$$
C_{O R 1}(x, y) \leq C(x, y) \leq C_{A M H}(x, y),
$$

where $C_{O R 1}(x, y)$ is the OR1 copula as defined in Equation (2) and $C_{A M H}(x, y)$ is described in Equation (4). For $b=0, C(x, y)$ has the same denominator term as the AMH copula, except that the separable polynomial function is replaced by the separable polynomiallogarithmic function $Q(x, y)=-(1-x) \log (y)$. In this sense, it can be viewed as a modification, and as a trade-off between the OR1 and AMH copulas.

Concerning its main properties, for $a \neq 0$, the OR2 copula is not diagonally symmetric, radially symmetric, or Archimedean, and has no tail dependence. Its medial correlation measure is

$$
\beta=-\frac{a \log (2)}{2(b+1)+a \log (2)} .
$$

It is, of course, always negative; the OR2 copula is designed to model negative correlations. The Spearman correlation measure does not have a closed-form expression, motivating numerical work. Table 2 presents some of its numerical values for several admissible values of $a$ and $b$.

Table 2. Numerical analysis of the Spearman correlation measure for the OR2 copula and selected parameter values.

| $a \rightarrow$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b=0$ | -0.0477 | -0.0914 | -0.1319 | -0.1697 | -0.2052 | -0.2386 | -0.2703 | -0.3003 | -0.3289 | -0.3562 |
| $b=1$ | -0.0244 | -0.0477 | -0.07 | -0.0914 | -0.1121 | -0.1319 | -0.1512 | -0.1697 | -0.1877 | -0.2052 |
| $b=2$ | -0.0164 | -0.0323 | -0.0477 | -0.0627 | -0.0773 | -0.0914 | -0.1053 | -0.1188 | -0.1319 | -0.1448 |

Thus, we have $\rho \in[-0.36,0]$, which is acceptable, and this table confirms that the OR2 copula is adjusted to model negative dependence.

For illustrative purposes and visual validation, Figure 2 displays the plots of the OR2 copula for $a=1 / 2$ and $b=-1 / 2$.


Figure 2. Plots of the OR2 copula for $a=1 / 2$ and $b=-1 / 2$ : standard (left) and intensity contour (right).

We observe the typical shapes of a copula, given the clear fact that the OR2 copula is valid.

One final copula fact is that the OR2 copula satisfies the Fréchet-Hoeffding inequalities, implying that, for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$,

$$
\max (x+y-1,0) \leq \frac{(b+1) x y}{b+1-a(1-x) \log (y)} \leq \min (x, y)
$$

These inequalities can find applications in diverse multivariate analysis settings.

### 2.3. Third Copula

The third copula considers the form in Equation (1) for the 2D one-parameter exponential function $\phi(x, y)=\exp [a(1-x)(1-y)]$, which is of a completely different nature to the two previously considered functions $\phi(x, y)$.

Proposition 3. Let $C(x, y)$ be the following $2 D$ function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x, y)=\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+\exp [a(1-x)(1-y)]}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $a \in[-1,1]$ and $b \geq 0, C(x, y)$ is a $2 D$ copula.
Proof. Let us demonstrate that $C(x, y)$ is a 2D copula based on Definition 1.
On the B property: For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have

$$
C(x, 0)=\frac{(b+1) x \times 0}{b+\exp [a(1-x)(1-0)]}=0
$$

and, similarly, we have $C(0, y)=0$. On the other hand, we have

$$
C(x, 1)=\frac{(b+1) x \times 1}{b+\exp [a(1-x)(1-1)]}=\frac{b+1}{b+1} x=x
$$

and, similarly, we have $C(1, y)=y$. The B property is demonstrated.
On the PMPD property: By differentiating and factorizing in a way that allows us to draw conclusions, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y) & =\frac{b+1}{\{b+\exp [a(1-x)(1-y)]\}^{3}} \times \\
& \left\{\exp [2 a(1-x)(1-y)]\left[a^{2} x y(1-x)(1-y)+a(-3 x y+x+y)+1\right]\right. \\
& \left.+b \exp [a(1-x)(1-y)]\left[-a^{2} x y(1-x)(1-y)+a(-3 x y+x+y)+2\right]+b^{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us investigate a sharp lower bound for the term $a(-3 x y+x+y)$, which appears two times. For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have

$$
-3 x y+x+y=-x y+x(1-y)+y(1-x) \geq-x y \geq-1
$$

and, on the other hand,

$$
-3 x y+x+y=-(1-x)(1-y)-2 x y+1 \leq 1
$$

So $-3 x y+x+y \in[-1,1]$. Therefore, we have $a(-3 x y+x+y) \geq-|a|$. Since the exponential terms are non-negative and $b \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y) & \geq \frac{b+1}{\{b+\exp [a(1-x)(1-y)]\}^{3}} \times \\
& \left\{\exp [2 a(1-x)(1-y)]\left[a^{2} x y(1-x)(1-y)+1-|a|\right]\right. \\
& \left.+b \exp [a(1-x)(1-y)]\left[-a^{2} x y(1-x)(1-y)+2-|a|\right]+b^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, since $|a| \leq 1$, it is clear that

$$
a^{2} x y(1-x)(1-y)+1-|a| \geq 0
$$

and, since $a^{2} x y(1-x)(1-y) \leq a^{2} \leq 1$, we have

$$
-a^{2} x y(1-x)(1-y)+2-|a|=\left[1-a^{2} x y(1-x)(1-y)\right]+1-|a| \geq 0
$$

Combining the above inequalities, we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y) \geq 0
$$

The PMPD property is, thus, satisfied.
This ends the proof.
The copula defined in Equation (6) is named the original ratio-type 3 (OR3) copula.
It is worth noting that, for $a=0$, the OR3 copula is reduced to the product copula, and, for $b=0$, it becomes

$$
C(x, y)=x y \exp [-a(1-x)(1-y)], \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}
$$

which corresponds to the CC copula established in [34,35]. See also [36].
Owing to the inequality $\exp (u) \geq 1+u$ for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\exp [a(1-x)(1-y)] \geq$ $1+a(1-x)(1-y) \geq 0$ for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$ and $a \in[-1,1]$, implying the following copula dominance:

$$
C(x, y) \leq C_{A M H}(x, y)
$$

where $C_{A M H}(x, y)$ is the AMH copula defined in Equation (4) with $a /(b+1)$ (instead of $c$ ).
Certain power series of the OR3 copula can be established. For instance, for any $(x, y) \in[0,1)^{2}, a \in[-1,0)$, and $b \geq 0$, it follows from the geometric and binomial series that

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(x, y) & =\frac{x y}{1-\{1-\exp [a(1-x)(1-y)]\} /(b+1)} \\
& =x y \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k}\binom{k}{\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{(b+1)^{k}} \exp [a \ell(1-x)(1-y)]
\end{aligned}
$$

One can observe that it is an infinite series involving the CC copula with a varying parameter. Such series expansion can be used for various analytical purposes (expansions of correlation measures, calculus of moments, etc.).

The main properties are now investigated. The OR3 copula is diagonally symmetric, and, for $a \neq 0$, it is not radially symmetric, is not Archimedean, and has no tail dependence.

Furthermore, the OR3 copula has the following medial correlation measure:

$$
\beta=\frac{1-\exp (a / 4)}{b+\exp (a / 4)}
$$

It is negative for $a \in(0,1]$, and non-negative for $a \in[-1,0)$; the OR3 copula can model various types of correlations. There is no closed-form expression for the Spearman correlation measure. For an idea of its numerical behavior, we calculate it for several admissible values of $a$ and $b$ and collect the results in Table 3.

The obtained range of values for $\rho$ is $[-0.30,0.39]$. This shows that the OR3 copula is adjusted to model weak or moderate negative or positive dependence.

For illustrative purposes and visual validation, Figure 3 displays some plots of the OR3 copula for $a=-1$ and $b=1$.

Table 3. Numerical analysis of the Spearman correlation measure for the OR3 copula and selected parameter values.

| $a \rightarrow$ | -1.0 | -0.8 | -0.6 | -0.4 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b=0$ | 0.3806 | 0.2961 | 0.2162 | 0.1403 | 0.0684 | 0 | -0.065 | -0.127 | -0.186 | -0.2423 | -0.2962 |
| $b=1$ | 0.1654 | 0.1327 | 0.0997 | 0.0666 | 0.0333 | 0 | -0.0333 | -0.0666 | -0.0997 | -0.1327 | -0.1654 |
| $b=2$ | 0.106 | 0.0857 | 0.0649 | 0.0437 | 0.022 | 0 | -0.0224 | -0.0451 | -0.0682 | -0.0915 | -0.1151 |



Figure 3. Plots of the OR3 copula for $a=-1$ and $b=1$ : standard (left) and intensity contour (right).
We see the standard copula shapes while also observing that the OR3 copula is valid.
As a last copula fact, the OR3 copula satisfies the Fréchet-Hoeffding inequalities, and so, for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have

$$
\max (x+y-1,0) \leq \frac{(b+1) x y}{b+\exp [a(1-x)(1-y)]} \leq \min (x, y)
$$

These inequalities can be used in a variety of multivariate analysis contexts.

### 2.4. Fourth Copula

The fourth copula considers the form in Equation (1) with the 2D one-parameter ratio-polynomial function $\phi(x, y)=\left(x^{a}+y^{a}\right) /\left(1+x^{a} y^{a}\right)$.

Proposition 4. Let $C(x, y)$ be the following $2 D$ function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x, y)=\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+\left(x^{a}+y^{a}\right) /\left(1+x^{a} y^{a}\right)}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $a \in[-1,1]$ and $b \geq 0, C(x, y)$ is a $2 D$ copula.
Proof. Since, for $a \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\frac{x^{a}+y^{a}}{1+x^{a} y^{a}}=\frac{x^{-a}+y^{-a}}{1+x^{-a} y^{-a}},
$$

we can restrict our attention to the case $a \in[0,1]$ instead of $a \in[-1,1]$. Thus, under this condition, let us prove that $C(x, y)$ is a 2D copula based on Definition 1.

On the B property: For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have

$$
C(x, 0)=\frac{(b+1) x \times 0}{b+\left(x^{a}+0^{a}\right) /\left(1+x^{a} \times 0^{a}\right)}=0
$$

and, similarly, we have $C(0, y)=0$. On the other hand, we have

$$
C(x, 1)=\frac{(b+1) x \times 1}{b+\left(x^{a}+1^{a}\right) /\left(1+x^{a} \times 1^{a}\right)}=\frac{b+1}{b+1} x=x
$$

and, similarly, we have $C(1, y)=y$. The B property is proved.

On the PMPD property: Using several differentiation and factorization strategies, we establish

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y)=\frac{b+1}{\left[b x^{a} y^{a}+\left(x^{a}+y^{a}\right)+b\right]^{3}} \times \\
& \left\{b\left(2 a^{2} x^{a} y^{a}+A\right)\left(x^{a}+y^{a}\right)+x^{2 a}\left[2 a^{2} y^{2 a}+2 y^{2 a}+2 a y^{2 a}+1-a\right]\right. \\
& \left.+x^{a}\left[2 a^{2}+y^{2 a}+a y^{2 a}+2(1-a)\right] y^{a}+b^{2}\left(1+x^{a} y^{a}\right)^{3}+(a+1) x^{3 a} y^{a}+(1-a) y^{2 a}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
A=a\left(x^{2 a} y^{2 a}-1\right)+2\left(1+x^{a} y^{a}\right)^{2}
$$

Let us focus on the term $A$, which is the main interrogative of its sign. For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, since $\left(1+x^{a} y^{a}\right)^{2} \geq 1$ and $a \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
A \geq a x^{2 a} y^{2 a}+2-a \geq 1 \geq 0
$$

Furthermore, since $b \geq 0$ and $1-a \geq 0$, all of the other main terms are immediately non-negative. Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y) \geq 0
$$

The PMPD property is established.
The desired result is obtained.
The copula defined in Equation (7) is named the original ratio-type 4 (OR4) copula. As an immediate remark, for $a=0$, it is reduced to the product copula. An alternative form of the OR4 copula is

$$
C(x, y)=\frac{(b+1) x y\left(1+x^{a} y^{a}\right)}{b x^{a} y^{a}+x^{a}+y^{a}+b}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}
$$

In particular, for $a=b=1$, it is reduced to

$$
C(x, y)=\frac{2 x y(1+x y)}{(1+x)(1+y)}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}
$$

which is a special case of a ratio copula described in [37].
Now, let us remark that, for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have $\left(1-x^{a}\right)\left(1-y^{a}\right) \geq 0$, which implies that $\left(x^{a}+y^{a}\right) /\left(1+x^{a} y^{a}\right) \leq 1$. As a result, the following copula dominance holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x, y) \geq \Pi(x, y) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main properties of the OR4 copula are now listed. To begin with, it is diagonally symmetric and, for $a \neq 0$, it is not radially symmetric, is not Archimedean, and has no tail dependence. The associated medial correlation measure is given by

$$
\beta=\frac{1-2^{a+1} /\left(1+2^{2 a}\right)}{b+2^{a+1} /\left(1+2^{2 a}\right)} .
$$

This measure is always positive; the OR4 copula is adapted to model positive correlations. The Spearman correlation measure does not have a closed-form expression. As a numerical work, Table 4 presents some of its numerical values for several admissible values of $a$ and $b$.

Table 4. Numerical analysis of the Spearman correlation measure for the OR4 copula and selected parameter values.

| $a \rightarrow$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b=0$ | 0.0038 | 0.015 | 0.0338 | 0.06 | 0.0939 | 0.1354 | 0.1847 | 0.2421 | 0.3077 | 0.3819 |
| $b=1$ | 0.0019 | 0.0074 | 0.0165 | 0.0289 | 0.0443 | 0.0624 | 0.0828 | 0.1053 | 0.1295 | 0.1551 |
| $b=2$ | 0.0012 | 0.0049 | 0.0109 | 0.019 | 0.029 | 0.0406 | 0.0536 | 0.0677 | 0.0827 | 0.0984 |

Hence, for the considered parameter values, we have $\rho \in[0,0.39]$. This confirms that the OR4 copula is adjusted to model moderate positive dependence.

For illustrative purposes and visual validation, Figure 4 displays some plots of the OR4 copula for $a=b=1 / 2$.



Figure 4. Plots of the OR4 copula for $a=b=1 / 2$ : standard (left) and intensity contour (right).
We observe the typical shapes of a copula, given the clear fact that the OR4 is valid.
As a last copula fact, the OR4 copula satisfies the Fréchet-Hoeffding inequalities. Hence, for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have

$$
\max (x+y-1,0) \leq \frac{(b+1) x y}{b+\left(x^{a}+y^{a}\right) /\left(1+x^{a} y^{a}\right)} \leq \min (x, y)
$$

These inequalities can find applications in diverse multivariate analysis settings.

### 2.5. Fifth Copula

The fifth copula can be viewed as complementary to the OR4 copula; we consider the form in Equation (1) with the 2D one-parameter ratio-polynomial function $\phi(x, y)=$ $\left(1+x^{a} y^{a}\right) /\left(x^{a}+y^{a}\right)$, corresponding to the exact inverse function $\phi(x, y)$ of the previous section.

Proposition 5. Let $C(x, y)$ be the following 2D function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x, y)=\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+\left(1+x^{a} y^{a}\right) /\left(x^{a}+y^{a}\right)}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $a \in[-1,1]$ and $b \geq 0, C(x, y)$ is a $2 D$ copula.

Proof. Since, for $a \in[0,1]$, we have

$$
\frac{1+x^{a} y^{a}}{x^{a}+y^{a}}=\frac{1+x^{-a} y^{-a}}{x^{-a}+y^{-a}}
$$

we can restrict our attention to the case $a \in[0,1]$ instead of $a \in[-1,1]$. Thus, under this condition, let us prove that $C(x, y)$ is a 2D copula based on Definition 1 .

On the B property: For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have

$$
C(x, 0)=\frac{(b+1) x \times 0}{b+\left(1+x^{a} \times 0^{a}\right) /\left(x^{a}+0^{a}\right)}=0
$$

and, similarly, we have $C(0, y)=0$. On the other hand, we have

$$
C(x, 1)=\frac{(b+1) x \times 1}{b+\left(1+x^{a} \times 1^{a}\right) /\left(x^{a}+1^{a}\right)}=\frac{b+1}{b+1} x=x
$$

and, similarly, we have $C(1, y)=y$. This proves the B property.
On the PMPD property: Differentiating and arranging the obtained terms in a manageable way, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y)=\frac{b+1}{\left[b\left(x^{a}+y^{a}\right)+1+x^{a} y^{a}\right]^{3}} \times \\
& \left\{x^{a}\left[2\left(1-a^{2}\right) y^{2 a}+2 b\left(1-a^{2}\right) y^{a}+2 b\left(y^{2 a}+1\right) y^{a}+3 b^{2} y^{2 a}+a b\left(2-y^{2 a}\right) y^{a}+a+1\right]\right. \\
& +x^{2 a}\left[3 b^{2} y^{a}+(a+2) b\left[2(1-a) y^{2 a}+1\right]+(1-a)\left(y^{2 a}+2 a+2\right) y^{a}\right] \\
& \left.+x^{3 a}\left(y^{a}+b\right)\left[(1-a) y^{a}+b\right]+y^{a}\left(b y^{a}+1\right)\left(b y^{a}+a+1\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, since $b \geq 0$ and $a \in[0,1]$, all of the main terms are immediately non-negative. Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y) \geq 0
$$

The PMPD property is thus satisfied.
This ends the proof.
The copula defined in Equation (9) is named the original ratio-type 5 (OR5) copula. For $a=0$, it is reduced to the product copula. An alternative form of the OR5 copula is

$$
C(x, y)=\frac{(b+1) x y\left(x^{a}+y^{a}\right)}{1+b\left(x^{a}+y^{a}\right)+x^{a} y^{a}}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2} .
$$

In particular, for $a=b=1$, it is reduced to

$$
C(x, y)=\frac{2 x y(x+y)}{(1+x)(1+y)}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}
$$

Because we have $\left(1-x^{a}\right)\left(1-y^{a}\right) \geq 0$ for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have $\left(1+x^{a} y^{a}\right) /\left(x^{a}+\right.$ $\left.y^{a}\right) \geq 1$. As a result, the following copula dominance holds:

$$
C(x, y) \leq \Pi(x, y)
$$

We now list the key characteristics of the OR5 copula. To begin, it is diagonally symmetric, and, for $a \neq 0$, it is not radially symmetric, is not Archimedean, and has no tail dependence. The associated medial correlation measure is given by

$$
\beta=\frac{1-\left(1+2^{2 a}\right) / 2^{a+1}}{b+\left(1+2^{2 a}\right) / 2^{a+1}} .
$$

It is always negative; the OR5 copula is designed to model negative correlations. The Spearman correlation measure does not have a closed-form expression. As an indicator, Table 5 presents some of its numerical values for several admissible values of $a$ and $b$.

Table 5. Numerical analysis of the Spearman correlation measure for the OR5 copula and selected parameter values.

| $a \rightarrow$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b=0$ | -0.0037 | -0.0147 | -0.0324 | -0.0558 | -0.0843 | -0.1167 | -0.1523 | -0.1903 | -0.2301 | -0.2711 |
| $b=1$ | -0.0019 | -0.0074 | -0.0165 | -0.0289 | -0.0443 | -0.0624 | -0.0828 | -0.1053 | -0.1295 | -0.1551 |
| $b=2$ | -0.0012 | -0.005 | -0.0111 | -0.0195 | -0.0301 | -0.0426 | -0.057 | -0.0731 | -0.0906 | -0.1094 |

For the selected parameter values, we see that $\rho \in[-0.28,0]$, which is acceptable in terms of range. Thus, the OR5 copula can be chosen to model negative dependence.

For illustrative purposes and visual validation, Figure 5 displays some plots of the OR5 copula for $a=b=1 / 2$.


Figure 5. Plots of the OR5 copula for $a=b=1 / 2$ : standard (left) and intensity contour (right).
This figure clearly demonstrates the validity of the OR5 copula.
As the other copulas, the OR5 copula satisfies the Fréchet-Hoeffding inequalities. Thanks to them, for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, the following inequalities hold:

$$
\max (x+y-1,0) \leq \frac{(b+1) x y}{b+\left(1+x^{a} y^{a}\right) /\left(x^{a}+y^{a}\right)} \leq \min (x, y)
$$

They can find applications in diverse multivariate analysis settings.

### 2.6. Sixth Copula

The sixth copula aims to generalize the AMH copula; we consider the form in Equation (1) with the 2D two-parameter polynomial function $\phi(x, y)=[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a}$.

Proposition 6. Let $C(x, y)$ be the following $2 D$ function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x, y)=\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a}}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $a \in[0,1], c \in[-1,1]$, and one of the following cases for $b$ :
Case $1 b \geq a c-1$ if $c \in[0,1]$,
Case $2 b \geq-c-1$ if $c \in[-1,0)$,
$C(x, y)$ is a $2 D$ copula.
Proof. As a preliminary result, let us prove that $C(x, y)$ is non-negative. For any $(x, y) \in$ $[0,1]^{2}$ and since $b \geq-1$, it is clear that $(b+1) x y \geq 0$. Let us now focus on the denominator term by distinguishing Cases 1 and 2.

For Case 1: For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}, a \in[0,1]$ and $c \in[0,1]$, since $b \geq a c-1 \geq-1$, we have

$$
b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a} \geq b+1 \geq 0
$$

For Case 2: For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}, a \in[0,1]$ and $c \in[-1,0)$, since $b \geq-c-1$, we have

$$
b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a} \geq b+(1+c)^{a}>(1+c)^{a}\left[1-(1+c)^{1-a}\right] \geq 0
$$

The function $C(x, y)$ is, thus, well defined; the extreme case where the denominator term can be equal to 0 will be discussed in Remark 3. Let us now prove that $C(x, y)$ is a 2 D copula based on Definition 1.

On the B property: For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have

$$
C(x, 0)=\frac{(b+1) x \times 0}{b+[1+c(1-0)(1-y)]^{a}}=0
$$

and, similarly, we have $C(0, y)=0$. On the other hand, we have

$$
C(x, 1)=\frac{(b+1) x \times 1}{b+[1+c(1-x)(1-1)]^{a}}=\frac{b+1}{b+1^{a}} x=x
$$

and, similarly, we have $C(1, y)=y$. The B property is demonstrated.
On the PMPD property: Let us distinguish Cases 1 and 2.
For Case 1: By combining several differentiation rules and factorizing in a way to be able to conclude, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y)=\frac{b+1}{\left\{b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a}\right\}^{3}} \times \\
& \left\{2 a^{2} c^{2} x y(1-x)(1-y)[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{2(a-1)}\right. \\
& +(1-a) a c^{2} x y(1-x)(1-y)[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a-2}\left\{b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a}\right\} \\
& +a c x(1-y)[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a-1}\left\{b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a}\right\} \\
& +a c y(1-x)[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a-1}\left\{b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a}\right\} \\
& \left.+\left\{b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a}\right\} \times A\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
A=b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a}-a c x y[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a-1}
$$

Let us focus on the term $A$, which is the main interrogative of its sign. For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, since $a \in[0,1]$ and $c \in[0,1]$, we have $[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a} \geq 1$ and $a c x y[1+c(1-x)(1-$ $y)]^{a-1} \leq a c x y \leq a c$. As a result, since $b \geq a c-1$, we have

$$
A \geq b+1-a c \geq 0
$$

Furthermore, since $a \in[0,1]$ and $c \in[0,1]$, all of the other main terms are immediately non-negative. Therefore, we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y) \geq 0
$$

For Case 2: With the same differentiation as that of Case 1, but a completely different factorization, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y)=\frac{b+1}{\left\{b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a}\right\}^{3}} \times \\
& \left\{2 a^{2} c^{2} x y(1-x)(1-y)[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{2(a-1)}\right. \\
& +(1-a) a c^{2} x y(1-x)(1-y)[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a-2}\left\{b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a}\right\} \\
& -a c x y[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a-1}\left\{b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a}\right\} \\
& \left.+\left\{b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a}\right\} \times B\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
B=b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a-1}\{1+c[a x(1-y)+a y(1-x)+(1-x)(1-y)]\}
$$

Let us focus on the term $B$, which is the main interrogative of its sign. For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, since $a \in[0,1]$ and $c \in[-1,0)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+c[a x(1-y)+a y(1-x)+(1-x)(1-y)] \\
& \geq 1+c[x(1-y)+y(1-x)+(1-x)(1-y)] \\
& =1+c(1-x y) \geq 1+c
\end{aligned}
$$

which is non-negative. Furthermore, since $a \in[0,1]$ and $c \in[-1,0)$, we have $[1+c(1-$ $x)(1-y)]^{a-1} \geq 1$. As a result, since $b \geq-c-1$, we have

$$
B \geq b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a-1}(1+c) \geq b+1+c \geq 0
$$

On the other hand, since $a \in[0,1]$ and $c \in[-1,0)$, all of the other main terms are immediately non-negative. As a result, we find

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y) \geq 0
$$

Hence, for Cases 1 and 2, the PMPD property holds.
The proof is now complete.
Remark 3. In Proposition 6, the values $a=0$ or $c=0$ imply no role for $b$; we have $C(x, y)=x y$. The interrogative cases $a=0$ or $c=0$ and $b=-1$ are excluded.

Remark 4. Since $a \in[0,1]$, eventually, Cases $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ can be replaced by the more restrictive but unique condition: $b \geq|c|-1$.

The copula defined in Equation (10) is named the original ratio-type 6 (OR6) copula. For $a=0$ or $c=0$, it is reduced to the product copula. For $b=0$ and $a=1$, it is reduced to the AMH copula as defined in Equation (4) with $c \in[-1,1]$. All the other parameter values give a new copula, as far as we know. In particular, for $c=-1$, the following original expression is obtained:

$$
C(x, y)=\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+(x+y-x y)^{a}}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2} .
$$

Various copula dominance results can be established. The most significant one is based on the generalized Bernoulli inequality with a power exponent in the range of $[0,1]$ (see [38]). This inequality gives $[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a} \leq 1+a c(1-x)(1-y)$, which implies that

$$
C(x, y) \geq C_{A M H}(x, y)
$$

where $C_{A M H}(x, y)$ is given in Equation (4) with the constant $a c /(b+1)$ (instead of $\left.c\right)$.
Concerning its main properties, the OR6 copula is diagonally symmetric. For $a \neq 0$, it is not radially symmetric. For $a \neq 0$ and $a \neq 1$, it is not Archimedean. In addition, it has no tail dependence except for the cases $a=1, b=0$, and $c=-1$, where a left-tail dependence is established. It has the following medial correlation measure:

$$
\beta=\frac{1-(1+c / 4)^{a}}{b+(1+c / 4)^{a}},
$$

which is negative for $c \in[-1,0)$, and negative for $a \in(0,1]$; the OR6 copula is designed to model negative or positive correlations. The Spearman correlation measure does not have a closed-form expression. As a numerical indicator, Table 6 presents some of its numerical values for several admissible values of $a, b$, and $c$.

Table 6. Numerical analysis of the Spearman correlation measure for the OR6 copula and selected parameter values.

| $a \rightarrow$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} b=0 \\ (c=-1) \end{gathered}$ | 0.0398 | 0.0811 | 0.124 | 0.1686 | 0.2149 | 0.2632 | 0.3135 | 0.366 | 0.4209 | 0.4784 |
| $\begin{gathered} b=1 \\ (c=-1) \end{gathered}$ | 0.0196 | 0.0391 | 0.0586 | 0.078 | 0.0974 | 0.1166 | 0.1358 | 0.1548 | 0.1737 | 0.1924 |
| $\begin{gathered} b=2, \\ (c=-1) \end{gathered}$ | 0.013 | 0.0258 | 0.0384 | 0.0508 | 0.0631 | 0.0752 | 0.0871 | 0.0988 | 0.1103 | 0.1217 |
| $\begin{gathered} b=0, \\ (c= \\ 1 / 2) \end{gathered}$ | -0.0156 | $-0.0311$ | -0.0464 | $-0.0615$ | -0.0765 | $-0.0913$ | -0.1059 | -0.1204 | -0.1347 | -0.1489 |
| $\begin{gathered} b=1 \\ (c= \\ 1 / 2) \end{gathered}$ | -0.0079 | $-0.0157$ | $-0.0236$ | $-0.0315$ | -0.0393 | $-0.0472$ | -0.055 | -0.0629 | -0.0707 | $-0.0785$ |
| $\begin{gathered} b=2 \\ (c= \\ 1 / 2) \end{gathered}$ | -0.0053 | $-0.0105$ | -0.0158 | -0.0211 | -0.0265 | $-0.0318$ | -0.0372 | -0.0426 | -0.048 | -0.0534 |
| $\begin{aligned} & b=0 \\ & (c=1) \end{aligned}$ | -0.0296 | -0.0586 | $-0.0871$ | -0.1149 | -0.1423 | -0.169 | $-0.1953$ | -0.221 | -0.2463 | -0.2711 |
| $\begin{gathered} b=1 \\ (c=1) \end{gathered}$ | -0.015 | -0.0299 | -0.0449 | -0.0598 | -0.0747 | -0.0896 | $-0.1045$ | -0.1193 | -0.1341 | -0.1489 |
| $\begin{aligned} & b=2 \\ & (c=1) \end{aligned}$ | -0.01 | $-0.0201$ | $-0.0302$ | $-0.0404$ | $-0.0507$ | -0.061 | $-0.0714$ | $-0.0818$ | -0.0923 | -0.1028 |

Hence, for the considered parameter values, we have $\rho \in[-0.28,0.48]$. Thus, the OR6 copula is designed to model negative or positive dependence.

For illustrative purposes and visual validation, Figure 6 displays some plots of the OR6 copula for $a=b=c=1 / 2$.


Figure 6. Plots of the OR6 copula for $a=b=c=1 / 2$ : standard (left) and intensity contour (right).
This figure clearly demonstrates the validity of the OR6 copula. Indeed, we observe the typical shapes of a copula.

As a last copula fact, the OR6 copula satisfies the Fréchet-Hoeffding inequalities, and as a result, for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have

$$
\max (x+y-1,0) \leq \frac{(b+1) x y}{b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a}} \leq \min (x, y)
$$

These inequalities can find applications in diverse multivariate analysis settings.

### 2.7. Seventh Copula

The seventh and last copula of the list can be viewed as a two-logarithmic version of the OR3 copula; we consider the form in Equation (1) with the 2D one-parameter exponential logarithmic function $\phi(x, y)=\exp [a \log (x) \log (y)]$.

Proposition 7. Let $C(x, y)$ be the following 2D function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x, y)=\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+\exp [a \log (x) \log (y)]}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for $a \in[0,1]$ and $b \in[0,1], C(x, y)$ is a $2 D$ copula.
Proof. Let us prove that $C(x, y)$ is a 2D copula based on Definition 1 .
On the B property: For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have

$$
C(x, 0)=\lim _{y \rightarrow 0} \frac{(b+1) x y}{b+\exp [a \log (x) \log (y)]}=0
$$

and, similarly, we have $C(0, y)=0$. On the other hand, since $\log (1)=0$, we have

$$
C(x, 1)=\lim _{y \rightarrow 1} \frac{(b+1) x y}{b+\exp [a \log (x) \log (1)]}=\frac{b+1}{b+1} x=x
$$

and, similarly, we have $C(1, y)=y$. The B property is established.

On the PMPD property: With appropriate differentiation techniques and factorizing in a way to be able to conclude, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y)=\frac{b+1}{\{b+\exp [a \log (x) \log (y)]\}^{3}} \times \\
& \{a \exp [a \log (x) \log (y)]\{A-\log (x) \exp [a \log (x) \log (y)]-b \log (x)\} \\
& +\{\exp [a \log (x) \log (y)]+b\} \times \\
& \{(1-a) \exp [a \log (x) \log (y)]-a \log (y) \exp [a \log (x) \log (y)]+b\}\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
A=a \log (x) \log (y)\{\exp [a \log (x) \log (y)]-b\}
$$

Let us focus on $A$, which is the main interrogative of its sign. For any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have $-\log (x) \geq 0$ and $\log (x) \log (y) \geq 0$. Furthermore, since $a \in[0,1]$ and $b \in[0,1]$, we have $\exp [a \log (x) \log (y)] \geq 1$ and

$$
A \geq a \log (x) \log (y)(1-b) \geq 0
$$

On the other hand, since $a \in[0,1]$ and $b \in[0,1]$, all of the other main terms are immediately non-negative. As a result, we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x \partial y} C(x, y) \geq 0
$$

This demonstrates the PMPD property.
This ends the proof.
The copula defined in Equation (11) is named the original ratio-type 7 (OR7) copula. For $a=0$, it is reduced to the product copula, and, for $b=0$, it becomes

$$
C(x, y)=x y \exp [-a \log (x) \log (y)], \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2},
$$

which corresponds to the GB copula.
Owing to the inequality $\exp (u) \geq 1+u$ for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\exp [a \log (x) \log (y)] \geq$ $1+a \log (x) \log (y) \geq 0$ for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$ and $a \in[0,1]$, implying the following copula dominance:

$$
C(x, y) \leq C_{O R 1}(x, y)
$$

where $C_{O R 1}(x, y)$ is the OR1 copula defined in Equation (2).
Concerning its main properties, the OR7 copula is diagonally symmetric and, for $a \neq 0$, it is not radially symmetric, is not Archimedean, has no tail dependence, and has the following medial correlation measure:

$$
\beta=\frac{1-\exp \left[a \log ^{2}(2)\right]}{b+\exp \left[a \log ^{2}(2)\right]}
$$

This measure is always negative; the OR7 copula is designed to model negative correlations. The Spearman correlation measure does not have a closed-form expression. For information, Table 7 presents some of its numerical values for several admissible values of $a$ and $b$.

In our setting, we have $\rho \in[-0.53,0]$, showing that the OR7 copula is able to model negative dependence.

Table 7. Numerical analysis of the Spearman correlation measure for the OR7 copula and selected parameter values.

| $a \rightarrow$ | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b=0$ | -0.0715 | -0.1369 | -0.1972 | -0.2531 | -0.3053 | -0.3542 | -0.4002 | -0.4437 | -0.4848 | -0.5239 |
| $b=1 / 2$ | -0.0491 | -0.0963 | -0.1416 | -0.1849 | -0.2263 | -0.2659 | -0.3039 | -0.3404 | -0.3754 | -0.409 |
| $b=1$ | -0.0374 | -0.0745 | -0.1109 | -0.1464 | -0.181 | -0.2147 | -0.2473 | -0.279 | -0.3098 | -0.3396 |

For illustrative purposes and visual validation, Figure 7 shows the standard and intensity contour plots of the OR7 copula for $a=b=1 / 2$.


Figure 7. Plots of the OR7 copula for $a=b=1 / 2$ : standard (left) and intensity contour (right).
This figure clearly demonstrates the validity of the OR7 copula; the typical shapes of a copula are observed.

As a last copula fact, the OR7 copula satisfies the Fréchet-Hoeffding inequalities, from which we derive the following result: for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, we have

$$
\max (x+y-1,0) \leq \frac{(b+1) x y}{b+1+a \log (x) \log (y)} \leq \min (x, y)
$$

These inequalities can find applications in diverse multivariate analysis settings.

## 3. Summary, Discussion, and Perspectives

### 3.1. Summary

For the objectives of dependence modeling, two-dimensional copula creation is essential. In this study, we presented theoretical advances in the field and highlighted a novel parametric ratio scheme. The seven copulas elaborated on in this article are presented in Table 8.

The ideas below have been developed throughout this article.

- They are new in the literature, simple, original in form, and operational for wellidentified parameter values; it is, however, not claimed that the given ranges of values are the optimal ones.
- They generalize well-known copulas, making them more flexible in terms of functionalities. In particular, the OR3, OR6, and OR7 copulas generalize the CC, AMH and GB copulas, respectively.
- They benefit from attractive properties, such as symmetric, dependent, dominant, and correlation features.

Table 8. New copulas proposed in this article.

| Names of the Copulas | $C(x, y)$ | Parameter Conditions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OR1 | $\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+1+a \log (x) \log (y)}$ | $a \in[0,1]$ and $b \geq a-1$ |
| OR2 | $\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+1-a(1-x) \log (y)}$ | $a \in[0,1]$ and $b \geq a-1$ |
| OR3 | $\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+\exp [a(1-x)(1-y)]}$ | $a \in[-1,1]$ and $b \geq 0$ |
| OR4 | $\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+\left(x^{a}+y^{a}\right) /\left(1+x^{a} y^{a}\right)}$ | $a \in[-1,1]$ and $b \geq 0$ |
| OR5 | $\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+\left(1+x^{a} y^{a}\right) /\left(x^{a}+y^{a}\right)}$ | $a \in[0,1], c \in[-1,1]$ and |
| OR6 | $\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+[1+c(1-x)(1-y)]^{a}}$ | $a \in[0,1]$ and $b \in[0,1]$ |
| OR7 | $\frac{(b+1) x y}{b+\exp [a \log (x) \log (y)]}$ |  |

### 3.2. Discussion

Thus, we focused on special copulas of the parameter-ratio form given in Equation (1). As noticed in the proofs of some results, as in the proofs of Propositions 1 and 2, for instance, it is sometimes more convenient to consider the following general copula form:

$$
C(x, y)=\frac{x y}{1+c \varphi(x, y)}, \quad(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}
$$

where $c$ denotes a real number and $\varphi(x, y)$ represents a certain two-dimensional function. One can remark that this form and the one in Equation (1) correspond with the following configuration: $\varphi(x, y)=\phi(x, y)-1$ and $c=1 /(b+1)$. This general form, perhaps more connected with that of the AMH copula, could have been the subject of a similar study.

Along the article, we examined concrete, operational, and motivated examples of functions $\phi(x, y)$, instead of a global study based on $\phi(x, y)$. The reason is that the consideration of $\phi(x, y)$ in full generality significantly complicates the developments, especially the necessary differentiations and factorizations to prove the PMPD property (see Definition 1). The investigations reveal that there is no universal factorization scheme to prove the PMPD property for all examples. The level of functional complexity is too high for a global study, according to our viewpoint. However, this challenge can perhaps be achieved through a certain analysis strategy that we actually left for future research.

Anyway, based on the general form in Equation (1), under the assumption that $C(x, y)$ defines a valid copula, some results can be exhibited. For instance, one can remark that

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial b} C(x, y)=\frac{x y[\phi(x, y)-1]}{[\phi(x, y)+b]^{2}} .
$$

Therefore, if $\phi(x, y) \geq 1$ for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, then $\partial C(x, y) /(\partial b) \geq 0$, implying that $C(x, y) \leq \lim _{b \rightarrow+\infty} C(x, y)=x y$, so that $C(x, y)$ is negatively quadrant dependent, and if $\phi(x, y) \leq 1$ for any $(x, y) \in[0,1]^{2}$, the contrary holds: $C(x, y)$ is positively quadrant dependent. Such properties were implicitly demonstrated for the suggested copulas of the article, often with the use of direct inequalities, and the notion of copula dominance results involving the product copula $\Pi(x, y)$ into the article (see Equations (3) and (8), for instance).

The simulation and parameter estimation of copulas are two important aspects that were not developed in the article. For these aspects, we can consider using standard methods that have demonstrated their efficiency for most well-established copulas, as described in [4]. In particular, for the parameter estimation, we can perform the omnibus estimation method elaborated in [39], among others.

### 3.3. Perspectives

Other copulas can be immediately derived from those proposed, such as the corresponding survival, $x$-flipping, and $y$-flipping copulas (see [4]). Each of them may be of interest for specific applied scenarios involving the dependence of two quantitative variables. Moreover, we restricted our attention to the absolutely continuous case, implying differentiable functions $\phi(x, y)$ in Equation (1), but it can be of interest to consider min - max functions, at least for the constructions of copulas reaching the Fréchet-Hoeffding bounds. Another logical perspective of this article is the creation of copulas having a similar ratio form but with greater dimensions. Their applications for data analysis are also hot topics. A high level of knowledge is, however, required for this applied aspect, which we leave to further study.
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