

## Article

# Methods of Retrieving Large-Variable Exponents

Vyacheslav I. Yukalov <sup>1,2,\*</sup>  and Simon Gluzman <sup>3,†</sup>
<sup>1</sup> Bogolubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, 141980 Dubna, Russia

<sup>2</sup> Instituto de Física de São Carlos, Universidade de São Paulo, CP 369, São Carlos 13560-970, Brazil

<sup>3</sup> Materialica + Research Group, Bathurst St. 3000, Apt. 606, Toronto, ON M6B 3B4, Canada; simongluzmannew@gmail.com or gluz@sympatico.ca

\* Correspondence: yukalov@theor.jinr.ru; Tel.: +7-496-216-3947

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

**Abstract:** Methods of determining, from small-variable asymptotic expansions, the characteristic exponents for variables tending to infinity are analyzed. The following methods are considered: diff-log Padé summation, self-similar factor approximation, self-similar diff-log summation, self-similar Borel summation, and self-similar Borel–Leroy summation. Several typical problems are treated. The comparison of the results shows that all these methods provide close estimates for the large-variable exponents. The reliable estimates are obtained when different methods of summation are compatible with each other.

**Keywords:** small-variable asymptotic expansions; large-variable exponents; diff-log Padé summation; self-similar factor approximation; self-similar diff-log summation; self-similar Borel summation

## 1. Introduction

In many physics problems, one needs to find out the behavior of a function at large variables tending to infinity. However this function is defined by such complicated equations that it can be found only as an asymptotic expansion for small variables. Then the problem arises: How is it possible to extrapolate the small-variable expansion to the large values of the variable, and even to the variable tending to infinity?

The class of functions that exhibits power-law behavior at large variables is quite wide. The problem of finding out the large-variable behavior of power-law functions happens in many applications, where the most important point is to characterize the type of the power law, as the related characteristic exponent sheds light on the physical processes responsible for the particular asymptotic behavior. The typical example is the determination of critical exponents at phase transitions. This problem is known to be straightforwardly reducible, by the change of variables, to the definition of the characteristic exponent at infinity [1].

Another well-known problem is the determination of the tail characteristic exponents of distributions exhibiting power laws, such as the Pareto law [2] and Zipf law [3]. The character of the large-variable behavior of a distribution describes the type of the variable mean, and its variance, which portray the properties of the considered system [1,3].

Important information on the properties of many-body systems, e.g., on spatial structure, collective excitations, and impenetrable obstacles can be derived from the study of the large-variable tails in scattering theory [4], inverse scattering problem [5,6], and structural phase transitions [7].

To be more precise, suppose that we are interested in a real function  $f(x)$  of a real variable  $x$ . The function is assumed to be sign-definite. Without loss of generality, it is sufficient to consider non-negative (positive-valued) functions. It may happen that the most important information required for us is the tendency of the function to infinity, where it may possess the asymptotic behavior



**Citation:** Yukalov, V.I.; Gluzman, S. Methods of Retrieving Large-Variable Exponents. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 332. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14020332>

Academic Editor: José Carlos R. Alcantud

Received: 20 December 2021

Accepted: 1 February 2022

Published: 6 February 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

$$f(x) \simeq Bx^\beta \quad (x \rightarrow \infty). \quad (1)$$

In many cases, it is even not the whole function which is important, but the character of its approach to infinity, that is, the characteristic large-variable exponent  $\beta$ . However, the problem is aggravated by the complexity of the equations, defining the function  $f(x)$ , to such an extent that all we are able to derive is the truncated asymptotic expansion at small variables,

$$f(x) \simeq f_k(x) \quad (x \rightarrow 0), \quad (2)$$

having the form of a finite series

$$f_k(x) = \sum_{n=0}^k a_n x^n, \quad (3)$$

where  $a_0 > 0$ . For simplicity, we may set  $a_0 = 1$ , which is equivalent to considering the function  $f(x)/a_0$ .

Thus, we are put in front of the difficult task: How, knowing only the truncated series (3), valid for  $x \rightarrow 0$ , could we extract the large-variable exponent  $\beta$  at  $x \rightarrow \infty$ ? As is evident, the direct application of the Padé summation [8] of series (3) is not applicable. This is because the Padé approximant  $P_{M/N}(x)$  at large  $x$  behaves according to the law  $x^{M-N}$ , that is, it is actually not defined, as far as  $M$  and  $N$  can be arbitrary, provided that  $M + N = k$ . The standard way of finding out the characteristic exponents of large-variable behavior is the diff-log Padé summation [8,9]. However, the question arises: How trustworthy is the result of this method? This question is especially significant when there is no firm information of the exact value of the sought exponent.

In such a case, the main method of proving the reliability of numerical results is the method of validation using other solutions [10], when there exist several methods of calculating the quantity of interest and all of them yield the results compatible with each other. This technique compares the results to be validated with the results obtained through other numerical methods. In other words, several methods to solve the problem validate each other if the different used techniques give close results.

Thus, to make the results of calculations trustworthy, it is necessary to have several techniques in addition to the standard diff-log Padé summation. It is the goal of the present paper to suggest and analyze several methods allowing us to determine the large-variable exponent and to compare their predictions between themselves and with the most known method of the diff-log Padé approximation. We consider new methods involving self-similar factor approximants. The methods to be analyzed are introduced in Section 2. These are the standard diff-log Padé summation, the method of self-similar factor approximants, the method combining the diff-log transformation with self-similar factor approximants, and the approach employing Borel summation in combination with self-similar factor approximants. In the following sections, we apply these methods to several asymptotic series with the structure typical of many physics problems.

## 2. Retrieving Large-Variable Exponents

The necessity of having several methods for finding large-variable exponents is dictated by two reasons. First, some of the methods might be not applicable for particular cases, which could be compensated by the use of other methods. Second, as has been explained above, when several ways of calculating the exponents are available, it is possible to check their consistency and, thus, to validate their use.

### 2.1. Diff-Log Padé Transformation

The most well known and usually employed method for defining characteristic exponents is the diff-log Padé transformation [8,9]. For a given function  $f(x)$  the diff-log transformation is defined as

$$D(x) \equiv \frac{d}{dx} \ln f(x). \quad (4)$$

When the value of  $f(x_0)$  at some point  $x_0$  is known, the inverse transformation becomes

$$f(x) = f(x_0) \exp \left\{ \int_{x_0}^x D(t) dt \right\}. \quad (5)$$

If the function at large  $x \rightarrow \infty$  behaves as in (1), the large-variable exponent is given by the limit

$$\beta = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} xD(x). \quad (6)$$

In practical applications we have, not a function, but the truncated series (3). Then its diff-log transform reads as

$$D_k(x) \equiv \frac{d}{dx} \ln f_k(x), \quad (7)$$

where the right-hand side is expanded in powers of  $x$ , yielding the series

$$D_k(x) = \sum_{n=0}^k b_n x^n \quad (x \rightarrow 0). \quad (8)$$

The coefficients  $b_n$  are uniquely defined through the coefficients  $a_n$ , provided both sides of Equation (7) have the same number of terms. For expansion (8), one constructs the Padé approximants

$$P_{n/n+1}[D_k(x)] = \frac{b_0 + \sum_{m=1}^n c_m x^m}{1 + \sum_{m=1}^{n+1} d_m x^m}, \quad (9)$$

with  $2n + 1 = k$  and the coefficients  $c_n$  and  $d_n$  being defined through  $b_n$ . This makes it straightforward to define the approximations for the large-variable exponent as

$$\beta_n = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x P_{n/n+1}[D_k(x)]. \quad (10)$$

As

$$P_{n/n+1}[D_k(x)] \simeq \frac{c_n}{d_{n+1}} \left( \frac{1}{x} \right) \quad (x \rightarrow \infty),$$

we come to the exponents

$$\beta_n = \frac{c_n}{d_{n+1}}. \quad (11)$$

This is the standard scheme for determining the characteristic exponents. By the change of variables, the same scheme can be applied for the estimation of critical exponents at finite values of variables.

## 2.2. Self-Similar Factor Approximants

Recently, a new approach of extrapolating asymptotic series has been advanced [11–14] called the method of self-similar factor approximants. This approach allows for a direct definition of characteristic exponents by extrapolating the initial series (3) to the form

$$f_k^*(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{N_k} (1 + A_j x)^{n_j}, \quad (12)$$

in which

$$N_k = \begin{cases} k/2, & k = 2, 4, 6, \dots \\ (k+1)/2, & k = 1, 3, 5, \dots \end{cases}. \quad (13)$$

The parameters  $A_j$  and  $n_j$  are uniquely defined by the accuracy-through-order procedure from equating the like-order terms in the expansions at small  $x$ :

$$f_k^*(x) \simeq f_k(x) \quad (x \rightarrow 0). \quad (14)$$

This procedure yields the equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_k} n_j A_j^m = J_m \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots, k), \quad (15)$$

with the right-hand side

$$J_m = \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{d^m}{dx^m} \ln \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^m a_n x^n \right).$$

Equations (15) uniquely define all parameters  $A_j$  and  $n_j$  for the even orders  $k$  of expansion (3). For the odd orders  $k$ , an additional normalization condition is required which, based on scaling arguments, implies that one of the  $A_j$  can be set to one [14,15]. The other possibility could be by optimizing the factor approximant (12) with respect to one of  $A_j$ . Both ways lead to close results [16], due to which we use the simplest variant of setting one of  $A_j$  to one. Recall that by agreement we keep in mind real functions. Therefore form (12) also has to be real. This requires that either all  $A_j$  are non-negative and  $n_j$  real, or  $A_j$  and  $n_j$  can be complex, but entering the product (12) in complex conjugate pairs, so that their product remains real. Occasionally arising complex-valued approximants are discarded.

At large  $x$ , the factor approximant (12) results in the behavior

$$f_k^*(x) \simeq B_k x^{\beta_k} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty), \quad (16)$$

with the amplitude

$$B_k = \prod_{j=1}^{N_k} A_j^{n_j} \quad (17)$$

and the characteristic exponent

$$\beta_k = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} n_j. \quad (18)$$

### 2.3. Self-Similar Diff-Log Transformation

As factor approximants provide an efficient tool for extrapolating asymptotic series, it looks reasonable to try to use in the diff-log transformed expansion (8), instead of Padé approximants, the self-similar factor approximants. That is, we sum the series (8) to the self-similar approximant

$$D_k^*(x) = b_0 \prod_{j=1}^{N_k} (1 + L_j x)^{m_j}, \quad (19)$$

instead of the Padé approximant (9). As has been explained below Equation (15), considering real functions, the parameters  $L_j$  and  $n_j$  are to be such that the approximant (19) is real valued. This requires that either  $L_j$  are non-negative and  $n_j$  real, or  $L_j$  and  $n_j$  can be complex, but entering the product (12) in complex conjugate pairs, so that their product remains real. To make meaningful definition (6), the factor approximant (19) is complemented by the condition

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_k} m_j = -1. \quad (20)$$

Then the large-variable limit of (19) becomes

$$D_k^*(x) \simeq D_k \frac{1}{x} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty), \quad (21)$$

with the amplitude

$$D_k = b_0 \prod_{j=1}^{N_k} L_j^{m_j}. \quad (22)$$

Thus the characteristic exponent

$$\beta_k = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} x D_k^*(x) \quad (23)$$

becomes

$$\beta_k = D_k = b_0 \prod_{j=1}^{N_k} L_j^{m_j}. \quad (24)$$

#### 2.4. Self-Similar Borel Summation

The Borel transformation of the series (3) is

$$B_k(x) = \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{a_n}{n!} x^n. \quad (25)$$

The resulting series can be summed by means of self-similar factor approximants,

$$B_k^*(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{N_k} (1 + M_j x)^{s_j}. \quad (26)$$

Then the sought function is approximated by the expression

$$f_k^*(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} B_k^*(xt) dt. \quad (27)$$

The Borel transform (26) at large  $x$  behaves as

$$B_k^*(x) \simeq C_k x^{\sigma_k} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty), \quad (28)$$

where

$$C_k = \prod_{j=1}^{N_k} M_j^{s_j}, \quad \sigma_k = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} s_j. \quad (29)$$

Therefore, the sought function (27), in the limit of large  $x$ , reduces to

$$f_k^*(x) \simeq C_k x^{\sigma_k} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{\sigma_k} dt \quad (x \rightarrow \infty). \quad (30)$$

As a result, the large-variable behavior of the function acquires the form

$$f_k^*(x) \simeq B_k x^{\beta_k} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty), \quad (31)$$

with the amplitude

$$B_k = C_k \Gamma(\sigma_k + 1) \quad (32)$$

and the large-variable exponent

$$\beta_k = \sigma_k = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} s_j. \quad (33)$$

It is worth mentioning that the Padé summation of the Borel transform (25) cannot be used here. This is because, employing a Padé approximant  $P_{M/N}(x)$ , we would find for the large-variable exponent  $\sigma$  the undefined value  $M - N$  that, in addition, can only be an integer.

### 2.5. Simplified Self-Similar Borel Summation

As is shown in the previous section, the large-variable exponent of the sought function (27) coincides with that for the self-similar Borel transform (26), that is  $\beta_k = \sigma_k$ . Of course, the transform  $B_k^*(x)$  itself is rather different from the function  $f_k^*(x)$ , being connected with the latter through the integral (27). Instead of taking the integral, the function  $f_k^*(x)$  can be reconstructed from  $B_k^*(x)$  by the method of self-similarly corrected Padé approximants [17,18]. For this purpose, one can look for the function

$$f_k^*(x) \simeq B_k^*(x) P_{n/n}(x) \quad (2n = k), \quad (34)$$

defining the parameters of the diagonal Padé approximant from the accuracy-through-order procedure by equating the like-order terms of the small-variable expansion of (34) and of the given expansion (3). We have checked by several examples and found that the so reconstructed approximation provides the accuracy comparable to that given by the method of self-similarly corrected Padé approximants [17,18]. The correctness of the large-variable behavior is guaranteed by the equality (33).

### 2.6. Self-Similar Borel–Leroy Summation

A variant of the integral transform, slightly generalizing the Borel summation method, is the Borel–Leroy transform that for the truncated series (3) reads as

$$B_k(x, u) = \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{a_n}{\Gamma(n+1+u)} x^n, \quad (35)$$

where  $u$  plays the role of a control parameter that has to be chosen so that to improve the convergence of the sequence of approximants, if needed. Summing up the latter series by means of self-similar factor approximants yields

$$B_k^*(x, u) = \frac{a_0}{\Gamma(1+u)} \prod_{j=1}^{N_k} (1 + A_j x)^{n_j}. \quad (36)$$

Accomplishing the inverse Borel–Leroy transformation gives the approximation for the sought function

$$f_k^*(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^u B_k^*(tx, u) dt. \quad (37)$$

At large values of the variable, the self-similar Borel–Leroy transform behaves as

$$B_k^*(x, u) \simeq C_k(u) x^{\beta_k} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty), \quad (38)$$

with the amplitude

$$C_k(u) = \frac{a_0}{\Gamma(1+u)} \prod_{j=1}^{N_k} A_j^{n_j} \quad (39)$$

and the exponent

$$\beta_k = \beta_k(u) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} n_j. \quad (40)$$

Therefore, at large values of  $x$ , function (37) acquires the form

$$f_k^*(x) \simeq B_k(u) x^{\beta_k} \quad (x \rightarrow \infty), \quad (41)$$

where the exponent  $\beta_k$  is defined in (40) and the amplitude is

$$B_k(u) = C_k(u) \Gamma(1+u+\beta_k) = \frac{\Gamma(1+u+\beta_k)}{\Gamma(1+u)} a_0 \prod_{j=1}^{N_k} A_j^{n_j}. \quad (42)$$

**Remark 1.** Aiming at comparing the methods of defining the large-variable exponents, we consider several examples whose asymptotic expansions possess the structure typical of many problems in physics and applied mathematics. Our main aim is to study whether the methods described above can provide reasonably accurate evaluation of the large-variable exponents for the typical cases where not so many terms of asymptotic expansions (usually not more than about ten) are available. This is the standard situation in the majority of physical problems of interest. The related typical feature of the overwhelming realistic problems is that the general expressions for the expansion coefficients are not known, hence the convergence of the sequence of approximants cannot be checked explicitly. In such a case, one can talk only about numerical convergence that can be observed by comparing the numerical values of the available approximants. Under numerical convergence, one understands the apparent approach to a limit of the given finite sequence of numerical results. Throughout this paper, we discuss only this numerical convergence.

### 3. Partition Function of Anharmonic Model

Let us start with the standard touchstone that is always considered when studying new methods. This is the partition function of the so-called zero-dimensional anharmonic model

$$Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-\varphi^2 - g\varphi^4) d\varphi, \quad (43)$$

with the coupling parameter  $g \geq 0$ . Expanding the integrand in powers of  $g$  leads to the divergent series (3) with the coefficients

$$a_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{\sqrt{\pi} n!} \Gamma\left(2n + \frac{1}{2}\right). \quad (44)$$

The strong-coupling form of (43) is

$$Z(g) \simeq 1.022765 g^{-0.25} \quad (g \rightarrow \infty). \quad (45)$$

Using the methods described above for defining the large-variable exponents  $\beta_k$  for different approximants, we obtain the following results.

(i) In the case of the standard diff-log Padé transformation of Section 2.1, we have the exponents

$$\beta_3 = -0.1290, \quad \beta_5 = -0.1484, \quad \beta_7 = -0.1610, \quad \beta_9 = -0.1700,$$

numerically converging from above to the exact value  $-0.25$ .

(ii) Applying the self-similar factor approximants of Section 2.2, we find for the even approximants

$$\beta_4 = -0.1290, \quad \beta_6 = -0.1484, \quad \beta_8 = -0.1610, \quad \beta_{10} = -0.1700,$$

and for the odd orders,

$$\beta_3 = -0.3462, \quad \beta_5 = -0.2551, \quad \beta_7 = -0.2227, \quad \beta_9 = -0.2087.$$

One can notice monotonic numerical convergence from above for the even approximants to the exact value  $0.25$ .

(iii) The self-similar diff-log transformation of Section 2.3 yields for the even orders

$$\beta_2 = -0.2281, \quad \beta_4 = -0.1940, \quad \beta_6 = -0.1868, \quad \beta_8 = -0.1853,$$

and the odd approximants are

$$\beta_3 = -0.1368, \quad \beta_5 = -0.1586, \quad \beta_7 = -0.1721, \quad \beta_9 = -0.1813.$$

Here, the role of even and odd approximants is interchanged due to the additional constraint (20). Odd approximants demonstrate numerical convergence from above.

(iv) The self-similar Borel summation of Section 2.4 gives for the even approximants

$$\beta_2 = -0.2069, \quad \beta_4 = -0.2257, \quad \beta_6 = -0.2330, \quad \beta_8 = -0.2369, \quad \beta_{10} = -0.2394,$$

and for the odd orders

$$\beta_3 = -0.2364, \quad \beta_5 = -0.2309, \quad \beta_7 = -0.2419.$$

Again, the even approximants monotonically converge from above. The odd approximant for  $\beta_7$  is not defined, as it becomes complex valued.

Comparing the accuracy of the approximants, we see that the self-similar Borel summation provides a slightly better accuracy than other methods and that the even approximants are better than odd.

(v) It is interesting that using the self-similar Borel–Leroy summation it is possible to find the exact value of the large-variable amplitude. To this end, let us consider the second-order self-similar approximant for the Borel–Leroy transform (36)

$$B_2^*(x, u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+u)} (1 + Ax)^{\beta_2}, \quad (46)$$

for which we have

$$A = \frac{35\Gamma^2(2+u) - 3\Gamma(1+u)\Gamma(3+u)}{4\Gamma(2+u)\Gamma(3+u)} = A(u),$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{3\Gamma(1+u)\Gamma(3+u)}{3\Gamma(1+u)\Gamma(3+u) - 35\Gamma^2(2+u)} = \beta_2(u).$$

The large-variable exponent  $-1/4$  can be derived from the scaling relations for the partition function (43). Thence it should be:  $\beta_2(u) = -1/4$ , which results in the control parameter  $u = -0.25$ . Substituting this parameter into the amplitude (42) gives  $B_2(u) = 1.02277$ , which coincides with the amplitude in the asymptotic form (45).

#### 4. Quartic Anharmonic Oscillator

The other touchstone for checking new methods is the one-dimensional quartic oscillator with the Hamiltonian in dimensionless units

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} x^2 + gx^4, \quad (47)$$

in which  $x \in (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $g \geq 0$ . One usually calculates the ground-state energy  $E(g)$  of this oscillator.

The expansion of  $E(g)$  in powers of the coupling  $g$  results in a divergent series of type (3). The coefficients  $a_n$  can be found in Refs. [19,20]. The strong-coupling behavior is

$$E(g) \simeq 0.667986 g^{1/3} \quad (g \rightarrow \infty). \quad (48)$$

The summary of the results obtained by different methods are as follows.

(i) Diff-log Padé transformation (Section 2.1) yields the strong-coupling exponents

$$\beta_3 = 0.2312, \quad \beta_5 = 0.2570, \quad \beta_7 = 0.2719, \quad \beta_9 = 0.2817.$$

There exists monotonic numerical convergence from below to the exact value  $1/3$ .

(ii) Self-similar factor summation (Section 2.2) gives the even approximants

$$\beta_4 = 0.2312, \quad \beta_6 = 0.2570, \quad \beta_8 = 0.2719, \quad \beta_{10} = 0.2817$$

and the odd approximants

$$\beta_3 = 0.5903, \quad \beta_5 = 0.4092, \quad \beta_7 = 0.3510, \quad \beta_9 = 0.3276.$$

Even approximants monotonically converge from below.

(iii) Self-similar diff-log transformation (Section 2.3) results in the even approximants

$$\beta_2 = 0.3803, \quad \beta_4 = 0.3170, \quad \beta_6 = 0.3033, \quad \beta_8 = 0.2996,$$

and in the odd approximants

$$\beta_3 = 0.2408, \quad \beta_5 = 0.2674, \quad \beta_7 = 0.2818, \quad \beta_9 = 0.2909.$$

Again, we have to remember that here, due to the constraint (20), the role of the even and odd approximants is interchanged. Here, the odd approximants monotonically converge from below.

(iv) Self-similar Borel summation (Section 2.4) leads to the even approximants

$$\beta_2 = 0.3, \quad \beta_4 = 0.2891, \quad \beta_6 = 0.3119, \quad \beta_{10} = 0.3219,$$

and to the odd approximants

$$\beta_3 = 0.2368, \quad \beta_5 = 0.3305, \quad \beta_7 = 0.3147, \quad \beta_9 = 0.3203.$$

Even approximants converge from below to the exact value  $1/3$ .

## 5. Expansion Factor of Polymer Chain

The theory of the excluded volume effect in a polymer chain has been one of the central problems in the field of polymer solution theory. The net effect of the excluded volume interaction between segments of the polymer chain is usually repulsive and leads to an expansion of the chain size. There have been many attempts to understand, quantitatively, this effect over the past several decades [21,22]. When the excluded volume interaction is very weak, a perturbation theory for the ratio of the mean square end-to-end distance of the chain to its unperturbed value can be developed and can be reduced to a series in a single dimensionless interaction parameter  $g$ . This ratio, called expansion factor  $\alpha(g)$ , derived by means of perturbation theory [21,22] with respect to the coupling parameter  $g$ , results in a series (3) with the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 = 1, \quad a_1 = \frac{4}{3}, \quad a_2 = -2.075385396 \quad a_3 = 6.296879676, \\ a_4 = -25.05725072 \quad a_5 = 116.134785, \quad a_6 = -594.71663. \end{aligned}$$

The strong-coupling behavior has been found numerically [23] in the form

$$\alpha(g) \simeq 1.5309 x^{0.3544}. \quad (49)$$

The following results are obtained.

(i) Diff-log Padé transformation (Section 2.1) leads to

$$\beta_3 = 0.3400, \quad \beta_5 = 0.3477,$$

These values approach the exponent 0.3544 from below.

(ii) Self-similar factor approximants (Section 2.2) give in even orders

$$\beta_4 = 0.3400, \quad \beta_6 = 0.3477.$$

and in odd orders

$$\beta_3 = 0.4399, \quad \beta_5 = 0.3641.$$

Even approximants are closer to the test value 0.3544.

(iii) Self-similar diff-log transformation (Section 2.3) provides in even orders

$$\beta_2 = 0.3795, \quad \beta_4 = 0.3542,$$

and in odd orders

$$\beta_3 = 0.3430, \quad \beta_5 = 0.3488.$$

All these values are close to each other.

(iv) In the case of the self-similar Borel summation (Section 2.4), we find the even approximants

$$\beta_2 = 0.4614, \quad \beta_4 = 0.3184 \quad \beta_6 = 0.3726,$$

and the odd approximants

$$\beta_3 = 0.2417, \quad \beta_5 = 0.4489.$$

## 6. Massive Schwinger Model

The massive Schwinger model in Hamiltonian lattice theory [24,25] describes quantum electrodynamics in two space-time dimensions. Its features include such properties of quantum chromodynamics as confinement, chiral symmetry breaking, and a topological vacuum. Due to this, the model has attracted much attention. It is perhaps the simplest non-trivial gauge theory, and this makes it a standard test-bed for the trial of new techniques for the studies. The main characteristic of interest in the Schwinger model is the spectrum of bound states, more specifically the lowest two bound states and the energy gap between them that can be calculated perturbatively.

Let us consider the energy gap between the lowest and first excited states of the vector boson as a function  $\Delta(z)$  of the variable  $z = (1/ga)^4$ , where  $g$  is a coupling parameter and  $a$ , lattice spacing. This energy gap at small  $z$  can be represented as a series

$$\Delta(z) \simeq \sum_n a_n z^n \quad (z \rightarrow 0), \quad (50)$$

with the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= 1, & a_1 &= 2, & a_2 &= -10 & a_3 &= 78.66667, & a_4 &= -736.2222, \\ a_5 &= 7572.929, & a_6 &= -82,736.69, & a_7 &= 942,803.4, \\ a_8 &= -1.108358 \times 10^7, & a_9 &= 1.334636 \times 10^8, & a_{10} &= -1.637996 \times 10^9. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

In the continuous limit, where the lattice spacing tends to zero, the variable  $z$  tends to infinity. Then the gap acquires the limiting form

$$\Delta(z) \simeq 0.5642 z^{1/4} \quad (z \rightarrow \infty). \quad (52)$$

(i) Using the diff-log Padé transformation (Section 2.1), we find the large-variable exponents

$$\beta_3 = 0.1845, \quad \beta_5 = 0.1933, \quad \beta_7 = 0.1983, \quad \beta_9 = 0.2023,$$

which are below the value 0.25.

(ii) Employing self-similar factor approximants (Section 2.2), we have the even approximants

$$\beta_4 = 0.1845, \quad \beta_6 = 0.1933, \quad \beta_8 = 0.1983, \quad \beta_{10} = 0.20234,$$

and the odd approximants

$$\beta_3 = 0.2714, \quad \beta_5 = 0.2140, \quad \beta_7 = 0.2036, \quad \beta_9 = 0.20233.$$

(iii) Self-similar diff-log transformation (Section 2.3) produces the even approximants

$$\beta_2 = 0.2014, \quad \beta_4 = 0.1970,$$

and the odd approximants

$$\beta_3 = 0.1882, \quad \beta_5 = 0.1991.$$

The higher-order approximants are discarded, being complex-valued.

(iv) Making use of the self-similar Borel summation (Section 2.4) gives oscillating even approximants

$$\beta_2 = 0.2857, \quad \beta_4 = 0.1230, \quad \beta_6 = 0.2643, \quad \beta_8 = 0.1547, \quad \beta_{10} = 0.2496,$$

while the odd approximants oscillate so widely that they lose their meaning.

One often assumes that the Borel method improves the results of series summation. However, it is necessary to be cautious. Thus, the considered above Schwinger model shows that Borel summation can produce nonmonotonic sequences of approximants, as compared to the direct self-similar summation of the given series.

## 7. Equation of State for Hard-Disc Fluid

The fluid of hard discs of diameter  $a_s$ , which approximately equals the scattering length for these objects, is an important model often used as a realistic approximation for systems with more complicated interaction potentials. The equation of state connects pressure  $P$ , temperature  $T$ , and density  $\rho$ . One often considers the ratio

$$Z = \frac{P}{\rho T}, \quad (53)$$

where the Planck constant is set to one, which is called compressibility factor. This factor is studied as a function of the packing fraction, or filling,

$$f \equiv \frac{\pi}{4} \rho a_s^2. \quad (54)$$

It is known [26,27] that the compressibility factor exhibits critical behavior at the filling  $f_c = 1$ , where

$$Z \propto (f_c - f)^{-\alpha} \quad (f \rightarrow f_c - 0). \quad (55)$$

The exponent  $\alpha$  has not been calculated exactly, but it is conjectured [26,27] to be around  $\alpha = 2$ .

The compressibility factor for low-density has been found [28,29] by perturbation theory as an expansion in powers of the filling  $f$ . Nine terms of this expansion are available:

$$Z \simeq 1 + 2f + 3.12802f^3 + 4.25785f^3 + 5.3369f^4 + 6.36296f^5 + 7.35186f^6 + 8.3191f^7 + 9.27215f^8 + 10.2163f^9, \quad (56)$$

where  $f \rightarrow 0$ . In order to reduce the consideration to the same type of problems as treated above, we make the substitution

$$f = \frac{x}{1+x} \quad f_c = \frac{x}{1+x}, \quad x = \frac{f}{f_c - f} = \frac{f}{1-f}. \quad (57)$$

Then

$$x \rightarrow 0 \quad (f \rightarrow 0), \quad x \rightarrow \infty \quad (f \rightarrow f_c - 0), \quad (58)$$

and the compressibility factor at the critical point behaves as

$$Z \propto x^\alpha \quad (x \rightarrow \infty). \quad (59)$$

With substitution (57), the compressibility factor  $Z$ , as a function of  $x$  becomes

$$Z \simeq 1 + 2x + 1.12802x^2 + 0.00181x^3 - 0.05259x^4 + 0.05038x^5 - 0.03234x^6 + 0.01397x^7 - 0.0033x^8 + 0.00618x^9, \quad (60)$$

where  $x \rightarrow 0$ . Thus the problem reduces to the prediction of the large-variable exponent  $\alpha$ , being based on the small-variable expansion (60).

(i) Using the standard diff-log Padé transformation, we have

$$\alpha_3 = 1.6186, \quad \alpha_5 = 1.8498, \quad \alpha_7 = 1.8663, \quad \alpha_9 = 3.2337,$$

with the approximation values increasing above 3.

(ii) Self-similar factor approximants give in even orders

$$\alpha_4 = 1.6186, \quad \alpha_6 = 1.8498, \quad \alpha_8 = 1.8663,$$

and in odd orders,

$$\alpha_3 = 2.1294, \quad \alpha_5 = 1.8432, \quad \alpha_7 = 1.8400.$$

The even approximants increase, while the odd approximants decrease. Again we see that the diff-log Padé approximants of order  $k$  coincide with the self-similar approximants of order  $k + 1$ .

(iii) Self-similar diff-log transformation results in the even approximants

$$\alpha_2 = 2.1432, \quad \alpha_4 = 1.8727, \quad \alpha_6 = 1.8546,$$

and odd approximants

$$\alpha_3 = 1.6995, \quad \alpha_5 = 1.8478, \quad \alpha_7 = 1.8628.$$

These values are close to the exponents obtained by direct self-similar summation of series (60), without the diff-log transformation.

(iv) Self-similar Borel summation leads to the even approximants

$$\alpha_2 = 1.3928, \quad \alpha_4 = 1.9772, \quad \alpha_6 = 1.6042, \quad \alpha_8 = 1.8890,$$

and to the odd approximants

$$\alpha_3 = 1.5642, \quad \alpha_5 = 1.9476, \quad \alpha_7 = 1.6660, \quad \alpha_9 = 1.9058.$$

As is seen, these values are close to 2.

(v) Self-similar Borel–Leroy summation requires to be explained in a bit more details. We follow Section 2.6, except that now, instead of the exponent  $\beta_k$  we write  $\alpha_k$ . All other steps are the same. For the series (60), we define the Borel–Leroy transform (35), construct the self-similar approximant (36), make the inverse transformation (37), consider the large-variable limit (38), and find the exponent (40) that now reads as  $\alpha_k(u)$ . The control parameter  $u$  can be defined from the optimization conditions [16,30–32]. The minimal derivative condition is spoiled by multiple solutions, while the minimal difference condition in the form  $\alpha_2(u) = \alpha_3(u)$ , yields the unique solution  $u = 0.35165$ . Using this control parameter, we obtain in even orders

$$\alpha_2 = 1.4797, \quad \alpha_4 = 1.9700, \quad \alpha_6 = 1.6614, \quad \alpha_8 = 1.9164,$$

and in odd orders

$$\alpha_3 = 1.4797, \quad \alpha_5 = 1.9431, \quad \alpha_7 = 1.6996 \quad \alpha_9 = 1.9290 .$$

Considering the largest-order self-similar approximants, depending on the used method, we have in the even orders 1.866, 1.855, 1.889, and 1.916, which gives the average 1.882. In the odd orders, we have 1.840, 1.863, 1.906, and 1.929, which results in the average 1.885. Thus, we can make the prediction for the exponent as  $1.884 \pm 0.02$ .

## 8. Discussion

The problem of defining large-variable characteristic exponents is considered. We analyze and compare different methods: the standard approach using diff-log Padé transformation, and several novel methods involving the use of self-similar factor approximants. It is worth noting that the form of the self-similar approximants is not postulated ad hoc, but follows from self-similar approximation theory, where these approximants represent fixed points of renormalization group equations [31].

The suggested methods are developed for the application to difficult problems characterized by three features. First, the number of terms in an asymptotic expansion is not large, often containing just a few terms. Second, the general explicit expression for expansion coefficients is not available, hence it is not known what the properties of the sought function are. Third, the expansion variable is not small, but rather large and even tending to infinity. In such a situation, the sole known way of estimating the efficiency of a summation method is based on (i) the observation of apparent numerical convergence (that should not be confused with convergence in strict sense) and (ii) the verification of the compatibility of the results obtained by several available methods. The developed methods are applicable to any series and the results are trustful provided they satisfy the above requirements. The methods are straightforward and do not involve any fitting parameters.

One should not confuse the calculation of the large-variable exponents, where the variable of interest tends to infinity, with the calculation of critical exponents for which the extrapolation to only finite values of variables is required, as for instance in the problem of summation of epsilon expansions, where at the end one sets  $\varepsilon = 1$ . Thus, rather precise values for critical exponents have been found by means of self-similar approximants [31,33], agreeing well with other methods of summation and Monte Carlo simulations summarized in Refs. [34,35]. The extrapolation of asymptotic series to the values of the variable tending to infinity is a more complicated task even for simple problems.

In order to decide on the accuracy of the used methods, it is possible to compare the upper-order results for each considered case, obtained by different methods. For compactness, we shall denote the methods by the corresponding abbreviations: Diff-Log Padé transformation (DLP); Self-Similar Factor approximants (SSF); Self-Similar Diff-Log transformation (SSDL); and Self-Similar Borel summation (SSB).

For the anharmonic model of Section 3, we have:

$$\beta_9 = -0.1700 \text{ (DLP)}, \quad \beta_{10} = -0.1700 \text{ (SSF)}, \quad \beta_9 = -0.1813 \text{ (SSDL)}, \quad \beta_{10} = -0.2394 \text{ (SSB)}.$$

The result of the SSB is the closest to the exact value  $\beta = -0.25$ .

In the case of the anharmonic oscillator of Section 4 the results are:

$$\beta_9 = 0.2817 \text{ (DLP)}, \quad \beta_{10} = 0.2817 \text{ (SSF)}, \quad \beta_9 = 0.2909 \text{ (SSDL)}, \quad \beta_{10} = 0.3219 \text{ (SSB)}.$$

The value given by SSB is the closest to the exact  $\beta = 1/3$ .

For the polymer chain of Section 5, we find:

$$\beta_5 = 0.3477 \text{ (DLP)}, \quad \beta_6 = 0.3477 \text{ (SSF)}, \quad \beta_5 = 0.3488 \text{ (SSDL)}, \quad \beta_6 = 0.3726 \text{ (SSB)}.$$

The closest to the exact  $\beta = 0.3544$  is the result of SSDL.

For the massive Schwinger model of Section 6, we find:

$$\beta_9 = 0.2023 \text{ (DLP)}, \quad \beta_{10} = 0.2023 \text{ (SSF)}, \quad \beta_9 = 0.1991 \text{ (SSDL)}, \quad \beta_{10} = 0.2496 \text{ (SSB)}.$$

The result of SSB is the closest to the exact  $\beta = 0.25$ .

In the case of the hard-disc fluid of Section 7, we obtain:

$$\alpha_9 = 3.2337 \text{ (DLP)}, \quad \alpha_8 = 1.8663 \text{ (SSF)}, \quad \alpha_7 = 1.8628 \text{ (SSDL)}, \quad \alpha_9 = 1.9058 \text{ (SSB)}.$$

The closest to the conjectured  $\alpha = 2$  is the result of SSB. The use of the self-similar Borel–Leroy transformation slightly improves the result giving  $\alpha_9 = 1.9290$ .

The main conclusions are as follows:

(i) It turns out that the direct summation of asymptotic series by means of self-similar factor approximants gives the results coinciding with the standard method of diff-log Padé transformation. Moreover, the large-variable exponents  $\beta_k$  of the latter method coincide with the exponents  $\beta_{k+1}$  of the first method. This can be explained by the fact that Padé approximants are just a particular case of factor approximants.

(ii) In the methods, employing self-similar factor approximants, even approximants demonstrate better numerical convergence than odd approximants. The reason for that is the use for the odd approximants of an additional normalization constraint. The general feature of the self-similar factor approximants is their self-organized structure prescribed by renormalization-group procedure [31]. Therefore, usually, the lesser imposed constraints, the better the numerical convergence of the approximants.

(iii) The cases where the self-similar Borel summation is well defined lead to more accurate results. However, sometimes it may produce strongly oscillating sequences of the approximants, and even may stop existing in the real-valued range.

(iv) All results are compatible with each other, which validates their use. This is a principal point, as in order to obtain reliable estimates of calculations, it is necessary to have in hands several methods demonstrating the compatibility of results between the different techniques. This is why the novel methods, considered in the present paper, are of high importance, as they provide the tool for checking the compatibility between different approaches, hence they demonstrate the reliability of the obtained results.

As is possible to conclude from the comparison of different approaches, the method of self-similar factor approximants is comparable in accuracy with the method of diff-log transformation, while the self-similar Borel summation can provide more accurate results.

**Author Contributions:** V.I.Y. and S.G. equally contributed to this paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. Sornette, D. *Critical Phenomena in Natural Sciences*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2003.
2. Pareto, V. *Manuel d'Economie Politique*; Girard: Paris, France, 1927.
3. Saichev, A.; Malevergne, Y.; Sornette, D. *Theory of Zipf's Law and Beyond*; Springer: Berlin, Germany, 2010.
4. Migdal, A.B. *Qualitative Methods in Quantum Theory*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2018.
5. Yin, W.; Yang, W.; Liu, H. A neural network scheme for recovering scattering obstacles with limited phaseless far-field data. *J. Comput. Phys.* **2020**, *417*, 1–26. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Meng P., Su, L.; Yin, W. Solving a kind of inverse scattering problem of acoustic waves based on linear sampling method and neural network. *Alex. Eng. J.* **2020**, *59*, 1451–1462. [[CrossRef](#)]

7. Landig, R.; Brennecke, F.; Mottl, R.; Donner, T.; Esslinger, T. Measuring the dynamic structure factor of a quantum gas undergoing a structural phase transition. *Nat. Commun.* **2015**, *6*, 7046. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Baker, G.A. Application of the Padé approximant method to the investigation of some magnetic properties of the Ising model. *Phys. Rev.* **1961**, *124*, 768–774. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Guttmann, A.J. Analysis of series expansions for nonalgebraic singularities. *J. Phys. A* **2015**, *48*, 045209. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Awrejcewicz, J. *Numerical Analysis: Theory and Applications*; Intech: Janeza Trdine, Rijeka, 2011.
11. Gluzman, S.; Yukalov, V.I.; Sornette, D. Self-similar factor approximants. *Phys. Rev. E* **2003**, *67*, 026109. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Yukalov, V.I.; Gluzman, S.; Sornette, D. Summation of power series by self-similar factor approximants. *Physica A* **2003**, *328*, 409–438. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Yukalov, V.I.; Gluzman, S. Extrapolation of power series by self-similar factor and root approximants. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **2004**, *18*, 3027–3046. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Yukalova, E.P.; Yukalov, V.I.; Gluzman, S. Self-similar factor approximants for evolution equations and boundary-value problems. *Ann. Phys.* **2008**, *323*, 3074–3090. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
15. Yukalov, V.I.; Yukalova, E.P. From asymptotic series to self-similar approximants. *Physics* **2021**, *3*, 829–878. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Yukalov, V.I.; Gluzman, S. Optimization of self-similar factor approximants. *Mol. Phys.* **2009**, *107*, 2237–2244. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Gluzman, S.; Yukalov, V.I. Self-similarly corrected Padé approximants for the indeterminate problem. *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **2016**, *131*, 340. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
18. Gluzman, S.; Yukalov, V.I. Self-similarly corrected Padé approximants for nonlinear equations. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **2019**, *33*, 1950353. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. Bender, C.M.; Wu, T.T. Anharmonic oscillator. *Phys. Rev.* **1969**, *184*, 1231–1260. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
20. Hioe, F.T.; MacMillen, D.; Montroll, E.W. Quantum theory of anharmonic oscillators: Energy levels of a single and a pair of coupled oscillators with quartic coupling. *Phys. Rep.* **1978**, *43*, 305–335. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Muthukumar, M.; Nickel, B.G. Perturbation theory for a polymer chain with excluded volume interaction. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1984**, *80*, 5839–5850. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. Muthukumar, M.; Nickel, B.G. Expansion of a polymer chain with excluded volume interaction. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1987**, *86*, 460–476. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
23. Li, B.; Madras, N.; Sokal, A.D. Critical exponents, hyperscaling, and universal amplitude ratios for two- and three-dimensional self-avoiding walks. *J. Stat. Phys.* **1995**, *80*, 661–754. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
24. Schwinger, J. Gauge invariance and mass. *Phys. Rev.* **1962**, *128*, 2425–2428. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
25. Hamer, C.J.; Weihong, Z.; Oitmaa, J. Series expansions for the massive Schwinger model in Hamiltonian lattice theory. *Phys. Rev. D* **1997**, *56*, 55–67. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
26. Mulero, A.; Cachadina, I.; Solana, J.R. The equation of state of the hard-disc fluid revisited. *Mol. Phys.* **2009**, *107*, 1457–1465. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
27. Santos, A.; Lopez de Haro, M.; Bravo Yuste, S. An accurate and simple equation of state for hard disks. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1995**, *103*, 4622–4625. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Clisby, N.; McCoy, B.M. Ninth and tenth order virial coefficients for hard spheres in  $D$  dimensions. *J. Stat. Phys.* **2006**, *122*, 15–57. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
29. Maestre, M.A.G.; Santos, A.; Robles, M.; Lopez de Haro, M. On the relation between coefficients and the close-packing of hard disks and hard spheres. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2011**, *134*, 084502. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
30. Yukalov, V.I.; Gluzman, S. Critical indices as limits of control functions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1997**, *79*, 333–336. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
31. Yukalov, V.I. Interplay between approximation theory and renormalization group. *Phys. Part. Nucl.* **2019**, *50*, 141–209. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
32. Gluzman, S. Optimized factor approximants and critical index. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 903. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
33. Yukalov, V.I.; Yukalova, E.P. Calculation of critical exponents by self-similar factor approximants. *Eur. Phys. J. B* **2007**, *55*, 93–99. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
34. Pelissetto, A.; Vicari, E. Critical phenomena and renormalization-group theory. *Phys. Rep.* **2002**, *368*, 549–727. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
35. Dupuis, N.; Canet, L.; Eichhorn, A.; Metzner, W.; Pawłowski, J.M.; Tissier, M.; Wschebor, N. The nonperturbative functional renormalization group and its applications. *Phys. Rep.* **2021**, *910*, 1–114. [\[CrossRef\]](#)