

Article

Ulam–Hyers Stability via Fixed Point Results for Special Contractions in b -Metric Spaces

Monica-Felicia Bota and Sanda Micula * 

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Babeş-Bolyai University,
1 M. Kogălniceanu Street, 400084 Cluj-Napoca, Romania

* Correspondence: sanda.micula@ubbcluj.ro

Abstract: In this paper, we present some fixed point results for Subrahmanyam contraction in the setting of a b -metric space. We consider the case of multivalued operators. We also deduce the Ulam–Hyers stability property of the fixed point inclusion. The notion of b -metric generalizes the one of a metric, as in the third condition, the right-hand side is multiplied by a real number greater than 1. We remark that the second axiom, i.e., the one which shows the symmetry of the b -metric, remains unchanged. The findings presented in this paper extend some recent results which were proved in the context of a metric space. Some open questions are presented at the end of the paper.

Keywords: fixed points; Subrahmanyam contractions; multivalued operators; b -metric spaces; Ulam–Hyers stability

MSC: 46T99; 47H10; 54H25



Citation: Bota, M.-F.; Micula, S. Ulam–Hyers Stability via Fixed Point Results for Special Contractions in b -Metric Spaces. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 2461. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14112461>

Academic Editors: Wei-Shih Du and Alexander Zaslavski

Received: 28 October 2022

Accepted: 15 November 2022

Published: 20 November 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

In this paper, we present some fixed point results for Subrahmanyam contraction in the setting of a b -metric space. We consider the case of multivalued operators. These results expand on some recent theorems proved in classical metric spaces.

Let us recall the fact that a b -metric is a generalization of the notion of metric and thus, the results obtained in the context of b -metric space are more general than those proved in the case of metric spaces. The symmetry of the b -metric should also be mentioned.

An interesting paper regarding the roots of the notion of a b -metric, as well as a brief survey on this concepts and related results, is the very recent paper of Berinde and Pacurar [1]. The authors show that a very impressive research work has been devoted in the last two decades to obtaining fixed point theorems in b -metric spaces (also called quasimetric spaces). Other results and examples regarding this notion can be found in [2–6].

The well-known Banach contraction principle is generalized in two main directions: on the one hand, the work space is changed, on the other, one can consider single-valued or multivalued operators satisfying different contraction conditions.

We consider both directions and work in a b -metric space. For the operator, we choose to work with a multivalued Subrahmanyam contraction. This notion was introduced for the single-valued case in [7], and for the multivalued operators in [8]. Fixed point results are presented in the context of a metric space. One can easily notice that this notion generalizes the notion of graph contraction.

Section 2 of the paper provides a review of some definitions, examples and results that will be needed in the next part.

In Section 3, we obtain some fixed point theorems for multivalued Subrahmanyam contractions. The theoretical results are then used to derive a local Ulam–Hyers stability result for the fixed point inclusion.

Another important problem is that of giving explicit conditions for the existence of a strict fixed point of a multivalued operator. This issue is addressed in Section 4. An open problem is also stated.

The last section summarizes the main findings of the present study.

The main contribution of this paper is presenting fixed points and strict fixed points results for Subrahmanyam contractions, as well as deriving Ulam–Hyers stability, in the context of b -metric spaces.

2. Preliminaries

First, let us recall some notions and results that will be needed later.

Definition 1 (Bakhtin [9], Czerwik [5]). Let M be a nonempty set and consider $s \geq 1$, a given real number. A functional $d : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is called a b -metric (which is named also in some papers quasi-metric) with constant $s \geq 1$ if the first two Fréchet axioms of the metric are satisfied. The third one (the triangle inequality axiom), is different, in the sense that it has the following form:

$$(\star) \quad d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)], \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M.$$

The pair (M, d) with these properties is called a b -metric space with constant $s \geq 1$.

Some classical examples of b -metric space are the following, given by Berinde in [2].

Example 1. The space $L^p[0, 1]$ (where $0 < p < 1$) of all real functions $x(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $\int_0^1 |x(t)|^p dt < \infty$, together with the functional $d(x, y) := (\int_0^1 |x(t) - y(t)|^p dt)^{1/p}$, is a b -metric space. Notice that $s = 2^{1/p}$.

Example 2. For $0 < p < 1$, the set $l^p(\mathbb{R}) := \{(x_n) \subset \mathbb{R} \mid \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p < \infty\}$ together with the functional $d : l^p(\mathbb{R}) \times l^p(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d(x, y) := (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n - y_n|^p)^{1/p}$, is a b -metric space with coefficient $s = 2^{1/p} > 1$. Notice that the above result holds for the general case $l^p(X)$ with $0 < p < 1$, where X is a Banach space.

Other interesting examples of b -metric spaces can be found in [2–6]. It is known that some topological properties in the setting of b -metric spaces are the same as in metric spaces.

One can define the notions of a compact subset and closed subset of a b -metric space in the same manner as that in which the context of a metric space is defined.

It is also known that in a b -metric space (M, d) , a convergent sequence has a unique limit and each convergent sequence is Cauchy.

However, there are some important distance-type differences: the b -metric on M may not be continuous, open balls in b -metric spaces need not be open sets, the closed ball is not necessary a closed set, to recall a few.

It is also important to emphasize the symmetry of the b -metric.

Throughout the paper, \mathbb{N} is the set of natural numbers, \mathbb{N}^* is the set of non-zero natural numbers, \mathbb{R} is the set of all real numbers and \mathbb{R}_+ is the set of all real non-negative numbers.

For the convenience of the reader, we briefly recall the definition of the following notions, which are well known in nonlinear analysis.

Let (M, d) be a b -metric space and $P(M)$ be the family of all nonempty subsets of M . We denote by $P_{cl}(M)$ the family of all nonempty closed subsets of M , by $P_b(M)$ the family of all nonempty bounded subsets of M and by $P_{cp}(M)$ the family of all nonempty compact subsets of M .

We denote by $B(y_0; r) := \{y \in M \mid d(y_0, y) < r\}$, for $y_0 \in M$ and $r > 0$ the open ball and by $\tilde{B}(y_0; r) := \{y \in M \mid d(y_0, y) \leq r\}$, for $y_0 \in M$ and $r > 0$ the closed ball, centered in y_0 with radius r .

In the context of a b -metric space, the functionals used in the multivalued analysis theory are defined in the same way as in the context of a usual metric space. We will use the following notations:

(1) The gap functional, i.e., the distance between a point $a \in M$ and a set $B \subset M$:

$$D(a, B) := \inf\{d(a, b) \mid b \in B\};$$

(2) The excess functional of A over B generated by d :

$$e(A, B) := \sup\{D(a, B) \mid a \in A\};$$

(3) The Hausdorff–Pompeiu functional generated by d :

$$H(A, B) = \max\{e(A, B), e(B, A)\}.$$

For the definitions and important properties of these functionals, see [10–12].

The following Lemma presents some properties of the functionals which will be used later in the proof of our main result.

Lemma 1. *If (M, d) is a b -metric space with $s \geq 1$, then we have:*

- (a) $D(x, A) \leq sd(x, y) + sD(y, A)$, for all $x, y \in M$ and $A \in P(M)$;
- (b) If $A \in P_{cl}(M)$ and $x \in M$ are such that $D(x, A) = 0$, then $x \in A$.
- (c) If $A, B \in P(M)$ and $q > 1$, then, for each $a \in A$ there is $b \in B$ such that $d(a, b) \leq qH(A, B)$.

Recall that if (M, d) is a b -metric space, then a set $Y \in P(M)$ is said to be proximal if for every $x \in M$ there exists $y \in Y$ such that $d(x, y) = D(x, Y)$.

Additionally, let us recall that if M is a nonempty set and $S : M \rightarrow P(M)$ is a multivalued operator, then we denote by $Fix(S) := \{x \in M : x \in S(x)\}$ the fixed point set for S , by $SFix(S) := \{x \in M : \{x\} = S(x)\}$ the strict fixed point set for S , by $Graph(S) := \{(x, y) \in M \times M \mid y \in S(x)\}$ the graph of S .

Moreover, for arbitrary $(x_0, x_1) \in Graph(S)$, the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $x_{n+1} \in S(x_n)$ (for $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$) is called the sequence of successive approximations for S starting from (x_0, x_1) .

We present now the notion of multivalued Subrahmanyam contraction in the setting of b -metric space. This was introduced in [8] for the case of metric space. For the single-valued case, the reader is referred to [7].

Definition 2 ([8]). *Let (M, d) be a b -metric space and $S : M \rightarrow P(M)$ be a multivalued operator. We say that S is a multivalued Subrahmanyam contraction if there exists $\psi : X \rightarrow [0, 1[$ such that:*

- (i) $H(S(x), S(y)) \leq \psi(x)d(x, y)$, for all $(x, y) \in Graph(S)$;
- (ii) $\psi(y) \leq \psi(x)$, for every $(x, y) \in Graph(S)$.

Remark 1. *For a constant $k \in [0, 1[$, let $\psi(x) := k$ for each $x \in M$. Then, we obtain the notion of a multivalued graph contraction with constant k .*

In 1969, S.B. Nadler Jr. proved the first metric fixed point principle for multivalued operators in complete metric spaces [13,14]. Later, in 1998, S. Czerwik generalized the results in the context of a b -metric space. The result is stated as follows (see Theorem 5 in [15]).

Theorem 1. *Let (M, d) be a complete b -metric space. If $S : M \rightarrow P_{cl}(M)$ is a multivalued α -contraction where α is a real constant and $0 \leq \alpha < s^{-1}$, then $Fix(S) \neq \emptyset$.*

A useful remark regarding the above theorem can be found in [16].

3. Fixed Point Results and Ulam–Hyers Stability

Let us present an important result which allows us to prove the existence of a fixed point in b -metric spaces without any additional conditions on the constant s . We can also obtain an a priori estimate from this Lemma.

Lemma 2 ([17]). *Every sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements from a b -metric space (M, d) with constant s , for which there exists $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ such that $d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq \gamma d(x_n, x_{n-1})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Moreover, the following estimate holds*

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) \leq \frac{\gamma^n S}{1 - \gamma} d(x_0, x_1), \text{ for all } n, p \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\text{where } S := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \gamma^{2i \log_{\gamma} s + 2^{i-1}}.$$

The following theorem is the first main result of this paper.

Theorem 2. *Let (M, d) be a complete b -metric space and let $S : M \rightarrow P(M)$ be a multivalued Subrahmanyam contraction with closed graph. Then, we have the following:*

- (a) $\text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset$;
- (b) *For every $(x_0, x_1) \in \text{Graph}(S)$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of successive approximations for S starting with (x_0, x_1) , which converges to a fixed point $x^*(x_0, x_1)$ of S and the following a priori estimate holds:*

$$d(x_{n+1}, x^*(x_0, x_1)) \leq \frac{(q\psi(x_0))^n s S}{1 - q\psi(x_0)} d(x_0, x_1), n, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$\text{where } q \in (1, \frac{1}{\psi(x_0)}) \text{ and } S := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (q\psi(x_0))^{2i \log_{q\psi(x_0)} s + 2^{i-1}};$$

- (c) *For all $(x_0, x_1) \in \text{Graph}(S)$, the following retraction displacement type condition holds:*

$$d(x_0, x^*(x_0, x_1)) \leq (s + \frac{s^2 S \psi(x_0)}{1 - s\psi(x_0)}) d(x_0, x_1),$$

$$\text{where } S := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (q\psi(x_0))^{2i \log_{q\psi(x_0)} s + 2^{i-1}}.$$

Proof. We prove parts (a) and (b) together.

Let $(x_0, x_1) \in \text{Graph}(S)$ be arbitrary and let $1 < q < \frac{1}{\psi(x_0)}$.

The first step of the proof is to construct a sequence of successive approximations for S starting with $(x_0, x_1) \in \text{Graph}(S)$.

Hence, for $x_1 \in S(x_0)$, there exists $x_2 \in S(x_1)$ such that

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq qH(S(x_0), S(x_1)) \leq q\psi(x_0)d(x_0, x_1).$$

Next, for $x_2 \in S(x_1)$, there exists $x_3 \in S(x_2)$ such that

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq qH(S(x_1), S(x_2)) \leq q\psi(x_1)d(x_1, x_2) \leq (q\psi(x_0))^2 d(x_0, x_1).$$

Therefore, we obtain a sequence which has the following property:

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq (q\psi(x_0))^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

Applying Lemma 2 with $\gamma = q\psi(x_0)$, we deduce that $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

From the same Lemma, we obtain the inequality:

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) \leq \frac{(q\psi(x_0))^n S}{1 - q\psi(x_0)} d(x_0, x_1), \text{ for all } n, p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{where } S := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (q\psi(x_0))^{2i \log_{q\psi(x_0)} s + 2^{i-1}}.$$

Since $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy, using the completeness of the b -metric, it follows that the sequence converges to $x^*(x_0, x_1) \in X$. From the hypothesis that S has a closed graph, we have that $x^*(x_0, x_1)$ is a fixed point of S .

Moreover, from (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x^*) &\leq s(d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+k}) + d(x_{n+k}, x^*)) \leq \\ &\frac{(q\psi(x_0))^n s S}{1 - q\psi(x_0)} d(x_0, x_1) + s d(x_{n+k}, x^*), n, k \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

which provides the a priori estimate.

(c) By letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$d(x_{n+1}, x^*) \leq \frac{(q\psi(x_0))^n s S}{1 - q\psi(x_0)} d(x_0, x_1), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Taking $n = 0$ in the relation above, it follows that

$$d(x_1, x^*(x_0, x_1)) \leq \frac{q\psi(x_0) s S}{1 - q\psi(x_0)} d(x_0, x_1), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For the retraction displacement type condition, using the above relation, we obtain:

$$d(x_0, x^*(x_0, x_1)) \leq s(d(x_0, x_1)) + d(x_1, x^*(x_0, x_1)) \leq s(d(x_0, x_1)) + \frac{q\psi(x_0) s S}{1 - q\psi(x_0)} d(x_0, x_1)$$

Letting $q \rightarrow 1$, we obtain the desired conclusion. \square

As a consequence of this first main result, we can obtain the local Ulam–Hyers stability property in b -metric spaces. For more details regarding the Ulam–Hyers stability, see [18,19].

Definition 3. Let (M, d) be a b -metric space with constant $s \geq 1$ and let $S : M \rightarrow P(M)$ be an operator. Then, the fixed point inclusion

$$x \in S(x), x \in X, \quad (2)$$

is said to be local Ulam–Hyers stable if there exists $c > 0$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any ε -solution z of the fixed point inclusion (2), i.e.,

$$D(z, S(z)) \leq \varepsilon,$$

there exists $x^* \in \text{Fix}(S)$ with $d(z, x^*) \leq c\varepsilon$.

Using the above notion, we can state the Ulam–Hyers stability result as follows.

Theorem 3. Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space and let $S : M \rightarrow P(M)$ be a multivalued operator, which satisfies the hypothesis in Theorem 2. If, in addition, the operator has proximal values, we obtain that the fixed point inclusion (2) is local Ulam–Hyers stable.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider p , an ε -solution of (2). From the hypothesis, we know that the operator S has proximal values.

By this property, there is $w \in S(p)$ such that $d(p, w) = D(p, S(p)) \leq \epsilon$.

Then, from Theorem 2, it follows that there exists a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of successive approximations for S starting with $(p, w) \in \text{Graph}(S)$ which converges to a fixed point $x^* = x^*(p, w)$ of S and

$$d(z, x^*) \leq C(z)d(p, w) \leq C(p)\epsilon.$$

□

The next theorem is an extension of Theorem 2, in the sense that the second assumption is given in the terms of the functional e_d .

Theorem 4. Let (M, d) be a complete b -metric space and $S : M \rightarrow P(M)$ be an operator with closed graph. Assume that there exists $\phi : X \rightarrow [0, 1[$ such that:

(i) $e(S(x), S(y)) \leq \phi(x)d(x, y)$, for every $(x, y) \in \text{Graph}(S)$;

(ii) $\phi(y) \leq \phi(x)$, for all $(x, y) \in \text{Graph}(S)$.

Then, we have the following:

(a) $\text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset$;

(b) For every $(x_0, x_1) \in \text{Graph}(S)$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of successive approximations for S , starting with (x_0, x_1) , which converges to a fixed point of S , $x^*(x_0, x_1)$. Moreover, the following a priori estimate holds

$$d(x_{n+1}, x^*(x_0, x_1)) \leq \frac{(q\psi(x_0))^n sS}{1 - q\psi(x_0)} d(x_0, x_1), \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $q \in (1, \frac{1}{\psi(x_0)})$ and $S := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (q\psi(x_0))^{2i \log_{q\psi(x_0)} s + 2^{i-1}}$.

Remark 2. An important open question is obtaining other stability properties (well-posedness in the sense of Reich and Zaslavski, see [20–23]), Ostrowski stability property [22,23]) for the fixed point inclusion $x \in S(x)$.

4. Strict Fixed Point Results

First, let us remark that a strict fixed point (end-point) for S is a special fixed point for the operator $S : M \rightarrow P(M)$. In this framework, we can state a strict fixed point result for multivalued Subrahmanyam contractions in b -metric spaces, which generalizes one of the main theorems in [24].

Theorem 5. Let (M, d) be a complete b -metric space and $S : M \rightarrow P(M)$ be a multivalued operator which satisfies the hypothesis in Theorem 2. Further, suppose that:

(1) $S(S(x)) \subset S(x)$, for each $x \in M$;

(2) If $Y \in P_{cl}(M)$ with $S(Y) = Y$, then Y is a singleton.

Then, $\text{Fix}(S) = S\text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Applying Theorem 2, we obtain the existence of a fixed point. Let us denote by p the fixed point of S . By the first hypothesis, we obtain that $S(p) \subset S(S(p)) \subset S(p)$. Thus, $S(S(p)) = S(p)$ and so $S(p)$ is a fixed set for S . By the second hypothesis, we obtain that $S(p)$ is a singleton. Hence, $S(p) = \{p\}$.

Hence, we see that $\text{Fix}(S) \subset S\text{Fix}(S)$. Thus, $\text{Fix}(S) = S\text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset$. □

The next theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1 from [24] for the case of a b -metric space.

For the proof of the theorem, the following well-known Cantor's Lemma is very useful. This is given here in the setting of a b -metric space.

Lemma 3. Let (M, d) be a b -metric space. Suppose that (M, d) is complete. Then, for every descending sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of nonempty closed subsets of M such that

$$\text{diam}(S_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3)$$

the intersection $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ contains exactly one point.

Let (M, d) be a b -metric space, $M : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(M)$ be a multivalued operator and $A \subset M$. Define $S(A) := \bigcup_{x \in A} S(x)$.

The strict fixed point result for the case of a multivalued operator is the following.

Theorem 6. Let (M, d) be a complete b -metric space and $S : M \rightarrow P_{cl}(M)$ be a multivalued operator satisfying the following hypotheses:

- (i) $S(S(x)) \subset S(x)$, for each $x \in M$;
- (ii) For any $x \in M$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $y \in S(x)$ such that $\text{diam}(S(y)) < \varepsilon$.

Then, $S\text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose that, additionally, the following assumption is satisfied:

- (iii) $Y \in P_{cl}(S)$ with $S(Y) = Y$, implies that Y has exactly one fixed point.

Then, $\text{Fix}(S) = S\text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. For proving the first assumption, fix $x_0 \in M$. By condition (ii), there exists $x_1 \in S(x_0)$ such that $\text{diam}(S(x_1)) < 1$. From assumption (i), we have $S(x_1) \subseteq S(S(x_0)) \subseteq S(x_0)$.

Using an inductive argument, we obtain a sequence (x_n) such that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{diam}(S(x_n)) < \frac{1}{n}$ and $S(x_n) \subseteq S(x_{n-1})$.

By Cantor's Lemma 3, there exists $x^* \in M$ such that $\{x^*\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S(x_n)$. Since $x^* \in S(x_n)$, condition (i) implies that $S(x^*) \subseteq S(x_n)$, i.e., $S(x^*) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S(x_n) = \{x^*\}$.

Hence, $S\text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset$.

For the second conclusion, let us show that $\text{Fix}(S) \subset S\text{Fix}(S)$. Let $p \in \text{Fix}(S)$. Then, by hypothesis (i), we obtain $S(p) \subset S(S(p)) \subset S(p)$. Hence, $S(S(p)) = S(p)$. By the third assumption, it follows that $S(p)$ has exactly one fixed point. Since $p \in S(p)$, we immediately obtain that $S(p) = \{p\}$. Hence, $p \in S\text{Fix}(S)$ and $\text{Fix}(S) = S\text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset$. \square

We can state a similar result where the operator has the “approximate endpoint property”, see [25].

Definition 4. Let $S : M \rightarrow P(M)$ be a multivalued operator. We say that S has the approximate endpoint property if $\inf_{x \in M} H(x, S(x)) = 0$.

Theorem 7. Let (M, d) be a complete b -metric space with $s \geq 1$. Let $S : M \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(M)$ be a multivalued operator such that

- (i) $H(S(x), S(y)) \leq \psi(d(x, y))$, for all $x, y \in X$, where $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is upper semicontinuous, $\psi(t) < t, \forall t > 0$ and $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - s\psi(t)) > 0$

- (ii) $\inf_{x \in X} H(x, S(x)) = 0$.

Then, $\text{Fix}(S) = S\text{Fix}(S) = \{x^*\}$.

Proof. Let us consider the following set

$$B_n = \{x \in M : H(\{x\}, S(x)) = \sup_{y \in S(x)} d(x, y) \leq \frac{1}{n}\},$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

We observe that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $B_{n+1} \subseteq B_n$. As the mapping $x \rightarrow H(\{x\}, S(x))$ is continuous, we note that B_n is closed.

Next, we have to show that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, B_n is bounded.

To this end, let us suppose that $\text{diam } B_{n_0} = \infty$, for some $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, there exist $x_n, y_n \in B_{n_0}$ such that $d(x_n, y_n) \geq n_0$. By assumption (i) and the triangle inequality, we obtain:

$$d(x_n, y_n) = H(\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}) \leq s[H(\{x_n\}, S(x_n))H(S(x_n), S(y_n)) + H(\{y_n\}, S(y_n))] \leq s\frac{2}{n} + s\psi(d(x_n, y_n)).$$

Hence,

$$d(x_n, y_n) - s\psi(d(x_n, y_n)) \leq \frac{2s}{n}, \text{ for each } x_n, y_n \in B_{n_0}.$$

Thus,

$$0 \leq d(x_n, y_n) - s\psi(d(x_n, y_n)) \leq \frac{2s}{n}.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d(x_n, y_n) - s\psi(d(x_n, y_n))) = 0$ and $d(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow \infty$, we reach a contradiction.

The next step is to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } B_n = 0$.

Suppose that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } B_n = t_0 > 0.$$

We can remark that the sequence $(\text{diam } B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-increasing, as well as bounded from below. Hence, it has a limit.

Let

$$\rho = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{ \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (t_{n,k} - s\psi(t_{n,k})) : (x_{n,k}, y_{n,k}) \in B_n \}$$

and

$$t_{n,k} = d(x_{n,k}, y_{n,k}) \rightarrow \text{diam } B_n, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \}.$$

We want to show that $\rho > 0$. By contradiction, let us suppose that $\rho = 0$. Using its definition, it follows that there is a sequence t_n such that $t_n \rightarrow t_0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (t_n - \psi(t_n)) = 0$. Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t_n) = t_0$. However, since ψ is upper semicontinuous and $t_0 > 0$, it follows that

$$t_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(t_n) \leq \psi(t_0) < t_0.$$

Hence, we obtain a contradiction, which implies that $\rho > 0$.

Now, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $(x_k, y_k) \in B_n$ be a sequence such that $d(x_k, y_k) \rightarrow \text{diam } B_n$, as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

We obtain that:

$$\rho < \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (d(x_k, y_k) - s\psi(d(x_k, y_k))) \leq \frac{2s}{n},$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

This implies that $\rho = 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{diam } B_n = 0$.

By Cantor's Intersection Lemma 3, it follows that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n = \{x_0\}$.

Then,

$$H(\{x_0\}, S(x_0)) = \sup_{y \in S(x_0)} d(x_0, y) = 0$$

and thus,

$$S(x_0) = \{x_0\}.$$

The uniqueness of the strict fixed point follows immediately. \square

Corollary 1. Let (M, d) be a complete b -metric space with $s \geq 1$ and $S : M \rightarrow P_{b,cl}(M)$ be a multivalued α contraction with $\alpha < \frac{1}{s}$, which satisfies the approximate endpoint property. Then, S has a unique strict fixed point.

Proof. We apply the theorem above for $\psi(t) = \alpha t$. \square

Remark 3. In the above theorems, the main tool for proving the existence of the strict fixed point is Cantor's intersection theorem in b -metric spaces.

Open Problem. Obtaining similar results for multivalued Subrahmanyam contractions under the "approximate endpoint property" is still an open question.

5. Conclusions

The present paper discusses fixed point theory in b -metric spaces. The first part is dedicated to some preliminary notions and results, which are useful for the readers. The first main result is a fixed point theorem for a multivalued Subrahmanyam contraction. We give a proof of this result using a very recent useful lemma by Miculescu and Mihail. Not just the existence of the fixed point can be obtained using this result, but also an a priori estimate and a retraction-displacement condition. We can apply the main result to derive local Ulam–Hyers stability for fixed point inclusion. The second part of the paper is dedicated to the notion of strict fixed point multivalued Subrahmanyam contraction. A partial answer is given regarding this notion and an open question is also stated. The problem of given explicit conditions for the existence of a strict fixed point is important because many iteration methods for multivalued operators are working under this assumption.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.-F.B. and S.M.; methodology, M.-F.B.; formal analysis, M.-F.B. and S.M.; writing—original draft preparation, M.-F.B.; writing—review and editing, S.M.; funding acquisition, S.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Berinde, V.; Pacurar, M. The early developments in fixed point theory on b -metric spaces: A brief survey and some important related aspects. *Carpathian J. Math.* **2022**, *38*, 523–538. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Berinde, V. Generalized Contractions in Quasimetric Spaces. In *Seminar on Fixed Point Theory*; "Babeş-Bolyai" University: Cluj-Napoca, Romania, 1993; Volume 3, pp. 3–9.
- Berinde, V. Generalized coupled fixed point theorems for mixed monotone mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2011**, *74*, 7347–7355. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Bota, M.; Molnar, A.; Varga, C. On Ekeland's variational principle in b -metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory* **2011**, *12*, 21–28.
- Czerwik, S. Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces. *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.* **1993**, *1*, 5–11.
- Kirk, W.A.; Shahzad, N. *Fixed Point Theory in Distance Spaces*; Springer: Heidelberg, Germany, 2014.
- Chaocha, P.; Sudprakhon, W. Fixed point sets for Subrahmanyam maps. *Linear Nonlinear Anal.* **2017**, *3*, 149–154.
- Petruşel, A.; Petruşel, G. Fixed point results for multi-valued locally contractive operators. *Appl. Set Valued Anal. Optim.* **2020**, *2*, 175–181.
- Bakhtin, I.A. The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces. *Funct. Anal. Unianowsk Gos. Ped. Inst.* **1989**, *30*, 26–37.
- Aubin, J.-P.; Frankowska, H. *Set-Valued Analysis*; Birkhauser: Basel, Switzerland, 1990.
- Beer, G. *Topologies on Closed and Closed Convex Sets*; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1993.
- Petruşel, A. Multi-valued weakly Picard operators and applications. *Sci. Math. Jpn.* **2004**, *59*, 169–202.
- Covitz, H.; Nadler, S.B., Jr. Multi-valued contraction mappings in generalized metric spaces. *Israel J. Math.* **1970**, *8*, 5–11. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
- Nadler, S.B., Jr. Multi-valued contraction mappings. *Pac. J. Math.* **1969**, *30*, 475–488.
- Czerwik, S. Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b -metric spaces. *Atti Sem. Mat. Univ. Modena* **1998**, *46*, 263–276.
- Kajanto, S.; Lukacs, A. A note on the paper "Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces". *Acta Univ. Sapientia* **2018**, *10*, 85–89.

17. Miculescu, R.; Mihail, A. New fixed point theorems for set-valued contractions in b-metric spaces. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2017**, *1*, 2153–2163. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Rus, I.A. Remarks on Ulam stability of the operatorial equations. *Fixed Point Theory* **2009**, *10*, 305–320.
19. Petru, P.T.; Petruşel, A.; Yao, J.-C. Ulam-Hyers stability for operatorial equations and inclusions via nonself operators. *Taiwan. J. Math.* **2011**, *15*, 2195–2212. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J. Well-posedness of fixed point problems, Special Volume (Functional Analysis and its Applications), Part III, Far East. *J. Math. Sci.* **2001**, 393–401 .
21. Reich, S.; Zaslavski, A.J. *Genericity in Nonlinear Analysis*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2014.
22. Petruşel, A.; Petruşel, G. Some variants of the contraction principle for multi-valued operators, generalizations and applications. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **2019**, *20*, 2187–2203.
23. Petruşel, A.; Rus, I.A.; Şerban, M.A. Basic problems of the metric fixed point theory and the relevance of a metric fixed point theorem for multivalued operators. *Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **2014**, *15*, 493–513.
24. Jachymski, J. A stationary point theorem characterizing metric completeness. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2011**, *24*, 169–171. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Amini-Harandi, A. Endpoints of set-valued contractions in metric spaces. *Nonlinear Anal.* **2010**, *72*, 132–134. [[CrossRef](#)]