

Article



New Method for Calculation of Radiation Defect Dipole Tensor and Its Application to Di-Interstitials in Copper

Dmitry N. Demidov, Alexander B. Sivak * and Polina A. Sivak

National Research Center "Kurchatov Institute", 1, Akademika Kurchatova pl., 123182 Moscow, Russia; demidov_dn@nrcki.ru (D.N.D.); sivak_pa@nrcki.ru (P.A.S.)

* Correspondence: sivak_ab@nrcki.ru; Tel.: +7-9036-369-247

Abstract: The effect of external and internal elastic strain fields on the anisotropic diffusion of radiation defects (RDs) can be taken into account if one knows the dipole tensor of saddle-point configurations of the diffusing RDs. It is usually calculated by molecular statics, since the insufficient accuracy of the available experimental techniques makes determining it experimentally difficult. However, for an RD with multiple crystallographically non-equivalent metastable and saddle-point configurations (as in the case of di-interstitials), the problem becomes practically unsolvable due to its complexity. In this paper, we used a different approach to solving this problem. The molecular dynamics (MD) method was used to calculate the strain dependences of the RD diffusion tensor for various types of strain states. These dependences were used to determine the dipole tensor of the effective RD saddle-point configuration, which takes into account the contributions of all real saddle-point configurations. The proposed approach was used for studying the diffusion characteristics of RDs, such as di-interstitials in FCC copper (used in plasma-facing components of fusion reactors under development). The effect of the external elastic field on the MD-calculated normalized diffusion tensor (ratio of the diffusion tensor to a third of its trace) of di-interstitials was fully consistent with analytical predictions based on the kinetic theory, the parameters of which were the components of the dipole tensors, including the range of non-linear dependence of the diffusion tensor on strains. The results obtained allowed for one to simulate the anisotropic diffusion of di-interstitials in external and internal elastic fields, and to take into account the contribution of di-interstitials to the radiation deformation of crystals. This contribution can be significant, as MD data on the primary radiation damage in copper shows that ~20% of self-interstitial atoms produced by cascades of atomic collisions are combined into di-interstitials.

Keywords: strain; anisotropic diffusion; diffusion tensor; elastodiffusion tensor; dipole tensor; symmetry; molecular dynamics; di-interstitial; copper

1. Introduction

The efficiency of the development of structural and functional materials depends on the level of available knowledge of the laws and mechanisms for the formation of radiation microstructures, defects, and properties of the materials. High-dose reactor tests of materials for determination of the degree of radiation change (degradation) of their structure, and properties are very expensive and time-consuming. Moreover, it is difficult to obtain a neutron spectrum in a fission reactor relevant to a fusion reactor environment. To support the development of advanced materials for nuclear fusion technology, theoretical, modelling, and simulation research is necessary to determine parameters of materials and to build physically based models of material property changes under irradiation in conditions specific to fusion reactors.

To build physical models of crystal deformation under damaging radiation (e.g., radiation creep, radiation void swelling), it is necessary to determine the effect of external

Citation: Demidov, D.N.; Sivak, A.B.; Sivak, P.A. New Method for Calculation of Radiation Defect Dipole Tensor and Its Application to Di-Interstitials in Copper. *Symmetry* 2021, *13*, 1154. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/sym13071154

Academic Editor: Eugene Oks

Received: 3 May 2021 Accepted: 8 June 2021 Published: 27 June 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses /by/4.0/). and internal elastic strain fields on the anisotropic diffusion of radiation defects [1–4]. This effect can be taken into account if one knows the dipole tensor of saddle-point configurations of the diffusing RDs [5–7]. The dipole tensor P_{ij} (*i*, *j* = 1, 2, 3) is usually calculated by molecular statics [7–13], since the insufficient accuracy of the available experimental techniques makes determining it experimentally difficult. Different techniques within molecular statics have been developed for calculation of the dipole tensor. The first one is to calculate this quantity by summing the first moments of the forces acting on the atoms, fixed at their perfect lattice positions, in the region surrounding the region of free atoms in which the defect is located [7–10]. The second technique uses a direct relation between the dipole tensor and the strain derivative of the defect formation energy, calculated at constant strain [11]. The third technique uses the proportionality of the dipole tensor to the volume-averaged stress caused by a defect in the simulation box with periodic boundary conditions [12,13]. All of these techniques give the same result. However, for an RD with multiple crystallographically non-equivalent metastable and saddle-point configurations, the problem of anisotropic diffusion modelling becomes practically unsolvable, due to the need to use physically unreasonable simplifications or assumptions.

In this paper, we used a different method for solving this problem. It was demonstrated in [14] that the molecular dynamics (MD) method can be successfully used to study anisotropic diffusion of point defects in metals under external stress fields. We used the MD method to calculate the strain dependences of the RD diffusion tensor D_{ij} for various types of strain states given by the strain tensor ε_{kl} (k, l = 1, 2, 3). These dependences were used to determine the RD elastodiffusion tensor d_{ijkl} , the components of which are the coefficients for linear terms of the expansions in the degrees of strain of the calculated strain dependences. The crystal symmetry and the defect diffusion mechanism determine the symmetry of the tensor, which corresponds to the dipole tensor of the effective saddlepoint configuration of the defect, which takes into account the contributions of all real saddle-point configurations. Figure 1 schematically illustrates the idea of the proposed method for calculation of P_{ij} .



Figure 1. A schematic illustrating the idea of the proposed method for calculation of the dipole tensor of the radiation defect saddle-point configuration.

For crystals of a cubic crystal system, the diffusion tensor for small strains can be written as [1]:

$$D_{ij}(\varepsilon_{kl}) = D(0)\delta_{ij} + d_{ijkl}\varepsilon_{kl}, \qquad (1)$$

where D(0) = 1/3 Tr $D_{ij}(0)$ is a third of the trace of the tensor D_{ij} , in the absence of external strains, and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol (here and hereafter, the Einstein summation convention for a set of indexed terms is used). The tensor d_{ijkl} has the same symmetry as the tensor of elastic constants of the crystal c_{ijkl} , which means that it has three independent components, d_{11} , d_{12} , d_{44} (in the Voigt notation), for cubic crystal system crystals. As the three independent values defining the tensor d_{ijkl} , it is more convenient to choose its three eigenvalues: $d^{(1)} = d_{11} + 2d_{12}$, $d^{(2)} = d_{11} - d_{12}$, $d^{(4)} = 2d_{44}$.

The strain tensor can be represented in the form [1] (here and hereafter, a crystallographic coordinate system (CCS) with axes along $\langle 100 \rangle$ is used, unless otherwise specified):

$$\varepsilon_{ii} = \varepsilon_{\alpha} V_{ii}^{\alpha}, \quad \alpha = 1...6,$$

where

$$\mathbf{V}^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{V}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \overline{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{V}^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \overline{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\mathbf{V}^{4} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{V}^{5} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \mathbf{V}^{6} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(3)

Rewriting Equation (1), taking into account (2, 3), gives:

$$D_{ii}(\varepsilon_{\alpha}) = D(0)\delta_{ii} + d^{(\alpha)}\varepsilon_{\alpha}V_{ii}^{\alpha}.$$
(4)

Thus, for a full determination of d_{ijkl} in the cubic crystal system crystals, it is sufficient to consider only three specific strain states, for example, V^1 , V^2 , V^4 . Knowing d_{ijkl} , we could determine the dipole tensor of the effective RD saddle-point configuration [1], allowing us to simulate the diffusion of defects in elastic fields of any arbitrary type, including inhomogeneous ones (e.g., dislocation fields).

In this paper, the proposed method was used to determine the dipole tensor of the effective saddle-point configuration of di-interstitials in an FCC copper crystal. Copper and copper-based alloys (e.g., CuCrZr alloy) are planned to be used as functional materials of fusion reactors [15–17], since these materials possess excellent thermal and electrical conductivity. Di-interstitials are one of the most frequently formed types of self-interstitial atomic clusters under damaging irradiation (cluster analysis of MD data, spatial distributions of self-interstitial atoms (SIAs) produced by atomic collision cascades with damaging energies from 1 to 50 keV in Cu, suggested that ~20% of SIAs are combined into di-interstitials [18–20]). They have multiple different metastable and saddle-point configurations, which makes them convenient to demonstrate the physical advantages of the method used on this type of RDs. The components P_{ij} for di-interstitials are approximately twice as large as for single SIAs [10]. This leads to a stronger elastic interaction of the di-interstitials with external elastic fields compared to single SIAs, since in the linear approximation, the interaction energy is equal to [5,11].

$$E^{\rm int} = -P_{ii}\varepsilon_{ii}.$$
(5)

Therefore, the contribution of di-interstitials to crystal radiation deformation under cascade-forming irradiation becomes significant, despite the fact that less of them are generated as compared to single SIAs.

2. Simulation Technique

2.1. Molecular Dynamic Model

The MD techniques used in this work closely follow the ones described in [21], which contains their detailed explanations. Di-interstitial diffusion was simulated using interatomic interaction potential [22], since it describes the set of experimental data on the bulk properties of copper crystals and their self-point defects well [22,23]. The model crystallite was a microcanonical ensemble with periodic boundary conditions. The crystal lattice constant *a*, before the onset of strains, was chosen in such a way that at a given temperature *T*, the pressure *P* in the crystallite was equal to zero, with an accuracy of 0.1 eV/nm^3 .

The time step value was chosen in such a way that the mean atom displacement per iteration was ~0.005*a*. Verlet integration was used to integrate the equations of motion [24]. The locations of the two SIAs making up the di-interstitial were determined by analyzing the number of atoms in the Wigner–Seitz cells (WSC). The position of the di-interstitial was taken to be that of one of the two SIAs.

The model crystallites were rectangular parallelepipeds. Their characteristics (edge directions, lengths, number of atoms in the crystallite) are summarized in Table 1. The model crystallites were strained by giving the model crystallite atoms displacements $u_i = \varepsilon_{ij} x_j$, where x_j are the atomic coordinates before straining.

| Strain State | Number of Atoms | Edge Directions | Edge Lengths |
|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | [100] | $10(1 + \varepsilon_1)a$ |
| \mathbf{V}^{1} | 4000 + 2 | [010] | $10(1 + \varepsilon_1)a$ |
| | | [001] | $10(1 + \varepsilon_1)a$ |
| \mathbf{V}^2 | | [100] | $10(1 + \varepsilon_2)a$ |
| | 4000 + 2 | [010] | $10(1 - \varepsilon_2)a$ |
| | | [001] | 10 <i>a</i> |
| \mathbf{V}^4 | | [110] | $7\sqrt{2}(1+\varepsilon_4)a$ |
| | 3920 + 2 | $[1\overline{1}0]$ | $7\sqrt{2}(1-\varepsilon_4)a$ |
| | | [001] | 10 <i>a</i> |

Table 1. Characteristics of model crystallites used in simulating strain states V^{α} ($\alpha = 1, 2, 4$). The values of ε_1 , ε_2 , ε_4 were set to 0, ±0.001, ±0.005.

2.2. Calculated Diffusion Characteristics

To calculate the RD diffusion tensor D_{ij} , each simulated diffusion trajectory of the defect was divided into several isochronous intervals of duration τ . The value of τ for each temperature and strain was set from a compromise between the need for a large number of isochronous intervals to increase the calculation accuracy and the need for a sufficient number of jumps to be contained within the isochronous intervals to reproduce the main correlations and physical features of the RD diffusion [21]. For each isochronous interval, the di-interstitial displacement vector \mathbf{R}_{nm} was calculated; here, n is the trajectory number, and *m* is the isochronous interval number. Next, the quantity $\mathbf{D} = \langle \mathbf{R}_{nm} \otimes \mathbf{R}_{nm} \rangle / 2\tau$ was calculated; here, **D** is the matrix defining the tensor D_{ij} in the CCS, and the operation $\langle ... \rangle$ denotes averaging over all *n* and *m*. The diffusivity *D* was defined as $Tr D_{ij}/3$. The errors of D and the tensor components D_{ij} were estimated as standard errors for the specified set of *n* and *m*. A total of 100 diffusion trajectories were simulated for each given set of strain state and temperature. The total physical simulation time of 100 trajectories ranged from 8.49 μ s to 6.61 ns, with a change in T from 300 K to 1100 K (2.06 μ s at 500 K). The data set obtained allowed for calculating the diffusion characteristics with such high accuracy that the effect of crystal strains on them was made significant, even at the 0.1% strain.

The tracer diffusivity D^{tr} (self-diffusivity per one SIA) was calculated by the Einstein– Smoluchowski relation [25], using the initial and end positions of the model crystallite atoms for each of the 100 program runs simulating the di-interstitial diffusion. For each dataset, the self-diffusivity intermediate values were calculated. The final D^{tr} value was obtained by their averaging. The D^{tr} error was estimated as the standard error for the specified set of 100 intermediate values.

The correlation factor f^{tr} was calculated as the ratio of D^{tr} to D. Since the value of f^{tr} is sensitive to the defect diffusion mechanism, it can be used to indicate changes in the diinterstitial diffusion mechanism with changes in temperature or cluster size. For example, in the case of a one-dimensional diffusion of crowdions, $f^{tr} = 0$ [26], whereas in the case of a three-dimensional diffusion of $\langle 100 \rangle$ dumbbells under Johnson's mechanism, $f^{tr} = 0.44$ [27]. The di-interstitial diffusion mechanism can be mixed when the di-interstitial makes a specific number of jumps along one of the directions (110), subsequently changing this migration direction.

2.3. Specific Features of the Diffusion Tensor in FCC Crystals

During the diffusion of a di-interstitial in an FCC Cu crystal, its constituent SIAs usually make jumps to the first nearest neighbors (NN). Since the share of jumps to the second NN is a hundredths of a percent of the total number at the considered temperatures and strains, it was further assumed that the di-interstitial makes jumps only to the first NN. If the di-interstitial makes a random walk with probability n_k of making a jump in the direction k (k = 1, 2...6 for jump directions parallel to the directions [110], [110], [101], [101], [011], [011], [011], respectively, $n_1 + n_2 + ... + n_6 = 1$), the normalized diffusion tensor \tilde{D}_{ij} in the CCS takes the form:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{D}} = \frac{\mathbf{D}}{D} = \frac{3}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - n_5 - n_6 & n_1 - n_2 & n_3 - n_4 \\ n_1 - n_2 & 1 - n_3 - n_4 & n_5 - n_6 \\ n_3 - n_4 & n_5 - n_6 & 1 - n_1 - n_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(6)

One can see from (6) that $\text{Tr}\tilde{D}_{ij} = 3$, while the absolute values of the components cannot exceed 3/2.

3. Results

3.1. Diffusivity

Figure 2 shows the MD-calculated dependences D(T) and $f^{tr}(T)$ for di-interstitials in Cu in the absence of external elastic fields (here and hereafter, the data inaccuracy in the figures is not indicated, since it was smaller than the size of the symbols presented on the graphs). The dependence D(T) was non-linear in Arrhenius coordinates (Figure 2a): the parameters of Arrhenius approximations at low and high temperatures differed (Table 2). Despite the low activation energy, which is usually inherent in one-dimensional diffusion of self-point defects in metals, di-interstitial diffusion is essentially three-dimensional: f^{tr} values are markedly different from zero and increase with temperature (Figure 2b) from 0.17 at 300 K to 0.36 at 700 K.



Figure 2. MD-calculated temperature dependences of the di-interstitial (**a**) diffusivity and (**b**) tracer correlation factor in Cu. The dashed lines denote Arrhenius approximations (parameters are given in Table 2).

| T Range | D ₀ , cm ² /s | E^{A} , eV |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| [300 K, 400 K] | 4.03×10^{-4} | 0.046 |
| [500 K, 700 K] | 2.83×10^{-4} | 0.032 |

Table 2. Parameters of Arrhenius approximations (preexponential factor D_0 , activation energy E^A) of calculated dependences D(T) for di-interstitials in Cu.

Figure 3 shows the MD-calculated dependences $D(\varepsilon_{\alpha})$, where $\alpha = 1, 2, 4$, for the diinterstitials in Cu at 500 K. These dependences were approximated well by the expressions:



Figure 3. Dependences $D(\varepsilon_{\alpha})$ for $\alpha = 1, 2, 4$, in Cu at 500 K. Symbols are MD data. The dashed curves are the approximations (7), (8), and (9).

$$D(\varepsilon_1) = D(0)(1 - 9.98\varepsilon_1 + 171\varepsilon_1^2),$$
(7)

$$D(\epsilon_{2}) = D(0)(1 + 4275\epsilon_{2}^{2}), \qquad (8)$$

$$D(\varepsilon_{4}) = D(0)(1 + 36715\varepsilon_{4}^{2}), \qquad (9)$$

where $D(0) = 1.33 \cdot 10^{-4}$ cm²/s. The dependences $D(\varepsilon_2)$ and $D(\varepsilon_4)$ are even, since due to the crystal's symmetry, its rotation by 90° around the axis [001] is equivalent to a change in the sign of the strain state to the opposite, while the crystal rotation cannot change the properties of its defects.

3.2. Normalized Diffusion Tensor \tilde{D}_{ii}

For $\varepsilon_{ij} = 0$, $\tilde{D}_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ within the calculation inaccuracy for the considered *T*. Strain state **V**¹ does not change the symmetry of the crystal, so $\tilde{D}_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ must also be true. Strain states **V**² and **V**⁴ lower the symmetry of the crystal, which should lead to a change in \tilde{D}_{ij} , imposing certain relations for its components (Table 3). For the MD-calculated dependences $\tilde{D}_{ij}(\varepsilon_{\alpha})$, these relations are actually fulfilled within the framework of the calculation inaccuracy (Figures 4 and 5).

| Strain State | Components $	ilde{D}_{ij}$ | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| \mathbf{V}^{1} | $	ilde{D}_{ij} = \mathbf{\delta}_{ij}$ | | |
| \mathbf{V}^2 | $\tilde{D}_{11}\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{2}\right) = \tilde{D}_{22}\left(-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{2}\right), \tilde{D}_{33}\left(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{2}\right) = \tilde{D}_{33}\left(-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{2}\right), \tilde{D}_{23} = \tilde{D}_{13} = \tilde{D}_{12} = 0$ | | |
| \mathbf{V}^4 | $\tilde{D}_{11} = \tilde{D}_{22}, \tilde{D}_{ii}\left(\varepsilon_{4}\right) = \tilde{D}_{ii}\left(-\varepsilon_{4}\right), \tilde{D}_{23} = \tilde{D}_{13} = 0, \tilde{D}_{12}\left(\varepsilon_{4}\right) = -\tilde{D}_{12}\left(-\varepsilon_{4}\right)$ | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c} 1.5\\ 1.0\\ 0.5\\ 0.0\\ -0.5\\ -1.0\\ -1.5\\ -0.0050\\ \end{array} $ | ij = 11, 22, 33 ij = 12, 13, 23 -0.0025 0.0000 0.0025 0.0050 ϵ_1 | | |

Table 3. Relations for the components of the normalized diffusion tensor, \tilde{D}_{ij} (*i*, *j* = 1, 2, 3), under strain states **V**^{α} (α = 1, 2, 4) following from the crystal symmetry.

Figure 4. Dependences $\tilde{D}_{ij}(\varepsilon_1)$ in Cu at 500 K. Symbols are MD data.



Figure 5. Dependences $\tilde{D}_{ij}(\varepsilon_{\alpha})$ in Cu at 500 K: (a) $\alpha = 2$; (b) $\alpha = 4$. Symbols are MD data. The dashed curves are the Equations (15) and (16).

3.3. Elastodiffusion and Dipole Tensors

The eigenvalues of the elastodiffusion tensor $d^{(\alpha)}$ can be obtained by adjusting their values in such a way that expression (4) would describe the calculated dependences

 $\tilde{D}_{ij}(\varepsilon_{\alpha})$ in the region of the small ε_{α} , in which the linear nature of such dependences is observed. For the specified region, the expressions following from (4) are valid:

$$D(\varepsilon_{1}) = D(0) + d^{(1)}\varepsilon_{1},$$
(10)

$$\tilde{D}_{11}(\epsilon_2) - \tilde{D}_{22}(\epsilon_2) = 2d^{(2)}\epsilon_2 / D(0),$$
(11)

$$\tilde{D}_{12}(\epsilon_4) = d^{(4)}\epsilon_4 / D(0).$$
(12)

Using Equations (10)–(12) to adjust the MD data presented in Figures 3 and 5 in the region $|\epsilon_{\alpha}| \le 0.1$ % gave, for $d^{(\alpha)}/(D(0)\beta)$, the values 4.945 eV, –2.693 eV, 14.085 eV for $\alpha = 1, 2, 4$, respectively.

In [1], it was analytically shown that the eigenvalues of the elastodiffusion tensor in cubic crystal system crystals could be determined if the dipole tensors of the defect in the stable P_{ij}^{e} and saddle-point P_{ij}^{s} configurations are known. Table 4 shows the relations obtained in [1] connecting $d^{(\alpha)}$ with P_{ij}^{e} and P_{ij}^{s} for different symmetries of the defect saddle-point configurations.

Table 4. Eigenvalues of the elastodiffusion tensor for various symmetries of the defect saddle-point configurations.

| Symmetry | $d^{(1)}/(D(0)\beta)$ | $d^{(2)}/(D(0)\beta)$ | $d^{(4)}/(D(0)\beta)$ |
|--------------|--|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Cubic | $\operatorname{Tr}(P^{\mathrm{s}}_{ij}-P^{\mathrm{e}}_{ij})$ | 0 | 0 |
| Tetragonal | ${ m Tr}(P^{ m s}_{ij}-P^{ m e}_{ij})$ | $P_{11}^{s} - P_{22}^{s}$ | 0 |
| Trigonal | $\operatorname{Tr}(P^{\mathrm{s}}_{ij}-P^{\mathrm{e}}_{ij})$ | 0 | $2P_{12}^{s}$ |
| Orthorhombic | $\operatorname{Tr}(P^{\mathrm{s}}_{ij}-P^{\mathrm{e}}_{ij})$ | $(P_{11}^{s} - P_{33}^{s}) / 2$ | P_{12}^s |

Di-interstitial diffusion is characterized by a combination of different diffusion mechanisms; hence, a di-interstitial has multiple different saddle-point configurations. However, using the relations in Table 4, it is possible to obtain the dipole tensor of the effective saddle-point configuration to which all real saddle-point configurations contribute (each with its own weight).

All three calculated eigenvalues $d^{(\alpha)}$ were nonzero for Cu, which corresponded, according to Table 4, to the orthorhombic symmetry of the effective saddle-point configuration of the di-interstitial. Therefore, for the components P_{ij}^{s} , the following expressions are true:

$$P_{11}^{s} = P_{22}^{s} = \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} P_{ij}^{e} + \frac{1}{3} (d^{(1)} + 2d^{(2)}) / (D(0)\beta),$$

$$P_{33}^{s} = \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr} P_{ij}^{e} + \frac{1}{3} (d^{(1)} - 4d^{(2)}) / (D(0)\beta),$$

$$P_{12}^{s} = d^{(4)} / (D(0)\beta),$$

$$P_{23}^{s} = P_{13}^{s} = 0.$$
(13)

The molecular statics calculation gave $\text{Tr}P_{ij}^{\text{e}} = 100.74 \text{ eV}$ for a stable di-interstitial configuration. Substituting the calculated values of $d^{(\alpha)}$ and $\text{Tr}P_{ij}^{\text{e}}$ to (13), we get

$$P_{ij}^{\rm s}[\rm eV] = \begin{pmatrix} 33.43 & 14.09 & 0\\ 14.09 & 33.43 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 38.82 \end{pmatrix}$$

4. Discussion

Knowledge of the dipole tensor of the defect saddle-point configuration allows calculation of its diffusion tensor for any strain state and simulation of the RD anisotropic diffusion in mechanical fields, generated by external crystal loads and / or internal sources (dislocations, sub-boundaries, etc.), by the object kinetic Monte Carlo (OKMC) method, taking into account both the effects of elastic crystal anisotropy and crystal symmetry [28]. The probabilities n_k used in the OKMC method can be represented as [28]:

$$n_{k} = \frac{\exp\left(-E_{k}^{int}\beta\right)}{\sum_{i}\exp\left(-E_{i}^{int}\beta\right)},$$
(14)

where E_k^{int} is the interaction energy of the defect saddle-point configuration for the migration direction k, with the strain field ε_{ij} calculated by Equation (5). Applying Equation (14) to (6), and introducing the designation $x = (P_{33}^s - P_{11}^s)\beta\varepsilon_2$, $y = 2P_{12}^s\beta\varepsilon_4$, we obtain for non-zero components \tilde{D}_{ij} under strain states **V**² and **V**⁴:

$$\tilde{D}_{11} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1 + \operatorname{ch} x - \operatorname{sh} x}{1 + 2\operatorname{ch} x}, \ \tilde{D}_{22} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{1 + \operatorname{ch} x + \operatorname{sh} x}{1 + 2\operatorname{ch} x}, \ \tilde{D}_{33} = \frac{3\operatorname{ch} x}{1 + 2\operatorname{ch} x} \text{ for } \mathbf{V}^2;$$
(15)

$$\tilde{D}_{11} = \tilde{D}_{22} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\operatorname{ch} y + 1}{\operatorname{ch} y + 2}, \ \tilde{D}_{33} = \frac{3}{\operatorname{ch} y + 2}, \ \tilde{D}_{12} = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\operatorname{sh} y}{\operatorname{ch} y + 2} \quad \text{for } \mathbf{V}^4.$$
(16)

Figure 5 shows the dependences (15) and (16) and the corresponding MD dependences. Equations (15) and (16) that use the dipole tensor as a parameter described the MD data with high accuracy for all the considered strain values, including in the region of nonlinear dependence \tilde{D}_{ij} on strains, which favorably distinguishes them from expression (4) that uses the elastodiffusion tensor as a parameter, which accurately described the MD data for $|\varepsilon_4| \leq 0.001$ only. Therefore, the dipole tensor of the effective saddle-point configuration of the di-interstitial for FCC Cu obtained in this study allowed for simulating of di-interstitial anisotropic diffusion in different elastic fields with high accuracy.

As a specific result, we note how it followed from Equations (15) and (16) that, with an increase in $|\varepsilon_2|$ and $|\varepsilon_4|$, the tensor \tilde{D}_{ij} tended to a form corresponding to two-dimensional (in the planes (100) for $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ and (010) for $\varepsilon_2 < 0$) and one-dimensional (in the directions [110] for $\varepsilon_4 > 0$ and $[1\overline{10}]$ for $\varepsilon_4 < 0$) diffusion of di-interstitials, respectively:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{D}_{ij} &= \frac{3}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \varepsilon_2 > 0, \ \tilde{D}_{ij} &= \frac{3}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \varepsilon_2 < 0, \\ \tilde{D}_{ij} &= \frac{3}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \varepsilon_4 > 0, \ \tilde{D}_{ij} &= \frac{3}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \varepsilon_4 < 0. \end{split}$$

5. Conclusions

A new method for calculation of the dipole tensor of the saddle-point configuration of radiation defects was proposed. In this method, the molecular dynamics method was used to calculate the strain dependences of the RD diffusion tensor for homogeneous elastic fields of different types. These dependences were used to determine the RD elastodiffusion tensor. The crystal symmetry and the RD diffusion mechanism determined the tensor symmetry. This corresponded to the dipole tensor of the effective RD saddle-point configuration, which takes into account the contributions of all real saddle-point configurations.

The proposed approach was used for studying di-interstitial diffusion characteristics in copper. The symmetry of the calculated dipole tensor of the effective saddle-point configuration was orthorhombic. For all the strain states considered, the effect of the external elastic strain field on the MD-calculated normalized di-interstitial diffusion tensor (ratio of diffusion tensor to a third of its trace) was fully consistent with theoretical expressions, the parameters of which were the components of the dipole tensor, including those outside the range of linear dependence of the diffusion tensor on strains.

The results obtained allowed for simulation of di-interstitial anisotropic diffusion in external and internal elastic fields and for taking into account the di-interstitial contribution to crystal radiation deformation. This contribution is significant, since, as MD data on the primary radiation damage in copper showed, ~20% of self-interstitial atoms produced by cascades of atomic collisions are combined into di-interstitials.

The equations given in the present work are ready to use to study the defect diffusion characteristics in FCC and BCC crystals. However, one can apply the proposed approach to crystals of systems other than the cubic one.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.B.S.; methodology, A.B.S. and D.N.D.; software, A.B.S., D.N.D., and P.A.S.; validation, D.N.D. and A.B.S.; formal analysis, A.B.S. and D.N.D.; investigation, D.N.D. and A.B.S.; resources, A.B.S.; data curation, D.N.D.; writing—original draft preparation, D.N.D.; writing—review and editing, A.B.S.; visualization, D.N.D. and A.B.S.; supervision, A.B.S.; project administration, A.B.S.; funding acquisition, A.B.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by National Research Center "Kurchatov Institute", grant number 1934a from 28.09.2020.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Acknowledgments: The study was carried out using computing resources of the federal collective usage center, Complex for Simulation and Data Processing for Mega-science Facilities at NRC "Kurchatov Institute", http://ckp.nrcki.ru/, accessed on 27 June 2021.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- 1. Dederichs, P.H.; Schroeder, K. Anisotropic diffusion in stress fields. *Phys. Rev. B* **1978**, *17*, 2524–2536, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.17.2524.
- 2. Saralidze, Z.K. Radiational growth due to diffusional anisotropy. At. Energy 1978, 45, 697–700, doi:10.1007/BF01120592.
- Saralidze, Z.K.; Indenbom, V.L. Chapter 12–Dislocations in irradiated crystals. In *Modern Problems in Condensed Matter Sciences*; Indenbom, V.L., Lothe, J., Eds.; Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1992; Volume 31, pp. 699–744, doi:10.1016/B978-0-444-88773-3.50019-4.
- Sivak, A.B.; Sivak, P.A.; Romanov, V.A.; Chernov, V.M. Effect of external stresses on efficiency of dislocation sinks in BCC (Fe, V) and FCC (Cu) crystals. *Inorg. Mater. Appl. Res.* 2015, *6*, 466–472, doi:10.1134/S2075113315050184.
- Kröner, E. Allgemeine Kontinuumstheorie der Versetzungen und Eigenspannungen. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 1959, 4, 273–334, doi:10.1007/BF00281393.
- Leibfried, G.; Breuer, N. Point Defects in Metals I. In Springer Tracts in Modern Physics; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1978; Volume 81, doi:10.1007/BFb0045966.
- Dederichs, P.H.; Lehmann, C.; Schober, H.R.; Scholz, A.; Zeller, R. Lattice theory of point defects. J. Nucl. Mater. 1978, 69–70, 176–199, doi:10.1016/0022-3115(78)90243-X.
- Schober, H.R.; Ingle, K.W. Calculation of relaxation volumes, dipole tensors and Kanzaki forces for point defects. J. Phys. F Met. Phys. 1980, 10, 575–581, doi:10.1088/0305-4608/10/4/009.
- 9. Simonelli, G.; Pasianot, R.; Savino, E.J. Point-defect computer simulation including angular forces in bcc iron. *Phys. Rev. B* 1994, 50, 727–738, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.50.727.

- 10. Sivak, A.B.; Romanov, V.A.; Chernov, V.M. Influence of stress fields of dislocations on formation and spatial stability of point defects (elastic dipoles) in V and Fe crystals. J. Nucl. Mater. 2003, 323, 380–387, doi:10.1016/j.jnucmat.2003.08.014.
- Puls, M.P.; Woo, C.H. Diaelastic polarizabilities due to vacancies and interstitials in metals. J. Nucl. Mater. 1986, 139, 48–59, doi:10.1016/0022-3115(86)90163-7.
- 12. Clouet, E.; Garruchet, S.; Nguyen, H.; Perez, M.; Becquart, C.S. Dislocation interaction with C in a-Fe: A comparison between atomic simulations and elasticity theory. *Acta Mater.* **2008**, *56*, 3450–3460, doi:10.1016/j.actamat.2008.03.024.
- 13. Ma, P.-W.; Dudarev, S.L. Nonuniversal structure of point defects in face-centered cubic metals. *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **2021**, *5*, 013601, doi:10.1103/PhysRevMaterials.5.013601.
- 14. Chan, W.-L.; Averback, R.S.; Ashkenazy, Y. Anisotropic diffusion of point defects in metals under a biaxial stress field simulation and theory. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2008**, *104*, 023502, doi:10.1063/1.2955786.
- 15. Merola, M.; Loesser, D.; Martin, A.; Chappuis, P.; Mitteau, R.; Komarov, V.; Pitts, R.A.; Gicquel, S.; Barabash, V.; Giancarli, L.; et al. ITER plasma-facing components. *Fusion Eng. Des.* **2010**, *85*, 2312–2322, doi:10.1016/j.fusengdes.2010.09.013.
- Norajitra, P.; Giniyatulin, R.; Ihli, T.; Janeschitz, G.; Krauss, W.; Kruessmann, R.; Kuznetsov, V.; Mazul, I.; Widak, V.; Ovchinnikov, I.; et al. He-cooled divertor development for DEMO. *Fusion Eng. Des.* 2007, *82*, 2740–2744, doi:10.1016/j.fusengdes.2007.05.027.
- 17. Shpanskiy, Y.S.; the DEMO-FNS Project Team. Progress in the design of the DEMO-FNS hybrid facility. *Nucl. Fusion* **2019**, *59*, 076014, doi:10.1088/1741-4326/ab14a8.
- Bacon, D.J.; Osetsky, Y.N.; Stoller, R.; Voskoboinikov, R.E. MD description of damage production in displacement cascades in copper and α-iron. J. Nucl. Mater. 2003, 323, 152–162, doi:10.1016/j.jnucmat.2003.08.002.
- 19. Miyashiro, S.; Fujita, S.; Okita, T. MD simulations to evaluate the influence of applied normal stress or deformation on defect production rate and size distribution of clusters in cascade process for pure Cu. *J. Nucl. Mater.* **2011**, *415*, 1–4, doi:10.1016/j.jnucmat.2011.03.056.
- Yang, Y.; Okita, T.; Itakura, M.; Kawabata, T.; Suzuki, K. Influence of stacking fault energies on the size distribution and character of defect clusters formed by collision cascades in face-centered cubic metals. *Nucl. Mater. Energy* 2016, *9*, 587–591, doi:10.1016/j.nme.2016.07.008.
- 21. Osetsky, Y.N. Atomistic study of diffusional mass transport in metals. *Defect Diffus. Forum* **2001**, *188–190*, 71–92, doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/DDF.188-190.71.
- 22. Mishin, Y.; Mehl, M.J.; Papaconstantopoulos, D.A.; Voter, A.F.; Kress, J.D. Structural stability and lattice defects in copper: Ab initio, tight-binding, and embedded-atom calculations. *Phys. Rev. B* **2001**, *63*, 224106, doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.63.224106.
- 23. Sivak, A.B.; Demidov, D.N.; Sivak, P.A. Diffusion characteristics of self-point defects in copper: Molecular dynamics study. *PAS&T. Ser. Thermonucl. Fusion* **2021**, *44*, 106–118, doi:10.21517/0202-3822-2020-44-1-106-118.
- Verlet, L. Computer «experiments» on classical fluids. I. Thermodynamical properties of Lennard-Jones molecules. *Phys. Rev.* 1967, 159, 98–103, doi:10.1103/PhysRev.159.98.
- Allnatt, A.R.; Lidiard, A.B. Atomic Transport in Solids; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1993; doi:10.1017/CBO9780511563904.
- 26. Manning, J.R. Diffusion Kinetics for Atoms in Crystals, 1st ed.; D. Van Nostrand Company: Toronto, ON, Canada, 1968.
- 27. Benoist, P.; Bocquet, J.-L.; Lafore, P. La correlation entre sauts atomiques: Une nouvelle methode de calcul. *Acta Metall.* **1977**, *25*, 265–275, doi:10.1016/0001-6160(77)90145-6.
- Sivak, A.B.; Romanov, V.A.; Chernov, V.M. Diffusion of self-point defects in body-centered cubic iron crystal containing dislocations. *Crystallogr. Rep.* 2010, 55, 97–108, doi:10.1134/S1063774510010153.