

On Automorphism Groups of Finite Chain Rings

Sami Alabiad ^{*,†}  and Yousef Alkhamees [†]

Department of Mathematics, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia; ykhamees@ksu.edu.sa

* Correspondence: ssaif1@ksu.edu.sa

† These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: A finite ring with an identity is a chain ring if its lattice of left ideals forms a unique chain. Let R be a finite chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, k', m . If $n = 1$, the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(R)$ of R is known. The main purpose of this article is to study the structure of $\text{Aut}(R)$ when $n > 1$. First, we prove that $\text{Aut}(R)$ is determined by the automorphism group of a certain commutative chain subring. Then we use this fact to find the automorphism group of R when $p \nmid k$. In addition, $\text{Aut}(R)$ is investigated under a more general condition; that is, R is very pure and p need not divide k . Based on the j -diagram introduced by Ayoub, we were able to give the automorphism group in terms of a particular group of matrices. The structure of the automorphism group of a finite chain ring depends essentially on its invariants and the associated j -diagram.

Keywords: finite chain ring; automorphism group; Galois ring; j -diagram

1. Introduction

All rings considered in this paper are finite and have an identity. Chain rings are rings whose left (right) ideals form a unique chain under inclusion. These rings have been used in geometry as coordinatizing rings of Klingenberg planes and Pappian Hjelmslev planes [1,2]. In recent years, chain rings have found significant applications in new places: in coding theory for creating more compact codes with higher capabilities of error correction [3,4]; in combinatorics for constructing bent functions, partial difference sets and relative difference sets [5–7]. In addition, such rings arise in the p -adic fields as factor-rings of rings of integers in suitable finite extensions of the field of p -adic numbers, $\mathbb{Q}_p$ [8]. The purpose of the present paper is to investigate the automorphism groups of chain rings. The results of this work give immediate corollaries for the applications mentioned above.

For a general background of finite chain rings, we refer to [8–13]. Let R denote a chain ring of characteristic p^n with non-zero (Jacobson) radical $J(R)$ of nilpotency index m . The case when $J(R) = 0$, R is a field. The residue field $F = R/J(R)$ is a finite field of order p^r . R contains a subring (coefficient subring) R_0 of the form $R_0 = GR(p^n, r) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^n}[a]$, where a is an element of R_0 of the multiplicative order $p^r - 1$. Moreover, there exist $\pi \in J(R) \setminus J^2(R)$ and $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(R_0)$ such that $J(R) = \pi R$ and $\pi u = \sigma(u)\pi$, for each $u \in R_0$. If k is the greatest integer $i \leq m$ such that $p \in J^i(R)$, R can be written as:

$$R = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} R_0 \pi^i \quad (1)$$

(as R_0 -module). This implies $\pi^k = p \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u_i \pi^i$, where $u_i \in R_0$ and u_0 is a unit, i.e., π is a root of an Eisenstein polynomial over R_0 ,

$$g(x) = x^k - p \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u_i x^i. \quad (2)$$

If there exists $\pi \in R$ such that $\pi^k = p\beta$, where $\beta \in \langle a \rangle$. Then, R is called a very pure chain ring. It is also known that σ is uniquely determined by R and R_0 , and thus it is called



Citation: Alabiad, S.; Alkhamees, Y. On Automorphism Groups of Finite Chain Rings. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 681. <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym13040681>

Received: 16 March 2021

Accepted: 13 April 2021

Published: 14 April 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

the associated automorphism of R with respect to R_0 . If $n > 1$, then $\sigma^k = id$, and if k' is the order of σ , $k' \mid k$. The integers p, n, r, k, k', m are called the invariants of R . Furthermore, there is t , $1 \leq t \leq k$ such that $m = (n-1)k + t$.

When $n = 1$, the automorphism group $Aut(R)$ is determined in [14]. If $n > 1$, relatively little is known about $Aut(R)$. Indeed, a special class of automorphisms has been considered in [15]. Our main goal in this article is to investigate the structure of $Aut(R)$ when $n > 1$. We first show that $Aut(R)$ is given in terms of the automorphism group of a certain commutative chain subring; thus, it suffices to find $Aut(R)$, R is commutative ($k' = 1$). Next, we use this idea to determine $Aut(R)$ when R is a chain ring with $(p, k) = 1$. In addition, $Aut(R)$ is studied under a more general condition; R is very pure. If $p \nmid k$ or R is complete, we manage to give $Aut(R)$ in terms of a specific group of matrices.

2. Preliminaries and Notations

In this section, we mention some facts and introduce notations that will be used in the subsequent discussions.

In the sequel, R is a finite chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, k', m . Let R_1 be the centralizer of R_0 in R , then

$$R_1 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k_1-1} R_0 \pi^{ik'}, \quad (3)$$

where $k_1 = \frac{k}{k'}$ and $m_1 = \lfloor \frac{m}{k'} \rfloor + 1$. From (3), the radical of R_1 , $J(R_1) = \pi^{k'} R_1$. However, R_1 is a commutative chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k_1, m_1 . Moreover, R_1 is the only maximal commutative subring of R containing R_0 and it is unique up to the inner automorphisms of R [9].

Let $S = R_0^\sigma$ be the fixed subring of R_0 by σ which is of the form $GR(p^n, r_0) = Z_{p^n}[b]$, b is an element in $\langle a \rangle$ of the multiplicative order $p^{r_0} - 1$, where $r_0 = r/k'$. Denote $Z(R)$ the center of R , then,

$$Z(R) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k_1-1} S \pi^{k'i} + \Omega, \quad (4)$$

where $\Omega = J^{m_1-1}(R_1) = J^{m-1}(R)$ if $k' \mid (m-1)$ and $\Omega = 0$ otherwise. Let

$$R_2 = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k_1-1} S \pi^{k'i}. \quad (5)$$

It is easy to check that $R_2 = Z(R)$ if $k' \nmid (m-1)$, and hence $Z(R)$ in such a case is a commutative chain ring with invariants p, n, r_0, k_1, m_1 . When $k' \mid (m-1)$, then $Z(R) = R_2 + \Omega$, which is not a chain subring of R . However, $Z(R)/\Omega$ is a commutative chain ring with invariants $p, n, r_0, k_1, m_1 - 1$ [9]. Note that $\pi^k \in Z(R)$, i.e., π^k can be written as:

$$\pi^k = \sum_{i=0}^{k_1-1} u_i \pi^{k'i} + u_{m-1} \pi^{m-1} = p\beta_1 h_1 + u_{m-1} \pi^{m-1}, \quad (6)$$

where $\beta_1 \in \langle b \rangle$, $h_1 = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k_1-1} u'_i \pi^{k'i}$, $pu'_i = \beta_1^{-1} u_i$, $u_i \in S$ for $0 \leq i \leq k_1 - 1$, and $u_{m-1} \in \langle a \rangle$.

If $\mu \in Aut(R_0)$, $\lambda \in U(R_1)$ and $\xi \in U(R)$. Then, we define the following correspondences:

$$\phi_\lambda^\mu(\sum u_i \pi^i) = \sum \mu(u_i) (\lambda \pi)^i, \quad (7)$$

$$\psi_\xi(\sum u_i \pi^i) = \xi \sum u_i \pi^i \xi^{-1}, \quad (8)$$

$$\alpha_\sigma(\sum u_i \pi^i) = \sum \sigma(u_i) \pi^i. \quad (9)$$

The following statements are related to the commutative case [16,17]. Let $U(R)$ denote the unit group of R , then $U(R) = \langle a \rangle \otimes H$, where a is an element of order $p^r - 1$ and $H = 1 + J(R)$ is the p -Sylow subgroup of $U(R)$. Let $H_s = 1 + J^s(R)$, $s \in P_m = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. Consider

$$H = H_1 > H_2 > H_3 > \dots > H_m = \langle 1 \rangle, \quad (10)$$

joined with a function j defined by:

$$j(s) = \begin{cases} \min(ps, m), & s \leq u, \\ \min(s + k, m), & s > u, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $u = \lfloor \frac{k}{p-1} \rfloor$. We refer to the series (10) when we mention the j -diagram. We call R an incomplete (complete) chain ring if H has an incomplete (complete) j -diagram in the sense that is given by Ayoub. For more details about j -diagrams, see [16,17].

Definition 1. Let R be a chain ring, then we call R complete (incomplete) if its R_1 is complete (incomplete).

Let e_{iq} denote the matrix with the identity of F in the (i, q) position and zeros elsewhere. The group M_d of all 1-triangular matrices:

$$1 + \sum \alpha_{iq} e_{iq},$$

where $\alpha_{iq} \in F$ is the p -Sylow subgroup of $GL_d(F)$, which is the general linear group over F [18]. Let E_d be the set of all matrices of the form $[a_{iq}]$:

$$a_{iq} = \sum_{e+f=i} a_{e,1} a_{f,q-1}.$$

Note that E_d is a subgroup of M_d of order p^{rd} .

All symbols shall retain their meanings throughout the article as stated above, in addition, for a given chain ring R , we denote T_R all pairs (R_0, π) which fulfill the aforementioned conditions.

3. The Automorphism Group $\text{Aut}(R)$

Throughout the section, R is a chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, k', m . If $k = 1$, then $R = R_0$ and $\text{Aut}(R)$ is cyclic of order r generated by ρ , the Frobenius map. The case when $n = 1$ ($\pi^k = 0$), i.e., $m = k$, $\text{Aut}(R)$ is known [14]. Thus, we assume that $k > 1$ and $n > 1$ in our discussions.

Proposition 1. Let R be a chain ring with p, n, r, k, k', m . Then,

$$\pi^k = p\beta h, \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{cases} \beta \in \langle a \rangle, h = 1, & \text{if } m - 1 = k, \\ \beta \in \langle b \rangle, h = h_1 + \alpha_0 \pi^{m-k-1}, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $h_1 \in R_2 \cap H(R_1)$ and $\alpha_0 \in R_0$.

Proof. First, if $m - 1 = k$, i.e., $n = 2$ and $t = 1$. Then, by (6), $p\beta_1 h_1 = p\beta_1$. This means, $\pi^k = p\beta_1 + u_{m-1} \pi^k$, i.e., $(1 - u_{m-1}) \pi^k = p\beta_1$, and this implies $\pi^k = p\beta$, where $\beta = \beta_1 (1 - u_{m-1})^{-1} \in \langle a \rangle$. On the other hand, if $m - 1 > k$, we consider two cases. The case when $k' \nmid (m - 1)$, it is easy to prove the result since $Z(R) = R_2$. Now, assume that $k' \mid (m - 1)$, then $k' \mid (t - 1)$ because $m - 1 = (n - 1)k + t - 1$. Let $t - 1 = t_1 k'$ for some t_1 positive integer, then,

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{m-1}\pi^{m-1} &= u_{m-1}\pi^{(n-1)k}\pi^{t_1k'} \\
&= u_{m-1}(p\beta_1h_1 + u_{m-1}\pi^{m-1})^{n-1}\pi^{t_1k'} \\
&= u_{m-1}(p^{n-1}\beta_1^{n-1}h_1^{n-1})\pi^{t_1k'} \\
&= u_{m-1}p^{n-1}\beta_1^{n-1}\pi^{t_1k'},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta_1 \in \langle b \rangle$. Moreover, since $t_1 < k$, then Equation (6) yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^k &= p\beta_1h_1 + u_{m-1}p^{n-1}\beta_1^{n-1}\pi^{t_1k'} \\
&= p\beta(h_1 + p^{n-2}u_{m-1}\beta^{n-1}\beta^{-1}\pi^{t_1k'}) \quad (n > 2) \\
&= p\beta h,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = \beta_1$, $h = h_1 + \alpha_0\pi^{m-k-1}$ and $\alpha_0 = u_{m-1}\beta^{n-1}\beta^{-1}$. $\square$

Remark 1. By the proof of the previous proposition, we can write:

$$Z(R) = R_2 + p^{n-1}R_0\pi^{t_1k'}. \quad (13)$$

Remark 2. Note that if $k \neq m-1$ and $k' \mid (m-1)$, then by the proof of Proposition 1,

$$h = \sum_{i=0}^{k_1-1} u_i\pi^{ik'}, \quad (14)$$

where $u_i \in S$ ($u_0 = 1$) if $i \neq t_1$ and $u_{t_1} \in R_0$.

Definition 2. Let T_1 be a commutative chain ring, which is cyclic Galois over a commutative chain ring T_2 . Let $G = \langle \chi \rangle$ be the group of all T_2 -automorphisms of T_1 . Define $N_\chi(y) : U(T_1) \rightarrow U(T_2)$ as:

$$N_\chi(y) = \prod_{\eta \in G} \eta(y), \quad (15)$$

where N_χ is called the norm function.

Lemma 1. Let R be a chain ring. Then, the homomorphism ψ defined from $U(T_1)$ into $U(T_2)$ by: $\psi(\lambda) = N_\sigma(\lambda)$ is surjective, where $T_1 = R_1/J^{m_1-1}(R_1)$ and $T_2 = Z(R)/\Omega$.

Proof. Note that every finite commutative chain ring is a quotient ring of the ring of integers of an appropriate extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ [8]. In addition, the extension T_1 over T_2 corresponds to an unramified extension over $\mathbb{Q}_p$, then it is a Galois extension with $\text{Aut}_{T_2}(T_1) = \langle \alpha_\sigma \rangle$. Thus, by (Proposition 3, page 82 [19]), $N_\sigma(U(T_1)) = U(T_2)$. $\square$

Proposition 2. With the same assumptions of Lemma 1,

$$\ker N_\sigma = \{\alpha_\sigma(\lambda)\lambda^{-1} : \lambda \in U(T_1)\}. \quad (16)$$

Moreover, $\ker N_\sigma \cong U(T_1)/U(T_2)$.

Proof. The first claim is trivial. Consider the map $\psi : U(T_1) \rightarrow \ker N_\sigma$, defined by $\psi(\lambda) = \alpha_\sigma(\lambda)\lambda^{-1}$. Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in U(T_1)$, then by the definition of α_σ , $\alpha_\sigma(\lambda_1\lambda_2) = \alpha_\sigma(\lambda_1)\alpha_\sigma(\lambda_2)$. This implies that ψ is a group homomorphism. Moreover, by the first claim, ψ is surjective with $U(T_2)$ as its kernel. $\square$

Remark 3. If R_2 is a subring, we consider $T_1 = R_1$ and $T_2 = R_2$ in Lemma 1 and Proposition 2.

Proposition 3. Let R be a chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, k', m . Then, R is very pure if and only if its R_1 is very pure.

Proof. Let R_1 be very pure. If $k' = 1$, then $R = R_1$, and this ends the proof. Now, if $k' > 1$, let (R_0, π_1) be an element of T_{R_1} such that $\pi_1^{k_1} = p\beta$, where $\beta \in \langle a \rangle$. Hence, $(\pi_1) = (\pi^{k'}) = J^{k'}(R)$. Moreover, $\pi_1 = \beta_1 \delta \pi^{k'}$, where $\beta_1 \in \langle b \rangle$ and $\delta \in H(T_2)$ since $\pi^{k'} \in Z(R)$. By Lemma 1, there exist $\beta_2 \in \langle a \rangle$ and $\zeta \in H(T_1)$ such that $\beta_1 = N_\sigma(\beta_2)$ and $\delta = N_\sigma(\zeta)$. Now, let $\theta = \beta_2 \zeta \pi$, then it is easy to verify that (R_0, θ) is an element of T_R and $\theta^k = p\beta$. Therefore, R is very pure. The converse is trivial. $\square$

Corollary 1 ([9]). A chain ring R with $p \nmid k$ is very pure.

Corollary 2. If R is a chain ring with $p \nmid k$ and $m > k + 1$, then R_2 is a subring.

Remark 4. If σ can be extended to an automorphism ψ of R fixing $\pi^{k'}$, then $\psi(\pi^k) = \pi^k$, and thus $\pi^k \in R_2$, i.e., R_2 is a subring. Conversely, if R_2 is a subring, then it is clear that α_σ is the required automorphism.

Proposition 4. Assume that R is a very pure chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, k', m . Then, σ can be extended to an automorphism of R if and only if $\sigma(\beta)\beta^{-1} \in \langle b^e \rangle$, where $e = (k_1, p^{r_0} - 1)$.

Proof. Note that if $m > k + 1$, then R_2 is a subring. Thus, the proof is obvious by Remark 4. Assume that $m = k + 1$ and ϕ is an extension of σ to R . Note that $\phi(R_1) = \zeta R_1 \zeta^{-1}$ for some $\zeta \in U(R)$. Let $\psi = \phi\phi'$, where ϕ' is the conjugation by ζ^{-1} . Then, $\psi(R_1) = R_1$ and $\psi(\pi) = \alpha\zeta\pi$, where $\alpha \in \langle a \rangle$ and $\zeta \in H(R_1)$. It follows that,

$$\begin{aligned} p\sigma(\beta) &= \psi(\pi^k) = \psi(\pi)^k \\ &= (\alpha\zeta\pi)^k \\ &= N_\sigma^{k_1}(\alpha)N_\sigma^{k_1}(\zeta)\pi^k \\ &= pN_\sigma^{k_1}(\alpha)\beta N_\sigma^{k_1}(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

This implies $\sigma(\beta)\beta^{-1} \in \langle N_\sigma^{k_1}(\alpha) \rangle$; consequently, $\sigma(\beta)\beta^{-1} \in \langle b^e \rangle$, where $e = (k_1, p^{r_0} - 1)$. For the other direction, consider the correspondence ψ , defined as:

$$\psi\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u_i \pi^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sigma(u_i)(\alpha\pi)^i, \quad (17)$$

where α is an element of $\langle a \rangle$ with $\sigma(\beta)\beta^{-1} \in \langle N_\sigma(\alpha)^{k_1} \rangle$. Clearly, ψ is an automorphism which is an extension of σ to R . $\square$

Remark 5. In the light of Lemma 1 and Proposition 2,

$$\begin{aligned} N_\sigma(\langle a \rangle) &= \langle b \rangle, \\ N_\sigma(H(T_1)) &= H(T_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r_0}, \alpha_{r_0+1}, \dots, \alpha_r$ be a representative system in T_1 for a basis of $F = GF(p^r)$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ such that $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{r_0}$ is a basis of $K = GF(p^{r_0})$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then, $\{1 + \alpha_i \pi^{sk'}\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ are generators of $U_s(T_1)$, and $\{1 + \alpha_i \pi^{sk'}\}_{1 \leq i \leq r_0}$ are generators of $U_s(T_2)$, where $1 \leq s \leq m_1$ [16]. In addition,

$$H(T_1) = H(T_2) \otimes \ker N_\sigma. \quad (18)$$

Hence, we can consider $1 + \alpha_i \pi^{sk'}, r_0 + 1 \leq i \leq r$ as generators of

$$U_s(\ker N_\sigma) = U_s(T_1)/U_s(T_2). \quad (19)$$

Lemma 2. For $1 \leq s \leq m_1 - 1$, let $N_{\sigma s}$ be the restriction of N_σ on $H_s(T_1)$. Then,

- (i) $N_\sigma(H_s(T_1)) = H_s(T_2)$.
- (ii) $N_{\sigma s}$ is a surjective homomorphism, defined from $H_s(T_1)$ into $H_s(T_2)$. Moreover,

$$\ker N_{\sigma s} = \ker N_\sigma \cap H_s(T_1) = H_s(\ker N_\sigma). \quad (20)$$

Proof. (i) It is clear that $N_\sigma(H_s(T_1)) \subseteq H_s(T_2)$, and thus by Proposition 2 and Remark 5, we have

$$N_\sigma^{-1}(H_s(T_2)) = L \otimes \ker N_\sigma,$$

where L is a subgroup of $H_s(T_1)$. Moreover, if we take the restriction χ of N_σ on $L \otimes \ker N_\sigma$, then it follows that $L \otimes \ker N_\sigma / \ker \chi \cong \text{Im } \chi$. As $\ker \chi = \ker N_\sigma$, then $\text{Im } \chi = L$. Furthermore, since χ is surjective, $L = H_s(T_2)$. Thus, (i) is proved. For (ii), the result follows from (i) and Remark 5. $\square$

Proposition 5. Let R be a chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, k', m . Then, ϕ is an automorphism of R if and only if

$$\phi\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u_i \pi^i\right) = \zeta \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mu(u_i) (\alpha w \pi)^i \zeta^{-1}, \quad (21)$$

where μ is an automorphism of R_0 , $\zeta \in U(R)$, $\alpha \in \langle a \rangle$ and $w \in H(R_1)$ such that

$$N_\sigma(\alpha)^{k_1} = \mu(\beta) \beta^{-1}, \quad (22)$$

$$p N_\sigma(w)^{k_1} = p \phi(h) h^{-1}. \quad (23)$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(R)$, then $\phi(R_1)$ is the centralizer of $\phi(R_0)$ in R . Hence, there exists $\zeta \in U(R)$ such that $\phi(R_1) = \zeta R_1 \zeta^{-1}$. Let ψ be the composition of the conjugation by ζ^{-1} and ϕ . It follows that $\psi(R_1) = R_1$. Then, $\psi(\pi) = \lambda \pi$, and this means that $\phi(\pi) = \zeta \lambda \pi \zeta^{-1}$, where $\lambda \in U(R_1)$. Note that $\lambda = \alpha w$, where $\alpha \in \langle a \rangle$ and $w \in H(R_1)$. If $\pi^k = p \beta h$, where $\beta \in \langle a \rangle$ and $h \in H(R_1)$, then as in the proof of Proposition 4,

$$\begin{aligned} p \mu(\beta) \phi(h) &= \phi(\pi^k) = \phi(\pi)^k = (\alpha w \pi)^k \\ &= N_\sigma(\alpha)^{k_1} N_\sigma(w)^{k_1} \pi^k \\ &= p \beta N_\sigma(\alpha)^{k_1} h N_\sigma(w)^{k_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where μ is the restriction of ϕ on R_0 . Thus, $N_\sigma(\alpha)^{k_1} = \mu(\beta) \beta^{-1}$ and $p N_\sigma(w)^{k_1} = p \phi(h) h^{-1}$. Moreover, $\phi = \psi_\zeta \phi_\lambda^\mu$. Conversely, if ϕ is defined as in (21), then, it is clear that ϕ is an automorphism of R if and only if $\phi(\pi^k) = \phi(\pi)^k$. However, the conditions in Equation (22) and Equation (23) guarantee $\phi(\pi^k) = \phi(\pi)^k$. Thus, ϕ is an automorphism of R . $\square$

Corollary 3. If R is a chain ring. Then, $(R_0, \theta) \in T_R$ if and only if there is $\phi_\lambda^\mu \in \text{Aut}(R)$ such that $\phi_\lambda^\mu(\pi) = \theta$.

Notation 1. Denote $T_1 = R_1 / J^{m_1-1}(R_1)$ and $T_2 = Z(R) / \Omega$. Let

$$G_0(R) = \{\phi_\alpha^\mu \in \text{Aut}(R) : \alpha \in \langle a \rangle, \mu \in \text{Aut}(R_0)\}, \quad (24)$$

$$G_1(R) = \{\phi_w \in \text{Aut}(R) : w \in H(T_1)\}, \quad (25)$$

$$G_2(R) = \{\phi_w \in \text{Aut}(R) : w \in H(T_2)\}. \quad (26)$$

Theorem 1. Let R be a chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, k', m . Then,

$$\text{Aut}(R) = \begin{cases} \text{Inn}(R) \rtimes \text{Aut}(R_2), & \text{if } R_2 \text{ is a subring,} \\ (\text{Inn}(R) \rtimes \text{Aut}(R_1)) / \text{Aut}_{R_0}(R), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (27)$$

Proof. (i) If R_2 is a subring, then $\pi^k = p\beta h \in R_2$ (Proposition 1). As $\phi_{w_1} = \phi_{w_2}$ if and only if $w_1 = w_2 \bmod H_{m_1-1}(R_1)$. Then, we can only consider $\phi_w \in G_1(R)$. Moreover, since $H(T_1) = \ker N_\sigma \times H(T_2)$ (Lemma 2), $w = w_1 w_2$, where $w_1 \in \ker N_\sigma$ and $w_2 \in H(T_2)$. This follows by Proposition 2, $w_1 = \alpha_\sigma(\zeta)\zeta^{-1}$, for some $\zeta \in H(T_1)$. Note that $\phi_w(\pi) = \phi_{w_1 w_2}(\pi) = \psi_{\zeta^{-1}}\phi_{w_2}(\pi)$, i.e., $\phi_w = \psi_{\zeta^{-1}}\phi_{w_2}$, where $\psi_{\zeta^{-1}} \in \text{Inn}(R)$. Thus by Proposition 5, the set of all automorphisms of the form $\phi_w, w \in H(T_2)$, is $G_2(R_2)$. Similarly, if $\alpha \in \langle a \rangle \cap \ker N_\sigma$ and $\phi_\alpha^\mu \in \text{Aut}(R)$, then $\phi_\alpha^\mu = \phi_\alpha^{\text{id}}\phi_1^\mu$, where $\phi_\alpha^{\text{id}} \in \text{Inn}(R)$. Hence, $G_0(R) = G_\sigma \times G_0(R_2)$, where G_σ is the subgroup of $\text{Inn}(R)$ contains all automorphisms $\phi_\alpha^{\text{id}}, \alpha \in \ker N_\sigma$. Since $\text{Aut}(R_2) = G_2(R_2) \times G_0(R_2)$, then by Proposition 5,

$$\text{Aut}(R) = \text{Inn}(R) \times \text{Aut}(R_2). \quad (28)$$

Furthermore, note that if $\phi \in \text{Inn}(R) \cap \text{Aut}(R_2)$, then $\phi = \psi_\zeta$, where $\zeta \in U(R)$. Moreover, $\phi \in \text{Aut}(R_2)$, then $\phi = \phi_\lambda^\mu$, where $\mu \in \text{Aut}(S)$ and $\lambda \in U(R_2)$. Thus, $\psi_\zeta = \phi_\lambda^\mu$, and hence $\mu = \text{id}$ and $\lambda = \zeta\alpha_\sigma(\zeta^{-1}) = \sigma(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}\alpha_\sigma(w)w^{-1}$ is an element of $\ker N_\sigma, \alpha \in \langle a \rangle, w \in H(R_1)$ satisfying Equations (22) and (23), respectively. Since $\ker N_\sigma \cap H(T_2) = \langle 1 \rangle$ (Remark 5), then $\lambda = 1$. This yields $\text{Inn}(R) \cap \text{Aut}(R_2) = \langle \text{id} \rangle$, and this ends the proof.

(ii) Assume that R_2 is not a subring. Note that, in this case, $\pi^k \notin R_2$. By a similar argument, one can check that $G_1(R) = G_1(R_1)$. Furthermore, $G_0(R) = G_0(R_1)$. Hence, $\text{Aut}(R) = \text{Inn}(R) \times \text{Aut}(R_1)$. Moreover, $\text{Inn}(R) \cap \text{Aut}(R_1) = \text{Aut}_{R_0}(R)$. Therefore, the result follows. $\square$

Remark 6. By Theorem 1, it is enough to determine $\text{Aut}(R)$ when R is a commutative chain ring, i.e., $k' = 1$.

In what follows, unless otherwise mentioned, R is a very pure chain ring with $\pi^k = p\beta$ and $\beta = a^s$.

Proposition 6. If R is a commutative chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, m . Then, $\phi \in \text{Aut}(R)$ if and only if

$$\phi\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} u_i \pi^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \mu(u_i)(\alpha w \pi)^i, \quad (29)$$

where $\alpha \in \langle a \rangle, w \in H$ satisfying:

$$\alpha^k = \sigma(\beta)\beta^{-1}, \quad (30)$$

$$w^k = 1 \bmod \pi^{m-k}, \quad (31)$$

for some $\mu \in \text{Aut}(R_0)$.

Proof. The proof is direct from that of Proposition 5 with $k' = 1$ and $h = 1$. $\square$

Remark 7. From Proposition 6, it is obvious that if $\phi \in \text{Aut}(R)$, then $\phi = \phi_w \phi_\alpha^\mu$.

Remark 8. If we consider a commutative chain ring R' with invariants $p, n-1, r, k, m$, i.e., $R' = R/J^{m-k}(R)$. Thus, we can write Equation (31) as $w^k = 1$.

Next, we focus on automorphisms $\phi_\alpha^\mu \in G_0(R)$ which satisfy Equation (30), where $G_0(R)$ is defined in (24). Assume that $\alpha = a^z$, we denote such ϕ_α^μ by ϕ_z^μ , and we write G_0 instead of $G_0(R)$. Let

$$\begin{cases} d = (p^r - 1, s), c = \frac{p^r - 1}{d}, \\ q = (d, k), b = \frac{d}{q}, \\ e = (\frac{p^r - 1}{b}, k). \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

Lemma 3. Let R be a commutative chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, m .

- (i) Let ϕ_z^μ be an automorphism of R . Then, $a^z = a^{bi}$ for some i .
(ii) Let i be any integer. There exists an automorphism ϕ_{bi}^μ of R if and only if there exists a non-negative integer f such that $(p^r - 1) \mid s(p^f - 1) - bik$.

Proof. (i) If $u \in R_0$, then $\phi_z^\mu(u) = \mu(u) = u^{p^f}$ for some $0 \leq f \leq r - 1$. Then, $\phi_z^\mu(\pi^k) = \pi^k a^{zk}$ implies,

$$pa^{sp^f} = \phi_z^\mu(pa^s) = \phi_z^\mu(\pi^k) = pa^s a^{zk}.$$

Moreover, $a^{zk} \in \langle a^s \rangle = \langle a^d \rangle$. As $(k, d) = q$, we obtain $a^{az} \in \langle a^d \rangle$, and as a result $b \mid z$.

- (ii) Assume that such ϕ_{bi}^μ exists. Now, $\phi_{bi}^\mu(u) = u^{p^f}$ for some $f \geq 0$, where $u \in R_0$. Then, $\phi_{bi}^\mu(\pi^k) = \pi^k a^{bik}$ leads to

$$pa^{sp^f} = pa^{s+bik}.$$

This means, $a^{s(p^f - 1) - bik} = 1$, i.e., $(p^r - 1) \mid s(p^f - 1) - bik$. Conversely, let such f exist. Then, we have an automorphism μ of R_0 such that $\mu(u) = u^{p^f}$, where $u \in R_0$. Consider $\pi_1 = \pi a^{bi}$, then $\pi_1^k = p\mu(a^s)$. This gives an automorphism ϕ_{bi}^μ that extends μ and for which $\phi_{bi}^\mu(\pi) = \pi_1$. $\square$

Lemma 4. Let R be a commutative chain ring, then G_0 is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R)$ of order $\frac{re}{\tau(c)}$, where $\tau(c)$ is the multiplicative order of p modulo c .

Proof. Let $\phi_{z_1}^\mu$ and $\phi_{z_2}^\eta$, where $\mu, \eta \in \text{Aut}(R_0)$. Then,

$$\phi_{z_1}^\mu \phi_{z_2}^\eta(\pi) = \phi_{z_1}^\mu(a^{z_2} \pi) = a^{z_1} \mu(a^{z_2}) \pi = a^{z_1 + p^{i_1} z_2} \pi = \phi_{z_1 + z_2 p^{i_1}}^{\mu\eta}(\pi),$$

where $\mu = \rho^i$. In other words, $\phi_{z_1}^\mu \phi_{z_2}^\eta = \phi_{z_1 + z_2 p^{i_1}}^{\mu\eta}$. Similarly, one can easily find that,

$$(\phi_z^\mu)^{-1} = \phi_{-z p^i}^\eta,$$

where $\eta = \mu^{-1} = \rho^i$, for some i positive integer $1 \leq i \leq r$. Thus, G_0 is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R)$. Next, we compute the order of G_0 . Note that from Lemma 3, $b \mid z$. Fix σ , if $\phi_{z_2}^\mu \in G_0$, by (30), $\phi_{z_2}^\mu \in G_0$ if and only if $a^{z_1 - z_2} \in A$, where A is the set of all zeros of $x^e - 1$ in the group generated by $a^{(p^r - 1)/b}$. Since there are exactly e different zeros of $x^e - 1$, hence there are e of automorphisms of the type ϕ_z^μ . On the other hand, let z be fixed. Equation (30) gives $\mu(\beta)\beta^{-1} = 1 \pmod{\langle a^d \rangle}$, i.e., $\mu(\beta) = \beta$. Thus, it is enough to find the stabilizers of β modulo $\langle a^d \rangle$ when $\text{Aut}(R_0)$ acts on $\langle a \rangle$ in the usual way. It is well known that

$$|\text{stab}(\beta)| |\text{orb}(\beta)| = |\text{Aut}(R_0)|.$$

Moreover, since $\text{orb}(\beta) = \{\rho^i(\beta) : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$; thus, $\text{orb}(\beta) = \{\rho^i(\beta) : 1 \leq i \leq \tau(c)\}$, where $\tau(c)$ is the multiplicative order of p modulo c . Therefore,

$$|\text{stab}(\beta)| = \frac{r}{\tau(c)},$$

which follows that $|G_0| = \frac{re}{\tau(c)}$. $\square$

Proposition 7. Let R be a commutative chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, m . Then, G_0 is a solvable group. Moreover, if $d = 1$, then G_0 is cyclic.

Proof. Let $G_{11} = \langle \phi_{z_0}^{id} \rangle$, where $z_0 = \frac{p^r - 1}{e}$. Then, G_{11} is clearly a normal subgroup of G_0 . Moreover, G_0/G_{11} is abelian, and hence G_0 is solvable. Now, if $d = 1$, then $c = p^r - 1$. Note that $a^{z_1 + z_2 p^{\tau(c)}} = a^{z_1 + z_2 + z_2 ci} = a^{z_1 + z_2}$ consequently,

$$\phi_{z_1}^\mu \phi_{z_2}^\eta = \phi_{z_1 + z_2}^{\mu\eta}.$$

Moreover, since $(a^{p^r - 1/e})^k = \rho^{\tau(c)}(\beta)\beta^{-1} = 1$, then $\phi_{z_0}^\mu$ lies in G_0 , where $\mu = \rho^{\tau(c)}$. It is clear that the cyclic subgroup of G_0 generated by $\chi = \phi_{z_0}^\mu$ is of order $\frac{re}{\tau(c)}$, and then by Lemma 4, G_0 is cyclic generated by χ . However, in this case, $\tau(c) = r$, which means $\chi = \phi_{z_0}^{id}$ and the order of $G_0 = G_{11}$ is e . $\square$

For every $s \in P_m$, we define $v(s)$ the least positive integer satisfying $j^{v(s)}(s) = m$. Also we write $k = (p - 1)u + v$, $0 \leq v < p - 1$.

Lemma 5. Let R be a commutative chain ring, and let s_0 be the least positive integer such that $v(s_0) \leq l$, where $k = k_0 p^l$ and $(k_0, p) = 1$. Then,

$$s_0 = \begin{cases} (n - l - 1)k + t, & \text{if } l < n - 1, \\ \max\{t, u\}, & \text{if } l = n - 1, \\ \begin{cases} q_0, & \text{if } t \geq u, \\ \lceil \frac{u}{p^{l-n-1}} \rceil, & \text{if } t < u, \end{cases} & (l > n - 1) \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

where,

$$q_0 = \begin{cases} s'_0, & \text{if } s'_0 p^{l-n-1} \geq t, \\ s'_0 p, & \text{if } s'_0 p^{l-n-1} < t, \end{cases} \quad s'_0 = \begin{cases} \lceil \frac{u}{p^{l-n-1}} \rceil, & \text{if } p^{l-n-1} \nmid u, \\ \frac{u}{p^{l-n-1}} + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. First if $l < n - 1$, then $j^l((n - l - 1)k + t) = m$ which means that $s_0 = (n - l - 1)k + t$. The case when $l = n - 1$, note that if $t \geq u$, then $j^l(t) = m$, and thus $s_0 = t$. Now, when $t < u$, $j^l(u) = m$ take $s_0 = u$ since $p(u - 1) < k + t$, i.e., $v(u - 1) > l$. Next, let $l > n - 1$. It suffices to find $s'_0 \in P_m$ such that $j^{l-n-2}(s'_0) \leq u < j^{l-n-1}(s'_0)$, i.e., $s'_0 p^{l-n-2} \leq u < s'_0 p^{l-n-1}$. This implies $\frac{u}{p^{l-n-1}} < s'_0 \leq \frac{u}{p^{l-n-2}}$. As s_0 is the least, then $s'_0 = \lceil \frac{u}{p^{l-n-1}} \rceil$ if $p^{l-n-1} \nmid u$ and $s'_0 = \frac{u}{p^{l-n-1}} + 1$ otherwise. Consider two cases. If $t < u$, then obviously $s_0 = \lceil \frac{u}{p^{l-n-1}} \rceil$ is the required number. For the second case $t \geq u$, observe that if $j^{l-n-1}(s'_0) \geq t$, then clearly $s_0 = s'_0$, and if $j^{l-n-1}(s'_0) < t$, take $s_0 = j(s'_0) = ps'_0$. $\square$

Remark 9. Let R be a commutative chain ring. Denote $L(R) = \{w \in H(R) : w^k = 1 \bmod \pi^{m-k}\}$. Note that if $p \nmid k$, i.e., $l = 0$ or $m = k + 1$, then by Lemma 5 applied to $R/(\pi^{m-k})$, $s_0 = m - k$ and this means, $L(R) = H_{m-k}$. The structure of the subgroup of H , H_{s_0} , can be obtained from [16] via the j -diagram:

$$H_{s_0} > H_{s_0+1} > \cdots > H_m = 1. \quad (34)$$

In addition, if $p \nmid k$ or R is a complete chain ring, then $L(R) = H_{s_0}(R)$. The case when $m = k + 1$, R is complete [16].

Proposition 8. If R is a commutative chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, m . Let $G_1 = \{\phi_w : w \in L(R)\}$. Then, G_1 is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R)$. In particular, if $p \nmid k$ or R is complete, $G_1 \cong E_{m-s_0-1}$.

Proof. It is clear that G_1 is subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R)$. Every element ϕ of $\text{Aut}(R)$ can be written as $\phi = \phi_w \phi_z^\mu$, where $\phi_z^\mu \in G_0$ and $\phi_w \in G_1$, i.e.,

$$\text{Aut}(R) = G_1 \times G_0.$$

Moreover, if ϕ_λ in G_1 and $\phi = \phi_z^\mu$, then,

$$\phi^{-1} \phi_\lambda \phi = (\phi_z^\mu)^{-1} \phi_\lambda \phi_z^\mu = \phi_{\psi(\lambda)},$$

where $\psi = (\phi_z^\mu)^{-1}$. Consequently, G_1 is a normal subgroup of $\text{Aut}(R)$. Also observe that if $w_1, w_2 \in L(R)$, then $\phi_{w_1} = \phi_{w_2}$ if and only if $\pi w_1 = \pi w_2$ if and only if $1 - w_1 w_2 \in (\pi^{m-1})$ if and only if $w_1 H_{m-1} = w_2 H_{m-1}$. Hence, the order of G_1 is $\frac{|L(R)|}{p^r}$. Moreover, if $p \nmid k$ or R is complete, then by Lemma 5 and Remark 9, $L(R) = H_{s_0}$. This implies that,

$$|G_1| = p^{r(m-s_0-1)}.$$

Furthermore, if we define a map g from G_1 into E_{m-s_0-1} by $g(\phi_w) = [a_{iq}]$, where $a_{iq} = \sum_{e+f=i} a_{e,1} a_{f,q-1}$, $w = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-s_0-1} a_{i+1,1} \pi^i$ and $a_{i+1,1} \in F$. It can be verified that g is a group isomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 4. Assume that R is as in Proposition 8. Then, $(p, k) = 1$ or $m - 1 = k$ if and only if $G_1 = \{\phi_w : w \in H_{m-k}\}$. Moreover, $G_1 \cong E_{k-1}$ and, in this case,

$$|G_1| = p^{r(k-1)}. \quad (35)$$

Theorem 2. Let R be a commutative chain ring, which is very pure with invariants p, n, r, k, m . Then,

$$\text{Aut}(R) = G_1 \rtimes G_0. \quad (36)$$

In particular, if R is complete or $(p, k) = 1$,

$$\text{Aut}(R) \cong E_{m-s_0-1} \rtimes G_0. \quad (37)$$

Proof. First, note that from Proposition 8, $\text{Aut}(R) = G_1 \times G_0$. Now, suppose that $\phi \in G_1 \cap G_0$, then as $\phi \in G_1$, $\phi(u) = u$, where $u \in R_0$. Thus, $\phi = \phi_z^{id}$, i.e., $\phi(\pi) = \pi a^z = \pi^{bi}$ for some i . Moreover, $\phi \in G_1$ yields that $\phi(\pi) = \pi w$, $w \in H$. This implies that $\phi = id$, and thus $G_1 \cap G_0 = \langle id \rangle$. The last assertion follows from Proposition 8. $\square$

Corollary 5. If R is as in Theorem 2. Then, $\text{Aut}_{R_0}(R) = G_1 \rtimes \langle \chi \rangle$, where $\chi = \phi_{z_0}^{id}$.

For the following results, denote $G_{qi} = G_q(R_i)$, $q = 0, 1$ and $i = 1, 2$.

Corollary 6. Let R be a very pure chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, k', m . Then,

$$\text{Aut}(R) = \begin{cases} \text{Inn}(R) \rtimes G_{12} \rtimes G_{02}, & \text{if } R_2 \text{ is a subring,} \\ (\text{Inn}(R) \rtimes G_{11} \rtimes G_{01}) / \text{Aut}_{R_0}(R), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (38)$$

In particular, if $(p, k) = 1$ or R is complete,

$$\text{Aut}(R) \cong \begin{cases} \text{Inn}(R) \rtimes E_{m_1-s_0-1} \rtimes G_{02}, & \text{if } R_2 \text{ is a subring,} \\ (\text{Inn}(R) \rtimes E_{m_1-s_0-1} \rtimes G_{01}) / \text{Aut}_{R_0}(R), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (39)$$

Proof. The proof is just a direct application of Theorems 1 and 2. $\square$

Corollary 7. Let R be a chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, k', m such that $(p, k) = 1$ and $m > k + 1$. If $d = 1$, then

$$\text{Aut}(R) \cong \text{Inn}(R) \rtimes E_{k_1-1} \rtimes C_e, \quad (40)$$

where C_e is a cyclic group of order e .

Remark 10. Note that by Remark 9, Corollaries 6 and 7, the structure of $\text{Aut}(R)$ is strongly dependent on the invariants p, n, r, k, k', m and on the associated j -diagram.

Next, we introduce some results on the subgroup $\text{Aut}_{R_0}(R)$.

Proposition 9. Let R be a commutative chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, m . Then, $|\text{Aut}_{R_0}(R)| = p^{(k-1)r}$ if and only if $(k, p) = 1$ and $(k, p^r - 1) = 1$ or $m - 1 = k$ and $(k, p^r - 1) = 1$.

Proof. From Corollary 5, $\text{Aut}_{R_0}(R) = G_1 \rtimes \langle \phi_{z_0}^{id} \rangle$ and $|G_1| = \frac{|L(R)|}{p^r} |\langle \phi_{z_0}^{id} \rangle| = e$. Thus by Corollary 4, $|\text{Aut}_{R_0}(R)| = ep^{(k-1)r}$ if and only if $G_1 = \{\phi_w : w \in H_{m-k}\}$ if and only if $(p, k) = 1$ or $m - 1 = k$. Moreover, $|\text{Aut}_{R_0}(R)| = p^{(k-1)r}$ if and only if $e = 1$ if and only if $(k, p^r - 1) = 1$. Therefore, the proof follows. $\square$

Remark 11. Consider a complete chain ring R with $k' > 1$, i.e., R is non-commutative. Now, if $w = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m-s_0} a_{i+1,1} \pi^i$ and $a_{i+1,1} \in F$. Let D_1 be the subgroup of E_{m-s_0-1} contains all matrices of the form $[b_{iq}]$, where $b_{iq} = \sigma(a_{iq})a_{iq}^{-1}$. Define the mapping g as $g(\phi_\lambda^{id}) = [b_{iq}]\delta$, where $\lambda = \sigma(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}\eta_\sigma(w)w^{-1} \in \ker N_\sigma$ and $\delta = \sigma(\alpha)\alpha^{-1}$. Then, it is not hard to show that g is an isomorphism from $\text{Aut}_{R_0}(R)$ into $D_1 \rtimes \langle \phi_{z_1}^{id} \rangle$, where $\phi_{z_1}^{id} \in G_0$ of order $(e, p^r - 1/p^{r_0} - 1)$.

Example 1. Let R be a commutative chain ring with invariants p, n, r, k, m and with $\pi^{p-1} = -p$ (Example 2, [16]). Note that $-1 = a^{(p^r-1)/2}$, i.e., $s = (p^r - 1)/2$. Moreover, since $(p, k) = 1$, then,

$$|G_1| = p^{r(p-2)}.$$

Also $c = 2$, and then, $\tau(c) = 1$. Hence, $|G_0| = re$. Now, if $(p^r - 1, k) = 1$, then $e = 1$, which implies,

$$\text{Aut}(R) \cong E_{k-1} \rtimes \text{Aut}(R_0). \quad (41)$$

In addition, $\text{Aut}_{R_0}(R) \cong E_{k-1}$.

Remark 12. In the case when $n = 1$ ([14]), then obviously $\phi_z^\mu = \phi_z \alpha_\mu$, for any $1 \leq z \leq p^r - 1$ and $\mu \in \text{Aut}(F)$ ($R_0 = F$). Since $\pi^k = 0$, then ϕ_z and α_μ are automorphisms of R . This means,

$$G_0 = F^* \rtimes \text{Aut}(F). \quad (42)$$

In addition, $G_1 \cong E_{k_1-1}$ in general. Since R_2 is a subring, Corollary 6 concludes that,

$$\text{Aut}(R) \cong \text{Inn}(R) \rtimes E_{k_1-1} \rtimes F^* \rtimes \text{Aut}(F). \quad (43)$$

Example 2. Consider a finite chain ring R with invariants p, n, r, k, k', m and associated with $\pi^k = p$. Then, it is clear that ϕ_1^μ is an automorphism for every $\mu \in \text{Aut}(R_0)$. This means, $G_1 = \langle \chi \rangle \rtimes \text{Aut}(R_0)$, where $\chi = \phi_{z_0}^{id}$. If $(p, k) = 1$ and $m > k + 1$, then,

$$\text{Aut}(R) \cong \text{Inn}(R) \rtimes E_{k_1-1} \rtimes \langle \chi \rangle \rtimes \text{Aut}(R_0). \quad (44)$$

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, S.A. and Y.A.; Methodology, S.A. and Y.A.; Investigation, S.A. and Y.A.; Writing—original draft preparation, S.A.; Supervision, Y.A.; Funding acquisition, Y.A. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The authors would like to thank the Deanship of scientific research in King Saud University for funding and supporting this research through the initiative of DSR Graduate Students Research Support (GSR).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Klingenberg, W. Projective und affine Ebenen mit Nachbarelementen. *Math. Z.* **1960**, *60*, 384–406. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Törner, G.; Veldkamp, F.D. Literature on geometry over rings. *J. Geom.* **1991**, *42*, 180–200. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Greferath, M. Cyclic codes over finite rings. *Discret. Math.* **1997**, *177*, 273–277. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Lui, X.; Lui, H. LCD codes over finite chain rings. *Finite Fields Appl.* **2015**, *43*, 1–19.
5. Hou, X. Bent functions, partial difference sets and quasi-Frobenius local rings. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **2000**, *20*, 251–268. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Leung, K.; Ma, S. Partial difference sets with Paley parameters. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1995**, *27*, 553–564. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Ma, S.; Schmidt, B. Relative (p^a, p^b, p^a, p^{a-b}) -relative difference sets: A unified exponent bound and a local ring construction. *Finite Fields Appl.* **2000**, *6*, 1–22. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Hou, X.; Leung, K.; Ma, S. On the groups of units of finite commutative chain rings. *Finite Fields Their Appl.* **2003**, *9*, 20–38. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Alkhamees, Y. The enumeration of finite principal completely primary rings. *Abh. Math. Sem. Uni. Hambg.* **1981**, *51*, 226–231. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Clark, W.; Drake, D. Finite chain rings. *Abh. Math. Sem. Uni. Hambg.* **1973**, *29*, 147–153. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Clark, W.; Liang, J. Enumeration of finite chain rings. *J. Algebra* **1973**, *27*, 445–453. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Clark, W. A coefficient ring for finite non-commutative rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1972**, *33*, 25–28. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Wirt, B. Finite Non-Commutative Local Rings. Ph.D. Thesis, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma, 1972.
14. Alkhamees, Y. The determination of the group of automorphisms of finite chain ring of characteristic p . *Quart. J. Math. Oxf.* **1991**, *42*, 387–391. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
15. Singh, S.; Alkhamees, Y. Automorphisms of a chain ring. *Ann. Mat.* **2007**, *186*, 289–301. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Alabiad, S.; Alkhamees, Y. Recapturing the structure of group of units of any finite commutative chain ring. *Symmetry* **2021**, *13*, 307. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Ayoub, C. On the group of units of certain rings. *J. Number Theory* **1972**, *4*, 383–403. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
18. Weir, A. Sylow p -subgroups of the general linear group over finite fields of characteristic p . *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1955**, *6*, 454–464.
19. Serre, J.; Greenberg, M. *Local Fields*; Springer: Berlin, Heidelberg, Germany, 1980.