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#### Abstract

The present research article is related to the analytical investigation of some fractional-order equal-width equations. The homotopy perturbation technique along with Elzaki transformation is implemented to discuss the fractional view analysis of equal-width equations. For better understanding of the proposed procedure some examples related to equal-width equations are presented. The identical behavior of the derived and actual solutions is observed. The proposed technique can be modified to study the fractional view analysis of other problems in various areas of applied sciences.
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## 1. Introduction

In the past decade, fractional evaluation equations have been studied by many researchers due to their wide applicability in different areas of modern science and technology. It has been seen that fractional-order equations describe many physical systems and with their usage, various issues are solved. Along these lines, it is the overall goal to build up more fruitful outcomes for fractional calculus [1-5]. Simpson and Ford considered the Caputo fractional derivative [6] the most appropriate method for detecting fractional models, since it reliably includes the initial requirements that are not present in various individual models [7]. Oldham and Spanier found that fractional derivatives and integrals can be used to show far more valuable synthetic problems than conventional methods [8]. Moreover, later commitments on fractional theory and application, like fractal mathematics, are available in the literature. Interested readers are referred to [9-19].

For the last several years, partial evaluation equations have been concentrated upon by numerous researchers because of their wide applications in different areas of science and innovation. These fractional equations are fit for clarifying various significant marvels in fluid mechanics, electromagnetism, materials science, acoustics, electrochemistry, plasma physics, optical frameworks, viscoelasticity, etc. [20-22]. The non-linear time-fractional equal-width equations (FEWEs) are very significant partial differential equations that represent different complex non-linear phenomena in the area of applied sciences, especially in plasma waves, plasma physics, solid state physics, chemical physics, fluid mechanics, etc. The EW problems can define the behavior of non-linear waves in wide classes of non-linear schemes, such as hydromagnetic waves in ion-acoustic waves in plasma, cold plasma, surface waves in compressible fluids, acoustic waves in enharmonic crystal, shallow water waves, etc. [23-26].

Non-linear fractional differential equations have been solved by numerous researchers using different techniques. Many researchers have solved a lot of problems by utilizing different strategies. To achieve the target of significantly accurate results, several techniques are developed, for instance, the finite difference method, Adomian decomposition
technique, finite element technique, generalized differential transform technique, fractional differential transform technique, perturbation methods, iterative strategies, homotopy analysis strategy, etc. [27-35]. The homotopy analysis technique (HAT) is a sublime scientific plan initially suggested and implemented by Liao [36-38]. Many researchers have promisingly utilized the HAT for examining various mathematical and physical models [39]. In addition, the homotopy analysis method can be converged with the combined Laplace transformation technique to improve a profoundly great approach known as the homotopy analysis transform technique. This creative converging of the HAM and the Laplace transform is utilized to investigate different physical issues [40,41]. These modifications encourage and improve the solving methodology contrasted with the standard techniques.

In contrast, the homotopy perturbation Elzaki transform method (HPETM) is liberated from a linear operator's supposition and utilizes the Elzaki transform approach for the Caputo time-fractional derivative. Likewise, Tarig M. Elzaki and Sailh M. Elzaki in [42-44] developed an Elzaki method that was implemented to acquire the solutions in various circumstances. The Elzaki transform is an incredible asset for fathoming some differential equations that cannot be comprehended by some other techniques for handling linear and non-linear problems. Shakeri and Dehghan [45] and Sakar et al. [46] studied fractional partial differential equations using the homotopy perturbation method. Many researchers [47-50] have developed different techniques for solving fractional problems under various applied phenomena.

## 2. Preliminary Concepts

Definition 1. The Caputo derivative of the arbitrary order of $g(\tau), g \in C_{-1}^{m}, m \in N, m>0$, is expressed as

$$
D^{\alpha} g(\tau)=I^{m-\alpha} D^{m} g(\tau)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(m-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\tau}(\tau-\zeta)^{m-\alpha-1} g^{m}(\zeta) d \zeta, \text { where } m-1<\alpha \leq m
$$

Definition 2. The Elzaki transform of the Caputo fractional-order derivative is given as

$$
E\left[D_{\tau}^{\alpha} g(\tau)\right]=s^{\alpha} E[g(\tau)]-\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} s^{2-\alpha+k} g^{(k)}(0), \text { where } m-1<\alpha<m
$$

Definition 3. The Riemann-Liouville fractional-order integral $\alpha>0$, of a function $f \in C_{m}$, is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J^{\alpha} g(\zeta)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\zeta}(\zeta-1)^{\gamma-1} g(\tau) \partial \tau, \quad \alpha, \zeta>0 \\
& D^{\gamma} J^{\alpha} g(\zeta)=g(\zeta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Some properties of the operator:
For $g \in C_{m}, \quad \alpha, \delta \geq 0$ and $\gamma>-1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J^{\alpha} J^{\delta} g(\zeta)=J^{\alpha+\delta} g(\zeta) \\
& J^{\alpha} J^{\delta} g(\zeta)=J^{\delta} J^{\alpha} g(\zeta) \\
& J^{\gamma} \zeta^{\alpha}=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{(\gamma+\alpha+1)} \zeta^{\gamma+\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.1. Elzaki Transform Basic Concept

A new transform called the Elzaki transform is defined for functions of exponential order. We consider functions in the set $A$, defined by:

The constant $M$ must be a finite number $k_{1}$, and $k_{2}$ finite or infinite for a given function in the set. The Elzaki transform is described through the integral equation

$$
E[g(\tau)]=T(s)=s \int_{0}^{\infty} g(\tau) e^{\frac{-\tau}{s}} d \tau, \quad \tau \geq 0, \quad k_{1} \leq s \leq k_{2}
$$

We achieve the basic results

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left[\tau^{n}\right]=n!s^{n+2} \\
& E\left[f^{\prime}(\tau)\right]=\frac{T(s)}{s}-s f(0) \\
& E\left[f^{\prime \prime}(\tau)\right]=\frac{T(s)}{s^{2}}-f(0)-s f^{\prime}(0) \\
& E\left[f^{(n)}(\tau)\right]=\frac{T(s)}{s^{n}}-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} s^{2-n+k} f^{(k)}(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 1. If $T(s)$ is an Elzaki transform of $(\tau)$, the Elzaki transform of the Riemann-Liouville derivative is defined as

$$
E\left[D^{\alpha} g(\tau)\right]=s^{-\alpha}\left[T(s)-\sum_{m=1}^{n}\left\{D^{\alpha-k} g(0)\right\}\right] ;-1<n-1 \leq \alpha<n
$$

Proof. The Laplace transformation

$$
\begin{gathered}
g^{\prime}(\tau)=\frac{d}{d \tau} g(\tau), \\
L\left[D^{\alpha} g(\tau)\right]=s^{\alpha} T(s)-\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} s^{m}\left[D^{\alpha-m-1} g(0)\right], \\
=s^{\alpha} T(s)-\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} s^{m-1}\left[D^{\alpha-m} g(0)\right]=s^{\alpha} T(s)-\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} s^{m-2}\left[D^{\alpha-m} g(0)\right], \\
=s^{\alpha} T(s)-\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^{-m+2}}\left[D^{\alpha-m} g(0)\right]=s^{\alpha} T(s)-\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{s^{\alpha-m+2-\alpha}}\left[D^{\alpha-m} g(0)\right], \\
=s^{\alpha} T(s)-\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} s^{\gamma} \frac{1}{s^{\alpha-m+2}}\left[D^{\alpha-m} g(0)\right], \\
L\left[D^{\alpha} g(\tau)\right]=s^{\alpha}\left[T(s)-\sum_{m=0}^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)^{\alpha-m+2}\left[D^{\alpha-m} g(0)\right]\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

when we put $\frac{1}{s}$ for $s^{2}$, the Elzaki transformation of fractional-order of $g(\tau)$ is as below:

$$
E\left[D^{\alpha} g(\tau)\right]=s^{-\alpha}\left[T(s)-\sum_{m=0}^{n} s^{\alpha-m+2}\left[D^{\alpha-m} g(0)\right]\right] .
$$

## 3. Homotopy Perturbation Elzaki Transform Method

In this section, the homotopy perturbation Elzaki transform method for the general form of time-fractional partial differential equations is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\tau}^{\alpha} \psi(\zeta, \tau)+M \psi(\zeta, \tau)+N \psi(\zeta, \tau)=h(\zeta, \tau), \quad \tau>0, \quad 0<\alpha \leq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, 0)=g(\zeta) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ and $N$ are linear and nonlinear terms. Applying Elzaki transformation of Equation (1)

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left[D_{\tau}^{\alpha} \psi(\zeta, \tau)+M \psi(\zeta, \tau)+N \psi(\zeta, \tau)\right]=E[h(\zeta, \tau)], \quad \tau>0, \quad 0<\alpha \leq 1 \\
& \psi(\zeta, \tau)=s^{2} g(\zeta)+s^{\alpha} E[h(\zeta, \tau)]-s^{\alpha} E[M \psi(\zeta, \tau)+N \psi(\zeta, \tau)] . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

By taking the inverse Elzaki transform, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, \tau)=E^{-1}\left[s^{2} g(\zeta)+s^{\alpha} E[h(\zeta, \tau)]\right]-E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E\{M \psi(\zeta, \tau)+N \psi(\zeta, \tau)\}\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, \tau)=g(\zeta)+E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E[h(\zeta, \tau)]\right]-E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E\{M \psi(\zeta, \tau)+N \psi(\zeta, \tau)\}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The perturbation procedure in terms of power series with parameter $p$ is presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, \tau)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^{k} \psi_{k}(\zeta, \tau) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the perturbation term is $p$ and $p \in[0,1]$.
Nonlinear terms can be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \psi(\zeta, \tau)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^{k} H_{k}\left(\psi_{k}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{m}$ are He's polynomials of $\psi_{0}, \psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \cdots, \psi_{m}$, and can be determined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{m}\left(\psi_{0}, \psi_{1}, \cdots, \psi_{m}\right)=\frac{1}{m!} \frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial p^{m}}\left[N\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^{k} \psi_{k}\right)\right]_{p=0} \quad, m=0,1,2 \cdots \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting Equations (7) and (8) in Equation (5), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^{k} \psi_{k}(\zeta, \tau)=g(\zeta)+E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E[h(\zeta, \tau)]\right]-p \times\left[E^{-1}\left\{s^{\alpha} E\left\{M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^{k} \psi_{k}(\zeta, \tau)+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^{k} H_{k}\left(\psi_{k}\right)\right\}\right\}\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both sides having a comparison coefficient of $p$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& p^{0}: \psi_{0}(\zeta, \tau)=g(\zeta)+E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E[h(\zeta, \tau)]\right] \\
& p^{1}: \psi_{1}(\zeta, \tau)=E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E\left(M \psi_{0}(\zeta, \tau)+H_{0}(\psi)\right)\right] \\
& p^{2}: \psi_{2}(\zeta, \tau)=E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E\left(M \psi_{1}(\zeta, \tau)+H_{1}(\psi)\right)\right]  \tag{10}\\
& \vdots \\
& p^{k}: \psi_{k}(\zeta, \tau)=E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E\left(M \psi_{k-1}(\zeta, \tau)+H_{k-1}(\psi)\right)\right], \quad k>0, k \in N .  \tag{11}\\
& \qquad \psi(\zeta, \tau)=\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{M} \psi_{k}(\zeta, \tau) .
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. Implementation of the Technique

Example 1. Consider the following non-linear time-fractional equal-width equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\tau}^{\alpha} \psi+\psi \psi_{\zeta}-\psi_{\zeta \zeta \tau}=0, \quad \tau>0, \quad \zeta \in R, \quad 0<\alpha \leq 1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, 0)=3 \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\zeta-15}{2}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Employing the Elzaki transform on Equation (12) with initial condition Equation (13), we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\frac{1}{s^{\alpha}} E(\psi(\zeta, \tau))-s^{2-\alpha} \psi(\zeta, 0)=E\left[\psi_{\zeta \zeta \tau}-\psi \psi_{\zeta}\right],  \tag{14}\\
E[\psi(\zeta, \tau)]=s^{2} 3 \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\zeta-15}{2}\right)+s^{\alpha} E\left[\psi_{\zeta \zeta \tau}-\psi \psi_{\zeta}\right] .
\end{array}
$$

Now using the inverse Elzaki transform we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, \tau)=3 \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\zeta-15}{2}\right)+E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E\left\{\psi_{\zeta \zeta \tau}-\psi \psi_{\zeta}\right\}\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we implement HPM and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{m} \psi_{m}(\zeta, \tau)=3 \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\zeta-15}{2}\right)+p\left[E^{-1}\left\{s^{\alpha} E\left(\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{m} \psi_{m}(\zeta, \tau)_{\zeta \zeta \tau}\right)-\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{m} H_{m}(\psi)\right)\right)\right\}\right] \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of He's polynomials $H_{m}(\psi)$, the nonlinear terms can be found

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{m} H_{m}(\psi)=\psi \psi_{\zeta} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The He's polynomials are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}(\psi)=\psi_{0}\left(\psi_{0}\right)_{\zeta} \\
& H_{1}(\psi)=\psi_{0}\left(\psi_{1}\right)_{\zeta}+\psi_{1}\left(\psi_{0}\right)_{\zeta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing $p$-like coefficients, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{0}: \psi_{0}(\zeta, \tau)=3 \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\zeta-15}{2}\right) \\
& p^{1}: \psi_{1}(\zeta, \tau)=E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E\left\{\left(\psi_{0}\right)_{\zeta \zeta \tau}-H_{0}\right\}\right] \\
& p^{1}: \psi_{1}(\zeta, \tau)=9 \operatorname{sech}^{4}\left(\frac{\zeta-15}{2}\right) \tanh \left(\frac{\zeta-15}{2}\right) \frac{\tau^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \\
& p^{2}: \psi_{2}(\zeta, \tau)=E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E\left\{\left(\psi_{1}\right)_{\zeta \zeta \tau}-H_{1}\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{2}: \psi_{2}(\zeta, \tau) & =\frac{9}{4} \frac{1}{\cosh ^{1} 2\left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)}\left[\operatorname { s i n h } ( \frac { 1 } { 2 } \zeta - \frac { 1 5 } { 2 } ) \left\{-24 \frac{\tau^{2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+1)} \cosh ^{3}\left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& +30 \frac{\tau^{2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+1)} \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)-72 \frac{\tau^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right) \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right) \\
& \left.\left.+135 \frac{\tau^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)+4 \cosh ^{7}\left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)\right\} \frac{\tau^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Provided the series form solution is $\psi(\zeta, \tau)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_{k}(\zeta, \tau)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(\zeta, \tau) & =3 \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\zeta-15}{2}\right)+9 \operatorname{sech}^{4}\left(\frac{\zeta-15}{2}\right) \tanh \left(\frac{\zeta-15}{2}\right) \frac{\tau^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}+ \\
& \frac{9}{4} \frac{1}{\cosh ^{12}\left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)}\left[\operatorname { s i n h } ( \frac { 1 } { 2 } \zeta - \frac { 1 5 } { 2 } ) \left\{-24 \frac{\tau^{2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+1)} \cosh ^{3}\left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)\right.\right.  \tag{18}\\
& +30 \frac{\tau^{2 \alpha}}{\Gamma(2 \alpha+1)} \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)-72 \frac{\tau^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right) \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right) \\
& \left.\left.+135 \frac{\tau^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)+4 \cosh ^{7}\left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)\right\} \frac{\tau^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\right]+\cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

With Equation (18), putting $\alpha=1$, we achieve the result of the given problem as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(\zeta, \tau) & =3 \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\zeta-15}{2}\right)+9 \operatorname{sech}^{4}\left(\frac{\zeta-15}{2}\right) \tanh \left(\frac{\zeta-15}{2}\right) \tau+ \\
& \frac{9}{4} \frac{1}{\cosh ^{12}\left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)}\left[\operatorname { s i n h } ( \frac { 1 } { 2 } \zeta - \frac { 1 5 } { 2 } ) \left\{-24 \tau^{2} \cosh ^{3}\left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)\right.\right.  \tag{19}\\
& +30 \tau^{2} \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)-72 \tau \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right) \cosh \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right) \\
& \left.\left.+135 \tau \sinh \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)+4 \cosh ^{7}\left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta-\frac{15}{2}\right)\right\} \tau\right]+\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

The exact result is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, \tau)=3 \operatorname{sech}^{2}\left(\frac{\zeta-15-\tau}{2}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2. Consider the following non-linear time-fractional modified equal-width equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\tau}^{\alpha} \psi+3 \psi^{2} \psi_{\zeta}-\psi_{\zeta \zeta \tau}=0, \tau>0, \quad \zeta \in R, \quad 0<\alpha \leq 1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, 0)=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sech}(\zeta-30) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Incorporating the Elzaki transform in Equation (21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
E[\psi(\zeta, \tau)]=s^{2} \psi(\zeta, 0)+s^{\alpha} E\left[\psi_{\zeta \zeta \tau}-3 \psi^{2} \psi_{\zeta}\right] \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the initial condition in Equation (23), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E[\psi(\zeta, \tau)]=s^{2} \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sech}(\zeta-30)+s^{\alpha} E\left[\psi_{\zeta \zeta \tau}-3 \psi^{2} \psi_{\zeta}\right] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying the inverse Elzaki transform, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, \tau)=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sech}(\zeta-30)+E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E\left\{\psi_{\zeta \zeta \tau}-3 \psi^{2} \psi_{\zeta}\right\}\right] \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we implement HPM and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{m} \psi_{m}(\zeta, \tau)=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sech}(\zeta-30)+p\left[E^{-1}\left\{s^{\alpha} E\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{m} \psi_{m}(\zeta, \tau)_{\zeta \zeta \tau}-\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{m} H_{m}(\psi)\right)\right)\right\}\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of He's polynomials $H_{m}(\psi)$ the nonlinear terms can be found

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{m} H_{m}(\psi)=3 \psi^{2} \psi_{\zeta} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The He's polynomials are defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}(\psi)=3\left(\psi_{0}\right)^{2}\left(\psi_{0}\right)_{\zeta} \\
& H_{1}(\psi)=3\left(\psi_{0}\right)^{2}\left(\psi_{1}\right)_{\zeta}+6 \psi_{0} \psi_{1}\left(\psi_{0}\right)_{\zeta}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing p-like coefficients, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{0}: \psi_{0}(\zeta, \tau)=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sech}(\zeta-30) \\
& p^{1}: \psi_{1}(\zeta, \tau)=E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E\left\{\left(\psi_{0}\right)_{\zeta \zeta \tau}-H_{0}(\psi)\right\}\right] \\
& p^{1}: \psi_{1}(\zeta, \tau)=\frac{3}{64} \operatorname{sech}^{3}(\zeta-30) \tanh (\zeta-30) \frac{\tau^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided the series form solution is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi(\zeta, \tau)=\Sigma_{m=0}^{\infty} \psi_{m}(\zeta, \tau) \\
& \psi(\zeta, \tau)=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sech}(\zeta-30)+\frac{3}{64} \operatorname{sech}^{3}(\zeta-30) \tanh (\zeta-30) \frac{\tau^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}+\cdots \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Using Equation (28) putting $\alpha=1$, we achieve the result of the given problem as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, \tau)=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sech}(\zeta-30)+\frac{3}{64} \operatorname{sech}^{3}(\zeta-30) \tanh (\zeta-30)+\cdots \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact result is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, \tau)=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{sech}\left(\zeta-30-\frac{\tau}{4}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3. Consider the following non-linear time-fractional modified equal-width equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\tau}^{\alpha} \psi+\frac{12}{7}\left(\psi^{6}\right)_{\zeta}-\frac{3}{7}\left(\psi^{6}\right)_{\zeta \zeta \tau}=0, \quad \tau>0, \quad \zeta \in R, \quad 0<\alpha \leq 1 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, 0)=\cosh ^{\frac{2}{5}}\left(\frac{5 \zeta}{6}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the Elzaki transform in Equation (31), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
E[\psi(\zeta, \tau)]=s^{2} \psi(\zeta, 0)+s^{\alpha} E\left[\frac{12}{7}\left(\psi^{6}\right)_{\zeta}-\frac{3}{7}\left(\psi^{6}\right)_{\zeta \zeta \tau}\right] \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting the initial condition in Equation (33), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E[\psi(\zeta, \tau)]=s^{2} \cosh ^{\frac{2}{5}}\left(\frac{5 \zeta}{6}\right)+s^{\alpha} E\left[\frac{12}{7}\left(\psi^{6}\right)_{\zeta}-\frac{3}{7}\left(\psi^{6}\right)_{\zeta \zeta \tau}\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying the inverse Elzaki transform, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, \tau)=\cosh ^{\frac{2}{5}}\left(\frac{5 \zeta}{6}\right)+E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E\left\{\frac{12}{7}\left(\psi^{6}\right)_{\zeta}-\frac{3}{7}\left(\psi^{6}\right)_{\zeta \zeta \tau}\right\}\right] \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we implement the HPM and we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{m} \psi_{m}(\zeta, \tau)=\cosh ^{\frac{2}{5}}\left(\frac{5 \zeta}{6}\right)+p\left[E^{-1}\left\{s^{\alpha} E\left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{m} \psi_{m}(\zeta, \tau)_{\zeta \zeta \tau}\right)\right\}\right] \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of He's polynomials $H_{m}(\psi)$ we can find nonlinear terms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma_{m=0}^{\infty} p^{m} H_{m}(\psi)=\frac{12}{7}\left(\psi^{6}\right)_{\zeta}-\frac{3}{7}\left(\psi^{6}\right)_{\zeta \zeta \tau} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The He's polynomials are defined as

$$
H_{0}(\psi)=\frac{12}{7}\left(\psi_{0}^{6}\right)_{\zeta}-\frac{3}{7}\left(\psi_{0}^{6}\right)_{\zeta \zeta \tau}
$$

Comparing p-like coefficients, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{0}: \psi_{0}(\zeta, \tau)=\cosh ^{\frac{2}{5}}\left(\frac{5 \zeta}{6}\right), \\
& p^{1}: \psi_{1}(\zeta, \tau)=E^{-1}\left[s^{\alpha} E\left\{H_{0}(\psi)\right\}\right], \\
& p^{1}: \psi_{1}(\zeta, \tau)=-\frac{24}{7} \cosh ^{\frac{7}{5}}\left(\frac{5 \zeta}{6}\right) \sinh \left(\frac{5 \zeta}{6}\right) \frac{\tau^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The series form solution is

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi(\zeta, \tau)=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \psi_{m}(\zeta, \tau) \\
\psi(\zeta, \tau)=\cosh ^{\frac{2}{5}}\left(\frac{5 \zeta}{6}\right)-\frac{24}{7} \cosh ^{\frac{2}{5}}\left(\frac{5 \zeta}{6}\right) \sinh \left(\frac{5 \zeta}{6}\right) \frac{\tau^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}+\cdots, \tag{38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using Equation (38) and putting $\alpha=1$, we achieve the result of the given problem as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, \tau)=\cosh ^{\frac{2}{5}}\left(\frac{5 \zeta}{6}\right)-\frac{24}{7} \cosh ^{\frac{7}{5}}\left(\frac{5 \zeta}{6}\right) \sinh \left(\frac{5 \zeta}{6}\right) \tau+\cdots \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact result is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\zeta, \tau)=\cosh ^{\frac{2}{5}}\left\{\frac{5}{6}(\zeta-\tau)\right\} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 5. Results and Discussion

In Figure 1, the exact and HPETM solutions are plotted in (a) at $\alpha=1$ and the close contact of the actual and HPETM solutions is analyzed. In Figure 1, the graph (b) represents the HPETM solutions at $\alpha=1,0.8,0.6$ and 0.4 of Example 1. The convergence of the fractional solutions can be analyzed to the integer-order solution of the problems. In Figure 2, the plot (a) expresses the HPETM and exact solution of each problem. The closed relation of the HPETM and exact results is established in Figure 2. In Figure 2, the sub-graph (b) is drawn to verify the results at different fractional orders at $\alpha=1,0.8,0.6$ and 0.4. The convergence of fractional to integer-order solutions is investigated. In Figure 3, the subgraph (a) represents the exact and HPETM solutions at $\alpha=1$, and subgraph (b) represents different fractional orders of Example 3. A closed resemblance is found between the exact and HPETM results. It is found that fractional-order solutions are convergent towards integer-order solution of Example 3.


Figure 1. (a) The exact and analytical solutions graph and (b) different fractional-order $\alpha$ graph of Example 1.



Figure 2. (a) Exact and analytical solutions graph and (b) different fractional-order $\alpha$ graph of Example 2.


Figure 3. (a) Exact and analytical solutions graph and (b) different fractional-order $\alpha$ graph of Example 3.

## 6. Conclusions

In this article, we evaluated fractional-order equal-width equations, using a homotopy perturbation Elzaki transformation technique. The solutions for certain examples were explained and implemented for the suggested method. The HPETM result was close to the actual result for the given examples. The current methods were used to calculate the results of fractional-order problems. The graphical analysis of the fractional-order solutions obtained verified the convergence towards solutions of integer order.

In future, the proposed techniques can be extended for solutions of higher nonlinear fractional-order partial differential equations and their systems. The fractional difference and difference differential equations can be handled by using the suggested techniques.
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