

Article

Solution of Multi-Term Time-Fractional PDE Models Arising in Mathematical Biology and Physics by Local Meshless Method

Imtiaz Ahmad ¹^(D), Hijaz Ahmad ²^(D), Phatiphat Thounthong ³^(D), Yu-Ming Chu ^{4,5,*}^(D) and Clemente Cesarano ⁶^(D)

- ¹ Department of Mathematics, University of Swabi, Swabi 23430, Pakistan; imtiazkakakhil@uoswabi.edu.pk
- ² Department of Basic Sciences, University of Engineering and Technology, Peshawar 25000, Pakistan; f17ppbsi011@uetpeshawar.edu.pk or hijaz555@gmail.com
- ³ Renewable Energy Research Centre, Department of Teacher Training in Electrical Engineering, Faculty of Technical Education, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, 1518 Pracharat 1 Road, Bangsue, Bangkok 10800, Thailand; phatiphat.t@fte.kmutnb.ac.th
- ⁴ Department of Mathematics, Huzhou University, Huzhou 313000, China
- ⁵ Hunan Provincial Key Laboratory of Mathematical Modeling and Analysis in Engineering, Changasha, University of Science & Technology, Changsha 410114, China
- ⁶ Section of Mathematics, International Telematic University Uninettuno, Corso Vittorio Emanuele II, 39, 00186 Roma, Italy; c.cesarano@uninettunouniversity.net
- * Correspondence: chuyuming@zjhu.edu.cn

Received: 22 June 2020; Accepted: 17 July 2020; Published: 19 July 2020



Abstract: Fractional differential equations depict nature sufficiently in light of the symmetry properties which describe biological and physical processes. This article is concerned with the numerical treatment of three-term time fractional-order multi-dimensional diffusion equations by using an efficient local meshless method. The space derivative of the models is discretized by the proposed meshless procedure based on the multiquadric radial basis function though the time-fractional part is discretized by Liouville–Caputo fractional derivative. The numerical results are obtained for one-, two- and three-dimensional cases on rectangular and non-rectangular computational domains which verify the validity, efficiency and accuracy of the method.

Keywords: three-term time-fractional diffusion equation; Liouville–Caputo derivative; meshless method; radial basis function

1. Introduction

Over the most recent couple of decades, fractional-order differential equations have been effectively utilized for modeling a wide range of processes and systems in the applied sciences and engineering. The basic information on fractional calculus can be found in [1–3]. The extensive applications in science and engineering are portrayed by fractional partial differential equation (PDEs) [4–8]. It is observed that the multi-term time-fractional PDEs are suggested to improve the modelling accuracy in depicting the anomalous diffusion process, modeling different sorts of viscoelastic damping, precisely catching power-law frequency dependence and simulating flow of a fractional Maxwell fluid [9].

In the present time, the researchers worked developing methods for the approximate and exact solutions for fractional PDEs. In this regard, numerous methods have been employed for the solution like finite difference method [10], homotopy analysis method [11,12], meshless method [13–16], Riccati transformation approach [17], Adomian decomposition method [18], expansion methods [19,20] and variational iteration algorithms [21,22].



Meshless methods have been got more attention for handling different sort of PDE models arise in various fields of science and technology. Particularly the meshless method based on radial basis functions (RBFs) are one of the most well-known sorts among these techniques. Unlike the traditional methods of finite difference and finite element, the meshless techniques do not need mesh in computational domains and can be applied efficiently to multi-dimensional PDE models with complex domains [23]. As per these realities, meshless strategies are known to be truly adaptable and valuable and are broadly utilized to numerous useful problems [24]. Despite the fact that the standard global meshless collocation strategy depends on the globally supported RBFs is known to be a proficient computational procedure. In this procedure the RBFs are used to obtain the coefficients, so that the derivatives of a function f(x) can be written as a linear combination of the functional values at the predetermined nodes, but the technique leads to an ill-conditioned and dense system of algebraic equations due to shape parameters sensitivity and a large number of collocation points. Tragically, the computational cost of execution and ill-conditioning of the procedure will increment drastically by increasing the number of collocation points. To avoid these limitations, the researchers recommended local meshless techniques [25,26]. The beauty of the local meshless technique is utilizing just neighbouring collocation points which results in a sparse matrix system and ward off the main deficiency of ill-conditioning. This sparse system of equations can effectively be solved [27–29].

According to [30], Symmetry is a fundamental property of nature and its phenomena. Therefore, the fractional-order diffusion equations are able to adequately describe physical and biological processes and have symmetry properties, which follow from some fundamental laws. The current work is dedicated to utilize the local meshless method (LMM) for the numerical investigation of three-term time fractional-order diffusion model equations up to three space dimensions. The space derivatives of the model equations are calculated by the proposed local meshless algorithm utilizing multiquadric (MQ) radial basis functions (RBFs) while time-fractional part is approximated by using Liouville–Caputo definition. The suggested algorithm is tested on non-rectangular domains as well in two- and three-dimensional case in numerical examinations. Consider the unsteady three-term time-fractional diffusion PDE

$$a_{1}\frac{\partial^{\alpha}U(\overline{\mathbf{y}},t)}{\partial t^{\alpha}} + a_{2}\frac{\partial^{\beta}U(\overline{\mathbf{y}},t)}{\partial t^{\beta}} + a_{3}\frac{\partial^{\gamma}U(\overline{\mathbf{y}},t)}{\partial t^{\gamma}} = a_{4}\nabla U(\overline{\mathbf{y}},t) + F(\overline{\mathbf{y}},t) \equiv \mathcal{L}U(\overline{\mathbf{y}},t),$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{y}} \in \Omega, \quad 0 < \gamma \le \beta \le \alpha \le 1, \quad t > 0,$$
(1)

where the initial and boundary conditions are as follows

$$U(\overline{\mathbf{y}},0) = U_0, \quad \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbf{y}},t) = g(\overline{\mathbf{y}},t), \quad \overline{\mathbf{y}} \in \partial\Omega,$$
(2)

where a_4 is the diffusion coefficient and for one-dimensional (1D) case $\overline{\mathbf{y}} = x$, for two-dimensional (2D) case $\overline{\mathbf{y}} = (x, y, z)$.

2. Proposed Methodology

The LMM [26,31] is utilized for the solution of time-fractional convection-diffusion models. The derivatives of $U(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, t)$ at the centers $\bar{\mathbf{y}}_h$ are approximated by the neighborhood of $\bar{\mathbf{y}}_h$, $\{\bar{\mathbf{y}}_{h1}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{h2}, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{h3}, ..., \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{hn_h}\} \subset \{\bar{\mathbf{y}}_1, \bar{\mathbf{y}}_2, ..., \bar{\mathbf{y}}_{N^n}\}, n_h \ll N^n$, where $h = 1, 2, ..., N^n$. The local meshless procedure for 1D case is as follows

$$U^{(m)}(x_h) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{n_h} \lambda_k^{(m)} U(x_{hk}), \, h = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$
(3)

Substituting RBF $\psi(||x - x_p||)$ in Equation (3)

$$\psi^{(m)}(\|x_h - x_p\|) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_h} \lambda_{hk}^{(m)} \psi(\|x_{hk} - x_p\|), \ p = h1, h2, \dots, hn_h,$$
(4)

where $\psi(||x_{hk} - x_p||) = \sqrt{1 + (c||x_{hk} - x_p||)^2}$ in case of MQ RBF. Matrix form of Equation (4) can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{n_h}^{(m)} = \mathbf{A}_{n_h} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{n_h}^{(m)}, \tag{5}$$

From Equation (5), we obtain

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{n_h}^{(m)} = \mathbf{A}_{n_h}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{n_h}^{(m)}.$$
 (6)

Equations (3) and (6) implies

$$U^{(m)}(x_h) = (\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{n_h}^{(m)})^T \mathbf{U}_{n_h},$$

where

$$\mathbf{U}_{n_h} = \left[U(x_{h1}), U(x_{h2}), \dots, U(x_{hn_h}) \right]^T.$$

The derivatives of U(x, y, t) in term of x and y are calculated for 2D case by utilizing the above procedure as follows

$$U_x^{(m)}(x_h, y_h) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{n_h} \gamma_k^{(m)} U(x_{hk}, y_{hk}), \ h = 1, 2, \dots, N^2,$$
(7)

$$U_{y}^{(m)}(x_{h}, y_{h}) \approx \sum_{k=1}^{n_{h}} \eta_{k}^{(m)} U(x_{hk}, y_{hk}), \ h = 1, 2, \dots, N^{2}.$$
(8)

To find coefficients $\gamma_k^{(m)}$ and $\eta_k^{(m)}$ ($k = 1, 2, ..., n_h$), we continue as

$$\gamma_{n_h}^{(m)} = \mathbf{A}_{n_h}^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}_{n_h}^{(m)}, \tag{9}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}_{n_h}^{(m)} = \mathbf{A}_{n_h}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n_h}^{(m)}.$$
 (10)

The above technique can be rehashed for the three-dimensional case.

2.1. Time Discretization

The derivative in time direction $\frac{\partial^{\alpha} U(\overline{\mathbf{y}},t)}{\partial t^{\alpha}}$ for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ is calculated by by means of the Liouville–Caputo derivative definition [32]

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha} U(\overline{\mathbf{y}}, t)}{\partial t^{\alpha}} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial U(\overline{\mathbf{y}}, \theta)}{\partial \theta} (t-\theta)^{-\alpha} d\theta, & 0 < \alpha < 1\\ \\ \frac{\partial U(\overline{\mathbf{y}}, t)}{\partial t}, & \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

Consider Q + 1 equally spaced time intervals t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_Q , in [0, t], such that $t_q = q\tau$, $q = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, Q$, where time step size is denoted by τ to calculate the time-fractional derivative (q + 1)th time level is

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha} U(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, t_{q+1})}{\partial t^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t_{q+1}} \frac{\partial U(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta} (t_{q+1} - \vartheta)^{-\alpha} d\vartheta,$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{s=0}^{q} \int_{s_{\tau}}^{(s+1)\tau} \frac{\partial U(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta} (t_{s+1} - \vartheta)^{-\alpha} d\vartheta,$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{s=0}^{q} \int_{s_{\tau}}^{(s+1)\tau} \frac{\partial U(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, \vartheta)}{\partial \vartheta} (t_{s+1} - \vartheta)^{-\alpha} d\vartheta.$$
(11)

The term $\frac{\partial U(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, \theta_s)}{\partial \theta}$ is approximated as follows

$$\frac{\partial U(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, \vartheta_s)}{\partial \vartheta} = \frac{U(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, \vartheta_{s+1}) - U(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, \vartheta_s)}{\vartheta} + R^{s+1}(\bar{\mathbf{y}}).$$
(12)

with truncation error (see [33])

$$|R^{s+1}(\overline{\mathbf{y}})| \le \mathcal{C}\tau^{2-\alpha} \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha} U(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, t_{q+1})}{\partial t^{\alpha}} \approx \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{s=0}^{q} \frac{U(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, t_{s+1}) - U(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, t_{s})}{\tau} \int_{s\tau}^{(s+1)\tau} (t_{s+1} - \vartheta)^{-\alpha} d\vartheta + R^{s+1}(\bar{\mathbf{y}}),$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)} \sum_{s=0}^{q} \frac{U(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, t_{q+1-s}) - U(\bar{\mathbf{y}}, t_{q-s})}{\tau} \int_{s\tau}^{(s+1)\tau} (t_{s+1} - \vartheta)^{-\alpha} d\vartheta + R^{s+1}(\bar{\mathbf{y}}),$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} (U^{q+1} - U^{q}) + \frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} \sum_{s=1}^{q} (U^{q+1-s} - U^{q-s})[(s+1)^{1-\alpha} - s^{1-\alpha}] + R^{s+1}(\bar{\mathbf{y}}), \quad q \ge 1 \\ \frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)} (U^{1} - U^{0}). \quad q = 0,
\end{cases}$$
(14)

Letting $a_0^{\alpha} = \frac{\tau^{-\alpha}}{\Gamma(2-\alpha)}$ and $b_s^{\alpha} = (s+1)^{1-\alpha} - s^{1-\alpha}$, s = 0, 1, ..., q, the above equation can be written as follows

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha} U(\overline{\mathbf{y}}, t_{q+1})}{\partial t^{\alpha}} \approx \begin{cases} a_{0}^{\alpha} (U^{q+1} - U^{q}) + a_{0}^{\alpha} \sum_{s=1}^{q} b_{s}^{\alpha} (U^{q+1-s} - U^{q-s}) + R^{s+1}(\overline{\mathbf{y}}), & q \ge 1 \\ a_{0}^{\alpha} (U^{1} - U^{0}), & q = 0. \end{cases}$$
(15)

A similar methodology is employed for fractional derivative of order β and γ .

2.2. $A \theta$ -Weighted Technique

A θ -weighted technique is adopted to approximate the model equation in the form (1) with $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 1$ in time utilizing Equation (15)

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha} U}{\partial t^{\alpha}} + \frac{\partial^{\beta} U}{\partial t^{\beta}} + \frac{\partial^{\gamma} U}{\partial t^{\gamma}} \equiv \mathcal{L}U, \tag{16}$$

now using Equation (15) for $q \ge 1$

$$a_{0}^{\alpha}U^{(q+1)} - a_{0}^{\alpha}U^{(q)} + a_{0}^{\alpha}\sum_{s=1}^{q}b_{s}^{\alpha}(U^{q+1-s} - U^{q-s}) + a_{0}^{\beta}U^{(q+1)} - a_{0}^{\beta}U^{(q)} + a_{0}^{\beta}\sum_{s=1}^{q}b_{s}^{\beta}(U^{q+1-s} - U^{q-s}) + a_{0}^{\gamma}U^{(q+1)} - a_{0}^{\beta}U^{(q)} + a_{0}^{\gamma}\sum_{s=1}^{q}b_{s}^{\beta}(U^{q+1-s} - U^{q-s}) = \theta\mathcal{L}U^{(q+1)} + (1-\theta)\mathcal{L}U^{(q)},$$
(17)

we get

$$U^{(q+1)} = \left(a_0^{\alpha}I + a_0^{\beta}I + a_0^{\gamma}I - \theta\mathcal{L}\right)^{-1} \left\{ (a_0^{\alpha}I + a_0^{\beta}I + a_0^{\gamma}I + (1-\theta)\mathcal{L})U^{(q)} - \left(a_0^{\alpha}\sum_{s=1}^q b_s(U^{q+1-s} - U^{q-s}) + a_0^{\beta}\sum_{s=1}^q b_s(U^{q+1-s} - U^{q-s}) + a_0^{\gamma}\sum_{s=1}^q b_s(U^{q+1-s} - U^{q-s}) + a_0^{\gamma}\sum_{s=1}^q b_s(U^{q+1-s} - U^{q-s}) \right) \right\},$$
(18)

similarly for q = 0

$$U^{(1)} = \left(\left(a_0^{\alpha} I + a_0^{\beta} I + a_0^{\gamma} I - \theta \mathcal{L} \right)^{-1} \left\{ \left(a_0^{\alpha} I + a_0^{\beta} I + a_0^{\gamma} I + (1 - \theta) \mathcal{L} \right) U^{(0)} \right\},$$
(19)

After applying the LMM (discussed in Section 2), Equations (18) and (19) lead to

$$U^{(q+1)} = \left(a_0^{\alpha}I + a_0^{\beta}I + a_0^{\gamma}I - \theta L\right)^{-1} \left\{ (a_0^{\alpha}I + a_0^{\beta}I + a_0^{\gamma}I + (1-\theta)L)U^{(q)} - \left(a_0^{\alpha}\sum_{s=1}^{q}b_s(U^{q+1-s} - U^{q-s}) + a_0^{\beta}\sum_{s=1}^{q}b_s(U^{q+1-s} - U^{q-s}) + a_0^{\gamma}\sum_{s=1}^{q}b_s(U^{q+1-s} - U^{q-s}) \right) \right\},$$

$$U^{(1)} = \left(\left(a_0^{\alpha}I + a_0^{\beta}I + a_0^{\gamma}I - \theta L\right)^{-1} \left\{ (a_0^{\alpha}I + a_0^{\beta}I + a_0^{\gamma}I + (1-\theta)L)U^{(0)} \right\},$$
(20)

where \mathcal{L} is known to be differential operator and L is the corresponding weights matrix, additionally I is an identity matrix. For $\theta = 1$, Equations (20) and (21) reduce to an implicit method.

3. Numerical Results and Discussions

This section is concerned with the numerical results of the one-, two- and three-dimensional three-term time-fraction diffusion model equations utilizing the suggested efficient local meshless method (LMM). The implicit time discretization scheme is coupled with the LMM based on multiquadric radial basis function with shape parameter c = 1 in all numerical simulation. Every single numerical trial are performed utilizing local supported domain $n_i = 3$, $n_i = 5$ and $n_i = 7$ in one-, two- and three-dimensional case respectively, additionally the source functions in each case can be computed easily in the accordance of the corresponding exact solution. The accuracy is measure through different error norms which are defined as follows

$$L_{absolute} = |\widehat{\mathbb{U}} - U|, \qquad L_2 = \sqrt{\Delta h \sum_{h=1}^{N^n} \left(\widehat{\mathbb{U}}_h - U_h\right)^2},$$

$$Max(\varepsilon) = \max(L_{absolute}), \quad RMS = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{h=1}^{N^n} \left(\widehat{\mathbb{U}}_h - U_h\right)^2}{N}},$$
(22)

where \widehat{U} , *U* and Δh denote the exact solutions, approximate solution and space step size respectively.

3.1. Test Problem

Consider Equation (1) as 1D three-term diffusion equation with $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 1$, having exact solution [34,35]

$$U(\overline{\mathbf{y}},t) = (1+t^2)(x-x^2), \ t \ge 0, \ x \in [0,1],$$
(23)

Initial and boundary conditions can found in accordance to the exact solution.

The simulation results for Test Problem in Section 3.1 are calculated utilizing the suggested LMM and Tabulated in Table 1 using various values of time-step size τ and nodal points *N*. It can be revealed from Table 1 that the accuracy increments to some extent with the increase in *N* and decrease in τ .

Figure 1 (left) shows the results of different time *t* versus $Max(\varepsilon)$ for $\alpha = 0.75$, 0.85, 0.95 whereas Figure 1 (right) shows the results at different nodal points *N* versus *RMS* for $\alpha = 0.75$, 0.85, 0.95. This figure is the evidence of good accuracy of the suggested LMM. The visual representation in form of absolute error for $\alpha = 0.75$, 0.95 up to t = 1 is given in Figure 2. Figure 3 represents the comparison of the proposed LMM and the method given in [35], and we can see for this figure that the LMM method produced better results.

	N = 10		N = 20		N = 30	
τ	$Max(\varepsilon)$	L_2	$Max(\varepsilon)$	L_2	$Max(\varepsilon)$	L_2
	$\begin{array}{c} 1.9384 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.6002 \times 10^{-4} \\ 3.1467 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.2747 \times 10^{-4} \\ 1.6562 \times 10^{-4} \\ 2.1193 \times 10^{-4} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.6001 \times 10^{-4} \\ 8.7511 \times 10^{-5} \\ 6.2482 \times 10^{-5} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7.7998 \times 10^{-5} \\ 4.0421 \times 10^{-5} \\ 4.1427 \times 10^{-5} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.1545 \times 10^{-4} \\ 6.6581 \times 10^{-5} \\ 3.7293 \times 10^{-5} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 6.2711 \times 10^{-5} \\ 3.0006 \times 10^{-5} \\ 1.7774 \times 10^{-5} \end{array}$
Condition No.	$4.6506 imes 10^4$		7.2601×10^{5}		$3.6585 imes 10^6$	

Table 1. Numerical results using $\alpha = 0.75$, $\beta = 0.3$, $\gamma = 0.2$ and t = 0.5 for Test Problem 3.1.



Figure 1. Simulation results using N = 30 (**left**), t = 1 (**right**) and $\tau = 0.001$, $\beta = 0.3$, $\gamma = 0.2$ for Test Problem in Section 3.1.



Figure 2. Simulation results using N = 30, $\tau = 0.001$, $\beta = 0.3$, $\gamma = 0.2$ and $\alpha = 0.75$ (**left**), $\alpha = 0.95$ (**right**) for Test Problem in Section 3.1.



Figure 3. Simulation results of the LMM and the MSRPIM [35] using N = 25, $\tau = 0.001$, t = 1, $\beta = 0.3$ and $\gamma = 0.2$ for Test Problem in Section 3.1.

3.2. Test Problem

Consider the Equation (1) as 2D three-term diffusion equation with $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 1$, having exact solution [35] is given as

$$U(\overline{\mathbf{y}}, t) = \sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y)\exp(-t), \ t \ge 0.$$
(24)

The simulation results for Test Problem in Section 3.2 are calculated in terms of absolute error, $Max(\varepsilon)$ and RMS norms, are visualized in Figures 4–8 using the suggested LMM. In Figures 4 and 5, we have compared the numerical results, in term of $Max(\varepsilon)$ and RMS error norms, of the suggested LMM and the method given in [35]. It can be seen that in this test problem the LMM gives accurate results when contrasted with the technique in [35]. Figure 6 shows the exact, numerical and absolute error for Test Problem in Section 3.2 which is the evidence of the proposed method for better accuracy. The LMM is tested on non-rectangular domains as shown in Figures 7 and 8. It is obvious from these figures that the LMM gives great numerical results irrespective of the domains.



Figure 4. Simulation results of the LMM and the MSRPIM [35] using [0, 1], $N^2 = 20$, $\tau = 0.001$, t = 0.1, $\beta = 0.3$ and $\gamma = 0.2$ for Test Problem in Section 3.2.



Figure 5. Simulation results of the LMM and the MSRPIM [35] using [0, 1], $N^2 = 20$, t = 0.1, $\alpha = 0.7$, $\beta = 0.3$ and $\gamma = 0.2$ for Test Problem in Section 3.2.



Figure 6. Simulation results using $N^2 = 20$, $\tau = 0.001$, t = 0.1, $\alpha = 0.75$, $\beta = 0.3$ and $\gamma = 0.2$ for Test Problem in Section 3.2.



Figure 7. Computational domain (**left**) and numerical results in term of different errors norms (**right**) using $N^2 = 11$, $\tau = 0.001$, t = 0.5, $\beta = 0.3$ and $\gamma = 0.2$ for Test Problem in Section 3.2.



Figure 8. Computational domain (**left**) and $L_{absolute}$ (**right**) using N = 112, $\tau = 0.001$, t = 1, $\alpha = 0.75$, $\beta = 0.3$ and $\gamma = 0.2$ for Test Problem in Section 3.2.

3.3. Test Problem

Consider the 3D three-term fractional-order diffusion Equation (1). For $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = 1$ the exact solutions is

$$U(\overline{\mathbf{y}}, t) = \sin(\pi x)\sin(\pi y)\sin(\pi z)\exp(-t), \ t \ge 0 \ (x, y, z) \in [0, 1]^3.$$
(25)

The simulation results for Test Problem in Section 3.3 are appeared in Figure 9 for various fractional-order α and N. It tends to be see from the figure that the accuracy increments with increment in nodal points N to some extent. To testify the performance of the LMM on non-rectangular domains in three-dimensional case, the results are shown in Figures 10 and 11.



Figure 9. Simulation results using $\tau = 0.01$, t = 1, $\beta = 0.3$ and $\gamma = 0.2$ (**left**) and condition number (**right**) for Test Problem in Section 3.3.



Figure 10. Computational domain (**left**) and absolute error (**right**) using $N^3 = 11$, $\tau = 0.001$, $\beta = 0.3$ and $\gamma = 0.2$ for Test Problem in Section 3.3.



Figure 11. Computational domain (**left**) and absolute error (**right**) using $N^3 = 11$, $\tau = 0.001$, $\beta = 0.3$ and $\gamma = 0.2$ for Test Problem in Section 3.3.

4. Conclusions

The current research is concerned with an efficient computational algorithm, named local meshless method, utilizing radial basis functions to approximate three-term time fractional-order diffusion PDE models in one-, two- and three-dimensions. The Liouville–Caputo definition is utilized in order to compute the time derivative the algorithm is constructed for $0 < \gamma \le \beta \le \alpha < 1$. To test the accuracy of the suggested LMM both rectangular and non-rectangular domains are considered in the test problems. The simulation results are evidence that the suggested LMM is a flexible interpolation method. In light of the current work, we can say that the proposed technique is powerful and effective to approximate the solution of multi-term time-fractional PDEs, so it can be also applied to a wide range of complex problems that occur in natural sciences and engineering.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, I.A. and H.A.; methodology, I.A.; software, I.A. and P.T.; validation, I.A., H.A. and P.T.; formal analysis, C.C. and P.T.; investigation, Y.-M.C., H.A. and C.C.; resources, Y.-M.C.; data curation, I.A.; writing—original draft preparation, I.A. and H.A.; writing—review and editing, C.C., P.T. and Y.-M.C.; visualization, I.A.; supervision, I.A., C.C. and H.A.; project administration, C.C; funding acquisition, Y.-M.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11971142, 11871202, 61673169, 11701176, 11626101, 11601485).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Lubich, C. Discretized fractional calculus. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 1986, 17, 704–719. [CrossRef]
- 2. Kochubei, A.N. Fractional-order diffusion. *Differ. Equ.* **1990**, *26*, 485–492.
- 3. Bazzaev, A.K.; Shkhanukov-Lafishev, M.K. Locally one-dimensional schemes for the diffusion equation with a fractional time derivative in an arbitrary domain. *Comput. Math. Math. Phys.* **2016**, *56*, 106–115. [CrossRef]
- Ahmad, H.; Khan, T.A. Variational iteration algorithm i with an auxiliary parameter for the solution of differential equations of motion for simple and damped mass–spring systems. *Noise Vib. Worldw.* 2020, 51, 12–20. [CrossRef]
- 5. Ahmad, I.; Siraj-ul-Islam; Mehnaz; Zaman, S. Local meshless differential quadrature collocation method for time-fractional PDEs. *Discrete Cont. Dyn-S* **2018**, *13*, 2641–2654. [CrossRef]
- Ahmad, H.; Khan, T.A.; Cesarano, C. Numerical Solutions of Coupled Burgers' Equations. *Axioms* 2019, 8, 119. [CrossRef]
- 7. Partohaghighi, M.; Ink, M.; Baleanu, D.; Moshoko, S.P. Ficitious time integration method for solving the time fractional gas dynamics equation. *Therm. Sci.* **2019**, *23*, 365–365. [CrossRef]
- 8. Ahmad, I.; Khan, M.N.; Inc, M.; Ahmad, H.; Nisar, K.S. Numerical simulation of simulate an anomalous solute transport model via local meshless method. *Alex. Eng. J.* **2020**, in press. [CrossRef]
- 9. Kelly, J.F.; McGough, R.J.; Meerschaert, M.M. Analytical time-domain Green's functions for power-law media. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* 2008, 124, 2861–2872. [CrossRef]
- 10. Bisheh-Niasar, M.; Arab, A.M. Moving Mesh Non-standard Finite Difference Method for Non-linear Heat Transfer in a Thin Finite Rod. *J. Appl. Comput. Mech.* **2018**, *4*, 161–166.
- 11. El-Dib, Y. Stability analysis of a strongly displacement time-delayed Duffing oscillator using multiple scales homotopy perturbation method. *J. Appl. Comput. Mech.* **2018**, *4*, 260–274.
- 12. Jena, R.M.; Chakraverty, S.; Jena, S.K. Dynamic response analysis of fractionally damped beams subjected to external loads using homotopy analysis method. *J. Appl. Comput. Mech.* **2019**, *5*, 355–366.
- 13. Thounthong, P.; Khan, M.N.; Hussain, I.; Ahmad, I.; Kumam, P. Symmetric radial basis function method for simulation of elliptic partial differential equations. *Mathematics* **2018**, *6*, 327. [CrossRef]
- 14. Khan, M.N.; Ahmad, I.; Ahmad, H. A radial basis function collocation method for space-dependent inverse heat problems. *J. Appl. Comput. Mech.* **2020**. [CrossRef]
- 15. Siraj-ul-Islam; Ahmad, I. Local meshless method for PDEs arising from models of wound healing. *Appl. Math. Model.* **2017**, *48*, 688–710. [CrossRef]

- Srivastava, M.H.; Ahmad, H.; Ahmad, I.; Thounthong, P.; Khan, N.M. Numerical simulation of three-dimensional fractional-order convection-diffusion PDEs by a local meshless method. *Therm. Sci.* 2020, 210. [CrossRef]
- 17. Bazighifan, O.; Ahmad, H.; Yao, S.W. New Oscillation criteria for advanced differential equations of fourth order. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 728. [CrossRef]
- 18. Singh, B.K.; Kumar, P. Numerical computation for time-fractional gas dynamics equations by fractional reduced differential transforms method. *J. Math. Syst. Sci.* **2016**, *6*, 248–259.
- 19. Yokuş, A.; Durur, H.; Ahmad, H. Hyperbolic type solutions for the couple Boiti-Leon-Pempinelli system. *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform* **2020**, *35*, 523–531.
- 20. Yokus, A.; Durur, H.; Ahmad, H.; Yao, S.W. Construction of Different Types Analytic Solutions for the Zhiber-Shabat Equation. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 908. [CrossRef]
- 21. Ahmad, H.; Khan, T.A.; Stanimirovic, P.S.; Ahmad, I. Modified Variational Iteration Technique for the Numerical Solution of Fifth Order KdV Type Equations. *J. Appl. Comput. Mech.* **2020**. [CrossRef]
- 22. Ahmad, H.; Khan, T.A. Variational iteration algorithm-I with an auxiliary parameter for wave-like vibration equations. *J. Low Freq. Noise Vib. Act. Control* **2019**, *38*, 1113–1124. [CrossRef]
- 23. Ahmad, I.; Siraj-ul-Islam; Khaliq, A.Q.M. Local RBF method for multi-dimensional partial differential equations. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2017**, *74*, 292–324. [CrossRef]
- 24. Ahmad, I.; Ahsan, M.; Din, Z.U.; Masood, A.; Kumam, P. An efficient local formulation for time-dependent PDEs. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 216. [CrossRef]
- 25. Siraj-ul-Islam; Ahmad, I. A comparative analysis of local meshless formulation for multi-asset option models. *Eng. Anal. Bound. Elem.* **2016**, *65*, 159–176. [CrossRef]
- 26. Ahmad, I.; Ahsan, M.; Hussain, I.; Kumam, P.; Kumam, W. Numerical simulation of PDEs by local meshless differential quadrature collocation method. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 394. [CrossRef]
- 27. Gong, C.; Bao, W.; Tang, G.; Jiang, Y.; Liu, J. Computational challenge of fractional differential equations and the potential solutions: A survey. *Math. Probl. Eng.* **2015**. [CrossRef]
- 28. Popolizio, M. Numerical solution of multiterm fractional differential equations using the matrix Mittag–Leffler functions. *Mathematics* **2018**, *6*, 7. [CrossRef]
- 29. Durastante, F. Efficient solution of time-fractional differential equations with a new adaptive multi-term discretization of the generalized Caputo–Dzherbashyan derivative. *Calcolo* **2019**, *56*, 36. [CrossRef]
- 30. Gazizov, R.K.; Kasatkin, A.A.; Lukashchuk, S.Y. Symmetry properties of fractional diffusion equations. *Phys. Scr.* **2009**, 2009, T136. [CrossRef]
- 31. Ahmad, I.; Riaz, M.; Ayaz, M.; Arif, M.; Islam, S.; Kumam, P. Numerical simulation of partial differential equations via local meshless method. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 257. [CrossRef]
- 32. Caputo, M. Linear models of dissipation whose Q is almost frequency independent-II. *Geophys. J. Int.* **1967**, 13, 529–539. [CrossRef]
- 33. Lin, Y.; Xu, C. Finite difference/spectral approximations for the time-fractional diffusion equation. *J. Comput. Phys.* **2007**, 95, 1533–1552. [CrossRef]
- 34. Qiao, L.; Xu, D. Orthogonal spline collocation scheme for the multi-term time-fractional diffusion equation. *Int. J. Comput. Math.* **2018**, *95*, 1478–1493. [CrossRef]
- 35. Hussain, M.; Haq, S. Weighted meshless spectral method for the solutions of multi-term time fractional advection-diffusion problems arising in heat and mass transfer. *Int. J. Heat. Mass. Transf.* **2019**, 129, 1305–1316. [CrossRef]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).