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#### Abstract

In this paper, by using new-concept pointwise bi-slant immersions, we derive a fundamental inequality theorem for the squared norm of the mean curvature via isometric warped-product pointwise bi-slant immersions into complex space forms, involving the constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$, the Laplacian of the well-defined warping function, the squared norm of the warping function, and pointwise slant functions. Some applications are also given.
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## 1. Introduction

In the submanifolds theory, creating a relationship between extrinsic and intrinsic invariants is considered to be one of the most basic problems. Most of these relations play a notable role in submanifolds geometry. The role of immersibility and non-immersibility in studying the submanifolds geometry of a Riemannian manifold was affected by the pioneering work of the Nash embedding theorem [1], where every Riemannian manifold realizes an isometric immersion into a Euclidean space of sufficiently high codimension. This becomes a very useful object for the submanifolds theory, and was taken up by several authors (for instance, see [2-15]). Its main purpose was considered to be how Riemannian manifolds could always be treated as Riemannian submanifolds of Euclidean spaces. Inspired by this fact, Nolker [16] classified the isometric immersions of a warped product decomposition of standard spaces. Motivated by these approaches, Chen started one of his programs of research in order to study the impressibility and non-immersibility of Riemannian warped products into Riemannian manifolds, especially in Riemannian space forms (see [11,17-19]). Recently, a lot of solutions have been provided to his problems by many geometers (see [18] and references therein).

The field of study which includes the inequalities for warped products in contact metric manifolds and the Hermitian manifold is gaining importance. In particular, in [17], Chen observed the strong isometrically immersed relationship between the warping function $f$ of a warped product $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ and the norm of the mean curvature, which isometrically immersed into a real space form.

Theorem 1. Let $\widetilde{M}(c)$ be a m-dimensional real space form and let $\varphi: M=M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}$ be an isometric immersion of an $n$-dimensional warped product into $\widetilde{M}(c)$. Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta f}{f} \leq \frac{n^{2}}{4 n_{2}}\|H\|^{2}+n_{1} c \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}, i=1,2$ and $\Delta$ is the Laplacian operator of $M_{1}$ and $H$ is the mean curvature vector of $M^{n}$. Moreover, the equality holds in (1) if, and only if, $\varphi$ is mixed and totally geodesic and $n_{1} H_{1}=n_{2} H_{2}$ such that $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are partially mean curvatures of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively.

In [2,5,20-31], the authors discuss the study of Einstein, contact metrics, and warped product manifolds for the above-mentioned problems. Furthermore, in regard to the collections of such inequalities, we referred to [12] and references therein. The motivation came from the study of Chen and Uddin [32], which proved the non-triviality of warped-product pointwise bi-slant submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold with supporting examples. If the sectional curvature is constant with a Kaehler metric, then it is called complex space forms. In this paper, we consider the warped-product pointwise bi-slant submanifolds which isometrically immerse into a complex space form, where we then obtain a relationship between the squared norm of the mean curvature, constant sectional curvature, the warping function, and pointwise bi-slant functions. We will announce the main result of this paper in the following.

Theorem 2. Let $\tilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$ be the complex space form and let $\varphi: M^{n}=M_{1}^{n_{1}} \times_{f} M_{2}^{n_{2}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$ be an isometric immersion from warped product pointwise bi-slant submanifolds into $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$. Then, the following inequality is satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\ln f) \leq\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}+\frac{n^{2}}{4 n_{2}}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{n_{1} c}{4}-\frac{3 c}{4 n_{2}}\left(n_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+n_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are pointwise slant functions along $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively. Furthermore, $\nabla$ and $\Delta$ are the gradient and the Laplacian operator on $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$, respectively, and $H$ is the mean curvature vector of $M^{n}$. The equality case holds in (2) if and only if $\varphi$ is a mixed totally geodesic isometric immersion and the following satisfies

$$
\frac{H_{1}}{H_{2}}=\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}
$$

where $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are the mean curvature vectors along $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$, respectively.
As an application of Theorem 2 in a compact orientated Riemannian manifold with a free boundary condition, we prove that:

Theorem 3. Let $M^{n}=M_{1}^{n_{1}} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}^{n_{2}}$ be a compact, orientate warped product pointwise bi-slant submanifold in a complex space form $\tilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$ such that $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ is a $n_{1}$-dimensional and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$ is a $n_{2}$-dimensional pointwise slant submanifold $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$. Then, $M^{n}$ is simply a Riemannian product if, and only if:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|H\|^{2} \geq \frac{c}{n^{2}}\left(3 n_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+3 n_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}-n_{1} n_{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is the mean curvature vector of $M^{n}$. Moreover, $\theta_{1}$ and $\theta_{2}$ are pointwise slant functions.
By using classifications of pointwise bi-slant submanifolds which were defined in [32], we derived similar inequalities for warped product pointwise pseudo-slant submanifolds [33], warped product pointwise semi-slant submanifolds [34], and CR-warped product submanifolds [17] in a complex space form as well.

## 2. Preliminaries and Notations

An almost complex structure $J$ and a Riemannian metric $g$, such that $J^{2}=-I$ and $g(J X, J Y)=$ $g(X, Y)$, for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(\widetilde{M})$, where $I$ denotes the identity map and $\mathfrak{X}(\widetilde{M})$ is the space containing vector fields tangent to $\widetilde{M}$, then $(M, J, g)$ is an almost Hermitian manifold. If the almost complex structure
satisfied $\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{U} J\right) V=0$, for any $U, V \in \mathfrak{X}(\widetilde{M})$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is a Levi-Cevita connection $\widetilde{M}$. In this case, $\widetilde{M}$ is called the Kaehler manifold. A complex space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c$ is denoted by $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$, and its curvature tensor $\widetilde{R}$ can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{R}(U, V, Z, W)=\frac{c}{4}( & g(U, Z) g(V, W)-g(V, Z) g(U, W)+g(U, J Z) g(J V, W) \\
& -g(V, J Z) g(U, J W)+2 g(U, J V) g(J Z, W)) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $U, V, Z, W \in \mathfrak{X}\left(\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)\right)$. A Riemannian manifold $\widetilde{M}^{m}$ and its submanifold $M$, the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are defined by $\widetilde{\nabla}_{U} V=\nabla_{U} V+h(U, V)$, and $\widetilde{\nabla}_{U} \xi=-A_{\xi} U+\nabla_{U}^{\perp} \xi$, respectively for each $U, V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and for the normal vector field $\xi$ of $M$, where $h$ and $A_{\xi}$ are denoted as the second fundamental form and shape operator. They are related as $g(h(U, V), N)=g\left(A_{N} U, V\right)$. Now, for any $U \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and for the normal vector field $\xi$ of $M$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (i) } J U=P U+F U, \quad \text { (ii) } J \xi=t \xi+f \xi \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P U(t \xi)$ and $F U(f \xi)$ are tangential to $M$ and normal to $M$, respectively. Similarly, the equations of Gauss are given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(U, V, Z, W)=\widetilde{R}(U, V, Z, W)+g(h(U, W), h(V, Z))-g(h(U, Z), h(V, W)) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $U, V, Z, W$ are tangent $M$, where $R$ and $\widetilde{R}$ are defined as the curvature tensor of $\widetilde{M}^{m}$ and $M^{n}$, respectively.

The mean curvature $H$ of Riemannian submanifold $M^{n}$ is given by

$$
H=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{trace}(h)
$$

A submanifold $M^{n}$ of Riemannian manifold $\widetilde{M}^{m}$ is said to be totally umbilical and totally geodesic if $h(U, V)=g(U, V) H$ and $h(U, V)=0$, for any $U, V \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, respectively, where $H$ is the mean curvature vector of $M^{n}$. Furthermore, if $H=0$, them $M^{n}$ is minimal in $\tilde{M}^{m}$.

A new class called a "pointwise slant submanifold" has been studied in almost Hermitian manifolds by Chen-Gray [35]. They provided the following definitions of these submanifolds:

Definition 1. [35] A submanifold $M^{n}$ of an almost Hermitian manifold $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}$ is a pointwise slant if, for any non-zero vector $X \in \mathfrak{X}\left(T_{x} M\right)$ and each given point $x \in M^{n}$, the angle $\theta(X)$ between $J X$ and tangent space $T_{x} M$ is free from the choice of the nonzero vector $X$. In this case, the Wirtinger angle become a real-valued function and it is non-constant along $M^{n}$, which is defined on $T^{*} M$ such that $\theta: T^{*} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Chen-Gray in [35] derived a characterization for the pointwise slant submanifold, where $M^{n}$ is a pointwise slant submanifold if, and only if, there exists a constant $\lambda \in[0,1]$ such that $P^{2}=-\cos ^{2} \theta I$, where $P$ is a $(1,1)$ tensor field and $I$ is an identity map. For more classifications, we referred to [35].

Following the above concept, a pointwise bi-slant immersion was defined by Chen-Uddin in [18], where they defined it as follows:

Definition 2. A submanifold $M^{n}$ of an almost Hermitian manifold $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}$ is said to be a pointwise bi-slant submanifold if there exists a pair of orthogonal distributions $\mathcal{D}_{\theta_{1}}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\theta_{2}}$, such that:
(i) $T M^{n}=\mathcal{D}_{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}_{\theta_{2}}$;
(ii) $J \mathcal{D}_{\theta_{1}} \perp \mathcal{D}_{\theta_{2}}$ and $J \mathcal{D}_{\theta_{2}} \perp \mathcal{D}_{\theta_{1}}$;
(iii) Each distribution $\mathcal{D}_{\theta_{i}}$ is a pointwise slant with a slant function $\theta_{i}: T^{*} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for $i=1,2$.

Remark 1. A pointwise bi-slant submanifold is a bi-slant submanifold if each slant functions $\theta_{i}: T^{*} M \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ for $i=1,2$. are constant along $M^{n}$ (see [13]).

Remark 2. If $\theta_{1}=\frac{\pi}{2}$ or $\theta_{2}=\frac{\pi}{2}$, then $M^{n}$ is called a pointwise pseudo-slant submanifold (see [33]).
Remark 3. If $\theta_{1}=0$ or $\theta_{2}=0$, in this case, $M^{n}$ is a coinciding pointwise semi-slant submanifold (see [14,34]).
Remark 4. If $\theta_{2}=\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\theta_{1}=0$, then $M^{n}$ is $C R$-submanifold of the almost Hermitian manifold.
In this context, we shall define another important Riemannian intrinsic invariant called the scalar curvature of $\widetilde{M}^{m}$, and denoted at $\widetilde{\tau}\left(T_{x} \widetilde{M}^{m}\right)$, which, at some $x$ in $\widetilde{M}^{m}$, is given:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\tau}\left(T_{x} \widetilde{M}^{m}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq \alpha<\beta \leq m} \widetilde{K}_{\alpha \beta}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{K}_{\alpha \beta}=\widetilde{K}\left(e_{\alpha} \wedge e_{\beta}\right)$. It is clear that the first equality (7) is congruent to the following equation, which will be frequently used in subsequent proof:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \widetilde{\tau}\left(T_{x} \widetilde{M}^{m}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq \alpha<\beta \leq m} \widetilde{K}_{\alpha \beta}, 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, scalar curvature $\widetilde{\tau}\left(L_{x}\right)$ of $L$-plan is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\tau}\left(L_{x}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq \alpha<\beta \leq m} \widetilde{K}_{\alpha \beta} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

An orthonormal basis of the tangent space $T_{x} M$ is $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots e_{n}\right\}$ such that $e_{r}=\left(e_{n+1}, \cdots e_{m}\right)$ belong to the normal space $T^{\perp} M$. Then, we have:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
h_{\alpha \beta}^{r}=g\left(h\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\right), e_{r}\right), \\
\|h\|^{2}=\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{n} g\left(h\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\right), h\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\right) .\right. \tag{10}
\end{array}
$$

Let $K_{\alpha \beta}$ and $\widetilde{K}_{\alpha \beta}$ be the sectional curvatures of the plane section spanned by $e_{\alpha}$ and $e_{\beta}$ at $x$ in a submanifold $M^{n}$ and a Riemannian manifold $\widetilde{M}^{m}$, respectively. Thus, $K_{\alpha \beta}$ and $\widetilde{K}_{\alpha \beta}$ are the intrinsic and extrinsic sectional curvatures of the span $\left\{e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\right\}$ at $x$. Thus, from the Gauss Equation (6)(i), we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \tau\left(T_{x} M^{n}\right)=K_{\alpha \beta} & =2 \widetilde{\tau}\left(T_{x} M^{n}\right)+\sum_{r=n+1}^{m}\left(h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r} h_{\beta \beta}^{r}-\left(h_{\alpha \beta}^{r}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\widetilde{K}_{\alpha \beta}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{m}\left(h_{\alpha \alpha}^{r} h_{\beta \beta}^{r}-\left(h_{\alpha \beta}^{r}\right)^{2}\right) . \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

The following consequences come from (6) and (11), as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(T_{x} M_{1}^{n_{1}}\right)=\sum_{r=n+1}^{m} \sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq n_{1}}\left(h_{i i}^{r} h_{j j}^{r}-\left(h_{i j}^{r}\right)^{2}\right)+\widetilde{\tau}\left(T_{x} M_{1}^{n_{1}}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(T_{x} M_{2}^{n_{2}}\right)=\sum_{r=n+1}^{m} \sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq a<b \leq n}\left(h_{a a}^{r} h_{b b}^{r}-\left(h_{a b}^{r}\right)^{2}\right)+\widetilde{\tau}\left(T_{x} M_{2}^{n_{2}}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$ are two Riemannian manifolds with their Riemannian metrics $g_{1}$ and $g_{2}$, respectively. Let $f$ be a smooth function defined on $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$. Then, the warped product manifold $M^{n}=M_{1}^{n_{1}} \times_{f} M_{2}^{n_{2}}$ is the manifold $M_{1}^{n_{1}} \times M_{2}^{n_{2}}$ furnished by the Riemannian metric $g=g_{1}+f^{2} g_{2}$, which defined in [36]. When considering that the $M^{n}=M_{1}^{n_{1}} \times_{f} M_{2}^{n_{2}}$ is the warped product manifold, then for any $X \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{X}\left(M_{2}\right)$, we find that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{Z} X=\nabla_{X} Z=(X \ln f) Z \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{e_{1}, \cdots e_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal frame for $M^{n}$; then, summing up the vector fields such that:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} K\left(e_{\alpha} \wedge e_{\beta}\right)=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_{2}}\left(\left(\nabla_{e_{\alpha}} e_{\alpha}\right) \ln f-e_{\alpha}\left(e_{\beta} \ln f\right)-\left(e_{\alpha} \ln f\right)^{2}\right)
$$

From (Equation (3.3) in [11]), the above equation implies that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n_{2}} K\left(e_{\alpha} \wedge e_{\beta}\right)=n_{2}\left(\Delta(\ln f)-\|\nabla(\ln f)\|^{2}\right)=\frac{n_{2} \Delta f}{f} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 5. A warped product manifold $M^{n}=M_{1}^{n_{1}} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}^{n_{2}}$ is said to be trivial or a simple Riemannian product manifold if the warping function $f$ is constant.

## 3. Main Inequality for Warped Product Pointwise Bi-Slant Submanifolds

To obtain similar inequalities like Theorem 1, for warped product pointwise bi-slant submanifolds of complex space forms, we need to recall the following lemma.

Lemma 1. [10] Let $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots a_{n}, a_{n+1}$ be $n+1$ be real numbers with

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}\right)^{2}=(n-1)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{2}+a_{n+1}\right), n \geq 2
$$

Then $2 a_{1} \cdot a_{2} \geq a_{3}$ holds if and only if $a_{1}+a_{2}=a_{3}=\cdots=a_{k}$.
Proof of Theorem 2. If substitute $X=Z=e_{\alpha}$ and $Y=W=e_{\beta}$ for $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$ in (4), and (6), taking summing up then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{n} \widetilde{R}\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}, e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\right)=\frac{c}{4}\left(n(n-1)+3 \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{n} g^{2}\left(J e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\right)\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $M^{n}$ is a pointwise bi-slant submanifold, we defined an adapted orthonormal frame as $n=2 d_{1}+2 d_{2}$ follows $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}=\sec \theta_{1} P e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 d_{1}-1}, e_{2 d_{1}}=\sec \theta_{1} P e_{2 d_{1}-1}, \ldots, e_{2 d_{1}+1}, e_{2 d_{1}+2}=\right.$ $\left.\sec \theta_{2} P e_{2 d_{1}+1}, \ldots, e_{2 d_{1}+2 d_{2}-1}, e_{2 d_{1}+2 d_{2}}=\sec \theta_{2} P e_{2 d_{1}+2 d_{2}-1}\right\}$. Thus, we defined it such that $g\left(e_{1}, J e_{2}\right)=$ $-g\left(J e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=g\left(J e_{1}, \sec \theta_{1} P e_{1}\right)$, which implies that $g\left(e_{1}, J e_{2}\right)=-\sec \theta_{1} g\left(P e_{1}, P e_{1}\right)$.

Following ((2.8) in [32]), we get $g\left(e_{1}, J e_{2}\right)=\cos \theta_{1} g\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$. Therefore, we easily obtained the following relation:

$$
g^{2}\left(e_{\alpha}, J e_{\beta}\right)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}, \text { for each } \alpha=1, \ldots, 2 d_{1}-1 \\
\cos ^{2} \theta_{2}, \text { for each } \beta=2 d_{1}+1, \ldots, 2 d_{1}+2 d_{1}-1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{n} g^{2}\left(J e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\right)=\left(n_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta+n_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following from (17), (16), and (6), we find that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \tau=\frac{c}{4} n(n-1)+\frac{c}{4}\left(3 n_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+3 n_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right)+n^{2}\|H\|^{2}-\|h\|^{2} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=2 \tau-\frac{c}{4} n(n-1)-\frac{c}{4}\left(3 n_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+3 n_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right)-\frac{n^{2}}{2}\|H\|^{2} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from (19), and (18), we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{2}\|H\|^{2}=2\left(\delta+\|h\|^{2}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from an orthogonal frame $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \cdots e_{n}\right\}$, the proceeding equation takes the new form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{A A}^{r}\right)^{2}=2(\delta & +\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{A A}^{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i<j=1}^{n}\left(h_{A B}^{r}\right)^{2} \\
& \left.+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m} \sum_{A, B=1}^{n}\left(h_{A B}^{r}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

This can be expressed in more detail, such as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{2}\left(h_{11}^{n+1}+\sum_{A=2}^{n_{1}} h_{A A}^{n+1}+\sum_{l=n_{1}+1}^{n} h_{l l}^{n+1}\right)^{2} & =\delta+\left(h_{11}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{A=2}^{n_{1}}\left(h_{A A}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{l=n_{1}+1}^{n}\left(h_{l l}^{n+1}\right)^{2} \\
& -\sum_{2 \leq B \neq q \leq n_{1}} h_{B B}^{n+1} h_{q q}^{n+1}-\sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq l \neq s \leq n} h_{l l}^{n+1} h_{s s}^{n+1} \\
& +\sum_{A<B=1}^{n}\left(h_{A B}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m} \sum_{A, B=1}^{n}\left(h_{A B}^{r}\right)^{2} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume that $a_{1}=h_{11}^{n+1}, a_{2}=\sum_{A=2}^{n_{1}} h_{A A}^{n+1}$, and $a_{3}=\sum_{l=n_{1}+1}^{n} h_{l l}^{n+1}$. Then, applying Lemma 1 in (22), we derive:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\delta}{2}+\sum_{A<B=1}^{n}\left(h_{A B}^{n+1}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m} \sum_{A, B=1}^{n}\left(h_{A B}^{r}\right)^{2} \leq & \sum_{2 \leq B \neq q \leq n_{1}} h_{B B}^{n+1} h_{q q}^{n+1} \\
& +\sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq l \neq s \leq n} h_{l l}^{n+1} h_{s s}^{n+1} . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

with equality holds in (23) if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{A=2}^{n_{1}} h_{A A}^{n+1}=\sum_{B=n_{1}+1}^{n} h_{B B}^{n+1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from (15), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n_{2} \Delta f}{f}=\tau-\sum_{1 \leq A<B \leq n_{1}} K\left(e_{A} \wedge e_{B}\right)-\sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq l<q \leq n} K\left(e_{l} \wedge e_{q}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (6) and the scalar curvature for the complex space form (11), we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
n_{2} \frac{\Delta f}{f}=\tau & -\frac{n_{1}\left(n_{1}-1\right) c}{8}-\frac{3 n_{1} c}{4} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}-\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m} \sum_{1 \leq A \neq B \leq n_{1}}\left(h_{A A}^{r} h_{B B}^{r}-\left(h_{A B}^{r}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{n_{2}\left(n_{2}-1\right) c}{8}-\frac{3 n_{2} c}{4} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}-\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m} \sum_{n_{1}+1 \leq l \neq q \leq n}\left(h_{l l}^{r} h_{q q}^{r}-\left(h_{l q}^{r}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Now from (23) and (26), we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2} \frac{\Delta f}{f} \leq \rho-\frac{n(n-1) c}{8}+\frac{n_{1} n_{2} c}{4}-\frac{3 n_{1} c}{4} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}-\frac{\delta}{2}-\frac{3 n_{2} c}{4} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (19) in the above equation and relation $\frac{\Delta f}{f}=\Delta(\ln f)-\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}$, we derive:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{2}\left(\Delta(\ln f)-\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}\right) \leq \frac{n^{2}}{4}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{c}{4}\left(n_{1} n_{2}+3 n_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+3 n_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies inequality. The equality sign holds in (2) if, and only if, the leaving terms in (23) and (24) imply that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m} \sum_{B=1}^{n_{1}} h_{B B}^{r}=\sum_{r=n+2}^{2 m} \sum_{A=n_{1}+1}^{n_{1}} h_{A A}^{r}=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $n_{1} H_{1}=n_{2} H_{2}$, where $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are partially mean curvature vectors on $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$, respectively. Moreover, also from (23), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{A B}^{r}=0, \text { for each } 1 & \leq A \leq n_{1} \\
& n_{1}+1 \leq B \leq n \\
& n+1 \leq r \leq 2 m \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that $\varphi$ is a mixed, totally geodesic immersion. The converse part of (30) is true in a warped product pointwise bi-slant into the complex space form. Thus, we reached our promised result.

## Consequences of Theorem 2

Inspired by the research in $[6,34]$ and using the Remark 3 in Theorem 2 for pointwise semi-slant warped product submanifolds, we obtained:

Corollary 1. Let $\varphi: M^{n}=M_{1}^{n_{1}} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}^{n_{2}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$ be an isometric immersion from the warped product pointwise semi-slant submanifold into a complex space form $\tilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$, where $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ is the holomorphic and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$ is the pointwise slant submanifolds of $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$. Then, we have the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\ln f) \leq\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}+\frac{n^{2}}{4 n_{2}}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{n_{1} c}{4}-\frac{3 c}{4 n_{2}}\left(n_{1}+n_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}, i=1,2$. Furthermore, $\nabla$ and $\Delta$ are the gradient and the Laplacian operator on $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$, respectively, and $H$ is the mean curvature vector of $M^{n}$. The equality sign holds in (31) if, and only if, $n_{1} H_{1}=n_{2} H_{2}$, where $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are the mean curvature vectors along $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$, respectively, and $\varphi$ is a mixed, totally geodesic immersion.

From the motivation studied in $[14,34]$, we present the following consequence of Theorem 2 by using the Remark 2 for a nontrivial warped product pointwise pseudo-slant submanifold of a complex space, such that:

Corollary 2. Let $\varphi: M^{n}=M_{1}^{n_{1}} \times_{f} M_{2}^{n_{2}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$ be an isometric immersion from a warped product pointwise pseudo-slant submanifold into a complex space form $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$, such that $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ is a totally real and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$ is a pointwise slant submanifold of $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$. Then, we have the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\ln f) \leq\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}+\frac{n^{2}}{4 n_{2}}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{n_{1} c}{4}-\frac{3 c}{4} \cos ^{2} \theta \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}, i=1,2$. Furthermore, $\nabla$ and $\Delta$ are the gradient and the Laplacian operator on $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$, respectively, and $H$ is the mean curvature vector of $M^{n}$. The equality condition holds in (32) if, and only if, the following satisfies

$$
\frac{H_{1}}{H_{2}}=\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}}
$$

: where $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are the mean curvature vectors along $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$, respectively, and $\varphi$ is a mixed, totally geodesic isometric immersion.

Corollary 3. Let $\varphi: M^{n}=M_{1}^{n_{1}} \times_{f} M_{2}^{n_{2}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$ be an isometric immersion from a warped product pointwise pseudo-slant submanifold into a complex space form $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$, such that $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ is a pointwise slant and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$ is a totally real submanifold of $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$. Then, we have the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\ln f) \leq\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}+\frac{n^{2}}{4 n_{2}}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{n_{1} c}{4}-\frac{3 n_{1} c}{4 n_{2}} \cos ^{2} \theta \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}, i=1,2$. Furthermore, $\nabla$ and $\Delta$ are the gradient and the Laplacian operator on $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$, respectively, and $H$ is the mean curvature vector of $M^{n}$. This equally holds in (33) if, and only if, $\varphi$ is a mixed, totally geodesic isometric immersion and the following satisfies

$$
\frac{H_{1}}{H_{2}}=\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}},
$$

, where $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are the mean curvature vectors along $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$, respectively.
Similarly, using Remark 4 and from [17], we got the following result from Theorem 2:

Corollary 4. Let $\varphi: M^{n}=M_{1}^{n_{1}} \times_{f} M_{2}^{n_{2}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$ be an isometric immersion from a CR-warped product into a complex space form $\tilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$, such that $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ is a holomorphic submanifold and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$ is a totally real submanifold of $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$. Then, we get the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\ln f) \leq\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}+\frac{n^{2}}{4 n_{2}}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{n_{1} c}{4}-\frac{3 n_{1} c}{4 n_{2}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}, i=1,2$. Furthermore, $\nabla$ and $\Delta$ are the gradient and the Laplacian operator on $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$, respectively, and $H$ is the mean curvature vector of $M^{n}$. The same holds in (34) if, and only if, $\varphi$ is mixed and totally geodesic, and $n_{1} H_{1}=n_{2} H_{2}$, where $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are the mean curvature vectors on $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$, respectively.

In particular, if both pointwise slant functions $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2}=\frac{\pi}{2}$, then $M^{n}$ is becomes a totally real warped product submanifold-thus, we obtain:

Corollary 5. Let $\varphi: M^{n}=M_{1}^{n_{1}} \times_{f} M_{2}^{n_{2}} \rightarrow \widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$ be an isometric immersion from an $n$-dimensional, totally real warped product submanifold into a $2 m$-dimensional complex space form $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$, where $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$ are totally real submanifolds of $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$. Then, we have the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\ln f) \leq\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}+\frac{n^{2}}{4 n_{2}}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{n_{1} c}{4} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{i}=\operatorname{dim} M_{i}, i=1,2$ and $\Delta$ is the Laplacian operator on $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$. The same holds in (35) if, and only if, $\varphi$ is mixed and totally geodesic, and the following satisfies

$$
\frac{H_{1}}{H_{2}}=\frac{n_{2}}{n_{1}},
$$

where $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are the mean curvature vectors on $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$, respectively.
Proof of Theorem 3. In this direction, we consider the warped product pointwise bi-slant submanifolds as a compact oriented Riemannian manifold without boundary. If the inequality (2) holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\ln f)-\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2} \leq \frac{n^{2}}{4 n_{2}}\|H\|^{2}+\frac{c}{4 n_{2}}\left(n_{1} n_{2}-3 n_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}-3 n_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $M^{n}$ is a compact oriented Riemannian submanifold without boundary, then we have following formula with respect to the volume element:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M^{n}} \Delta f d V=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the hypothesis of the theorem, $M^{n}$ is a compact warped product submanifold; then from (37), we derive:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M}\left(\frac{c}{4 n_{2}}\left(3 n_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+3 n_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}-n_{1} n_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{4 n_{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{i i}^{n+1}\right)^{2}\right) d V \leq \int_{M}\left(\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}\right) d V \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we assume that $M^{n}$ is a Riemannian product, and the warping function $f$ must be constant on $M^{n}$. Then, from (38), we get the inequality (3).

Conversely, let the inequality (3) hold; then from (38), we derive:

$$
0 \leq \int_{M^{n}}\left(\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}\right) \leq 0 .
$$

The above condition implies that $\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}=0$, where this means that $f$ is a constant function on $M^{n}$. Hence, $M^{n}$ is simply a Riemannian product of $M_{1}^{n_{1}}$ and $M_{2}^{n_{2}}$, respectively. Thus, the theorem is proved. We give some other important corollaries as consequences of Theorem 2, as follows:

Corollary 6. Let $M^{n}=M_{1}^{n_{1}} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}^{n_{2}}$ be a warped product pointwise bi-slant submanifold of a complex space form $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$ with warping function $f$, such that $n_{1}=\operatorname{dim} M_{1}$ and $n_{2}=\operatorname{dim} M_{2}$. If $\varphi$ is an isometrically minimal immersion from warped product $M^{n}$ into $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$, then we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\ln f) \leq\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}+\frac{c}{4 n_{2}}\left(n_{1} n_{2}-3 n_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}-3 n_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 7. Let $M^{n}=M_{1}^{n_{1}} \times{ }_{f} M_{2}^{n_{2}}$ be a warped product pointwise bi-slant submanifold of a complex space form $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$ with warping function $f$, such that $n_{1}=\operatorname{dim} M_{1}$ and $n_{2}=\operatorname{dim} M_{\theta}$. Then, there is no existing minimal isometric immersion $\varphi$ from warped product $M^{n}$ into $\widetilde{M}^{2 m}(c)$ with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\ln f)>\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}+\frac{c}{4 n_{2}}\left(n_{1} n_{2}-3 n_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1}-3 n_{2} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$
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