

Article

Spatial Modeling of Rainfall Patterns over the Ebro River Basin Using Multifractality and Non-Parametric Statistical Techniques

José L. Valencia^{1,*}, Ana M. Tarquis^{2,3}, Antonio Saa^{3,4}, María Villeta¹ and José M. Gascó⁴

- ¹ Department of Statistics and Operation Research III, Faculty of Statistical Studies, Complutense University of Madrid (UCM), Avenida Puerta de Hierro 1, Madrid E28040, Spain; E-Mail: mvilleta@estad.ucm.es
- ² Department of Applied Mathematics, ETS Agronomic Engineers, Polytechnic University of Madrid (UPM), Avenida Puerta de Hierro 2, Madrid E28040, Spain; E-Mail: anamaria.tarquis@upm.es
- ³ Research Centre for the Management of Agricultural and Environmental Risks (CEIGRAM), Polytechnic University of Madrid (UPM), Calle Senda del Rey 13, Madrid E28040, Spain; E-Mail: antonio.saa@upm.es
- ⁴ Department of Agricultural Production, ETS Agronomic Engineers, Polytechnic University of Madrid (UPM), Avenida Puerta de Hierro 2, Madrid E28040, Spain; E-Mail: josemaria.gasco@upm.es
- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: joseval@estad.ucm.es; Tel.: +34-91-3944-025; Fax: +34-91-3944-064.

Academic Editor: Keith Smettem

Received: 31 August 2015 / Accepted: 2 November 2015 / Published: 6 November 2015

Abstract: Rainfall, one of the most important climate variables, is commonly studied due to its great heterogeneity, which occasionally causes negative economic, social, and environmental consequences. Modeling the spatial distributions of rainfall patterns over watersheds has become a major challenge for water resources management. Multifractal analysis can be used to reproduce the scale invariance and intermittency of rainfall processes. To identify which factors are the most influential on the variability of multifractal parameters and, consequently, on the spatial distribution of rainfall patterns for different time scales in this study, universal multifractal (UM) analysis— C_1 , α , and γ_s UM parameters—was combined with non-parametric statistical techniques that allow spatial-temporal comparisons of distributions by gradients. The proposed combined approach was applied to a daily rainfall dataset of 132 time-series from 1931 to 2009, homogeneously spatially-distributed across a 25 km × 25 km grid covering the Ebro River Basin. A homogeneous increase in C_1 over the watershed and a decrease in α mainly in the western regions, were detected,

suggesting an increase in the frequency of dry periods at different scales and an increase in the occurrence of rainfall process variability over the last decades.

Keywords: rainfall patterns; universal multifractal parameters; Cramer-Von Mises statistic; time series; spatial distributions

1. Introduction

Rainfall is one of the most important climate variables studied due to its non-homogenous behavior in terms of events and intensity, which creates drought, water runoff, and soil erosion with negative environmental and social consequences [1]. A change in the rainfall pattern will limit the storage of water that must occur during the winter to meet the heavy demands during the dry season [2].

Recently, several researchers have indicated that climate change will likely result in greater temperatures and lower rainfall in Mediterranean regions while increasing the intensity of extreme rainfall events [3–5]. These changes could have consequences for the rainfall regime [6], erosion [7], sediment transport and water quality [8], soil management [9], and the requirements of newly-designed diversion ditches [10]. Climate change is expected to result in increasingly unpredictable and variable rainfall, in both amount and timing, changing seasonal patterns, and increasing frequency of extreme weather events [11].

Water supplies in the Ebro River Basin, a Mediterranean area, present high seasonal fluctuations with extreme rainfall events during autumn and spring, and demands are increasingly stressed during the summer. Simultaneously, the repeated anomalous annual fluctuations in recent decades have become a serious concern for the regional hydrology, agriculture, and related industries in the region [12]. This scenario has resulted in devastating social and economic impacts and has led to debate over the changing seasonal patterns of rainfall and the increasing frequency of extreme rainfall events. Thus, it is extremely important to model rainfall events and trends in the Ebro River Basin.

To describe the precipitation in the Ebro River Basin, several authors have used different databases. Some authors have focused on data from specific meteorological stations and others have investigated irregularly distributed data networks, such as MOPREDAS [13]. Several techniques aim to statistically describe the precipitation pattern, but the results from these techniques applied to rainfall patterns in the study area show small variations between them. Consequently, these techniques do not always fulfill the predictions expressed by the models of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [14]. Significant increases in seasonal precipitation mainly occur in the Central Pyrenees and northeast of the Ebro Basin and are accompanied by a clear decrease in summer rainfall [15]. Additionally, De Luis *et al.* [16] used the MOPREDAS database from 1951 to 2000 and concluded that precipitation decreased by nearly 12% in the last period, mainly during winter. However, the conclusions made by these authors seem contradictory, which indicates the influences of the databases used. On the other hand, Valencia *et al.* [17] concluded that the extreme rainfall events did not increased in agreement with De Luis *et al.* [15].

The aims of this study are to (1) obtain more uniform results that are independent of the data base used by applying multiscaling techniques and to (2) detect and analyze significant rainfall patterns in

the Ebro River Basin by modeling the spatial distributions that hydrologically characterize the watershed. To achieve these aims, an approach that combines multifractal techniques that allow for the reproduction of scale invariance and the intermittency of rainfall events, and non-parametric statistical techniques that allow for spatial-temporal comparisons of the distributions in the study area, was proposed in this paper. Multifractal analysis was developed to study turbulent intermittency and was adapted to provide a good description of the statistics at all orders and for a wide range of fields [18–23]. One of these fields consists of rainfall changes that result from climate change [24,25]. The statistical multifractal nature of rainfall has been intensively studied over the last two decades [18–31]. Among the different multifractal analyses, the multifractal analysis used by Lavallée *et al.* [32,33] and Royer *et al.* [24] was applied. These authors described and used the universal multifractal (UM) model and obtained two parameters, the intermittency (C_1) and the Levy index (α), as well as a combination of them, the maximal probable singularity (γ_s). With these parameters, any conservative data field can be statistically characterized and used to analyze its scaling nature.

The combined approach proposed in this research applies as a first step time series analysis with non-parametric statistics to identify annual rainfall trends from 1931 to 2009. Then, multifractal analysis was used and UM parameters were estimated over the Ebro River Basin to compare two temporal periods. Finally, spatial and temporal analysis of the UM parameters based on non-parametric statistical techniques was applied to study the possible significant variations of the UM parameters based on geographical position, altitude and mean rainfall. Consequently, a homogeneous increase in the intermittency C_1 over the Ebro River Basin and a decrease in the α index, mainly in the western areas of the watershed, were detected, which indicated that the frequency of dry periods at different scales increased over the last decades.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area and Data

The Ebro River Basin covers an area of approximately 85,000 km², is located in Northeast Spain, and is characterized by the high spatial heterogeneity of its geology, topography, climatology, and land use. The Ebro River is one of the most important rivers for Spanish water policy and supplies water through water transfer projects to other river basins affected by desertification in some areas of Spain [34]. This area is characterized by the presence of mountains that border the north (Pyrenees) and south (Iberian System and Catalan Mountains coastal chain), allowing the river to pass through a large depression to the southeast. For additional details, see [34] and the references therein.

Rainfall in this area is highly spatially variable. More than 1000 mm of rainfall occurs per year in the northern mountains, while semi-desert areas located in the interior of the depression experience average rainfall of up to 300 mm. Furthermore, the precipitation varies interannually and intermonthly. Rainfall is sparse throughout most of the basin, except in the north, and mainly occurs during the autumn and spring seasons.

The scaling behavior of precipitation in the area corresponding to the Ebro River Basin was evaluated using 132 complete and regular spatial rainfall daily data series elaborated by the "Servicio de Desarrollos Climatológicos" of the Spanish Meteorological Agency (AEMET) [35–38]. Each one of

these time series was obtained using spatial interpolation by kriging and a grid of 25 km \times 25 km. These daily rainfall series include 79 years, from 1931 to 2009, and cover the Ebro Basin with regular intervals, as shown in Figure 1, where each point represents the localization of the square center of the geographical area associated with each series. In order to obtain these spatially interpolated series, more than 1500 original rainfall series were used although with very irregular length. Some rainfall series have few years, while others have collected information from the 79 years under study but with some lags. The original gauges of weather stations are pluviometers or pluviographs that have not changed their location since were installed, although no changes are discarded in the vicinity of the stations due to human activity. AEMET ensures the reliability of these data, which have been compared with other databases on a global scale such as the ECMWF and NCEP, and other on a regional scale such as HIPOCAS, proving that they are more realistic [39]. In AEMET-gridded data, it is noteworthy that their extreme rainfall events are very close to the original ones and that the numbers of rainy days remain very close to the original ones [36]. Due to this minimization in the smoothing of rainfall series, the error that usually occurs in multifractal analysis when data are imputed in interpolation methods is reduced. Each series was subdivided into two overlapping periods, from 1931 to 1975 and from 1965 to 2009, to analyze the difference between both. Each period contains the same number of days, 16,834 (2^{14}), to achieve higher multifractal analysis efficiency.



Figure 1. Geographical areas in the Ebro River Basin. Each area has an associated daily rainfall time-series from 1931 to 2009.

2.2. Trend Test

Before evaluating the UM parameters, it is important to check for trends in the time-series. For this purpose, the Mann-Kendall test was used [40] because it has robust statistical properties. This test is valid for non-normal or cyclical data, such as rainfall data. The null hypothesis for this test is as follows H₀: $\tau = 0$ (no correlation between series and time) against H_a: $\tau \neq 0$ (correlation between series and time). This test is very simple and calculates the difference, *Dif*, between the number of years *i* < *j* for which *y*(*i*) < *y*(*j*) and the number of years *i* < *j* for which *y*(*i*) > *y*(*j*), where *y*(*k*) represents

the rainfall along the year k. Finally, because the data under study constitute a long time series, the Mann-Kendall statistic can be calculated as follows:

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \frac{Dif - 1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)(2n+5)/18}} & \text{if } Dif > 0\\ 0 & \text{if } Dif = 0\\ \frac{Dif + 1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)(2n+5)/18}} & \text{if } Dif < 0 \end{cases}$$
(1)

where *n* represents the number of years in each rainfall data series. The null hypothesis is rejected at a significance level of α if $|\tau| > Z_{\alpha/2}$, where α represents the probability of concluding that there exists trend (increasing or decreasing) in the rainfall time series when such a trend does not really exists (probability of type I error in the test), *Z* is the standard normal distribution ($Z \sim N$ ($\mu = 0, \sigma = 1$)) and $Z_{\alpha/2}$ is the value that verifies Prob { $Z > Z\alpha/2$ } = $\alpha/2$.

2.3. Spectral Analysis

Spectral methods, known as Fourier transform methods, were applied before performing a multifractal analysis. Spectral analysis is an approach for studying the statistical properties of a time series by using an intuitive frequency-based description [41,42]. The power spectrum, defined as the distribution of variance or power across the frequency, can be of intrinsic interest [43,44]. If a process contains periodic terms, the frequencies of these terms exhibit several high and sharp peaks in the spectrum. This result indicates that a significant amount of variance is contained in these frequencies [44]. Classical spectral analysis consists of interpreting the variance density distribution spectrum S(f) across different scales (frequencies in this case).

$$S(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\langle R(t, t+s) \right\rangle_{t} e^{-ifs} ds$$
⁽²⁾

where *f* is the frequency, $\langle \rangle$ indicates the use of average values that vary with *t*, and $R(t,t+s) = \langle x(t)x(t+s) \rangle$ is the autocorrelation function of the measurement process x(t).

The objective of this type of analysis is to determine the frequency intervals over which the spectrum follows the power law behavior (Equation (3)), at least for a certain frequency interval, because it is a necessary but not sufficient condition for guaranteeing statistical self-affinity and, therefore, fractal characterization [45].

$$S(f) \approx f^{-\beta} \tag{3}$$

The spectral exponent β in Equation (3) contains information regarding the degree of non-stationarity of the data. In the case $\beta \le 1$, the process is stationary; otherwise ($\beta > 1$), the process cannot be stationary. Daily rainfall datasets from the Ebro River Basin were examined to determine whether the spectral exponent was below a threshold of 1; if so, the evaluation of UM parameters can be carried out confidently. Otherwise ($\beta > 1$) the UM analysis should simply be carried out on the conservative part of the field obtained by fractionally integrating it [33].

2.4. UM Model

When there are no trends in the time series under study and the spectral exponents are below one, the multifractal behavior can be studied. Because the network of daily rainfall time series associated to Figure 1 is regular and extensive, the estimated values of the UM parameters will oscillate in a continuous way among neighboring geographical areas, offering a global realistic vision of the rainfall patterns within the Ebro River Basin, helping to posterior spatial analysis.

The UM model assumes that multifractals are generated from a random variable with an exponentiated extreme Levy distribution [18,24,26,32]. In UM analysis, the scaling exponent K(q) is highly relevant. K(q) relates the moment of order q of the field with the scale by the next expression $\langle \varepsilon_{\lambda} q \rangle \approx \lambda^{K(q)}$, where λ is the scale ratio, which is inversely proportional to the size of the measurement interval. The scaling exponent function K(q) for the moments q of a cascade conserved process is obtained according to [46] as follows:

$$K(q) = \begin{cases} \frac{C_1(q^{\alpha} - q)}{\alpha - 1} & \text{if } \alpha \neq 1\\ C_1 q \log(q) & \text{if } \alpha = 1 \end{cases}$$
(4)

where C_1 and α are aforementioned UM parameters. Then, these multifractal functions can be characterized using the following three parameters, where the last one is a combination of the two previous:

- 1) C_1 is the mean intermittency codimension, which estimates how concentrated the average of the measure is [45]. If the C_1 value is low (near 0), the field (daily rainfall process in the present work) is similar to the average almost everywhere. However, when C_1 is greater than 0.5, the field achieves very low values with respect to the average in most time series data, except in a few cases in which the measured value is much higher than the average value. This parameter can also be considered as an indicator of average fractality;
- 2) α is the Levy index and indicates the distance from a monofractality case [47]. The range of this parameter is [0, 2]. When the value of this parameter is two, a lognormal distribution case occurs; if $1 < \alpha < 2$, log Levy processes with unbounded singularities occur; if $\alpha = 1$, the log-Cauchy distribution occurs; if $0 < \alpha < 1$, the Levy process with bounded singularities occur; and when $\alpha = 0$, the monofractal process occurs, which indicates the grade of variance of the measure [48]. Higher Levy index values represent extreme measurements that are more frequent (extreme rainfall events in the present work);
- 3) γ_s is the maximum probable singularity that can be observed from a unique sample of data and can be obtained from the other two parameters [24]. In addition, γ_s is directly related to the ratio of the range to the mean of the field. Here, the notion of singularity relates to an index used to characterize the variation of statistical behavior of data values as the measuring scale changes. Its distribution is consistent with the distribution of the anomalies of rainfall that result in anomalous amounts of energy releases at a fine (spatial and temporal) scale [46].

To obtain these multifractality parameters, the Double Trace Method (DTM) was applied. This method also evaluates estimations of the standard deviations of these parameters. For further details see Lavallée *et al.* [32] and Tessier *et al.* [18]. This technique was applied separately for each one of the 132 daily precipitation series relative to the square areas with similar amplitudes over the two selected periods of 1931–1975 and 1965–2009 by using previously described methods [49].

2.5. Temporal Comparison Test of the UM Parameters

According to the aim of this study to evaluate the UM parameters evolution between the two periods, a comparison statistical test was applied to each of the three multifractal parameters in each geographical area. To determine if the estimated values of the UM parameters were significantly different between each temporal period, these differences and their variances were evaluated. Then, based on the 95th percentile of the normal distribution, the UM parameter was assumed to change when the absolute value of the difference was greater than:

$$\left| l_{i,j,2} - l_{i,j,1} \right| > 1.64 \sqrt{V\left(l_{i,j,2} - l_{i,j,1} \right)}$$
(5)

where $l_{i,j,s}$ represents the estimated value of the *j* UM parameter in the *i* area and during the *s* period and *V* corresponds to the variance function, which was approximated by adding the variances of the two estimated values under comparison (different estimations of the UM parameters are generated by varying *q* in the final regression of the DTM method, allowing to obtain an estimation of the variance of each UM parameter in each period and geographical area).

Then, after comparing the UM parameters in the two temporal periods, each geographical area for each UM parameter can be classified as follows: areas with a statistically significant increase in the parameter (+), areas with a statistically significant decrease in the parameter (–), and areas that have non-significant statistical differences in the parameter (0).

2.6. Spatial Comparison Test of the Distributions of UM Parameter Evolutions

In combination with the UM model, statistical analysis was applied based on the Cramer-Von Mises non-parametric statistical test to compare the distributions of the UM parameter evolutions—increase (+), decrease (–), and stability (0)—along the different gradients in the Ebro River Basin. This method creates a dummy normalized variable for each of these three trend types (+, –, 0) and for each UM parameter (C_1 , α , γ_s). Therefore, nine variables were obtained, where the associated variables for each of the three UM parameters can be represented as follows:

$$X_{i,+,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if square area i have + trend for parameter } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$X_{i,-,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if square area i have - trend for parameter } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$X_{i,0,j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if square area i do not have trend for parameter } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(6)$$

Then, the density functions for the associated variables for each one of the UM parameters were defined as:

$$f_{i,+,j} = \begin{cases} 1/n_{+,j} & \text{if square area i have + trend for parameter } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f_{i,-,j} = \begin{cases} 1/n_{-,j} & \text{if square area i have - trend for parameter } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$f_{i,0,j} = \begin{cases} 1/n_{0,j} & \text{if square area i do not have trend for parameter } j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$(7)$$

where *i* is a square geographical area, *j* is one of the UM parameters (C_1 , α or γ_s), $n_{+,j}$ is the number of square areas with a positive trend for parameter *j*, $n_{-,j}$ is the number of areas with a negative trend for parameter *j*, and $n_{0,j}$ is the number of areas without a trend for parameter *j*.

A generalization of the Cramer-Von Mises statistic was calculated as the squared difference between two cumulative distributions of f for opposite significant trends by adding values obtained from all sampling locations in the direction of the gradient [50–52]. Thus, this cumulative distribution was denoted as F as follows:

$$F_{+,j}(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i,+,j} \qquad F_{-,j}(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i,-,j} \qquad F_{0,j}(k) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} f_{i,0,j}$$

$$\psi(+versus -) = \sum_{k} \left(F_{+,j}(k) - F_{-,j}(k) \right)^{2}$$
(8)

where $\psi(+versus -)$ represents the generalized Cramer-Von Mises statistic when comparing increasing trends distributions with decreasing trends distributions. The other two statistics, $\psi(+versus 0)$ and $\psi(0versus -)$, were calculated using a similar process.

When constructing the empirical distribution functions of Equation (8), the square geographical areas were selected based on an increasing gradient. The gradients used in this study were longitude, latitude, distance to the main river basin axis, distance to the river mouth, mean rainfall, and altitude. The order of the geographical areas is inherent to the gradient used, except for the longitude and the latitude gradients, for which this order must be specified due to its bivariate dimension.

To construct the empirical distribution functions to determine if a significant west–east effect occurred (longitude gradient), the square geographical areas were ordered from west to east in two different ways, as shown in Figure 2a. To eliminate the south–north effect, the areas were sorted with one beginning at the southern corner of the basin and the other beginning at the northern corner. Simultaneously, the watershed was covered from west to east. Similarly, to study the south–north effect (latitude gradient), the same technique was used (Figure 2b). The statistic used to test whether a west-east effect occurred was $\psi_{W-E} = (\psi_1 + \psi_2)/2$, and the statistic used to test whether a south-north effect occurred was $\psi_{S-N} = (\psi_3 + \psi_4)/2$. In these expressions, Ψ_1 , Ψ_2 , Ψ_3 , and Ψ_4 represent the generalized Cramer-Von Mises statistics evaluated using different methods depending on the approach in which the square areas were sorted (see Figure 2a,b). Obviously, the rest of the gradients used in this work, which were univariates, did not require this type of average evaluation of the Ψ statistic. Figure 2c illustrates the case in which the gradient, considering as principal axis of variation the general course

of the river. Using this gradient, the geographical areas were shorted from the smallest to the largest projection on the main river basin axis.



Figure 2. The cumulative criterion used in the geographical characterization of universal multifractal (UM) parameter evolutions: (a) related to the spatial distribution of evolutions in an west–east gradient; (b) related to a south–north gradient; and (c) based on the geographical projection of the areas on the main river axis.

Finally, to determine the contrast significance level of the generalized Cramer-Von Mises statistic Ψ , the guidelines of Edgington [53] were followed. This method consists of simulating a larger number of random samples with the same number of positive trends, negative trends, and 0 values. For each sample, the value of the Ψ statistic was obtained. Then, the proportion of the random samples with values above the statistic obtained by the original data represents the *p*-value, which was determined according to the spatial gradient.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Annual Rainfall Trend Tests

The existence of trends in annual rainfall was examined for the 132 square areas of the region. The non-parametric Mann-Kendall test was applied fixing the significance level $\alpha = 0.05$. If the Mann-Kendall statistic τ (Equation (1)) is lower than $-Z_{0.025} = -1.96$ then the rainfall series is statistically significantly decreasing, and if the statistic τ is bigger than $Z_{0.025} = 1.96$ then the rainfall series did not show significant statistical trends, except in the case of the four series (areas), which showed a positive trend. Figure 3 shows a summary of these contrasts. Overall, it was concluded that no temporal trends exist in the Ebro River Basin, despite the four areas that exhibited significant trends in the northern watershed. Consequently, a stationary process was found and the spatial impact on trends in rainfall could not be determined in the watershed.



Figure 3. Annual rainfall trends results using the Mann-Kendall test for a significance level $\alpha = 0.05$, for each time series from 1931 to 2009.

3.2. Spectral Analysis

This analysis shows that only one area, corresponding to the first temporal period (1931–1975), exhibits a spectral exponent value greater than one for high frequencies. Figure 4 shows the evolution of this exponent for the 132 areas of the Ebro River Basin over the two periods. The exponents were computed for a scale lower than 32 days. The median and the minimum values of R^2 in the performed regressions were 0.967 and 0.713, respectively. The mean of the β spectral exponent in the first period was 0.700, with a standard deviation of 0.128, while the mean of β in the second period decreased until 0.611, with a standard deviation of 0.119. Based on these results, the proposed methodology was used to obtain a multifractal characterization of the watershed, and after this characterization, the rainfall patterns were modeled spatially. For the problematic area with $\beta > 1$ in the first period, the DTM model was applied for homogeneity with the rest of the series. Furthermore, focusing on the information provided by these spectral exponents, a higher number of exponents with low values in the second period can be observed in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Spectral slope (β) on the grid location and time period represented with different symbols, depending on their values. (**a**) First period; (**b**) Second period.

3.3. UM Model

The multifractal characterization of the Ebro River Basin is illustrated in Figure 5. This figure shows the values of the UM parameters (C_1 , α , γ_s) in the two temporal periods under study, 1931–1975 and 1965–2009, that were obtained using the DTM technique. For the first regression in DTM method, the scaling break was reached for a scale lower than 150 days. The median and the minimum of R^2 were 0.967 and 0.901, respectively. On the other hand, the scaling break for the second regression used to estimate α parameter was $0.1 < \mu < 2$ and $\mu q < 3.3$ (where μ and q are the exponents of the field in the algorithm of DTM method). For these regressions the median and the minimum of R^2 were 0.997 and 0.9232, respectively. Table 1 summarizes the descriptive statistics of the UM parameters throughout the watershed.



Figure 5. UM parameters (C_1 , α and γ_s) for each spatial localization during the first period (**left**) and second period (**right**).

6215

In Figure 5, the intermittency parameter C_1 is the lowest in the northwest and increases towards the southeast, which is opposite of the trend indicated by the Levy index α . With respect to the maximal probable singularity γ_s , an increase from northwest to southeast is detected, which corresponds with the pattern observed in the intermittency. Therefore, it can be concluded that the effect of C_1 dominates that of α in the Ebro River Basin because γ_s is graphically more strongly related to C_1 . The maps in Figure 5 show the spatial multifractal characterization of the watershed, which is symmetric with respect to the main river basin axis and increases in the direction of the river for the UM parameters C_1 and γ_s .

Variable	Minimum	Median	Maximum	Mean	Std. Dev.	Coeff. of Variation
C_1 1st period	0.192	0.314	0.434	0.314	0.058	18.590
C_1 2nd period	0.213	0.324	0.457	0.330	0.059	17.847
a 1st period	0.545	0.725	1.052	0.739	0.103	13.906
α 2nd period	0.523	0.706	0.917	0.705	0.088	12.495
γ_s 1st period	0.487	0.612	0.712	0.608	0.055	9.075
γ_s 2nd period	0.487	0.622	0.738	0.618	0.056	9.118

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of universal multifractal (UM) parameters in the Ebro River

 Basin for 1931–2009.

When comparing the two temporal periods, the results shown in Figure 5 clearly indicate an increase in the C_1 values, which suggests a higher frequency of dry periods at several time scales. This increase seems especially important in the northwest region of the basin and, eventually, in the areas with higher annual average rainfall (in the north area of the basin) or with more frequent draught events (in the southeast area of the basin). In general, γ_s shows a much more moderate increase. However, the α index exhibits opposite behavior and decreases over most of the watershed. These graphical findings were further contrasted by conducting rigorous statistical tests.

3.4. Temporal Evolution of the UM Parameters

To determine if the differences between the temporal periods, which are found in the DTM estimations of the UM parameters and illustrated in Figure 5, were statistically significant, the test described in Section 2.5 was conducted. The results of this temporal comparison test on UM parameters over the 132 geographical areas of the Ebro River Basin are summarized in Figure 6.

Figure 6 shows that only 4 areas presented a statistically significant negative evolution (–) in the intermittency C_1 , and that 73 areas exhibited a significant positive evolution (+). The 55 remaining areas did not show any significant statistically evolution (0) of intermittency. The evolution of the α index was the opposite; that is, the α index generally decreased. However, this decrease was observed in fewer areas (34 in concrete) and was less intense (higher significant *p*-values). Only nine areas showed increasing α index values, and most of the basin areas (89 out of 132) did not show any statistically significant temporal evolution. Although Figure 5 suggests a slight increment in the γ_s parameter in most areas of the Ebro River Basin, the temporal comparison statistically significant evolution because no single area of the watershed resulted in statistically significant evolution (increasing or decreasing).





3.5. Spatial Analysis of Rainfall Patterns

The variations of the C_1 and α UM parameters could result from the spatial distribution along the Ebro River Basin, with potential information about the rainfall patterns in the watershed. To discover such information, the generalized Cramer-Von Mises non-parametric statistical test was performed in the basin over six different gradients. The target was to look for spatial patterns that could explain the significant differences found in the evolution of both UM parameters on the watershed. The test was applied using three possible temporal evolutions of UM parameters for rainfall processes (increase (+), decrease (-), and not significant (0)) by comparing the spatial distributions of the possible evolutions two by two; *i.e.*, + *versus* -, + *versus* 0, and 0 *versus* -. The results of each comparison were considered statistically significant when their *p*-values were below 0.05.

Table 2 summarizes the results of the twelve generalized Cramer-Von Mises tests that were conducted in the longitude (west-east) and latitude (south-north) gradients by considering the order for the geographical areas illustrated in Figure 2a,b, respectively. The tests were carried out in order to check whether each pair of types of trends (increasing, decreasing, or not significant) is equally distributed along longitude and latitude gradients. This table includes the Cramer-Von Mises statistic (Ψ) and the *p*-value used to study the UM parameters (C_1 and α) using a cumulative criterion west–east (Ψ_{W-E}) and south–north (Ψ_{S-N}) gradients (see Section 2.6). A west–east component evolution was detected for the C_1 and α UM parameters. Considering, *i.e.*, the first row of the C_1 UM parameter and west-east gradient, Table 2 shows that areas with decreasing evolution (–) for the intermittency C_1 are distributed along the west-east axis in a different way compared with the areas with increasing evolution (+), at a p-value of 0.046 (<0.05); while considering *i.e.*, the third row of such UM parameter and gradient, the areas with decreasing evolution (-) for C_1 are distributed along the west-east axis in a different way compared with the areas with no significant evolution (0), at a *p*-value of 0.018 (<0.05). These results are consistent with the fact that the four areas with negative evolution are located at a very specific longitude in the midwest area of the watershed (see Figure 6) and in the Aragón River valley before reaching the Ebro River. When studying the spatial distribution of the α UM parameter, significant differences can be observed (as shown in Table 2) along the west-east axis between the areas with a negative evolution and the areas that did not show significant differences, which included most of the areas (*p*-value 0.033). Furthermore, the spatial cumulative distributions shown in Figure 7a graphically show that these significant differences are due to a larger number of areas with decreasing evolution in the west area of the watershed. Thus, it can be observed that among the 50 most western areas of the basin, more than 60 percent of areas with decreasing evolution were accumulated and only near 30 percent of the areas with no significant evolution were accumulated. In contrast, the south-north axis showed no significant differences in the distributions of the evolutions of the UM parameters. Figure 7b graphically illustrates the absence of significant differences along the south-north axis among the three spatial distributions for α .

Pairwise Comparison			<i>C</i> ₁		α				
	South-North		West–East		South-North		West–East		
	Ψ_{S-N}	<i>p</i> -value	Ψ_{W-E}	<i>p</i> -value	Ψ_{S-N}	<i>p</i> -value	Ψ_{W-E}	<i>p</i> -value	
+ versus –	3.261	0.667	14.997	0.046 *	2.155	0.606	0.895	0.930	
+ versus 0	1.410	0.170	1.097	0.182	1.541	0.677	2.666	0.399	
0 versus –	4.796	0.491	20.867	0.018 *	1.418	0.269	3.783	0.033 *	

Table 2. Generalized Cramer-Von Mises statistic (Ψ) and the *p*-values used to study the UM parameters (C_1 and α) using a cumulative criterion west–east (Ψ_{W-E}) and south–north (Ψ_{S-N}) gradient, as shown in Figure 2a,b.

Note: * Statistically significant temporal evolutions, for a significant level of 0.05.

The spatial localization of the UM parameters in Figure 5 suggested another gradient that could be appropriate for use when spatially analyzing rainfall patterns, the distance to the main river basin axis (the line in the north–west to south–east direction, joining the mouth and source of the river). To use this gradient, a projection of each geographical area on the axis was made, and these projections were sorted in increasing order. Figure 2c is helpful for understanding this procedure. Another gradient that

6218

also seemed adequate was the distance to the Ebro River mouth. Using this gradient, the geographical areas were sorted from the smallest to largest distance to the river mouth. Table 3 shows the results of the generalized Cramer-Von Mises tests that were conducted using these two gradients and the evolutions of the C_1 and α UM parameters. With respect to the intermittency C_1 , this table indicates that the distribution of the areas with significant decreasing evolution (–) differs from the distribution of the areas that do not exhibited significant evolution (0) when considering the distance to the main river basin axis (*p*-value 0.030) as its proximity to the river mouth (*p*-value 0.049). These results correspond with the fact that only four areas with significant decreasing evolution were detected in the watershed and that these areas were located far from the river mouth and next to the main river basin axis. However, significant differences in the distributions of the areas regarding the evolutions of the α Levy index were not detected for either of these gradients.



Figure 7. Spatial cumulative distributions of statistically significant evolutions for the α UM parameter considering different gradients: (a) longitude gradient; (b) latitude gradient; and (c) altitude gradient.

Table 3. Generalized Cramer-Von Mises statistic (Ψ) and the *p*-values used to study the UM parameters (C_1 and α) using a cumulative criterion based on the progress of the main river basin (Ψ_P) and the distance to the main river mouth (Ψ_M) gradient, as shown in Figure 2c.

C_1				α				
Projection		Mouth		Projection		Mouth		
Ψ_P	<i>p</i> -value	Ψ_M	<i>p</i> -value	Ψ_P	<i>p</i> -value	Ψ_M	<i>p</i> -value	
11.349	0.111	10.428	0.139	9.299	0.062	1.328	0.828	
1.6248	0.077	1.566	0.089	5.533	0.124	3.389	0.292	
18.454	0.030 *	15.893	0.049 *	1.296	0.305	2.997	0.061	
	Proj Ψ _P 11.349 1.6248 18.454	Ψρ p-value 11.349 0.111 1.6248 0.077 18.454 0.030 *	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline C_1 \\ \hline $Projection$ & Me \\ \hline Ψ_P & p-value$ & Ψ_M \\ \hline 11.349 & 0.111 & 10.428 \\ \hline 1.6248 & 0.077 & 1.566 \\ \hline 18.454 & $0.030*$ & 15.893 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline C_1 \\ \hline $Projection$ & $Mouth$ \\ \hline Ψ_P & p-value$ & Ψ_M & p-value$ \\ \hline 11.349 & 0.111 & 10.428 & 0.139 \\ \hline 1.6248 & 0.077 & 1.566 & 0.089 \\ \hline 18.454 & $0.030*$ & 15.893 & $0.049*$ \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline C_1 \\ \hline $Projection$ & $Mouth$ & $Proj$ \\ \hline Ψ_P & p-value$ & Ψ_M & p-value$ & Ψ_P \\ \hline 11.349 & 0.111 & 10.428 & 0.139 & 9.299 \\ 1.6248 & 0.077 & 1.566 & 0.089 & 5.533 \\ 18.454 & $0.030*$ & 15.893 & $0.049*$ & 1.296 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline C_1 \\ \hline $Projection$ & $Mouth$ & $Projection$ \\ \hline Ψ_P & p-value$ & Ψ_M & p-value$ & Ψ_P & p-value$ \\ \hline 11.349 & 0.111 & 10.428 & 0.139 & 9.299 & 0.062 \\ \hline 1.6248 & 0.077 & 1.566 & 0.089 & 5.533 & 0.124 \\ \hline 18.454 & 0.030 * & 15.893 & 0.049 * & 1.296 & 0.305 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	

Note: * Statistically significant temporal evolutions, for a significant level of 0.05.

Finally, research has focused in on determining whether the mean rainfall or the altitude in the area have influenced the evolution of the UM parameters in the Ebro River Basin. Thus, the generalized Cramer-Von Mises non-parametric test was applied again, which orders the data according to the gradients of these variables. The results are summarized in Table 4. With respect to the parameter α , this table indicates that the distribution of the geographic areas with significant increasing evolution (+) is significantly different from the distribution of the areas that do not exhibited significant evolution (0) for the altitude of the areas (*p*-value 0.019). Furthermore, most of the geographical areas with increasing evolution (the 75 percent) were located below 400 meters, as shown in Figure 7c. On the other hand, significant differences in the distributions of the areas over the evolutions of intermittency C_1 were not detected in either of the gradients.

Table 4. Generalized Cramer-Von Mises statistic (Ψ) and the *p*-values used to study the UM parameters (C_1 and α) using a cumulative criterion based on rainfall average (Ψ_R) and the altitude (Ψ_A) gradient of the river basin.

Pairwise Comparison		(21		α				
	Mean Rainfall		Altitude		Mean Rainfall		Altitude		
	Ψ_R	<i>p</i> -value	Ψ_A	<i>p</i> -value	Ψ_R	<i>p</i> -value	Ψ_A	<i>p</i> -value	
+ versus –	10.633	0.130	13.440	0.075	3.996	0.302	7.700	0.102	
+ versus 0	0.235	0.846	0.629	0.392	3.791	0.234	10.982	0.019 *	
0 versus –	8.529	0.201	10.503	0.142	1.976	0.155	0.540	0.723	

Note: * Statistically significant temporal evolutions, for a significant level of 0.05.

3.6. Discussion

In this work a spatial study of the rainfall process has been carried out after multifractal analysis of the daily temporal series. Other authors have conducted a multifractal integrated spatial-temporal study, in order to reduce the loss of information resulting in not considering in detail the spatial heterogeneity. These include the work done by Pathirana *et al.* [54] who, instead of directly modeling rainfall by multifractal analysis for a small time scale—days—they modeled it after obtaining the product of filtering instantaneous rainfall with the own spatial heterogeneity based on the accumulation of mean monthly rainfall. Due to nature of data used in the research—daily rainfall in small geographic areas—it has been preferred to analyze the temporal behavior of each series through the UM model and then statistically analyze their spatial behavior. Therefore, comparison of these two approaches in the multifractal framework remains open to test whether greater efficiency in the results to be obtained by the method of Pathirana *et al.* could offset the increased complexity of such an approach.

Variations in the mean annual rainfall within watersheds constitute an important issue and must be considered for water resources management [55]. In this study, the results indicated that, in general, the mean annual rainfall in the Ebro River Basin did not significantly change during the period of 1931 to 2009 (Figure 3). However, previous studies of the Ebro River Basin [16] suggest a decrease in the mean annual rainfall, potentially because the time period considered by the authors (1951–2000) is shorter and does not include the 1940's, which were extremely dry. A similar situation occurs when comparing the results from the northeastern Iberian Peninsula obtained by López-Moreno *et al.* [56], who investigated the period of 1955 to 2006. Furthermore, the data in this study are completely homogeneous in space and therefore more representative of the mean rainfall by geographic area than the aforementioned studies.

Regarding the results from the spectrum analysis (Figure 4), fewer spectral exponents β with values between 0.8 and 1 and a large number of exponents with values lower than 0.5, were observed in the second period. This result implies that the rainfall process evolved into a smaller number of convective rainfall events during the last half of the century [24].

Several previous studies have been conducted over different regions on rainfall processes based on multifractality. Among the studies conducted nearest the Ebro River Basin, the work of Labat *et al.* [28] focuses on small watersheds in the French Pyrenees. There is a good correspondence between the mean values of C_1 and α UM parameters obtained by these authors ($C_1 = 0.25$, $\alpha = 0.89$) and the values of C_1 and α found in the Pyrenees region of the Ebro River Basin in this study (most C_1 values are less than 0.27 and most α values are between 0.79 and 1.05; Figure 5 and Table 1). Douglas *et al.* [57] found mean values for C_1 and α of 0.48 and 0.5, respectively, in a wide region in the Midwestern and Southeastern United States by using 327 daily time-series. These differences, with respect to the resultant values in the Ebro River Basin, occurred because the region studied by these authors includes a greater number of arid areas. This result is also supported by the study of De Lima *et al.* [58], who studied a semi-arid region of Portugal and obtained values of $C_1 = 0.51$ and $\alpha = 0.49$, which were very similar to those of Douglas *et al.* [57]. Due to the results of the aforementioned references, it appears that the rainfall pattern in the Pyrenees region of the Ebro River Basin will be more similar to other semi-arid regions around the world if the value of C_1 gradually increases and the value of α decreases.

Previously, one study has been conducted on the precipitation patterns of the Ebro River Basin by using multifractality, the study of Valencia et al. [49]. The data used for this previous study were directly obtained from a group of irregular rainfall stations scattered across the territory over the period of 1957 to 2002. By contrast, in the present study the data do not refer to specific points, but are weighted averages of data collected by the stations and represent the rainfall that occurred in small square areas across the watershed from 1931 to 2009. Thus, the series used in this study better reflects and provides more detail regarding the amount of rain that occurred in the Ebro River Basin at the expense of slightly altering some extreme values obtained by torrential storms acting over a very small area. In this sense, an improvement is achieved in the present study because the entire basin is present in the same proportion and relative evaluations can be made from the spatial point of view, *i.e.*, by observing if there are special areas with higher or lower UM parameter values. In fact, this work involves the first research concerning rainfall data across the entire Ebro River Basin from a homogeneous geographic point of view and based on multifractality. Therefore, it is difficult to compare the absolute results obtained by these two studies. However, although Valencia et al. [49] observed that the value of α increased at some stations and the intermittency C₁ increased at more than half of the stations (reaching values above those obtained in the present study), the parameter γ_s showed a general slightly increasing pattern at 12 of the 14 stations with values that were very similar to the values of the square geographical areas containing the analyzed stations. Thus, it seems that the γ_s parameter best reflects the evolution of the multifractal properties of rainfall distribution. However, this issue should be tested in further studies that consider different length in the time series.

The findings of this study indicate that the γ_s parameter reaches the higher values in the southeast of the Ebro River Basin (Figure 5) because this is the region of the watershed where major differences between the maximum values and the average values in rainfall events exist. Thus, the southeast region of the watershed has the greatest expected multifractality. This result indicates that the parameter γ_s could be used as an indicator for detecting climate change from a multifractal perspective [24,49].

Regarding the temporal evolution of the multifractal parameter γ_s , a slight increase in γ_s was found due to the temporal evolution of the C_1 and α parameters and by considering the higher increase in C_1 with respect to the decrease in α ; however, this increase in γ_s was not statistically significant (Figure 6). That is, the hypotheses suggested graphically in Figure 5 regarding γ_s were not fully confirmed. Therefore, this result only provides a few small hints regarding the existence of climate change. On the other hand, the temporal evolution observed for the three UM parameters is in line with the results obtained by Royer *et al.* [24] on simulated data under a climate change scenario in France.

Once it was determined that significant increases in C_1 occurred in nearly 2/3 of the areas in which the Ebro River Basin was divided and a significant decrease in α was shown in 1/3 of the areas, we attempted to explain why these changes occurred in specific areas rather than throughout the watershed. That is, the research focused on determining which gradients (factors) were more sensitive to substantial changes in the UM parameters. Using the available data, spatial patterns, which can explain such differences, were searched for based on six gradients. From the results (Tables 2-4, and Figure 6), it was concluded that the evolution of C_1 is generally not influenced by any of the studied spatial factors because no spatial patterns were detected; in addition, the not significance in some areas may be explained by the typical deviations relatively large of the UM parameter estimations. The value of C_1 only decreased in a very small region located in the midwest region of the Ebro River Basin, with some specific characteristics that have not yet been discovered. To explain this unusual fact, the microclimatic factors affecting this particular region, which is located in a depression of the Aragon River valley, should be controlled. In summary, regarding the C_1 parameter, it is concluded that a generalized increase distributed throughout the watershed occurred that suggests an increase in the concentration of rainfall in the last decades over a smaller number of days in the Ebro River Basin [59]. On the other hand, regarding the α parameter, it was found evidence that the more easterly areas and the areas with more altitude appeared more resistant to significant changes (Tables 2 and 4, Figures 6 and 7a,c). Therefore, it is preferably in these areas in where it has remained so much the variability of rainfall at daily scale as the number of days with rainfall, because α is highly sensitive to this number and increases and decreases with such a number [59].

At this point, it is important to note that after the study of the UM parameters, and based on meteorological variables used in this research, it has been possible to predict the consequences of the UM parameters evolution on the rainfall pattern of the Ebro River Basin in the future. Such a prediction has been possible also taking into consideration the results in other regions of the Earth. Knowing the causes that have led to those changes in rainfall patterns, although exceeding the scope of this research, would also be of great interest. The causes of such changes observed in the Ebro River Basin may be multiple, because the precipitation process is very complex and there are many interrelated variables. In this sense, it is interesting to note that since the late 1970s the teleconnection pattern index NAO (North Atlantic Oscillation)-which measures the variation in the pressure difference between the Azores and Iceland—experienced a clear growth generally reaching positive values after that date, whereas in the preceding decades it usually took negative values. Several studies show that this index is negatively correlated with the intensity of the rainfall collected in the Ebro River Basin [17,60]. In addition, this relationship has been found using the mean monthly rainfall within the watershed with the data of the present study. This means that the growth in the NAO index, particularly intense in the second period of this analysis, increased droughts in the watershed. The result suggests that changes in pressure differences between the Azores and Iceland might be responsible for the observed changes in rainfall patterns within the Ebro River Basin.

The methodology offered in this work may be altered in some aspects according to the database available. In this sense, Gires *et al.* [61] have recently proposed a new method for estimating the UM parameters, particularly suitable for data series with very high resolution (*i.e.*, hours in rainfall process), as an alternative to the DTM method. On the other hand, the advantages of using multifractal techniques in the study of rainfall processes at different scales have already been established in previous studies [18,25–27,29,46]. Combining non-parametric statistical techniques—the generalized Cramer-Von Mises tests—with multifractal techniques allows to determine additionally which factors (gradients) are the most influential on the variability of the multifractal parameters, and consequently, on the distribution of rainfall patterns for different time scales. Therefore, the proposed combined methodology allows determining the sensitivity of the significant statistically factors that characterize the study area in response to the effects of climate change in the rainfall process. The application of this methodological approach will be helpful for a more efficient management of hydrological resources in the watershed under study.

The next step in future research regarding the proposed methodology will be conducted by extending the temporal evolution to several periods throughout a time window; then, a sequence of UM parameters will be obtained for each one of the geographical areas that can be used to detect spatial rainfall patterns in a time continuous way. Furthermore, understanding of the rainfall process over the Ebro River Basin could be improved by using a seasonal scale in the methodology.

4. Conclusions

This study investigated the spatial rainfall patterns in the Ebro River Basin by using a method that combines the application of multifractal analysis— C_1 , α , and γ_s UM parameters—and non-parametric statistical techniques, such as the generalized Cramer-Von Mises test. This methodological approach allows for the reproduction of the scale invariance and intermittency of rainfall processes and allows spatial distribution comparisons to determine the sensitivity of statistically significant factors that hydrologically characterize the watershed in response to the effects of climate change in the rainfall process. To apply this method experimentally, a daily rainfall dataset of 132 time series dating from 1931 to 2009 that was subdivided (1931–1975 and 1965–2009), spatially and homogeneously distributed across a grid of 25 km × 25 km over the entire watershed, was used. The following main conclusions can be extracted from the analytical results:

- 1) Most of the geographical areas in the Ebro River Basin exhibited a slight decrease in the mean annual rainfall over the period 1931–2009, although such a decrease is not statistically significant;
- 2) The watershed shows a spatial characterization of the C_1 and γ_s UM parameters that is symmetric with respect to the main river basin axis and increases in the river direction;
- 3) The evolution of the intermittency C_1 over the last decades has preferably and homogeneously increased in the watershed, with a higher concentration of rainfall occurring over fewer days;
- In several areas, especially those located in the western area of the watershed, the α index has decreased in recent decades; accordingly, dry periods and rainfall process variability have increased in this zone;
- 5) The γ_s UM parameter gradually, smoothly, and homogeneously increased in the watershed, although this temporal increase is not statistically significant. Since γ_s reacts positively to

climate change effects in cases of increasing aridity, this result suggests that the effects of climate change have already begun to be perceived in the rain collected by the Ebro River Basin, although they are still very moderate.

The experimental results indicate that such a combined approach constitutes a modeling and analyzing method for rainfall patterns that is of practical value for managing hydrological resources in the Ebro River Basin. This method may be extended to other watersheds and their characteristic gradients to help predict changes in rainfall patterns and could be useful for making decisions regarding hydrological policies.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank the reviewers for the time and effort dedicate to improve this work and also wish to thank the contribution of the Spanish Meteorological Agency (AEMET) in this research for sharing the analyzed rainfall data. In addition, funding provided by CEIGRAM (Research Centre for the Management of Agricultural and Environmental Risks) and the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness through Project number CGL2014-58322-R, Project number MTM2013-46374-P and Project number CICYT PCIN-2014-080 is greatly appreciated.

Author Contributions

Each one of the authors contributed to this work, including the related research and writing. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Walther, G.R.; Post, E.; Convey, P.; Menzel, A. Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature* **2002**, *416*, 389–395.
- Batalla, R.J.; Gómez, C.M.; Kondolf, G.M. Reservoir induced hydrological changes in the Ebro River Basin (NE Spain). J. Hydrol. 2004, 290, 117–136.
- Räisänen, J.; Hansson, U.; Ullerstig, A.; Döscher, R.; Graham, L.P.; Jones, C.; Meier, H.E.; Samuelsson, P.; Willén, U. European climate in the late twenty-first century: Regional simulations with two driving global models and two forcing scenarios. *Clim. Dyn.* 2004, *22*, 13–31.
- 4. Golodets, C.; Sternberg, M.; Kigel, J.; Boeken, B.; Henkin, Z.; Seligman, N.G.; Ungar, E.D. From desert to Mediterranean rangelands: Will increasing drought and inter-annual rainfall variability affect herbaceous annual primary productivity? *Clim. Chang.* **2013**, *119*, 785–798.
- 5. Norrant-Romand, C.; Douguédroit, A. Significant rainfall decreases and variations of the atmospheric circulation in the Mediterranean (1950–2000). *Reg. Environ. Chang.* **2014**, *14*, 1725–1741.
- 6. Groisman, P.Y.; Knight, R.W.; Karl, T.R. Heavy precipitation and high stremflow in the contiguous United States: Trends in 20th century. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 2001, *82*, 219–246.

- 7. Gonzalez-Hidalgo, J.C.; Peña-Monné, J.L.; de Luis, M.A. Review of daily soil erosion in Western Mediterranean areas. *Catena* **2007**, *71*, 193–199.
- 8. O'Neal, M.R.; Nearing, M.A.; Vining, R.C.; Southworth, J.; Pfeifer, R.A. Climate change impacts on soil erosion in Midwest United States with changes in crop management. *Catena* **2005**, *61*, 165–184.
- 9. Killham, K. Integrated soil management-moving towards globally sustainable agriculture. *J. Agric. Sci.* **2010**, *149*, 29–36.
- Bryan, E.; Ringler, C.; Okoba, B.; Koo, J.; Herrero, M.; Silvestri, S. Agricultural Management for Climate Change Adaptation, Greenhouse Gas Mitigation, and Agricultural Productivity: Insights from Kenya; Discussion Paper 01098; International Food Police Research Institute (IFPRI): Washington, DC, USA, 2011.
- Tarquis, A.M.; Gobin, A.; Semenov, M. Preface: Agriculture in a changing climate. *Clim. Res.* 2010, 44, 1–2.
- 12. López-Moreno, J.I.; Morán-Tejeda, E.; Vicente Serrano, S.M.; Lorenzo-Lacruz, J.; García-Ruiz, J.M. Impact of climate evolution and land use changes on water yield in the Ebro basin. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **2011**, *15*, 311–322.
- Gonzalez-Hidalgo, J.C.; Brunetti, M.; de Luis, M. A new tool for monthly precipitation analysis in Spain: MOPREDAS database (monthly precipitation trends December 1945–November 2005). *Int. J. Climatol.* 2011, *31*, 715–731.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2007.
- 15. De Luis, M.; González-Hidalgo, J.C.; Brunetti, M.; Longares, L.A. Precipitation concentration changes in Spain 1946–2005. *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.* 2011, *11*, 1259–1265.
- 16. De Luis, M.; González-Hidalgo, J.C.; Longares, L.A.; Stepanek, P. Seasonal precipitation trends in the Mediterranean Iberian Peninsula in second half of 20th century. *Int. J. Climatol.* **2009**, *29*, 1312–1323.
- 17. Valencia, J.L.; Saá-Requejo, A.; Gascó, J.M.; Tarquis, A.M. Change of extreme rainfall indexes at Ebro River Basin. *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.* **2012**, *12*, 2127–2137.
- 18. Tessier, Y.; Schertzer, D.; Lovejoy, S. Universal Multifractal theory and observation for rain and clouds. *J. Appl. Meteorol.* **1993**, *32*, 223–250.
- Hernandez-Martinez, E.; Perez-Muñoz, T.; Velasco-Hernandez, J.X.; Altamira-Areyan, A.; Velasquillo-Martinez, L. Facies recognition using multifractal Hurst analysis: Applications to well-log data. *Math. Geosci.* 2013, 45, 471–486.
- Burgueño, A.; Lana, X.; Serra, C.; Martinez, M.D. Daily extreme temperature multifractals in Catalonia (NE Spain). *Phys. Lett. A* 2014, 378, 874–885.
- 21. Suarez-García, P.; Gomez-Ullate, D. Multifractality and long memory of a financial index. *Phys. A* **2014**, *394*, 226–234.
- 22. Li, E.; Mu, X.; Zhao, G.; Gao, P. Multifractal detrended fluctuation analysis of streamflow in the Yellow River Basin, China. *Water* **2015**, *7*, 1670–1686.

- 23. Xu, G.; Xu, X.; Liu, M.; Sun, A.Y.; Wang, K. Spatial downscaling of TRMM precipitation product using a combined multifractal and regression approach: Demonstration for South China. *Water* **2015**, *7*, 3083–3102.
- 24. Royer, J.F.; Biaou, A.; Chauvin, F.; Schertzer, D.; Lovejoy, S. Multifractal analysis of evolution of simulated precipitation over France in a climate scenario. *Comptes Rendus Geosci.* **2008**, *340*, 431–440.
- 25. Lovejoy, S.; Schertzer, D. *The Weather and Climate: Emergent Laws and Multifractal Cascades*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2013.
- 26. Lovejoy, S.; Schertzer, D. Multifractals and rain. In *New Uncertainty Concepts in Hydrology and Hydrological Modelling*; Kundzewicz, A.W., Ed.; Cambridge Press: Cambridge, UK, 1995; pp. 62–103.
- Schertzer, D.; Lovejoy, S. From scalar cascades to Lie cascades: Joint multifractal analysis of rain and cloud processes. In *Space/Time Variability and Interdependence for Various Hydrological Processes*; Feddes, R.A., Ed.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1995; pp. 153–173.
- Labat, D.; Mangin, A.; Ababou, R. Rainfall-runoff relations for karstic springs: Multifractal analyses. J. Hydrol. 2002, 256, 176–195.
- Kantelhardt, J.W.; Rybskia, D.; Zschiegnerb, S.A.; Braunc, P.; Koscielny-Bundea, E.; Livinae, V.; Havline, S.; Bundea, A. Multifractality of river runoff and precipitation: Comparison of fluctuation analysis and wavelet methods. *Phys. A* 2003, *330*, 240–245.
- García-Marín, A.P.; Jimenez-Hornero, F.J.; Ayuso, J.L. Applying multifractality and the self-organized criticality theory to describe the temporal rainfall regimes in Andalusia (southern Spain). *Hydrol. Process.* 2008, 22, 295–308.
- Gires, A.; Onof, C.; Maksimovic, C.; Schertzer, D.; Tchiguirinskaia, I.; Simoes, N. Quantifying the impact of small scale unmeasured rainfall variability on urban runoff through multifractal downscaling: A case study. *J. Hydrol.* 2012, 442–443, 117–128.
- Lavallée, D.; Schertzer, D.; Lovejoy, S. On the determination of the codimension function. In *Non-Linear Variability in Geophysics*; Schertzer, D., Lovejoy, S., Eds.; Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1991; pp. 99–109.
- Lavallée, D.; Lovejoy, S.; Schertzer, D.; Schmitt, F. On the determination of universal multifractal parameters in turbulence. In *Topological Aspects of the Dynamics of Fluids and Plasmas*; Moffat, K., Zalslavsky, G.M., Comte, P., Tabor, M., Eds.; Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1992; pp. 463–478.
- 34. Valencia, J.L. Estudio Estadístico de la Calidad de las Aguas de la Cuenca Hidrográfica del Río Ebro. Ph.D. Thesis, Polytechnic University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain, March 2007. (In Spanish)
- Sotillo, M.G.; Martín, M.L.; Valero, E.F.; Luna, M.Y. Validation of a homogeneous 41-year (1961–2001) winter precipitation hind casted dataset over the Iberian Peninsula: Assessment of the regional improvement of global reanalysis. *Clim. Dyn.* 2006, 27, 627–645.
- Luna, M.Y.; Almarza, C. Interpolation of 1961–2002 daily climatic data in Spain. In Proceedings of the Conference on Spatial Interpolation in Climatology and Meteorology, Budapest, Hungary, 25–29 October 2004; Szalai, S., Bihari, Z., Szentimrey, T., Lakatos, M., Eds.; Office for Official Publications of the European Communities: Luxembourg, 2007; pp. 189–196.

- Luna, M.Y.; Morata, A.; Martín, M.L.; Santos-Muñoz, D.; de la Cruz, J. Validación de la base de datos reticular de la AEMet. Temperatura diaria máxima y mínima. In *Cambio Climático General y Sus Impactos*; Sigró, J., Brunet, M., Aguilar, E., Eds.; Asociación Española de Climatología (AEC): Tarragona, Spain, 2008; Volume 6, pp. 173–182.
- Valero, F.; Martín, M.L.; Sotillo, M.G.; Morata, A.; Luna, M.Y. Characterization of the Autumn Iberian precipitation from long-term datasets: Comparison between observed and hindcasted data. *Int. J. Climatol.* 2009, *29*, 527–541.
- Almarza, C.; Luna, M.Y. Homogeneidad y variabilidad de la precipitación y la temperatura en zonas climáticamente homogéneas de la Península Ibérica. In Proceedings of the XXIX Jornadas Científicas AME, Pamplona, Spain, 22–24 April 2006.
- 40. Kendall, M.G. *Rank Correlation Methods*, 5th ed.; Charles Griffin Book Series; Oxford University Press: London, UK, 1990.
- 41. De Lima, M.I.P.; de Lima, J.L.; Coelho, M.F. Spectral analysis of scale invariance in the temporal structure of precipitation in Mainland Portugal. *Eng. Civil* **2003**, *16*, 73–82.
- 42. Shumway, R.H.; Stoffer, D.S. *Time Series Analysis and Its Applications with R Examples*, 2nd ed.; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2006.
- 43. Bendat, J.S.; Piersol, A.G. *Engineering Applications of Correlation and Spectral Analysis*; Wiley-Interscience: New York, NY, USA, 1980.
- 44. Press, W.H.; Flannery, B.P.; Teukolsky, S.A.; Vetterling, W.T. *Numerical Recipes*, 3rd ed.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2007.
- 45. Davis, A.; Marshak, A.; Wiscombe, W.; Cahalan, R. Multifractal characterizations of nonstationarity and intermittency in geophysical fields: Observed, retrieved, or simulated. *J. Geophys. Res.* **1994**, *99*, 8055–8072.
- 46. Schertzer, D.; Lovejoy, S. Physical modeling and analysis of rain and clouds by anisotropic scaling multiplicative processes. *J. Geophys. Res.* **1987**, *92*, 9693–9714.
- 47. Schertzer, D.; Lovejoy, S.; Schmitt, F.; Chigirinskaya, Y.; Marsan, D. Multifractal cascade dynamics and turbulent intermittency. *Fractals* **1997**, *5*, 427–471.
- 48. Mandelbrot, B. Intermittent turbulence in self-similar cascades: Divergence of high moments and dimension of the carrier. *J. Fluid Mech.* **1974**, *62*, 331–350.
- 49. Valencia, J.L.; Saá-Requejo, A.; Gascó, J.M.; Tarquis, A.M. Universal Multifractal description applied to precipitation pattern in the Ebro River Basin. *Clim. Res.* **2010**, *44*, 17–25.
- 50. Syrjala, S.E. A statistical test for a difference between the spatial distributions of two populations. *Ecology* **1996**, *77*, 75–80.
- 51. De Luis, M.; Raventos, J.; Gonzalez-Hidalgo, J.C.; Sanchez, J.R.; Cortina, J. Spatial analysis of rainfall trends in the region of Valencia (East Spain). *Int. J. Climatol.* **2000**, *20*, 1451–1469.
- 52. Conover, W.J. Practical Nonparametric Statistics, 2nd ed.; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1980.
- 53. Edgington, E.S. Randomization Test, 2nd ed.; Marcel Dekker: New York, NY, USA, 1980.
- 54. Pathirana, A.; Herath, S. Multifractal modelling and simulation of rain fields exhibiting spatial heterogeneity. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* **2002**, *6*, 695–708.
- 55. Gunkel, A.; Lange, J. New insights into the natural variability of water resources in the Lower Jordan River Basin. *Water Resour. Manag.* **2012**, *26*, 963–980.

- López-Moreno, J.L.; Vicente-Serrano, S.M.; Beguería, S.; El Kenawy, A.M.; Angulo, M. Trends in daily precipitation on the north-eastern Iberian Peninsula, 1955–2006. *Int. J. Climatol.* 2010, 30, 1026–1041.
- 57. Douglas, E.M.; Barros, A.P. Probable maximum precipitation estimation using multifractals: Application in the eastern United States. *J. Hydrometeorol.* **2003**, *4*, 1012–1024.
- 58. De Lima, M.I.P.; Grasman, J. Multifractal analysis of 15-min and daily rainfall from a semi-arid region in Portugal. *J. Hydrol.* **1999**, *220*, 1–11.
- 59. Portilla, F. Significado Empírico de la Multifractalidad en la Precipitación. Ph.D. Thesis, Polytechnic University of Madrid, Madrid, Spain, June 2012. (In Spanish)
- 60. Lopez-Bustins, J.-A.; Martin-Vide, J.; Sanchez-Lorenzo, A. Iberia winter rainfall trends based upon changes in teleconnection and circulation patterns. *Glob. Planet. Chang.* **2008**, *63*, 171–176.
- 61. Gires, A.; Tchiguirinskaia, I.; Schertzer, D.; Lovejoy, S. Influence of the zero-rainfall on the assessment of the multifractal parameters. *Adv. Water Resour.* **2012**, *45*, 13–25.

© 2015 by the authors; licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).