



Article Analysis of Air Pollutants and Their Potential Sources in Eastern Xinjiang, Northwestern Inland China, from 2018 to 2022

Yuanyuan Zhang ¹, Hui Xu ^{1,*}, Yunhui Zhang ², Jie Luo ³, Fuyao Chen ¹, Bo Cao ¹ and Mingjie Xie ^{4,*}

- ¹ Jiangsu Mineral Resources and Geological Designand Research Institute, China National Administration of Coal Geology, Xuzhou 221006, China; 18622098549@163.com (Y.Z.)
- ² Xinjiang Meteorological Observatory, Urumqi 830000, China
- ³ Coal & CBM Test Institute of Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, Urumqi 830000, China
- ⁴ Collaborative Innovation Center of Atmospheric Environment and Equipment Technology, Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Environment Monitoring and Pollution Control, School of Environmental Science and Engineering, Nanjing University of Information Science & Technology, 219 Ningliu Road, Nanjing 210044, China
- * Correspondence: 15862189579@163.com (H.X.); mingjie.xie@nuist.edu.cn (M.X.)

Abstract: Air pollution in the developed regions of eastern China has been intensively investigated in the past decade. However, there is a relative dearth of air pollution studies on the northwest of inland China (e.g., Xinjiang). In this work, hourly measurement data of six criteria air pollutants (PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, CO, NO₂, O₃, and SO₂) for the past five years (2018–2022) from Hami and Turpan cities of eastern Xinjiang were analyzed to reveal air pollution characteristics and the distribution of potential sources. Hami and Turpan had the highest AQI values in winter due to increased coal combustion for domestic heating and unfavorable meteorological conditions. The slight elevations of AQI values in spring were caused by frequent dust storms. PM_{10} was the most frequent main pollutant in both Hami (63.1%) and Turpan (74.1%), followed by PM_{2.5} and O₃. Except for O₃, PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, NO₂, and CO exhibited a generally decreasing pattern in annual average values. But the annual average concentrations of PM_{10} in Hami (83.5 $\mu g \cdot m^{-3}$) and Turpan (139 $\mu g \cdot m^{-3}$) in 2022 were still higher than those in eastern China. Diurnal and monthly variations of the six criteria pollutants were influenced by a combination of emission sources and meteorological conditions. The air masses in eastern Xinjiang mainly originated from the west and north and were affected by both inter-regional and intra-regional transport. Analysis of the distribution of potential sources showed that local emissions strongly impacted particulate matter pollution in winter, while regional transport played a dominant role in other seasons. O₃ showed a broad distribution of potential sources across all four seasons. Considering that the trend that O_3 pollution increased year by year, eastern Xinjiang might face a similar pollution situation as eastern China, i.e., the combined pollution of particulate matter and O₃.

Keywords: eastern Xinjiang; criteria pollutant; hourly measurement; temporal variation; potential source contribution

1. Introduction

Due to rapid urbanization and industrialization in China, air pollution has become an urgent problem affecting public health and climate [1–3]. It ranks fourth among widespread health risks in China, following nutritional risks, hypertension, and smoking [4]. Based on the criteria set forth by the World Health Organization (WHO), safe air quality standards are met in only 1.00% of China's major cities [5]. After the implementation of the Air Pollution Prevention and Control Action Plan (APPCAP) [6–8], the average concentrations of PM_{10} and SO_2 in 338 Chinese cities decreased by 17.6% and 47.7% from 2013 to 2017 [9]. Owing to industrial transformation and upgrading, energy structure reform, and major emission reduction projects, the annual average $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in well-developed



Citation: Zhang, Y.; Xu, H.; Zhang, Y.; Luo, J.; Chen, F.; Cao, B.; Xie, M. Analysis of Air Pollutants and Their Potential Sources in Eastern Xinjiang, Northwestern Inland China, from 2018 to 2022. *Atmosphere* **2023**, *14*, 1670. https://doi.org/10.3390/ atmos14111670

Received: 23 October 2023 Revised: 6 November 2023 Accepted: 8 November 2023 Published: 10 November 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). regions of China such as Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei (BTH, 39.6%), the Yangtze River Delta (YRD, 34.3%), and the Pearl River Delta (PRD, 27.7%) decreased substantially during 2013–2017 (https://www.cma.gov.cn, accessed on 11 October 2023.) [9,10]. After that, the execution of a follow-up plan, the "Three-year Action Plan to Win the Blue Sky Defense War" (2018–2020), has led to a decrease in monthly average concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} in 354 cities across China by about 14.5 µg·m⁻³ and 23.4 µg·m⁻³, respectively [11].

Air pollution in the northwest, especially in the Xinjiang Uyghur Autonomous Region (hereafter referred to as Xinjiang), has not yet been effectively alleviated, possibly due to the increase in coal consumption, unique terrain, unfavorable meteorological conditions, and regional transport. In 2016–2017, the annual average concentrations of PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, and NO₂ in provincial capitals in northwest China (e.g., Lanzhou, 61.2 μ g·m⁻³, 147 μ g·m⁻³, and 58.7 μ g·m⁻³; Urumqi, 76.4 μ g·m⁻³, 105 μ g·m⁻³, and 62.8 μ g·m⁻³; Xining, 46.7 μ g·m⁻³, 99.7 μ g·m⁻³, and 46.6 μ g·m⁻³, and 34.4 μ g·m⁻³; PRD, 39.4 μ g·m⁻³, 53.2 μ g·m⁻³, and 24.8 μ g·m⁻³) [12,13]. Meanwhile, PM_{2.5} pollution in the Urumqi–Changji–Shihezi region of Xinjiang is more frequent (18.0%) than the highly polluted regions (16.0%) in central and eastern China [14]. In the last decade, air pollution in the most developed regions of China, such as the BTH, YRD, PRD, and Sichuan Basin, has been intensively investigated [15–17]. However, there is a relative dearth of studies on air pollution in northwestern inland China, and it is of great significance to investigate the status and potential source of air pollutants in Xinjiang.

Xinjiang has established a province-wide air-quality monitoring network since 2013 to assess regional air pollution [18]. In this work, hourly measurement data of the six criteria air pollutants (PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, CO, NO₂, and O₃) were obtained from the National Environmental Monitoring Station in eastern Xinjiang from 2018 to 2022. MeteoInfo, a backward trajectory model software [19,20], was used to analyze the distribution of atmospheric trajectories in the study area. Finally, the potential source areas of the individual air pollutants were determined by calculating the potential source contribution factor (PSCF) and the concentration weight trajectory (CWT). The results of the study contribute to a better understanding of air pollution in northwestern inland China and the development of strategies to control pollution in the future.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area and Data Source

Hami and Turpan are located in the eastern region of Xinjiang, nestled between the Tianshan Mountains to the west and the Gobi Desert to the east. This region has a typical continental climate characterized by sultry summers and freezing winters with average temperatures of 29.6 °C and -5.86 °C, respectively. This area is mostly flat and has no significant topographic relief, which is favorable for wind power generation and transmission. Eastern Xinjiang is rich in mineral and agricultural resources, including coal, natural gas, and cotton, which are the backbone of economic development. Moreover, this region also serves as an important hub connecting Central Asia and other regions of China.

Hourly measurement data of the six criteria air pollutants, including PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀ (5014i Beta Continuous Ambient Particulate Monitor, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), CO (Model 48i CO Analyzer, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), NO₂ (Model 42i Analyzer, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), maximum daily average 8 h (MDA 8) O₃ (Model 49i Ozone Analyzer, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), and SO₂ (Model 43i SO₂ Analyzer, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA, USA), were collected from the National Environmental Monitoring Stations in Hami (Hami Normal School: HMNS) and Turpan (Turpan Regional Environmental Protection Bureau: TREPB), China (Figure 1), for the period from 2018 to 2022. Synchronous meteorological data were obtained from the National Meteorological Stations in Hami and Turpan. Monthly variations in ambient temperature, relative humidity, barometric pressure, and wind speed are shown in Figure S1. As part of backward trajectory

analysis, meteorological data were automatically retrieved from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS1, ftp://arlftp.arlhq.noaa.gov/pub/archives/gdas1, last accessed on 7 July 2023). The GDAS1 records data every six hours at 00:00, 06:00, 12:00, and 18:00 UTC (coordinated universal time).



Figure 1. Locations of the National Environmental Monitoring stations in eastern Xinjiang (plots to the right are taken from Google Earth, https://earth.google.com, accessed on 7 September 2023).

2.2. Source Analysis Models

The Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model developed by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) of the United States and the Bureau of Meteorology of Australia is widely used to analyze the transport and dispersion pathways of pollutants in the atmosphere [21,22]. The PSCF method, based on the conditional probability function, is used to identify potential pollution sources by analyzing the trajectory of air masses and to determine pollution thresholds [23,24]. Higher PSCF values indicate a higher probability of being potential source regions of air pollution. The calculation of the PSCF value is as follows:

$$PSCF = \frac{m_{ij}}{n_{ij}} \tag{1}$$

where m_{ij} is the number of pollution route endpoints passing through the grid (*ij*), and n_{ij} is the total number of route endpoints within the grid (*ij*). To mitigate the increased uncertainty associated with small n_{ij} values in PSCF, a weighting coefficient W_{ij} is introduced to calculate weighted PSCF (WPSCF) for reduction: [25]

$$WPSCF_{ij} = PSCF_{ij} \times W_{ij} \tag{2}$$

$$W_{ij} \begin{cases} 1.00, n_{ij} > 80\\ 0.70, 80 \ge n_{ij} > 20\\ 0.42, 20 \ge n_{ij} > 10\\ 0.05, 10 \ge n_{ij} \end{cases}$$
(3)

However, the PSCF method is incapable of distinguishing the magnitude of contribution to pollutant concentration at the receptor point among grid cells with the same PSCF value. The CWT method can quantify the average weight concentration for each grid, reflecting the pollution levels associated with different trajectories. The weighting coefficients, W_{ij} , are identical to the weighting factors used in the analysis of potential source contributions. The weighted CWT (WCWT) is calculated as [26]:

$$WCWT_{i\,j} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{M} C_1 \times \tau_{ijl}}{\sum_{l=1}^{M} \tau_{ijl}} W_{ij}$$
(4)

where *l* is the trajectory of the air mass, *M* is the total number of trajectories, *C*_{*l*} is the pollutant concentration as trajectory *l* passes through the grid (*i*, *j*), and τ_{ijl} is the time of residence of trajectory *l* in the grid (*i*, *j*). The resolution of each grid cell in the PSCF and CWT methods is $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$.

3. Results

3.1. Air Quality and Main Pollutants

In this study, the air quality index (AQI) was used to parameterize the air quality in eastern Xinjiang. Details of the calculation method can be found in Text S1 in the supplement. Statistics of the six criteria air pollutants involved for AQI calculation are listed in Table S1 in the supplement, where the percentages of missing data of individual pollutants are all less than 10%.

Daily and annual average AQI values for Hami and Turpan during the whole period are shown in Figures 2 and S2a, respectively. A decreasing trend of AQI was observed for Turpan, but not for Hami, from 2018 to 2022, noting that the annual average values of AQI in Turpan were 1.19- to 1.51-times higher than those in Hami (Figure S2a). This could be partly ascribed to the fact that Turpan is lowest, located in the Turpan basin surrounded by mountains, and is more susceptible to the influences of sandstorms [27,28]. In Hami, 175 days had AQI values larger than 100 (Grade III limit value of technical regulation on ambient AQI (HJ 633-2012)), accounting for 9.62% of the total number of monitoring days, whereas 655 days (35.9% of the total) with AQI values above 100 were found in Turpan (Figures S2a and 2). AQI values in eastern Xinjiang were significantly higher in winter (December–January–February) than in summer (June–July–August) and had slight elevations in spring (March–April–May; Figure S2b). These variations are consistent with previous observations in Xinjiang and can be attributed to intensive coal burning



for domestic heating and unfavorable meteorological conditions in winter (Figure S1) and frequent dust storms in spring [29–33].

Figure 2. Daily AQI values of (a) Hami and (b) Turpan from 2018 to 2022 in eastern Xinjiang.

As described in Text S1 in the supplement, the main pollutant is identified during the calculation of the AQI. Table 1 shows the occurrence frequencies of individual criteria pollutants as the main pollutant in Hami and Turpan from 2018 to 2022. Unlike eastern China, where $PM_{2.5}$, NO_2 , and O_3 were typical main pollutants [34,35], PM_{10} was the most frequent main pollutant in eastern Xinjiang, followed by $PM_{2.5}$ and O_3 . In Hami and Turpan, the occurrence frequencies of PM_{10} as the main pollutant were 63.1% and 74.1%, respectively, which is much higher than that in eastern Chinese cities (e.g., Shanghai, 5.00%) [34]. The reason is that eastern Xinjiang is located near sand and dust sources, and the combined effects of dust and anthropogenic emissions significantly affect the particulate matter (PM) pollution in this area [36–38].

Table 1. Frequencies of being the main pollutant for each criteria pollutant (based on daily mean) in Hami and Turpan during 2018–2022.

Site	Year	PM _{2.5}	PM ₁₀	СО	NO ₂	O ₃ -8h	SO ₂
Hami	2018	9.75%	43.7%	1.39%	16.4%	5.85%	0.00%
	2019	6.85%	72.9%	0.27%	0.82%	0.82%	0.00%
	2020	12.6%	56.8%	0.00%	1.37%	0.55%	0.00%
	2021	0.00%	70.4%	0.27%	0.82%	0.82%	0.00%
	2022	0.55%	68.5%	0.00%	0.82%	3.56%	0.00%
Turpan	Total	5.93%	62.5%	0.38%	4.01%	2.31%	0.00%
	2018	11.5%	78.4%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
	2019	15.1%	78.4%	0.00%	0.00%	1.64%	0.00%
	2020	17.6%	69.2%	0.00%	0.00%	1.65%	0.00%
	2021	12.1%	77.3%	0.00%	0.00%	1.10%	0.00%
	2022	15.3%	67.1%	0.00%	0.00%	4.66%	0.00%
	Total	14.3%	74.1%	0.00%	0.00%	1.81%	0.00%

3.2. Temporal Variations of Air Pollutants

3.2.1. Interannual Changes

The interannual changes in concentrations of individual criteria pollutants in eastern Xinjiang during the study period are shown in Figure 3. The annual average concentrations of CO and NO_2 in Hami and Turpan showed a prominent downward trend (Figure $3c_2$). The annual averages of PM_{2.5} showed a continuous decline in Hami, decreasing from $30.1 \,\mu\text{g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ in 2018 to 27.0 $\mu\text{g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ in 2022 (Figure 3b). In Turpan, PM_{2.5} showed a general downward trend with a slight increase in 2019, but its average in 2022 (51.5 μ g·m⁻³) was still higher than the limit II of National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS, 35.0 μ g·m⁻³). Although the annual average concentrations of PM₁₀ in Turpan show an overall decreasing trend with a rate of -7.36% yr⁻¹ from 2018 to 2022, the average PM₁₀ concentrations in Hami and Turpan in 2022 (83.5 μ g·m⁻³ and 139 μ g·m⁻³) were still higher than those in eastern China (e.g., BTH, 66.0 μ g·m⁻³; YRD, 52.0 μ g·m⁻³; PRD, 35.0 μ g·m⁻³; https://www.cma.gov.cn, accessed on 11 October 2023). SO₂ concentrations in Turpan showed a continuous downward trend $(-8.54\% \text{ yr}^{-1})$, while in Hami the average SO₂ concentrations increased during 2018-2021 and then decreased in 2022. The annual average upward or downward rates of PM_{10} and SO_2 were calculated as the averages of their annual percentage changes during 2018–2022. These results indicate that air pollution control measures in eastern Xinjiang have achieved some effectiveness in recent years, but the PM concentrations in eastern Xinjiang remain at high levels, possibly due to its unique geographic location, frequent dust storms, and the influence of regional transport.



Figure 3. Interannual changes in concentrations of criteria air pollutants based on hourly measurements for the whole sampling period. The boxes depict the median (dark line), inner quartile range (box), 10th and 90th percentiles (whiskers), and the mean (red diamond).

It is worth noting that PM_{10} concentrations in 2021 were significantly (p < 0.05) higher than those in 2020 and 2022 in both Hami and Turpan, possibly due to the severe dust event in central Xinjiang in Spring 2021 [33,39]. The average concentrations of NO₂ in 2020 (Hami, 25.1 µg·m⁻³; Turpan, 30.6 µg·m⁻³) were significantly (p < 0.05) lower than those in 2019 (28.6 µg·m⁻³; 34.4 µg·m⁻³) and 2021 (27.5 µg·m⁻³; 32.9 µg·m⁻³), which was likely ascribed to the sharp decrease in urban traffic as a result of the COVID-19 control [40]. PM_{2.5}/PM₁₀ ratios at Hami and Turpan ranged from 0.23 to 0.38, and were much lower than those at BTH (0.53) and YRD (0.60) [41,42]. Therefore, coarse particles from dust emissions are the main cause of PM pollution in eastern Xinjiang [43]. In Hami and Turpan, the NO₂/SO₂ ratio ranged from 2.64 to 4.05, much lower than those in YRD (9.07) and PRD (6.69) [44], indicating a stronger relationship between air pollution and stationary sources in eastern Xinjiang [45,46].

Unlike other criteria pollutants, the annual average MDA8 O_3 exhibited a significant upward trend in Hami (4.98% yr⁻¹) and Turpan (12.6% yr⁻¹), reaching 65.0 µg·m⁻³ (Hami) and 71.7 µg·m⁻³ (Turpan) by 2022. A similar increasing trend of O_3 has also been observed in eastern China. For example, in Lianyungang, a coastal city, the annual average concentration of O_3 increased by 0.92 µg·m⁻³·yr⁻¹ from 2015 to 2018; a continuous upward trend with a growth rate of 3.50% yr⁻¹ was observed in Shandong from 2014 to 2020 [35,47]. Although the O_3 pollution in eastern Xinjiang has not attained the level in eastern China, its rapid growth indicated that a combined pollution of PM and O_3 might become the main situation of air pollution in eastern Xinjiang.

3.2.2. Diurnal, Weekly, and Monthly Variations

Hourly-resolved data provide a comprehensive understanding of diurnal variations in air pollutants. The boxplots in Figure 4 show daily, weekly, and monthly variations of air pollutants in Hami and Turpan during the whole period. The diurnal patterns of $PM_{2.5}$, PM_{10} , NO_2 , and CO presented a sinusoidal distribution, peaking at 10:00 and 22:00 of the day, respectively. Some studies have shown that the atmospheric boundary layer height in the Xinjiang region exhibits a distinct unimodal variation, gradually increasing during

the daytime and decreasing during the nighttime [48,49]. Therefore, these variations are mainly influenced by local rush hour traffic and changes in the height of the atmospheric boundary layer. SO₂ in Hami shows a "single peak" pattern maximizing at 11:00, while the diurnal cycle of SO_2 in Turpan had an additional peak at 21:00. This could be related to nighttime emissions from surrounding industries. The elevations of O_3 from 12:00 to 19:00 were due to increased photochemical reactions and the transport of air masses with higher O₃ concentrations [27,50,51]. Considering the variation in sunlight intensity between Xinjiang and the eastern regions of China at the same time, the peak O_3 concentration typically lags behind by 2 to 3 h compared to the eastern regions [52]. None of the six air pollutants showed decreased concentrations during the weekend. One possible explanation is that the monitoring sites are located in urban areas, where the influence of industry and traffic had little weekday–weekend difference. Except for O_3 , the other air pollutants in Hami and Turpan show a "U"-shaped monthly pattern from January to December, mainly caused by increased coal consumption and unfavorable meteorological conditions (e.g., low temperature and boundary layer height) in winter [53]. In contrast, O₃ concentrations exhibited maximum values in summer, due to enhanced formation with strong solar radiation and high temperatures [29]. In addition, the elevated $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} levels in March and April suggested the influence of dust and sand storms in spring.

3.3. Regional Transport and Potential Contributing Sources

As described in Section 3.1 and 3.2, air pollution is more severe in Turpan compared to Hami, and we selected the TREPB site in Turpan as the trajectory receiving point. To avoid double counting contributions from the same source over years, the backward trajectories of major main pollutants (PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$, and O_3) are simulated at 72 h intervals by season for 2022. MeteoInfo modeling was performed at an altitude of 500 m, which is applicable for considerations of both the long-range transport and transport in the planetary boundary layer [54,55]. Figure 5 shows the trajectory distributions, and Table S2 lists the averages of main pollutants (PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$, and O_3) in different clusters in eastern Xinjiang during the four seasons in 2022.

In Figure 5, the air masses at the trajectory receiving point in eastern Xinjiang mainly come from the west and north. Cluster 1, 2, 5, 7 (60.4%) in spring, Cluster 1, 2, 4 (64.8%) in summer, Cluster 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7 in autumn (46.5%), and Cluster 1, 3, 6 in winter (28.8%) originated from eastern Uzbekistan, central and eastern Kazakhstan, and central Russia, and then entered eastern Xinjiang via Ili Kazak, Bayingol Mongolian Autonomous Prefecture (hereafter Bayingol Mongolian), Tacheng, Karamay, Changji, and Urumqi. The trajectory receiving point in spring and winter was also influenced by the transport of air masses from northeast Xinjiang via northwestern Mongolia, northern Gansu, and the Hami region (e.g., Cluster 6 in spring and Cluster 4 in winter). In 2022, the primary clusters with the highest proportions in the four seasons were Cluster 4 (spring, 30.8%), Cluster 1 (summer, 38.1%), Cluster 4 (autumn, 44.3%), and Cluster 5 (winter, 41.8%, Table S2). Moreover, the trajectories of these four air mass clusters had relatively shorter transport distances than other clusters during the same season (Figure 5), indicating that eastern Xinjiang is mainly affected by local emissions.

Cluster 3 in winter was characterized by relatively short distances and had the highest average mass concentration of PM_{10} (213 µg·m⁻³, Table S2). Cluster 6 in spring, Cluster 4 in summer, and Cluster 6 in autumn carried the highest concentrations of PM_{10} (423 µg·m⁻³, 77.4 µg·m⁻³, and 1555 µg·m⁻³), and the trajectories of these air masses were characterized by longer distances and higher velocities, indicating that the variations of PM_{10} in eastern Xinjiang in spring, summer, and autumn were majorly influenced by long-range transport. Except for O₃, winter had the highest average concentrations of air pollutants in different air mass clusters (Table S2). During the summer season, the trajectories of air masses had the lowest PM concentrations but carried higher average concentrations of O₃.



Figure 4. Diurnal, weekly, and monthly variations of target pollutant concentrations based on hourly measurements for the whole period. The boxes depict the median (dark line), inner quartile range (box), 10th and 90th percentiles (whiskers), and the mean (red diamond).

ᄇ Hami 🖻 Turpan



Figure 5. Distribution of trajectory clusters in eastern Xinjiang during the four seasons of 2022.

The WPSCF and WCWT results for the four seasons in eastern Xinjiang are shown in Figures 6 and S3. The potential source regions identified through CWT analysis were generally consistent with those derived from the WPSCF analysis. Here, the average concentrations of target pollutants in each season were used as the criteria for assessing the pollution trajectory, and a WPSCF value of >0.50 indicated an area with high pollution potential.

Generally, the potential sources of pollution were mainly east-west distributed and showed significant seasonal variations, and the distributions of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} potential source areas were smaller in winter. These were closely associated with the seasonal changes in predominant wind directions and wind speed in Turpan (Figure S4). However, the highvalue areas of WPSCF were larger than in other seasons and concentrated in the northern part of Bayingol Mongolian, Changji, as well as the surroundings of Turpan and Hami, and the corresponding WCWT values of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ were greater than 180 µg·m⁻³ and 90.0 μ g·m⁻³, respectively. These results suggested a significant contribution of local emissions (Figure S3). In contrast, the potential sources of PM_{10} and PM_{25} in spring, summer, and autumn were relatively low for local areas (WPSCF < 0.30), and high-value areas of WPSCF were more dispersed. In summer, the high-value areas of WPSCF were mainly located in Tacheng with WCWT values of >90.0 μ g·m⁻³ and >36.0 μ g·m⁻³ for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}, respectively. In spring and autumn, the high potential source areas of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ were distributed in the northwest and northeast of our trajectory receiving point, including eastern Kazakhstan, northeastern Kazakhstan, Altai, Changji, Bayingol Mongolian, and northwestern Inner Mongolia with WCWT contribution values greater than 300 μ g·m⁻³ and 60.0 μ g·m⁻³, respectively. Compared to PM, the potential O₃ pollution sources were more widely distributed in winter and had smaller areas with





Figure 6. PSCF analysis results for PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and O₃ in eastern Xinjiang during different seasons in 2022.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, air quality and its temporal variations of Hami and Turpan in eastern Xinjiang were investigated by using hourly observations of the six criteria air pollutants (PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, SO₂, CO₂, NO₂, and O₃) from 2018 to 2022. Moreover, the trajectory of air masses and the potential sources of pollutants were analyzed based on backward trajectory analysis, PSCF, and CWT methods. In eastern Xinjiang, the AQI exhibited notably higher values in winter than in summer and a slight increase in spring. Unlike eastern China, the most frequent main pollutant in eastern Xinjiang was PM₁₀, followed by $PM_{2.5}$ and O_3 . Except for O_3 , the average annual concentrations of air pollutants generally showed a continuous decline trend. These results indicate that air pollution control measures in eastern Xinjiang have achieved some effectiveness in recent years, but the PM concentrations in eastern Xinjiang remain at high levels. The diurnal and monthly patterns of target pollutants reflected combined impacts stemming from diverse emission sources and meteorological dynamics. The backward trajectory analysis demonstrated significant influences of both inter-regional and intra-regional transport on air pollution in eastern Xinjiang. The potential source areas of PM exhibited distinct distributions across seasons, with pronounced impacts from local emissions in winter and regional transport during other seasons. O_3 had a widespread dispersion of potential sources throughout the year. Generally, reducing PM pollution, especially PM₁₀ pollution, is urgent in eastern Xinjiang. In future, local emission reduction and joint prevention and controls with neighboring regions should be strengthened. Because eastern Xinjiang features dry, windy, and dust-prone areas, alleviating land degradation and desertification should also be considered.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https:// www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/atmos14111670/s1, Text S1. Air quality index (AQI) calculation; Figure S1: The monthly variations of meteorological factors in Hami and Turpan; Figure S2: The number of days exceeding AQI standards in each year (a) and month (b) for Hami and Turpan during the whole period; Figure S3: CWT analysis results for PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, and O₃ in the eastern Xinjiang region during different seasons in 2022; Figure S4: Seasonal wind rose diagram for the Turpan region in 2022; Table S1: Statistics of hourly measurements of the six criteria air pollutants for AQI calculation; Table S2: Average PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, and O₃ concentrations in different clusters of eastern Xinjiang during the four seasons of 2022.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, Y.Z. (Yuanyuan Zhang) and H.X.; methodology, Y.Z. (Yuanyuan Zhang) and M.X.; software, Y.Z. (Yuanyuan Zhang); validation, Y.Z. (Yuanyuan Zhang) and M.X.; formal analysis, Y.Z. (Yuanyuan Zhang); investigation, F.C. and B.C.; resources, J.L. and Y.Z. (Yunhui Zhang); data curation, Y.Z. (Yunhui Zhang) and Y.Z. (Yuanyuan Zhang); writing—original draft preparation, Y.Z. (Yuanyuan Zhang); writing—review and editing, Y.Z. (Yuanyuan Zhang) and M.X.; visualization, M.X.; supervision, M.X.; project administration, H.X. and J.L.; funding acquisition, H.X. and J.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region Key Research and Development Program, grant number (2022B01012-3; 2022B01012-1), the Xuzhou Science and Technology Project, grant number (KC22345), and the Science and Technology Innovation Project of China National Administration of Coal Geology, grant number (ZMKJ-2021-ZX02-01).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available due to privacy.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Hu, J.; Wang, Y.; Ying, Q.; Zhang, H. Spatial and temporal variability of PM2.5 and PM10 over the North China Plain and the Yangtze River Delta, China. *Atmos. Environ.* 2014, *95*, 598–609. [CrossRef]
- Huang, R.J.; Zhang, Y.; Bozzetti, C.; Ho, K.F.; Cao, J.J.; Han, Y.; Daellenbach, K.R.; Slowik, J.G.; Platt, S.M.; Canonaco, F. High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China. *Nature* 2014, 514, 218–222. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, Y.; Liu, X. How did urban polycentricity and dispersion affect economic productivity? A case study of 306 Chinese cities. Landsc. Urban Plan. 2018, 173, 51–59. [CrossRef]
- 4. Polk, H. State of Global Air 2019: A Special Report on Global Exposure to Air Pollution and Its Disease Burden; Health Effects Institute: Boston, MA, USA, 2019.
- Wang, L.; Li, P.; Yu, S.; Mehmood, K.; Li, Z.; Chang, S.; Liu, W.; Rosenfeld, D.; Flagan, R.C.; Seinfeld, J.H. Predicted impact of thermal power generation emission control measures in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region on air pollution over Beijing, China. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, *8*, 934. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 6. Shi, H.; Wang, Y.; Chen, J.; Huisingh, D. Preventing smog crises in China and globally. J. Clean. Prod. 2016, 112, 1261–1271. [CrossRef]
- Sheehan, P.; Cheng, E.; English, A.; Sun, F. China's response to the air pollution shock. *Nat. Clim. Change* 2014, *4*, 306–309. [CrossRef]
- Li, X.; Qiao, Y.; Shi, L. The aggregate effect of air pollution regulation on CO₂ mitigation in China's manufacturing industry: An econometric analysis. J. Clean. Prod. 2017, 142, 976–984. [CrossRef]
- Feng, Y.Y.; Ning, M.; Lei, Y.; Sun, Y.M.; Liu, W.; Wang, J.N. Defending blue sky in China: Effectiveness of the "Air Pollution Prevention and Control Action Plan" on air quality improvements from 2013 to 2017. *J. Environ. Manag.* 2019, 252, 109603. [CrossRef]
- 10. Wang, J.; Lei, Y.; Ning, M. Chinese model for improving air quality: An assessment of action plan of air pollution prevention and control. *Environ. Prot.* **2018**, *46*, 7–11.
- 11. Jiang, X.; Li, G.; Fu, W. Government environmental governance, structural adjustment and air quality: A quasi-natural experiment based on the Three-year Action Plan to Win the Blue Sky Defense War. J. Environ. Manag. 2021, 277, 111470. [CrossRef]
- 12. Yang, J.; Ji, Z.; Kang, S.; Zhang, Q.; Chen, X.; Lee, S.-Y. Spatiotemporal variations of air pollutants in western China and their relationship to meteorological factors and emission sources. *Environ. Pollut.* **2019**, 254, 112952. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 13. Guo, P.; Umarova, A.B.; Luan, Y. The spatiotemporal characteristics of the air pollutants in China from 2015 to 2019. *PLoS ONE* **2020**, *15*, e0227469. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. Yao, X.; Ge, B.; Li, A.; Chen, G.; Fan, F.; Xu, D.; Wang, Y.; Tang, X.; Kong, L.; Wang, Z. Spatio-temporal variation of PM2. 5 pollution in Xinjiang and its causes: The growing importance in air pollution situation in China. *Front. Environ. Sci.* **2023**, *10*, 1051610. [CrossRef]
- 15. Zhou, W.; Chen, C.; Lei, L.; Fu, P.; Sun, Y. Temporal variations and spatial distributions of gaseous and particulate air pollutants and their health risks during 2015–2019 in China. *Environ. Pollut.* **2021**, 272, 116031. [CrossRef]
- 16. Qi, J.; Zheng, B.; Li, M.; Yu, F.; Chen, C.; Liu, F.; Zhou, X.; Yuan, J.; Zhang, Q.; He, K. A high-resolution air pollutants emission inventory in 2013 for the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei region, China. *Atmos. Environ.* **2017**, *170*, 156–168. [CrossRef]
- 17. Zhao, S.; Yu, Y.; Yin, D.; Qin, D.; He, J.; Dong, L. Spatial patterns and temporal variations of six criteria air pollutants during 2015 to 2017 in the city clusters of Sichuan Basin, China. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *624*, 540–557. [CrossRef]
- Dong, J.; Chen, X.; Cai, X.; Xu, Q.; Guan, Y.; Li, T.; Liu, S.; Chen, F. Analysis of the temporal and spatial variation of atmospheric quality from 2015 to 2019 based on China atmospheric environment monitoring station. J. Geo-Inf. Sci. 2020, 22, 1983–1995.
- 19. Wang, Y.Q. MeteoInfo: GIS software for meteorological data visualization and analysis. *Meteorol. Appl.* **2014**, *21*, 360–368. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.Q. An Open Source Software Suite for Multi-Dimensional Meteorological Data Computation and Visualisation. J. Open Res. Softw. 2019, 7, 21. [CrossRef]
- 21. Draxler, R.R.; Hess, G.D. An overview of the HYSPLIT_4 modelling system for trajectories. Aust. Meteorol. Mag. 1998, 47, 295–308.
- 22. Wang, Y.; Stein, A.F.; Draxler, R.R.; de la Rosa, J.D.; Zhang, X. Global sand and dust storms in 2008: Observation and HYSPLIT model verification. *Atmos. Environ.* 2011, 45, 6368–6381. [CrossRef]
- 23. Begum, B.A.; Kim, E.; Jeong, C.-H.; Lee, D.-W.; Hopke, P.K. Evaluation of the potential source contribution function using the 2002 Quebec forest fire episode. *Atmos. Environ.* **2005**, *39*, 3719–3724. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Zhang, X.; Arimoto, R. The contribution from distant dust sources to the atmospheric particulate matter loadings at XiAn, China during spring. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2006, *368*, 875–883. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Polissar, A.; Hopke, P.; Paatero, P.; Kaufmann, Y.; Hall, D.; Bodhaine, B.; Dutton, E.; Harris, J. The aerosol at Barrow, Alaska: Long-term trends and source locations. *Atmos. Environ.* **1999**, *33*, 2441–2458. [CrossRef]
- Hsu, Y.-K.; Holsen, T.M.; Hopke, P.K. Comparison of hybrid receptor models to locate PCB sources in Chicago. *Atmos. Environ.* 2003, 37, 545–562. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, L.; Jin, L.; Zhao, T.; Yin, Y.; Zhu, B.; Shan, Y.; Guo, X.; Tan, C.; Gao, J.; Wang, H. Diurnal variation of surface ozone in mountainous areas: Case study of Mt. Huang, East China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2015, *538*, 583–590. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Yin, Z.; Cui, K.; Chen, S.; Zhao, Y.; Chao, H.-R.; Chang-Chien, G.-P. Characterization of the air quality index for Urumqi and Turfan cities, China. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* **2019**, *19*, 282–306. [CrossRef]
- Luo, Y.; Xu, L.; Li, Z.; Zhou, X.; Zhang, X.; Wang, F.; Peng, J.; Cao, C.; Chen, Z.; Yu, H. Air pollution in heavy industrial cities along the northern slope of the Tianshan Mountains, Xinjiang: Characteristics, meteorological influence, and sources. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.* 2023, 30, 55092–55111. [CrossRef]
- Turap, Y.; Rekefu, S.; Wang, G.; Talifu, D.; Gao, B.; Aierken, T.; Hao, S.; Wang, X.; Tursun, Y.; Maihemuti, M. Chemical characteristics and source apportionment of PM2. 5 during winter in the southern part of Urumqi, China. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* 2019, 19, 1325–1337. [CrossRef]
- Xu, G.; Jiao, L.; Zhang, B.; Zhao, S.; Yuan, M.; Gu, Y.; Liu, J.; Tang, X. Spatial and temporal variability of the PM2. 5/PM10 ratio in Wuhan, Central China. Aerosol Air Qual. Res. 2017, 17, 741–751. [CrossRef]
- 32. Song, C.; Wu, L.; Xie, Y.; He, J.; Chen, X.; Wang, T.; Lin, Y.; Jin, T.; Wang, A.; Liu, Y. Air pollution in China: Status and spatiotemporal variations. *Environ. Pollut.* 2017, 227, 334–347. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Filonchyk, M. Characteristics of the severe March 2021 Gobi Desert dust storm and its impact on air pollution in China. *Chemosphere* **2022**, *287*, 132219. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Yang, J.; Fu, X.; Qiao, L.; Yao, L.; Zhang, F.; Li, W. Characteristics of Atmospheric Pollution in a Chinese Megacity: Insights from Three Different Functional Areas. *Sustainability* **2023**, *15*, 2429. [CrossRef]
- Wang, N.; Zhu, C.; Li, W.; Qiu, M.; Wang, B.; Li, X.; Jiang, B.; Qu, X.; Li, Z.; Cheng, H. Air quality improvement assessment and exposure risk of Shandong Province in China during 2014 to 2020. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2022, 20, 9495–9504. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Li, J. Characteristics, Source, Long-Range Transport of Dust Aerosol Over the Central Asia and Its Potential Effect on Global Change; Fudan University: Shanghai, China, 2009.
- 37. Xuan, J. Dust emission factors for environment of Northern China. Atmos. Environ. 1999, 33, 1767–1776. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, X.-X.; Sharratt, B.; Chen, X.; Wang, Z.-F.; Liu, L.-Y.; Guo, Y.-H.; Li, J.; Chen, H.-S.; Yang, W.-Y. Dust deposition and ambient PM 10 concentration in northwest China: Spatial and temporal variability. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2017, 17, 1699–1711. [CrossRef]
- 39. Wang, Y.; Tang, J.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, W.; Wang, J.; Wang, Z. Hybrid Methods' Integration for Remote Sensing Monitoring and Process Analysis of Dust Storm Based on Multi-Source Data. *Atmosphere* **2022**, *14*, 3. [CrossRef]
- 40. Wang, P.; Chen, K.; Zhu, S.; Wang, P.; Zhang, H. Severe air pollution events not avoided by reduced anthropogenic activities during COVID-19 outbreak. *Resour. Conserv. Recycl.* 2020, 158, 104814. [CrossRef]

- Zhai, H.; Yao, J.; Wang, G.; Tang, X. Spatio-Temporal Characteristics and Variation Pattern of the Atmospheric Particulate Matter Concentration: A Case Study of the Beijing–Tianjin–Hebei Region, China. *Atmosphere* 2022, 13, 120. [CrossRef]
- 42. Javed, Z.; Bilal, M.; Qiu, Z.; Li, G.; Sandhu, O.; Mehmood, K.; Wang, Y.; Ali, M.A.; Liu, C.; Wang, Y. Spatiotemporal characterization of aerosols and trace gases over the Yangtze River Delta region, China: Impact of trans-boundary pollution and meteorology. *Environ. Sci. Eur.* **2022**, *34*, 86. [CrossRef]
- 43. Blanco-Becerra, L.C.; Gáfaro-Rojas, A.I.; Rojas-Roa, N.Y. Influence of precipitation scavenging on the PM2.5PM10 ratio at the Kennedy locality of Bogotá, Colombia. *Rev. Fac. Ing. Univ. De Antioq.* **2015**, *76*, 58–68. [CrossRef]
- 44. Bai, Y.; Wang, Z.; Xie, F.; Cen, L.; Xie, Z.; Zhou, X.; He, J.; Lü, C. Changes in stoichiometric characteristics of ambient air pollutants pre-to post-COVID-19 in China. *Environ. Res.* 2022, 209, 112806. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Nirel, R.; Dayan, U. On the Ratio of Sulfur Dioxide to Nitrogen Oxides as an Indicator of Air Pollution Sources. *J. Appl. Meteorol.* **2001**, *40*, 1209–1222. [CrossRef]
- Aneja, V.P.; Agarwal, A.; Roelle, P.A.; Phillips, S.B.; Tong, Q.; Watkins, N.; Yablonsky, R. Measurements and analysis of criteria pollutants in New Delhi, India. *Environ. Int.* 2001, 27, 35–42. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Qian, Z.; Meng, Q.; Chen, K.; Zhang, Z.; Liang, H.; Yang, H.; Huang, X.; Zhong, W.; Zhang, Y.; Wei, Z. Machine Learning Explains Long-Term Trend and Health Risk of Air Pollution during 2015–2022 in a Coastal City in Eastern China. *Toxics* 2023, *11*, 481. [CrossRef]
- Li, S.; Li, X.; Deng, Z.; Xia, X.; Ren, G.; An, D.; Ayikan, M.; Zhong, Y. Characteristics of atmospheric boundary layer and its relation with PM2. 5 during winter in Shihezi, an Oasis city in Northwest China. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* 2023, 14, 101902. [CrossRef]
- Wang, Y.; Gao, J.; Mamtimin, A.; Sayit, H.; Zhou, C.; Li, R.; Dawut, M.; Yang, F.; Huo, W.; Wen, C. Evolution law of atmospheric boundary layer in Gurbantünggüt Desert based on reanalysis dataset and in situ observation data. *Heliyon* 2023, 9, e14147. [CrossRef]
- Li, H.; Zhou, D.; Wei, Y. An Assessment of PM2.5-Related Health Risks and Associated Economic Losses in Chinese Cities. *Huan Jing Ke Xue Huanjing Kexue* 2018, 39, 3467–3475. [CrossRef]
- 51. Liu, Y.; Zhou, Y.; Lu, J. Exploring the relationship between air pollution and meteorological conditions in China under environmental governance. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 14518. [CrossRef]
- 52. Fang, C.; Li, Z.; Shi, W.; Wang, J. Analysis of Pollution Characteristics and Emissions Reduction Measures in the Main Cotton Area of Xinjiang. *J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2023**, *20*, 2273. [CrossRef]
- Van Hooijdonk, I.G.S.; Clercx, H.J.H.; Abraham, C.; Holdsworth, A.M.; Monahan, A.H.; Vignon, E.; Moene, A.F.; Baas, P.; van de Wiel, B.J.H. Near-surface temperature inversion growth rate during the onset of the stable boundary layer. *J. Atmos. Sci.* 2017, 74, 3433–3449. [CrossRef]
- Yin, X.; Kang, S.; de Foy, B.; Ma, Y.; Tong, Y.; Zhang, W.; Wang, X.; Zhang, G.; Zhang, Q. Multi-year monitoring of atmospheric total gaseous mercury at a remote high-altitude site (Nam Co, 4730 m asl) in the inland Tibetan Plateau region. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2018, 18, 10557–10574. [CrossRef]
- 55. Lu, Z.; Streets, D.G.; Zhang, Q.; Wang, S. A novel back-trajectory analysis of the origin of black carbon transported to the Himalayas and Tibetan Plateau during 1996–2010. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2012**, *39*, 1–6. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.